Appendix 5

Review of Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment

HKHA Term Engineering Consultancy Services 2021-2023 for New Territories West Region (Agreement No. CB20210450)

Review of Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment for Public Housing Development at Long Bin

Hong Kong Housing Authority

Review Report (Revision 1)

July 2024



Contents

Cha	pter	Page
1.	Introduction	1
1.1.	Background	1
1.2.	Scope	1
1.3.	Report Structure	1
2.	The Subject Site	2
2.1.	Site Location	2
2.2.	Major Development Parameters	2
3.	Review on Assessments	5
3.1.	Methodology	5
3.2.	Assessment Scenarios	5
3.3.	Area of Influence	5
3.4.	Junction / Link Capacity Assessment	6
3.5.	Pedestrian Linkages Assessment	13
3.6.	Public Transport Demand Assessment	16
3.7.	Railway Impact Assessment	16
3.8.	Light Rail Impact Assessment	18
4.	Summary and Conclusion	21
4.1.	Summary	21
4.2.	Conclusion	22

Appendices

Appendix A Appro	oved I HA Repor	t prepared by	y Civil Engineerin	g and Develo	opment Department
------------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------	-------------------

Appendix B Written Record of Parking Provision

Appendix C Junction Calculation



Tables		Page
Table 2.1	Comparison of Major Development Parameters	2
Table 2.2	Summary of Social Welfare Facilities (for Phase 2 & 3)	3
Table 2.3	Parking and Loading/unloading Requested by SWD (for Phase 2 & 3)	3
Table 3.1	Location of Critical Junctions	5
Table 3.2	Location of Critical Road Links	6
Table 3.3	Net Change of Traffic Generation of the New Scheme	6
Table 3.4	Assumed Trip Distribution in Approved TTIA Report	7
Table 3.5	Summary of Results of Junction Capacity Assessments	7
Table 3.6	Volume / Capacity (V/C) Ratio for the improved U-turn approach at J9	8
Table 3.7	Link Capacity Assessment	10
Table 3.8	Queue Length Analysis	12
Table 3.9	Net Change of Pedestrian Generation of the New Scheme	13
Table 3.10	Summary of Pedestrian Generation	14
Table 3.11	Modal Split Assumption	14
Table 3.12	Peak Hour Pedestrian Flow Breakdown	14
Table 3.13	Peak Hour Pedestrian Flow at Each Proposed Footbridge	15
Table 3.14	Footbridge width recommendation	15
Table 3.15	Estimated Critical Public Transport Demand Induced	16
Table 3.16	Estimates on Franchised Bus Service Capacity	16
Table 3.17	Addition of Long Bin Patronage Demand on the Critical Section of WR	17
Table 3.18	MTR Patronage Demand on the Critical Section of WR	17
Table 3.19	MTR Patronage Assessment with the Proposed Long Bin Development	17
Table 3.20	Number of LRV for Four LRT Routes in the Vicinity	18
Table 3.21	Distribution of Long Bin Rail/LR Passengers to NENT and NWNT	19
Table 3.22	Average Number of Passenger per Single-Set-Equivalent LRV by Destination	19
Table 3.23	Average Number of Passenger per Single-Set-Equivalent LRV by LRT Route	20

Figures

- Figure 3.1 Year 2034 Reference Traffic Flows
- Figure 3.2 Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

- 1.1.1. Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) conducted a traffic and transport impact assessment under Agreement No. CE 75/2017 (CE) Site Formation and Infrastructure Works for Public Housing Developments at Long Bin, Yuen Long Investigation, Design and Construction (hereafter "CEDD Project"). The Final Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment (TTIA) Report (May 2022) (hereafter "Approved TTIA Report") was approved by relevant government departments. The Approved TTIA Report can be found in **Appendix A**.
- 1.1.2. Further to the above approval, the Hong Kong Housing Authority (HKHA) changes the parameters of commercial development and social welfare facilities (hereafter "New Scheme") of the public housing development (PHD) at Long Bin.
- 1.1.3. Atkins China Limited (AtkinsRéalis) was commissioned by Project Team of HKHA to conduct a Review Report to review the Approved TTIA Report to account for the changes of the nondomestic portions of PHD.
- 1.1.4. This Review Report is to present the results of the study.

1.2. Scope

- 1.2.1. The scope of this Review Report is outlined as follow:
 - estimate the difference of traffic and pedestrian demand generated by the New Scheme;
 - review the assessments provided in the Approved TTIA Report by taking into account the New Scheme; and
 - verify whether the conclusions reached in the Approved TTIA Report remain valid with the New Scheme.

1.3. Report Structure

- 1.3.1. Following this introductory chapter, there are 3 further chapters.
 - **Chapter 2** The Subject Site, presents the comparison of development parameters adopted in the Approved TTIA Report and the New Scheme;
 - **Chapter 3** Review on Assessments, review the Approved TTIA Report to account for the changes by the New Scheme further to the approval of the Approved TTIA in accordance with the approved methodology and assumptions adopted in the Approved TTIA Report for the assessments;
 - **Chapter 4** Summary and Conclusion, summarizes the findings of the study and presents the conclusion accordingly.

2. The Subject Site

2.1. Site Location

2.1.1. The Subject Site is located at Long Bin, Yuen Long. It is bounded by Castle Peak Road (Ping Shan) to the north, Long Tin Road to the east, Yuen Long Highway to the South and Tong Yan San Tsuen (TYST) Interchange to the south east.

2.2. Major Development Parameters

- 2.2.1. The Subject Site were previously open storage yards, warehouses, workshops, temporary structures and a farm. Phase 1 of the Subject Site is currently under construction for PHD by HKHA, whilst Phases 2 & 3 of the Subject Site are currently under site formation and infrastructure works by CEDD. The Subject Site will be developed into PHD with commercial developments and social welfare facilities.
- 2.2.2. The comparisons between the major development parameters adopted in the Approved TTIA Report and the New Scheme as advised by HKHA are presented in **Table 2.1**.

Phase	No. of Flats (nos.)	Commercial Development (sqm GFA)	Social Welfare Facilities (sqm GFA)					
Major Development Parameters adopted in Approved TTIA Report [a]								
Phase 1	3,080	1,362	1,191					
Phase 2 & 3 *	8,860	9,018	9,316					
Total	11,940	10,380	10,507					
Major Development Parameters for New Scheme [b]								
Phase 1	3,080	1,360	1,329					
Phase 2 & 3 *	8,860	7,353	17,256					
Total	11,940	8,713	18,585					
Net Difference [b] – [a]								
Phase 1	0	-2	+138					
Phase 2 & 3 *	0	-1,665	+7,940					
Total	0	-1,667	+8,078					

 Table 2.1
 Comparison of Major Development Parameters

Remark: * formerly known as Phase 2 in the Approved TTIA Report

2.2.3. As advised by HKHA, over 70% of the social welfare facilities GFA in the New Scheme are for the hostel purpose. The summary of the GFA for each type of social welfare service in the New Scheme is presented in **Table 2.2**.

	GFA (sqm)		
Type of Service	Approved TTIA Report	New Scheme	
Office Base of On-site Pre-school Rehabilitation Services (OPRS)		355	
60-p Special Child Care Centre (SCCC)		868	
100-p Aided Standalone Child Care Centre (CCC)		1,134	
50-p Hostel for Moderately Mentally Handicapped Persons (HMMH) *		1,320	
50-p Hostel for Severely Physically Handicapped Persons (HSPH) *	0.040	1,487	
120-p Integrated Vocational Rehabilitation on Services Centre (IVRSC)	1,397		
40-p Supported Hostel for Mentally Handicapped Persons (SHOS(MH)) *		945	
200-p Residential Care Home for the Elderly cum 30-p Day Care Unit (RCHE cum DCU) *		5,489	
School Social Work Unit (SSWU)	_	203	
Centre for Home Care Services for Frail Elderly Persons (1-team size non-kitchen based) (HCS for Frail Elderly Persons)	_	184	
Multi-disciplinary Outreaching Support Team for the Elderly (MOSTE)	-	695	
100-p Long Stay Care Home (LSCH)*	-	3,179	
Total	9,316	17,256	

Table 2.2 Summary of Social Welfare Facilities (for Phase 2 & 3)

Remark: * Hostel purpose

2.2.4. As the types of social welfare facilities have increase from 8 to 12 in the new scheme, the parking provision for social welfare facilities has been revised. **Table 2.3** below shows the parking and loading/unloading requested by Social Welfare Department (SWD). This revised parking provision can be fully accommodated and incorporated into the latest architectural layout plans. The written record of revised parking provision is shown in **Appendix B**.

