1 1 seway Bay

Annex E Drainage Impact Assessment



Proposed Redevelopment at Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay

Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA)

Report Ref

03 | 7 February 2025

This report takes into account the particular instructions and requirements of our client.

It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party.

Job number 247866

Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Ltd Level 5 Festival Walk 80 Tat Chee Avenue Kowloon Tong Kowloon Hong Kong www.arup.com

ARUP

Contents

			Page
1	Intro	1	
	1.1	General	1
2	The D	1	
3	Metho	odology and Technical Approach	3
	3.1	Assessment Approach	3
	3.2	Existing Drainage System	6
	3.3	Proposed Drainage System	7
	3.4	Potential Drainage Impacts	7
4	Concl	usion	9

Tables

Table 3.1	Design Flood Protection Levels
Table 3.2	Runoff Coefficient
Figures	
Figure 1	Location of Subject Site
Figure 2	Proposed Development Plan
Figure 3	OVT layout Plan
Figure 4	Location of existing connection points inside the site boundary
Figure 5	Location of proposed connection points of subject site

Appendices

Appendix A

Location Plan of Caroline Hill Road Development

Appendix B

Layout Plan of OVT

Appendix C

Drainage Layout plan for Caroline Hill Road Development

Appendix D

Catchment plan for Caroline Hill Road Development

Appendix E

Pipe capacity check for Proposed Drainage discharge points

1 Introduction

1.1 General

This revised DIA had been submitted to support the Fresh S16 Planning Application with the revised layout plan submission. The recommendation established in the previously approved DIA remains unchanged.

2 The Development

The subject site is located at Causeway Bay at the junction of Caroline Hill Road and Leighton Road. The subject site area covers approx. 14,800m². It was occupied by the ex-Electrical and Mechanical Services Department (EMSD) Headquarters, the ex-Civil Aid Service Headquarters, the ex-Post Office Recreation Club and the PCCW Recreation Club.

Below is an aerial photograph of the subject site.



Figure 1 - Location of Subject Site

For the general arrangement in subject site, two office towers are separated by the future public road as shown in below proposed ground floor plan. Two existing Old and Valuable Trees (OVT) are observed in the subject site. One OVT (OVT No. HKP WCH/1) is located at the North of the subject site and next to Leighton Road. Another OVT (OVT No. EMSD WCH/1) is located at the South of the subject site and next to the Future Public Road.

Below is the proposed development plan which is presented in Appendix A.



Figure 2 - Proposed Development Plan

Below is the layout plan for two old and valuable trees which is presented in Appendix B.



Figure 3 - OVT layout Plan

3 Methodology and Technical Approach

3.1 Assessment Approach

The DIA is following the standards set out in the Stormwater Drainage Manual (Fifth Edition) issued by *Drainage Services Department in January 2018 (DSD SDM*) and the Corrigendum No. 1/2022, 1/2024 and 2/2024.

3.1.1 Runoff Estimation

Flood Protection Level

The design standard for a drainage system shall be able to accommodate a flood event with a predefined return period, which the return period depends on the area and type of drainage system.

The design flood protection level is determined in accordance with *Table 10 of the DSD SDM*, which is reproduced in **Table 3.1**.

