Attachment 1

Responses to Comments Table

(Departmental Comments)

Responses to Departmental Comments

	Departmental Comments	Responses to Comments
1.	**Comments of Environmental Protection Department**	
	Air Quality Impact Review	
1.1	Section 2.3.1	
	- Further to our previous comments, please confirm if there is no chimney/industrial emission source identified within 500m assessment area. If affirmative, please revise "200m from the Application Premises" in lines 3-4 to "the 500m assessment area of the proposed RCHE".	There were no chimney or industrial activities identified within the 500m assessment area of the Proposed RCHE. Section 2.3.1 has been revised accordingly **(see Appendix A)**.
	- Please delete the last sentence.	Noted. Section 2.3.1 has been revised accordingly **(see Appendix A)**.
1.2	Section 2.3	
	- Please review the potential air quality and odour impact arising from the operation of the proposed residential care home of the elderly (RCHE) (e.g. cooking fume/odour from kitchen, etc.) during operation phase. Also, please follow the guideline on "Control of Oily Fume and Cooking Odour from Restaurants and Food Business" issued by EPD for the operation of the eating place.	Noted. Clarifications has been provided in Section 2.4 to review the potential air quality impact from oily fume and cooking odour **(see Appendix A)**.
1.3	Section 2.4.1	
	- Please add "during the operation" after "impacts" in line 2.	Noted. Section 2.5.1 has been revised accordingly **(see Appendix A)**.
1.4	Section 3.1.1	
	- Please revise "whether" in line 1 to "the potential air quality impact associated with", and delete "would induce any adverse air quality impact" in lines 2-3.	Noted. Section 3.1.1 has been revised accordingly **(see Appendix A)**.

	Departmental Comments	**Responses to Comments**
1.5	Sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 - Further to our previous comment, the decoration and renovation works involved in the construction works of the proposed RCHE should be the excluded works defined under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation, relevant control requirements should be observed and followed (i.e. control of storage of dusty materials). Please supplement the relevant mitigation measures accordingly.	Noted. Sections 3.4.2 and 3.4.3 have been revised accordingly **(see Appendix A)**.
1.6	Section 4.1.2 - Please revise the sentence as "The potential air quality impact during the operation of the proposed RCHE have been reviewed and no adverse air quality impact would be anticipated."	Noted. Section 4.1.2 has been revised accordingly **(see Appendix A)**.
1.7	Section 4.1.3 - Please revise the last sentence as "With the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures, no adverse air quality impact would be anticipated during the construction of the proposed RCHE.".	Noted. Section 4.1.3 has been revised accordingly **(see Appendix A)**.
1.8	Figure 2.1 - Please revise "200m Assessment Area" to "200m Buffer Distance" in the legend. - Please revise the title as "500m Assessment Area and 200m Buffer Distance of the Proposed RCHE".	Noted. Figure 2.1 has been revised accordingly **(see Appendix A)**.

	Departmental Comments	**Responses to Comments**
1.9	Figure 2.2 - Please add a remark "No air-sensitive use including openable window, fresh air intake and recreational use in open space shall be located within the buffer zone". <u>Noise Impact Review</u>	Noted. Figure 2.2 has been revised accordingly **(see Appendix A)**.
1.10	- Transport Department (TD)'s endorsement on the methodology of traffic forecast is noted. Please also provide written confirmation from the relevant competent party (e.g., the traffic consultant) that the TD endorsed methodology has been strictly adopted in preparing the traffic forecast data, thereby confirming the validity of the traffic data.	Noted. Written confirmation from traffic consultant has been included in **Appendix B**.