

By Hand and Email (tpbsubmission@pland.gov.hk)
11 September 2026

The Secretary
Town Planning Board
15/F, North Point Government Offices
333 Java Road
North Point
Hong Kong



arup.com

Our ref 312796/00/WLL/ PLML/YVL/05868

Dear Sir/ Madam.

**Application for Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) and Associated Excavation of Land for a Period of Three Years at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories
(Planning Application No. A/NE-LT/794)**

Submission of Further Information

We refer to the comments received from various Government departments between 18 August 2026 and 10 September 2026 regarding the captioned s.16 Planning Application.

We are pleased to submit our Responses to Comments table (Appendix A) and supplementary information (Appendices B to J) for your consideration. The further information submitted involves only editorial changes, minor change in the proposed scheme and technical clarification without any material change.

The softcopy of the Further Information will be uploaded to the hyperlink provided by the Town Planning Board.

We sincerely seek favourable consideration from the Town Planning Board to agree to this s.16 Application.

Should you have any queries, please contact the undersigned or our Ms. Minnie LAW at [REDACTED] or Ms. Vienne LUNG at [REDACTED].

Yours faithfully,

Wai-Lam LEE
Director of Planning

d
e

- Enc - Appendices A-J
cc - Client
- Sha Tin, Tai Po and North District Planning Office – Mr. Kevin LAU (Senior Town Planner/Tai Po 1) (Email: kkwlaui@pland.gov.hk)
- Sha Tin, Tai Po and North District Planning Office – Mr. Nicol YU (Town Planner/Tai Po 1) (Email: nwkyu@pland.gov.hk)
- Sha Tin, Tai Po and North District Planning Office – Mr. Jackson CHAN (Town Planning Grad/North 3) (Email: jcschan1@pland.gov.hk)

Appendix A

Responses-to-Comments table

Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) and Associated Excavation of Land for a Period of 3 Years in
“Recreation” Zone

Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po

Planning Application No. A/NE-LT/794

Responses to Comments – Departmental Comments (September 2026)

COMMENTS FROM RELATED DEPARTMENTS 2

1. Water Supplies Department, New Works Branch, Construction Division, System Planning Section, dated 18 August 2026 2
2. Drainage Services Department, dated 27 August 2026 10
3. Planning Department, District Planning Office/Shau Tin, Tai Po and North, dated 9 September 2026 13
4. Planning Department, District Planning Office/Shau Tin, Tai Po and North, dated 10 September 2026 13
5. Planning Department, District Planning Office/Shau Tin, Tai Po and North, dated 10 September 2026 14

COMMENTS FROM RELATED DEPARTMENTS

No.	Comments	Responses
1.	Water Supplies Department, New Works Branch, Construction Division, System Planning Section, dated 18 August 2026 This planning application (the application) is a formal submission following the pre-submission in June 2026, to which WSD's comments were provided to the applicant on 22.6.2026. The proposed site (the Site) is located at upper indirect water gathering ground (WGG). After review, the information provided in the application is considered insufficient to prove and demonstrate that the proposed development would cause no material increase in pollution effect to the WGG. Specific concerns are provided as follows from the perspective of water resources protection: (1) The clarification to comment (q) (ii) in R-to-C Table could not fully address WSD's concern on cross-contamination. It is noted that uncontaminated runoff from roof and landscaped areas will be discharged to Lam Tsuen River. However, there are risks of cross-contamination between runoffs due to water flowing and crossing between the uncontaminated area and contaminated area before entering the drains. The applicant shall elaborate any mitigation measures. (2) It is noted in reply to comment (q) (iv) of R-to-C Table that the holding tank will collect contaminated surface runoff which will be discharged to the public sewer, while surface runoff exceeding the 20-minute retention capacity will be	Noted. Please be advised that there is road curb between the road and landscape area, and surface channel is provided along the boundary of the potentially contaminated area. Flood barriers will be provided at the boundary of the potentially contaminated area when needed.  Noted, the holding tank design is updated to handle runoff generated from carriageway and carparking area during a 1-in-50 year rainstorm event. All potentially contaminated flow collected and stored in the holding tank will be discharged to the

No.	Comments	Responses
	considered uncontaminated and will be discharged to Lam Tsuen River under 1-in-2 year rainstorm event. The assumption that overflow exceeding 20-minute is uncontaminated lacks sufficient scientific justification, given ongoing site activities including cattle activity, sewage production, sewage handling and vehicle movement. During extreme weather condition, e.g. 1-in-50 year rainstorm, an unexpected surge of contaminated runoff could overflow and discharge to the Lam Tsuen River, posing high risks of contamination to WGG. The applicant shall review and revise the design of this holding tank system. (3) Further to the above (1) and (2), the applicant may wish to note that no discharge of effluent or foul water into adjoining land, storm water drain, channel, stream or river course is allowed. Unless for exceptional circumstances, the effluent quality shall be in full compliance with the standards for effluents stipulated in Table 3 and paragraph 8.4 of the Technical Memorandum Section 21 of the	existing sewers along Hang Kai Lane, effectively preventing potentially polluted water from entering natural waterways. Please refer to Appendix B for the replacement pages of the updated Drainage and Sewerage Impact Assessment (DSIA). The relevant plans in the submission have also been updated in accordance with the latest holding tank design with an increased area of Block 18 (from about 120m² to 174m²), which would be exempted from Gross Floor Area calculation under Building (Planning) Regulation 23(3)b. Subject to detailed design, the location of Block18, which is shown underground on the relevant plans in the captioned submission, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure. Please be advised that the change in location of the holding tank will have no implication to the functioning of the proposed stormwater management system, and comments from relevant departments, such as the Planning Department, Buildings Department, LandsD Department, and Civil Engineering and Development Department etc will be sought. Please refer to the updated Architectural Drawing (Appendix C), the replacement pages for the updated Supporting Planning Statement (SPS) (Appendix D), the replacement pages for Tree Survey and Landscape Proposal (Appendix E), updated Swept Path Assessment (Appendix F), updated Environmental Assessment (EA) (Appendix G), and the replacement pages for the Geotechnical Planning Review Report (GPRR) (Appendix H). As mentioned above, no effluent (sewerage /surface runoff with potential contaminant) will be discharged into the river.

No.	Comments	Responses
	Water Pollution Control Ordinance at all time. (4) It is noted in reply to comment (r) of R-to-C Table that the working area of the proposed pre-treatment facilities (Block 16) will be enclosed by a low concrete containment curb as secondary containment. Besides, it is noted in paragraph 4.5.2 of Appendix D that pre-treatment facilities will include an equalization tank, an anaerobic tank, and a composite moving-bed biofilm reactor (C-MBBR) on the Site. Furthermore, Block 16 are uncovered and on ground level. In this regard, the applicant shall: (a) specify the design details of these facilities including but not limited to the material, leak-proof ability, water-proof ability, any sensor and/or alarm systems for abnormal conditions during the operation; (b) clarify the meaning of “basic engineering and operational measures of the pre-treatment facilities to reduce the risk of environmental contamination”; and	The facility will be designed and supplied as a prefabricated package plant with watertight construction, generally consistent with commercially available small-scale wastewater pre-treatment systems. Key treatment components, including the equalization tank, anaerobic tank and composite moving-bed biofilm reactor (MBBR), will be enclosed within the integrated unit and protected by its watertight housing. The treatment tanks are proposed to be constructed of carbon steel with appropriate anti-corrosion coating. In addition, a peripheral curb with a minimum height of 300 mm will be provided around the pre-treatment facility plinth to contain any incidental leakage or spillage. A drainage collection system will be incorporated within the facility area to collect any runoff or discharge. if any, will be directed via pipework to the public sewer. The treatment process comprises an equalization tank, an anaerobic tank, and a Composite Moving-Bed Biofilm Reactor (C-MBBR), which together are designed to reduce pollutant concentrations and improve wastewater quality.

No.	Comments	Responses
		Engineering Measures • Equalization Tank: The equalization tank serves as a buffer to balance fluctuations in wastewater flow and quality. By providing temporary storage and mixing, it helps maintain a more stable influent condition for downstream treatment processes and reduces the likelihood of process upsets caused by sudden hydraulic or pollutant loading variations. • Anaerobic Tank: The anaerobic tank facilitates the biological breakdown of organic matter under oxygen-free conditions. This treatment stage also contributes to the reduction of nitrogen and phosphorus compounds and improves the biodegradability of the wastewater prior to further treatment. • C-MBBR Treatment Unit: The C-MBBR provides advanced biological treatment through the combined action of attached biofilm and suspended biomass. Under normal operating conditions, the process is expected to further reduce organic matter, nutrients, and other pollutants, thereby improving effluent quality before discharge or reuse. Operational Measures • Routine monitoring of wastewater quality and system performance. • Regular inspection and maintenance of treatment equipment, pumps, and associated pipework. • Adjustment of operational parameters, where necessary, to maintain stable treatment performance.


No.	Comments	Responses
	(c) clarify whether the whole floor of Block 16 is water impermeable and provide mitigation measures against water ingress and the risks of system overload during adverse weather condition and in case of flooding. (5) Further to the above (4), it is not uncommon that the operation and maintenance of the proposed pre-treatment facilities involve sludge handling, cleaning and using chemicals for pH balance and bacterial growth. The applicant shall: (a) elaborate any involvement of chemicals during the operations; (b) specify the storage and treatment capacity of these facilities, and confirm whether these facilities are capable of handling all sewage production from the proposed development;	All process nozzles, breather vents and equipment openings will be located above the finished floor level within the containment curb, with key nozzles at least 0.3 m above floor level, to prevent stormwater from entering the tanks and reactors. Block 16 will have an impermeable concrete floor, a 300 mm high perimeter curb and edge drainage to collect surface runoff and convey it to the public sewer. All above-ground tanks are provided with watertight covers. And the freeboard of a reasonable height is reserved between the liquid level and the tank top. These measures will minimise water ingress during adverse weather and avoid overloading or disrupting the treatment system. The operation of the proposed pre-treatment facilities may involve the use of chemicals for wastewater treatment, including coagulants/flocculants (e.g. Polyaluminium Chloride and Polyacrylamide) to enhance solids removal, and disinfectants (e.g. Sodium Hypochlorite or Chlorine Dioxide) to control microbial growth. The specific chemical types and dosing rates will be determined during the detailed design stage by the future operator. All chemicals would be stored at indoor areas. Only animal wastewater will be conveyed to the integrated pre-treatment unit prior to discharge to the public sewerage system. Domestic sewage generated from other facilities within the proposed development will be directly discharged to the public sewerage system and will not require pre-treatment. The integrated pre-treatment unit is designed with a treatment capacity of 20 m³/day, which is sufficient to handle the cattle farm's total daily wastewater

No.	Comments	Responses
	(c) provide proposal on assessment of water pollution risks and impacts arising from the operation including preventive, monitoring and control measures; and (d) provide contingency plan against system breakdown and other emergency situations, especially the treatment process may be affected or suspended due to adverse weather conditions.	generation. The system also includes an equalization tank that provides buffer storage capacity to accommodate flow fluctuations and sludge handling requirements. Therefore, the proposed facilities are capable of handling all animal wastewater generated from the proposed development prior to discharge to the public sewerage system. The pre-treatment facility will treat all animal wastewater to the relevant EPD effluent discharge standards prior to discharge to the public sewerage system. No adverse water quality impact is anticipated during normal operation. To prevent water pollution risks, the treatment units will be located within an impermeable containment area with a 300 mm high perimeter curb to contain any accidental leakage or overflow. The facility will be inspected daily by trained farm staff to ensure proper operation and identify any signs of malfunction, leakage or abnormal conditions. In the event of equipment failure, shutdown or interruption of the treatment process, wastewater will not be discharged and will instead be collected and removed by tanker for off-site treatment, following the existing Farm 1.0 operation. Any leakage or spillage within the facility will be contained within the bunded area and directed to the public sewer via the drainage system. With the implementation of these measures, no unacceptable water pollution risks or impacts are anticipated during operation. The farm operator will prepare and implement an Emergency Response Plan covering system failure, adverse weather, flooding, power failure, chemical spills, emergency wastewater removal and notifications: 1) Before forecast rainstorms, inspect and clear drainage around the facility to prevent stormwater backflow; 2) The equalization tank will temporarily store wastewater pending tanker removal during emergencies; and 3) If treatment is interrupted, wastewater will be withheld and tankered off-site under the existing Farm 1.0

No.	Comments	Responses
	(6) It is noted in paragraph 5.5.2 of Supporting Planning Statement that Block 21 is reserved as isolation space for sick/injured animal. Details about the isolation space, including but not limited to the operation and management of sewage and clinical waste production have not been provided in the submission. (7) It is noted in paragraph 3.5.6 and 4.5.2 of Appendix D that wastewater generated from the barns will be collected in enclosed containers and conveyed for pre-treatment prior to discharge to the public sewerage system. A dry-wet separation process was involved as well. In this connection, the applicant shall: (a) specify the design, material and capacity of enclosed containers and dry-wet separation facilities to demonstrate that they are leak-proof, watertight and corrosion resistance; (b) review and indicate whether sensor and/or alarm systems for abnormal conditions will be provided; (c) provide the location plans of enclosed containers and dry-wet separation facilities; and	arrangement, while the equipment supplier is contacted immediately for repairs. Please be advised that the discussion of clinical waste has been included in Section 5.2.37 and 5.2.38 of the EA submitted in the formal submission. The assessment of wastewater generated from the isolation space is presented in Sections 4.5.5 to 4.5.6 of the updated EA (Appendix G refers to). Noted, "enclosed containers" have been removed from the updated DSIA. Based on the current design, the dry-wet separator and pre-treatment facility will be operated for only 1 to 2 hours per day and will be inspected daily by on-site staff to ensure proper operation and identify any abnormal conditions. Given the short operating duration and daily manual inspection regime, no dedicated sensor or alarm system is currently proposed. Please refer to the Respond on Item 4 and 5. The previously proposed enclosed containers will be removed from the design. Instead, all livestock sludge will be conveyed through watertight pipework. Two location options are currently being considered for the dry-wet separation facilities: Option 1: Two separators will be provided, with one located within Block 1 and the other within Block 2. Both facilities will be housed

No.	Comments	Responses
	(d) clarify the meaning of “fully enclosed area” as indicated in paragraph 3.5.6. (8) It is noted that in paragraph 3.5.10 of Appendix D that the applicant applies biochar to the barn floors, particularly during wet weather conditions. Applying biochar in this manner exposes it to wind blow, water contact, and stormwater ingress during adverse weather, creating a high risk of contaminant leaching into nearby drainage systems and surface runoff. Information about the application of biochar, including but not limited to the chemical composition, toxicological data, application procedure, quantity, frequency, mitigation measures and disposal plan shall be provided. (9) It is noted in paragraph 3.5.11 of Appendix D that the applicant proposes to build ozone generation system in Dairy Barn (Block 15) for deodorisation purpose. The operation and maintenance of the system shall not cause any	inside the barn and protected by roof coverage. Option 2: One separator will be shared among the barns. The facility will be located within the indoor space of Block 13. The proposed locations of the dry-wet separation facilities under both options are shown on the indicative location plans (Appendix I refers to). Noted, “fully enclosed area” has been removed from the updated DSIA. Biochar has historically been applied exclusively within covered, indoor livestock housing areas as a surface dressing to manage moisture and hygiene. It is not applied outdoors. Due to its density and indoor application, wind-blown dispersal is negligible; during extreme weather or severe typhoon conditions, barn shutters are fully closed. All manure, bedding, and associated organic waste are collected and regularly removed from the site by licensed waste management contractors for proper disposal, ensuring no discharge into surface runoff or watercourses. Furthermore, the proposed farm redevelopment includes automated robotic cleaning systems that will clean housing floors 15 to 20 times daily (up from twice daily). This continuous waste removal will substantially reduce or eliminate the operational need for biochar altogether, providing superior hygiene and odour control. Noted. Please refer to Section 4.5.9 of the updated EA. Please refer to Appendix G for the updated EA.

No.	Comments	Responses
	contamination and leaching of contaminants to WGG. (10) Please advise the operation and maintenance strategy for the surface runoff holding tank to ensure its proper functioning and no cross contamination with water in the stormwater pump pit.	The holding tank will be operated independently. No overflow from the holding tank will enter the stormwater pump pit and thus, no cross contamination is anticipated.
2.	Drainage Services Department, dated 27 August 2026 DSD has the following comments on the application from public drainage viewpoint: <u>Drainage Impact Assessment</u> Comments from Mainland North Division (MND), DSD a) Section 3.2.5 & RtoC (i) — Peripheral surface channels with sufficient capacity shall be provided along the site boundary to collect the surface runoff accrued on the application site <u>and</u> to intercept the overland flow from the adjacent lands. It is understood that there is <u>no</u> proper existing drainage system provided by private owners or government.	Noted, please refer to the following responses and Appendix B for the replacement pages of the updated DSIA. Please take note there is an existing channel running along the site boundary within government land to intercept and convey the overland flow from the adjacent slope at hillside. As a result, there is no stormwater from the hillside discharge to the application site. Please refer to below topography record, this public channel runs from southwest to northeast and connects to an existing catchpit in government land. On the other hand, peripheral surface channels with sufficient capacity have been provided along and within the site boundary to collect the surface runoff accrued on the application site. Should the planning application be approved by the Town Planning Board (TPB), a drainage proposal will be submitted. As well as the mitigation and preventive measures identified therein will be implemented to the satisfaction of DSD. Nevertheless, given the complexity of the proposed mitigation and preventive measures, the Applicant requests for a longer prescribed time limit if approval conditions are imposed.

No.	Comments	Responses
	b) RtoC (j) — Lands Department confirmed that the existing drainage system outside the site boundary is <u>not</u> maintained nor managed by them. Therefore, the external catchment	 The existing channel, located on government land, intercepts and conveys surface runoff from the hillside (the external catchment). As this runoff is already managed by the

No.	Comments	Responses
	should be considered in the calculation. c) Figure No. B4 — Please demonstrate how the holding tank can collect the first flush only. With the current arrangement, after collecting the first flush, the incoming water will mix with the first flush and thus become contaminated water when overflow the weir to the pump pit. d) Catchpits should be provided at <u>all</u> turning point of U channel. e) Please clarify whether the outfall is submerged at Lam Tsuen River. If not, a pressure release chamber should be provided before discharging to Lam Tsuen River. f) RtoC (e) — The surface runoff from the surrounding areas passing through the site area should be included in the calculation. In addition, if this application has been approved for temporary use for 3 years, there may be a potential of further application for renewal of this use in the future. Therefore, as per Table 28 of Corrigendum No. 1/2022 of the SDM, the rainfall increases due to climate change by the end of the 21st century (16.0%) should be considered in the design. Furthermore, Table 31 of the same Corrigendum specifies that a design allowance for a rainfall increase of 12.1% (by the end of the 21st century) should be incorporated into the design. Sewerage Impact Assessment Comments from Land Drainage Division (LDD), DSD	channel, no hillside stormwater will discharge into the application site. In view of the above, it is considered reasonable to exclude the existing catchment from the calculation. The holding tank design is updated. There will be no overflow between the holding tank and the pump pit. Please refer to Appendix B for the replacement pages of the updated DSIA. The details of the drainage design within the site boundary will be submitted for BD's approval. All the drainage systems within the site will be managed by CityU Farm and will not be handed over to DSD. Noted, pressure release chamber will be provided before connecting to the public outfall. Please refer to Appendix B for the replacement pages of the updated DSIA. Please refer to the RtoC (a) and (b), the surface runoff from the surrounding area has been intercepted by existing government channel and it will not pass through the site. In view of the above, it is considered reasonable to exclude the existing catchment from the calculation. Please note that the proposed development is intended for temporary teaching farm use over the coming three years only, while the long-term use of the site has yet to be confirmed. On this basis, and having regard to the relatively short planning horizon, it is considered reasonable that the climate change factor is not applied in this submission.

No.	Comments	Responses
	g) RtoC (s) — Please verify if the Lam Tsuen Valley Sewage Pumping Station has spare capacity to accommodate the additional sewage from the proposed development. The applicant may wish to seek advice from the Environmental Protection Department accordingly. Comments from MND, DSD h) Section 4.4.3 — Please demonstrate how to keep the discharge flow rate not exceed 20ℓ/s.	Noted, the submission has been circulated to EPD and no objective comments have been received. The pipe gradient and size will be designed to limit the flow. Valves and stainless steel orifice plate will be used to further control the flow.
3.	Planning Department, District Planning Office/Shia Tin, Tai Po and North, dated 9 September 2026 DPO/STN, PlanD has the following comments on the submitted Supporting Planning Statement and Appendix A Architectural Drawing for the Proposed Development: 1. Please advise on the estimated trip generation of the operational vehicles (e.g., trucks for delivering cattle and supplies, etc.) arising from the proposed use. 2. Drawing No. A003: Please provide details of the structures and surrounding roads as indicated on the section plan, and indicate which layout plan the section plan corresponds to. 3. Please provide the GFA and footprint area (for multi-storey structures) for each of the proposed structures.	Please be advised that the estimated trip generation arising from the proposed use is around 4 times a day, including delivery for milk, supplies, feed etc. Noted, please refer to Appendix C for the updated Architectural Drawing No. A003. Noted, please refer to Appendix J for the details GFA and footprint area (for multi-storey structures) for each of the proposed structures.
4.	Planning Department, District Planning Office/Shia Tin, Tai Po and North, dated 10 September 2026 Please find the following comments from this Office on the submitted Supporting Planning Statement:	

No.	Comments	Responses
	1. Table 4.3.2 and Figure No. 4.1: The name of Block 6A is indicated as "Machine Store" in the Table 4.3.2, but on Figure No. 4.1 as "Manure Store Block". Please clarify.	Noted, Block 6A should be referred to as "Machine Store". Figure No. 4.1 has been updated accordingly to ensure consistency with Table 4.3.2. Please refer to Appendix D for the replacement pages of the updated SPS.
5.	Planning Department, District Planning Office/Shia Tin, Tai Po and North, dated 10 September 2026 Please find the following comments from this Office on your 1st Further Information submitted to the Town Planning Board on 9.9.2026: 1. R to C Table Item No. 6 Response (5) (Page 7): Please further delineate the meaning of "up to 60 students and 10 staff be authorized to be present on-site at any one time, including but not limited to advising how many times each student/staff is expected to visit the Site per year.	Please be advised that the "up to 60 students and 10 staff be authorized to be present on-site at any one time" is the largest number of students that will be presented on the farm during the teaching of a specific course for Year 1 students under the programme. The students will split into 3 groups, for a half day teaching activity on the site once during the academic year. For other courses designed for students in Year 2-6, a smaller group of students (below 30) will be visiting the farm every time, with a number of repeats no more than 20 times a year, subject to the curriculum of specific courses.