Table 2.3	Parking and	Loading/unloading	Requested by	SWD (for Phase	2 & 3)

Type of Service	Parking ⁽¹⁾	Loading/Unloading (L/ UL) ⁽¹⁾
Office Base of On-site Pre-school Rehabilitation Services (OPRS)	A designated parking space of 8m x 3m x minimum 3.3m headroom for a private light bus is required.	Not required
60-p Special Child Care Centre (SCCC)	Parking space for a 48-seater coach (12m x 3.5 m with min headroom of 3.8 m)	A safe and convenient L/ UL Bay in proximity to the entrance of SCCC. ⁽²⁾

Type of Service	Parking ⁽¹⁾	Loading/Unloading (L/ UL) ⁽¹⁾
100-p Aided Standalone Child Care Centre (CCC)	Not required	A shared L/ UL bay or lay-by should be accessible conveniently and close to CCC for the emergency use of ambulances. ⁽²⁾
50-p Hostel for Moderately Mentally Handicapped Persons (HMMH)	-	-
50-p Hostel for Severely Physically Handicapped Persons (HSPH)	Designated parking space for a 24- seater van with tail-lift	L/ UL area for passengers is required. ⁽²⁾
120-p Integrated Vocational Rehabilitation on Services Centre (IVRSC)	Designated parking space for a 5.5- ton goods vehicle	With easily accessible L/ UL bay for goods delivery is required. ⁽²⁾
40-p Supported Hostel for Mentally Handicapped Persons (SHOS(MH))	-	-
200-p Residential Care Home for the Elderly cum 30-p Day Care Unit (RCHE cum DCU)	Two parking spaces for two private light buses with tail-lifts (measurement: 8m x 3m with minimum headroom of 3.3m.) for the exclusive use of the RCHE cum DCU should be provided.	A shared L/UL area for use of the two private light buses, and ambulance in the close proximity of the RCHE cum DCU entrance. The dimension of the shared L/UL area is at $11m \times 3.5m \times 4.7m$ (minimum headroom). ⁽²⁾
School Social Work Unit (SSWU)	-	-
Centre for Home Care Services for Frail Elderly Persons (1- team size non-kitchen based) (HCS for Frail Elderly Persons)	One designated parking space for one private light bus with tail-lift measuring 8m x 3m with minimum headroom of 3.3m is required.	A shared L/ UL area for the private light bus of the Home Care Services (HCS) team for Frail Elderly Persons and other welfare facilities in close proximity to the entrance of the HCS team for Frail Elderly Persons is required. ⁽²⁾
Multi-disciplinary Outreaching Support Team for the Elderly (MOSTE)	Not required	With easily accessible L/ UL bay for goods delivery is required. $^{(2)}$
100-p Long Stay Care Home (LSCH)	One parking space (size: 8m x 3m with minimum headroom of 3.3m) for a private light bus with tail-lift.	Share public L/ UL bay or lay-by for ambulance are required (size: 8m x 3m with minimum headroom of 3.3m). ⁽²⁾

Remark: ⁽¹⁾ Information is provided by Social Welfare Department (SWD). ⁽²⁾ L/ UL area can be share-used.

3. Review on Assessments

3.1. Methodology

3.1.1. This Review Report has followed the methodology and assumptions adopted in the Approved TTIA Report. This includes consistency in the assessment year, the territorial planning assumptions, planning assumptions on other major developments in North West New Territories (NWNT) and major transport infrastructure assumptions.

3.2. Assessment Scenarios

- 3.2.1. The ultimate assessment year adopted in the Approved TTIA Report was 2034. Hence, year 2034 will be the assessment year of this Review Report.
- 3.2.2. The assessment scenarios of the Review Report are summarised below. AM peak hour scenario and PM peak hour scenario will be set accordingly, with the AM and PM peak hours to be identified from the Approved TTIA Report, i.e. 0745-0845 and 1615-1715 hours respectively.

2034 Reference Scenario – 2034 Design Scenario in the Approved TTIA Report

2034 Design Scenario - 2034 Reference Scenario + Net Change of the traffic / pedestrian demand from the New Scheme

3.3. Area of Influence

3.3.1. The area of influence (AOI) and the assessed road network have followed the Approved TTIA Report. The location of the assessed junctions and the section of the road links are summarised in **Table 3.1** and **Table 3.2** respectively.

Index	Junctions	Junction Type
J1	Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan/ Ma Wang Road	Signal
J2	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen	Signal
J3	Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan/ Ping Kwai Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street	Signal
J4	Town Park Road South/ Lam Hau Tsuen Road	Signal
J5	Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road	Priority
J6	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road	Signal
J7	Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Signal
J8 *	Ma Miu Road/ Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan	Signal
J9 *	Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB)	Merging Lane
J10	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street	Priority
J11	Ma Fung Ling Road / Road L1	Priority

Table 3.1 Location of Critical Junctions

Remark: * Planned improvement scheme by CEDD in the Approved TTIA Report has been considered.

Index	Road Links
L1	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan (Left of Long Tin Road)
L2	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan (Right of Long Tin Road)
L3	Yuen Long Highway (Left of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)
L4	Yuen Long Highway (Right of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)
L5	Long Tin Road
L6	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road (Between Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan and Ping Hong Lane)
L7	Ma Fung Ling Road
L8	Ma Wang Road
L9	Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange (From Long Tin Road - SB To Yuen Long Highway - EB)
L10	Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange (To Long Tin Road - NB)
L11	Wang Tat Road
L12	Slip Road from Long Tin Road - SB to Yuen Long Highway - WB
L13	Slip Road from Yuen Long Highway - EB to Long Tin Road - NB
L14	San Hi Tsuen Street

Table 3.2 Location of Critical Road Links

3.4. Junction / Link Capacity Assessment

- 3.4.1. To estimate the net change of traffic generation by the New Scheme, appropriate trip rates should be adopted as there is no trip rates for commercial development and social welfare facilities mentioned and adopted in Approved TTIA Report. Reference has been made to the Transport Planning and Design Manual (TPDM) published by Transport Department (TD) and advice from HKHA.
- 3.4.2. Based on the development parameter shown in **Table 2.1**, the net change of the traffic generation and attraction by the New Scheme are estimated and presented in **Table 3.3**.

	Net		Trip Rates (pcu/hr/100 sqm)				Traffic Demand (pcu/hr)		
Land Use	Change of Parameters	AM		PM		AM		PM	
	(sqm GFA)	Gen	Att	Gen	Att	Gen	Att	Gen	Att
Commercial Development	-1,667	0.2296	0.2434	0.3100	0.3563	-4	-4	-5	-6
Social Welfare Facilities *	8,078	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	9
Total					5	5	4	3	

 Table 3.3
 Net Change of Traffic Generation of the New Scheme

Remark: * The traffic demand of social welfare facilities are advised by HKHA.



3.4.3. The vehicular traffic distribution, including the traffic between the Subject Site and Yuen Long, Tin Shui Wai/Tuen Mun, urban/other New Territories, would be consisted with the Approved TTIA Report as presented in **Table 3.4**.

