




銅鑼灣

Causeway Bay

Annex F

Sewerage Impact Assessment

Proposed Redevelopment at Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay

Sewerage Impact Assessment for Proposed Redevelopment at Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay

Report Ref

07 | 19 September 2025

This report takes into account the particular instructions and requirements of our client.

It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party.

Job number 285077

Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Ltd
Level 5 Festival Walk
80 Tat Chee Avenue
Kowloon Tong
Kowloon
Hong Kong
www.arup.com

ARUP

Contents

	Page
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Reference Materials	1
2 The Development	1
3 Description of Existing Environment and Baseline Conditions	2
3.1 Site Location and Topography	2
3.2 Approach and Methodology	2
3.3 Evaluation of Sewerage Impact	3
3.4 Sewage Generation from the Proposed Development	3
3.5 Impact of the Proposed Development	4
4 Conclusion	5

Appendices

Appendix A

Figures

Appendix B

Calculation

Appendix C

Design of Sewerage System from District Court Complex at Caroline Hill Road,
ArchSD

1 Introduction

Sewerage Impact Assessment (“SIA”) had been submitted in fulfilment of the Special Conditions (61) (a) of the Conditions of Sale of the Lot requiring for submission of a SIA and was approved by EPD and DSD on 5 January 2022 and 3 May 2022 respectively.

This revised SIA had been submitted to support the Fresh S16 Planning Application with the revised layout plan submission. The recommendation established in the previously approved SIA remains unchanged.

1.1 Reference Materials

In evaluating the sewerage impact arising from the proposed development, the following sources of information have been specifically referred to:


- Environmental Protection Department (EPD) Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning No. : EPD/TP 1/05;
- DSD Sewerage Manual – Key Planning Issues and Gravity Collection System; and
- Drainage Record Plans obtained from DSD.

2 The Development

For easy reference, a comparison table showing the difference between the Approved Scheme and the Proposed Scheme is shown in table below:

Description	Approved Scheme (No. A/H7/181)	Proposed Scheme	Difference
Project Title	Proposed Redevelopment at Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay		
Description	Two 25-storeys office towers (Tower 1 and Tower 2) and one 16-storeys office tower (Tower 3) for office, retail and GIC facilities use.		
Location	The site is located at Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay (see Figure 1).		
Land Use Zoning	Commercial		
Site Area	14,802 m ²	14,802 m ²	No change
Total Non-domestic GFA	102,000 m ²	102,000 m ²	No change
Office GFA	85,000 m ²	85,300 m ²	+300 m ²
Retail GFA	10,000 m ²	10,000 m ²	No change
Light Bus Lay-by GFA	2,000 m ²	1,600 m ²	-400 m ²
GIC GFA	3,000 m ²	3,100 m ²	+100 m ²
GIC GFA (Performing Art & Cultural Facilities)	2,000 m ²	2,000m ²	No change

Below is an aerial photograph of the Application Site.



3 Description of Existing Environment and Baseline Conditions

3.1 Site Location and Topography

The Application site is located at Causeway Bay at the junction of Caroline Hill Road and Leighton Road. The Application Site covers approximately 14802m² of area. It was occupied by the ex-Electrical and Mechanical Services Department (EMSD) Headquarters, the ex-Civil Aid Service Headquarters, the ex-Post Office Recreation Club and the PCCW Recreation Club.

3.2 Approach and Methodology

The sewage generated from the proposed development will be collected and conveyed to the existing sewerage system via the existing manhole FMH7058242 and FMH7058644. In order to assess the associated sewerage impact, the capacities of the existing public sewers along Caroline Hill Road were checked.

The adequacy of sewerage capacity along Caroline Hill Road was determined by using the estimate of future sewage generation from the proposed development.

The global unit flow factors recommended in the EPD Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning No.: EPD/TP 1/05 have been adopted in the estimation.

3.3 Evaluation of Sewerage Impact

The sewage flow estimation from the proposed development, the unit flow factors as stated in EPD/TP 1/05 have been adopted.

