RNTPC Paper No. A/YL-KTN/624 For Consideration by the Rural and New Town Planning Committee on 19.10.2018

# <u>APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION</u> UNDER SECTION 16 OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE

## APPLICATION NO. A/YL-KTN/624

**Applicant** : Mr. TANG Wai-Ip

Site : Lots 1204 and 1208 in D.D. 107, Kam Tin, Yuen Long

Site Area :  $1,243 \text{ m}^2$ 

<u>Lease</u> : Block Government Lease (demised for agricultural use)

Plan : Approved Kam Tin North Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No.

S/YL-KTN/9

**Zoning** : "Agriculture" ("AGR")

<u>Application</u>: Proposed Temporary Shop and Services (Plant Showroom) for

a Period of 3 Years

# 1. The Proposal

- 1.1 The applicant seeks planning permission for proposed temporary shop and services (plant showroom) for a period of 3 years at the application site (the Site). The Site is currently vacant and covered by sands and grass (**Plans A-2** and **A-4**). The Site is not subject to any previous application.
- 1.2 According to the applicant, the proposed development consists of a one-storey structure with building height not exceeding 5m and total floor area of about 286m² for plant showroom. Three car parking spaces for private car and light goods vehicle and one loading/unloading space for vehicle not exceeding 24 tonnes will be provided within the Site. The proposed development will be operated from 9:00 am to 6:00 pm daily including public holidays. The proposed plant showroom is for wholesale purpose and will display plant samples. If any purchase is made, the plants will be delivered to the Site for pick up by the customers. Plants will also be kept at the Site for the customers if necessary. Appointment will be needed to visit the proposed plant showroom. The Site is

accessible from Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi via a local track. The layout plan, drainage plan, landscape plan and vehicular access plan submitted by the applicant are at **Drawings A-1 and A-4**.

- 1.3 In support of the application, the applicant has submitted the following document:
  - (a) Application Form with plans received on 20.8.2018 (Appendix I)
  - (b) Further Information (FI) received on 18.9.2018 (**Appendix Ia**) clarifying the traffic arrangement and trip generation to the Site (accepted and exempted from publication and recounting requirements)
  - (c) FI received on 5.10.2018 clarifying the operation (Appendix Ib) details

    (accepted and exempted from publication and recounting requirements)

## 2. <u>Justifications from the Applicant</u>

The justifications put forth by the applicant in support of the application are detailed in section 9 of the application form in **Appendix I**. They can be summarized as follows:

- 2.1 The proposed development is temporary in nature with no permanent structure on site which will not jeopardize the long term planning intention of the area and the Site is easily reinstated. Several applications for plant showrooms in the vicinity of the Site have been approved by the Rural and New Town Planning Committee (the Committee).
- 2.2 The applicant will provide landscaping and drainage facilities with environmental mitigation measures to enhance the environment nearby and to minimize flooding. Adverse impact on existing road is not anticipated.

# 3. Compliance with the "Owner's Consent/Notification" Requirements

The applicant is not a "current land owner" but has complied with the requirements as set out in the Town Planning Board Guidelines on Satisfying the "Owner's Consent/Notification" Requirements under Sections 12A and 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (TPB PG-No. 31) by posting site notice and sending the notification letter to the Kam Tin Rural Committee by registered mail. Detailed information would be deposited at the meeting for Members' inspection.

## 4. Background

The Site is subject to planning enforcement action (No. E/YL-KTN/467) against unauthorized development (UD) involving filling of land. Enforcement Notice (EN) was issued on 19.7.2018 requiring discontinuation of the UD. Subsequently, the site inspections revealed that the UD was discontinued upon the expiry of the EN. The Site would be kept under close monitoring for further action, if necessary.

## 5. Previous Application

There is no previous application at the Site.