Table 3.4 Assumed Trip Distribution in Approved TTIA Report

Yuen Long *	Yuen Long * Tin Shui Wai / Hung Shui Kiu		Urban and Other N.T.
30%	5%	10%	55%

Remark: * cover all areas in Yuen Long District other that Tin Shui Wai and Hung Shui Kiu

3.4.4. Based on the traffic flows of 2034 Reference Scenario (2034 Design Scenario in the Approved TTIA Report) shown in **Figure 3.1**, traffic generation presented in **Table 3.3** and the trip distribution shown in **Table 3.4**, the traffic flows of 2034 Design Scenario is estimated and presented in **Figure 3.2**.

Junction Capacity Assessments

- 3.4.5. Junction capacity assessments were carried out for the junctions listed in **Table 3.1** within the AOI in accordance with the procedures outlined in TPDM. The performances of priority junctions or roundabouts are represented in terms of design flow/capacity (DFC) ratio while that of signalized junctions are represented in terms of reserve capacity (RC).
- 3.4.6. According to TD's Departmental Circular No. 3/2020 Supplementary Notes to Guideline and Requirements for Traffic Impact Assessment, any traffic improvement schemes should aim to restore the v/c ratio, DFC ratio and RC of the affected roads or junctions to ≤ 0.85 , ≤ 0.85 and $\geq 15\%$ respectively where practicable.
- 3.4.7. The result of junction capacity assessments are summarised in **Table 3.5**. The calculation sheets are attached in **Appendix C**.

		Reserve Capacity (RC) or Design Flow / Capacity (DFC)					
Index *	Junctions	2034 F Sc	Reference enario	2034 Design Scenario			
		AM	PM	AM	РМ		
J1	Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan/ Ma Wang Road	45%	44%	45%	44%		
J2	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen	46%	37%	46%	37%		
J3	Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan/ Ping Kwai Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street	20%	54%	20%	54%		
J4	Town Park Road South/ Lam Hau Tsuen Road	21%	29%	21%	29%		
J5	Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road	0.60	0.33	0.60	0.33		
J6	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road	16%	20%	16%	20%		
J7	Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	4%	31%	4%	31%		
J8	Ma Miu Road/ Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan	11%	10%	11%	10%		

 Table 3.5
 Summary of Results of Junction Capacity Assessments

		Reserve Capacity (RC) or Design Flow / Capacity (DFC)					
Index *	Junctions	2034 F Sc	Reference enario	2034 Design Scenario			
		AM	PM	AM	РМ		
J9	Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB)	N/A					
J10	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street	0.41	0.24	0.41	0.24		
J11	Ma Fung Ling Road / Road L1	0.60	0.33	0.60	0.33		

Remark: * Refer to Table 3.1.

Т

- 3.4.8. As shown in Table 3.5, most of the junctions would operate within acceptable performance with RC greater than 15% or DFC below 0.85 under all scenarios, except for junctions J7 and J8.
- However, it should be noted that all assessed junctions will operate in similar capacity in 3.4.9. both AM and PM peak for both 2034 Reference and 2034 Design scenarios. The net change of the traffic flows by the New Scheme would only account for less than 1% in RC or 0.01 in DFC in both AM and PM peak. The net impact on junction performance is insignificant, including the problematic junctions J7 and J8.
- 3.4.10. Nevertheless, for Junction J8, a planned improvement scheme would be constructed by CEDD. The improvement scheme proposes to increase one entering traffic lane by shifting the traffic islands at both northbound and southbound.
- For Junction J9, due to the planned improvement scheme by CEDD presented in Approved 3.4.11. TTIA Report, the original priority junction will be modified into a merging lane. Link capacity assessment instead of junction capacity assessment will be used to assess the performance of J9. The result of the link capacity of J9 are summarised in Table 3.6.

Table 3.6	Volume /	Capacity	(V/C) Rat	tio for the	improved	U-turn	approach	at J9
						1		

		Consoity	2034 Reference Scenario			2034 Design Scenario		
Slip Road to Long Tin		Capacity	AM	РМ	AM	PM		
Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB)	Peak Hour Flow (pcu/hr) *	1,340	560	360	565	365		
	V/C Ratio		0.42	0.27	0.42	0.27		

Remark: *Rounded to nearest 5.

3.4.12. As shown in Table 3.6, the improved J9 will operate acceptable and similar performance in both AM and PM peak for both 2034 Reference and 2034 Design scenarios. The net change of the traffic flows by the New Scheme would only account for less than 0.01 in V/C in both AM and PM peak. The net impact on the V/C performance is insignificant.



Link Capacity Assessments

3.4.13. The link capacity assessments were conducted to determine the link performance of the assessed section of road link within the AOI presented in **Table 3.2**. The result of the link performance are summarised and presented in **Table 3.7**.



				2034 Reference Scenario				2034 Design Scenario			
Index	Index Link		Capacity (pcu/hr)	Peak Hour Traffic Flow (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio		Peak Hour Traffic Flow (pcu/hr) ⁽¹⁾		V/C Ratio	
				AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
1.4	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan (Left of Long	EB	3,980	1,850	1,800	0.46	0.45	1,850	1,800	0.46	0.45
LI	Tin Road)	WB	3,040	1,900	2,000	0.63	0.66	1,900	2,000	0.63	0.66
1.2	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan (Right of Long	EB	2,800	1,100	1,000	0.39	0.36	1,100	1,000	0.39	0.36
LZ	Tin Road)	WB	2,800	1,550	1,550	0.55	0.55	1,550	1,550	0.55	0.55
L3 Yuen Long Highway (Left of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)	EB	6,100	6,000	5,650	0.98	0.93	6,000	5,650	0.98	0.93	
	Tsuen Interchange)	WB	6,100	6,250	6,250	1.02	1.02	6,250	6,250	1.02	1.02
L4 Yuen Long Highway (Right of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)	EB	6,100	6,300	5,650	1.03	0.93	6,305	5,650	1.03	0.93	
	Tsuen Interchange)	WB	6,100	5,200	5,500	0.85	0.90	5,205	5,500	0.85	0.90
15	Long Tip Dood	NB	5,600	3,200	3,300	0.57	0.59	3,205	3,305	0.57	0.59
LO		SB	5,600	4,050	3,500	0.72	0.63	4,055	3,505	0.73	0.63
16	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road (Between Castle	NB	1,090	150	250	0.14	0.23	150	250	0.14	0.23
LO	Peak Road – Ping Shan and Ping Hong Lane)	SB	1,090	300	250	0.28	0.23	300	250	0.28	0.23
17	Ma Eura Ling Dood	NB	820	100	100	0.12	0.12	100	100	0.12	0.12
L/	Ma Fung Ling Road	SB	820	100	100	0.12	0.12	100	100	0.12	0.12
L8	Ma Wang Road	WB	2,530	500	500	0.20	0.20	500	500	0.20	0.20
L9	Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange (From Long Tin Road - SB To Yuen Long Highway - EB)	SB	1,800	1,700	1,400	0.94	0.78	1,705	1,400	0.95	0.78

Table 3.7 Link Capacity Assessment 2034 Reference Scenario 2034 Design Scenario

9

	Index Link			2034 Reference Scenario				2034 Design Scenario			
Index			Capacity (pcu/hr)	acity Peak Hour Traffic I/hr) Flow (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio		Peak Hour Traffic Flow (pcu/hr) ⁽¹⁾		V/C Ratio	
				AM	PM	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	AM	PM
L10	Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange (To Long Tin Road - NB)	NB	1,800	1,650	1,800	0.92	1.00	1,655	1,805	0.92	1.00
L11	Wang Tat Road	EB	2,530	1,350	1,300	0.53	0.51	1,350	1,300	0.53	0.51
L12	Slip Road from Long Tin Road - SB to Yuen Long Highway - WB	WB	3,600	2,150	1,950	0.60	0.54	2,150	1,950	0.60	0.54
L13	Slip Road from Yuen Long Highway - EB to Long Tin Road - NB	NB	3,600	1,100	1,100	0.31	0.31	1,100	1,100	0.31	0.31
144	San Hi Tsuen Street		820	300	200	0.37	0.24	300	200	0.37	0.24
L14			820	300	200	0.37	0.24	300	200	0.37	0.24

Remark: ⁽¹⁾ Rounded to nearest 5.



