Response to Comments

PROPOSED TEMPORARY WAREHOUSE (EXCLUDING DANGEROUSE GODOWN) AND ASSOCIATED FILLING OF LAND FOR A PERIOD OF THREE YEARS IN "AGRICULTURE" ZONE

LOTS 665 S.A., 666 S.A., 667, 669, AND 685 OF D.D. 90, MAN KAM TO, NEW TERRITORIES

Departmental Comments	Applicant's Response
Comments from the Transport Department	Noted, the proposed Ingress/egress and
- The proposed vehicular access of 16m is	vehicular access has been revised to 13m
considered too wide which would create undue	instead, with 3m transition on both sides.
pedestrian/vehicular conflicts.	Please find revised kerb detail in Figure 1 and
	revised Site Layout in Figure 2
- Swept path analyses for container vehicles	Below in Figure 3 to 6 are swept path analysis
should be further provided for these cases	demonstrating the left-in, left-out, right-in, and
including left-in, left-out, right-in and right-out,	right-out joining/ leaving Lin Ma Hang Road.
joining / leaving Lin Ma Hang Road to / from the	With the revised width of the vehicular access,
development without the need to encroach onto	Container vehicles will have sufficient space
the opposite lane.	joining and leaving Lin Ma Hang Road without
	the need to encroach onto the opposite lane.
Comments from the Agriculture, Fisheries and	Attached are photos taken on 29/4/2024
Conservation Department	(Photo 1-8), along with map of photo
From agricultural perspective	locations and angle (Figure 7). There were
The subject site falls within the "AGR" zone and	heavy rains in the week prior, and an amber
is generally abandoned. The agricultural	rainstorm warning hoisted 3 days ago on
activities are active in the vicinity, and	26/4/2024. Site inspections shows that
agricultural infrastructures such as road access	although the land is damp from the rainfall,
and water source are also available. The subject	there are still no signs of running water or
site can be used for agricultural activities such as	watercourse within the subject site as
open-field cultivation, greenhouses, plant	indicated on the maps. Further inspection
nurseries, etc. As the subject site possesses	shows that the area in the vicinity of the
potential for agricultural rehabilitation, the	indicated watercourse is actually soil ground as
proposed development is not supported from	shown in attached picture (Photo 04).
agricultural perspective.	Therefore, there will be no loss of watercourse.
From nature conservation perspective	
It is noted from our recent site inspection that	
Lot 665 S.A, 666 S.A and 667 in D.D. 90 is a	
seasonal marsh where aquatic plants were	
recorded. A natural watercourse is located with	
the subject site. As loss of marsh and	
watercourse is not desirable from nature	
conservation perspective, I have reservation on	
the subject application.	