The capacities of sewers have been calculated based on Colebrook-White equation, assuming full bore flow as below:

- (a) Pipe capacity is calculated based on the continuity equation

$$Q = AV$$

Where Q = pipe full flow capacity in m³/s

A = pipe cross-sectional area in m²

V = velocity at full bore flow in m/s

- (b) Velocity at full bore flow is based on the Colebrook-White equation

$$V = -(32gRS)^{0.5} \log \left\{ \frac{ks}{14800R} + \frac{1.255v}{R(32gRS)^{0.5}} \right\}$$

Where g = acceleration due to gravity in m/s²

R = hydraulic radius in m

S = pipe gradient

ks = pipe roughness in mm

v = kinematic viscosity of water in m²/s

- (c) The sewerage impact due to the proposed development on the two existing public sewers have been evaluated by calculating the estimated peak flow against the capacity of the existing public sewer. One is the existing public sewer with downstream sewer of 600Ø run along the South of Caroline Hill Road. Another one is the existing public sewer with downstream sewer of 500Ø run along the East of Caroline Hill Road.
- (d) The detailed calculations are provided in **Appendix B** for reference.

3.4 Sewage Generation from the Proposed Development

The prediction for the proposed development sewage generation has been based on the information extracted from the development schedule (refer to Section 2). The quantity of sewage generated by the proposed is estimated from expected total population.

The table showing the sewage generation of the proposed development is calculated based on the guideline set in EPD Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning and is shown on **Table B1** in **Appendix B** with the comparison between the Approved Scheme and the Proposed Scheme shown in the table below.

Description	Approved Scheme	Proposed Scheme	Difference
ADWF (m ³ /day)	973.20	975.44	+2.24
Catchment Inflow Factor	1.0	1.0	No change
Contributing Population	3,604	3,613	+9
Global Peaking Factor	6	6	No change
Peak Discharge, L/s	67.58	67.74	+0.16

3.5 Impact of the Proposed Development

In order to assess the impact on the existing public sewer associated with the proposed development, the capacities of the existing public sewers have been checked and shown on **Tables B2** in **Appendix B**.

The estimation of sewage generation in the vicinity of the Application Site is based on the assumptions as below:

- 1) Existing public sewer information based on DSD drainage record plans and shown in **Figure 2-5**;
- 2) Existing development parameters in the vicinity of the proposed development are obtained from public domain and sewerage catchment plan shown in **Figure 6**;
- 3) Flow factors as per EPD Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning; and
- 4) Global peaking factor with stormwater allowance is adopted as per EPD Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning.
- 5) The sewage flow and tentative sewerage system from Proposed District Court Complex is based on the design reference to the approved technical feasibility statement from ArchSD and shown in **Figure 7**.
- 6) As per ArchSD's current design, the sewer of FC tower is recommended to be connected to the existing sewerage manhole FSH7003584 and that of DC tower is recommended to be connected to the existing sewerage manhole FMH7058644.

The peak sewage flow from the proposed development is slightly increased from 67.58 L/s to 67.74 L/s.

On the South side of Caroline Hill Road, it has proven that an existing public sewerage serving the Application Site comprising an existing 300Ø public gravity sewer running along the south of Caroline Hill Road and the downstream existing public sewer of 600Ø running along the Leighton Road has sufficient capacity to carry the estimated sewage from the Application Site.

On the East side of Caroline Hill Road, it has proven that an existing 400Ø public gravity sewer running along the east of Caroline Hill Road and the downstream

existing public sewer of 500Ø running along Leighton Road has sufficient capacity to carry the estimated sewage from the Application Site.

The sewerage connection proposal for the Application Site as shown in **Figure 7** had been updated based the approved BD drainage submission and the proposed change in connection from terminal manhole FTMH1 based on the exact site condition.


It is concluded that the proposed development would not result in any adverse sewerage impact to the existing public sewerage system. The capacities checking of the existing public sewers is shown in **Table B2**.