- 3.4.14. As shown in **Table 3.7**, most of the assessed section of road link would operate within acceptable performance with V/C ratio below 0.85 under all scenarios, except for link sections L3, L4, L9 and L10.
- 3.4.15. It is important to note that all the assessed road links will operate at a similar performance during both the AM and PM peak periods for both the 2034 Reference and 2034 Design scenarios. The net change in traffic flows resulting from the New Scheme will only cause a negligible increase of less than 0.01 in the V/C ratio during both peak periods. This minimal change will not have significant impact on the performance of the link capacity, including the problematic link sections L3, L4, L9, and L10.
- 3.4.16. Moreover, as stated in the Approved TTIA Report, further investigation of improvement measures for the problematic link sections will be carried out in upcoming studies, including Route 11 study and Yuen Long Highway widening study.

Queue Length Analysis

3.4.17. The queue length analysis was conducted on the critical arms of the assessed junctions listed in **Table 3.5**. For priority junctions, only minor road streams were assessed. The results of the queen length analysis was summarised in **Table 3.8**.

			Queue Length (m)					
	Junction Arm	Queuing	2034 Re	eference	2034 Design			
		Space	AM	PM	AM	PM		
	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan EB	150	65	65	65	65		
J1	Ma Wang Road SB	200	30	35	30	35		
	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan WB	480	50	55	50	55		
	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan EB	300	60	65	60	65		
10	Ping Ha Road SB	100	30	25	30	25		
JΖ	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan WB	500	45	55	45	55		
	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road NB	70	20	20	20	20		
	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan EB	550	60	45	60	45		
21	Ping Kwai Road SB	40	20	30	20	30		
12	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan WB	300	60	55	60	55		
	San Hi Tsuen Street NB	70	45	30	45	30		
	Town Park Road South EB	60	50	45	50	45		
J4	Town Park Road South WB	70	40	40	40	40		
	Lam Hau Tsuen Road NB	110	15	15	15	15		
J5	Town Park Road North SB	200	25	10	25	10		
16	Ma Tin Road EB	140	65	50	65	50		
10	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road SB	150	50	50	50	50		

Table 3.8 Queue Length Analysis

		Fxisting	Queue Length (m)					
	Junction Arm	Queuing	2034 Re	ference	2034 Design			
		Space	AM	PM	AM	РМ		
	Ma Tin Road WB	120	30	35	30	35		
	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road NB	200	50	50	50	50		
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange SB	160	50	40	50	40		
J7	Long Ping Road WB	550	80	65	80	65		
	Long Tin Road NB	200	45	45	45	45		
	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan EB	280	75	70	75	70		
10	Ma Miu Road SB	100	55	55	55	55		
JO	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan WB	100	75	75	75	75		
	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road NB	110	85	85	85	85		
J10	San Hi Tsuen Street EB	70	10	5	10	5		

3.4.18. As shown in **Table 3.8**, all the critical arm of the assessed junctions will have sufficient queuing space for the estimated queue length in both AM and PM peak for both 2034 Reference and 2034 Design scenarios. The net change of the traffic flows by the New Scheme would only account for less than 5m in length for each arm in both AM and PM peak. The net impact of New Scheme on queue length is insignificant.

3.5. Pedestrian Linkages Assessment

3.5.1. The Approved TTIA Report does not include specific trip rates for pedestrians related to commercial development and social welfare facilities. Therefore, advice from HKHA has been referenced to the net change in pedestrian generation and attraction resulting from the New Scheme. The net change are based on the development parameters provided in **Table 2.1**, are presented in **Table 3.9**.

	Net Change of	Pedestrian Demand (pph)					
Land Use	Parameters (sqm	А	M	РМ			
	GFA)	Gen	Att	Gen	Att		
Commercial Development *	-1,667	-40	-40	-40	-40		
Social Welfare Facilities *	8,078	125	125	125	125		
	Total	85	85	85	85		

 Table 3.9
 Net Change of Pedestrian Generation of the New Scheme

Remark: * The pedestrian demands are advised by HKHA.

3.5.2. Based on the net change in pedestrian generation and attraction presented in **Table 3.9**, the summary of the pedestrian generation and attraction of the Approved TTIA Report and the New Scheme are presented in **Table 3.10**.

	Pedestrian Demand (pcu/hr)								
Assessment Scenarios		AM		PM					
	Gen	Att	2-way	Gen	Att	2-way			
Approved TTIA Report	5,633	2,030	7,663	2,205	4,816	7,021			
New Scheme	5,718	2,115	7,833	2,290	4,901	7,191			

Table 3.10 Summary of Pedestrian Generation

- 3.5.3. Similar to the Approved TTIA Report, the two-way pedestrian flows at AM peak, i.e. 7,833 ped/hr for New Scheme will be the critical trip generation adopted for assessment.
- 3.5.4. The modal split during the peak hour, as presented in the Approved TTIA Report, is also adopted for the assessment for the New Scheme. The details of this modal split are summarised in **Table 3.11**.

Table 3.1	1 M	odal	Split	Assumption
-----------	-----	------	-------	------------

	Mechanised Mode							
Walk Mode	Private Mode	Rail-based Public Transport	Road-based Public Transport					
23%	15%	40%	22%					

Remark: all % round to nearest integer

÷.

3.5.5. Based on the pedestrian demand presented in **Table 3.10** and the modal split assumption shown in **Table 3.11**, the pedestrian flow breakdown in peak hour was calculated and presented in **Table 3.12**.

Mada	Peak hour pedestrian flow (ped/hr)				
Mode	Approved TTIA Report	New Scheme			
By Rail/LRT Mode	3,076	3,144			
By Bus/GMB Mode	1,716	1,754			
By Walk Mode	1,722	1,760			
By Private Mode	1,149	1,175			
Total	7,663	7,833			

Table 3.12 Peak Hour Pedestrian Flow Breakdown

Remark: LRT – Light Rail Transit; GMB – Green Minibus.

3.5.6. According to Approved TTIA Report, three footbridges are proposed for the housing site. Footbridge A will span Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan to the north and mainly serve pedestrians heading to the West Rail Long Ping Station. Footbridge B&C will span Long Tin Road to the east and mainly serve pedestrians heading to Yuen Long Park, including recreational facilities like Yuen Long Stadium, Yuen Long Swimming Pool, and several primary/secondary schools along Ma Tin Road and Shap Pat Heung Road.



3.5.7. Based on the estimation of Approved TTIA Report, it is estimated that 83% of rail users for the Long Bin Development will be directed towards the urban section of the West Rail Line. To align with the conservative design purpose of Approved TTIA Report, it is anticipated that all these urban-bound rail users will utilize Footbridge A to reach West Rail Long Ping Station, resulting in an estimated pedestrian flow of approximately 2,609 pedestrians per hour for New Scheme. The remaining rail users heading towards Tuen Mun and Tin Shui Wai directions will access the Light Rail at Ping Shan Station via the southern footpath of Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan and the existing footbridge near Light Rail Ping Shan Station. The summary of the pedestrian flow at each proposed footbridge at peak hour is presented in **Table 3.13**.