(Last updated 11 September 2026)

Appendix B

Replacement Pages of the updated
Drainage and Sewerage Impact Assessment

3. Drainage Impact Assessment

3.1 Existing Drainage System

- 3.1.1 Lam Tsuen River is located approx. 100m far on the north of the site. There is an existing DN450 rising main along Ngau Kwu Leng Path to convey the collected surface runoff from the Site to the nearby Lam Tsuen River. The existing drainage records are shown in **Appendix B**.

3.2 Proposed Drainage System

- 3.2.1 The existing DN450 D.I pipe will be kept for conveying the collected surface runoff from the site after its expansion.
- 3.2.2 To manage potentially contaminated surface runoff from the carriageway and car park areas within Farm 1.0 (Site B) and Farm 2.0 (Site C&D), a separate drainage system, together with a holding tank, is proposed to collect and retain this runoff. The holding tank is designed to handle runoff generated from carriageway and carparking area during a 1-in-50 year rainstorm event. All potentially contaminated flow collected and stored in the holding tank will be discharged to the existing sewers along Hang Kai Lane, effectively preventing potentially polluted water from entering natural waterways. There is road curb between the road and landscape area and surface channel is provided along the boundary of the potentially contaminated area. Flood barriers will be provided at the boundary of the potentially contaminated area when needed. Details of the capacity assessment of sewerage systems is included in Section 4 of this Report.
- 3.2.3 Conversely, runoff from roof and landscaped areas, which is considered as uncontaminated, will be collected and pumped directly for discharge into Lam Tsuen River.




Figure 3-1 Proposed Stormwater Management Diagram³

- 3.2.4 Farm 2.0 (Site A) comprises roof and landscape area, the surface runoff from Site A will be conveyed to stormwater pump pit in Farm 1.0 (Site B) and Farm 2.0 (Site C&D) and further discharge to Lam Tsuen River.
- 3.2.5 Perimeter channel is provided along the site boundary to intercept and convey the runoff generated within the site.
- 3.2.6 To The proposed drainage system for Farm1.0+2.0 are shown in **Appendix B**

3.3 Design Criteria

- 3.3.1 The drainage impact assessment is undertaken in accordance with the guidelines set out in:
- DSD's Stormwater Drainage Manual – Planning, Design and Management, Fifth Edition, January 2018 (SDM);
 - DSD's SDM Corrigendum No.1/2022 and SDM Corrigendum No.1/2024 and No.2/2024;
 - DSD Advice Note No. 1 - Application of the Drainage Impact Assessment Process to Private Sector Projects ;
 - ProPECC PN2/24 Construction Site Drainage

Flood Protection Standard

- 3.3.2 In accordance with DSD's SDM, the flood protection standard for drainage system is shown in **Table 3-1**.

Table 3-1 Flood Protection Standard: Design Return Periods based on Flood Levels

Intensively Used Agricultural Land	2 – 5 years
Village Drainage including Internal Drainage System under a Polder Scheme	10 years ^{1, 3}
Main Rural Catchment Drainage Channels	50 years ^{2, 3}
Urban Drainage Trunk Systems	200 years ⁴
Urban Drainage Branch Systems	50 years ⁴

³ Subject to detail design, the location of Block18, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

Notes:

1. The impact of a 50-year event should be assessed in each village to check whether a higher standard than 10 years can be justified.
2. Embanked channels must be capable of passing a 200-year flood within banks.
3. For definitions of Village Drainage and Main Rural Catchment Drainage Channels, refer to Section 6.6.1 of SDM.
4. For definitions of Urban Drainage Branch and Urban Drainage Trunk Systems, refer to Section 6.6.2 of SDM

- 3.3.3 According to Table 3-1, 50-year flood protection standard for drainage system was adopted for the Site as it discharged to Lam Tsuen River which is categorised as Main Rural Catchment Drainage Channel. 200-year flood protection standard was adopted for assessing the impact from the proposed site development to Lam Tsuen River.

Surface Runoff Estimation

- 3.3.4 For the purpose of runoff calculation, runoff coefficients of 0.95 and 0.35 have been adopted for the paved areas and unpaved areas of the existing drainage catchment areas in accordance with SDM.
- 3.3.5 Peak instantaneous runoff has been calculated using the Rational Method in accordance with Section 7.5.2 of SDM and assuming uniform intensity rainfall.

$$Q = 0.278CiA$$

Where Q – the runoff (m^3/s)
 i – the rainfall intensity (mm/hr)
 A – the catchment area (km^2)
 C – the runoff coefficient

Rainfall Intensity

- 3.3.6 The average rainfall intensity (i) is estimated on the basis of the design rainfall duration and return period from the IDF relationships provided in Section 4.3.3 and Table 3 of the SDM, using the following formula:

$$i = \frac{a}{(t_d + b)^c}$$

Where: i – extreme mean intensity in mm/hr
 t_d – duration in minutes
 a, b, c – storm constants (from Table 3a of the SDM)

- 3.3.7 For 2 year and 50 year return period rainfall event, the storm constants used to calculate the rainfall intensity are shown in **Table 3-2**:

Table 3-2 Storm Constants for 2 Year/50 Year/200 Year Return Period Rainfall Event

Return Period (year)	a	b	c
2	446.1	3.38	0.463
50	505.5	3.29	0.355
200	508.8	3.46	0.322

Effect of Sedimentation

3.3.8 According to Section 9.3 of SDM, the following effect of flow capacity due to materials deposited on the bed is considered in this assessment:

- (i) 5% reduction in flow area if the gradient is greater than 1 in 25.
- (ii) 10% reduction in flow area in other cases.

3.4 Assessment Results

Surface runoff to Lam Tsuen River

3.4.1 Catchment and landuse plan before and after farm expansion is shown in **Appendix A**. Preliminary hydraulic calculation for assessing the increased surface runoff under 1-50years rainfall event is shown in **Appendix C**. The summary of the catchment characteristics and surface runoff is shown in **Table 3-3**

Table 3-3 Summary of Catchment Characteristics and Surface Runoff

Catchment ID	Existing Condition			After Farm Expansion			Increase in Surface runoff (m ³ /s)	Flooding Black Spot
	Paved Area (m ²)	Unpaved Area (m ²)	Surface Runoff (m ³ /s)	Paved Area (m ²)	Unpaved Area (m ²)	Surface Runoff (m ³ /s)		
Farm 1.0(Site B)+Farm 2.0(Site C&D)	6219	8634	0.590	9797	5056	0.732	0.142	No
Farm 2.0 (Site A)	190	401	0.021	253	338	0.024	0.002	No


3.4.2 Based on the preliminary hydraulic assessment in **Appendix C**, the existing DN450 D.I pipe will be sufficient for conveying the pumped stormwater from site to the downstream Lam Tsuen River. The surface runoff generated from the Site only takes up 0.3% of the total capacity of Lam Tsuen River under 1 in 200 year rainfall event and the proposed development would result in a water level rise of only 1.5mm in Lam Tsuen River. Therefore, it is expected that there is no drainage impact to the existing Lam Tsuen River.

Potentially contaminated surface runoff


3.4.3 Based on the preliminary hydraulic assessment in **Appendix C**, the holding tank designed for storing 1 in 50 year rainfall event from carriageway and car park area in Farm 1.0(Site B) +Farm 2.0(Site C&D) is 208 m³. The stored surface runoff will be discharged to the existing sewers along Hang kai Lane. The discharge flow rate is 20L/s based on the assessment of the spare capacity of the existing sewers as stated in Section 4.4.3.

3.5 Flooding Susceptibility


3.5.1 Referring to topo information of the site, the application site ground level is varying from around +20.1mPD to + 23.8mPD which is much higher than the design extreme sea level of 1 in 50 return period which is 4.41mPD referring to DSD SDM Corrigendum No.1/2022 Table 8. There is no record of flood blackspot found for the application site or adjacent area. In general, foreseeing there is slim chance of the application site been affected by backwater effect under extreme weather.



Subject to detail design, the location of the




 香港城市大學 City University of Hong Kong	Job Title Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories	Drawing Title Existing and Proposed Drainage System Existing DN450 D.I. Pipe Connecting Farm Site and Lam Tsuen River	ARUP Scale: NTS Drawn by: Date: 07/2026 Checked: Approved: Job No. 312796 Figure No. B.2
---	---	---	---



Subject to detail design, the location of the holding tank, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

 香港城市大學 City University of Hong Kong	Job Title Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories	Drawing Title Existing and Proposed Drainage System Layout Plan for Proposed Drainage System	ARUP Scale: NTS Drawn by: Date: 07/2026 Checked: Approved: Job No. 312796 Figure No. B.3
---	---	---	--



Subject to detail design, the location of the holding tank, which is shown underground on the plan, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

APPENDIX A - CALCULATION FOR SIZING OF HOLDING TANK
For Farm Carriageway and Parking Area

In accordance with *DSD Stormwater Drainage Manual (SDM) Planning, Design and Management (Fifth Edition, January 2018) and SDM Corrigendum No. 1/2022* and *SDM Corrigendum No. 1/2024*, ProPECC PN2/24 Construction Site Drainage

Storm constants:

Return Period (years)	a	b	c
50	505.5	3.29	0.355

Notes:

[1] Storm constants from Table 3a of SDM were adopted

Rainfall intensity (i):

$$i = \frac{a}{(t_d + b)^c}$$
(Gumbel Equation)

a, b, c Storm constants

t_d Rainfall duration

Rainfall duration (t_d):

$$t_d = t_c = t_o + t_f$$

t_c Time of concentration

t_o Inlet time

t_f Flow time

Inlet time (t_o):

$$t_o = \frac{0.14465L}{H^{0.2}A^{0.1}}$$
(Bransby Williams Equation)

L Longest distance measured on the line of natural flow between the summit and point under consideration (m)

H Average slope (m per 100m)

A Sub-catchment area (m²)

Runoff coefficient (C):

The following runoff coefficients were applied for existing contributing catchments:

C _P	C _U
0.95	0.35

$$C = \frac{(C_P A_P + C_U A_U)}{A_T}$$

C_P Runoff coefficient for paved area

C_U Runoff coefficient for unpaved area

A_P Paved catchment area

A_U Unpaved catchment area

A_T Total catchment area

Peak runoff (Q):

$$Q = 0.278CiA$$
(Rational Method)


ESTIMATION OF PEAK RUNOFF

Contributing Catchment ^[1]	A	A _P	A _U	C	i ^[1]	Q	
	(m ²)	(m ²)	(m ²)		(mm/hr)	(m ³ /s)	(l/s)
Farm1.0 (Site B)+ Farm 2.0(Site C&D) - Carriageway and Parking Area	2,746	2,746	0	0.95	239	0.173	173.0

Notes:

[1] Assuming t_d =5 min for internal catchment.

Retention Time	20	min
Total Volume of Rain	208	m ³



Subject to detail design, the location of the holding tank, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure

Appendix C

Updated Architectural Drawings



*Subject to detail design, the location of Block18, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

B.L.D REF -

F.S.D REF -

Rev.	Date	Description	Drawn

GENERAL NOTES:
1.DO NOT SCALE DRAWING. FIGURED DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE FOLLOWED.
2.ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE CHECKED AT SITE BY THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.
3.ALL RIGHT RESERVED. REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM MUST BE APPROVED BY CLIENT/OWNER.
4.READ THIS DRAWING IN CONNECTION WITH GENERAL ARCHITECTURAL PLANS, STRUCTURAL PLANS, AND OTHER RELATED DRAWINGS.

Site Boundary

Non-Building Line

Greenery Area

Existing Buildings

Proposed New Buildings

Proposed Underground Structure

CLIENT:

CityU

香港城市大學
City University of Hong Kong

LEAD CONSULTANT:

ARUP

CONTRACT NO.:

CDO/CPD/2/159/21

JOB TITLE:

APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION UNDER SECTION 16 OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE (CAP. 131) FOR PROPOSED TEMPORARY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION (TEACHING FARM) FOR A PERIOD OF THREE YEARS AND EXCAVATION OF LAND AT VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D. 16, WO TONG PUI, TAI PO, NEW TERRITORIES

DRAWING TITLE:

MASTER LAYOUT PLAN

DRAWING NO:

A000

SCALE

1:750 @A3

ISSUED DATE:

01/09/2026

DRAWN BY:

JS

REV.

CHECKED BY:

FY



B.L.D REF -

F.S.D REF -

Rev.	Date	Description	Drawn

GENERAL NOTES:
1.DO NOT SCALE DRAWING. FIGURED DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE FOLLOWED.
2.ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE CHECKED AT SITE BY THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.
3.ALL RIGHT RESERVED. REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM MUST BE APPROVED BY CLIENT/OWNER.
4.READ THIS DRAWING IN CONNECTION WITH GENERAL ARCHITECTURAL PLANS, STRUCTURAL PLANS, AND OTHER RELATED DRAWINGS.

Site Boundary

Non-Building Line

Greenery Area

Proposed Underground Structure

CLIENT:

CityU

香港城市大學
City University of Hong Kong

LEAD CONSULTANT:

ARUP

CONTRACT NO.:

CDO/CPD/2/159/21

JOB TITLE:

APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION UNDER SECTION 16 OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE (CAP. 131) FOR PROPOSED TEMPORARY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION (TEACHING FARM) FOR A PERIOD OF THREE YEARS AND EXCAVATION OF LAND AT VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D. 16, WO TONG PUI, TAI PO, NEW TERRITORIES

DRAWING TITLE:

MASTER LAYOUT PLAN (G/F)

DRAWING NO:

SCALE

A001

1:750 @A3

ISSUED DATE:

01/09/2026


DRAWN BY:

JS

REV.

CHECKED BY:

FY



*Subject to detailed design, the location of Block18, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

B.L.D REF -

F.S.D REF -

Rev.	Date	Description	Drawn

GENERAL NOTES:
1.DO NOT SCALE DRAWING. FIGURED DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE FOLLOWED.
2.ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE CHECKED AT SITE BY THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.
3.ALL RIGHT RESERVED. REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM MUST BE APPROVED BY CLIENT/OWNER.
4.READ THIS DRAWING IN CONNECTION WITH GENERAL ARCHITECTURAL PLANS, STRUCTURAL PLANS, AND OTHER RELATED DRAWINGS.

Site Boundary

Non-Building Line

Greenery Area

Proposed Underground Structure

CLIENT:

CityU

香港城市大學
City University of Hong Kong

LEAD CONSULTANT:

ARUP

CONTRACT NO.:

CDO/CPD/2/159/21

JOB TITLE:

APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION UNDER SECTION 16 OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE (CAP. 131) FOR PROPOSED TEMPORARY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION (TEACHING FARM) FOR A PERIOD OF THREE YEARS AND EXCAVATION OF LAND AT VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D. 16, WO TONG PUI, TAI PO, NEW TERRITORIES

DRAWING TITLE:

MASTER LAYOUT PLAN (R/F)

DRAWING NO:

A002

SCALE

1:750 @A3

ISSUED DATE:

01/09/2026


DRAWN BY:

JS

REV.

CHECKED BY:

FY



KEY MAP

B.L.D REF -			
F.S.D REF -			
Rev.	Date	Description	Drawn
GENERAL NOTES:			
1.DO NOT SCALE DRAWING. FIGURED DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE FOLLOWED.			
2.ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE CHECKED AT SITE BY THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.			
3.ALL RIGHT RESERVED. REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM MUST BE APPROVED BY CLIENT/OWNER.			
4.READ THIS DRAWING IN CONNECTION WITH GENERAL ARCHITECTURAL PLANS, STRUCTURAL PLANS, AND OTHER RELATED DRAWINGS.			

Site Boundary



ARUP

CONTRACT NO.: CDO/CPD/2/159/21

JOB TITLE:
APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION UNDER SECTION 16 OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE (CAP. 131) FOR PROPOSED TEMPORARY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION (TEACHING FARM) FOR A PERIOD OF THREE YEARS AND EXCAVATION OF LAND AT VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D. 16, WO TONG PUI, TAI PO, NEW TERRITORIES

DRAWING TITTLE:
SITE SECTION AA

DRAWING NO:	SCALE
A003	1:750 @A3

ISSUED DATE: 11/09/2026

DRAWN BY:	JS	REV.
CHECKED BY:	FY	

*Subject to detailed design, the location of Block18, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

Appendix D

Replacement Pages of the updated
Supporting Planning Statement

Executive Summary

This Planning Statement is submitted under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap.131) in support of the Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at various lots in D.D. 16 in Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po (“the Application Site”).

The Application Site, with an area of about 15,444m², falls within the “Recreation” (“REC”) zone on the Approved Lam Tsuen Outline Zoning Plan (“OZP”) No. S/NE-LT/11. The Application Site consists of (i) the area currently used as a teaching farm (temporary educational institution under the approved planning application (No. A/NE-LT/788) (about 9,274 m²), (ii) an area immediately adjoining the existing teaching farm (about 5,579 m²) and (iii) an area across Ngau Kwu Leng Path (about 591m²). With a view to facilitate teaching and learning of veterinary medicine in Hong Kong, the Applicant aims to expand the existing temporary teaching farm (i.e. Farm1.0) with the two adjoining areas currently left vacant (i.e. Farm2.0) for a combined site development with the provision of new and upgraded facilities (i.e. the “Proposed Development”).

The existing Farm1.0 has been operated by the Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences of the City University of Hong Kong (CityUHK) since September 2022. As Hong Kong’s only working dairy farm, it is an essential facility for veterinary students to gain hands-on experience with the cattle and for promoting one health, public health, research into zoonotic diseases, and animal welfare. With the increasing number of students enrolled in the CityUHK’s Bachelor of Veterinary Medicine (BVM) programme, expansion of the existing Farm1.0 is required to provide much-needed facilities in supporting the farm’s daily operation for teaching and learning in the coming academic years. The Proposed Development, covering the existing Farm1.0 and proposed Farm2.0, will consist of 20 blocks of buildings blocks/ structures (including 1 uncovered overground structure, 1 underground structure and 1 underground/ uncovered overground structure subject to detail design) with a total gross floor area (GFA) of about 4,461m². The proposed building height (BH) for non-domestic building will be ranged 3.4-9.5m, with provision of caretaker’s (including both students and staff) accommodation of 3 storeys (9.5m). Excavation of land with a depth ranging from 1.3m-3.6m is also proposed in association with the construction works of the building blocks, as well as the proposed underground facilities, and emergency vehicular access (EVA).

With the Proposed Development, the following planning merits could be achieved:

- Contributing to the development of veterinarian studies in Hong Kong
- Providing sufficient space to accommodate essential components for the farm operation
- Responding to the needs of accommodation for effective farm management
- Ensuring compatibility with the surrounding context
- Would not be bringing adverse impacts to the surrounding environment
- Having proven precedents and operational track record as an operating farm with the highest international standards

In light of the planning merits and justifications put forward, we sincerely seek favourable consideration from the Town Planning Board (TPB) on this Section 16 Application.

行政摘要

(內文如與英文版本有任何差異，應以英文版本為準)

本規劃綱領乃根據《城市規劃條例》（第 131 章）第 16 條擬備及提交，以支持有關位於大埔禾堂背丈量約份第 16 約多個地段（下稱「申請地點」）的規劃申請，擬議作臨時教育機構（教學農場）（為期三年），以及進行相關的挖土工程。

申請地點面積約 15,444 平方米，位於《林村分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/NE-LT/11》中劃為「康樂」的地帶。申請地點包括：(i) 現時用作教學農場的範圍（根據規劃申請（編號 A/NE-LT/788）已獲批准作臨時教育機構用途）（面積約 9,274 平方米）；(ii) 緊接現有教學農場的用地（面積約 5,579 平方米）；以及 (iii) 位於牛牯嶺徑對面的用地（面積約 591 平方米）。為促進香港獸醫學的教學與實習，申請人擬將現有的臨時教學農場（即農場 1.0）擴展至上述兩幅相鄰、目前空置的用地（即農場 2.0），以作整體發展並提供全新及升級的設施（即擬議發展）。

現有的農場 1.0 自 2022 年 9 月起由香港城市大學賽馬會動物醫學及生命科學院營運。作為香港唯一運作的奶牛場，該農場為獸醫學生提供與牛隻直接接觸的實務培訓機會，對推動同一健康、公共衛生、人畜共通病研究及動物福利均具關鍵作用。隨着香港城市大學獸醫學學士課程的學生人數持續增加，有需要擴展現有的農場 1.0，以提供所需設施支援農場在未來學年的日常營運及教學需要。擬議發展涵蓋現有農場 1.0 及擬議的農場 2.0，將包括 20 座建築物/構築物（包括 1 個露天構築物，1 個地下結構和 1 個地下／露天構築物（須於詳細設計階段進一步確定），總樓面面積約為 4,461 平方米。擬議發展的非住宅建築物高度約從 3.4 - 9.5 米，並提供三層（約 9.5 米）的看守員（包括學生和職員）宿舍。同時，申請人亦擬議進行深度約 1.3 米至 3.6 米的挖土工程，以配合建築物施工、地下設施及緊急車輛通道（EVA）的建設。

擬議發展將就規劃方面帶來以下規劃增益：

- 促進香港獸醫學教育的發展
- 提供充足空間以容納農場運作所需的核心設施
- 回應對有效農場管理所需的住宿設施
- 確保與周邊環境的相容性
- 不會對周圍環境帶來負面影響
- 作為一個已有實際營運經驗及良好運作、並符合最高國際標準的農場

基於上述提出的規劃增益和理據，我們懇請城市規劃委員會批准是次規劃申請。

ranging from 3.4m to 9.5m), 1 underground structure and 1 underground/ uncovered overground structure subject to detail design, with a total gross floor area (GFA) of 4,461m². The buildings blocks will be mainly used for dairy farm operation, such as barns, stores, the main building office and other supporting facilities. In responding to the needs of providing up-to-standard accommodation for caretakers, including both students and staff, a domestic building of not more than 3 storeys (9.5m) is also proposed. Excavation of land with a depth ranging from 1.3m-3.6m is also proposed in association with the construction works of the building blocks, as well as the proposed underground facilities and emergency vehicular access (EVA).

1.1.8 The structure of this Supporting Planning Statement is as below:

- **Section 2** describes the context of the Application Site and its surrounding areas;
- **Section 3** explains the planning context of the Application Site;
- **Section 4** discusses the Proposed Development in detail with its key development parameters, and design considerations; and
- **Section 5** presents various planning justifications in support of this Planning Application.

1.1.9 We sincerely seek the favourable consideration from the TPB to grant approval to this well-justified S16 Application.

4. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AT THE APPLICATION SITE

4.1 Facilitating the expansion and operation of CityUHK Farm for Veterinary Medicine study in Hong Kong

- 4.1.1 Since the opening of the existing Farm1.0 in 2022, the BVM program has been highly successful with increasing numbers of students. As the only active dairy farm in Hong Kong for veterinary medicine students to fulfil the programme requirement of achieving day one competencies in all domestic animal species, the Applicant is keen to take forward an expansion of the existing farm1.0 with the development of farm 2.0 on the Application Site, which will provide much-needed facilities to fulfil the operational needs of the teaching farm through a combined site development.
- 4.1.2 Some general planning and design principles have been formulated to determine the development scale and design layout of the Proposed Development. They are presented in **Section 4.2** below.

4.2 Key Planning and Design Principles

- 4.2.1 **Optimising and rationalising the site with an efficient layout for the provision of new and upgraded facilities** - The Proposed Development is intended to optimise the two areas adjoining the existing Farm1.0 but currently left vacant, providing an additional 6,114m² (+ 66%) of developable area under a combined sites approach. The expansion area will accommodate 3 nos. of new non-domestic building blocks of supporting infrastructure for the farm operation (including dairy barn, storage shed and isolation space), 1 new accommodation block with 10 nos. of standard beds, 1 overground uncovered pre-treatment facilities, 1 no. of underground stormwater pump pit and 1 no. of underground/ uncovered overground holding tank (subject to detail design) to facilitate effective farm management of the CityUHK Farm.
- 4.2.2 Opportunities will also be taken to upgrade 12 existing building blocks under Farm1.0, including the beef cattle barn, young stock barn, main building office, changing room, machine store, feed store block, transformer & generator room block, fire service, sprinkler system & pump room blocks, store, sewage treatment unit, and micro-filtration unit. In addition, 1 existing building blocks will be further re-purposed with new use, including laboratory & pharmacy (re-purposed from the existing caretaker quarter in Block 5 which will be provided in the new accommodation block).
- 4.2.3 **In line with the internationally recognised best practices in farm design** - The Proposed Development is designed according to the highest possible international standards for sustainable development. State-of-the-art systems will be in place to ensure it is environmentally friendly and uphold the stringent standards of environmental and social responsibility. The design is based on those farms operating overseas with similar climate, ensuring that the waste management systems, hygiene, animal health and welfare,

and management systems and processes are based on a proven and existing fit-for-purpose design.

- 4.2.4 **Ensuring a compatible development intensity with the surroundings** - The Application Site is situated in Wo Tong Pui, Lam Tsuen with the surrounding area predominantly rural in character comprising active and fallow agricultural land, scattered tree groups and village houses. The Proposed Development adopts a predominantly low-rise profile, with most structures designed as single-storey buildings not exceeding 6m in height, thereby minimise visual prominence and maintain compatibility with the existing settlement. The proposed accommodation block is also carefully designed with a appropriate development intensity which is comparable to the village settlements concentrated within the adjoining “V” zone (i.e. village house with a maximum building height of 3 storeys (8.23m)). The proposed accommodation block is incorporated into the northern portion of the Application Site, which achieve a balanced integration with its surroundings while accommodating the necessary facilities to support farm operations.
- 4.2.5 Please refer to **Appendix A** for the Architectural Drawings for the Proposed Development.

4.3 Key Development Parameters

- 4.3.1 The Proposed Development consists of 17 non-domestic building blocks/ structures (including 1 uncovered and 16 covered single-storey with BH ranging from 3.4m to 9.5m), 1 caretaker’s accommodation with not more than three storey (9.5m), 1 underground structure and 1 underground/ uncovered overground structure (subject to detail design) for the farm operation on the Application Site with a total GFA of 4,461m².
- 4.3.2 Excavation of land with a depth ranging from 1.3m-3.6m is also proposed in association with the construction works of the building blocks, as well as the proposed underground stormwater pump pit, the potential underground holding tank (if not located overground subject to detail design), and EVA.
- 4.3.3 The proposed development parameters are summarised in **Table 4.3.1**. Detail of the building blocks are summarised in **Table 4.3.2** below and indicated in **Figure 4.1**.

Table 4.3.1 Key Development Parameters of the Proposed Development

Key Development Parameters		Proposed Development	Existing Development (approved under No. A/NE-LT/788)	Differences (+/-) (%)
Application Site Area (about)		15,444m ²	9,330m ²	+6,114m ² (+66%)
Total Gross Floor Area (GFA) (about) ⁽¹⁾		4,461m ²	2,715m ²	+1,746m ² (+64%)
• Non-domestic GFA (about)		3,992m ²	2,648m ²	+1,344m ² (+51%)
• Domestic GFA (about)		469m ²	67m ²	+402m ² (+600%)
Plot Ratio (PR) (about)		0.29	0.29	No change
• Non-domestic PR (about)		0.26	0.28	-0.02 (-7%)
• Domestic PR (about)		0.03	0.007	0.023 (+328.57%)
Building Height				
Non-domestic	No. of Storeys	1 storey ⁽³⁾	1 storey	No change
	Building Height	About 3.4- 9.5m ⁽³⁾	About 5.8m	+3.7m (+64%)
Domestic	No. of Storeys	Not more than 3 storeys	1 storey	+ 2 storeys
	Building Height	Not more than 9.5m	Not more than 3.5m	+6m (+171.43%)
No. of Blocks/ Structures		20 (including 1 uncovered overground structure, 1 underground structure and 1 underground/ uncovered overground structure)	13	+7
• Non-domestic		19 (including 1 uncovered overground structure, 1 underground structure and 1 underground/ uncovered overground structure)	12	+7
• Domestic		1	1	No change
Site Coverage		About 27%	Not more than 29.1%	-2.1% (-7%)
Ancillary Car Parking Provision ⁽²⁾				
No. of Car Parking Spaces		9 (Including 1 nos. accessible car parking)	3 (Including 1 nos. accessible car parking)	+6
No. of Motorcycle Parking Space		0	0	No change

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

Key Development Parameters	Proposed Development	Existing Development (approved under No. A/NE-LT/788)	Differences (+/-) (%)
No. of Loading/Unloading (L/UL) Bay	3	2	+1
Completion Year	2027 (tentative)	2022 (operation)	-

Remarks:

- (1) In accordance with Building (Planning) Regulation 23(3)(b) and APP-2, the uncovered outdoor facilities (i.e. Pre-Treatment Facilities) (about 440 m²), the underground structure (i.e. Stormwater Pump Pit) (about 108m²), and the underground/uncovered overground structure subject to detail design (i.e. Holding Tank) (about 174m²) are proposed to be exempted from GFA calculation.
- (2) The car parking spaces to be provided at ground floor level are not included in the GFA calculation.
- (3) Excluding uncovered ground floor structures.

Table 4.3.2 Proposed Building Blocks under the Proposed Development


#		Facilities
1	Existing building blocks in Farm1.0 with proposed upgrade	Block 1 – Beef Cattle Barn
2		Block 2 - Young Stock Barn
3		Block 3 - Main Building Block
4		Block 4 - Changing Room Block
5		Block 5 - Laboratory & Pharmacy ⁽¹⁾
6		Block 6A – Machine Store
7		Block 7- Feed Store Block
8		Block 9 – Transformer & Generation Room Block
9		Block 10 – Fire Service, Sprinkler System & Pump Room Block
10		Block 11- Fire Service, Sprinkler System & Pump Room Block
11		Block 12 – Store
12		Block 13 - Sewage Treatment Unit
13		Block 14 - Micro-Filtration Unit
14	Proposed new building blocks/ structures in Farm2.0	Block 15- Dairy Barn
15		Block 16 – Pre-Treatment Facilities ⁽²⁾
16		Block 17 – Accommodation Block
17		Block 18- Holding Tank (Underground or uncovered overground)
18		Block 19 – Stormwater Pump Pit (Underground) ⁽³⁾
19		Block 20 - Storage Shed
20		Block 21 – Isolation Space

Remarks:

- (1) The existing Caretaker Quarter in Block 5 will be re-provided in the new Block 17 Accommodation Block.
- (2) The proposed Block 16 will be uncovered structures on ground floor.

6. CONCLUSION

- 6.1.1 This Application is to seek approval from the TPB under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at various lots in D.D. 16 in Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po (“the Application Site”).
- 6.1.2 This Supporting Planning Statement demonstrates the genuine intention of the Applicant, CityUHK to expand the existing temporary teaching farm (i.e. Farm1.0) with the two adjoining areas currently left vacant (i.e. Farm2.0) for a combined site development with upgraded facilities for a period of three years (i.e. the Proposed Development) to facilitate teaching and learning of veterinary medicine in Hong Kong.
- 6.1.3 The Applicant has demonstrated sincerity to optimise the Application Site with an efficient layout for the provision of new and upgraded facilities in supporting the daily operation and management of the farm as an essential component to fulfil the programme requirement. The Proposed Development will consist of 20 buildings blocks/ structures (including 1 uncovered overground structure, 1 block of underground structure and 1 block of underground/ uncovered overground structure subject to detail design) mainly used for dairy farm operation and other supporting facilities, with a total GFA of 4,461m². A domestic building of not more than 3 storeys (9.5m) To support much-needed accommodation for the caretakers (including both students and staff). In association with these structures, excavation of land with a depth ranging from 1.3m to 3.6m is also proposed for the construction works of the building blocks, as well as the proposed underground holding tank, potential underground overground stormwater (if not located overground subject to detail design), and EVA.
- 6.1.4 The approval of this S16 planning application will be crucial to accommodate the much-needed facilities, in particular barns and care-takers accommodation, in views of the increasing number of students in the coming academic years. The Proposed Development is considered not incompatible with the existing rural landscape, with careful consideration on the proposed BH. Technical assessments have been conducted to ascertain feasibility of the Proposed Development, including environmental assessment, drainage and sewerage impact assessment, tree survey report, swept path analysis for the vehicle’s movement during the operation and geotechnical planning review report. The approval of this S16 planning application will not set an undesirable precedent with track record of the farm operating with the highest possible international standards to promote the development of veterinarian studies in Hong Kong.
- 6.1.5 We therefore sincerely seek the support from members of the TPB to approve this well-justified Planning Application.



# Existing building blocks in Farn1.0 with proposed upgrade		#	Proposed new building blocks/ structures in Farm2.0
1	Beef Cattle Barn	15	Dairy Barn
2	Young Stock Barn	16	Pre-treatment facilities (uncovered overground)
3	Main Building Block	17	Accommodation Block
4	Changing Room Block	18	Holding Tank (Underground or uncovered overground)
5	Laboratory & Pharmacy	19	Stormwater Pump Pit (Underground)
6A	Machine Store Block	20	Storage Shed
7	Feed Store Block	21	Isolation Space
9	Transformer & Generation Room Block		
10	Fire Service, Sprinkler System & Pump Room Block		
11	Fire Service, Sprinkler System & Pump Room Block		
12	Store		
13	Sewage Treatment Unit		
14	Micro-Filtration Unit		

*Subject to detail design, the location of Block18, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

Appendix E

Replacement pages of the updated
Tree Survey and Landscape Proposal



B.D. REFERENCE NO.
F.S.D. REFERENCE NO.

NOTES
DO NOT SCALE DRAWING, FIGURED DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE FOLLOWED.
READ THIS DRAWING IN CONNECTION WITH GENERAL ARCHITECTURAL
PLANS, STRUCTURAL PLANS AND OTHER RELATED DRAWINGS. THE
ARCHITECT/ ENGINEER SHALL BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY OF ANY
DISCREPANCY FOUND THEREIN.
COPYRIGHT OF THIS DRAWING RESERVED BY ARCHITECT.

A	REVISION	-	09/26
-	1st SUBMISSION	-	03/26
Rev	Description	By	Date

Consultant

ARUP

Contract No. and Title
-

Drawing title
LANDSCAPE LAYOUT PLAN

Drawing no. FIGURE 3.1			Rev. A
Drawn NM	Date MAR 2026	Checked YK	Approved YK
Scale 1:750 @ A3		Status FOR GBP SUBMISSION	

COPYRIGHT RESERVED


香港城市大學
City University of Hong Kong



B.D. REFERENCE NO.
F.S.D. REFERENCE NO.

NOTES
DO NOT SCALE DRAWING, FIGURED DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE FOLLOWED.
READ THIS DRAWING IN CONNECTION WITH GENERAL ARCHITECTURAL
PLANS, STRUCTURAL PLANS AND OTHER RELATED DRAWINGS. THE
ARCHITECT/ ENGINEER SHALL BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY OF ANY
DISCREPANCY FOUND THEREIN.
COPYRIGHT OF THIS DRAWING RESERVED BY ARCHITECT.

- LEGEND
- NOTIONAL BOUNDARY
 - TREE TO BE RETAINED
 - TREE TRANSPLANTED
 - TREE TO BE FELLED
 - NEW TREE (COMPENSATORY)

A		REVISION	-	09/26
-		1st SUBMISSION	-	03/26
Rev	Description	By	Date	
Consultant				
ARUP				
Contract No. and Title				
-				
Drawing title				
TREE PLANTING PLAN				
Drawing no.				Rev.
FIGURE 3.3				A
Drawn	Date	Checked	Approved	
NM	MAR 2026	YK	YK	
Scale		Status		
1:750 @ A3		FOR GBP SUBMISSION		
COPYRIGHT RESERVED				
 香港城市大學 City University of Hong Kong				

Appendix F

Updated Swept Path Assessment



LEGEND:

12m LONG FIRE ENGINE
(APPROACHING)

12m LONG FIRE ENGINE
(LEAVING)

Job Title			CityU Farm Traffic			B-01		
Date	Scale	Drawing Title					ARUP	
9SEPT26	1:500@A3							
Drawn	Job No.							
WLAC	312796-07	SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS FOR 12m LONG FIRE ENGINE						



Job Title			CityU Farm Traffic			B-02		
Date	Scale	Drawing Title					ARUP	
9SEPT26	1:1500@A3	SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS FOR 12m LONG FIRE ENGINE						
Drawn	Job No.							
WLAC	312796-07							




- LEGEND:**
-  7m LONG GOODS VEHICLE (APPROACHING)
 -  7m LONG GOODS VEHICLE (LEAVING)

Job Title			CityU Farm Traffic			B-03		
Date	Scale	Drawing Title						
9SEPT26	1:500@A3							
Drawn	Job No.	SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS FOR 7m LONG GOODS VEHICLE					ARUP	
WLAC	312796-07							




Job Title			CityU Farm Traffic			B-04						
Date	Scale	Drawing Title					SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS FOR 7m LONG GOODS VEHICLE			ARUP		
9SEPT26	1:500@A3											
Drawn	Job No.											
WLAC	312796-07											



Job Title			CityU Farm Traffic			B-05					
Date	Scale	Drawing Title				SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS FOR 7m LONG GOODS VEHICLE			ARUP		
9SEPT26	1:500@A3										
Drawn	Job No.										
WLAC	312796-07										



Job Title			CityU Farm Traffic			B-06		
Date	Scale	Drawing Title					ARUP	
9SEPT26	1:1500@A3							
Drawn	Job No.							
WLAC	312796-07	SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS FOR 5m LONG PRIVATE CAR						



Job Title CityU Farm Traffic			B-07
Date 9SEPT26	Scale 1:500@A3	Drawing Title SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS FOR 5m LONG PRIVATE CAR	
Drawn WLAC	Job No. 312796-07		

Appendix G

Updated Environmental Assessment

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

Environmental Assessment

Final| July 2026

Reference: 312796-ARUP-OE-RP-S16-B-EA-001-0

This report takes into account the particular instructions and requirements of our client. It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party.

Job number 312796

Arup Hong Kong Limited



[arup.com](https://www.arup.com)

Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Project Background	1
1.2	Objectives of this Report	1
1.3	Structure of this Report	1
2.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	3
2.1	Existing Environment	3
2.2	Design of the Proposed Scheme	5
2.3	Tentative Construction Method and Programme	9
2.4	Noise impact from Fix Noise Sources	9
2.5	Concurrent Projects	11
2.6	Designated Project Elements	11
3.	AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT	12
3.1	Legislation, Standards and Guidelines	12
3.2	Description of the Environment	14
3.3	Air Sensitive Receivers	16
3.4	Construction Phase Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures	18
3.5	Operational Phase Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures	20
3.6	Environmental Monitoring and Audit	25
3.7	Conclusion	25
4.	WATER QUALITY IMPACT	27
4.1	Legislation, Standards and Guidelines	27
4.2	Description of the Environment	32
4.3	Assessment Area and Water Sensitive Receivers	34
4.4	Construction Phase	35
4.5	Operational Phase	40
4.6	Needs of Environmental Monitoring and Audit	45
4.7	Conclusion	45
5.	WASTE MANAGEMENT	46
5.1	Environmental Legislation, Policies, Plans, Standards and Criteria	46
5.2	Identification of Potential Impacts	47
5.3	Mitigation Measure	54
5.4	Conclusion	55
6.	LAND CONTAMINATION	56
6.1	Legislation, Standards and Guideline	56
6.2	Land Use Review from Historical Information	56
6.3	Conclusion	58
7.	CONCLUSION	59
7.1	General	59
7.2	Air Quality Impact	59
7.3	Water Quality Impact	59

7.4	Waste Management	60
7.5	Land Contamination	60

Tables

Table 2.1	Site Visit Photo Record	4
Table 2.2	Proposed Structures/ Facilities	7
Table 2.3	Key Development Parameters of the Application Site	8
Table 3.1	Hong Kong Air Quality Objectives 2025	12
Table 3.2	HKPSG Recommended Buffer Distances for Different Pollution Sources	13
Table 3.3	Concentrations of Pollutants at Tai Po AQMS (Year 2021-2025)	14
Table 3.4	Future Ambient Air Quality for Concerned PATH Grids (Year 2027)	15
Table 3.5	Future Ambient Air Quality for Concerned PATH Grids (Year 2028)	16
Table 3.6	Representative ASRs for Air Quality Assessment	17
Table 4.1	Tolo Harbour and Channel Water Control Zone Statement of Water Quality Objectives for Watercourses	27
Table 4.2	Standards for Effluents Discharged into Group A Inland Waters	30
Table 4.3	Standards for Effluents Discharged into Foul Sewers Leading into Government Sewage Treatment Plants	31
Table 4.4	River Monitoring Data	33
Table 4.5	Water Sensitivity Receivers	34
Table 4.6	Wastewater and Sewerage Loading Estimations	40
Table 5.1	Other relevant documents and information	46
Table 5.2	Inert C&D Material in Building Waste	48
Table 5.3	Summary of the Inert C&D Material During Construction Phase	48
Table 5.4	Non-inert C&D Material in Building Waste	49
Table 5.5	Summary of non-Inert C&D Material During Construction Phase	49
Table 5.6	General Refuse Generation	50
Table 5.7	Summary of Waste Generation During Construction Phase	52
Table 6.1	The Historical Land Use with Aerial Photography	56

Figures

Figure 1.1	Location of the Application Site
Figure 2.1	Existing Environment
Figure 2.2	Proposed Layout
Figure 3.1	Location of Representative Air Sensitive Receivers
Figures 3.2	Schematic diagram of Deodorization System
Figures 3.3	Photo of Micro-Nano Deodorization Chamber extracted from another Project
Figure 4.1	E. coli Level at TR12B (2015-2024)
Figure 4.2	Location of Representative Water Sensitive Receivers
Figure 4.3	Proposed Stormwater Management Diagram

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

- 1.1.1 The existing temporary teaching farm located at Lam Tuen, Tai Po is operated by the Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences of the City University of Hong Kong (CityUHK) since 2022 (i.e. Farm 1.0 with a site area of about 9,330m²)¹. As Hong Kong's only working dairy farm, it is mainly for the milking of Jersey cows for veterinary students to gain hands-on experience with the cattle and for promoting One Health, public health, research into zoonotic diseases, and animal welfare.
- 1.1.2 The expansion of the existing CityUHK Farm will provide essential facilities for hands-on training in bovine medicine, animal husbandry, and farm management. Covering site area approximately 6,169m² (Farm 2.0), the expansion will include additional dairy barn, caretakers' accommodation and other supporting facilities for long-term operations.
- 1.1.3 After the expansion, CityUHK Farm (Farm 1.0 + Farm 2.0), with a total site area of 15,444m², will enhance Hong Kong's only active dairy farm in support of the city's sole veterinary medicine degree, delivering public benefits through improved public health and food safety, while strengthening Hong Kong's role as a hub for research and training in veterinary medicine and life sciences.
- 1.1.4 The Application Site (i.e. Farm1.0 + Farm 2.0) comprises Lots 175 S.A ss.1, 175 S.B, 176, 179 RP, 333, 334, 335 S.B (Part), 336AB, 336C, 337 S.B, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342 RP, 345 S.A, and 346 in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories. Since the land is zoned for "Recreation" (REC) use in the Outline Zoning Plan (OZP), a Town Planning Town (TPB) application under Section 16 (S16) of the Town Planning Ordinance will be required to obtain approval for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at the Application Site.

1.2 Objectives of this Report

- 1.2.1 This Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) aims to address the environmental issues in support of the Planning Application under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at various lots in D.D. 16 in Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po ("the Application Site" see the figure below).

1.3 Structure of this Report

- 1.3.1 The key environmental concerns of the Project would be potential odour emissions, as well as wastewater and solid waste generated from farm activities. As the anticipated increase in traffic during operation is negligible, the associated noise impact is also expected to be negligible. **The potential fixed noise impact from the proposed wastewater**

¹ To avoid intrusion to the surrounding "Green Belt" zone, a minor portion of the existing temporary Farm1.0 that falls within the "GB" zone (about 56m²), has been excluded from the Application Site of the subject S16 planning applications.

pre-treatment facility is anticipated to be insignificant to the nearby sensitive uses (see **Section 2.4**).

1.3.2 The structure of this EAR is as below:

- **Section 1** describes the Project background and objectives of the report;
- **Section 2** describes the surrounding site condition and preliminary design plan;
- **Section 3** evaluates the air quality/odour impacts and the mitigation measures required;
- **Section 4** evaluates the water quality impacts and the mitigation measures required;
- **Section 5** estimates the waste generated volume by the Project;
- **Section 6** reviews the potential for land contaminant; and
- **Section 7** concludes the findings.