Cat		Detrum Denie d							
Cat	egory	Keturn Period							
Inte	nsively Used Agricultural Land	2-5 years							
Vill	age Drainage including Internal Drainage	10 years ^{1,3}							
Syst	tem under a Polder Scheme								
Mai	n Rural Catchment Drainage Channels	50 years ^{2,3}							
Urb	an Drainage trunk systems	200 years ⁴							
Urb	an drainage branch systems	50 years ⁴							
Not	es:								
1.	The impact of a 50-year event should be as	ssessed in each village to check whether a							
	higher standard than 10 years can be justified	ied.							
2.	Embanked channels must be capable of pa	ssing a 200-year flood within banks.							
3.	'Village Drainage' refers to the local storm	nwater drainage system within a village. A							
	stormwater drain conveying stormwater ru	noff from an unstream catchment but happens							
	to pass through a village may need to be of	nsidered as either a 'Main Rural Catchment							
	Drainage Channel' on Willage Drainage'	demonding on the neture and size of the							
	Drainage Channel or Vinage Drainage,	solution of the nature and size of the							
	upstream catchment (refer to Section 6.6.1	of the DSD SDM.)							
4.	An 'Urban Drainage Branch System' is de	fined as a group or network of connecting							
	drains collecting runoff from the urban are	a and conveying stormwater to a trunk drain,							
	river or sea (refer to Section 6.6.2 of the D	SD SDM).							
5.	An 'Urban Drainage Trunk System' collec	ets stormwater from branch drains and/or river							
	inlets, and conveys the flow to outfalls in r	iver or sea (refer to Section 6.6.2 of the DSD							
	SDM).								

Table 3.1 – Recommended Design Return Periods based on Flood Levels

To assess the hydraulic performance of the proposed drainage system, a flood protection level with a return period of 50 years for "Urban Drainage Branch Systems" is used in this DIA.

Peak Runoff

The peak runoff is estimated using the Rational Method in accordance with *Section* 7.5.2 of the DSD SDM with the following equation:

Q = 0.278CiA

where,

Q = peak runoff in m³/s C = runoff coefficient i = rainfall intensity in mm/hr A = catchment area in km²

Runoff coefficient

The runoff coefficients, *C*, for different surface characteristic to be adopted in this DIA for the peak runoff estimation are referenced to *Section 7.5.2 (b) of the DSD SDM* and listed in **Table 3.2**.

Surface Characteristics	Runoff Coefficient, C ¹
Asphalt	0.70 - 0.95
Concrete	0.80 - 0.95
Brick	0.70 - 0.85
Grassland (heavy soil ²)	
- Flat	0.13 - 0.25
- Steep	0.25 - 0.35
Grassland (sandy soil)	
- Flat	0.05 - 0.15
- Steep	0.15 - 0 20
Notes:	
1. For steep natural slopes or areas where a sha	allow soil surface is underlain by an
impervious rock layer, a higher C value of 0	0.4 - 0.9 may be applicable.
2. Heavy soil refers to fine grain soil compose	d largely of silt and clay.

Table 3.2 – Runoff Coefficient

Referring to the equation for peak runoff estimation, a greater value of C implies a greater peak runoff. Considering that the effect of soaking in unpaved area may not be as high as grassland, to be conservative, the runoff coefficient for the unpaved area is assumed to be 0.35; and the runoff coefficient for the paved area is assumed to be 0.9.

Rainfall Intensity

The rainfall intensity is determined by the following equation with reference to *Section 4.3.3 of the DSD SDM*:

$$i = \frac{a}{(t_d + b)^c}$$

where,

i = rainfall intensity in mm/hr *td* = duration in minutes *a*, *b*, *c* = storm constants The storm constants, i.e. *a*, *b*, and *c*, under *Table 3a* "Storm Constants for Different Return Period of HKO Headquarters" of the DSD SDM, which are recommended for general application, are adopted in this DIA.

According to *Section 6.8 of the DSD SDM*, the rainfall in Hong Kong is projected to increase under climate change. Considering the effect of climate change, 11.1% rainfall increase for mid-21st century (2041–2060) as given in *Table 28 of the DSD SDM* has been included in calculating the rainfall intensity. Therefore, the equation becomes:

$$i = \frac{a}{(t_d+b)^c} \times (1+11.1\%)$$

where,

i = rainfall intensity in mm/hr *td* = duration in minutes *a*, *b*, *c* = storm constants

Time of Concentration

The duration of minutes, *td*, is referred to the time for a drop of water to flow from the remotest point in the catchment to its outlet, i.e. the time of concentration, *tc*.