4 Conclusion

The peak sewage flow from the proposed development is slightly increased from 67.58 L/s to 67.74 L/s . It is observed that the two existing public sewerage serving the Application Site through the existing FMH7058644 and FMH7058242 running along the Leighton Road, have sufficient capacity to carry the estimated sewage from the Application Site. It is concluded that the proposed development would not result in any adverse sewerage impact to the existing public sewerage system.

Appendix A

Figures



Application Site for
Proposed Development

LEGEND

[] SITE BOUNDARY OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Mark	Date	By	Rev.

Job Title

Proposed Redevelopment at Caroline
Hill Road, Causeway Bay


Drawing Title

LOCATION PLAN


Drawing Status

FOR REFERENCE


ARUP			
Scale			
Drn.	Date	Chd.	Passed
Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.	
285077	FIGURE 1		



				Job Title		Drawing Title		ARUP		
				Proposed Redevelopment at Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay		EXISTING SEWERAGE LAYOUT PLAN - SOUTH		Scale		
								Dwn. Date Chd. Passed		
						Drawing Status		Job No. Drawing No. Rev.		
Mark Date By Rev.						FOR REFERENCE		285077 FIGURE 2		



				Job Title		Drawing Title		ARUP		
				Proposed Redevelopment at Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay		EXISTING SEWERAGE LAYOUT PLAN - EAST (SHEET 1 OF 3)		Scale		
						FOR REFERENCE		Dn. Date Chd. Passed		
								Job No. Drawing No. Rev.		
								285077 FIGURE 3		




Mark	Date	By	Rev.	

Job Title
Proposed Redevelopment at Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay

Drawing Title
EXISTING SEWERAGE LAYOUT PLAN - EAST (SHEET 2 OF 3)

Drawing Status
FOR REFERENCE

ARUP			
Scale			
Dwn.	Date	Chd.	Passed
Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.	
285077	FIGURE 4		




Mark	Date	By	Rev.	

Job Title
Proposed Redevelopment at Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay

Drawing Title
EXISTING SEWERAGE LAYOUT PLAN - EAST (SHEET 3 OF 3)

Drawing Status
FOR REFERENCE

ARUP			
Scale	Date	Chd.	Passed
Job No. 285077	Drawing No. FIGURE 5	Rev.	



LEGEND

SEWAGE CATCHMENT

Mark	Date	By	Rev.

Job Title

Proposed Redevelopment at Caroline Hill Road, Causeway Bay

Drawing Title

SEWAGE CATCHMENT PLAN

Scale

Drn. Date Chd. Passed

Drawing Status

FOR REFERENCE

ARUP

Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
285077	FIGURE 6	

Appendix B

Calculation

Catchment Inflow Factor for Wan Chai (PCIF = 1.0) as per Table T-4

Notes:
Employment density shall refer to Commercial and Industrial Floor Space Utilization Survey published by PlanD.
Office = 5.5 employee per 100m² of GFA
Retail = 3.5 employee per 100m² of GFA
Community, Social & Personal Services = 3.3 employee per 100m² of GFA

TABLE B1
Sewage Flow Estimation for Proposed Development

(Based on EPD Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning)

Design Assumption:

Global Peaking Factor, P (Including Stormwater Allowance) as per Table T-5

Global Unit Flow Factors as per Tables T-2 and T-3

Catchment Inflow Factor for Wan Chai (PCIF = 1.0) as per Table T-4

Development Schedule		
Sewage Flow Estimation for Caroline Hill Road - East	Estimation	Remark
Proposed Development		
(S) Subject Site		
GFA (m ²) for Office use	85,300	
Worker Density (No. of Worker per 100m ²)	5.5	
No. of Employee	4,692	
Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - J6 Financial, Insurance, Real Estate & Business Services	0.08	
GFA (m ²) for Retail use	10,000	
Worker Density (No. of Worker per 100m ²)	3.5	
No. of Employee	350	
Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - J10 Restaurant & Hotels	1.58	
GFA (m ²) for GIC	5,100	
Worker Density (No. of Worker per 100m ²)	3.3	
No. of Employee	168	
Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - J11 Community, Social & Personal Services	0.28	
Total ADWF, (m ³ /day)	975.44	
30% of Total ADWF, (m ³ /day)	292.63	
Total ADWF, (L/s)	11.29	
30% of Total ADWF, (L/s)	3.39	New Development
Catchment B		
B3 Sports Centre (50%)		
GFA (m ²)	6351	
Worker Density (No. of Worker per 100m ²)	3.3	
No. of Employee	210	
Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - J11 Community, Social & Personal Services	0.28	
ADWF, (m ³ /day)	29.34	
ADWF, (L/s)	0.34	P _{CIF} = 1 included
Catchment C		
C1 Confucius Hall Secondary School		
Number of students	360	
Number of staffs	29	
Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - students	0.04	
Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - staffs	0.28	
ADWF, (m ³ /day)	22.52	
ADWF, (L/s)	0.26	P _{CIF} = 1 included
C2 So Kon Po Driving Test Centre		
GFA (m ²)	357	
Worker Density (No. of Worker per 100m ²)	3.3	
No. of Employee	12	
Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - J11 Community, Social & Personal Services	0.28	
ADWF, (m ³ /day)	3.30	
ADWF, (L/s)	0.04	P _{CIF} = 1 included
C3 Olypmic House		
GFA (m ²)	4343	
Worker Density (No. of Worker per 100m ²)	3.3	
No. of Employee	143	
Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - J11 Community, Social & Personal Services	0.28	
ADWF, (m ³ /day)	40.13	
ADWF, (L/s)	0.46	P _{CIF} = 1 included

TABLE B1
Sewage Flow Estimation for Proposed Development

(Based on EPD Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning)

Design Assumption:

Global Peaking Factor, P (Including Stormwater Allowance) as per Table T-5

Global Unit Flow Factors as per Tables T-2 and T-3

Catchment Inflow Factor for Wan Chai (PCIF = 1.0) as per Table T-4

Development Schedule		
Sewage Flow Estimation for Caroline Hill Road - East	Estimation	Remark
Catchment D		
D1 Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club GFA (m ²) Worker Density (No. of Worker per 100m ²) No. of Employee Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - J11 Community, Social & Personal Services ADWF, (m ³ /day) ADWF, (L/s)	10440 3.3 345 0.28 96.47 1.12	 P _{CIF} = 1 included
D2 St. Paul Convent GFA (m ²) Worker Density (No. of Worker per 100m ²) No. of Employee Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - J11 Community, Social & Personal Services ADWF, (m ³ /day) ADWF, (L/s)	1528 3.3 50 0.28 14.12 0.16	 P _{CIF} = 1 included
Staff Quarters (D)		
D3 Number of units Population Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - Residential R2 ADWF, (m ³ /day) ADWF, (L/s)	25 68 0.27 18.23 0.21	 P _{CIF} = 1 included
Catchment E		
E1 Leishun Court Number of flats Population Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - Residential R2 ADWF, (m ³ /day) ADWF, (L/s)	120 324 0.27 87.48 1.01	 P _{CIF} = 1 included
E2 Caroline Hill Court Number of flats Population Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - Residential R2 ADWF, (m ³ /day) ADWF, (L/s)	1146 3094 0.27 835.43 9.67	 P _{CIF} = 1 included
E3 Lei Kwa Court Number of flats Population Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - Residential R2 ADWF, (m ³ /day) ADWF, (L/s)	56 151 0.27 40.82 0.47	 P _{CIF} = 1 included
E4 Lei Ha Court Number of flats Population Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - Residential R2 ADWF, (m ³ /day) ADWF, (L/s)	120 324 0.27 87.48 1.01	 P _{CIF} = 1 included
E5 Lei Wen Court Number of flats Population Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - Residential R2 ADWF, (m ³ /day) ADWF, (L/s)	146 394 0.27 106.43 1.23	 P _{CIF} = 1 included
Catchment F		
F1 Staff Quarters Number of units Population Unit flow factor (m ³ /person/day) - Residential R2 ADWF, (m ³ /day) ADWF, (L/s)	35 95 0.27 25.52 0.30	 P _{CIF} = 1 included
Proposed Scenario Caroline Hill Road - East		
Total ADWF (m ³ /day) Total ADWF (L/s) Contributing Population Global Peaking Factor Total Peak Flow (L/s)	1,656 19.17 6,134 5.00 95.84	

