

RNTPC Paper No. A/YL-KTN/624
For Consideration by
the Rural and New Town
Planning Committee
on 19.10.2018

APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION
UNDER SECTION 16 OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE

APPLICATION NO. A/YL-KTN/624

<u>Applicant</u>	: Mr. TANG Wai-Ip
<u>Site</u>	: Lots 1204 and 1208 in D.D. 107, Kam Tin, Yuen Long
<u>Site Area</u>	: 1,243 m ²
<u>Lease</u>	: Block Government Lease (demised for agricultural use)
<u>Plan</u>	: Approved Kam Tin North Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/YL-KTN/9
<u>Zoning</u>	: "Agriculture" ("AGR")
<u>Application</u>	: Proposed Temporary Shop and Services (Plant Showroom) for a Period of 3 Years

1. The Proposal

- 1.1 The applicant seeks planning permission for proposed temporary shop and services (plant showroom) for a period of 3 years at the application site (the Site). The Site is currently vacant and covered by sands and grass (Plans A-2 and A-4). The Site is not subject to any previous application.
- 1.2 According to the applicant, the proposed development consists of a one-storey structure with building height not exceeding 5m and total floor area of about 286m² for plant showroom. Three car parking spaces for private car and light goods vehicle and one loading/unloading space for vehicle not exceeding 24 tonnes will be provided within the Site. The proposed development will be operated from 9:00 am to 6:00 pm daily including public holidays. The proposed plant showroom is for wholesale purpose and will display plant samples. If any purchase is made, the plants will be delivered to the Site for pick up by the customers. Plants will also be kept at the Site for the customers if necessary. Appointment will be needed to visit the proposed plant showroom. The Site is

accessible from Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi via a local track. The layout plan, drainage plan, landscape plan and vehicular access plan submitted by the applicant are at **Drawings A-1 and A-4**.

1.3 In support of the application, the applicant has submitted the following document:

- (a) Application Form with plans received on 20.8.2018 (**Appendix I**)
- (b) Further Information (FI) received on 18.9.2018 (**Appendix Ia**)
clarifying the traffic arrangement and trip generation
to the Site
*(accepted and exempted from publication and
recounting requirements)*
- (c) FI received on 5.10.2018 clarifying the operation (**Appendix Ib**)
details
*(accepted and exempted from publication and
recounting requirements)*

2. Justifications from the Applicant

The justifications put forth by the applicant in support of the application are detailed in section 9 of the application form in **Appendix I**. They can be summarized as follows:

- 2.1 The proposed development is temporary in nature with no permanent structure on site which will not jeopardize the long term planning intention of the area and the Site is easily reinstated. Several applications for plant showrooms in the vicinity of the Site have been approved by the Rural and New Town Planning Committee (the Committee).
- 2.2 The applicant will provide landscaping and drainage facilities with environmental mitigation measures to enhance the environment nearby and to minimize flooding. Adverse impact on existing road is not anticipated.

3. Compliance with the “Owner’s Consent/Notification” Requirements

The applicant is not a “current land owner” but has complied with the requirements as set out in the Town Planning Board Guidelines on Satisfying the “Owner’s Consent/Notification” Requirements under Sections 12A and 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (TPB PG-No. 31) by posting site notice and sending the notification letter to the Kam Tin Rural Committee by registered mail. Detailed information would be deposited at the meeting for Members’ inspection.

4. Background

The Site is subject to planning enforcement action (No. E/YL-KTN/467) against unauthorized development (UD) involving filling of land. Enforcement Notice (EN) was issued on 19.7.2018 requiring discontinuation of the UD. Subsequently, the site inspections revealed that the UD was discontinued upon the expiry of the EN. The Site would be kept under close monitoring for further action, if necessary.

5. Previous Application

There is no previous application at the Site.

6. Similar Applications

- 6.1 There are six similar applications (No. A/YL-KTN/413, 446, 447, 448, 540 and 541) for temporary shop and services (plant showroom) within the same "AGR" zone. Details of the applications are summarized in **Appendix II** and their locations are shown on **Plan A-1**.
- 6.2 Application Nos. A/YL-KTN/413 and 540 covering the same site for temporary shop and services (plant showroom) for a period of 3 years were approved with conditions by the Committee on 6.9.2013 and 11.11.2016 respectively mainly for the reasons that the developments were not incompatible with the surrounding land uses; temporary approval would not jeopardize the long-term planning intention of the "AGR" zone; relevant departments including Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation (DAFC), except Director of Environmental Protection (DEP), had no adverse comment and the concern of DEP could be addressed by approval conditions (for Application No. A/YL-KTN/413); and relevant departments had no adverse comment on the application (for Application No. A/YL-KTN/540).
- 6.3 Application Nos. A/YL-KTN/446, 447, 448 and 541 involve 4 sites for temporary shop and services (plant showroom) for a period of 3 years were approved with conditions by the Committee on 22.8.2014 (for Applications No. A/YL-KTN/446 and 447), 26.9.2014 and 12.5.2017 respectively mainly for the reasons that temporary approval would not jeopardize the long-term planning intention of the "AGR" zone; the proposed developments were not incompatible with the surrounding areas; relevant departments including DAFC, except DEP, had no adverse comment and the concern of EPD could be addressed by approval conditions (for application nos. 446, 447 and 448) and relevant departments had no adverse comment on the application (for Application No. A/YL-KTN/541). Applications no. A/YL-KTN/446 and 447 were revoked on 22.11.2015 and Application No. A/YL-KTN/448 was revoked on 26.12.2015 due to non-compliance with approval conditions in respect of provision of

fencing and submission/implementation of landscape, drainage and fire services installations proposals.