3.1.2 System Capacity

The capacity of the existing drainage system is checked by using the continuity equation, assuming full-bore flow condition:

where,

Q = VA Q = peak runoff in m³/s V = cross-sectional mean velocity in m/s A = cross-sectional area of the pipe/channel in m²

The cross-section mean velocity, V, is estimated using the Colebrook White equation:

$$\overline{V} = -\sqrt{32gRS_f} \log \left[\frac{k_s}{14.8R} + \frac{1.255\nu}{R\sqrt{32gRS_f}}\right]$$

where,

 \vec{V} = cross-sectional mean velocity (m/s) S_f = friction gradient (dimensionless) g = acceleration due to gravity (m/s²)

R = hydraulic radius (m) ks = surface roughness (m)

$$v =$$
 kinematic viscosity (m²/s)

Referring to the equation for cross-section mean velocity estimation, a greater value of ks implies a smaller velocity of the drainage system. To be conservative, the surface roughness is assumed to be 0.6 mm for precast concrete pipe and 0.03mm for PE pipes with reference to *Table 14 - Recommended Roughness Values ks of the DSD SDM*, considering the reduced hydraulic performance in future due to degradation of material.

3.1.3 Sub-Catchment area

The catchment plan for existing case, the Approval Scheme and the Proposed Scheme are attached in **Appendix D**. The changes in the planting area around the OVT are summarized in below table for easy reference.

Formerly EMSD development (the Existing Case)									
Paved 15,490.5m ²									
Unpaved	133.5m ²								
Approval Scheme									
Paved	14,050m ²								
Unpaved	750m ²								
Proposed Scheme									
Paved	14,133.5m ²								
Unpaved	666.5m ²								

Compared with the existing site, both the Approval Scheme and Proposed Scheme will have the landscape area increase from the existing case of $133.5m^2$ by enlarging the area of OVT zone to $750m^2$ for the Approval Scheme and $666.5m^2$ for the Proposed Scheme.

3.2 Existing Drainage System

Based on the latest underground utility survey record, there are totally 10 existing drainage connection points inside the site boundary as shown in below figure, including three 150ø, seven 225ø and one 375ø drainage pipes.



Figure 5 - Location of existing connection points inside the site boundary

Thus, total catchment area of $15,624m^2$ in subject site is assumed to discharge to the nearest existing drainage system, which is located on the running southwest to northeast across the Tong Lo Wan Road. $15,490.5m^2$ and $133.5m^2$ are considered as paved and unpaved area respectively. The catchment area plan is presented in **Appendix D**.

The surface runoff is discharged to an existing 2250mm (w) x 2150mm (h) box culvert (SBP7001145), which is running along Tung Lo Wan Road. And then, the stormwater is discharge to Victoria Harbour, combining the surface runoff with road gullies and catchment from the upstream of Causeway Bay.

3.3 Proposed Drainage System

Proposed drainage discharge points are developed by keeping the similar catchment distribution as existing. The layout plan of existing and proposed drainage discharge points is presented in **Appendix C**.



Figure 5 - Location of proposed connection points of subject site

Thus, for proposed development, total catchment area of $14,800m^2$ within the subject site is assumed to discharge to the nearest existing drainage system, which is located on the running southwest to northeast across the Tong Lo Wan Road. $14,133.5m^2$ and $666.5m^2$ are considered as paved area and unpaved area. The catchment area plan is presented in **Appendix D**.

3.4 Potential Drainage Impacts

Currently, the surface runoff of subject site is discharged by the existing drainage discharge points, diverting to the existing 900ø drainage pipe along Leighton Road which is further diverted to the existing box culvert at Tung Lo Wan Road.

For the characteristic of the existing catchment, the paved and unpaved area are $15,490.5m^2$ and $133.5m^2$ respectively. For the characteristic of the catchment for proposed development, the unpaved area would be increased to $666.5m^2$ by enlarging the area of OVT zone. Therefore, the total surface runoff from the site would be reduced. The surface runoff from the subject site would be then

discharged to the proposed drainage discharge points. The peak runoff to the existing branch of drainage pipe along Leighton Road should be reduced. Thus, there is no drainage impact to the existing drainage system as a result of the proposed redevelopment.