Figure 1.1 Location of the Application Site

Table 2.1 Site Visit Photo Record

Location ID (see Figure 2.1)	Proposed Used in the Design	Photo
1	Young Stock Barn	
2	Laboratory & Pharmacy, No.9 TX & Genset Room; No.10 FS Sprinkler Tank Room; & No. 11 FS Sprinkler Pump Room	
3	Dairy Cattle Barn	

Location ID (see Figure 2.1)	Proposed Used in the Design	Photo
4	Farm 2.0 Proposed Dairy Barn	
5	Proposed Accommodation (The grassland); And the surrounding village house (out of the Application Site site)	

2.1.4 Farm 1.0 is currently used as a temporary teaching farm and comprises a total of 13 single-storey structures, including barns, a main building block, a sewage treatment unit, and other supporting facilities constructed on the Site.

2.1.5 Farm 2.0 is presently vacant with no designated use. The area is generally paved and partially covered with grass, with several clusters of trees. A number of temporary structures are scattered within the central portion of the site.

2.2 Design of the Proposed Scheme

2.2.1 The layout of the proposed scheme and building blocks is illustrated in **Figure 2.2** and summarised in **Table 2.2**. Farm1.0 and 2.0 will consist of total 20 blocks of buildings (including 1 underground structure and 1 underground/ uncovered overground structure subject to detailed design) with building heights (“BH”) of not more than about 9.5m with a total GFA of 4,461m². The building blocks will be primarily used for dairy farm operations and associated supporting facilities.

- 2.2.2 Based on the existing operation practice, farm operations typically commence early each day and require 24-hour on-site caretaker presence to address operational needs such as animal health and equipment issues, particularly during the calving season when extended working hours are common. A domestic building of not more than 3 storeys (9.5m) is also proposed to provide much-needed accommodation for on-farm staff and students.
- 2.2.3 To enhance wastewater management, all wastewater and sewage generated from Application Site will be collected and discharged to public sewer, with dry-wet separation and pre-treatment process for manure. This represents an improvement over the existing arrangement, under which sewage and wastewater from barns, staff, and visitors at Farm 1.0 are collected and transported off-site by tankers.
- 2.2.4 In addition, two separate drainage systems will be provided. One system will collect runoff from the roofs of all existing and proposed buildings and landscape area, which are stormwater. Another system will capture the first flush of surface runoff at ground level during storm events, which will be collected in a holding tank and timely diverted to the public sewer. The overflow of the holding tank is also considered stormwater and will be discharged into public drainage directly.
- 2.2.5 Therefore, under the proposed scheme, the existing 13 buildings within Farm 1.0 (Nos. 1-7, 9-14 in **Figure 2.2**) will be retained with proposed upgrades, or re-purposed to new uses. To support the new drainage system, a new **underground/ uncovered overground** holding tank **subject to detailed design** (No. 18 in **Figure 2.2**) and an underground stormwater pump pit (No. 19 in **Figure 2.2**) are proposed within the area of Farm 2.0.




Figure 2.2 Proposed Layout²

Table 2.2 Proposed Structures/ Facilities

Farm 1.0/2.0	ID	Building Block
Existing building blocks in Farm1.0 with proposed upgrade	1	Beef Cattle Barn
	2	Young Stock Barn
	3	Main Building Block

² Subject to detailed design, the location of Block18, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

	4	Changing Room Block
	5	Laboratory & Pharmacy ⁽¹⁾
	6A	Machine Store
	7	Feed Store Block
	9	Transformer & Generator Room Block
	10	Fire Service, Sprinkler System & Pump Room Block
	11	Fire Service, Sprinkler System & Pump Room Block
	12	Store
	13	Sewage Treatment Unit
	14	Micro-Filtration Unit
Proposed new building blocks/structures in Farm2.0	15	Dairy Barn
	16	Pre-Treatment Facilities ⁽²⁾
	17	Accommodation Block
	18	Holding Tank (Underground or uncovered overground) ⁽³⁾
	19	Stormwater Pump Pit (Underground) ⁽³⁾
	20	Storage Shed
	21	Isolation Space

Remarks:

(1) The existing Caretaker Quarter in Block 5 will be re-provided in the new Block 17 Accommodation Block.

(2) The proposed Block 16 will be uncovered structures on ground floor.

2.2.6 The proposed development parameters of the Application Site are summarised in the table below.

Table 2.3 Key Development Parameters of the Application Site

Key Development Uses		Parameters
Application Site Area (Approximately)		15,444m ²
Total Gross Floor Area (GFA) (Approximately) ⁽¹⁾		4,461m ²
• Non-domestic GFA (Approximately)		3,992m ²
• Domestic GFA (Approximately)		469m ²
Building Height		
Non-domestic	No. of Storeys	About 1 storey
	Building Height	About 3.4- 9.5m ⁽³⁾
Domestic	No. of Storeys	Not more than 3 storeys
	Building Height	Not more than 9.5m
No. of Blocks		20
• Non-domestic		19
• Domestic		1
Site Coverage		27%
Ancillary Car Parking Provision		

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

Key Development Uses	Parameters
No. of Car Parking Spaces ⁽²⁾	9
No. of Motorcycle Parking Space	0
No. of Loading/Unloading (L/UL) Bay	3
Tentative Completion Year	2027

Remarks:

- (1) In accordance with Building (Planning) Regulation 23(3)(b) and APP-2, the uncovered outdoor facilities (i.e. Pre-Treatment Facilities) (about 440 m²), the underground structure (i.e. Stormwater Pump Pit) (about 108m²), and the underground/uncovered overground structure subject to detail design (i.e. Holding Tank) (about 174m²) are proposed to be exempted from GFA calculation.
- (2) The car parking spaces to be provided at ground floor level are not included in the GFA calculation.
- (3) Excluding 1 uncovered ground floor structures – Block 16.

2.3 Tentative Construction Method and Programme

- 2.3.1 Minor upgrading works are proposed for the existing buildings within Farm 1.0 (Nos. 1–7, 9–14 in **Figure 2.2**). These works include the installation of separate drainage systems on the building roofs and landscaped areas (as detailed in **Section 4**), minor utilities installation works, and piping works to improve daily barn operations result to reduce the risk of odour impacts and. Except for modification works to the ramps of the existing feed store barn, no significant demolition works are proposed for the other existing buildings.
- 2.3.2 As shown in **Figure 2.2**, a no-building zone, as delineated by the blue line, has been designated. All buildings would be set back from the slopes to reduce landslide risk. Consequently, no slope works are proposed, thereby minimising heavy construction works under the Application Site. Furthermore, as indicated in **Table 2.3**, the proposed buildings would be limited to a maximum of three storeys. Limited car parking space (total 9) would be provided, and no basement construction is proposed. As such, heavy foundation, excavation works, and superstructure works are not anticipated. Major excavation works would mainly be required for the holding tank if located underground (No. 18 in **Figure 2.2**) and the stormwater pump pit (No. 19 in **Figure 2.2**).
- 2.3.3 Key construction works include:
 - Site formation;
 - Excavation Works;
 - Foundation works;
 - Superstructure works; and
 - Utility construction.
- 2.3.4 Construction works of the Application Site is intended to be completed by 2027 tentatively.

2.4 Noise impact from Fix Noise Sources

- 2.4.1 As mentioned in **Section 2.2**, wastewater pre-treatment facilities and dry-wet separators, will be provided for manure handling to improve wastewater management. Under the current design as shown in **Figure 2.3**, the wastewater pre-treatment facility is proposed

at Block 16, which comprises an uncovered structure located on the ground floor. Either two dry-wet separators will be installed within the existing beef cattle barn (Block 1) and young stock barn (Block 2), or one dry-wet separator will be installed within the existing Block 13. The final arrangement will be determined during the detailed design stage. For option 2, as the separator would be within an enclosed area, the noise impact would be negligible.

2.4.2 For Option 1, the two proposed dry-wet separators would be located in a semi-enclosed area. Moreover, the wastewater pre-treatment facility will be below 2 m high and located at ground level, which is lower than the existing and proposed nearby structures, including the Young Stock Barn (Block 2), Store (Block 12), Dairy Barn (Block 15) and Accommodation Block (Block 17), which are approximately 3–9 m high. Together with the intervening terrain, these structures are expected to screen the proposed facilities from the nearby village houses. Consequently, there would be no direct line of sight between the proposed facilities and the neighbour village houses.

2.4.3 According to information provided by the farm operator, the wastewater pre-treatment facility and dry-wet separator would each have a sound pressure level (SPL) of 80 dB(A) at 1 m from the source. Based on the anticipated treatment volume, the facilities would operate for approximately 1 to 2 hours per day during the daytime only. Based on on-site noise measurements conducted early morning on 3 September 2026 at a location 1 m from a nearby village house, the prevailing background noise level (L_{90}) was recorded at 44 dB(A). This is more than 5 dB(A) below the Acceptable Noise Level (ANL) of 60 dB(A) applicable to an ASR of "A". Therefore, a noise criterion of 44 dB(A) has been adopted for the assessment of fixed noise sources.

2.4.4 The nearest noise sensitive receiver (NSR), No. 198 Hang Ha Po which is a village house, is located at least 86 m from the proposed facilities. Taking into account a distance attenuation of approximately 38.7 dB(A), 39.5 dB(A), and 40.4 dB(A) and a barrier correction of 10 dB(A), the predicted operational noise level at the nearest NSR would be approximately 35 dB(A), assuming three families operate concurrently. This is below the prevailing background noise level of 44 dB(A). Therefore, no adverse fixed noise impact is anticipated from the operation of the proposed wastewater pre-treatment facility and dry-wet separator.




Figure 2.3 Proposed Plant Locations

2.5 Concurrent Projects

- 2.5.1 No foreseeable interaction or conflict with other development projects in the vicinity of the Application Site was identified during the preparation of this ER.

2.6 Designated Project Elements

- 2.6.1 Reclaimed water for use by general public will not be involved in the Project.
- 2.6.2 No designated project element listed in Schedule 2 and 3 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO).

3. AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT

3.1 Legislation, Standards and Guidelines

A. General

3.1.1 The relevant legislations, standards and guidelines applicable to the present study for the assessment of air quality impacts include:

- Air Pollution Control Ordinance (APCO) (Cap. 311);
- Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation (Cap. 311R);
- Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation (Cap 311Z);
- Air Pollution Control (Fuel Restriction) Regulations (Cap 311I);
- Recommended Pollution Control Clauses for Construction Contracts; and
- Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG).

B. Air Pollution Control Ordinance (APCO) (Cap. 311)

3.1.2 The principal legislation for controlling air pollutants is the Air Pollution Control Ordinance (APCO) (Cap. 311) which provides a statutory framework for establishing the Air Quality Objectives (AQOs). The AQOs stipulate limits on concentrations for 7 pollutants including Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂), Respirable Suspended Particulates (RSP), Fine Suspended Particulates (FSP), Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂), Photochemical Oxidants (Ozone (O₃)), Carbon Monoxide (CO), and Lead (Pb). The current AQOs which took effect in 2025 are listed in the below table.

Table 3.1 Hong Kong Air Quality Objectives 2025

Pollutants	Limits on Concentration, µg/m ³ ^[1] (The Number of Exceedance per calendar year allowed is shown in brackets)					
	Peak season	10-min	1-hr	8-hr	24-hr	Annual
NO ₂			200 (18)		120 (9)	40
RSP (PM ₁₀) [2]					75 (9)	30
FSP (PM _{2.5}) [3]					37.5 (18)	15
SO ₂		500 (3)			40 (3)	
O ₃	100			160 (9)		
CO			30,000 (0)	10,000 (0)	4,000 (0)	
Pb						0.5

Notes:

- [1] All measurements of the concentration of gaseous air pollutants, i.e. sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone and carbon monoxide, are to be adjusted to a reference temperature of 293 Kelvin and a reference pressure of 101.325 kilopascal.
- [2] Respirable suspended particulates (RSP) mean suspended particles in air with a nominal aerodynamic diameter of 10 µm or less (i.e. PM₁₀).

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

- [3] Fine suspended particulates (FSP) mean suspended particles in air with a nominal aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 µm or less (i.e. PM_{2.5}).

C. Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG)

3.1.3 Proposed sensitive uses within the Application Site are residential, recreational, commercial, and government, institution or community uses, etc. According to HKPSG, different buffer distances are required for different types of emission sources as listed in the table below, and no sensitive uses including fresh air intake should be located within the buffer distances.

Table 3.2 HKPSG Recommended Buffer Distances for Different Pollution Sources

Polluting Source	Parameter	Buffer Distance	Permitted Uses ^[1]
Road and Highways	<u>Type of Road</u>		
	Trunk Road and Primary Distributor	>20m	active and passive recreational uses
		3-20m	passive recreational uses
		<3m	amenity areas
	District Distributor	>10m	active and passive recreational uses
		<10m	passive recreational uses
	Local Distributor	>5m	active and passive recreational uses
		<5m	passive recreational uses
	Under Flyovers	-	passive recreational uses
Industrial Area	<u>Difference in Height between Industrial Chimney Exit and the Site</u>		
	<20m	>200m	Active and passive recreational uses
		5-200m	Passive recreational uses
	20-30m	>100m	Active and passive recreational uses
		5-100m	Passive recreational uses
	30-40m	>50m	Active and passive recreational uses
		5-50m	Passive recreational uses
	>40m	>10m	Active and passive recreational uses
Construction and earth moving activities	-	<50m	passive recreational uses
		>50m	active and passive recreational uses
Odour sources	-	200m	sensitive uses

Note:

[1] According to HKPSG Chapter 4 - Recreation, Open Space and Greening -

- **Active Open Space:** Recreation open space which contains outdoor recreation facilities, mainly for the core activities including games facilities;
- **Passive Open Space:** Recreation open space which is landscaped as parks, gardens, sitting-out areas, waterfront promenades, paved areas for informal games, children's playgrounds, jogging and fitness circuits etc., where people can enjoy the surroundings in a leisurely manner. Games facilities are normally not provided.
- **Amenity Areas:** A non-statutory land use zone for areas of incidental greenspace which are landscaped for amenity, visual or buffer purposes, but have no potential for recreation use.

3.2 Description of the Environment

A. Existing Ambient Air Quality

3.2.1 The Application Site is located at Wo Tong Pui, positioned between Ngai Kwu Leung Path and Hange Kai Lane within Lam Tsuen, Tai Po. The nearest Air Quality Monitoring Station (AQMS) operated by EPD is the Tai Po AQMS. The latest 5-years monitoring data extracted from EPD's Smart Air Modelling Platform v2.1 (SAMP) are summarised in the below table.

Table 3.3 Concentrations of Pollutants at Tai Po AQMS (Year 2021-2025)

Pollutant	Parameter	Concentrations (µg/m ³)						Current AQOs (µg/m ³)
		2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	5-year mean	
RSP	10th Highest 24-hour	60	48	53	59	57	55	75 (9)
	Annual	26	21	25	24	24	24	30
FSP	19th Highest 24-hour	32	30	30	33	32	31	37.5 (18)
	Annual	16	14	15	16	15	15	15
NO ₂	19th Highest 1-hour	115	93	95	107	113	105	200 (18)
	10th Highest 24-hour	65	49	51	53	59	55	120 (9)
	Annual	32	27	27	28	29	29	40
SO ₂	4th Highest 10-Min	15	12	27	8	16	16	500 (3)
	4th Highest 24-hour	8	5	4	6	8	6	40 (3)
O ₃	10th Highest 8-hour	168	188	163	171	169	172	160 (9)
	Peak season	101	99	96	106	107	102	100
CO	1st Highest 1-hour	-	-	-	-	-	-	30000 (0)
	1st Highest 8-hour	-	-	-	-	-	-	10000 (0)
	1st Highest 24-hour	-	-	-	-	-	-	4000 (0)

Notes:

[1] Monitoring results exceeding the AQO are in **bold**.

[2] “-” means not measured.

[3] The 5-year mean is the average of the five yearly concentrations. Number of **exceedances** allowed **per calendar year** under the AQOs is shown in ().

3.2.2 As seen from the table above, except of FSP and O₃, no exceedance for other parameters. The annual FSP levels were in the range of 14 to 16 µg/m³, with exceedances recorded in 2021 **and** 2024. The 19th highest daily FSP levels were at a level of 30 to 33 µg/m³, and complied with AQO for all 5 years.

3.2.3 The 10th highest 8-hour O₃ concentration ranges from 163 µg/m³ to 188 µg/m³, exceeding the AQO over the past five years. The peak season O₃ concentration ranges from 96 µg/m³-107 µg/m³, averaging around 102 µg/m³ and exceeding the AQO. According to EPD's Air Quality in Hong Kong 2025 Report, O₃ is not a pollutant directly emitted from man-made sources but formed by photochemical reactions of primary pollutants such as NO_x and

volatile organic compounds (VOCs) under sunlight. As it takes several hours for these photochemical reactions to take place, O₃ recorded in one place could be attributed to VOC and NO_x emissions from places afar. Hence, O₃ is more a regional air pollution problem.

B. Future Ambient Air Quality

3.2.4 The construction phase of the Application Site is anticipated to commence in Year 2027 tentatively, while the operation is anticipated in Year 2028. The future ambient air quality in Year 2027 and 2028 is predicted by a regional air quality model named “Pollutants in the Atmosphere and their Transport over Hong Kong” (i.e. PATH v3.0). NO₂, RSP and FSP are generally considered as the key concerned pollutants for development. The committed and planned control measures to be implemented by the Hong Kong Government and Pearl River Delta Economic Zone (PRDEZ) are accounted for in PATH. The PATH grids within the 500m assessment area are shown in **Figure 3.1**. The future ambient air quality within each PATH grid in Year 2027 and 2028 is shown in the table below.

Table 3.4 Future Ambient Air Quality for Concerned PATH Grids (Year 2027)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Proposed AQO	Data Summary	PATH v3.0 Grid in Year 2027			
				37,49	37,48	36,48	36,49
RSP	24-hour	75 (9)	10th	59.32	61.32	61.58	59.73
			Exceedance	0	0	2	1
	Annual	30	-	22.78	23.58	23.51	22.56
FSP	24-hour	37.5 (18)	19th	37.36	38.29	37.71	36.61
			Exceedance	17	20	19	16
	Annual	15	-	14.72	15.4	15.28	14.42
NO ₂	1-hour	200 (18)	19th	56.4	51.98	44.88	44.12
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
	24-hour	120 (9)	10th	22.65	21.91	18.88	17.66
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
	Annual	40	-	15.83	15.11	12.38	11.93
SO ₂	10-Min	500 (3)	4th	26.27	25.57	25.76	26.58
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
	24-hour	40 (3)	4th	7.36	7.34	7.32	7.28
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
O ₃	8-Hour	160 (9)	10th	171.96	174.31	177.57	178.68
			Exceedance	23	23	26	27
	Peak Season	100	-	119.88	119.83	122.34	122.84
CO	1-Hour	30000 (0)	1st	618.2	617.68	613.62	616.34
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
	8-Hour	10000 (0)	1st	587.19	589.17	571.71	577.32
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
	24-Hour	4000 (0)	1st	538.52	536.81	526.86	527.53
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0

Notes:

[1] Reference: EPD's SAMP v2.1.

[2] Concentrations exceeding the AQO are in bold.

Table 3.5 Future Ambient Air Quality for Concerned PATH Grids (Year 2028)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Proposed AQO	Data Summary	PATH v3.0 Grid in Year 2028			
				37,49	37,48	36,48	36,49
RSP	24-hour	75 (9)	10th	59.26	61.28	61.55	59.7
			Exceedance	0	0	2	1
	Annual	30	-	22.74	23.54	23.47	22.53
FSP	24-hour	37.5 (18)	19th	37.28	38.24	37.67	36.57
			Exceedance	17	19	19	16
	Annual	15	-	14.68	15.36	15.25	14.4
NO ₂	1-hour	200 (18)	19th	54.85	51.14	43.75	42.74
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
	24-hour	120 (9)	10th	21.9	21.36	18.45	17.17
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
	Annual	40	-	15.38	14.71	12.1	11.66
SO ₂	10-Min	500 (3)	4th	26.27	25.57	25.76	26.58
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
	24-hour	40 (3)	4th	7.36	7.34	7.31	7.28
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
O ₃	8-Hour	160 (9)	10th	172.21	174.3	177.64	178.54
			Exceedance	23	23	26	27
	Peak Season	100	-	120.18	120.09	122.52	123.02
CO	1-Hour	30000 (0)	1st	618.16	617.62	613.61	616.32
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
	8-Hour	10000 (0)	1st	586.93	588.82	571.64	577.28
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0
	24-Hour	4000 (0)	1st	538.14	536.44	526.71	527.43
			Exceedance	0	0	0	0

Notes:

[1] Reference: EPD's SAMP v2.1.

[2] Concentrations exceeding the AQO are in bold.

3.2.5 As seen from the table above, except of FSP and O₃, no exceedance for other parameters for both 2027 and 2028 future ambient air quality. The annual FSP levels were in the range of 14.4 to 15.4 µg/m³, with exceedances recorded in Grid (36, 48), Grid (37, 48) in Years 2027 and 2028. The 19th highest daily FSP levels were at a level of 36.57 to 38.29 µg/m³, and exceedance are found in Grid (36, 48) and Grid (37, 48) in Years 2027 and 2028. Exceedances of 10th highest 8-hr O₃ and the peak season O₃ concentrations are predicted in Years 2027 and 2028. As discussed in Section 3.2.3, O₃ is a regional air pollution problem.

3.3 Air Sensitive Receivers

3.3.1 In accordance with Annex 12 of the EIAO-TM, Air Sensitive Receivers (ASRs) include domestic premises, hotel, hostel, hospital, clinic, nursery, temporary housing accommodation, school, educational institution, office, factory, shop, shopping centre,

place of public worship, library, court of law, sports stadium or performing arts centre. Any other premises or places with which, in terms of duration or number of people affected, has a similar sensitivity to the air pollutants as the afforested premises and places would also be considered as a sensitive receiver.

3.3.2

Representative ASRs within the Assessment Area (i.e. 500m from the boundary of the Application Site) have been identified in the table below and shown in **Figure 3.1**. The existing ASRs are identified by means of topographic maps, aerial photos, land status plans and site inspections. No planned ASRs within the assessment area are identified according to the Approved Lam Tsuen OZP No. S/NE-LT/11.