7. The Site and Its Surrounding Areas (Plans A-1 to A-4b)

7.1 The Site is:

- (a) vacant and covered by sands and grass; and
- (b) accessible from Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi via a local track.

7.2 The surrounding areas are predominately rural in character predominated by residential dwellings/structures, open storage/ storage yards and vacant/unused land:

- (a) to its immediate north is a piece of unused land. Further north are some residential dwellings/structures, open storage yard and unused land within the “Industrial (Group D)” zone;
- (b) to its east and southeast are residential dwellings/structures, open storage/storage yards and vacant/unused land; and
- (c) to its south and west are residential dwellings/structures (the nearest about 5m), fallow agricultural land and vacant/unused land. To the further south are some active agricultural uses (Plan A-3).

8. Planning Intention

The planning intention of the “AGR” zone is to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes. It is also intended to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes.

9. Comments from Relevant Government Departments

- 9.1 The following Government departments have been consulted and their views on the application are summarized as follows:

Land Administration

- 9.1.1 Comments of the District Lands Officer, Yuen Long, Lands Department (DLO/YL, LandsD):

- (a) The Site comprises Old Schedule Agricultural Lots held under

the Block Government Lease which contains the restriction that no structures are allowed to be erected without the prior approval of the Government.

- (b) The Site is accessible from Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi via Government Land (GL) and private land. His office provides no maintenance work for the GL involved and does not guarantee any right-of-way over the GL to the Site.
- (c) The Site falls within Shek Kong Airfield Height Restriction Area (SKAHRA). The height of the proposed structure shall not exceed the relevant airfield height limit within SKAHRA.
- (d) Should the application be approved, the lot owner(s) will need to apply to his office to permit the structures to be erected or regularize any irregularities on site, if any. Besides, given the proposed use is temporary in nature, only application for regularization or erection of temporary structure(s) will be considered. No construction of New Territories Exempted Building(s) will be considered or allowed. Applications for any of the above will be considered by LandsD acting in the capacity as landlord or lessor at its sole discretion and there is no guarantee that such application will be approved. If such application(s) is approved, it will be subject to such terms and conditions, including among others the payment of premium or fee, as may be imposed by the LandsD.

Traffic

9.1.2 Comments of the Commissioner for Transport (C for T):

- (a) He has no comment on the application from traffic engineering point of view.
- (b) Should the application be approved, approval condition on no vehicle is allowed to queue back to or reverse onto/from public road at any time during the planning approval period should be included.
- (c) The Site is connected to the public road network via a section of a local access road which is not managed by Transport Department. The land status of the local access road should be checked with the LandsD. Moreover, the management and maintenance responsibilities of the local access road should be clarified with the relevant lands and maintenance authorities accordingly.

9.1.3 Comments of the Chief Highways Engineer/ New Territories West, Highways Department (CHE/NTW, HyD):

- (a) His department does not and will not maintain any access connecting the Site and Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi.
- (b) Adequate drainage measures should be provided to prevent surface water running from the Site to the nearby public roads and drains.

Environment

9.1.4 Comments of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP):

- (a) Two substantiated environmental complaints on waste aspect in 2017 and 2018 were received.
- (b) In accordance with the latest “Code of Practice on Handling the Environmental Aspect of Temporary Uses and Open Storage Sites” (the COP), he does not support the application as there are sensitive receivers nearby, i.e. residential dwellings (the nearest at its immediate south within 5m) (Plan A-2) and the proposal will generate traffic of heavy vehicles within 50m from residential dwellings, environmental nuisance is expected.
- (c) Should the application be approved, the applicant is advised to follow the relevant mitigation measures and requirements in the latest “Code of Practice on Handling the Environmental Aspects of Temporary uses and Open Storage Sites” issued by DEP.

Landscape

9.1.5 Comments of the Chief Town Planner/Urban Design and Landscape, Planning Department (CTP/UD&L, PlanD):

- (a) She has some reservations to the application from the landscape planning point of view.
- (b) The surrounding area is rural fringe in landscape character occupied with some temporary structures and scattered tree groups. The proposed use is not entirely incompatible with the landscape setting in proximity.
- (c) According to the site visit in September 2018, there is no significant vegetation observed within the site boundary. It is

anticipated that landscape impact from the proposed development would be insubstantial. Although no information on the ground surface treatment within the Site is shown, it is anticipated that soil compaction would be incurred as large portion of the Site would be occupied by the proposed "plant showroom", "parking area" and "drop-off area". Compacted soil would inhibit vegetation establishment in future, and the proposed use deviate from the planning intention for "AGR" zone to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land / farm/ fish ponds for agricultural purposes, and to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purpose.