The summary table for the catchment of drainage connections is shown below.

		E	xisting Case		Approved Scheme									
	Downstream Manhole	wnstream Pipe Sub- Paved Unpaved 1 Manhole dia. Catchment </td <td>Downstream Manhole</td> <td>Pipe dia. (mm)</td> <td>Sub- Catchment ref.</td> <td>Paved catchment (m²)</td> <td>Unpaved catchment (m²)</td>		Downstream Manhole	Pipe dia. (mm)	Sub- Catchment ref.	Paved catchment (m ²)	Unpaved catchment (m ²)						
South of subject site	SMH7021006	225	A4, A5, 50%A7	751.6	0									
	SMH7060442	225	A2, A6	1352	0									
	SWD7113064	150	50%A7	349	0									
Total area of the South			A2, A4-A7	2,452.6	0									
North of subject site	SMH7010352	825	A2-A8, A10	5,610.3	133.5	SMH7010352	825	B2, B3, B4	4,500	750				
Total area of the North			A2-A8, A10	5,610.3	133.5			B2, B3, B4 4,500		750				
East of subject site	SMH7060461	225	A9, A11, A12	2,122	0	SMH7010330	400	B5, B6	3,400	0				
	SMH7010391	225	A1, A13	4,937.7	0	SMH7010358	375	B1, B7	3,550	0				
	SMH7010362	150	50%A14, 50%A15	1,410.3	0	SMH7010391	300	B8	2,600	0				
	SMH7010364	150	50%A14, 50%A15	1,410.3	0									
Total area of the East			A1, A9, A11, A12, A13, A14, A15	9,880.2	0			B1, B5-B8	9,550	0				
Total area of the site			A1-A15	15,490.5	133.5			B1-B8	14,050	750				

	Proposed Scheme											
	Downstream	Pipe	Sub-	Paved	Unpaved							
	Mannole	(mm)	ref.	(m ²)	(m ²)							
South of subject site												
Total area of the South												
North of subject site	SMH7010352	825	B2, B3, B4	4,824.0	426.0							
Total area of the North			B2, B3, B4	4,824.0	426.0							
East of subject site	SMH7010330	400	B5, B6	3,159.5	240.5							
	SMH7010358	375	B1, B7	3,550	0							
	SMH7010391	300	B8	2,600	0							
Total area of the East			B1, B5-B8	9,309.5	240.5							
Total area of the site			B1-B8	14,133.5	666.5							

		E	xisting Cas	e	Approved Scheme								
	Sub- Catchment ref.	Paved catchment (m ²)	Unpaved catchment (m ²)	Peak Runoff (m ³ /s)	Remark	Sub- Catchment ref.	Paved catchment (m ²)	Unpaved catchment (m ²)	Peak Runoff (m ³ /s)	% change			
South of subject site	A2, A4-A7	2452.6	0	0.146	-	-	-	-	-	-			
North of subject site	A2-A8, A10,	5610.3	133.5	0.336	-	B2, B3, B4	4500	750	0.275	-18.06%			
East of subject site	A1, A9, A11, A12, A13, A14, A15	9880.2	0	0.589	-	B1, B5-B8	9550	0	0.570	-3.34%			
Total Peak Runoff	A1-A15	15,490.5	133.5	0.925	-	B1-B8	14050	750	0.845	-8.68%			
						Proposed Scheme							
						Sub- Catchment ref.	Paved catchment (m ²)	Unpaved catchment (m ²)	Peak Runoff (m ³ /s)	% change			
					South of subject site	-	-	-	-	-			
					North of subject site	B2, B3, B4	4,824.0	426.0	0.292	-13.18%			
					East of subject site	B1, B5-B8	9,309.5	240.5	0.557	-5.41%			
					Total Peak Runoff	B1-B8	14,133.5	666.5	0.849	-8.22%			

The summary table for the peak runoff of drainage connections is shown below.