## 6. Similar Applications

- 6.1 There are six similar applications (No. A/YL-KTN/413, 446, 447, 448, 540 and 541) for temporary shop and services (plant showroom) within the same "AGR" zone. Details of the applications are summarized in **Appendix II** and their locations are shown on **Plan A-1**.
- Application Nos. A/YL-KTN/413 and 540 covering the same site for temporary shop and services (plant showroom) for a period of 3 years were approved with conditions by the Committee on 6.9.2013 and 11.11.2016 respectively mainly for the reasons that the developments were not incompatible with the surrounding land uses; temporary approval would not jeopardize the long-term planning intention of the "AGR" zone; relevant departments including Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation (DAFC), except Director of Environmental Protection (DEP), had no adverse comment and the concern of DEP could be addressed by approval conditions (for Application No. A/YL-KTN/413); and relevant departments had no adverse comment on the application (for Application No. A/YL-KTN/540).
- Application Nos. A/YL-KTN/446, 447, 448 and 541 involve 4 sites for temporary shop and services (plant showroom) for a period of 3 years were approved with conditions by the Committee on 22.8.2014 (for Applications No. A/YL-KTN/446 and 447), 26.9.2014 and 12.5.2017 respectively mainly for the reasons that temporary approval would not jeopardize the long-term planning intention of the "AGR" zone; the proposed developments were not incompatible with the surrounding areas; relevant departments including DAFC, except DEP, had no adverse comment and the concern of EPD could be addressed by approval conditions (for application nos. 446, 447 and 448) and relevant departments had no adverse comment on the application (for Application No. A/YL-KTN/541). Applications no. A/YL-KTN/446 and 447 were revoked on 22.11.2015 and Application No. A/YL-KTN/448 was revoked on 26.12.2015 due to non-compliance with approval conditions in respect of provision of

fencing and submission/implementation of landscape, drainage and fire services installations proposals.

## 7. The Site and Its Surrounding Areas (Plans A-1 to A-4b)

- 7.1 The Site is:
  - (a) vacant and covered by sands and grass; and
  - (b) accessible from Castle Peak Road Tam Mi via a local track.
- 7.2 The surrounding areas are predominately rural in character predominated by residential dwellings/structures, open storage/ storage yards and vacant/unused land:
  - (a) to its immediate north is a piece of unused land. Further north are some residential dwellings/structures, open storage yard and unused land within the "Industrial (Group D)" zone;
  - (b) to its east and southeast are residential dwellings/structures, open storage/storage yards and vacant/unused land; and
  - to its south and west are residential dwellings/structures (the nearest about 5m), fallow agricultural land and vacant/unused land. To the further south are some active agricultural uses (**Plan A-3**).

## 8. Planning Intention

The planning intention of the "AGR" zone is to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes. It is also intended to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes.

#### 9. Comments from Relevant Government Departments

9.1 The following Government departments have been consulted and their views on the application are summarized as follows:

#### **Land Administration**

- 9.1.1 Comments of the District Lands Officer, Yuen Long, Lands Department (DLO/YL, LandsD):
  - (a) The Site comprises Old Schedule Agricultural Lots held under

- the Block Government Lease which contains the restriction that no structures are allowed to be erected without the prior approval of the Government.
- (b) The Site is accessible from Castle Peak Road Tam Mi via Government Land (GL) and private land. His office provides no maintenance work for the GL involved and does not guarantee any right-of-way over the GL to the Site.
- (c) The Site falls within Shek Kong Airfield Height Restriction Area (SKAHRA). The height of the proposed structure shall not exceed the relevant airfield height limit within SKAHRA.
- (d) Should the application be approved, the lot owner(s) will need to apply to his office to permit the structures to be erected or regularize any irregularities on site, if any. Besides, given the proposed use is temporary in nature, only application for regularization or erection of temporary structure(s) will be considered. No construction of New Territories Exempted Building(s) will be considered or allowed. Applications for any of the above will be considered by LandsD acting in the capacity as landlord or lessor at its sole discretion and there is no guarantee that such application will be approved. If such application(s) is approved, it will be subject to such terms and conditions, including among others the payment of premium or fee, as may be imposed by the LandsD.

## **Traffic**

- 9.1.2 Comments of the Commissioner for Transport (C for T):
  - (a) He has no comment on the application from traffic engineering point of view.
  - (b) Should the application be approved, approval condition on no vehicle is allowed to queue back to or reverse onto/from public road at any time during the planning approval period should be included.
  - (c) The Site is connected to the public road network via a section of a local access road which is not managed by Transport Department. The land status of the local access road should be checked with the LandsD. Moreover, the management and maintenance responsibilities of the local access road should be clarified with the relevant lands and maintenance authorities accordingly.

- 9.1.3 Comments of the Chief Highways Engineer/ New Territories West, Highways Department (CHE/NTW, HyD):
  - (a) His department does not and will not maintain any access connecting the Site and Castle Peak Road Tam Mi.
  - (b) Adequate drainage measures should be provided to prevent surface water running from the Site to the nearby public roads and drains.