- (d) Should the application be approved, approval conditions on submission and implementation of landscape proposal to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning or of the Board are recommended.

Agriculture and Nature Conservation

9.1.6 Comments of the Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation (DAFC):

- (a) The application lots were involved in a case of suspected unauthorised land filling. A mixture of sand, rocks, rubbish and construction wastes were found on the Site. However, the agricultural activities in the vicinity are active and agricultural infrastructures such as footpath and water source are available. As the Site possesses a potential for agricultural rehabilitation, he does not support the application from agricultural point of view.
- (b) A watercourse is found nearby the proposed access of the Site (Plan A-2). While it may have been affected by land filling/alterations previously, further culverting, filling, alterations and pollution to abutting watercourses should be avoided as far as possible. In such connection, the proposed vehicular access to the east of the Site crossing over the abutting watercourse (which culverting/ alterations of the watercourses maybe necessary) is not favored from nature conservation point of view.

Drainage

9.1.7 Comments of the Chief Engineer/Mainland North, Drainage Services Department (CE/MN, DSD):

- (a) He has no in-principle objection to the proposed development from public drainage point of view.
- (b) Should the application be approved, approval conditions requiring the submission, implementation and maintenance of a drainage proposal for the development to the satisfaction of the Director of Drainage Services or of the Board should be included.
- (c) Detailed comments on the drainage proposal are at **Appendix III**.

Building Matters

9.1.8 Comments of the Chief Building Surveyor/New Territories West, Buildings Department (CBS/NTW, BD):

- (a) If the existing structures (not being a New Territories Exempted House) are erected on leased land without the approval of the BD, they are unauthorized building works (UBW) under the Buildings Ordinance (BO) and should not be designated for any proposed use under the application.
- (b) For UBW erected on leased land, enforcement action may be taken by the BD to effect their removal in accordance with BD's enforcement policy against UBW as and when necessary. The granting of any planning approval should not be construed as an acceptance of any existing building works or UBW on the Site under the BO.
- (c) Before any new building works (including containers/ open sheds as temporary buildings and land filling) are to be carried out on the Site, prior approval and consent of the BD should be obtained, otherwise they are UBW. An Authorized Person should be appointed as the co-ordinator for the proposed building works in accordance with the BO.
- (d) The Site shall be provided with means of obtaining access thereto from a street and emergency vehicular access in accordance with Regulations 5 and 41D of the Building (Planning) Regulations (B(P)R) respectively.

- (e) If the Site does not abut on a specified street of not less than 4.5m wide, its permitted development intensity shall be determined under Regulation 19(3) of the B(P)R at the building plan submission stage.

Fire Safety

9.1.9 Comments of the Director of Fire Services (D of FS):

- (a) He has no in-principle objection to the application subject to fire service installations (FSIs) being provided to his satisfaction.
- (b) In consideration of the design/nature of the proposal, FSIs are anticipated to be required. Therefore, the applicant is advised to submit relevant layout plans incorporated with the proposed FSIs to his department for approval. The layout plans should be drawn to scale and depicted with dimensions and nature of occupancy. The location of where the proposed FSI to be installed should be clearly marked on the layout plans.
- (c) The applicant is reminded that if the proposed structure(s) is required to comply with the BO (Cap. 123), detailed fire service requirements will be formulated upon receipt of formal submission of general building plans.

Electricity

9.1.10 Comments of the Director of Electrical and Mechanical Services (DEMS):

- (a) He has no particular comment on the application from electricity supply safety aspect.
- (b) In the interests of public safety and ensuring the continuity of electricity supply, the parties concerned with planning, designing, organizing and supervising any activity near the underground cable or overhead line under the application should approach the electricity supplier (i.e. CLP Power) for the requisition of cable plans (and overhead line alignment drawings, where applicable) to find out whether there is any underground cable and/or overhead line within and/or in the vicinity of the Site. They should also be reminded to observe the Electricity Supply Lines (Protection) Regulation and the "Code of Practice on Working near Electricity Supply Lines"

established under the Regulation when carrying out works in the vicinity of the electricity supply lines.

District Officer's Comments

9.1.11 Comments of the District Officer (Yuen Long), Home Affairs Department (DO(YL), HAD):

His office has not received any local's comment on the application and has no comment on the application.

9.2 The following Government departments have no comment on the application:

- (a) Chief Engineer/Construction, Water Supplies Department (CE/C, WSD);
- (b) Project Manager/West, Civil Engineering and Development Department (PM/W, CEDD); and
- (c) Commissioner of Police (C of P).

10. Public Comments Received During Statutory Publication Period

10.1 On 28.8.2018, the application was published for public inspection. During the first three weeks of the statutory public inspection period, which ended on 18.9.2018, five public comments from The Hong Kong Bird Watching Society, World Wide Fund for Nature Hong Kong, Kadoorie Farm & Botanic Garden Corporation, Designing Hong Kong and a general public were received (Appendices IV-1 to IV-5).