4 Conclusion

Since the total surface runoff for the proposed scheme will be reduced with enlarged landscape area when compared with the existing case, the peak runoff to the existing branch of drainage pipe along Leighton Road should also be reduced and should be beneficial to the existing drainage system. Therefore, it is concluded that there would be no impact to the existing drainage system as a result of the proposed scheme.

Appendix A

Layout Plan of Caroline Hill Road Development



Layout Plan of Caroline Hill Road Development

(Approved Layout Plan)



		_
NORTH		
0 40 <u>50</u> m		
\gg		
\wedge		
	REV DATE DESCRIPTION	
PO		
NO GY		
	SAN PO KONG KOWLOON, HONG KONG, SAN PO KONG KOWLOON, HONG KONG, Tal. (SCA) 2023 2320 5-11 (SCA) 2023 2125	
	WWW.OTHERLAND.COM.HK	
	PATCHWAY HOLDINGS (HK) LIMITED	
	PROJECT: CAROLINE HILL ROAD	
	TITLE:	
TRANSPLANTING TREE	APPENDIX C2 - LANDSCAPE MASTER PLAN (G/F)	
COMPENSATORY TREE)	SCALE: 1:750@A3	
RETAINED TREE	DRAWN BY: OY	
	CHECKED BY: PC	
PLANTING AREA	DRAWING DATE: APR 20)24
SEATINGS/ PLANTING POT SEATING AREA W/ SEATING	PROJECT No: HYSAN	102
MASONRY SIGNAGE	SHEET No: REV: HYSAN02-LMP-C2-2 -	
WALL VENT SHAFT	This drawing and design are copyrighted and no portro way be reproduced without the prior permission to the	N
	LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.	

Appendix B

Layout Plan of OVT

Appendix B



Location plan of OVT

Appendix C

Drainage Layout plan for Caroline Hill Road Development

Appendix C



Existing in-use drainage discharge points for formerly used development

Appendix C



Appendix D

Catchment plan for Caroline Hill Road Development

Appendix D



Appendix D



Appendix D



Appendix E

Pipe capacity check for Proposed Drainage discharge points

	Job No.	Sheet No.	Rev.				
ARUP	285077						
	Member/Location						
Job Title Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay	Drg. Ref.						
Calculation Pipe Capacity Checking	Made by IP	Date 7 Feb 2025	Chd. CC				

Notes			
1	Runoff Coeff., C =	0.90	(Paved)
		0.35	(Steep natural slope)
		0.35	(unpaved)
	Return Period =	50 years	(Main Rural Catchment Drainage Channels)
			(Table 10, Stormwater Drainage Manual)
2	Calculate by Colebrook-White Equation	$\overline{V} = -\sqrt{32gRS_f} \log \left[\frac{k_s}{14.8R}\right]$	$+\frac{1.255\nu}{R\sqrt{32gRS_f}}\right]$
	v is kinematic viscosity of fluid = 1.14 x 10 V is the velocity, R is the hydraulic radius	-6 m ² /s and g is the gravity = 9.81 m/s ² and S is the gradient of the stormwate	drain.
3	A 10% reduction in flow area is adopted to	take into account the effects on flow c	apacity due to deposition of sediment in pipes.
4	Climate Change Factor (%) = (Table 28, Stormwater Drainage Manual, f	11.10% or rainfall increase at Mid 21st Century	2041 – 2060)