Table 3.6 Representative ASRs for Air Quality Assessment

ASR ID	Description	Uses	No. of Storeys	Approximate Distance from Application Site (m)
Existing ASRs				
ASR01	198 Hang Ha Po	Residential	G/F	7m
ASR02	13D Ngau Kwu Leng	Residential	G/F	23m
ASR03	210 Kau Liu Ha	Residential	2/F	27m
ASR04	198 Kau Liu Ha	Residential	2/F	22m
ASR05	201 Kau Liu Ha	Residential	2/F	40m
ASR06	56 Kau Liu Ha	Residential	2/F	143m
ASR07	52 Kau Liu Ha	Residential	2/F	162m
ASR08	32 Kau Liu Ha	Residential	2/F	207m
ASR09	18 Kau Liu Ha	Residential	2/F	159m
ASR10	139 Han Ha Po	Residential	2/F	140m
ASR11	141 Hang Ha Po	Residential	2/F	114m
ASR12	155 Hang Ha Po	Residential	2/F	92m
ASR13	159 Hang Ha Po	Residential	2/F	75m
ASR14	165 Hang Ha Po	Residential	2/F	68m
ASR15	166 Hang Ha Po	Residential	2/F	66m
ASR16	41 Hang Ha Po	Residential	2/F	37m
ASR17	HKAYP JC Duke of Edinburgh Training Camp	Office	2/F	35m
ASR18	ZANZI	Open Space	G/F	20m
Planned ASRs				
PASR01	Office	Office	1/F	Within Application Site boundary
PASR02	Accommodation	Residential	2/F	Within Application Site boundary




Figure 3.1 Location of Representative Air Sensitive Receivers

3.4 Construction Phase Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures

A. Construction Dust

- 3.4.1 Detailed construction methodology, work site area, works area phasing, and the implementation programme are not available at this stage and will be determined during the subsequent detailed design phase. As discussed in **Section 2.3**, apart from modification works to the ramps of the existing feed store barn, there would be no significant demolition of other existing buildings. In addition, as the proposed buildings will be no more than three storeys in height and will not include basements, heavy foundation works, extensive excavation, or major superstructure works are not anticipated.
- 3.4.2 In general, during the construction phase, fugitive dust will be generated from construction activities, which mainly include site clearance, soil excavation, site formation, backfilling and wind erosion of open sites. Under current design, the major excavation areas are about 2,653 m² with 1.3 m depth for major proposed buildings; 750 m² with 3.3 to 3.6 m depth holding tanks/pits (if located underground); and 2,000 m² with 0.6 to 1.4 m depth for piping works. With reference to other similar scale projects, there is likely to be around two dump trucks per trip during the site formation stage. On the other hand, the

superstructure works construction of the Application Site would not generate significant dust emission.

- 3.4.3 Implementation of appropriate dust suppression measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation to mitigate dust impact is suggested. These measures include fully covering dusty materials like excavated soil or stockpiles with impermeable sheeting or wetting them with water. Vehicle washing facilities would be provided at every designated vehicular exit point so that all vehicles will be washed at exit points, and vehicle loaded with the dusty materials will be covered by clean and impervious sheeting before leaving construction sites. Given the Application Site scale, with implementation of the recommended good practices, no insurmountable impact on air quality during the construction phase is expected.

B. Fuel Combustion

- 3.4.4 Fuel combustion from the use of Powered Mechanical Equipment (PME) during construction works could be an emission source. With respect to the scale of works, no more than 10 numbers of PME would be operated during the construction phase. Emissions from machines and non-road vehicles are controlled by the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation. Starting from 1st December 2015, only approved or exempted non-road mobile machinery is allowed to be used in construction sites. Ultra-low sulphur diesel (ULSD) with a sulphur content of not more than 0.001% by weight and a viscosity of not more than 6 centistokes at 40°C will be used as much as practicable to minimise SO₂ emissions. The introduction of ultra-low sulphur diesel for vehicle fleet and implementation of the Air Pollution Control (Fuel Restriction) Regulations have also reduced the SO₂ emission from road transport and fuel combustion in Hong Kong. Hence, the emissions from non-road mobile machinery are considered relatively small.

C. Recommended Good Practices

- 3.4.5 In order to reduce the possible dust emission from the Application Site, the Contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation. The following dust suppression measures and practices to reduce exhaust emission from construction plant and dust emission from construction activities should be incorporated by the Contractor to control the dust nuisance and exhaust emission throughout the construction phase:
- Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable for the excavation or unloading;
 - Site hoardings of not less than 2.4m high should be provided as far as practicable along the construction extent, ensuring that the existing public crossing remains unobstructed. Subject to site constraints, the Contractor may review the practicability of taller site hoarding for ASRs in close vicinity to the site boundary. Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction period;
 - Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads;

- Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting;
- Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides;
- Cement delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed;
- Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system;
- Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabilisers within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies; and

3.4.6 To minimise air quality impact from fuel combustion, PME used in the construction site should be registered under Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation with the NRMM label displayed at a conspicuous position of the registered item. Exempted NRMMs shall be avoided to be used as far as practicable.

3.4.7 With the implementation of these mitigation measures during construction phase of the Application Site, no insurmountable air quality impact during construction phase is anticipated.

D. Cumulative Impacts

3.4.8 According to **Section 2**, there are no concurrent projects within the 500m assessment area. Hence, there would not be adverse cumulative impacts during the construction phase.

3.5 Operational Phase Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures

A. General

3.5.1 The Application Site is located at Wo Tong Pui, where the surrounding area is predominantly residential, with nearby nursery compounds as observed from site visit on 24th October 2025. The nearest major roads are Lam Kam Road and Tolo Highway, located at approximately 250 m and 350 m away, respectively. Neither industrial zones nor industrial chimneys were observed within the 500 m assessment area. Although local roads such as Hang Kai Lane and Ngau Kwu Leng Path were adjacent to the project boundary, there are sufficient setback of over 5 m from the roads to the air sensitive

receivers (buildings). Accordingly, the buffer distances for roads/highways and industrial areas/chimneys recommended in the HKPSG, as listed in **Table 3.2**, are satisfied.




Figure 3.2 Buffer Distance from Road to Buildings with Air Sensitive Uses³

- 3.5.2 According to the latest design, no chimneys are proposed within the Application Site. Farm operations will involve the use of scissor platforms and tractors, similar to the current operations at Farm 1.0. The scissor platform is electrically powered. Hence, tractor operation would be the only potential emission source. The tractor would be used to transport feed from the feed store barn to the cattle barns at a frequency of fewer than five trips per day. As a result, such emissions would be negligible.
- 3.5.3 As discussed in **Section 2.2**, all wastewater and sewage generated from Farm 1.0 and Farm 2.0 will be collected and discharged to the public sewer. Compared with the existing arrangement at Farm 1.0, this will reduce traffic associated with regular off-site tanker away. In addition, visits to the farm will be by invitation only for biosecurity reason. Consequently, restrictions on visitor numbers, together with the provision of only 17

³ Subject to detailed design, the location of Block 18, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

parking spaces, indicate that any increase in traffic during future operation will be negligible. As a result, induced traffic emissions from the Application Site would be insignificant.

B. Odour Emission

- 3.5.4 Toilet facilities are provided within the buildings of Farm 1.0, but the generated sewage is diverted to and stored in an underground/ **uncovered overground** sewage holding tank. This holding tank may constitute a potential source of odour emissions, particularly during sewage collection and tanker loading operations. Under the latest design, all domestic sewage generated by the Application Site will be discharged directly to the public sewer. As a result, odour impacts associated with domestic operations are expected to be negligible.

Odour emission from Manure

- 3.5.5 Odour emissions may arise from the day-to-day operation of the barns. Under the current operation of Farm 1.0, manure generated by cattle and calves is collected and transported off site by tanker on a daily basis. The primary sources of odour include manure generated by cattle and calves, manure storage areas within the farm, as well as potential odour emissions during manure collection and tanker loading operations.
- 3.5.6 According to the latest design, manure generated by cattle and calves will be properly collected via the on-site sewerage system and ultimately discharged to the public sewerage system. Prior to discharge, a dry-wet separation process will be implemented, which significantly reduces odour arising from bacterial decomposition of manure during storage. The whole process including the separator, receiving pit, and solid collection points are all situated within **the plants with watertight covers**. The potential odour emissions generated from the separation process would be effectively controlled.
- 3.5.7 Following removal of solid waste, the wastewater will undergo pre-treatment to meet the relevant discharge requirements before entering the public sewerage system. The solid waste and slurry generated from the pre-treatment process will be properly stored prior to off-site collection and handling. As the pre-treatment processes will be conducted within enclosed conditions, and the slurry will be placed directly into airtight transportable leakproof plastic containers, no adverse odour impact is anticipated. Moreover, the transportation of solid waste and slurry would be handled by licensed waste collectors as detailed in **Section 5**.
- 3.5.8 The proposed arrangement eliminates the need for regular manure collection by tanker, thereby reducing potential odour emissions associated with manure handling and tanker loading operations.

Odour emission from Barns

- 3.5.9 Under the current operation of Farm 1.0, cattle and calves are housed within barns rather than being allowed to graze on open farmland. The barns are equipped with mechanical

ventilation systems and activated carbon filters to mitigate odour emissions. However, as the barns are semi-enclosed, not all exhaust air passes through the carbon filters.

- 3.5.10 As an additional measure to reduce odour emissions, the operator has increased the application rate of biochar to the barn floors, particularly during wet weather conditions. Biochar is highly absorbent, with properties comparable to activated charcoal, and is effective in absorbing odour gases from manure at source when applied to floor surfaces.

New Deodorization System for the New Barn

- 3.5.11 A micro-nano deodorization system, as illustrated in the accompanying schematic diagram (see **Figures 3.3** and **3.4**), is proposed to the third barn under Farm 2.0 (No. 15 in **Figure 2.2**). The entire system is configured on the exhaust side of the exhaust fans, incorporating a deodorization chamber adjacent to the fan exhaust and a primary equipment room situated outside the deodorization area. The system comprises several key components: a water filtration unit, air compressor, oxygen generator, ozone generation system, gas-liquid mixing apparatus, micro-nano generation system, and controller.




Figure 3.3 Schematic diagram of Deodorization System




Figure 3.4 Photo of Micro-Nano Deodorization Chamber extracted from another Project⁴

- 3.5.12 The proposed deodorisation system uses a combination of nano-molecular water and ozone generated from fresh water and atmospheric air. Fresh water is treated by a nano-molecular water generator and stored in a water tank. At the same time, air is drawn in and processed to produce high-purity oxygen, which is then converted into ozone.
- 3.5.13 The nano-molecular water and ozone are mixed and sprayed through nozzles at the fan exhaust outlets (as shown in **Figure 3.3**), allowing direct contact with the odorous air stream prior to discharge. This treatment process facilitates odour reduction before release to the surrounding environment. Based on operational experience from similar agricultural facilities, barn odours are mainly associated with ammonia, which is highly soluble in water. Measurements obtained from comparable installations indicate that the system can achieve an ammonia removal efficiency in the range of approximately 75% to 90%.
- 3.5.14 There will be designated farm management personnel to oversee odour management during the daily operation of the barns. The designated farm management personnel will be responsible for reviewing the performance and odour removal efficiency of the proposed deodorisation system to ensure that no odour nuisance is caused to the ambient environment at and beyond the boundary of the Application Site. Routine inspections and maintenance of the deodorisation system, including the cleanliness and condition of the

⁴ Exacted from the report of micro-nano deodorization system which is provided by the designated farm management personnel.

deodorisation chambers and exhaust fans, shall be carried out to ensure the system operates effectively and as intended.

Other Odour Mitigation Measures

3.5.15 Additional measures for odour control are recommended as follows in order to prevent odour nuisance during the operation:

- Manure handling and storage facilities, including the manure store and pre-treatment plant, shall be fully enclosed to minimise odour emissions;
- Slurry generated shall be stored in odour-proof containers prior to disposal;
- Feed spillage shall be cleaned up promptly, and spoiled feed shall be removed on a daily basis to avoid the generation of additional odour sources; and
- Good housekeeping practices shall be maintained across the farm, including regular cleaning and prompt repair of leaks from waterers and pipelines.

C. Cumulative Impacts

3.5.16 According to **Section 2**, there are no concurrent projects within the 500m assessment area. Hence, there would not be adverse cumulative impacts during the operational phase.

3.6 Environmental Monitoring and Audit

A. Construction Phase

3.6.1 With the implementation of the control measures and regular audit programme, no adverse environmental impact is anticipated during the construction phase. However, regular site audit for potential air quality impact is recommended to be conducted during the construction phase of the Application Site to ensure the air quality mitigation measures and the mitigation measures stipulated in Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation are implemented.

B. Operational Phase

3.6.2 There would be no adverse air quality impact during operational phase. Hence, no environmental monitoring and site inspections are required.

3.6.3 With respect to odour impacts during the operation phase, as discussed in **Section 3.5**, the designated farm management personnel will continue to review the effectiveness of the odour control measures and refine relevant management arrangements where appropriate, with a view to minimizing potential impacts on the surrounding environment as far as practicable.

3.7 Conclusion

3.7.1 Construction works have been minimised under the latest design, such that no slope works, and only minor demolition works to the existing buildings in Farm 1.0 are proposed. In

addition, given the restricted building height and the absence of basement construction, substantial heavy excavation, foundation, or major structural works are not required. With the implementation of proper construction good practices, as described in **Section 3.4**, no adverse air quality impacts arising from the Application Site are expected.

- 3.7.2 The buffer distances for roads/highways and industrial areas/chimneys recommended in the HKPSG are satisfied. No chimneys are proposed within the Application Site, and the induced road traffic associated with the Application Site is expected to be negligible. Accordingly, there would be no adverse air quality impacts during the operational phase of the Application Site.
- 3.7.3 With the implementation of the proposed domestic sewerage arrangement for sewage and manure generated by cattle and calves, together with the installation of the new deodorisation system for the barns, the potential odour impact arising from the daily operation of the Application Site will be minimised.

4. WATER QUALITY IMPACT

4.1 Legislation, Standards and Guidelines

A. General

4.1.1 The relevant legislation, standards and guidelines applicable to the present study for the water quality impact assessment of both construction and operational phases include the following:

- Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) (Cap. 358);
- Technical Memorandum - Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS);
- Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG);
- ProPECC PN 2/24 – Construction Site Drainage;
- ProPECC PN 1/23 – Drainage Plans Subject to Comment by the Environmental Protection Department; and
- ETWB Technical Circular (Works) (ETWB TCW) No. 5/2005 – Protection of Natural Streams / Rivers from Adverse Impact Arising from Construction Works.

B. Water Pollution Control Ordinance

4.1.2 The entire Hong Kong waters are divided into ten Water Control Zones (WCZs) and four supplementary WCZs under the WPCO (Cap. 358). Each WCZ has a designated set of statutory Water Quality Objectives (WQOs) designed to protect the inland and/ or marine environment and its users. The Application Site is located within the Tolo Harbour and Channel WCZ and the corresponding WQOs are summarised in the table below.

Table 4.1 Tolo Harbour and Channel Water Control Zone Statement of Water Quality Objectives for Watercourses

Parameters	Objectives	Sub-zone
Aesthetic Appearance	Waste discharges shall not cause waters of the subzone to contain substances that— (a) settle to form objectionable deposits; (b) float as debris, scum, oil or other matter to form nuisances; (c) produce objectionable colour, odour, taste or turbidity; (d) injure or are toxic or produce adverse physiological responses in humans, animals or plants; or (e) are conducive to undesirable aquatic life or a nuisance to aquatic life.	All Watercourses
Bacteria	Waste discharges shall not cause the level of Escherichia coli to exceed 1 000 per 100 mL in waters of the subzone, levels to be calculated as a	(a) SM(A) (b) SM(C) (c) SM(D) (d) SM(E)

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

Parameters	Objectives	Sub-zone
	running median of the most recent 5 consecutive samples taken at intervals of between 7 and 21 days (or 14 and 42 days).	(e) SM(H) (f) SM(I) (g) TP(B) (h) TP(C) (i) Other Watercourses
	Waste discharges shall not cause the level of Escherichia coli to exceed 0 per 100 mL in waters of the subzone, levels to be calculated as a running median of the most recent 5 consecutive samples taken at intervals of between 7 and 21 days (or 14 and 42 days).	(a) SM(B) (b) SM(F) (c) SM(G) (d) LT(C) (e) LT(D) (f) TP(A)
Colour	Waste discharges shall not cause the colour of waters of the subzone to exceed 50 Hazen units at any time.	(a) SM(A) (b) SM(C) (c) SM(D) (d) SM(E) (e) SM(H) (f) SM(I) (g) TP(B) (h) TP(C) (i) Other Watercourses
	Waste discharges shall not cause the colour of waters of the subzone to exceed 30 Hazen units at any time.	(a) SM(B) (b) SM(F) (c) SM(G) (d) LT(C) (e) LT(D) (f) TP(A)
pH	Waste discharges shall not cause the pH of waters of the subzone to exceed the range of 6.0 to 9.0 at any time.	(a) SM(D) (b) SM(E) (c) SM(I) (d) Other Watercourses
	Waste discharges shall not cause the pH of waters of the subzone to exceed the range of 6.5 to 8.5 at any time.	(a) SM(A) (b) SM(B) (c) SM(C) (d) SM(F) (e) SM(G) (f) SM(H) (g) LT(C) (h) LT(D) (i) TP(A) (j) TP(B) (k) TP(C)
Temperature	Waste discharges shall not cause the natural daily temperature range in waters of the subzone to be extended by greater than ± 2.0 degrees Celsius at any location or time.	All Watercourses
Suspended Solids	Waste discharges shall not cause the annual median of suspended solids in	(a) SM(D) (b) SM(E)

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

Parameters	Objectives	Sub-zone
	waters of the subzone to exceed 25 milligrams per litre.	(c) SM(I) (d) Other Watercourses
	Waste discharges shall not cause the annual median of suspended solids in waters of the subzone to exceed 20 milligrams per litre.	(a) SM(A) (b) SM(B) (c) SM(C) (d) SM(F) (e) SM(G) (f) SM(H) (g) LT(C) (h) LT(D) (i) TP(A) (j) TP(B) (k) TP(C)
Dissolved Oxygen	Waste discharges shall not cause the level of dissolved oxygen in waters of the subzone to be less than 4 milligrams per litre or 40% saturation (at 15 degrees Celsius) at any time.	All Watercourses
5 Days Biochemical Oxygen Demand	Waste discharges shall not cause the 5 days biochemical oxygen demand in waters of the subzone to exceed 5 milligrams per litre at any time.	(a) SM(A) (b) SM(C) (c) SM(D) (d) SM(E) (e) SM(H) (f) SM(I) (g) TP(B) (h) TP(C) (i) Other Watercourses
	Waste discharges shall not cause the 5 days biochemical oxygen demand in waters of the subzone to exceed 3 milligrams per litre at any time.	(a) SM(B) (b) SM(F) (c) SM(G) (d) LT(C) (e) LT(D) (f) TP(A)
Chemical Oxygen Demand	Waste discharges shall not cause the chemical oxygen demand in waters of the subzone to exceed 30 milligrams per litre at any time.	(a) SM(A) (b) SM(C) (c) SM(D) (d) SM(E) (e) SM(H) (f) SM(I) (g) TP(B) (h) TP(C) (i) Other Watercourses
	Waste discharges shall not cause the chemical oxygen demand in waters of the subzone to exceed 15 milligrams per litre at any time.	(a) SM(B) (b) SM(F) (c) SM(G) (d) LT(C) (e) LT(D) (f) TP(A)

Parameters	Objectives	Sub-zone
Ammoniacal Nitrogen	Waste discharges shall not cause the ammoniacal nitrogen in waters of the subzone to exceed 0.5 milligrams per litre at any time.	All Watercourses
Toxicants	Waste discharges shall not cause the toxicants in waters of the subzone to attain such a level as to produce significant toxic effects in humans, fish or any other aquatic organism, with due regard to biologically cumulative effects in food chains and to toxicant inter-actions with each other.	All Watercourses

C. Technical Memorandum - Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS)

4.1.3 Technical Memorandum- Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS) was issued to control the physical, chemical and microbial quality of effluent discharges into foul sewers, storm water drains, inland and coastal waters. Specific limits apply to different discharge areas, and they are different between surface waters and sewers. The limits vary with the rate of effluent flow. Any effluent discharge during the construction and operation phases of the development should comply with the relevant standards as stipulated in the TM-DSS. The standard for effluent discharged into Group A Inland water (i.e. Lam Tsuen River) and Foul Sewers Leading into Government Sewage Treatment Plants (i.e. public sewer along Hang Kai Lane) are summarised in the two tables below.

Table 4.2 Standards for Effluents Discharged into Group A Inland Waters

Parameters	Flow Rate (m ³ /day)				
	≤10	>10 and ≤100	>100 and ≤500	>500 and ≤1000	>1000 and ≤2000
pH (pH units)	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5
Temperature (°C)	35	30	30	30	30
Colour (lovibond units) (25mm cell length)	1	1	1	1	1
Conductivity (µs/cm at 20 °C)	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
Suspended solids	10	10	5	5	5
Dissolved Oxygen	≥4	≥4	≥4	≥4	≥4
BOD	10	10	5	5	5
COD	50	50	20	20	10
Oil & Grease	1	1	1	1	1
Boron	2	2	1	0.5	0.5
Barium	2	2	1	0.5	0.5
Iron	2	2	1	0.5	0.5
Arsenic	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Total chromium	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Mercury	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Cadmium	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Selenium	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Copper	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

Parameters	Flow Rate (m ³ /day)				
	≤10	>10 and ≤100	>100 and ≤500	>500 and ≤1000	>1000 and ≤2000
Lead	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Manganese	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Zinc	1	1	1	1	1
Other toxic metals individually	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total Toxic metals	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.15
Cyanide	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02
Phenols	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Hydrogen sulphide	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Sulphide	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
Fluoride	1	1	1	1	0.5
Sulphate	800	600	500	400	200
Chloride	800	500	500	200	200
Total reactive phosphorus	1	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5
Ammonia nitrogen	1	1	1	1	0.5
Nitrate + nitrite nitrogen	15	15	15	10	10
<i>E. coli</i> (count/100mL)	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1

Table 4.3 Standards for Effluents Discharged into Foul Sewers Leading into Government Sewage Treatment Plants

Parameters	Flow Rate (m ³ /day)					
	≤10	>10 and ≤100	>100 and ≤200	>200 and ≤400	>400 and ≤600	>600 and ≤800
pH (pH units)	6-10	6-10	6-10	6-10	6-10	6-10
Temperature (°C)	43	43	43	43	43	43
Suspended solids	1200	1000	900	800	800	800
Settleable solids	100	100	100	100	100	100
BOD	1200	1000	900	800	800	800
COD	3000	2500	2200	2000	2000	2000
Oil & Grease	100	100	50	50	50	40
Iron	30	25	25	25	15	12.5
Boron	8	7	6	5	4	3
Barium	8	7	6	5	4	3
Mercury	0.2	0.15	0.1	0.1	0.001	0.001
Cadmium	0.2	0.15	0.1	0.1	0.001	0.001
Copper	4	4	4	3	1.5	1.5
Nickel	4	3	3	2	1.5	1
Chromium	2	2	2	2	1	0.7
Zinc	5	5	4	3	1.5	1.5
Silver	4	3	3	2	1.5	1.5
Other toxic metals individually	2.5	2.2	2	1.5	1	0.7
Total toxic metals	10	10	8	7	3	2
Cyanide	2	2	2	1	0.7	0.5
Phenols	1	1	1	1	0.7	0.5
Sulphide	10	10	10	10	5	5
Sulphate	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
Total nitrogen	200	200	200	200	200	200
Total phosphorus	50	50	50	50	50	50
Surfactants (total)	200	150	50	40	30	25

D. Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG)

- 4.1.4 Chapter 9 of the HKPSG outlines environmental requirements that need to be considered in land use planning. The recommended guidelines, standards and guidance cover the selection of suitable locations for the developments and sensitive uses, provision of environmental facilities, and design, layout, phasing and operational controls to minimise adverse environmental impacts. It also lists out environmental factors influencing land use planning and recommend buffer distances for land uses.
- 4.1.5 Section 5 under Chapter 9 of HKPSG provides broad guidelines on water quality which includes the principal framework for planning against water pollution. The overall objectives for planning against water pollution are:
- Achieving and maintaining the quality of inland waters, coastal waters, marine waters and groundwaters so that they can be used for their legitimate purposes;
 - Providing adequate public sewerage, wastewater treatment and disposal facilities for all wastewaters; and
 - Putting in place and enforce water pollution control legislation aimed at safeguarding the health and welfare of the community.