Pedestrian	Pedestrian Route and Split		Peak hour pedestrian flow (pph)		
Generation by Mode			Approved TTIA Report	New Scheme	
by Rail/LRT Mode	Footbridge A	83%	2,533	2,609	
	Southern Footpath at Castle Peak Road (Ping Shan)	17%	523	535	
by Walk Mode	Footbridge B&C	100%	1,722	1,760	

Table 3.13 Peak Hour Pedestrian Flow at Each Prop	posed Footbridge
---------------------------------------------------	------------------

Remark: LRT – Light Rail Transit.

3.5.8. With the peak hour pedestrian flows in **Table 3.13**, the necessary footbridge widths for a Level of Service (LOS) of C, which is a common measure of pedestrian congestion level specified by TPDM during peak hours, were calculated and summarised in **Table 3.14**.

	Approved 1	TIA Report	New Scheme		
	Footbridge A	Footbridge B&C	Footbridge A	Footbridge B&C	
Peak hour pedestrian flow (ped/hr) [a]	2,553	1,722	2,609	1,760	
Lower limit of flow rate (23 ped/m/min)					
Required Effective Width (m) [b1] = [a] / 60 min/hr / 23 ped/m/min	1.85	1.25	1.89	1.28	
Clear Width Required (m) [c1] = [b1] + 1	2.85	2.25	2.89	2.28	
Upper limit of flow rate (33 ped/m/min)					
Required Effective Width (m) [b2] = [a] / 60 min/hr / 33 ped/m/min	1.29	0.87	1.32	0.89	
Clear Width Required (m) [c2] = [b2] + 1	2.29	1.87	2.32	1.89	

Table 3.14 Footbridge width recommendation

3.5.9. According to the development assumption presented in Approved TTIA Report, the clear width of 3m is proposed for both Footbridge A and Footbridge B&C. **Table 3.14** shows the proposed 3m-wide are sufficient to guarantee LOS C during peak hours by considering the estimated pedestrian flow for the New Scheme.

3.6. Public Transport Demand Assessment

3.6.1. Following a similar analysis as conducted in Approved TTIA Report, the critical peak hour Public Transport demand had been calculated based on the AM peak trip generation (one-way), as the critical time period, shown in **Table 3.10** and modal split shown in **Table 3.11**. The summary of the critical public transport demand by New Scheme are presented in **Table 3.15**.

Scheme	Critical Public Transport Demand (pph)			
	Rail Based	Road Based	Total	
Approved TTIA Report [a]	2,261	1,261	3,522	
Net Change by the New Scheme [b]	34	19	53	
New Scheme [a] + [b]	2,295	1,280	3,575	

 Table 3.15 Estimated Critical Public Transport Demand Induced

- 3.6.2. The PHD at Long Bin is not within a walkable distance to the nearest rail station, i.e. Long Ping Station, so most trips to the railway station(s) will require feeder services. To accommodate the public transport demand, both rail-based and road-based options are considered, with considering on franchised bus routes to maximize carrying capacity.
- 3.6.3. The Approved TTIA Report proposed three bus routes with a 12-minute headway and two bus routes with a 6-minute headway in long term. The estimated service capacity in AM peak is 4,725 ped/hr. **Table 3.16** provides the details of its proposal and shows that the service capacity in AM peak proposed by the Approved TTIA Report are able to accommodate the critical public transport demand induced by New Scheme shown in **Table 3.15**.

	Franchised Bus
Number of routes provided	5
Proposed AM peak headway (minutes)	12 mins (i.e. 5 trips in one hour) for three routes; 6 mins (i.e. 10 trips in one hour) for two routes
Capacity (persons)	135
Estimated service capacity in AM peak (ped/hr)	4,725
Maximum Fleet Requirement under proposed headway (vehicles)	70

Table 3.16 Estimates on Franchised Bus Service Capacity

3.7. Railway Impact Assessment

3.7.1. According to the Approved TTIA Report, the PHD at Long Bin is expected to generate approximately 2,490 rail/ light rail passengers. Around 83% of these passengers during the morning peak hour in 2034 are estimated to travel to the urban area via the critical section of the West Rail (WR) Line from Kam Sheung Road to Tseun Wan West. The remaining passengers will either take the West Rail Line and Northern Link (NOL) to NENT or use the West Rail Line or LRT to reach NWNT. By adopting the same assumption, the estimated rail/ light rail passengers by New Scheme are presented in **Table 3.17**.



Table 3.17 Addition of Long Bin Patronage Demand on the Critical Section of WR

	Factor	Approved TTIA Report	New Scheme
Peak hour Rail Passengers	-	2,490	2,524
Distribution to the critical section of WR	83%	2,070*	2,090*

Remark: * round to nearest 10

3.7.2. Based on the estimated rail/ light rail passengers presented in **Table 3.17**, the total patronage of the MTR West Rail Line at the critical section, from Kam Sheung Road to Tsuen Wan West, during the morning peak hour in 2034 by considering New Scheme has been calculated and summarised in **Table 3.18**.

Table 3.18	MTR	Patronage	Demand	on f	the	Critical	Section	of WR
		i all'onage	Demana		uic	ontical	Occuon	

Items	Approved TTIA Report	New Scheme	
WR Line Patronage at Critical Section from Kam Sheung Road to Tsuen Wan West in year 2019 (pphpd) [a]	39,600		
WR Line Patronage at Critical Section from Kam Sheung Road to Tsuen Wan West (Without Addition of NOL Patronage Demand) in year 2034 [b] = [a] x 1.341	53,100		
Additional NOL Patronage Demand to the Critical Section of WR [c]	7,100		
Additional YLS Patronage Demand to the Critical Section of WR [d]	dditional YLS Patronage Demand to the Critical Section of WR [d] 740		
Estimated patronage without Long Bin Development [e] = [b] + [c] +[d]	60,	940	
Additional Long Bin Patronage Demand to the Critical Section of WR [f]	2,070	2,090	
Estimated patronage with Long Bin Development [e] + [f]	63,010	63,030	

3.7.3. Based on the estimated MTR patronages presented in **Table 3.18**, it is anticipated that the AM peak hour patronage on the critical section of the West Rail (WR) Line in the year 2034 will be approximately 63,030 passengers with New Scheme, which is 20 passengers more compared with the Approved TTIA. The V/C ration are calculated and summarised in **Table 3.19**.

 Table 3.19 MTR Patronage Assessment with the Proposed Long Bin Development

Critical Section of WR (Kam Sheung Road to Tsuen Wan West)	Approved TTIA Report New Scheme				
MTR Patronage (pphpd)	62,820	62,840			
Maximum Capacity (6ppsm) *	75,000				
Maximum Capacity (4ppsm)	53,400				
V/C Ratio (6ppsm)	0.84	0.84			
Maximum Capacity (4ppsm)	1.18	1.18			

Remark: * Adopted the same capacity as the background WR Line patronage



3.7.4. **Table 3.19** shows a V/C ratio of 0.84 under a population density of 6 ppsm for both Approved TTIA Report and the New Scheme. It indicates that New Scheme will not have an unacceptable impact on the WR Line under a density of 6 ppsm, as the V/C ratio remains within an acceptable range, as same as the conclusion in Approved TTIA Report.

3.8. Light Rail Impact Assessment

3.8.1. As mentioned in the Approved TTIA Report, the nearest LRT stations to the PHD at Long Bin are Ping Shan station and Shui Pin Wai station. Currently, these two LRT stations serve four LRT routes. The number of light rail vehicle (LRV) for these four LRT routes in 2018 are presented in **Table 3.20**. It is assumed that during operation, one couple-set LRV is equivalent to two single-set-equivalent LRVs.