#### **Environment**

- 9.1.4 Comments of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP):
  - (a) Two substantiated environmental complaints on waste aspect in 2017 and 2018 were received.
  - (b) In accordance with the latest "Code of Practice on Handling the Environmental Aspect of Temporary Uses and Open Storage Sites" (the COP), he does not support the application as there are sensitive receivers nearby, i.e. residential dwellings (the nearest at its immediate south within 5m) (**Plan A-2**) and the proposal will generate traffic of heavy vehicles within 50m from residential dwellings, environmental nuisance is expected.
  - (c) Should the application be approved, the applicant is advised to follow the relevant mitigation measures and requirements in the latest "Code of Practice on Handling the Environmental Aspects of Temporary uses and Open Storage Sites" issued by DEP.

## Landscape

- 9.1.5 Comments of the Chief Town Planner/Urban Design and Landscape, Planning Department (CTP/UD&L, PlanD):
  - (a) She has some reservations to the application from the landscape planning point of view.
  - (b) The surrounding area is rural fringe in landscape character occupied with some temporary structures and scattered tree groups. The proposed use is not entirely incompatible with the landscape setting in proximity.
  - (c) According to the site visit in September 2018, there is no significant vegetation observed within the site boundary. It is

anticipated that landscape impact from the proposed development would be insubstantial. Although no information on the ground surface treatment within the Site is shown, it is anticipated that soil compaction would be incurred as large portion of the Site would be occupied by the proposed "plant showroom", "parking area" and "drop-off area". Compacted soil would inhibit vegetation establishment in future, and the proposed use deviate from the planning intention for "AGR" zone to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land / farm/ fish ponds for agricultural purposes, and to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purpose.

(d) Should the application be approved, approval conditions on submission and implementation of landscape proposal to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning or of the Board are recommended.

## **Agriculture and Nature Conservation**

- 9.1.6 Comments of the Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation (DAFC):
  - (a) The application lots were involved in a case of suspected unauthorised land filling. A mixture of sand, rocks, rubbish and construction wastes were found on the Site. However, the agricultural activities in the vicinity are active and agricultural infrastructures such as footpath and water source are available. As the Site possesses a potential for agricultural rehabilitation, he does not support the application from agricultural point of view.
  - (b) A watercourse is found nearby the proposed access of the Site (Plan A-2). While it may have been affected by land filling/alterations previously, further culverting, filling, alterations and pollution to abutting watercourses should be avoided as far as possible. In such connection, the proposed vehicular access to the east of the Site crossing over the abutting watercourse (which culverting/ alterations of the watercourses maybe necessary) is not favored from nature conservation point of view.

## Drainage

- 9.1.7 Comments of the Chief Engineer/Mainland North, Drainage Services Department (CE/MN, DSD):
  - (a) He has no in-principle objection to the proposed development from public drainage point of view.
  - (b) Should the application be approved, approval conditions requiring the submission, implementation and maintenance of a drainage proposal for the development to the satisfaction of the Director of Drainage Services or of the Board should be included.
  - (c) Detailed comments on the drainage proposal are at **Appendix**

## **Building Matters**

- 9.1.8 Comments of the Chief Building Surveyor/New Territories West, Buildings Department (CBS/NTW, BD):
  - (a) If the existing structures (not being a New Territories Exempted House) are erected on leased land without the approval of the BD, they are unauthorized building works (UBW) under the Buildings Ordinance (BO) and should not be designated for any proposed use under the application.
  - (b) For UBW erected on leased land, enforcement action may be taken by the BD to effect their removal in accordance with BD's enforcement policy against UBW as and when necessary. The granting of any planning approval should not be construed as an acceptance of any existing building works or UBW on the Site under the BO.
  - (c) Before any new building works (including containers/ open sheds as temporary buildings and land filling) are to be carried out on the Site, prior approval and consent of the BD should be obtained, otherwise they are UBW. An Authorized Person should be appointed as the co-ordinator for the proposed building works in accordance with the BO.
  - (d) The Site shall be provided with means of obtaining access thereto from a street and emergency vehicular access in accordance with Regulations 5 and 41D of the Building (Planning) Regulations (B(P)R) respectively.

(e) If the Site does not abut on a specified street of not less than 4.5m wide, its permitted development intensity shall be determined under Regulation 19(3) of the B(P)R at the building plan submission stage.