E. ProPECC PN 2/24 “Construction Site Drainage”

- 4.1.6 Professional Persons Environmental Consultative Committee Practice Notes (ProPECC PN2/24) on Construction Site Drainage provides guidelines for the handling and disposal of construction discharges. This note is applicable for control of site runoff and wastewater generated during the construction phase of the Development.

F. Practice Note for Professional Persons on Drainage Plans Subject to Comment by the Environmental Protection Department (ProPECC PN 1/23)

- 4.1.7 ProPECC PN 1/23 on drainage plans subject to comment by the EPD, provides guidelines and practices for handling, treatment and disposal of various effluent discharges to stormwater drains and foul sewers during operation phase.

G. ETWB Technical Circular (Works) (ETWB TCW) No. 5/2005 – Protection of Natural Streams / Rivers from Adverse Impact Arising from Construction Works

- 4.1.8 ETWB TCW No. 5/2005 provides an administrative framework to better protect all natural streams/rivers from the impact of construction works and clarifies and strengthens existing measures for protection of natural streams/rivers from government projects and private developments.

4.2 Description of the Environment

A. Baseline Water Quality Condition

- 4.2.1 According to the Annual River Water Quality Reports published by EPD, the Water Quality Objectives (WQOs) compliance rates in Year 2025 for Lam Tsuen River is 99%,

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

with the dissolved oxygen (DO) and suspended solid (SS) WQOs fully met. The locations of the nearest monitoring stations are Stations TR12B and TR12C. The river water quality monitoring data for Stations TR12B and TR12C are presented in the table below.

Table 4.4 River Monitoring Data

Parameters	Water Quality Monitoring Stations in Lam Tsuen River		Key WQOs for River Monitoring Stations
	TR12B	TR12C	
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	8.5	8.0	>4
	(7.9 - 10.2)	(6.2 - 9.5)	
pH	7.4	7.3	6.8-8.5
	(6.9 - 7.6)	(7.1 - 7.7)	
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	4.5	<20
	(<0.5 - 6.3)	(<0.5 - 9.4)	
5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD ₅)(mg/L)	0.6	1.1	<3
	(0.1 - 1.3)	(0.1 - 1.7)	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	5	7	<15
	(<2 - 10)	(3 - 16)	
Oil & Grease(mg/L)	<0.5	<0.5	Not Applicable
	(<0.5 - <0.5)	(<0.5 - <0.5)	
<i>E. coli</i> (count/100mL)	428	3,494	Not Applicable
	(160 – 4,100)	(590 – 43,000)	
Faecal Coliforms(count/100mL)	7,636	12,209	Not Applicable
	(720 – 80,000)	(4,300 – 56,000)	
Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.041	0.079	Not Applicable
	(0.016 - 0.140)	(0.032 - 0.180)	
Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.560	0.650	Not Applicable
	(0.320 - 0.920)	(0.450 - 1.200)	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.16	0.28	Not Applicable
	(<0.05 - 0.26)	(0.18 - 0.44)	
Orthophosphate Phosphorous (mg/L)	0.053	0.073	Not Applicable
	(0.024 - 0.088)	(0.031 - 0.120)	
Total Phosphorous (mg/L)	0.07	0.11	Not Applicable
	(0.03 - 0.11)	(0.06 - 0.14)	
Sulphide(µg/L)	<0.02	<0.02	Not Applicable
	(<0.02 - <0.02)	(<0.02 - <0.02)	
Aluminium(µg/L)	<50	<50	Not Applicable
	(<50 - <50)	(<50 - 60)	
Cadmium(µg/L)	<0.1	<0.1	Not Applicable
	(<0.1 - <0.1)	(<0.1 - <0.1)	
Chromium(µg/L)	<1	<1	Not Applicable
	(<1 - <1)	(<1 - <1)	
Copper(µg/L)	<1	<1	Not Applicable
	(<1 - <1)	(<1 - 5)	
Lead(µg/L)	<1	<1	Not Applicable
	(<1 - <1)	(<1 - <1)	
Zinc(µg/L)	<10	<10	Not Applicable

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

Parameters	Water Quality Monitoring Stations in Lam Tsuen River		Key WQOs for River Monitoring Stations
	TR12B	TR12C	
	(<10 - <10)	(<10 - <10)	
Flow(m ³ /s)	0.193	0.071	Not Applicable
	(0.055 - 2.926)	(0.012 - 2.234)	

- 4.2.2 For *E. coli*, Monitoring data from the Lam Tsuen River Monitoring Station (TR12B) for the period 2016 to 2025, as shown in the figure below, indicate that *E. coli* levels recorded in 2023, 2024 and 2025 are comparable to those in previous years, with no observable change following the commencement of Farm 1.0 in November 2022. This suggests that the observed *E. coli* levels represent long-term background conditions.




Figure 4.1 *E. coli* Level at TR12B (2016-2025)

4.3 Assessment Area and Water Sensitive Receivers

- 4.3.1 The assessment area for the water quality impact assessment is defined by a distance of 500m from the boundary of the Application Site. Water Sensitive Receivers (WSRs) have been identified in accordance with the HKPSG. There are few channels and watercourses identified in the vicinity of the Application Site, which are considered as the representative WSRs. The details and location of the WSRs within 500m assessment area are presented in the table below and **Figure 4.2**.

Table 4.5 Water Sensitivity Receivers

ID	Location	Approximate Distance from Application Site (m)
W1	Lam Tsuen River	50
W2	She Shan River	270

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

ID	Location	Approximate Distance from Application Site (m)
Area	Water Gathering Ground	Within Application Site




Figure 4.2 Location of Representative Water Sensitive Receivers

4.4 Construction Phase

A. Impact Evaluation

- 4.4.1 The main potential pollution sources during the construction phase include general construction activities, construction runoff, sewage from the construction workforce, and accidental chemical spillage.
- 4.4.2 As discussed in **Sections 2 and 3**, construction works have been minimised under the latest design, with no slope works and only minor demolition of existing buildings in Farm 1.0 proposed. In addition, due to the restricted building height and no basement construction, no major excavation, foundation, or heavy structural works are anticipated. The limited extent of construction activities will therefore reduce the potential for suspended solids generation from surface runoff.
- 4.4.3 Sewage arising from the on-site construction workforce is likely to cause water pollution if it is discharged improperly. Given that sufficient temporary sanitary facilities, e.g. portable chemical toilets, and sewage holding tanks will be provided at the construction

site and the control measures proposed in following sections, no adverse water quality impacts are anticipated.

- 4.4.4 The use of chemicals such as engine oil and lubricants, and their storage as waste materials has the potential to cause adverse water quality impacts if spillage occurs and enters the adjacent water bodies. Waste oil may infiltrate the surface soil layer, or discharge into the nearby water environment, increasing hydrocarbon levels. However, adverse impacts can be mitigated by implementing best management and site practices as described in the following section.

B. Mitigation Measures

General Good Site Practice

- 4.4.5 In accordance with the ProPECC PN 2/24, good site practices should be implemented as far as practicable to control construction site runoff, potentially contaminated surface runoff and drainage from the works areas, and to prevent runoff and drainage water with high levels of SS from entering the nearby water bodies, listed as below:
- At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities;
 - The design of temporary on-site drainage should prevent runoff going through site surface, construction machinery and equipment in order to avoid or minimise polluted runoff. Sedimentation tanks with sufficient capacity are recommended as a general measure which can be used for settling surface runoff prior to disposal. The system capacity shall be flexible and able to handle multiple inputs from a variety of sources and suited to applications where the influent is pumped;
 - The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a silt/ sediment trap. The silt/ sediment traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates;
 - The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 2/24. The detailed design of the sand/ silt traps should be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction;
 - All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means;
 - All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas;

- If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, it should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities;
- All open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system;
- Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers;
- Precautions to be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 2/24. Attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events;
- All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient back fall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains;
- If vehicle and plant services areas, vehicle wash bays, lubrication bays or other activities which have the potential to incur oil leakage or spillage are provided within the site, then the Contractor shall make suitable provisions in accordance with the EPD practice notes, for example but not limited to locating in roofed areas and connecting the drainage for this area to the foul sewer via oil interceptor. Any oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain;
- Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts;
- All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby; and
- Regular environmental audit on the construction site should be carried out to prevent any malpractices. Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the water bodies, marsh and ponds.

4.4.6 By adopting the best management practices in accordance with ProPECC PN 2/24, it is anticipated that the potential water quality impacts from general site operation and surface runoff will be properly mitigated and reduced to satisfactory levels before discharges, and

therefore no adverse water quality impact. The details of best management practices will be highly dependent to actual site condition and Contractor shall apply for a discharge license under WPCO. Wastewater shall be properly treated to meet the discharge standards set out in the discharge licence. No direct discharge of site runoff into any surrounding water bodies shall be allowed.

Sewage from Construction Workforce

4.4.7 To mitigate the water quality impacts of sewage arising from the on-site construction workers, the following measures should be implemented:

- Sufficient portable chemical toilets shall be provided to serve the construction workers at the construction site to prevent direct disposal of sewage into the water environment. A licensed contractor should be employed to be responsible for appropriate disposal to a suitable government sewage treatment facility offsite and to maintain the cleanliness and operations of the portable toilets; and
- Post notices at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the surrounding environment during the construction phase.

4.4.8 With the implementation of the above control measures, potential water quality impact during construction phase is not anticipated.

Accidental Spillage of Chemicals

4.4.9 All chemical waste shall be handled and disposed of in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354) and its subsidiary regulations, including the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap. 354C) and the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.

4.4.10 The Contractor shall establish appropriate chemical management and emergency spillage procedures, including contingency measures for accidental spillage and heavy rainfall events. Service, maintenance, and chemical storage areas shall be located on hard-paved, bunded areas equipped with sumps and oil interceptors, and shall be sited away from watercourses to prevent contamination.

Condition for Working within Water Gathering Ground

4.4.11 As the Application Site located within the Water Gathering Ground, it should follow the measures listed in the guideline “Conditions of Working within Water Gathering Ground” by Water Supplies Department (WSD) as far as applicable.

(a) Adequate measures shall be taken to ensure that no pollution or siltation occurs to the catchwater and catchments.

(b) No earth, building materials, fuel oil or toxic materials and other materials which may cause contamination to the water gathering grounds are allowed to be stocked or stored on site.

(c) All surplus spoil shall be removed from water gathering ground as soon as possible.

- (d) Temporary drains with silt traps shall be constructed at the boundary of the site prior to the commencement of any earthwork.
- (e) Regular cleaning of the silt traps shall be carried out to ensure that they function properly at all time.
- (f) All excavated or filled surfaces which have the risk of erosion shall be protected from erosion at all time.
- (g) Facilities for washing the wheels of vehicles before leaving the site shall be provided.
- (h) Any construction plant which causes pollution to catchwater or catchment due to leakage of oil or fuel shall be removed off site immediately.
- (i) Any soil contamination with fuel leaked from plant shall be removed off site and the voids arising from removal of potentially contaminated soil shall be replaced by suitable material to the approval of the Director of Water Supplies.
- (j) Provision of temporary toilet facilities is to be subject to the approval of the Director of Water Supplies.
- (k) All waterworks access roads must be maintained unobstructed at all time.
- (l) Site formation plans shall be submitted to W.S.D. for approval prior to commencement of work.
- (m) No structure or temporary works shall be erected in the catchwaters without prior approval of W.S.D.
- (n) The Contractor shall be responsible for cleaning frequently any waterworks roads and associated drainage works of mud and debris.
- (o) The Contractor shall limit the gross weight of the vehicles imposed on the waterworks access along catchwaters to 5 tonnes and the axle load to 3 tonnes. He shall apply to W.S.D. with details of his vehicles for using the access.
- (p) The approval for using the access may be withdrawn on written notice to the Contractor by W.S.D. at their absolute discretion.
- (q) The Contractor shall recover immediately his vehicle which fill into the catchwater or stream bed or pay to Government on demand the cost of recovery that may be necessary through the occurrence of any incident cause by the Contractor.
- (r) The Contractor shall carry out repair or reinstatement works to the satisfaction of W.S.D. or pay to Government on demand the cost of repair and reinstatement to any waterworks installations that shall or may be necessary at any time as a result of damage caused by the Contractor or others under his charge.
- (s) No chemicals including fertilizers shall be used without the prior approval form W.S.D.
- (t) Use of pesticides is not allowed within the water gathering grounds. The storage and discharge of pesticide or toxicant, flammable or toxic solvents, petroleum oil or tar and other toxic substances are strictly prohibited within the water gathering ground.

4.5 Operational Phase

A. Impact Evaluation

Sewage from Barn, Staff and Visitors

- 4.5.1 Under the current operation of Farm 1.0, sewage and wastewater generated from the barns, staff, and visitors are collected and transported off site by tanker. Under the latest design, the existing 200 mm to 225 mm diameter public sewers along Hang Kai Lane, which are located adjacent to Farm 2.0, will be utilised to convey sewage and potentially contaminated surface runoff generated from the site. Sewage arising from the proposed barns, as well as from staff and visitors of the Application Site, will be properly collected by the on-site sewerage system and discharged to the public sewerage system.
- 4.5.2 A separate wastewater discharge licence under the WPCO will be obtained for the discharges from animal wastewater. Wastewater generated from the daily operation of the three barns (i.e. cattle and calf urine and manure after removal of solid waste), together with wash-down water, will be properly collected and conveyed for pre-treatment prior to discharge to the public sewerage system. The treated effluent will comply with the discharge standards specified in **Table 4.3** and/or the requirements stipulated in the wastewater discharge licence. Under current design, the pre-treatment facility would be a small-scale sewage treatment plant with sufficient daily capacity (more than 13.12 m³/day), including an equalization tank, an anaerobic tank, and a composite moving-bed biofilm reactor (C-MBBR). The facility is designed as an integrated treatment unit with a watertight enclosure. The equalization tank, anaerobic tank and composite moving-bed biofilm reactor are housed within the unit and fully enclosed by the watertight cover of the pre-treatment facility. Detail of the pre-treatment facility will be finalised and submitted at a later stage as part of the application for the wastewater discharge licence.
- 4.5.3 Based on the current development parameters, the average dry weather flow to be generated from the Application Site is estimated to be about 13m³/day. The dry weather flows are shown in the table below. The hydraulic capacity of the sewers downstream to the proposed connections would be sufficient. A separated drainage and sewerage impact (DSIA) assessment report is submitted to ensure all sewage from the Application Site could be collected and treated before discharge. According to the SIA findings, no sewer update is needed. With proper discharges to public sewers, there should be no adverse water quality impact due to the wastewaters from the Application Site during operational phase.

Table 4.6 Wastewater and Sewerage Loading Estimations

Type		Unit Flow Factor (m ³ /day)	ADWF (m ³ /day)	
			Subtotal	Total
Animal Wastewater ^[1]		-	-	9.71
Human Wastewater	9 Staff	0.28 ^[2]	2.52	3.41
	59 Visitors	0.015 ^[3]	0.885	
Animal wastewater + Human wastewater		-	-	13.12

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

Notes:

- [1] Total animal waste including total manure, urine and wastewater is estimated by cattle specialist.
- [2] Unit flow factor = $0.08\text{m}^3/\text{day}$ (commercial employee) + $0.2\text{m}^3/\text{day}$ (General territorial average) = $0.28\text{m}^3/\text{day}$ based on EPD's GESF Table T-2.
- [3] Unit flow factor = $0.015\text{m}^3/\text{day}$ for visitors as refer to West Kowloon Station.

4.5.4 The Laboratory & Pharmacy (No. 5 in **Table 2.2**) will be used solely for dry storage purposes, consistent with the current practice under Farm 1.0. As no wet processes are involved, no wastewater generation is anticipated.

4.5.5 In the very unlikely event that a sick or injured animal requires isolation, treatment will be provided either in situ or within the designated isolation space. While the likelihood of cattle defecating during the short transfer is considered negligible, an additional contingency measure will be adopted to further minimise any potential risk. Affected cattle will be transported using a trailer, with its rear positioned directly at the building entrance, consistent with the current arrangement adopted under Farm 1.0.

4.5.6 Wastewater generated within the isolation space will be collected and conveyed to the dry-wet separator and pre-treatment facilities before being discharged to the public sewer, following the same treatment and disposal arrangement adopted for the other barns. As the total number of cattle accommodated on the farm will remain unchanged, operation of the isolation space will not result in any increase in the overall wastewater generation rate.

Surface Runoff

4.5.7 During the operational phase, the Application Site will increase the area of impermeable surfaces and hence the peak surface runoff rates. Polluting substances from the Application Site such as dust and lubricant oil deposited and accumulated on the road surfaces may be washed into the drainage system during rainfall or road surface cleaning. To manage the potentially contaminated, a specific drainage system is designed as shown in the figure below.




Figure 4.3 Proposed Stormwater Management Diagram⁵

- 4.5.8 As shown in the DSIA, the Application Site would be divided into two catchments served by separate drainage systems. As runoff from the two catchments would be managed independently, cross-contamination is not anticipated.
- 4.5.9 Stormwater from roof and landscaped areas, which is not considered contaminated, will be collected separately and discharged directly to Lam Tsuen River. The separated DSIA report confirms that the downstream drainage system has sufficient capacity and that no flooding risk is anticipated due to the Application Site.
- 4.5.10 Runoff from carriageways and car parks, which may contain contaminants such as dust and lubricating oil, would be directed by site grading and dedicated drainage channels to the public sewer. As shown in **Figure 4.3**, an approximately 200 m³ holding tank, providing a retention capacity equivalent to 20 minutes of runoff under the 1-in-50 years rainfall event, would provide temporary storage during heavy rainfall events. All retained runoff would subsequently be discharged to the public sewer.
- 4.5.11 As discussed in **Section 3.5**, a deodorisation system will be installed to serve the third barn (i.e. Dairy Barn, No. 15 in **Figure 2.2**). The system does not generate wastewater; however, a small amount of water accumulation may occur due to high-pressure spraying. Under 24-hour operation, approximately 5 m³ of water per day will be used, with no more than 0.5 m³ per day expected to remain on the floor. The deodorisation chambers will be designed with an adequate floor gradient and dedicated drainage channels to direct any accumulated water to the **underground/ uncovered overground** holding tank and subsequently to the public sewer. No contaminated runoff from the deodorisation system will be discharged directly into the drainage system.
- 4.5.12 To prevent potential water quality impacts from non-point source runoff generated at Block 16, where the pre-treatment facility will be installed without a covering structure, Block 16 will be provided with an impermeable concrete floor, a 300 mm high perimeter

⁵ Subject to detailed design, the location of Holding Tank, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

curb, and edge drainage to collect surface runoff and discharge it to the public sewer. In addition, the pre-treatment facility will be a fully enclosed integrated unit, with only essential openings retained and protected by weatherproof eaves or overhangs to minimise rainwater ingress. All process nozzles, breather vents and equipment openings will be located above the finished floor level within the containment curb, with key nozzles positioned at least 0.3 m above floor level. These measures will minimise rainwater ingress during adverse weather conditions and prevent overloading or disruption of the treatment system.

- 4.5.13 To minimise the potential for contaminated surface runoff, all chemicals, including dosing chemicals for the pre-treatment facilities and cleaning agents, will be stored within indoor areas. Secondary containment (e.g. bunds or drip trays) will be provided to contain any accidental leakage or spillage, thereby preventing chemicals from entering the stormwater drainage system or being washed off by surface runoff during rainfall events.
- 4.5.14 With proper collection of surface runoff and implementation of best management practices, no adverse water quality impacts are anticipated during operation.

B. Mitigation Measures

Sewage from Barn, Staff and Visitors

- 4.5.15 Sewage generated from the barns, staff, and visitors will be properly collected and conveyed to the public sewerage system.
- 4.5.16 A wastewater discharge licence would be obtained for animal wastewater. Appropriate pre-treatment will be implemented to ensure compliance with the discharge standards specified in **Table 4.3** and/or the requirements of the discharge licence prior to discharge.

Pre-treatment Facility with Dry-wet Separator

- 4.5.17 The pre-treatment facility with dry-wet separator should be inspected daily by trained farm staff to ensure proper operation and identify any signs of malfunction, leakage or abnormal conditions. In the event of equipment failure, shutdown or interruption of the treatment process, wastewater will not be discharged and will instead be collected and removed by tanker for off-site treatment, following the existing Farm 1.0 operation. Any leakage or

spillage within the facility will be contained within the bunded area and directed to the public sewer via the drainage system.

4.5.18 The farm operator should prepare and implement an Emergency Response Plan covering system failure, adverse weather, flooding, power failure, chemical spills, emergency wastewater removal and notifications:

- Before forecast rainstorms, inspect and clear drainage around the facility to prevent stormwater backflow;
- The equalization tank will temporarily store wastewater pending tanker removal during emergencies; and
- If treatment is interrupted, wastewater will be withheld and tankered off-site under the existing Farm 1.0 arrangement, while the equipment supplier is contacted immediately for repairs.

