

寄件者: [REDACTED]
寄件日期: 2026年05月28日星期四 18:49
收件者:
主旨: Re: [Re-send] Re: Planning Application No. A/NE-TK/854 - Departmental Comments
類別: Internet Email

Dear Charlotte,

The number of visitors registered in our previous approved application No. A/NE-TK/840 was a planning target of the future operation of the farm. Under the Buildings Ordinance, the number of visitors should be calculated based on the type and use of the building. When this calculation method is applied, visitors number becomes higher. For compliance purposes under the Building (Standards of Sanitary Fitments, Plumbing, Drainage Works and Latrines) Regulations upon our building plan submission, the provisions of sanitary fitments has to be increased and therefore a larger septic tank is necessary to handle the corresponding increased wastewater load. This explains why even though the intended visitors arrangement per tour session remains unchanged from a planning perspective, compliance with the Buildings Department's requirements mandates an enlarged septic tank system. (This supersedes ... to meet the requirement of EPD in the Planning Statement as per No. 1 of your Question)

Regarding the reasons of why there are changes of deposition and layout of structures and footpath design under the current scheme. Please be advised that previous deposition and layout of structures and footpath design have not been assessed through topographic survey. It was just an initial design without support through topographic survey. After the findings of the topography survey, previous deposition and layout of structures and footpath design under the approved application No. A/NE-TK/840 are not feasible which might cause extensive site formation works. The proposed deposition and layout of structures and footpath design under the current scheme are considered feasible practically with less impact on site formation works.

Elaine SO
Town Planner
Innovative Land Use Planning Consultancy Co Ltd