# Appendix II of RNTPC Paper No. A/YL-KTN/630A

### Similar Applications within the same "AGR" Zone on the Kam Tin North OZP

### Approved Application

	Application No.	Proposed Use	<u>Date of</u> <u>Consideration</u> (RNTPC/TPB)	Approval Conditions
1	A/YL-KTN/465	Proposed Temporary Hobby Farm for a Period of 3 Years	3.7.2015 [revoked on 3.4.2016]	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6)
2	A/YL-KTN/474	Proposed Temporary Hobby Farm for a Period of 3 Years	7.8.2015 [revoked on 7.8.2016]	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (10)
3	A/YL-KTN/513	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) for a Period of 3 Years	18.3.2016 [revoked on 18.2.2018]	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6)
4	A/YL-KTN/516	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) for a Period of 3 Years	18.3.2016 [revoked on 18.12.2016]	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6)
5	A/YL-KTN/520	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm and Caravan Camp Site) for a Period of 3 Years	27.5.2016	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7)
6	A/YL-KTN/538	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) for a Period of 3 Years	23.12.2016 [revoked on 23.9.2017]	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9)
7	A/YL-KTN/535	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm and Caravan Holiday Camp) for a Period of 3 Years	13.1.2017 [revoked on 13.12.2018]	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7),
8	A/YL-KTN/536	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm and Caravan Holiday Camp) for a Period of 3 Years	13.1.2017	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7)
9	A/YL-KTN/571	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) for a Period of 3 Years	8.9.2017	(1), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7)
10	A/YL-KTN/579	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) for a Period of 3 Years	22.12.2017	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8)
11	A/YL-KTN/609	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) for a Period of 3 Years	3.8.2018	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8)

	Application No.	Proposed Use	<u>Date of</u> <u>Consideration</u> (RNTPC/TPB)	Approval Conditions
12	A/YL-KTN/610	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) for a Period of 3 Years	3.8.2018	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8)
13	A/YL-KTN/615	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) for a Period of 3 Years	16.11.2018	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8)
14	A/YL-KTN/620	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) for a Period of 3 Years	7.9.2018	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8)
15	A/YL-KTN/636	Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) for a Period of 3 Years	21.12.2018	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8)

#### Approval Conditions:

- (1) Restriction on operation hours/time / no reversing of vehicles into or out of the site or queuing of vehicles onto public road
- (2) Submission and implementation of landscape and tree preservation proposal/ implementation of the accepted landscape proposal
- (3) Submission and implementation of drainage proposal
- (4) Submission and implementation of fire service installations proposal
- (5) Revocation of the planning approval if any of the planning conditions is not complied by the specified date or during the planning approval period
- (6) Reinstatement of the site to an amenity area or to the original state
- (7) No public announcement system, portable loudspeaker or any form or audio amplification system on the site
- (8) No medium or heavy goods vehicles exceeding 5.5 tonnes, including container tractors/trailers, are allowed to be parked/stored on or enter the site
- (9) Submission of a proposal and implementation to provide buffer planting along the northern boundary in order to screen potential disturbance to the adjacent drainage channel
- (10) Submission and implementation of a revised layout plan with reduced paved area

#### Rejected Application

	Application No.	Proposed Use	<u>Date of</u> <u>Consideration</u> (RNTPC/TPB)	Rejection Reason (s)
1	A/YL-KTN/394	Proposed temporary field study/education centre and hobby farm for 5 years	14.6.2013 [on review]	(1), (2), (3), (4)

#### Rejection Reasons

- (1) the site is the subject of unauthorized land filling
- (2) there is no detailed information provided regarding the design and operation of the proposed development particularly the hobby farm, field study/education centre and the office with porch/ on the boundary of war game activities, types of gun and bullet used and the associated safety rules and regulations. The potential impacts of the development could not be properly assessed.
- (3) the applicant fails to demonstrate that the development would not generate adverse landscape/ drainage/ traffic impacts on the surrounding areas and/or would have adequate fire services installation for fire fighting
- (4) approving the application would set an undesirable precedent for similar applications within the "AGR" and/or "CA" zone, and the cumulative effect of which would result in a general degradation of the rural environment of the area



## Appendix III of RNTPC Paper No. A/YL-KTN/630A

## Detailed Comment from the Chief Engineer/Mainland North, Drainage Services Department (CE/MN, DSD)

### Detailed Comments on the Submitted Drainage Proposal:

- 1. Please indicate clearly the downstream discharge location.
- 2. Cross sections showing the existing ground levels of the Site with respect to the adjacent areas should be given. The level of the channel should be lower than the adjacent ground in order to collect the overland flow.
- 3. Where walls or hoarding are erected and laid along the site boundary, adequate opening should be provided to intercept the existing overland flow passing through the Site.
- 4. The development should neither obstruct overland flow nor adversely affect existing natural streams, village drains, ditches and the adjacent areas, etc.
- 5. The applicant should consult DLO/YL and seek consent from the relevant owners for any drainage works to be carried out outside his lot boundary before commencement of the drainage works.