Notes:
Employment density shall refer to Commercial and Industrial Floor Space Utilization Survey published by PlanD.
Office = 5.5 employee per 100m² of GFA
Retails = 3.5 employee per 100m² of GFA
Community, Social & Personal Services = 3.3 employee per 100m² of GFA

Table B2 - Capacity Performance of Existing Sewer

Notes:

(1) Calculate by Colebrook-White Equation

$$\bar{V} = -\sqrt{32gRS_f} \log \left[\frac{k_s}{14.8R} + \frac{1.255\nu}{R\sqrt{32gRS_f}} \right]$$

where ks is roughness value
for clayware slimed sewers, ks equals 3mm
ν is kinematic viscosity of fluid = 1.14 x 10-6 m2/s and g is the gravity = 9.81m/s2
V is the velocity, D is the diameter of the sewer and S is the gradient of the sewer.

Abbreviation:

UP_MAN	Upstream Manhole	CON_POP	Contributing Population	DN_GL	Downstream Ground Level	CAP	Peak Pipe Capacity
DN_MAN	Downstream Manhole	DIA	Diameter	UP_INV	Upstream Invert Level	F/C	Peak Flow/Capacity
ADWF	Average Dry Weather Flow	LEN	Length	DN_INV	Downstream Invert Level		
ACC_ADWF	Accumulated Average Dry Weather Flow	UP_GL	Upstream Ground Level	VEL	Peak Pipe Velocity		

