

Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy Confidential

**Cheryl Tsz Man TSANG/PLAND**

---

寄件者: Tso Lung Ma <[REDACTED]>  
寄件日期: 2026年03月09日星期一 12:12  
收件者: Cheryl Tsz Man TSANG/PLAND  
副本: Esther Hiu Laam LI/PLAND; Johnny Chung Yin LAM/PLAND  
主旨: Re: Planning Application No. A/NE-MTL/14 - Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) with Ancillary Facilities and Associated Filling of Land for a Period of 3 Years  
  
類別: Internet Email

Dear Miss Tsang,

Please kindly see the attachments for the revised report and our responses.  
Thank you.

Best Regards,  
Wong Man Kit  
[REDACTED]



\_A\_NE-MTL\_14 GBPR Report 20260306.pdf



\_S16\_A-NE-MTL-14\_RtoC\_CEDD\_replied - 20260306.doc

**Planning Application No. A/NE-MTL/14 – Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) with Ancillary Facilities and Associated Filling of Land for a Period of 3 Years**

Responses to Comments from Government Departments via Planning Department dated 25.02.2026 on the Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) with Ancillary Facilities and Associated Filling of Land for a Period of 3 Years submitted on 29.12.2025

**Comments from the Head of Geotechnical Engineering Office (GEO), Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)  
(Contact Person: Mr. S.C. MOK, Tel.: 2762-5390)**

<b>Planning Statement</b>		
<u>Item</u>	<u>Comments</u>	<u>Responses</u>
PS-CEDD-1	Sections 4.8 and 4.9 – For Feature Nos. 2NE-D/CR143 and 2NE-D/F17, the applicant should explain and elaborate the rationale in drawing the conclusion stated as “...which seems consistent as SIS record. As a result, the proposed development will not affect the geotechnical features. No further detailed of the slope and wall stability assessment shall be carried out (i.e. Geotechnical assessment report).”. Substantiations such as cross sections developed by information from topographic survey should be provided in support of the above conclusion;	Figure 8 and figure 9 has been provided for showing cross-section of registered slope as mentioned.
PS-CEDD-2	Section 4.11 – The applicant is reminded to submit the proposed building works and associated site formation works to the Buildings Department for approval as required under the provisions of the Buildings Ordinance. Meanwhile, it happens that Appendix E did not contain any information related to site formation works (currently being geological map). As such, the applicant should clarify and provide the schematic site formation works’ information on layout plan and sections as necessary;	Referring to Appendix G, no significant site formation works are involved and less than 0.2m filling work will be carried out to ensure the average formation level is about +6.8mPD in the site. As a result, no proposed building works and associated site formation works are required to submit to BD.
PS-CEDD-3	Section 4.12 – For Section A-A, the applicant should critically review the type of the unregistered slope (i.e. a cut/fill slope) with reference to its formation history, and to evaluate its crest/toe influence zone according to the type of slope feature according to GEO Technical Guidance Note No. 15 “Guidelines for Classification of Consequence-to-Life Category for Slope Features”. Should such unregistered slope would affect or be affected by the proposed use, mitigation measures, such as slope upgrading works and/or no-built zone at the crest/toe of these slope features, should be considered and be shown on the topographic survey pan and the cross section accordingly;	No-built zone is indicated in Section A-A.

**Planning Application No. A/NE-MTL/14 – Proposed Temporary Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (Hobby Farm) with Ancillary Facilities and Associated Filling of Land for a Period of 3 Years**

PS-CEDD-4	Section 4.12 – For Sections B-B and C-C-, as the applicant stated that no structures/activities works/material storage would be carried out within 1m of the slope crest, the applicant should indicate such no-built zone on the topographic survey plan and the cross sections accordingly;	It is indicated as no-built zone accordingly.
PS-CEDD-5	Appendix F – The applicant should critically review the extents of the feature boundary for Feature Nos. 2NE-D/CR143 and 2NE-D/F17 on the topographic survey plan, as they appear to be discrepant from the information from SIS and SIMAR attached in Appendices B and C. Sub-divisions of these Features should also be indicated clearly;	It is revised accordingly.
PS-CEDD-6	Additional comments from the Planning Department) Should no-built zone(s) be proposed, the applicant should also indicate the no-built zone on the layout plan, and update all relevant plans as appropriate;	It is indicated accordingly in Appendix F.
PS-CEDD-7	(Additional comments from the Planning Department) Referring to Plan 4 Paved Ratio plan submitted in the further information on 3.2.2026, “FRAM AREA” both in the figure and legend should be “FARM AREA”. Please revise and submit a revised paved ratio plan;	It is provided in Appendix G.