10.2 All commenters object the application mainly on the grounds that the proposed development is not in line with the planning intention of the "AGR" zone; the Site is a suspected "Destroy First, Build Later" case; the Site involved an enforcement case of UD and not appropriate to approve unauthorized use at the Site; approval of the application will set undesirable precedent for similar applications and cumulative effect would result in degradation of the agricultural land and the application should be rejected to phase out brownfield operation.

11. Planning Considerations and Assessments

11.1 The Site is located in "AGR" zone which is intended to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes, and to retain fallow land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. The proposed shop and services (plant showroom) is not entirely in line with the planning intention of the "AGR" zone. DAFC does not support the application from agricultural point of view as agricultural activities in

the vicinity are active, agricultural infrastructures are available and the Site possess potential for agricultural rehabilitation. CTP/UD&L of PlanD also has some reservation on the application as the proposed structure, parking and loading/unloading areas will occupy large portion of the Site and soil compaction is anticipated, which would inhibit vegetation establishment in future, and deviate from the planning intention for "AGR" zone. No strong planning justification has been given in the submission to justify for a departure from the planning intention, even on a temporary basis.

- 11.2 The surrounding area of the Site is predominated by residential dwellings/structures, fallow/active agriculture land with scattered open storage/storage yards and vacant/unused land. DEP does not support the application as there are sensitive receivers nearby, i.e. residential dwellings (the nearest at its immediate south within 5m) (Plan A-2) and the proposal will generate traffic of heavy vehicle within 50m from residential dwellings, environmental nuisance is expected. In addition, DAFC advised that the proposed vehicular access to the east of the Site crossing over the abutting watercourse (Plan A-2) is not favored from nature conservation point of view.
- 11.3 Although there are six similar applications (No. A/YL-KTN/413, 446, 447, 448, 540 and 541) for temporary shop and services (plant showroom) approved with conditions by the Committee in 2013 to 2017 (paragraph 6 and Plans A-1 refer), these applications are subject to different circumstances than the current application as no adverse comments were raised by DAFC and CTP/UD&L of PlanD on these similar applications, and DAFC advised that the concerned sites were located in areas with low potential for agricultural rehabilitation.
- 11.4 Five public comments objecting to the application were received during the statutory publication period as stated in paragraph 10 above. In this regard, the planning assessments and considerations above are relevant.

12. Planning Department's Views

- 12.1 Based on the assessments made in paragraph 11 and having taken into account the public comments mentioned in paragraph 10 above, the Planning Department does not support the application for the following reasons:
 - (a) the proposed development is not in line with the planning intention of the "AGR" zone which is to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes. It is also intended to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. No strong justification has been given in the submission for a departure from the planning intention, even on temporary basis; and

- (b) the applicant fails to demonstrate that the proposed development would not generate environmental nuisance on the surrounding areas.

12.2 Alternatively, should the Committee decide to approve the application, it is suggested that the permission shall be valid on a temporary basis for a period of 3 years until 19.10.2021. The following conditions of approval and advisory clauses are also suggested for Members' reference:

Approval Conditions

- (a) no operation between 6:00 p.m. and 9:00 a.m., as proposed by the applicant, is allowed on the Site during the planning approval period;
- (b) no heavy goods vehicles exceeding 24 tonnes, including container tractors/trailers as defined in the Road Traffic Ordinance, as proposed by the applicant, are allowed to be parked/stored on or enter/exit the Site at any time during the planning approval period;
- (c) no vehicle is allowed to queue back to or reverse onto/from public road at any time during the planning approval period;
- (d) the submission of landscape proposal within 6 months from the date of the planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning or of the Town Planning Board by 19.4.2019;
- (e) in relation to (d) above, the implementation of landscape proposal within 9 months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning or of the Town Planning Board by 19.7.2019;
- (f) the submission of drainage proposal within 6 months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Drainage Services or of the Town Planning Board by 19.4.2019;
- (g) in relation to (f) above, the implementation of drainage proposal within 9 months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Drainage Services or of the Town Planning Board by 19.7.2019;
- (h) in relation to (g) above, the implemented drainage facilities on the Site shall be maintained at all times during the planning approval period;
- (i) the submission of fire service installations proposal within 6 months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Fire Services or of the Town Planning Board by 19.4.2019;

- (j) in relation to (i) above, the provision of fire service installations within 9 months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Fire Services or of the Town Planning Board by 19.7.2019;
- (k) if any of the above planning conditions (a), (b), (c) or (h) is not complied with during the planning approval period, the approval hereby given shall cease to have effect and shall be revoked immediately without further notice;
- (l) if any of the above planning conditions (d), (e), (f), (g), (i) or (j) is not complied with by the specified date, the approval hereby given shall cease to have effect and shall on the same date be revoked without further notice; and
- (m) upon expiry of the planning permission, the reinstatement of the Site to an amenity area to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning or of the Town Planning Board.

Advisory Clauses

The recommended advisory clauses are attached at **Appendix V**.