Proposed Development				CON_POP	PEAKING FACTOR	ACC_ADWF (L/s)	Peak Flow (L/s)	Existing Pipe Parameter											
Manhole		FROM SITE						DIA (D) (mm)	LEN (m)	UP_GL (mPD)	DN_GL (mPD)	UP_INV (mPD)	DN_INV (mPD)	Gradient (S)	VEL (m/s)	AREA (m ²)	CAP (L/s)	F/C (%)	Adequate Capacity?
UP_MAN No.	DN_MAN No.	Catchment	Description																
Caroline Hill Road - South																			
FMH7019739	FMH7019738	B1, 50%B3	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%)	304	8	0.95	7.60	150	44.5	19.69	17.71	18.88	16.82	22	1.67	0.0177	29.54	25.7%	YES
FMH7019738	FMH7019737	B1, 50%B3	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%)	304	8	0.95	7.60	150	15.7	17.71	16.77	16.82	15.85	16	1.93	0.0177	34.11	22.3%	YES
FMH7019737	FMH7019719	B1, 50%B3, B2	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex	590	8	1.84	14.74	150	29.6	16.77	15.48	15.85	14.58	23	1.61	0.0177	28.42	51.9%	YES
FMH7019719	FMH7019793	B1, 50%B3, B2	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex	590	8	1.84	14.74	150	12.8	15.48	14.80	14.58	13.86	18	1.84	0.0177	32.55	45.3%	YES
FMH7019793	FMH7019713	B1, 50%B3, B2, A3	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex, Caroline Garden	719	8	2.25	17.98	150	10.1	14.80	14.42	13.86	13.47	26	1.53	0.0177	26.96	66.7%	YES
FMH7019713	FMH7047620	B1, 50%B3, B2, A3	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex, Caroline Garden	719	8	2.25	17.98	150	17.0	14.42	13.46	12.32	11.91	41	1.21	0.0177	21.41	84.0%	YES
FMH7047620	FMH7019711	B1, 50%B3, B2, A3, A2	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex, Caroline Garden, 103 Caroline Hill Road	741	8	2.32	18.52	150	4.0	13.46	13.23	11.91	11.80	38	1.26	0.0177	22.26	83.2%	YES
FMH7019711	FMH7047621	B1, 50%B3, B2, A3, A2	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex, Caroline Garden, 103 Caroline Hill Road	741	8	2.32	18.52	150	4.7	13.23	13.23	11.80	11.64	30	1.42	0.0177	25.04	74.0%	YES
FMH7047621	FSH7003584	B1, 50%B3, B2, A3, A2	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex, Caroline Garden, 103 Caroline Hill Road	741	8	2.32	18.52	150	8.9	13.23	12.54	11.64	11.28	24	1.57	0.0177	27.72	66.8%	YES
FSH7003584	FSH7003582	B1, 50%B3, B2, A3, A2, A1	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex, Caroline Garden, 103 Caroline Hill Road, Silverwood, District Court FC tower	1,315	6	4.11	24.65	300	38.8	12.54	11.13	8.61	6.93	23	2.59	0.0707	183.07	13.5%	YES
FSH7003582	FMH7058242	B1, 50%B3, B2, A3, A2, A1, G	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex, Caroline Garden, 103 Caroline Hill Road, Silverwood, District Court FC tower	1,315	6	4.11	24.65	2x225	67.4	11.13	8.11	6.65	6.26	173	0.78	0.0398	61.88	39.8%	YES
FMH7058242	FSH7003581	B1, 50%B3, B2, A3, A2, A1, G, 70%S	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex, Caroline Garden, 103 Caroline Hill Road, Silverwood, District Court FC tower, Proposed development (70%)	3,844	6	12.01	72.07	300	13.1	8.11	7.59	6.26	5.98	47	1.82	0.0707	128.56	56.1%	YES
FSH7003581	FSH7003580	B1, 50%B3, B2, A3, A2, A1, G, 70%S	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex, Caroline Garden, 103 Caroline Hill Road, Silverwood, District Court FC tower, Proposed development (70%)	3,844	6	12.01	72.07	300	14.4	7.59	7.25	5.98	5.76	65	1.54	0.0707	108.66	66.3%	YES
FSH7003580	FMH7009549	B1, 50%B3, B2, A3, A2, A1, G, 70%S	Bowling centre, Sports Centre (50%), Sport Complex, Caroline Garden, 103 Caroline Hill Road, Silverwood, District Court FC tower, Proposed development (70%)	3,844	6	12.01	72.07	300	5.6	7.25	7.29	5.76	5.61	37	2.05	0.0707	144.60	49.8%	YES
FTMH 1	F1	70%S	Proposed development (70%)	2,529	6	7.90	47.42	300	9.8	8.90	7.80	6.710	6.595	86	1.34	0.0707	95.01	49.9%	YES
F1	F2	70%S	Proposed development (70%)	2,529	6	7.90	47.42	300	15.0	7.80	8.25	6.575	6.475	150	1.01	0.0707	71.71	66.1%	YES
F2	F3	70%S	Proposed development (70%)	2,529	6	7.90	47.42	300	9.0	8.25	8.45	6.455	6.395	150	1.01	0.0707	71.71	66.1%	YES
F3	FMH7058242	70%S	Proposed development (70%)	2,529	6	7.90	47.42	300	7.5	8.45	8.11	6.375	6.325	150	1.01	0.0707	71.71	66.1%	YES
Caroline Hill Road - East																			
FMH7019744	FMH7019743	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%)	353	8	1.1	8.82	225	37.5	13.37	11.84	10.78	10.55	163	0.80	0.0398	31.85	27.7%	YES
FMH7019743	FMH7019742	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%)	353	8	1.1	8.82	225	33.4	11.84	10.48	10.55	9.20	25	2.06	0.0398	81.95	10.8%	YES
FMH7019742	FMH7019726	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%)	353	8	1.1	8.82	225	33.4	10.48	9.20	9.20	7.89	25	2.03	0.0398	80.72	10.9%	YES