## **Fire Safety**

- 9.1.9 Comments of the Director of Fire Services (D of FS):
  - (a) He has no in-principle objection to the application subject to fire service installations (FSIs) being provided to his satisfaction.
  - (b) In consideration of the design/nature of the proposal, FSIs are anticipated to be required. Therefore, the applicant is advised to submit relevant layout plans incorporated with the proposed FSIs to his department for approval. The layout plans should be drawn to scale and depicted with dimensions and nature of occupancy. The location of where the proposed FSI to be installed should be clearly marked on the layout plans.
  - (c) The applicant is reminded that if the proposed structure(s) is required to comply with the BO (Cap. 123), detailed fire service requirements will be formulated upon receipt of formal submission of general building plans.

## **Electricity**

- 9.1.10 Comments of the Director of Electrical and Mechanical Services (DEMS):
  - (a) He has no particular comment on the application from electricity supply safety aspect.
  - (b) In the interests of public safety and ensuring the continuity of electricity supply, the parties concerned with planning, designing, organizing and supervising any activity near the underground cable or overhead line under the application should approach the electricity supplier (i.e. CLP Power) for the requisition of cable plans (and overhead line alignment drawings, where applicable) to find out whether there is any underground cable and/or overhead line within and/or in the vicinity of the Site. They should also be reminded to observe the Electricity Supply Lines (Protection) Regulation and the "Code of Practice on Working near Electricity Supply Lines"

established under the Regulation when carrying out works in the vicinity of the electricity supply lines.

# **District Officer's Comments**

9.1.11 Comments of the District Officer (Yuen Long), Home Affairs Department (DO(YL), HAD):

His office has not received any local's comment on the application and has no comment on the application.

- 9.2 The following Government departments have no comment on the application:
  - (a) Chief Engineer/Construction, Water Supplies Department (CE/C, WSD);
  - (b) Project Manager/West, Civil Engineering and Development Department (PM/W, CEDD); and
  - (c) Commissioner of Police (C of P).

## 10. Public Comments Received During Statutory Publication Period

- 10.1 On 28.8.2018, the application was published for public inspection. During the first three weeks of the statutory public inspection period, which ended on 18.9.2018, five public comments from The Hong Kong Bird Watching Society, World Wide Fund for Nature Hong Kong, Kadoorie Farm & Botanic Garden Corporation, Designing Hong Kong and a general public were received (**Appendices IV-1 to IV-5**).
- 10.2 All commenters object the application mainly on the grounds that the proposed development is not in line with the planning intention of the "AGR" zone; the Site is a suspected "Destroy First, Build Later" case; the Site involved an enforcement case of UD and not appropriate to approve unauthorized use at the Site; approval of the application will set undesirable precedent for similar applications and cumulative effect would result in degradation of the agricultural land and the application should be rejected to phase out brownfield operation.

#### 11. Planning Considerations and Assessments

11.1 The Site is located in "AGR" zone which is intended to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes, and to retain fallow land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. The proposed shop and services (plant showroom) is not entirely in line with the planning intention of the "AGR" zone. DAFC does not support the application from agricultural point of view as agricultural activities in

the vicinity are active, agricultural infrastructures are available and the Site possess potential for agricultural rehabilitation. CTP/UD&L of PlanD also has some reservation on the application as the proposed structure, parking and loading/unloading areas will occupy large portion of the Site and soil compaction is anticipated, which would inhibit vegetation establishment in future, and deviate from the planning intention for "AGR" zone. No strong planning justification has been given in the submission to justify for a departure from the planning intention, even on a temporary basis.

- 11.2 The surrounding area of the Site is predominated by residential dwellings/structures, fallow/active agriculture land with scatted open storage/ storage yards and vacant/unused land. DEP does not support the application as there are sensitive receivers nearby, i.e. residential dwellings (the nearest at its immediate south within 5m) (**Plan A-2**) and the proposal will generate traffic of heavy vehicle within 50m from residential dwellings, environmental nuisance is expected. In addition, DAFC advised that the proposed vehicular access to the east of the Site crossing over the abutting watercourse (**Plan A-2**) is not favored from nature conservation point of view.
- 11.3 Although there are six similar applications (No. A/YL-KTN/413, 446, 447, 448, 540 and 541) for temporary shop and services (plant showroom) approved with conditions by the Committee in 2013 to 2017 (paragraph 6 and **Plans A-1** refer), these applications are subject to different circumstances than the current application as no adverse comments were raised by DAFC and CTP/UD&L of PlanD on these similar applications, and DAFC advised that the concerned sites were located in areas with low potential for agricultural rehabilitation.
- 11.4 Five public comments objecting to the application were received during the statutory publication period as stated in paragraph 10 above. In this regard, the planning assessments and considerations above are relevant.