13. Decision Sought

- 13.1 The Committee is invited to consider the application and decide whether to grant or refuse to grant permission.
- 13.2 Should the Committee decide to approve the application, Members are invited to consider the approval condition(s) and advisory clause(s), if any, to be attached to the permission, and the period of which the permission should be valid on a temporary basis.
- 13.3 Alternatively, should the Committee decide to reject the application, Members are invited to advise what reason(s) for rejection should be given to the applicant.

14. Attachments

Appendix I	Application Form with plans received on 20.8.2018
Appendix Ia	FI received on 18.9.2018
Appendix Ib	FI received on 5.10.2018
Appendix II	Similar Applications within the same "AGR" zone on the Kam Tin North OZP

Appendix III	Detailed comments from CE/MN, DSD
Appendices IV-1 to IV-5	Public comments received during statutory publication period
Appendix V	Advisory clauses
Drawing A-1	Layout Plan
Drawing A-2	Drainage Plan
Drawing A-3	Landscape Plan
Drawing A-4	Vehicular Access Plan
Plan A-1	Location Plan with Similar Applications
Plan A-2	Site Plan
Plan A-3	Aerial Photo
Plans A-4a to 4b	Site Photos

**PLANNING DEPARTMENT
OCTOBER 2018**

Appendix II of RNTPC
Paper No. A/YL-KTN/624

Similar Applications within the same “AGR” zone on
Kam Tin North OZP

Approved Applications

	<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Proposed Use(s)</u>	<u>Date of Consideration</u> <u>(RNTPC/TPB)</u>	<u>Approval</u> <u>Condition (s)</u>
1	A/YL-KTN/413	Temporary Shop and Services (Plant Showroom) for a Period of 3 Years	6.9.2013	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7)
2	A/YL-KTN/446	Temporary Shop and Services (Plant Showroom) for a Period of 3 Years	22.8.2014 [revoked on 22.11.2015]	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8)
3	A/YL-KTN/447	Temporary Shop and Services (Plant Showroom) for a Period of 3 Years	22.8.2014 [revoked on 22.11.2015]	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8)
4	A/YL-KTN/448	Temporary Shop and Services (Plant Showroom) for a Period of 3 Years	26.9.2014 [revoked on 26.12.2015]	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8)
5	A/YL-KTN/540	Temporary Shop and Services (Plant Showroom) for a Period of 3 Years	11.11.2016	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (9)
6	A/YL-KTN/541	Proposed Temporary Shop and Services (Plant Showroom) for a Period of 3 Years	12.5.2017	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9)

Approval conditions

- (1) Restriction on operation hours/time
- (2) no medium or heavy goods vehicles exceeding 5.5/24 tonnes including container tractors/trailers were allowed to be parked/stored on or enter/exit the site
- (3) Submission and/or implementation of landscaping/ tree preservation proposal
- (4) Submission and/or implementation of drainage proposal
- (5) Submission and/or implementation of fire service installations proposal
- (6) Revocation of the planning approval if any of the planning conditions is not complied with by the specified dated or during the planning approval period
- (7) Reinstatement of the site to an amenity area or to the original state
- (8) Provision or maintenance of boundary fencing
- (9) No reversing of vehicle into or out from the site is allowed

Appendix III of RNTPC
Paper No. A/YL-KTN/624

Detailed Comments from the Chief Engineer/ Mainland North, Drainage Services Department (CE/MN, DSD)

Comments on drainage proposal:

1. All the proposed works must be located 3m away from the natural water course.
2. Cross sections showing the existing ground levels of the Site with respect to the adjacent areas should be given. The level of the channel should be lower than the adjacent ground in order to collect the overland flow.
3. Where walls or hoarding are erected are laid along the site boundary, adequate opening should be provided to intercept the existing overland flow passing through the Site.
4. The development should neither obstruct overland flow nor adversely affect existing natural streams, village drains, ditches and the adjacent areas, etc.
5. The applicant should consult DLO/YL and seek consent from the relevant owners for any drainage works to be carried out outside his lot boundary before commencement of the drainage works.

Advisory Clauses

- (a) resolve any land issues relating to the development with the concerned owner(s) of the Site;
- (b) the permission is given to the development/use under application. It does not condone any other development/use which are not covered by the application;
- (c) note DLO/YL, LandsD's comments that the Site comprises Old Schedule Agricultural Lots held under the Block Government Lease which contains the restriction that no structures are allowed to be erected without the prior approval of the Government. The Site is accessible from Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi via Government Land (GL) and private land. His office provides no maintenance work for the GL involved and does not guarantee any right-of-way over the GL to the Site. The Site falls within Shek Kong Airfield Height Restriction Area (SKAHRA). The height of the proposed structure shall not exceed the relevant airfield height limit within SKAHRA. The lot owner(s) will need to apply to his office to permit the structures to be erected or regularize any irregularities on site, if any. Besides, given the proposed use is temporary in nature, only application for regularization or erection of temporary structure(s) will be considered. No construction of New Territories Exempted Building(s) will be considered or allowed. Applications for any of the above will be considered by LandsD acting in the capacity as landlord or lessor at its sole discretion and there is no guarantee that such application will be approved. If such application(s) is approved, it will be subject to such terms and conditions, including among others the payment of premium or fee, as may be imposed by the LandsD;
- (d) note C for T's comments that the Site is connected to the public road network via a section of a local access road which is not managed by Transport Department. The land status of the local access road should be checked with the LandsD. Moreover, the management and maintenance responsibilities of the local access road should be clarified with the relevant lands and maintenance authorities accordingly;
- (e) note CHE/NTW, HyD's comments that his department does not and will not maintain any access connecting the Site and Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi. Adequate drainage measures should be provided to prevent surface water running from the Site to the nearby public roads and drains;
- (f) follow the relevant mitigation measures and requirements in the latest "Code of Practice on Handling Environmental Aspects of Open Storage and Temporary Uses" issued by the DEP;
- (g) note CE/MN, DSD's comments on the drainage proposal that all the proposed works must be located 3m away from the natural water course. Cross sections showing the existing ground levels of the Site with respect to the adjacent areas should be given.