FMH7019726	FMH7019725	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%)	353	8	1.1	8.82	225	28.3	9.20	8.17	7.89	6.68	23	2.12	0.0398	84.29	10.5%	YES
FMH7019725	FMH7019724	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%)	353	8	1.1	8.82	225	41.5	8.17	7.45	6.68	6.16	80	1.15	0.0398	45.57	19.4%	YES
FMH7019724	FMH7019720	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%)	353	8	1.1	8.82	225	38.1	7.45	7.03	6.16	5.72	87	1.10	0.0398	43.75	20.2%	YES
FMH7019720	FMH7009996	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters	447	8	1.4	11.19	225	40.6	7.03	6.57	5.72	5.00	56	1.36	0.0398	54.24	20.6%	YES
FMH7009996	FMH7058640	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent	857	8	2.68	21.43	400	3.1	6.57	6.58	5.00	5.00	2067	0.33	0.1257	41.34	51.8%	YES
FMH7058640	FSH7003590	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent	857	8	2.68	21.43	400	10.1	6.58	6.42	5.00	4.84	63	1.90	0.1257	238.28	9.0%	YES
FSH7003590	FSH7003589	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent	857	8	2.68	21.43	400	9.9	6.42	6.34	4.84	4.72	82	1.66	0.1257	208.38	10.3%	YES
FSH7003589	FSH7003588	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent	857	8	2.68	21.43	400	28.4	6.34	6.03	4.72	4.35	77	1.72	0.1257	216.05	9.9%	YES
FSH7003588	FMH7058641	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent	857	8	2.68	21.43	400	3.3	6.03	5.94	4.35	3.60	4	7.19	0.1257	903.58	2.4%	YES
FMH7058641	FMH7058642	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent	857	8	2.68	21.43	400	21.9	5.94	5.70	3.60	3.37	95	1.54	0.1257	193.94	11.0%	YES
FMH7058642	FMH7058643	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent	857	8	2.68	21.43	400	8.4	5.70	5.70	2.60	2.53	120	1.37	0.1257	172.71	12.4%	YES
FMH7058643	FMH7058644	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent	857	8	2.68	21.43	400	22.4	5.70	5.51	2.53	2.36	134	1.30	0.1257	163.34	13.1%	YES
FMH7058644	FSH7003587	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2, 30%S	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent, District Court DC Tower, Proposed development (30%)	2,855	6	8.92	53.53	400	12.6	5.51	5.39	2.36	2.25	112	1.43	0.1257	179.19	29.9%	YES
FSH7003587	FSH7003586	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2, 30%S	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent, District Court DC Tower, Proposed development (30%)	2,855	6	8.92	53.53	400	6.2	4.53	5.27	2.25	2.20	124	1.35	0.1257	169.90	31.5%	YES
FSH7003586	FMH7058647	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2, 30%S	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent, District Court DC Tower, Proposed development (30%)	2,855	6	8.92	53.53	400	26.7	5.27	4.97	2.20	2.14	445	0.71	0.1257	89.51	59.8%	YES
FMH7058647	FSH7003585	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2, 30%S, 50%E2, 50%E3	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent, District Court DC Tower, Proposed development (30%), Caroline Hill Court (50%), Lei Kwa Court (50%)	4,478	6	13.99	83.96	400	19.6	4.97	4.72	2.14	2.09	392	0.76	0.1257	95.39	88.0%	YES
FSH7003585	FMH7058340	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2, 30%S, E2, E3, E5, E4, 50%E1	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent, District Court DC Tower, Proposed development (30%), Caroline Hill Court, Lei Kwa Court, Lei Wen Court, Lei Ha Court, Leishun Court (50%)	6,981	5	21.81	109.07	500	8.6	4.72	4.69	2.09	2.07	430	0.84	0.1963	164.90	66.1%	YES
FMH7058340	FSH7004660	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2, 30%S, E2, E3, E5, E4, 50%E1	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent, District Court DC Tower, Proposed development (30%), Caroline Hill Court, Lei Kwa Court, Lei Wen Court, Lei Ha Court, Leishun Court (50%)	6,981	5	21.81	109.07	500	32.5	4.69	4.19	2.07	2.00	464	0.81	0.1963	158.67	68.7%	YES
FSH7004660	FSH7004661	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2, 30%S, E2, E3, E5, E4, 50%E1	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent, District Court DC Tower, Proposed development (30%), Caroline Hill Court, Lei Kwa Court, Lei Wen Court, Lei Ha Court, Leishun Court (50%)	6,981	5	21.81	109.07	500	23.2	4.19	4.09	2.00	1.76	97	1.77	0.1963	348.44	31.3%	YES
FSH7004661	FSH7004662	C1, C2, C3, 50%B3, F, D1, D2, 30%S, E2, E3, E5, E4, 50%E1, D3	Confucius Hall Secondary School, So Kon Po Driving Test Centre, Olypmic House, Sports Centre (50%), Staff Quarters, Disciplined Services Sports and Recreation Club, St. Paul Convent, District Court DC Tower, Proposed development (30%), Caroline Hill Court, Lei Kwa Court, Lei Wen Court, Lei Ha Court, Leishun Court (50%), Staff Quarters (D)	7,048	5	22.03	110.13	500	33.4	4.09	4.09	1.76	1.40	93	1.81	0.1963			