Runoff Calculation

Return period = 50	years																						
Lo	cation	Sub-			Catchment Area o	Area of the development			Drainage Character					Hydraulic	parameter	Tim	e of the development		(i) Extreme	Peak	Full bore	%	Full bore
US	DS	Catchment		Paved	Steep Na	atural Slope	Un	npaved	Drainage		Drainage size		Slope			t _e	t _f	t _c	mean intensity	Runoff	Capacity		Velocity
		Reference	Sub-	Accumulative	Sub-	Accumulative	Sub-	Accumulative	Shape	width/dia	height	length		cross area	Hydraulic	1			(1 in 50) with				
			Catchment	Area	Catchment	Area	Catchment	Area		(mm)	(mm)	(m)	(S _f)	(A)	Diameter, D				climate change				
			(m ²)	(m ²)	(m ²)	(m ²)	(m²)	(m ²)						(m ²)	(m)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(mm/h)	(m ³ /s)	(m ³ /s)		(m/s)
New 300ø	SMH7010352	B2, B3, B4	4,824.0	4,342	0	0	426.0	149	Circular PE Pipe	300	-	-	0.100	0.06	0.28	5.00	0.00	5.00	265.1	0.292	0.41	71	6.43
New 400ø	SMH7010330	B5, B6	3,159.5	2,844	0	0	240.5	84	Circular PE Pipe	400	-	-	0.010	0.11	0.37	5.00	0.00	5.00	265.1	0.191	0.26	73	2.31
New 375ø	SMH7010358	B1, B7	3,550.0	3,195	0	0	0.0	0	Circular Concrete Pipe	375	-	-	0.026	0.10	0.35	5.00	0.00	5.00	265.1	0.212	0.28	77	2.77
New 300ø	SMH7010391	B8	2,600.0	2,340	0	0	0.0	0	Circular PE Pipe	300	-	-	0.020	0.06	0.28	5.00	0.00	5.00	265.1	0.155	0.18	88	2.78

Rainfall Intensity, $I = a / (T_c + b)^c$ where :

		(Gumbel solution)						
a =	505.5	Return Period = 50 years						
) =	3.29							
; =	0.355							

(Table 3a, Stormwater Drainage Manual) (Corrigendum No.1 2024 SDM)

Catchment Area Distribution								
Catchment Area	Area (m2)							
	paved	natural slope	unpaved					
B1	2235	0	0					
B2	2200	0	0					
B3	2300	0	0					
B4	324	0	426					
B5	1492	0	241					
B6	1068	0	0					
B7	1915	0	0					
B8	2600	0	0					
Total	14134	0	667					

		Job No.	Sheet No.	F	Rev.		
AI	RUP	285077			В		
		Member/Location					
Job Title	Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay	Drg. Ref.					
Calculation	Pipe Capacity Checking	Made by IP	Date 7 Feb 2025	Chd.	СС		

Notes

3

Notes				
1	Runoff Coeff., C =	0.90		(Paved)
		0.35		(Steep natural slope)
		0.35		(unpaved)
	Return Period =	50 years		(Main Rural Catchment Drainage Channels)
				(Table 10, Stormwater Drainage Manual)
2	Calculate by Colebrook-White Equation		$\overline{V} = -\sqrt{32 gRS_f} \log \left[\frac{k_s}{14.8R}\right]$	$\frac{1.255\nu}{R\sqrt{32gRS_f}}$
	v is kinematic viscosity of fluid = 1.14×10^{-6}	$5 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ and α	$r_{\rm a}$ is the gravity = 9.81m/s ²	_

v is kinematic viscosity of fluid = 1.14 x 10-6 m²/s and g is the gravity = 9.81m/s² V is the velocity, R is the hydraulic radius and S is the gradient of the stormwater drain.

A 10% reduction in flow area is adopted to take into account the effects on flow capacity due to deposition of sediment in pipes.