The level of the channel should be lower than the adjacent ground in order to collect the overland flow. Where walls or hoarding are erected are laid along the site boundary, adequate opening should be provided to intercept the existing overland flow passing through the Site. The development should neither obstruct overland flow nor adversely affect existing natural streams, village drains, ditches and the adjacent areas, etc. The applicant should consult DLO/YL and seek consent from the relevant owners for any drainage works to be carried out outside his lot boundary before commencement of the drainage works;

- (h) note CBS/NTW, BD's comments that if the existing structures (not being a New Territories Exempted House) are erected on leased land without the approval of the BD, they are unauthorized building works (UBW) under the Buildings Ordinance (BO) and should not be designated for any proposed use under the application. For UBW erected on leased land, enforcement action may be taken by the BD to effect their removal in accordance with BD's enforcement policy against UBW as and when necessary. The granting of any planning approval should not be construed as an acceptance of any existing building works or UBW on the Site under the BO. Before any new building works (including containers/ open sheds as temporary buildings and land filling) are to be carried out on the Site, prior approval and consent of the BD should be obtained, otherwise they are UBW. An Authorized Person should be appointed as the co-ordinator for the proposed building works in accordance with the BO. The Site shall be provided with means of obtaining access thereto from a street and emergency vehicular access in accordance with Regulations 5 and 41D of the Building (Planning) Regulations (B(P)R) respectively. If the Site does not abut on a specified street of not less than 4.5m wide, its permitted development intensity shall be determined under Regulation 19(3) of the B(P)R at the building plan submission stage;
- (i) note D of FS's comments that in consideration of the design/nature of the proposals, FSIs are anticipated to be required. Therefore, the applicant is advised to submit relevant layout plans incorporated with the proposed FSIs to his department for approval. The layout plans should be drawn to scale and depicted with dimensions and nature of occupancy. The location of where the proposed FSI to be installed should be clearly marked on the layout plans. If the proposed structure(s) is required to comply with the BO (Cap. 123), detailed fire safety requirements will be formulated upon receipt of formal submission of general building plans; and
- (j) note DEMS's comments that in the interests of public safety and ensuring the continuity of electricity supply, the parties concerned with planning, designing, organizing and supervising any activity near the underground cable or overhead line under the application should approach the electricity supplier (i.e. CLP Power) for the requisition of cable plans (and overhead line alignment drawings, where applicable) to find out whether there is any underground cable and/or overhead line within and/or in the vicinity of the Site. They should also be reminded to observe the Electricity Supply Lines (Protection) Regulation and the "Code of Practice on Working near Electricity Supply Lines" established under the Regulation when carrying out works in the vicinity of the electricity supply lines.

TOWN PLANNING BOARD

**Minutes of 613th Meeting of the
Rural and New Town Planning Committee held at 2:30 p.m. on 19.10.2018**

Present

Director of Planning
Mr Raymond K.W. Lee

Chairman

Mr H.W. Cheung

Vice-chairman

Dr F.C. Chan

Mr Peter K.T. Yuen

Mr Philip S.L. Kan

Mr K.K. Cheung

Dr Lawrence K.C. Li

Mr Stephen L.H. Liu

Miss Winnie W.M. Ng

Mr K.W. Leung

Dr Jeanne C.Y. Ng

Mr Ricky W.Y. Yu

applicant. The Committee agreed that the application should be submitted for its consideration within two months from the date of receipt of further information from the applicant. If the further information submitted by the applicant was not substantial and could be processed within a shorter time, the application could be submitted to an earlier meeting for the Committee's consideration. The Committee also agreed to advise the applicant that two months were allowed for preparation of the submission of the further information, and no further deferment would be granted unless under very special circumstances.

[Ms Ivy C.W. Wong and Ms Emily P.W. Tong, Senior Town Planners/Fanling, Sheung Shui and Yuen Long East (STPs/FSYLE), were invited to the meeting at this point.]