Surface Runoff

- 4.5.19 Best Management Practices (BMPs) recommended in ProPECC PN1/23 for storm water discharge shall be implemented to ensure that surface runoff generated during the operation phase does not cause water pollution.
- 4.5.20 Sand traps should be installed in proximity to cattle and calf barns to prevent sand from entering the piping system.
- 4.5.21 The drainage system shall be inspected regularly to ensure proper operation. Dual pumps will be provided to minimise the risk of system failure.
- 4.5.22 No pesticides or fertilisers will be used for vegetation management. Relevant practices under the “Good Agricultural Practices for Crop Production” issued by AFCD will be observed. With the implementation of these measures, no adverse water quality impact is anticipated.

Measures within Water Gathering Ground

- 4.5.23 As the Application Site located within the Water Gathering Ground, it should follow the measures by Water Supplies Department (WSD) as far as applicable listed below:
- (a) No discharge of effluent or foul water into adjoining land, storm water drain, channel, stream or river course is allowed. Such foul water or effluent shall be collected and disposed of outside WGG.
 - (b) Oil leakage and spillage shall be prevented within WGG at all time.
 - (c) Vehicle parking spaces shall be surrounded by kerbs and drains. Drainage traps such as grease traps and petrol interceptors shall be installed at each of the drainage outlets and shall be under proper maintenance. All such drainage traps shall have sufficient capacity to ensure the proper collection and disposal of fuel and lubricants.

- (d) Oil and grease decontamination kit such as absorbent pads shall be made available to decontaminate any possible oil leakage or spillage.
- (e) Besides vehicle parking, other activities such as on-site vehicle inspection, maintenance, repairing and washing activities shall not be allowed in the proposed development.

4.6 Needs of Environmental Monitoring and Audit

Construction Phase

- 4.6.1 During construction phase, there would be no adverse water quality impact with the implementation of control measures. Nevertheless, regular site audits will be conducted during construction to ensure the proper implementation and effectiveness of the measures.

Operational Phase

- 4.6.2 During the operation phase, no adverse water quality impacts are anticipated with the implementation of the proposed control measures. Therefore, EM&A is not required during the operation phase.

4.7 Conclusion

- 4.7.1 During the construction phase, potential pollution sources include surface runoff, sewage from the construction workforce, and accidental chemical spillage. With proper implementation of the recommended control measures recommended in ProPECC PN 2/24, PN 1/23, ETWB TCW No. 5/2005 and condition for working with gathering ground by WSD, it is anticipated that there will be no adverse water quality impact during the construction phase.
- 4.7.2 During the operation phase, potential pollution sources include sewage from the barns, staff, and visitors, as well as potentially contaminated surface runoff. Wastewater arising from waste handling processes and sewage generated by staff and visitors will be properly collected and discharged to the public sewerage system. A dedicated drainage system is designed to divert first-flush runoff to the public sewerage system, while the remaining uncontaminated surface runoff will be discharged via the stormwater drainage system. This arrangement ensures that no contaminated runoff is discharged into Lam Tsuen River. With the implementation of the recommended control measures in ProPECC PN 1/23 and the “Good Agricultural Practices for Crop Production” issued by AFCD, there would be no adverse water quality impacts during the operation phase.

5. WASTE MANAGEMENT

5.1 Environmental Legislation, Policies, Plans, Standards and Criteria

5.1.1 Reference has been made to the following legislation and guidelines that are relevant to waste management:

- Waste Disposal Ordinance (WDO) and subsidiary Regulations;
- Annex 7 and Annex 15 of the Technical Memorandum of Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO-TM);
- Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation;
- Waste Disposal (Clinical Waste) (General) Regulation;
- Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation;
- Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap 28); and
- Other relevant guidelines (as listed out in the table below).

Table 5.1 Other relevant documents and information

Bureau / Department	Documents / Guidelines / Technical Circulars
Development Bureau	Works Branch Technical Circular (WBTC) No. 2/93, Public Dumps WBTC No 2/93B, Public Filling Facilities WBTC No. 16/96, Wet Soil in Public Dumps WBTC Nos. 4/98 and 4/98A, Use of Public Fill in Reclamation and Earth Filling Project WBTC No. 12/2000, Fill Management WBTC No. 19/2001, Metallic Site Hoardings and Signboards WBTC No. 12/2002, Specification Facilitating the Use of Recycled Aggregates ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005, Environmental Management on Construction Sites DEVB TCW No. 06/2010, Trip-ticket System for Disposal of Construction and Demolition Material DEVB TCW No. 08/2010, Enhanced Specification for Site Cleanliness and Tidiness DEVB TCW No. 02/2011, Encouraging Use of Recycled and other Green Materials in Public Works Projects DEVB TCW No. 09/2011, Enhanced Control Measures for Management of Public Fill DEVB TC (W) No. 4/2020, Tree Preservation Guidelines on Yard Waste Reduction and Treatment
CEDD	CEDD TC No. 11/2019, Management of Construction and Demolition Materials Project Administration Handbook (PAH) for Civil Engineering Works, Chapter 4
EPD	A Guide to the Chemical Waste Control Scheme A Guide to the Registration of Chemical Waste Producers

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

Bureau / Department	Documents / Guidelines / Technical Circulars
	Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes Monitoring of Solid Waste in Hong Kong 2024
PlanD	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines, Chapter 9 (Section 6 – Waste Management)

5.2 Identification of Potential Impacts

A. Construction Phase

5.2.1 Potential construction waste will be generated from below sources during construction phase of the proposed redevelopment:

- Inert Construction and Demolition (C&D) materials
- Non-inert C&D Materials (or C&D waste)
- General refuse generated from construction workers
- Chemical Waste (e.g. spent lubricants for equipment and waste batteries).

Inert C&D materials

5.2.2 Inert C&D materials refers to the construction waste that do not decompose, such as concrete, rubble, earth and rubble and suitable for land reclamation and site formation. It shall be taken to public filling area and should not be disposed of to landfill.

5.2.3 As discussed in **Sections 2.2**, no slope works are required as a sufficient buffer no-building zone will be adopted. Moreover, the existing buildings within Farm 1.0 will be retained, and only minor demolition works are anticipated. Under the latest design, heavy foundation works, large-scale excavation, and major superstructure works are not required. Refer to the excavation plan, a total of 6,757 m³ inert C&D will be excavated and disposed of within the first quarter of commencement of the construction.

5.2.4 In addition to excavated material, building waste will also be generated during construction. This includes inert C&D waste includes but no limited to, broken concrete, granular materials, waste from blockwork and brickwork, waste from screening and plastering; and non-inert C&D materials (or C&D waste) from timber formwork, packaging waste and other wastes. A local reference of “A Guide for Managing and Minimizing Building and Demolition Waste⁶” published by The Hong Kong Polytechnic University has been made to estimate the building waste generation in Hong Kong. Section 3.2 of the guide provided a “waste index” to estimate the building waste generation in Hong Kong based on the GFA of three different building types listed as below:

- Private Housing Projects 0.250 m³/m² of GFA

⁶ *A Guide for Managing and Minimizing Building and Demolition Waste*, C. S. Poon, T.W. Yu and L. H. Ng, Research Centre for Urban Environmental Technology and Management, Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, May 2001.

- Government Housing Projects 0.174 m³/m² of GFA
- Commercial Office Projects 0.200 m³/m² of GFA

5.2.5 The most conservative index of private housing projects will be adopted to estimate the building waste from the Application Site. Estimation of inert C&D building waste is listed in the table below.

Table 5.2 Inert C&D Material in Building Waste

Approx. GFA ^[1] (m ²)	Waste index (m ³ / m ² of GFA)	Assumed Proportion of inert C&D material ^[2]	Volume of inert C&D material (m ³)
4,461	0.25	92%	1,026

Notes:

[1] Refer to **Table 2.3**.

[2] The assumption of 92% inert C&D material is adopted to be consistent with that used in Environmental Assessment Report under Farm 1.0 S16 application.

5.2.6 The total estimated inert C&D material generated during the construction phase of the Application Site is summarised in the table below.

Table 5.3 Summary of the Inert C&D Material During Construction Phase

	Estimated Inert C&D Material
	Volume (m ³)
Stage: Site Formation	
Excavated Earth	6,757
Stage: Infrastructural Works	
Building Waste	1,026
Total	7,783

5.2.7 As such, the inert C&D materials may be generated are dominantly from the site formation which is expected to last for about 3 months. A total of 6,757m³ of inert C&D materials might arise from during the 3 months peak period. In other words, it is 87m³ per day on average assuming 26 workings days per month.

5.2.8 Reusing the inert C&D material on-site shall be considered first wherever possible and good site practice and mitigation measure as recommended in **Section 5.3** shall be implemented. Remaining inert C&D material shall be disposed of at public fill reception facilities and the nearest facility is Tuen Mun Area 38 Fill Bank, which is about 25km away from the Site.

5.2.9 As the amount of inert C&D material to be reused on-site cannot be determined at this stage, it is assumed that 10% of inert C&D material can be reused on site for backfill and other need to be disposed of at public fill reception facilities for assessment.

5.2.10 Given the above analysis, waste impact due the generation of inert C&D material from the construction phase of the proposed farm is not anticipated. However, mitigation measure as recommended in **Section 5.3** shall be implemented.

Non-Inert C&D materials

- 5.2.11 Non-Inert C&D materials refers to the construction waste that can decompose, such as timber formwork, plastic packaging, bamboo scaffolding and vegetation and not suitable for land reclamation and site formation.
- 5.2.12 As the Site is covered by vegetation, non-inert vegetation waste will be generated from site clearance. Assuming the vegetation is approximately 0.2m depth and the proposed excavation area, including proposed area is approximate 6,603m², a total of 1,321m³ of vegetation waste will be generated from the site clearance. Meanwhile, all non-inert C&D materials should be recycled whenever possible and be disposed of at the landfill as a last resort. For any timber anticipated to be generated during construction phase, it should be recycled at Y·PARK prior to landfill disposal.
- 5.2.13 The non-inert C&D material in building waste from the construction phase can be estimated by the “waste index” developed by the local guide.
- 5.2.14 Estimation of non-inert C&D building waste is provided as below the table below:

Table 5.4 Non-inert C&D Material in Building Waste

Approx. GFA ^[1] (m ²)	Waste index (m ³ / m ² of GFA)	Assumed Proportion of inert C&D material	Amount of Non-inert C&D material (m ³)
4,461	0.25	8%	89.2

Notes:

[1] The GFA is assumed as the same with Total Floor Area for conservative estimation

- 5.2.15 The total estimated non-inert C&D material generated during the construction phase of the proposed redevelopment is summarised in the table below.

Table 5.5 Summary of non-Inert C&D Material During Construction Phase

	Estimated non-Inert C&D Material
	Volume (m ³)
Stage: Site Clearance	
Excavated Earth	1,321
Stage: Infrastructural Works	
Building Waste	89.2
Total	1,410

- 5.2.16 It is estimated that approximately 1,410 m³ of non-inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials will be generated over the 12-month construction period. This

corresponds to an average generation rate of about 4.5 m³ per day, based on 26 working days per month throughout the construction period.

- 5.2.17 On-site sorting shall be carried out for non-inert C&D materials to recover the recyclable material such as metal, paper, timber and plastic and to be collected by the recyclers for recycling if possible.
- 5.2.18 As the amount of non-inert C&D material to be reused or recycled cannot be accurately determined at this stage, it is expected that no more than 10% of the non-inert C&D material can be reused or recycled in view of the scale of the Proposed Farm. Under this assumption, it is estimated that the quantity of non-inert C&D materials to be on-site reused/recycled is 141m³.
- 5.2.19 Non-inert C&D materials will be disposed of at landfill. The nearest landfill is North East New Territories Landfill (NENT), which is around 14km from the Site.
- 5.2.20 Given the above analysis, waste impact due the generation of non-inert C&D material from the construction phase of the proposed farm is not anticipated. However, mitigation measure as recommended in **Section 5.3** shall be implemented.

General Refuse from Construction Workforces

- 5.2.21 General refuse from construction workforces will be generated during construction period and it usually is packaging waste, domestic food waste and other organic waste.
- 5.2.22 In view of the scale of the proposed farm, construction workforces to be engaged in the construction phase is expected to be limited, but the number of construction workforces will depend on the many factors such as construction schedule and construction methods. For assessment purpose, it is assumed that the maximum number of construction workforces for a project of such size would be less than 100 per day over 15 months construction period.
- 5.2.23 Generation rate of general refuse from workforces would be similar to domestic waste. Plate 2.7 of EPD's Waste Statistic for 2024 recorded the domestic waste disposal rate in 2024 was 0.86kg/person/day in Hong Kong. The general refuse generation rate will be likely less than this rate, but it is adopted to conservatively estimate the general refuse generation by construction workers as the table below:

Table 5.6 General Refuse Generation

Estimated number of construction workforces	per capita generation rate (kg/person/day)	General Refuse per day (kg)	Estimated Construction period	Total General Refuse	
				(kg)	(m ³)
100	0.86	86	26 workings days per month × 15 months	33,540	108 ^[1]

Notes:

[1] The bulk density of general refuse is assumed to be 312kg/m³ with reference to Palanivel, T. M., & Sulaiman, H. (2014). Generation and composition of municipal solid waste (MSW) in Muscat, Sultanate of Oman. APCBEE Procedia, 10, 96-102.

- 5.2.24 It is estimated that 108m³ of general refuse will be generated during 15 months of construction period. In order words, it is 0.28 m³ per day on average.
- 5.2.25 On-site sorting shall be carried out for general refuse to recover the recyclable material such as metal, paper, timber and plastic and to be collected by the recyclers for recycling if possible.
- 5.2.26 Given the above analysis, waste impact due to the generation of general refuse from the construction phase of the proposed farm is not anticipated. However, mitigation measure as recommended in **Section 5.3** shall be implemented.

Chemical Waste

- 5.2.27 Chemical waste should be handled in accordance with the “Code of Practice on Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes” published by EPD. Chemical waste containers should have the following properties:
- They are suitable for the substance that they hold and are resistant to corrosion;
 - They should be maintained in a good condition and are securely closed; and
 - Labels in English and Chinese are displayed in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.
- 5.2.28 Apart from the containers, there are other recommended properties for the storage area of chemical waste:
- The area is clearly labelled, and the sole use is storage of chemical waste;
 - The area is enclosed on at least three sides;
 - The area has an impermeable floor and bunding. The bunding should have the capacity of 110% of the volume of the largest container stored within, or 20% by volume of the chemical waste stored in the area;
 - The area has adequate ventilation;
 - The area is covered to prevent entering of rainfall;
 - Water collected within the bund must be tested and, if necessary, disposed as chemical waste; and
 - They are arranged in a way that incompatible materials are adequately separated.
- 5.2.29 The future Contractor shall be registered as a “Chemical Waste Producer” with EPD. The chemical wastes shall be collected by a licensed chemical waste collector for subsequent

disposal at the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre (CWTC) at Tsing Yi or other licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.

Summary

- 5.2.30 Based on the above analysis, the waste generation during the tentative construction period is summarized in the table below.

Table 5.7 Summary of Waste Generation During Construction Phase

Waste Type	Estimated Waste Quantity	Estimated Waste Quantity to be reused	Handling Methods	Handling Methods
	m ³	m ³		
Inert C&D Materials	7,783	778	Reuse on site if possible	Disposed of at Tuen Mun Area 38 Fill Bank
Non-inert C&D Materials	1,410	141	Segregation the recyclable and recycle and reuse if possible	Disposed of at NENT
General Refuse	108	10.8	Segregation the recyclable and recycle and reuse if possible	Disposed of at NENT
Chemical Waste	A few hundred litre/kg	reuse as far as possible	Chemical Waste Collector to e.g. CWTC for Treatment	

Notes:

- [1] Assuming 10% of inert and non-inert C&D waste and general refuse will be reused on site for assessment purpose

- 5.2.31 In summary, a total of 9,301 m³ of waste may be generated during the 15 months construction period. Given that good practices as recommended in **Section 5.3** are implemented, adverse waste impact from handling, transportation or disposal of inert C&D materials, non-inert C&D materials, general refuse or chemical waste during the construction of the proposed farm is not anticipated.

B. Operational Phase

- 5.2.32 Potential waste will be generated from below sources during operation phase of the proposed farm:
- General refuse from the operator and visitor;
 - Manure and sawdust from Barns; and
 - Clinical waste.

General Refuse from the Operator and Visitor

- 5.2.33 According to Plate 2.7 of the EPD Waste Statistics for 2024, the most recent municipal solid waste (MSW) generation rate in Hong Kong is 1.40 kg/person/day. The Application

Application for Planning Permission Under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131) for Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at Various Lots in D.D. 16, Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po, New Territories

Site is expected to accommodate a total of approximately 68 occupants, comprising 9 staff and up to 59 visitors. Based on this generation rate, the quantity of MSW generated is estimated to be about 0.3m³/day, considering a bulk density of general refuse of 312kg/m³.

- 5.2.34 The nearest disposal facility for MSW is the North East New Territories (NENT) Landfill. All MSW generated by the Application Site will be collected regularly by registered waste collectors and disposed of at EPD-managed landfill facilities. Given the relatively small quantity of waste generated and the established collection and disposal arrangements, no adverse waste impacts associated with waste handling, transportation, or disposal are anticipated. Nevertheless, the mitigation measures recommended in **Section 5.3** will be implemented to further minimise waste generation.

Manure and Sawdust from Barns

- 5.2.35 Under the current operation of Farm 1.0, all solid animal waste is collected on a regular basis by a licensed waste collector and disposed of at landfill as special waste. Under the latest design, however, animal manure will be treated and discharged to the public sewer, thereby substantially reducing the quantity of solid animal waste requiring off-site disposal by tanker. Compared with the existing operation, the amount of solid waste (i.e. sludge drying) to be collected and transported off site will therefore be significantly reduced.
- 5.2.36 All leak proof containers with wheels will be stored in an enclosed refuse collection storage room and the solid animal waste, including the solid waste generated from the dry-wet separation process, will be collected by waste collector and disposed to landfill as special waste on daily basis with EPD authorization under the Admission Ticket System. On the other hand, sludge generated from the pre-treatment system will be properly collected, stored, and transported off-site to T-Park for treatment and disposal. Additional leak proof containers with wheels will be stored on-site if the waste collection service is suspended due to adverse weather.

Clinical Waste

- 5.2.37 Small quantities of clinical waste (such as used veterinary sharps, expired diagnostic reagents, and treatment consumables) will be generated during routine bovine care and hands-on veterinary student clinical training. The total daily generation is estimated to be minimal. All clinical waste will be strictly segregated at source, stored in high-density puncture-proof yellow biohazard containers within a locked, designated storage zone, and collected by a licensed clinical waste contractor for high-temperature incineration at the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre (CWTC) in Tsing Yi.
- 5.2.38 Clinical waste is produced but will be collected by specialist collector and disposed outside of Farm area.

5.3 Mitigation Measure

A. Construction Phase

- 5.3.1 To minimise the generation of construction waste, the use of precast elements or prefabricated construction methods shall be considered as far as practicable. Inert and non-inert C&D materials shall be reused on site where feasible. Any surplus inert C&D materials shall be transported to public filling reception facilities for reuse in other projects.
- 5.3.2 On-site reuse and recycling of materials and wastes shall be prioritised, as far as practicable, before considering off-site reuse, recycling, or disposal options.
- 5.3.3 Recycling of waste paper, aluminium cans, and plastic bottles shall be encouraged. Clearly labelled recycling bins shall be provided on site to facilitate segregation of recyclable materials from other general refuse generated by the workforce.
- 5.3.4 The mitigation measures stipulated in Practice Note for Registered Contractors No. 17 – Control of Environmental Nuisance from Construction Sites shall be fully implemented during the construction phase..
- 5.3.5 Stockpiling of wastes and materials on site shall be avoided as far as practicable. Where temporary stockpiling is unavoidable, the materials shall be fully covered with impervious sheeting. The integrity of the impervious covering shall be inspected regularly, particularly before anticipated inclement weather, to prevent leakage, runoff, or wind dispersion.
- 5.3.6 Chemical wastes shall be provided with secondary containment to prevent leakage and shall be properly packaged, labelled, and stored within designated chemical waste storage areas in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. The chemical waste storage areas shall be checked before and after anticipated inclement weather to ensure proper containment and integrity.
- 5.3.7 Throughout the construction phase of the Application Site, all construction activities shall be carried out in accordance with the Recommended Pollution Control Clauses for Construction Contracts promulgated by the EPD.

B. Operational Phase

- 5.3.8 The farm management shall adopt the waste management hierarchy to manage waste in a sustainable manner. The waste management hierarchy is a concept to show the desirability of waste management measures and the following hierarchy in order of preference:
- Avoidance
 - Minimisation
 - Recycling/reuse
- 5.3.9 Recycling of waste paper, aluminium cans, and plastic bottles shall be encouraged. Clearly labelled recycling bins shall be provided on site for the collection of such recyclables.

Non-recyclable general refuse shall be collected separately in designated bins to prevent cross-contamination with recyclable materials. A licensed waste collector shall be engaged to remove general refuse on a daily basis to minimise potential odour, pest, and litter impacts.

- 5.3.10 Sludge dried from the barns shall be collected by the farm management on an as-needed basis and transferred to the designated storage area using leak-proof, wheeled containers. The containers shall be properly closed when not in use and thoroughly cleaned after each use to prevent leakage, odour nuisance, and secondary contamination.
- 5.3.11 Good housekeeping practices shall be adopted for the proposed pretreatment system to further minimise potential waste-related impacts. These practices shall include, but not be limited to, the following measures:
- Screens should be cleaned regularly to remove accumulated debris
 - Sludge and solid waste generated from the pretreatment system shall be collected and transferred using leak-proof, wheeled containers;
 - The leak-proof containers shall be properly closed when not in use to prevent leakage, odour nuisance, and secondary contamination; and
 - Proper maintenance shall be provided for the proposed pretreatment system.

5.4 Conclusion

- 5.4.1 With implementation of the good site practice, waste generation during construction phase can be significantly reduced. All types of waste generated from the construction can be properly handle and transported to appropriate location and the amount of waste is insignificant. No adverse waste management, handling and transportation impact is anticipated during the construction phase.
- 5.4.2 During the operation phase, municipal solid waste (MSW) will be generated by users of the facilities. The quantity of domestic waste generated is estimated to be approximately 0.3 m³ per day. With the implementation of the proposed arrangement whereby animal waste will be treated and discharged to the public sewer, the quantity of solid waste (i.e. dried sludge) requiring off-site collection and transportation will be substantially reduced compared with the existing operation.
- 5.4.3 As waste will be collected regularly, no adverse waste impacts from handling, transportation or disposal are anticipated during operation.

6. LAND CONTAMINATION

6.1 Legislation, Standards and Guideline


6.1.1 Reference has been made to the following legislation, standards and guideline that are relevant to land contamination include:




- Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for Contaminated Land Management;
- Guidance Notes for Contaminated Land Assessment and Remediation; and
- Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land.


