## **RESPONSE-TO-COMMENTS TABLE**

ltem	Departmental Comments	Responses	
EPD	Environmental Protection Department		
	- Contact Person: Ms. Kathy HO (Tel: 2835 1847)		
	- Via Email dated 27 June 2024		
EPD 1	1. SIA - Is there any contingency plan for	The collected sewage will be tankered	
	failure of STP plant?	away by licensed collector to designated	
		Sewage Treatment Works for treatment	
		when there is a failure of STP.	
		Nonetheless, spare parts will be kept with	
		regular maintenance.	
EPD 2	2. SIA S8.9 - Given the flow in normal	Regular maintenance will be carried out	
	days is low. Please clarify if	to ensure the STP operation is	
	maintenance will be carried out to	satisfactory.	
	ensure the proper working of the STP		
	despite of the low flow.		
EPD 3	3. SIA S9 - It is recommended to use	The arrangement will be further assessed	
	portal toilets during peak festive	during the detailed design stage with the	
	periods, but that would require 18	support of Traffic Consultant as part of	
	trips of tankering away (according to	the S16 application approval conditions.	
	current revision calculation, re.		
	S8.16). The consultant should ensure		
	the traffic arrangement or road use		
	during festive season is feasible.		
EPD 4	4. The consultant should provide detail	A detailed water quality impact	
	description of works, sources of water	assessment will be conducted as part of	
	pollution and mitigations, and	the Environmental Review of the Project	
	surround water sensitive receivers.	in the detailed design stage as part of the	
		S16 application approval conditions.	
BD	Buildings Department		
	- Contact Person: Mr. Leo CHAN (Tel: 26	626 1421)	
	- Via Email dated 27 June 2024		
BD 1	It is noted in the application documents	Noted.	
	that the proposed columbarium building		
	(CB) is partially within government land*		
	and partially within IL7755RP. It is also		
	noted that the proposed CB merges with		
	the existing columbarium block 3 (CB3)		
	which is entirely within IL7755RP, and has		
	certain means of escape (MOE)		
	discharging via the existing CB3. Under		
	the BO, the proposed CB is not an		
	independent development and would be		
	considered as an extension to the existing		

ltem	Departmental Comments	Responses
	CB3; and the relevant government land*	
	and IL7755RP would be considered as a	
	single site. Hence, the development	
	potential of the proposed CB would be	
	considered based on the total site area of	
	the combined site and the existing	
	approved buildings on the combined site	
	should be taken into account. In this	
	regard, the proposed site area of 456m <sup>2</sup>	
	and the proposed plot ratio of 3.6 for the	
	proposed CB as indicated in the	
	application documents should be	
	clarified.	
	(* it is noted that LandsD had previously	
	proposed to grant the relevant	
	government land as an extension to	
	IL7755RP for a 2-storey columbarium	
	building.)	
BD 2	At least two staircases for the purpose of	Comment on the provision of MOE for
002	MOE should be provided to the proposed	basement floor is duly noted and will be
	CB. In this connection, the applicant	implemented in the detailed design
	should review the MOE of the basement	stage.
		stage.
	floor, and the MOE of upper floors which	
	are discharged via the staircases in the existing CB3.	
LU,	Landscape Unit, Planning Department	
PlanD	- Contact Person: Mr. NGAI Chak Man (1	Tal: 2565 2055)
	- Via Email dated 16 July 2024	Tel. 3505 3955/
LU,	Detailed Comments on the LVIA & LP	
PlanD 1		The suggestion to limit the landscape
	Para. 3.1.4 and 4.1.1, Appendices A and B	
	- It is noted that <u>an assessment area</u>	assessment area within the Site Boundary is noted. Relevant texts and
	beyond the Site Boundary (despite being	-
	reduced to a smaller assessment area) is	drawings have been updated
	still adopted for the Landscape Impact	accordingly. Please refer to the revised
	Assessment (LIA), which is <u>not</u> required	Landscape and Visual Impact
	for s.16 application. The applicant's	Assessment and Landscape Proposal at
	response in RtoC Item No. LU, PlanD 5	Appendix A for details.
	has not fully addressed our previous	
	comment. The applicant should make	
	reference to para.28 of the guidance	
	notes for application under s.16 and	
	Annex B for further details regarding the	
	requirement of the landscape submission	

ltem	Departmental Comments	Responses
	to support the application for development that may have impact/implications on the landscape. Excessive and irrelevant information would not be reviewed. Our previous comment item no. 5 on the application via our email to you dated 4.6.2024 has not been fully addressed and is generally <u>still</u>	
LU,	valid. Table 4.3.2, Appendix D – Landscape Plan	The note on the extent of OM5 has been
PlanD 2	and Mitigation Measures – Overall (Dwg. No. HKBA1-LP-01) – The extent of OM5 along the slopes at eastern edge of the site should be indicated for reference. The applicant's response in RtoC Item No. LU, PlanD 13 has not fully addressed our previous comment. Our previous comment item no. 13 on the application via our email to you dated 4.6.2024 has not been fully addressed and is <u>still valid</u> .	revised to " <u>The tentative maximum</u> <u>extent of OM5 is within the estimated</u> <u>area of impact to existing trees, i.e., 5m</u> <u>extent outside the Site Boundary.</u> <u>However, the actual extent of OM5 will be</u> <u>subject to the works area identified in the</u> <u>detailed design stage of the</u> <u>Development. Impact to trees on slopes</u> <u>will be avoided as far as possible.</u> " Dwg. No. HKBA1-LP-01 has been updated accordingly to indicate the tentative extent of OM5.
LU, PlanD 3	Advisory Comments to the Applicant The applicant is reminded to seek comments/agreement from the relevant departments(s) on the proposed soft/hard landscape works outside the application boundary.	Noted. Comments/agreement from the relevant departments(s) / land owner(s) on the proposed soft/hard landscape works outside the application boundary will be sought if required, subject to the outcome of the detailed design.
LU, PlanD 4	The applicant should be advised that approval of the application does not imply approval of tree works such as pruning, transplanting and felling under lease, if any. The applicant is reminded to seek approval for any proposed tree works (including any proposed felling of/compensatory tree planting for trees with conservation status) from relevant department(s)/authority(ies)/TWVP(s) prior to commencement of works.	Noted.

ltem	Public Comments	Responses
1	Security, Traffic and Other Issues	
1.1	反對該申請 理由: 1. 政府提供大量靈灰安置所及其後續增加 安排, 2. 大灣區有提供更好選擇,	Amid the ageing of population, demand for columbarium is expected to gradually increase. The proposed development, which has policy support from the Government, can meet with the increasing local demand for affordable
		columbarium space.
1.2	<ol> <li>大樓加建,會影響地方人民治安安全, 引來社會矛盾,</li> </ol>	The proposed development is not expected to cause any social conflict or security issues.
1.3	<ol> <li>引來更多車輛,人車爭路,使人(老人,幼兒,傷殘人士)有巨大危險。</li> </ol>	The proposed development is not expected to adversely affect traffic safety according to the results in TIA.