Climate Change Factor (%) = 11.10% 4 (Table 28, Stormwater Drainage Manual, for rainfall increase at Mid 21st Century 2041 – 2060)

Comparison between Total Peak Runoff Return period = 50 years

-	•																					
	Proposed Development																					
	Location	Sub-			Catchment Area of	the development				Drainage Cha	racter			Hydraulic para	ameter	Time of the	e development	(i) Extreme	Peak	Full bore	%	Full bore
US	DS	Catchment		Paved	Steep Na	itural Slope	Unp	paved	Drainage		Drainage size		Slope			t _e	t _f t _c	mean intensity	Runoff	Capacity		Velocity
		Reference	Sub-	Accumulative	Sub-	Accumulative	Sub-	Accumulative	Shape	width/dia	height	length		cross area	ydraulic			(1 in 50) with				1
			Catchment	Area	Catchment	Area	Catchment	Area		(mm)	(mm)	(m)	(S _f)	(A)	D			climate change				1
			(m ²)	(m ²)	(m ²)	(m ²)	(m²)	(m ²)						(m ²)	(m)	(min) (I	min) (min)	(mm/h)	(m ³ /s)	(m ³ /s)		(m/s)
	South of subject site	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
-	North of subject site	B2, B3, B4	4,824.0	4,342	0	0	426.0	149	Circular Concrete Pipe	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.00 0	0.00 5.00	265.1	0.292	-	-	-
-	East of subject site	B1, B5-B8	9,309.5	8,379	0	0	240.5	84	Circular Concrete Pipe	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.00 0	0.00 5.00	265.1	0.557	-	-	-
-	Total	B1-B8	14,133.5	12,720	0	0	666.5	233	Circular Concrete Pipe	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.00 0	0.00 5.00	265.1	0.849	-	-	-

	Existing Development																					
Loca	ation	Sub-			Catchment Area of	the development				Drainage Cha	racter			Hydraulic paran	neter Ti	me of the devel	opment	(i) Extreme	Peak	Full bore	%	Full bore
US	DS	Catchment	F	Paved	Steep Na	tural Slope	Unp	baved	Drainage		Drainage size		Slope		t _e	t _f	t _c	mean intensity	Runoff	Capacity		Velocity
		Reference	Sub-	Accumulative	Sub-	Accumulative	Sub-	Accumulative	Shape	width/dia	height	length]	cross area Hyc	raulic			(1 in 50) with				1
			Catchment	Area	Catchment	Area	Catchment	Area		(mm)	(mm)	(m)	(S _f)	(A)	D			climate change				1
			(m²)	(m ²)	(m²)	(m ²)	(m²)	(m ²)						(m ²) (m) (min)	(min)	(min)	(mm/h)	(m³/s)	(m ³ /s)		(m/s)
	South of subject site	A2, A4-A7	2452.6	2,207	0	0	0	0	Circular Concrete Pipe	-	-	-	-	-	- 5.00	0.00	5.00	265.1	0.146	-	-	-
-	North of subject site	A2-A8, A10	5610.3	5,049	0	0	133.5	47	Circular Concrete Pipe	-	-	-	-	-	- 5.00	0.00	5.00	265.1	0.336	-	-	-
-	East of subject site	A1, A9, A11, A12, A13, A14, A15	9880.2	8,892	0	0	0	0	Circular Concrete Pipe	-	-	-	-	-	- 5.00	0.00	5.00	265.1	0.589	-	-	-
-	Total	A1-A15	15490.5	13,941	0	0	133.5	47	Circular Concrete Pipe	-	-	-	-	-	- 5.00	0.00	5.00	265.1	0.925	-	-	-

Rainfall Intensity, $I = a / (T_c + b)^c$ where :

		(Gumbel solution)
a =	505.5	Return Period = 50 years
b =	3.29	
C =	0.355	

(Table 3a, Stormwater Drainage Manual) (Corrigendum No.1 2024 SDM)

Catchment Area Distribution			
Catchment Area		Area (m2)	
	paved	natural slope	unpaved
B1	2235	0	0
B2	2200	0	0
B3	2300	0	0
B4	324	0	426
B5	1492	0	241
B6	1068	0	0
B7	1915	0	0
B8	2600	0	0
Total	14134	0	667