Route	Number of Lig	Single oot equivalent DV	
	Single-set	Couple-set	Single-set-equivalent LRV
610	11	2	15
614	7	0	7
615	7	0	7
761P	0	13	26
Total	25	15	55

Table 3.20 Number of LRV for Four LRT Routes in the Vicinity

3.8.2. According to the discussion in **Section 3.7.1**, it is anticipated that during the AM peak, there will be around 83% of rail/ light rail passengers will travel to urban area via the critical section of WR Line, while the remaining passengers will take LRT originating from the PHD at Long Bin and traveling in one direction, heading towards either NENT or NWNT. The distribution of these passengers among the following destinations: Tuen Mun, Hung Shui Kiu, Tin Shui Wai, Yuen Long, and Fanling/Sheung Shui, is summarised in **Table 3.21** and aligning with the distributions of the Approved TTIA Report.

			Destination				
Items		Tuen Mun (NWNT)	Hung Shui Kiu (NWNT)	Tin Shui Wai (NWNT)	Yuen Long (NWNT)	Fanling/ Sheung Shui (NENT)	Total
Distribution Factor		39%	14%	19%	9%	19%	100%
Number of Passengers	Approved TTIA Report	165	60	80	35	80	420
	New Scheme	170	60	80	40	80	430
Split to LRT		50%	50%	50%	100%	-	-
Split to WR		50%	50%	50%	-	100%	-
Number of LRT Passengers	Approved TTIA Report	85	30	40	35	-	190
	New Scheme	85	30	40	40	-	195

Table 3.21 Distribution of Long Bin Rail/LR Passengers to NENT and NWNT

D () ()

Т

3.8.3. **Table 3.21** shows the estimated number of LRT passengers from the PHD at Long Bin who would travel to various destinations. According to both the Approved TTIA Report and the New Scheme, the number of passengers heading to Tuen Mun, Hung Shui Kiu, and Tin Shui Wai remains consistent with 85, 30, and 40 passengers respectively. There is a slight difference in the estimated number of passengers traveling to Yuen Long, with the Approved TTIA Report estimating 35 passengers and the New Scheme estimating 40 passengers. The average number of passengers per single-set-equivalent LRV for each destination is summarised in **Table 3.22**, and **Table 3.23** presents the average number of passengers per single-set-equivalent LRV for each LRT route.

Table 3.22 Average Number of Passenger per Single-Set-Equivalent LRV by
Destination

Destingtion	Morning Peak Hour Passengers		L DT Doute	Number of single-set-	Average number of passenger per single- set-equivalent LRV	
Destination	Approved TTIA Report	New Scheme	LKI Route	equivalent LRV	Approved TTIA Report	New Scheme
Tuen Mun	85	85	610, 614, 615	29	2.9	2.9
Hung Shui Kiu	30	30	610, 614, 615, 761P	55	0.5	0.5
Tin Shui Wai	40	40	761P	26	1.5	1.5
Yuen Long	35	40	610, 614, 615, 761P	55	0.6	0.7



	Approved 1	TIA Report	New Scheme		
Route	Towards Tuen Mun, Tin Shui Wai, Hung Shui Kiu	Towards Yuen Long	Towards Tuen Mun, Tin Shui Wai, Hung Shui Kiu	Towards Yuen Long	
610	3.1	0.6	3.3	0.7	
614	3.1	0.6	3.3	0.7	
615	3.1	0.6	3.3	0.7	
761P	1.8	0.6	2.0	0.7	

Table 3.23 Average Number of Passenger per Single-Set-Equivalent LRV by LRT Route

3.8.4. **Table 3.23** indicates that the PHD at Long Bin is expected to generate less than 4 additional passengers per LRV for the routes 610, 614, 615, and 761P for both Approved TTIA Report and New Scheme. Considering the relatively small number of additional passengers compared with Approved TTIA Report and New Scheme, the increase in patronage demand for the LRT service is considered insignificant.

4. Summary and Conclusion

4.1. Summary

- 4.1.1. Atkins China Limited has been commissioned by Hong Kong Housing Authority to conduct a Review Report to review the Approved TTIA Report prepared by Civil Engineering and Development Department to account for the New Scheme, which invloves the changes made to the commercial development and social welfare facilities of the public housing development at Long Bin.
- 4.1.2. The Review Report has followed the methodology and assumptions adopted in the Approved TTIA Report except for the development parameters of the public housing development at Long Bin.
- 4.1.3. The net change of traffic generation of New Scheme would be about 10 pcu/hr (two-way) during AM peak hour and 7 pcu/hr (two-way) during PM peak hour in the assessment year 2034.
- 4.1.4. Junction capacity assessments were conducted for the assessed junctions with respect to the net change of traffic generation of the New Scheme. It was found that all the junctions, except J7 and J8, would operate with acceptable performance. However, the impact of the New Scheme on junction performance was insignificant, accounting for less than 1% in RC or 0.01 in DFC during both the AM and PM peak hours at all assessed junctions.
- 4.1.5. Link capacity assessments were undertaken for the assessed sections of road link with respect to the net change of traffic generation of the New Scheme. It was found that all the link sections would operate with acceptable performance, except link sections L3, L4, L9, and L10. However, the impact of the New Scheme on link section performance was insignificant, with the New Scheme accounting for less than 0.01 in V/C ratio during both the AM and PM peak hours at all assessed link sections.
- 4.1.6. Queue length analysis were undertaken for the on the critical arms of the assessed junctions with respect to the net change of traffic generation of the New Scheme. It was found that all the critical arm of the assessed junctions will have sufficient queuing space to accommodate the estimated queue length. Moreover, the net impact of New Scheme on queue length is insignificant.
- 4.1.7. The net change of pedestrian generation of New Scheme would be about 170 pph (twoway) during both AM and PM peak hour periods in the assessment year 2034.
- 4.1.8. Pedestrian flow assessment was conducted. It is found that the clear width of the three proposed footbridge by others in the vicinity were able to accommodate the net change of the pedestrian flow by the New Scheme by guaranteeing a Level of Service of C during the peak hours.
- 4.1.9. Public transport demand assessment was conducted. It is found that the estimated service capacity of the three proposed bus routes by Approved TTIA Report were able to cater the net change of the public transport demand induced by New Scheme during the peak hours.
- 4.1.10. Railway impact assessment was conducted. It is found that the New Scheme will have insignificant impact on the West Rail Line under a density of 6 ppsm, while the V/C ratio remains within an acceptable range.



4.1.11. Light rail impact assessment was conducted. It is found that the increase in patronage demand for the Light Rail Transit service by the New Scheme is considered insignificant.

4.2. Conclusion

4.2.1. Based on the above discussion, it is concluded that the New Scheme would not induce significant adverse impact on the surrounding road junctions, link sections, footbridge, public transport service, railway, and light rail during peak hours. Therefore, the New Scheme is considered acceptable in traffic point of view.

Figures







Appendix A

Approved TTIA Report prepared by Civil Engineering and Development Department



Civil Engineering and Development Department

Agreement No. CE 75/2017 (CE) Site Formation and Infrastructure Works for Public Housing Developments at Long Bin, Yuen Long – Investigation, Design and Construction

Final Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment (TTIA) Report

261044-REP-018-03

Revised Final | May 2022

This report takes into account the particular instructions and requirements of our client. It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party.