### Appendix V of RNTPC Paper No. A/YL-KTN/630A

### **Advisory Clauses**

- (a) resolve any land issue relating to the development with the concerned owner(s) of the Site;
- (b) note DLO/YL, LandsD's comments that the Site comprises an Old Schedule Agricultural Lot held under the Block Government Lease which contains the restriction that no structures are allowed to be erected without the prior approval of the Government. Site is accessible to Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi via Government Land (GL) and private land. His office provides no maintenance work for the GL involved and does not guarantee any right-of-way over the GL to the Site. The Site falls within Shek Kong Airfield Height Restriction Area (SKAHRA). The height of the proposed structure shall not exceed the relevant airfield height limit within SKAHRA. The lot owner(s) will need to apply to his office to permit the structures to be erected or regularize any irregularities on site, if any. Besides, given the proposed use is temporary in nature, only application for regularization or erection of temporary structure(s) will be considered. No construction of New Territories Exempted Building(s) will be considered or allowed. Applications for any of the above will be considered by LandsD acting in the capacity as landlord or lessor at its sole discretion and there is no guarantee that such application will be approved. If such application(s) is approved, it will be subject to such terms and conditions, including among others the payment of premium or fee, as may be imposed by the LandsD;
- (c) note C for T's comments that the Site is connected to the public road network via a section of a local access road which is not managed by the Transport Department (TD). The land status of the local access road should be checked with LandsD. Moreover, the management and maintenance responsibilities of the local access road should be clarified with the relevant lands and maintenance authorities accordingly;
- (d) note CHE/NTW, HyD's comments that his department does not and will not maintain any access connecting the Site and Castle Peak Road Tam Mi or Chi Ho Road. The applicant should be responsible for his own access arrangement. Adequate drainage measures should be provided to prevent surface water running from the Site to the nearby public roads and drains;
- (e) note DEP's comments that the applicant should follow the relevant mitigation measures and requirements in the latest "Code of Practice on Handling the Environmental Aspects of Temporary Uses and Open Storage Sites" to minimize any potential environmental nuisance. Adequate supporting infrastructure/ facilities should be provided for proper collection, treatment and disposal of waste / wastewater generated from the proposed use. If septic tank and soakaway system will be used in case of unavailability of public sewer, its design and construction shall follow the requirements of EPD's Practice Note for Professional Person (ProPECC) PN 5/93 "Drainage Plans subject to Comment by the Environmental Protection Department". It is the obligation of the applicant to meet the statutory requirements under relevant pollution control ordinances and provide necessary mitigation measures;

- (f) note DAFC's comments that note DAFC's comments that the Site was mainly a marsh area in which some wetland dependent wildlife were observed in July 2017. There were also ponds/agricultural land located towards the north of the Site, which supported some waterbirds. Potential impacts to the wetland habitats on/adjoining the Site shall preferably be avoided as far as possible.;
- (g) note CE/MN of DSD's comments that regarding the submitted drainage proposal, downstream discharge location should be clearly indicated. Cross sections showing the existing ground levels of the Site with respect to the adjacent areas should be given. The level of the channel should be lower than the adjacent ground in order to collect the overland flow. Where walls or hoarding are erected are laid along the site boundary, adequate opening should be provided to intercept the existing overland flow passing through the Site. The development should neither obstruct overland flow nor adversely affect existing natural streams, village drains, ditches and the adjacent areas, etc. The applicant should consult DLO/YL and seek consent from the relevant owners for any drainage works to be carried out outside his lot boundary before commencement of the drainage works;
- (h) note D of FS's comments that in consideration of the design/nature of the proposed structures, FSIs are anticipated to be required. Therefore, the applicant is advised to submit relevant layout plans incorporated with the proposed FSIs to his department for approval. The layout plans should be drawn to scale and depicted with dimensions and nature of occupancy. The location of where the proposed FSI to be installed should be clearly marked on the layout plans. The applicant is reminded that if the proposed structure(s) is required to comply with the Buildings Ordinance (Cap. 123), detailed fire service requirements will be formulated upon receipt of formal submission of general building plans;
- (i) note CBS/NTW, BD's comments that before any new building works (including containers/ open sheds as temporary buildings) are to be carried out on the Site, the prior approval and consent of the BD should be obtained, otherwise they are unauthorized building works (UBW). An Authorized Person should be appointed as the co-ordinator for the proposed building works in accordance with the BO. For UBW erected on leased land, enforcement action may be taken by the BD to effect their removal in accordance with BD's enforcement policy against UBW as and when necessary. The granting of any planning approval should not be construed as an acceptance of any existing building works or UBW on the Site under the BO. The Site shall be provided with means of obtaining access thereto from a street and emergency vehicular access in accordance with Regulations 5 and 41D of the Building (Planning) Regulations (B(P)R) respectively. If the Site does not abut on a specified street of not less than 4.5m wide, its permitted development intensity shall be determined under Regulation 19(3) of the B(P)R at the building plan submission stage; and
- (j) note DFEH's comments that if any Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD)'s facility is affected by the development, FEHD's prior consent must be obtained. Reprovisioning of the affected facilities by the project proponent up to the satisfaction of FEHD may be required. Besides, the project proponent should provide sufficient amount of additional recurrent cost for management and maintenance of the reprovisioned facilities to FEHD. Proper licence / permit issued by FEHD is required if there is any food business / catering service / activities regulated by the DFEH under the Public Health and Municipal

Services Ordinance (Cap. 132) and other relevant legislation for the public and the operation of any business should not cause any obstruction. If the proposal involves any commercial/trading activities, no environmental nuisance should be generated to the surroundings. Also, for any waste generated from the commercial/trading activities, the applicant should handle on their own/at their expenses.