Appendix C

Design of Sewerage System
from District Court Complex at
Caroline Hill Road, ArchSD



JOB TITLE:
Sewerage Impact Assessment for Discharge of Town Planning Board Approval Condition(s) for D&B District Court at Caroline Hill Road

CALCULATION:
A01 Calculation of Sewage Loading from Site

JOB NUMBER / FILE:
1032463

REV: E
CALCULATION BY: R. Leung

CALCULATION NUMBER:
01

DATE:
22 Aug 2024

CHECKED BY: H. Wong
VERIFIED BY: H. Wong

Catchment	Sewer Manhole No.	Buildings in Zone	Type of Use	Updated Population (Updated on 22 March 2024)	Handwashing Flowrate (L/min)	Duration of Each Handwash (sec)	Flushing Demand (L/Flush)	Unit Flow Factor (m³/day/ person)	Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow (m³/day) (w/o relocation)	Remarks
Site	FMH7058644	East (DC Tower) - JJO and Staff	Institutional	741	-	-	-	0.28	207.48	Unit Flow Factor: GESF - Combined UFF of commercial employees and commercial activities in J11 Community, Social & Personal Services is 0.280 m3/person/day. Worker density: Population from the latest GBP(san-fit schedule) adopted in BEAM Plus.
		East (DC Tower) - Public	Institutional	5026	4.0	20.0	6.5	0.0078	39.37	Unit Flow Factor: Based on the BEAM Plus for New Buildings Version 2.0 WU P1 and WU7 assumption for water consumption calculation. Worker density: Population from the latest GBP(san-fit schedule) adopted in BEAM Plus.
Site	FSH7003584	West (FC Tower) - JJO and Staff	Institutional	311	-	-	-	0.28	87.08	Unit Flow Factor: GESF - Combined UFF of commercial employees and commercial activities in J11 Community, Social & Personal Services is 0.280 m3/person/day. Worker density: Population from the latest GBP(san-fit schedule) adopted in BEAM Plus.
		West (FC Tower) - Public	Institutional	1130	4.0	20.0	6.5	0.0078	8.8517	Unit Flow Factor: Based on the BEAM Plus for New Buildings Version 2.0 WU P1 and WU7 assumption for water consumption calculation. Worker density: Population from the latest GBP(san-fit schedule) adopted in BEAM Plus.