Job number 261044

Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Ltd Level 5 Festival Walk 80 Tat Chee Avenue Kowloon Tong Kowloon Hong Kong www.arup.com

ARUP

Page

Contents

1	INTR	ODUCTION	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Scopes of the TIA	1
	1.3	Structure of this Report	3
	1.4	Site Area	4
	1.5	Nomenclature and Abbreviations	4
2	EXIS	FING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	6
	2.1	Existing Road Network	6
	2.2	Existing Traffic Condition	6
	2.3	Existing Pedestrian/Cycle Track Network	10
	2.4	Public Transport Facilities	11
3	THE	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	13
	3.1	Development Schedule	13
	3.2	General Road Layout	13
	3.3	Vehicular Access Arrangement	13
	3.4	Pedestrian/Cycling Facilities	14
	3.5	Car Parking Provision	15
	3.6	Proposed Improvement Measures	18
	3.7	Summary	18
4	TRAN	SPORT/TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	19
	4.1	Overview	19
	4.2	Assessment Scenarios	19
	4.3	Base Year Model Development and Model Validation	20
	4.4	Design Year Model Input Assumptions	20
5	TRAN	SPORT/TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT	22
	5.1	Trip Generation and Attraction	22
	5.2	Junction / Link Capacity Assessment	23
	5.3	Traffic Improvement Schemes	34
	5.4	Pedestrian Linkages Assessment	34
	5.5	Public Transport Demand Assessment	37
	5.6	Railway Impact Assessment	41
	5.7	Light Rail Impact Assessment	44
6	PERM	IANENT PROVISION ON	A
	IKAN	SPUK 1/ I KAFFIC/PEDES I KIAN FACILITIES	47

6.1	Permanent New Road Design	47
6.2	Pedestrian Connectivity	47
6.3	Public Transport Facilities Provision	48
6.4	Facilities Provision for Planned Primary School u Development	inder Phase 2 50
SUMN	MARY	52
7.1	Summary	52
7.2	Conclusion	52

Appendices

7

Appendix A	Existing Junction Layout Under Assessment
Appendix B	Flow Diagram
Appendix C	Junction Performance Calculation
Appendix D	Weaving Section Calculation
Appendix E	Proposed bus route
Appendix F	Planning Assumptions of Major Transport Infrastructure

Drawings

261044/F/03/0001	Location of Project Site
261044/F/03/0002	Area of Influence and Location of Assessed Junction and Road Links
261044/F/03/0003	Existing Cycle Track Network in the Vicinity of the Project Site
261044/F/03/0004	Existing Public Transport Services within the Study Area
261044/F/03/0011	Proposed Accessibility Arrangement (Phase 1 only Scenario) (1 of 4)
261044/F/03/0012	Proposed Accessibility Arrangement (Phase 1 only Scenario) (2 of 4)
261044/F/03/0013	Proposed Accessibility Arrangement (Phase 1 only Scenario) (3 of 4)
261044/F/03/0014	Proposed Accessibility Arrangement (Phase 1 only Scenario) (4 of 4)
261044/F/03/0021	Proposed Accessibility Arrangement (Both Phases Scenario) (1 of 4)
261044/F/03/0022	Proposed Accessibility Arrangement (Both Phases Scenario) (2 of 4)
261044/F/03/0023	Proposed Accessibility Arrangement (Both Phases Scenario) (3 of 4)

261044/F/03/0024	Proposed Accessibility Arrangement (Both Phases Scenario) (4 of 4)
261044/F/03/0031	Proposed Accessibility Arrangement (Primary School Scenario) (1 of 3)
261044/F/03/0032	Proposed Accessibility Arrangement (Primary School Scenario) (2 of 3)
261044/F/03/0033	Proposed Accessibility Arrangement (Primary School Scenario) (3 of 3)
261044/F/03/0041A	Proposed Road Layout of the Development (with Both Phases) (Interim Layout)
261044/F/03/0041B	Proposed Road Layout of the Development (with Both Phases) (Ultimate Layout)
261044/F/03/0042	Proposed Speed Limit Reduction alongside Long Tin Road (Northbound)
261044/F/03/0043	Proposed Public Transport Interchange
261044/F/03/0044	Proposed New Slip Road to / from Long Tin Road
261044/F/03/0045	Proposed Weaving and Merging of Long Tin Road Northbound
261044/F/03/0046	Proposed U-Turn Facilities at Long Tin Road
261044/F/03/0047	Swept Path Analysis for Public Transport Interchange - with 12.8m Long Bus
261044/F/03/0048	Swept Path Analysis for Public Transport Interchange - with 11m Long Goods Vehicle
261044/F/03/0049	Swept Path Analysis for Public Transport Interchange - with 12m Long Fire Engine
261044/F/03/0050	Swept Path Analysis for Public Transport Interchange - with 10m Long Refuse Collection Vehicle
261044/F/03/0051	Swept Path Analysis for Public Transport Interchange – with 12m Long Coach
261044/F/03/0053	Proposed Road Marking at Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan
261044/F/03/0054	Night Time Parking For Public Transport Interchange With 12.8m Long Bus
261044/F/03/0055	Improvement Scheme of Junction J8 - Ma Miu Road/ Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan
1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 The Government has identified the site at Long Bin, Yuen Long, for the development of public housing, school, public transport interchange, and associated infrastructures (the Development site). The Development site is located at the west of Long Tin Road and north of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange in Yuen Long. It is planned to provide about 3,080 Subsidised Sale Flats (SSF) and 8,860 Public Rental Housing (PRH) flats in two phases, i.e. Phase 1 and Phase 2, respectively.
- 1.1.2 Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) is tasked to undertake the Project for completion of the site formation works required at the Development site and provision of essential infrastructures including, but not limited to, improvements to existing road networks; construction of footbridges and noise barriers, provision of PTI, provision of necessary utilities such as watermains, sewers and drains leading to/ from the Development site, to support the Development at Long Bin.
- 1.1.3 CEDD commissioned Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited (Arup) on 11 September 2015 under Agreement No. CE 26/2015 (CE) "Site Formation and Infrastructural Works for the Development at Long Bin, Yuen Long – Feasibility Study" to determine the scope of Infrastructure Works; to ascertain the technical feasibility for the Development; and to assess various impacts due to the site formation works and provision of infrastructures.
- 1.1.4 CEDD commissioned Arup on 19 March 2018 under Agreement No. CE 75/2017(CE) "Site Formation and Infrastructure Works for Public Housing Developments at Long Bin, Yuen Long - Investigation, Design and Construction"

1.2 Scopes of the TIA

- 1.2.1 The primary objective from a traffic and transport perspective is to assess the potential impacts that the Development may generate on existing infrastructure and investigate different suitable feasible road improvement schemes and develop connectivity appropriate schemes for connecting the site to the existing road network. A Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment (TTIA) will be conducted to identify traffic impacts from the new development. Specific objectives of the study include:
 - to introduce a structured and systematic approach to identify, assess and mitigate potential traffic impacts which might arise from the Development and the Project during the construction and operation stages;
 - to identify, assess and specify methods, measures and standards to be included in the design and construction of the Project which are necessary to mitigate these impacts to acceptable levels;
 - to enable an agreement in principle to be reached amongst the relevant Government B/Ds on the proposed permanent and temporary traffic management/ mitigation/ improvement works, etc. for the construction and operation of the Project;

- conduct a comprehensive review of the traffic data presently available, and to collect data to supplement the existing information;
- to identify all those roads and junctions that will have capacity problems in the road network within the Area of Influence (AOI) which are affected by the Development and the construction and operation of the Project, and recommend necessary improvement works to the satisfaction of the TD and DR beforehand. The Consultants shall make reference to the AOI proposed in the EFS under Agreement No. CE 26/2015 (CE), and agree the AOI for this TTIA study with TD where appropriate;
- to investigate different road schemes and the future pedestrian flows and develop the recommended scheme for (i) connecting the Sites to the existing road networks and (ii) pedestrian connectivity between the Sites and the nearby public transport facilities, including but not limited to the Ping Shan Light Rail Station or the proposed PTI at Long Tin Road, taking into account the transport need for residents entering/ leaving the Development;
- to assess the traffic implications of carrying out the works at the various stages/ phases throughout the construction period, taking into account other related roadworks projects and developments in the AOI;
- to determine appropriate temporary traffic management/ measures to ameliorate the traffic impact on the road network;
- to forecast traffic and pedestrian flow pattern generated and attracted by the Project;
- to examine the adequacy/ inadequacy of the capacity of the existing/ planned road, pedestrian networks, cycle track networks and major interchanges/ junctions within the AOI, and recommend additional transport infrastructure with implementation programme, if any, to handle the additional traffic generated as a result of the implementation of the Development;
- to review the public transport demand (including franchised buses, nonfranchised buses, public light buses, urban taxis and New Territories taxis) arising from the Development at and in the vicinities of the Sites, and recommend the required public transport services and the associated public transport facilities for the Development based on interim (i.e. during construction) and long-term (i.e. post completion) arrangements, all to be conducted in close liaison with the TD;
- to qualitatively assess the traffic impact arising from the Development onto West Rail and Light Rail;
- to assess the requirements for the provision of ingress/ egress point, parking spaces and loading/ unloading bays and to devise suitable vehicular and pedestrian access arrangements for the Site taking into account the planning and land matters, traffic and transport considerations, technical constraints, etc.;
- to agree with TD on the approaches, assumptions, parameters, methodologies, model area boundaries, area traffic models, sub-regional transport models,

design years, etc. with the consideration of possible phased population intakes as stated in Clause 6.2.3 of the Study Brief prior to carrying out the TTIA;