## 12. Planning Department's Views

- 12.1 Based on the assessments made in paragraph 11 and having taken into account the public comments mentioned in paragraph 10 above, the Planning Department does not support the application for the following reasons:
  - (a) the proposed development is not in line with the planning intention of the "AGR" zone which is to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes. It is also intended to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. No strong justification has been given in the submission for a departure from the planning intention, even on temporary basis; and

- (b) the applicant fails to demonstrate that the proposed development would not generate environmental nuisance on the surrounding areas.
- 12.2 Alternatively, should the Committee decide to approve the application, it is suggested that the permission shall be valid on a temporary basis for a period of 3 years until 19.10.2021. The following conditions of approval and advisory clauses are also suggested for Members' reference:

## **Approval Conditions**

- (a) no operation between 6:00 p.m. and 9:00 a.m., as proposed by the applicant, is allowed on the Site during the planning approval period;
- (b) no heavy goods vehicles exceeding 24 tonnes, including container tractors/trailers as defined in the Road Traffic Ordinance, as proposed by the applicant, are allowed to be parked/stored on or enter/exit the Site at any time during the planning approval period;
- (c) no vehicle is allowed to queue back to or reverse onto/from public road at any time during the planning approval period;
- (d) the submission of landscape proposal within **6** months from the date of the planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning or of the Town Planning Board by 19.4.2019;
- (e) in relation to (d) above, the implementation of landscape proposal within **9** months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning or of the Town Planning Board by 19.7.2019;
- (f) the submission of drainage proposal within **6** months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Drainage Services or of the Town Planning Board by <u>19.4.2019</u>;
- (g) in relation to (f) above, the implementation of drainage proposal within 9 months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Drainage Services or of the Town Planning Board by 19.7.2019;
- (h) in relation to (g) above, the implemented drainage facilities on the Site shall be maintained at all times during the planning approval period;
- (i) the submission of fire service installations proposal within **6** months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Fire Services or of the Town Planning Board by <u>19.4.2019</u>;

- (j) in relation to (i) above, the provision of fire service installations within **9** months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Fire Services or of the Town Planning Board by <u>19.7.2019</u>;
- (k) if any of the above planning conditions (a), (b), (c) or (h) is not complied with during the planning approval period, the approval hereby given shall cease to have effect and shall be revoked immediately without further notice;
- (l) if any of the above planning conditions (d), (e), (f), (g), (i) or (j) is not complied with by the specified date, the approval hereby given shall cease to have effect and shall on the same date be revoked without further notice; and
- (m) upon expiry of the planning permission, the reinstatement of the Site to an amenity area to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning or of the Town Planning Board.

## **Advisory Clauses**

The recommended advisory clauses are attached at **Appendix V**.

## 13. Decision Sought

- 13.1 The Committee is invited to consider the application and decide whether to grant or refuse to grant permission.
- 13.2 Should the Committee decide to approve the application, Members are invited to consider the approval condition(s) and advisory clause(s), if any, to be attached to the permission, and the period of which the permission should be valid on a temporary basis.
- 13.3 Alternatively, should the Committee decide to reject the application, Members are invited to advise what reason(s) for rejection should be given to the applicant.

#### 14. Attachments

**Appendix I** Application Form with plans received on 20.8.2018

**Appendix Ia** FI received on 18.9.2018

**Appendix Ib** FI received on 5.10.2018

**Appendix II** Similar Applications within the same "AGR" zone on the Kam

Tin North OZP

**Appendix III** Detailed comments from CE/MN, DSD

Appendices IV-1 to Public comments received during statutory publication period

IV-5

**Appendix V** Advisory clauses

**Drawing A-1** Layout Plan

**Drawing A-2** Drainage Plan

Drawing A-3 Landscape Plan

**Drawing A-4** Vehicular Access Plan

Plan A-1 Location Plan with Similar Applications

Plan A-2 Site Plan

Plan A-3 Aerial Photo

Plans A-4a to 4b Site Photos

# PLANNING DEPARTMENT OCTOBER 2018