6.2 Land Use Review from Historical Information

6.2.1 The historical land use of the Site is reviewed based on the historic aerial photographs record obtained from Survey and Mapping Office (SMO) of Lands Department. The historical land use of the Site identified from aerial photography is summarised in the table below.

Table 6.1 The Historical Land Use with Aerial Photography

Year	Land use	Aerial Photo
1964	Agricultural use	

Year	Land use	Aerial Photo
1986	Agricultural use	
2003	Agricultural use	
2017	Vacant	

Year	Land use	Aerial Photo
2023	Farm 1.0	

6.2.2 Historic aerial photos and images from the Lands Department for the years 1964, 1986, 2003, 2007, and 2017 were reviewed, and no signs of industrial development were observed in the historical records. The land use during the periods mentioned was mainly agricultural or comprised greening areas, and no potential for land contamination was identified from the historical land use of the site as observed in the aerial photography.

6.2.3 A site visit took place on 24th October 2025 to assess the Application Site's condition and identify possible land contamination risks from current and past uses. According to the photo record of the visit shown in the **Table 2.1**, there is no evident for the presence of potentially contaminated land within the Application Site from present use.

6.3 Conclusion

6.3.1 In conclusion, there is no evident to suspect the presence of potentially contaminated land within the Application Site from past or present use. Potential sources of land contamination were not identified from the historical records and the site visit. As such, there is no recommendation to carry out any further investigation into land contamination issues at the Application Site .

7. CONCLUSION

7.1 General

- 7.1.1 The Application Site (Farm 1.0 and Farm 2.0) is not classified as a Designated Project (DP) under Schedules 2 or 3 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO).
- 7.1.2 Under the latest design, construction works have been minimised with no slope works, only minor demolition, and no major excavation or foundation works.
- 7.1.3 The sewerage system will be upgraded to connect to the public sewerage network. In addition, a new drainage system and enhanced deodorisation management measures are proposed to improve the surrounding environmental conditions.
- 7.1.4 As the anticipated increase in traffic during operation is negligible, the associated noise impact is also expected to be negligible. Moreover, the predicted operational noise level at the nearest NSR would be approximately 35 dB(A) from the proposed pre-treatment facility with dry-wet separator. This is below the prevailing background noise level (L₉₀) of 44 dB(A). The key environmental concerns of the Project would be potential odour emissions, as well as wastewater and solid waste generated from farm activities.

7.2 Air Quality Impact

- 7.2.1 With the implementation of good construction practices, there would be no adverse air quality impacts during construction.
- 7.2.2 During operation, the Application Site complies with the HKPSG buffer distance requirements, involves no chimneys, and will generate negligible additional traffic; hence, no adverse air quality impacts are anticipated. Potential odour impacts will be minimised through the proposed sewerage arrangement and barn deodorisation system, supported by ongoing oversight from designated farm management personnel. With these measures in place, there would be no adverse odour impact.

7.3 Water Quality Impact

- 7.3.1 During construction, potential pollution sources include surface runoff, workforce sewage, and accidental chemical spillage. With the implementation of the recommended control measures, no adverse water quality impacts are anticipated.
- 7.3.2 During operation, sewage and potentially contaminated runoff will be properly collected and managed through the public sewerage and a dedicated drainage system that diverts first-flush runoff to the sewer. With these arrangements and the implementation of

relevant best management practices, there would be no adverse water quality impacts during the operational phase.

7.4 Waste Management

- 7.4.1 With implementation of the good site practise recommended in **Section 5.3**, waste generation during construction phase can be significantly reduced. All types of waste generated from the construction can be properly handle and transported to appropriate location and the amount of waste is insignificant. No adverse waste management, handling and transportation impact is anticipated during the construction phase.
- 7.4.2 As waste will be collected regularly, no adverse waste impacts from handling, transportation or disposal are anticipated during operation. However, mitigation measure as recommended in **Section 5.3** shall be implemented.

7.5 Land Contamination

- 7.5.1 There is no evident for the presence of potentially contaminated land within the Site from past or present use. Potential source of land contamination was not identified from the historical records and the site visit. As such, it is not necessary to carry out any further investigation into land contamination issues at the Application Site.

Appendix H

Replacement pages of the updated
Geotechnical Planning Review Report

1. Introduction

1.1 Project Description

- 1.1.1 This Geotechnical Planning Review Report is prepared in support of the planning application under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap.131) in support of the Proposed Temporary Educational Institution (Teaching Farm) with Minor Relaxation of Building Height Restriction for a Period of Three Years and Excavation of Land at various lots in D.D. 16 in Wo Tong Pui, Tai Po (“the Application Site”).
- 1.1.2 The Application Site, with an area of about 15,444m², falls within the “Recreation” (“REC”) zone on the Approved Lam Tsuen Outline Zoning Plan (“OZP”) No. S/NE-LT/11. The Application Site consists of (i) an existing temporary educational institution (teaching farm) for a period of three years until 15 May 2029 under the approved planning application (No. A/NE-LT/788) (about 9,274 m²), (ii) an area immediately adjoining the existing temporary teaching farm (about 5,579 m²) and iii) an area across Ngau Kwu Leng Path of the existing temporary teaching farm (about 591m²). With a view to facilitate teaching and learning of veterinary medicine in Hong Kong, the Applicant aims to expand the existing temporary teaching farm (i.e. Farm1.0) with the two adjoining areas currently left vacant with no long-term designated use (i.e. Farm2.0) for a combined site development with the provision of new and upgraded facilities for a period of three years (i.e. the Proposed Development).
- 1.1.3 The Proposed Development, covering the existing Farm1.0 and proposed Farm2.0, will consist of 20 blocks of buildings (including 1 underground structure and 1 underground/ uncovered aboveground structure subject to detailed design) with a total gross floor area (GFA) of 4,461m². The proposed building height (BH) will be no more than about 9.5m, with a domestic building of not more than 3 storeys (9.5m) for the provision of caretaker’s accommodation. Excavation of land with a depth ranging from 1.3m-3.6m is also proposed in association with the construction works of the building blocks, as well as the proposed underground facilities and emergency vehicular access (EVA).
- 1.1.4 The proposed Farm 1.0+2.0 is located in a relatively flat area with ground level ranging from approx. about +20 mPD near the northern boundary adjoining existing Hang Kai Lane to roughly +23.5 mPD near the southern boundary adjoining Ngau Kwu Leng Path. A natural sloping ground with local top level of approx. +35 mPD is identified along the south-eastern boundary of the Site.

1.2 Purpose of this Report

- 1.2.1 The location of the Application Site and the proposed development scheme is shown in **Figure 1.1** and **Figure 1.2** respectively.
- 1.2.2 This Geotechnical Planning Review Report provides the following assessment to support the planning application for the PRS:
- Summary of the available geotechnical information for the Application Site;
 - Initial review of the geotechnical issues relating to the proposed development; and
 - Review of impact on the existing slope / natural terrain adjacent to the Application Site.

4. Natural Terrain Hazard Study

4.1 Overview

- 4.1.1 The extent of natural terrain catchment area affecting the Site has been defined in accordance with GEO Report No. 138 (Ed. II) (Ho & Roberts, 2016) and determined based on the topographic settings of the hillside as shown in **Figure 4.1**. There are two (2) catchments overlooking the Site: (1) NT-1 overlooking the main site area, and (2) NT-2 overlooking the site area at the other side of Ngau Kwu Leng Path.
- 4.1.2 As previously mentioned in **Section 3.1**, the approach of ‘no-build’ zone with no Group 1 to 3 facility proposed is adopted for the existing Farm 1.0 and the requirement of NTHS was agreed to be waived by GEO. The previously approved ‘no-build’ zone demarcation approach **with no Group 1 to 3 facility** is proposed to be adopted for the CityUHK Farm Expansion (Farm 1.0 + 2.0). The ‘no-build’ zone demarcation will be following Sections 2.3.4 and 2.3.6 of GEO Report No. 138 (Ed. II) in accordance with the angular elevation between the proposed development and the natural terrain.

4.2 Natural Terrain Hazard Review

- 4.2.1 A desk study with the review of instability records and boulder field inventory has been carried out to assess the compliance of the principles given in Section 2.3.6 of GEO Report No. 138 (Ed. II) (Ho & Roberts, 2016).
- 4.2.2 Historical data relating to any past landslide incidents within the Site were collected through a review of GEO Landslide Incident Records, Landslide Study Report, the Enhanced Natural Terrain Landslide Inventory (ENTLI), the Large Landslide Dataset and various previous geotechnical studies. The locations of all previous recorded landslides within and adjacent to the Sites are presented in **Figure 4.1**.

GEO Landslide Incidents

- 4.2.3 Focusing the incidents in the vicinity of the Site, two (2) landslide incidents were observed, with GEO Incident Nos. ME99/9/7 and ME99/9/8 that were both classified as failures within the natural catchment NT-1. These two (2) landslide incidents are located on the hillside along the southeastern boundary of the Study Area. The summary of the details of these GEO Landslide Incidents is provided in **Table 4.1**.

7NW-A/HP0006. Hillside pockets are typically associated with the presence of loose fill, inadequate drainage provision, presence of disturbed ground and poor slope surface protection. However, the findings from the aerial photographs suggest the hazards associated with hillside pockets are not evident.

4.3 Findings and Review Results

4.3.1 Further to above review, there are no historical landslides with long debris runout extending into the Site and the hillside is not intersected by natural drainage course. The ‘Alert Criteria’ as stated in GEO Report No. 138 (Ed. II) Section 2.3.4 could be adopted to demarcate the zone where NTHS is required / not required.

4.3.2 The following ‘Alert Criteria’ defines the necessity of NTHS and is illustrated graphically in **Figure 4.6**.

(a) It is a new development site involving provision of Group 1 to 3 facilities, or it is a development that requires modification of lease conditions and involves either a significant population at risk or a significant increase in population at risk;

(b) Where there is natural terrain outside the site, but within the same catchment that is at an angular elevation of 20° or more from the site and where there is sloping ground at more than 15° within 50 m horizontally upslope of the site, provided that there is a credible debris flow path to the site.

Site	Angular Elevation of Natural Terrain $\geq 20^\circ$	Within 50 m of Ground Sloping at $\geq 15^\circ$	Alert Criteria Met?
A	Yes	Yes	Yes
B	Yes	No	No




Figure 4.6 Illustration of Alert Criteria

4.3.3 Hence, for the proposed CityUHK Farm Expansion (Farm 1.0 + 2.0), the “Alert Criteria” applies when Group 1 to 3 facilities are proposed and at an angular elevation of 20° or more. Following the ‘no-build’ zone approach on existing Farm 1.0, a ‘no-build’ zone is demarcated in the Farm 2.0 area based on the angular elevation of 20°. No Group 1 to 3 facilities will be proposed within this ‘no-build’ zone **and only Group 4 to 5 facilities will be proposed within the zone as necessary.** By imposing the ‘no-build’ zone with no Group 1 to 3 facilities proposed, the requirement for a NTHS can be waived.

- 4.3.4 Review has also been made on whether the special considerations for dealing with Planar Hillside catchments as stipulated in GEO Report No. 138 (Ed. II) Section 2.3.6 can be applied to further reduce the proposed ‘no-build’ zone. However, with the presence of two (2) GEO Landslide Incidents (Incident Nos. ME99/9/7 and ME99/9/8), catchment NT-1 does not fulfil all the principles listed. Therefore, the angular elevation of 20° stipulated under ‘Alert Criteria’ has to be applied.
- 4.3.5 Based on the observed geomorphological features, catchment NT-2 is classified as a planar hillside catchment. A review of landslide history and angular elevation indicates that no recent landslide incidents or ENTLI records are identified within or in the immediate vicinity of the catchment, and the measured angular elevation of approx. 16° from catchment NT-2 overlooking the Site (Section 8-8 in **Figure 4.5** refers) is below the threshold of 25° specified for such cases. It indicates that the open hillside catchment overlooking the Site satisfies all the criteria outlined for the Special Consideration for Dealing with Planar Hillside Catchments in GEO Report No. 138 (Edition II), Section 2.3.6. Accordingly, NTHS is not required for catchment NT-2.
- 4.3.6 Following this principle, the plans and sections of the proposed ‘no-build’ zone **with no Group 1 to 3 facilities** is presented in **Figure 4.3 to 4.5** for Farm 2.0 area. The proposed area joins the existing ‘no-build’ zone of the Farm 1.0 area to form a consolidated ‘no-build’ zone.
- 4.3.7 Referring to the proposed schematic Master Layout Plan as shown in **Figure 1.2**, only greenery area and access road are located within the proposed ‘no-build’ zone. With reference to Table 2.2 of Geo Report no. 138, the greenery area is considered similar to “lightly-used open-air recreation area” under Group 4 facilities, while the access road is “road with low vehicular to pedestrian traffic density” under Group 4 facilities. **Subjected to detailed design development, a Holding Tank may be located aboveground partially within the ‘no-build’ zone’ (Section 5.1 refers). The Holding Tank is unmanned and for storage of water. It is considered similar to “non-dangerous goods storage” under Group 4 facilities.** NTHS is not required.

5. Proposed Geotechnical Works

5.1 Proposed Geotechnical Works

- 5.1.1 The proposed Application Site (Farm 1.0 + 2.0) is located in a relatively flat area with ground level ranging from approx. about +20mPD near the northern boundary adjoining existing Hang Kai Lane to roughly +23.5mPD near the southern boundary adjoining Ngau Kwu Leng Path. Only minimal site formation works in form of minor levelling are expected. Construction of retaining wall or slopes to retain level difference within different part of Application Site is not envisaged.
- 5.1.2 Referring to the schematic Master Layout Plan as shown in **Figure 1.2**, the indicative scheme of the Development Sites consists of a total of 18 aboveground buildings/ structures (including 1 uncovered structures), 1 underground structures (i.e Stormwater Pump Pit) and 1 underground/ uncovered aboveground structure subject to detailed design (i.e. Holding Tank) (excavation depth at approx. 3.6m below ground level). The location of the Holding Tank (Block 18 in **Figure 1.2**) is subjected to design development and may be partially located in the 'No-Build Zone'. All other structures are located outside the 'No-Build Zone'.
- 5.1.3 The major geotechnical works will be the foundation of the proposed buildings outside the 'No Build Zone'. In view of the relatively small loadings of the proposed buildings or underground structures, shallow footing / raft foundations will be considered as the foundation type. Details of the proposed shallow footing / raft foundations will be presented in the foundation design submission in the detailed design stage. Temporary excavation and lateral support works will be envisaged for the underground structures and will be presented in the excavation and lateral support design submission in the detailed design stage.
- 5.1.4 Within the 'No-Build Zone', proposed works include greenery area, access road and possible Holding Tank. The Holding Tank is for storage of water and will be unmanned.


5.2 Impact on Existing Features

- 5.2.1 The proposed foundations and the associated excavation and lateral support works will be located at the flat area which is at the toe of the existing registered features or the adjacent hillside. Due to the presence of 'No Build Zone', these foundations are located at a minimum offset of 15m from the toe of adjacent hillside. This planning application will not induce additional loading or impose any adverse effect on the existing geotechnical features or hillside.
- 5.2.2 In the detailed design stage, the adjacent slopes and retaining walls will be designed to meet the current geotechnical standards and requirements from the Geotechnical Engineering Office (GEO) if they affect / are affected by the proposed works. If required, surface drainage works will be designed in accordance with the requirement of the Geotechnical Manual for Slope.

6. Conclusion and Recommendation

6.1 Conclusion and Recommendation

- 6.1.1 A geotechnical planning review has been conducted for the CityUHK Farm Expansion (Farm 1.0 + 2.0) that may affect, or be affected by, natural terrain of man-made slopes or retaining wall features in accordance to GEO Advice Note for the Planning Application under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (Cap. 131). The Proposed Development of the Application Site comprises various structures, including one underground structure (i.e. Stormwater Pump Pit) and an underground/ uncovered overground structure subject to detailed design (i.e. Holding Tank).
- 6.1.2 The review has been carried out based on the findings of desk studies, aerial photo interpretation (API) and site inspection of the Site and the adjacent natural terrain catchments. Farm 1.0 + 2.0 is located at the toe of a hillside.
- 6.1.3 Following the ‘no-build’ zone approach on existing Farm 1.0, a ‘no-build’ zone is demarcated in the Farm 2.0 area based on the angular elevation of 20°. No Group 1 to 3 facilities will be proposed within this ‘no-build’ zone. By imposing the ‘no-build’ zone with no Group 1 to 3 facilities proposed, the requirement for a NTHS can be waived.
- 6.1.4 Architectural Drawings for the Proposed Scheme of CityUHK Farm Expansion (Farm 1.0 + 2.0) have been proposed. The structures are proposed on the flat area and are envisaged to sit on shallow footing / raft foundations. Temporary excavation and lateral support works are envisaged for the underground structures. Due to the presence of ‘No Build Zone’, these foundations are located at a minimum offset of 15m from the toe of adjacent hillside. This planning application will not induce additional loading or impose any adverse effect on the existing geotechnical features or hillside.
- 6.1.5 In conclusion, the proposed development is considered to be feasible from the geotechnical perspective.



*Subject to detail design, the location of the holding tank, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

B.L.D REF -

F.S.D REF -

Rev.	Date	Description	Drawn

GENERAL NOTES:

1.DO NOT SCALE DRAWING. FIGURED DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE FOLLOWED.

2.ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE CHECKED AT SITE BY THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.

3.ALL RIGHT RESERVED. REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM MUST BE APPROVED BY CLIENT/OWNER.

4.READ THIS DRAWING IN CONNECTION WITH GENERAL ARCHITECTURAL PLANS, STRUCTURAL PLANS, AND OTHER RELATED DRAWINGS.

SITE BOUNDARY

NON-BUILDING LINE

GREENERY AREA

EXISTING BUILDINGS

PROPOSED NEW BUILDINGS

PROPOSED UNDERGROUND STRUCTURE

CLIENT:

CityU

香港城市大學

City University of Hong Kong

LEAD CONSULTANT:

ARUP

CONTRACT NO.:

CDO/CPD/2/159/21

JOB TITLE:

APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION UNDER SECTION 16 OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE (CAP. 131) FOR PROPOSED TEMPORARY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION (TEACHING FARM) FOR A PERIOD OF THREE YEARS AND EXCAVATION OF LAND AT VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D. 16, WO TONG PUI, TAI PO, NEW TERRITORIES

DRAWING TITLE:

PLAN OF PROPOSED NO-BUILD ZONE

DRAWING NO:

FIGURE 4.3

SCALE

1:1000 @A3

ISSUED DATE:

25/06/2026


DRAWN BY:

FK

CHECKED BY:


JL

REV.



#	Existing building blocks in Farn1.0 with proposed upgrade	#	Proposed new building blocks/ structures in Farm2.0
1	Beef Cattle Barn	15	Dairy Barn
2	Young Stock Barn	16	Pre-treatment facilities (uncovered overground)
3	Main Building Block	17	Accommodation Block
4	Changing Room Block	18	Holding Tank (Underground or uncovered overground)
5	Laboratory & Pharmacy	19	Stormwater Pump Pit (Underground)
6A	Machine Store Block	20	Storage Shed
7	Feed Store Block	21	Isolation Space
9	Transformer & Generation Room Block		
10	Fire Service, Sprinkler System & Pump Room Block		
11	Fire Service, Sprinkler System & Pump Room Block		
12	Store		
13	Sewage Treatment Unit		
14	Micro-Filtration Unit		

*Subject to detail design, the location of Block18, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.



# Existing building blocks in Farn1.0 with proposed upgrade		# Proposed new building blocks/ structures in Farm2.0
1	Beef Cattle Barn	15 Dairy Barn
2	Young Stock Barn	16 Pre-treatment facilities (uncovered overground)
3	Main Building Block	17 Accommodation Block
4	Changing Room Block	18 Holding Tank (Underground or uncovered overground)
5	Laboratory & Pharmacy	19 Stormwater Pump Pit (Underground)
6A	Machine Store Block	20 Storage Shed
7	Feed Store Block	21 Isolation Space
9	Transformer & Generation Room Block	
10	Fire Service, Sprinkler System & Pump Room Block	
11	Fire Service, Sprinkler System & Pump Room Block	
12	Store	
13	Sewage Treatment Unit	
14	Micro-Filtration Unit	

*Subject to detail design, the location of Block18, which is shown underground on the plan above, may be further reviewed and located overground as an uncovered structure.

Appendix I


Indicative location plan for dry-wet
separation facilities

Indicative options for the dry-wet separation facilities location

LEGEND

● Option 1

● Option 2



Appendix J

Gross Floor Area (GFA) and Footprint Area (for multi-storey structures) for proposed structures

Block No.	Proposed Use	Proposed GFA (m ²)
1	Beef Cattle Barn	1031.553
2	Young Stock Barn	450.873
3	Main Building Block	115.801
4	Changing Room Block	112.29
5	Laboratory & Pharmacy	66.75
6A	Machine Store Block	61.307
7	Feed Store Block	253.029
9	Transformer & Generator Room Block	67.778
10	Fire Service, Sprinkler System & Pump Room Block	0.188 ⁽¹⁾
11		
12	Store	114.643
13	Sewage Treatment Unit	59.939
14	Micro-Filtration Unit	77.323
15	Dairy Barn	1132
16	Pre-Treatment Facilities (uncovered overground)	440 ⁽²⁾
17	Accommodation Block ⁽⁵⁾	469
18	Holding Tank (Underground/ Uncovered Overground)	174 ⁽³⁾
19	Stormwater Pump Pit (Underground)	108 ⁽⁴⁾
20	Storage Shed	148.5
21	Isolation Space	300

Remarks:

- (1) In accordance with the approved General Building Plan, the total GFA of the existing Block 10 and 11 in Farm 1.0 (after concession of un-accountable area) is 0.188m². No change to Block 10 and 11 is proposed under the Farm 1.0+2.0 combined site development.
- (2) In accordance with Building (Planning) Regulation 23(3)(b), the uncovered outdoor facilities (i.e Pre-Treatment Facilities) are proposed to be exempted from GFA calculation.
- (3) In accordance with Building (Planning) Regulation 23(3)(b), the underground/uncovered overground structure subject to detailed design (i.e. Holding Tank) are proposed to be exempted from GFA calculation.
- (4) In accordance with Building (Planning) Regulation 23(3)(b) and APP2, the underground structure (i.e. Stormwater Pump Pit) is proposed to be exempted from GFA calculation.
- (5) The proposed accommodation block is the only multi-storey structures under the proposed development. The 3-storeys structures have a footprint area of 265m² (ground floor), 122m² (first floor) and 82m² (second floor) respectively.