Agenda Item 28

Section 16 Application

[Open Meeting (Presentation and Question Sessions Only)]

A/YL-KTN/624 Proposed Temporary Shop and Services (Plant Showroom) for a Period
of 3 Years in "Agriculture" Zone, Lots 1204 and 1208 in D.D. 107,
Kam Tin, Yuen Long
(RNTPC Paper No. A/YL-KTN/624)

Presentation and Question Sessions

101. Ms Ivy C.W. Wong, STP/FSYLE, presented the application and covered the following aspects as detailed in the Paper:

- (a) background to the application;
- (b) the proposed temporary shop and services (plant showroom) for a period of three years;
- (c) departmental comments – departmental comments were set out in paragraph 9 and Appendix III of the Paper. The Director of

Environmental Protection (DEP) did not support the application as there were sensitive receivers nearby and environmental nuisance was expected. Two substantiated complaints on waste aspect in 2017 and 2018 were received. The Chief Town Planner/Urban Design and Landscape, Planning Department (CTP/UD&L, PlanD) had some reservation on the application as soil compaction was anticipated which would inhibit vegetation establishment in future, and the proposed use deviated from the planning intention of "Agriculture" ("AGR") zone. The Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation (DAFC) did not support the application as the site possessed potential for agricultural rehabilitation. The proposed vehicular access to the east of the site crossing over the abutting watercourse was also not favoured. Other concerned government departments had no objection to or no adverse comment on the applications;

- (d) during the first three weeks of the statutory publication period, five public comments objecting to the application were received from the Hong Kong Bird Watching Society, World Wide Fund for Nature Hong Kong, Kadoorie Farm and Botanic Garden, Designing Hong Kong and one individual. The major objection grounds were set out in paragraph 10 of the Paper; and
- (e) the Planning Department (PlanD)'s views – PlanD did not support the application based on the assessments set out in paragraph 11 of the Paper. The proposed shop and services (plant showroom) was not entirely in line with the planning intention of the "Agriculture" ("AGR") zone. DAFC and DEP did not support the application while the CTP/UD&L, PlanD had reservation on the application. No strong planning justification had been given in the submission to justify for a departure from the planning intention, even on a temporary basis. Although there were similar applications approved by the Committee, these applications were subject to different circumstances from the current application in that no adverse comments were raised by DAFC and CTP/UD&L, PlanD. Regarding the adverse public comments, comments of concerned departments and the

planning assessments above were relevant.

102. Members had no question on the application.

Deliberation Session

103. After deliberation, the Committee decided to reject the application. The reasons were:

- “(a) the proposed development is not in line with the planning intention of the “Agriculture” zone which is to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes. It is also intended to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. There is no strong justification in the submission for a departure from the planning intention, even on temporary basis; and
- (b) the applicant fails to demonstrate that the proposed development will not generate environmental nuisance on the surrounding areas.”

Agenda Item 29

Section 16 Application

[Open Meeting]

A/YL-KTN/626 Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) for a Period of 3 Years in “Agriculture” Zone, Lot 1397 in D.D. 107, Kam Tin, Yuen Long
(RNTPC Paper No. A/YL-KTN/626)

104. The Committee noted that the applicant requested on 12.10.2018 deferment of the consideration of the application for two months in order to allow time for preparation of responses to address departmental concerns. It was the first time that the applicant requested deferment of the application.

城市規劃委員會

香港北角渣華道三百三十三號
北角政府合署十五樓

TOWN PLANNING BOARD

15/F., North Point Government Offices
333 Java Road, North Point,
Hong Kong.

傳真 Fax: 2877 0245 / 2522 8426

By Post

電話 Tel: 2231 4810

來函編號 Your Reference:

覆函請註明本會編號

In reply please quote this ref.: TPB/A/YL-KTN/624

2 November 2018

Tang Wai Ip

Yuen Long, New Territories

Dear Sir/Madam,

**Proposed Temporary Shop and Services (Plant Showroom) for a Period of 3 Years
in "Agriculture" Zone, Lots 1204 and 1208 in D.D. 107, Kam Tin, Yuen Long**

I refer to my letter to you dated 11.10.2018.

After giving consideration to the application, the Town Planning Board (TPB) decided to reject the application and the reasons are :

- (a) the proposed development is not in line with the planning intention of the "Agriculture" zone which is to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes. It is also intended to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. There is no strong justification in the submission for a departure from the planning intention, even on temporary basis; and
- (b) you fail to demonstrate that the proposed development will not generate environmental nuisance on the surrounding areas.

A copy of the TPB Paper in respect of the application (except the supplementary planning statement/technical report(s), if any) and the relevant extract of minutes of the TPB meeting held on 19.10.2018, in both English and Chinese, are enclosed herewith for your reference.

Under section 17(1) of the Town Planning Ordinance, an applicant aggrieved by a decision of the TPB may apply to the TPB for a review of the decision. If you wish to seek a review, you should inform me within 21 days from the date of this letter (on or before 23.11.2018). I will then contact you to arrange a hearing before the TPB which you and/or your authorized representative will be invited to attend. The TPB is required to consider a review application within three months of receipt of the application for review. Please note that any review application will be published for three weeks for public comments.

Under the Town Planning Ordinance, the TPB can only reconsider at the review hearing the original application in the light of further written and/or oral representations.

Should you decide at this stage to materially modify the original proposal, such proposal should be submitted to the TPB in the form of a fresh application under section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance.

If you wish to seek further clarifications/information on matters relating to the above decision, please feel free to contact Ms. Ivy Wong of Fanling, Sheung Shui & Yuen Long East District Planning Office at 2158 6297.

Yours faithfully,



(Raymond KAN)
for Secretary, Town Planning Board

(With Chinese Translation)

Advisory Clauses

- (a) resolve any land issues relating to the development with the concerned owner(s) of the Site;
- (b) the permission is given to the development/use under application. It does not condone any other development/use which are not covered by the application;
- (c) note DLO/YL, LandsD's comments that the Site comprises Old Schedule Agricultural Lots held under the Block Government Lease which contains the restriction that no structures are allowed to be erected without the prior approval of the Government. The Site is accessible from Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi via Government Land (GL) and private land. His office provides no maintenance work for the GL involved and does not guarantee any right-of-way over the GL to the Site. The Site falls within Shek Kong Airfield Height Restriction Area (SKAHRA). The height of the proposed structure shall not exceed the relevant airfield height limit within SKAHRA. The lot owner(s) will need to apply to his office to permit the structures to be erected or regularize any irregularities on site, if any. Besides, given the proposed use is temporary in nature, only application for regularization or erection of temporary structure(s) will be considered. No construction of New Territories Exempted Building(s) will be considered or allowed. Applications for any of the above will be considered by LandsD acting in the capacity as landlord or lessor at its sole discretion and there is no guarantee that such application will be approved. If such application(s) is approved, it will be subject to such terms and conditions, including among others the payment of premium or fee, as may be imposed by the LandsD;
- (d) note C for T's comments that the Site is connected to the public road network via a section of a local access road which is not managed by Transport Department. The land status of the local access road should be checked with the LandsD. Moreover, the management and maintenance responsibilities of the local access road should be clarified with the relevant lands and maintenance authorities accordingly;
- (e) note CHE/NTW, HyD's comments that his department does not and will not maintain any access connecting the Site and Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi. Adequate drainage measures should be provided to prevent surface water running from the Site to the nearby public roads and drains;
- (f) follow the relevant mitigation measures and requirements in the latest "Code of Practice on Handling the Environmental Aspects of Open Storage and Temporary Uses" issued by the DEP;
- (g) note CE/MN, DSD's comments on the drainage proposal that all the proposed works must be located 3m away from the natural water course. Cross sections showing the existing ground levels of the Site with respect to the adjacent areas should be given.

The level of the channel should be lower than the adjacent ground in order to collect the overland flow. Where walls or hoarding are erected are laid along the site boundary, adequate opening should be provided to intercept the existing overland flow passing through the Site. The development should neither obstruct overland flow nor adversely affect existing natural streams, village drains, ditches and the adjacent areas, etc. The applicant should consult DLO/YL and seek consent from the relevant owners for any drainage works to be carried out outside his lot boundary before commencement of the drainage works;

- (h) note CBS/NTW, BD's comments that if the existing structures (not being a New Territories Exempted House) are erected on leased land without the approval of the BD, they are unauthorized building works (UBW) under the Buildings Ordinance (BO) and should not be designated for any proposed use under the application. For UBW erected on leased land, enforcement action may be taken by the BD to effect their removal in accordance with BD's enforcement policy against UBW as and when necessary. The granting of any planning approval should not be construed as an acceptance of any existing building works or UBW on the Site under the BO. Before any new building works (including containers/ open sheds as temporary buildings and land filling) are to be carried out on the Site, prior approval and consent of the BD should be obtained, otherwise they are UBW. An Authorized Person should be appointed as the co-ordinator for the proposed building works in accordance with the BO. The Site shall be provided with means of obtaining access thereto from a street and emergency vehicular access in accordance with Regulations 5 and 41D of the Building (Planning) Regulations (B(P)R) respectively. If the Site does not abut on a specified street of not less than 4.5m wide, its permitted development intensity shall be determined under Regulation 19(3) of the B(P)R at the building plan submission stage;
- (i) note D of FS's comments that in consideration of the design/nature of the proposals, FSIs are anticipated to be required. Therefore, the applicant is advised to submit relevant layout plans incorporated with the proposed FSIs to his department for approval. The layout plans should be drawn to scale and depicted with dimensions and nature of occupancy. The location of where the proposed FSI to be installed should be clearly marked on the layout plans. If the proposed structure(s) is required to comply with the BO (Cap. 123), detailed fire safety requirements will be formulated upon receipt of formal submission of general building plans; and
- (j) note DEMS's comments that in the interests of public safety and ensuring the continuity of electricity supply, the parties concerned with planning, designing, organizing and supervising any activity near the underground cable or overhead line under the application should approach the electricity supplier (i.e. CLP Power) for the requisition of cable plans (and overhead line alignment drawings, where applicable) to find out whether there is any underground cable and/or overhead line within and/or in the vicinity of the Site. They should also be reminded to observe the Electricity Supply Lines (Protection) Regulation and the "Code of Practice on Working near Electricity Supply Lines" established under the Regulation when carrying out works in the vicinity of the electricity supply lines.