- to take note of the prohibition of daytime road openings on major roads and the list of Traffic Sensitive Routes including Pink Route and Red Route as delineated by HyD in formulating the temporary traffic diversion schemes, temporary traffic arrangement schemes, etc.;
- to carry out all traffic forecasts and traffic modelling for proposed permanent and temporary traffic management scheme and mitigation/ improvement measures, e.g. redistribution of traffic due to lane/ road closure, during the construction and operation stages of the Project;
- to identify constraints associated with the proposed traffic management scheme and mitigation / improvement measures, and other measures recommended in the other reports for the Project;
- to perform capacity calculations of all critical road junctions within the AOI for all stages of the recommended options, and prepare full detailed traffic assignment calculations for all stages of the recommended schemes and temporary traffic management measures for all problematic locations; and
- to devise appropriate temporary traffic management measures, in the light of the forecast situations at the various critical stages and phasing of traffic diversion / lane closure proposals, to reduce the traffic impacts during the construction of the works, taking into consideration the possible concurrent construction activities in connection with other projects and utilities works.

1.3 Structure of this Report

- 1.3.1 This report forms the TTIA Report for the Project, and has been prepared in accordance with Clause 6.2.79 of the Project Brief. The report contains the following sections in addition to this introduction:
 - Chapter 2 Reviews and appraise the existing traffic conditions and public transport provision;
 - Chapter 3 Presents the development schedule, vehicular accessibility arrangement, and estimate the generated / attached development traffic
 - Chapter 4 Outlines the methodology of traffic modelling to establish traffic forecasts for future years;
 - Chapter 5 Carries out Traffic Impact Assessment to evaluate the future traffic situation with the present of the project development;
 - Chapter 6 Presents the preliminary design of the internal roads/pedestrian facilities serving the estate itself, and carry out capacity assessments on the planned internal roads/pedestrian facilities;
 - Chapter 8 Summarises and concludes the findings of the TTIA.

1.4 Site Area

1.4.1 The Site Area of the Long Bin Development comprises of a public housing site (Phase 1 and Phase 2), a proposed school and a proposed public transport interchange (PTI), covering an area of about 10 hectares.

1.5 Nomenclature and Abbreviations

1.5.1 The following **Table 1.1** lists out the meaning of abbreviation for expressions adopted in this report:

Abbreviations	Term
AOI	Area of Influence
B/Ds	Government Bureaux/ Departments
CEDD	Civil Engineering and Development Department
DC	District Council
DFC	Design Flow to Capacity Ratio
EFS	Engineering Feasibility Study
НА	Housing Authority
HD	Housing Department
HKPSG	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines
HSK	Hung Shui Kiu
HOS	Home Ownership Scheme
HyD	Highways Department
IH	Interim Housing
LATM	Local Area Traffic Model
LandsD	Lands Department
LOS	Level of Service
LRT	Light-Rail Transit
NB (SB,EB,WB)	Northbound (Southbound, Eastbound, Westbound)
NDAs	New Development Areas
PlanD	Planning Department
PRH	Public Rental Housing
PTI	Public Transport Interchange
RC	Reserved Capacity
TD	Transport Department
TPDM	Transport Planning and Design Manual

Table 1.1: List of Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Term
TPEDM	Territorial Population and Employment Data Matrix
TTIA	Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment
TYST	Tong Yan San Tsuen
V/C	Volume/Capacity Ratio
WRYLS	West Rail Yuen Long Station
WRLPS	West Rail Long Ping Station
WRTSWS	West Rail Tin Shui Wai Station
YLS	Yuen Long South

2 EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

2.1 Existing Road Network

- 2.1.1 As shown in **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0001**, the project site, with an area of about 10 hectares, is bounded by Long Tin Road to the East, Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange to the South, Ma Fung Ling Road to the West and Castle Peak Road Ping Shan to the North. It is currently zoned as "Residential (Group A)1" on the draft Tong Yan San Tsuen Outline Zoning Plan No. S/YL-TYST/13.
- 2.1.2 Long Tin Road is basically a dual-3 carriageway running in a North-South alignment, with critical section of Long Tin Road northbound in 2-lane configuration. It is a Primary Distributor serving an AADT of around 44,380 (two-way) as revealed by Annual Traffic Census 2017.
- 2.1.3 It connects Yuen Long Highway and Castle Peak Road Ping Shan through slip roads and free flow lanes, and mainly functions as a vehicular connection between Western areas of Yuen Long and Tin Shui Wai.
- 2.1.4 Yuen Long Highway is an Expressway with dual-3 configuration. It basically runs in an East-West alignment along the rural areas Southern to the Yuen Long Town Centre. An AADT of around 80,660 (two-way) is recorded in Annual Traffic Census 2017. It is an important road link to provide long-haul vehicular access from the Urban Area, Southern areas of New Territories, as well as Tin Shui Wai and Tuen Mun, to the vicinity of the subject site.
- 2.1.5 Ma Fung Ling Road is a two-way local distributor with single 2-lane configuration running between Tong Yan San Tsuen Road and Castle Peak Road Ping Shan.
- 2.1.6 Castle Peak Road Ping Shan is a dual carriageway running in an East-West alignment. It is a Rural Trunk Road, with the section between Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road and Ma Wang Road serving an AADT of around 19,250 (two-way) as revealed by Annual Traffic Census 2017, and AADT 24,910 for the section between Ping Ha Road and Ma Wang Road. Castle Peak Road is the major at-grade carriageway linking together the centres of population in the North-West of New Territories, including Yuen Long, Ping Shan, Hung Shui Kiu, Tuen Mun and so on. It also serves as the main access to/from the Site.

2.2 Existing Traffic Condition

2.2.1 Based on the appraisal of the existing road network condition, the Area of Influence (AOI) is proposed as shown in Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0002. In order to appreciate the existing traffic conditions, comprehensive classified traffic counts were conducted at the following junctions and links within the AOI. Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0002 indicates the locations of the key junctions, links and screenlines.

J1	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Long Tin Road	d (Signal)
τA		$\langle \mathbf{C}^{\prime} 1 \rangle$

- J2 Castle Peak Road Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San (Signal) Tsuen Road
 L2 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ San Ui Tayan (Signal)
- J3 Castle Peak Road Ping Shan/ San Hi Tsuen (Signal) Street
- J4 Town Park Road South/ Lam Hau Tsuen Road (Signal)

J5	Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road	(Priority)
J6	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road	(Signal)
J7	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	(Signal)
J8	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road	(Signal)
J9	Slip road to Long Tin Road (NB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB)	(Priority)
J10	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street	(Priority)
J11	Ma Fung Ling Road/ Road A	(Priority)
L1	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan	(Link)
	(Left of Long Tin Road)	
L2	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan (Right of Long Tin Road)	(Link)
L3	Yuen Long Highway	(Link)
	(Left of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)	
L4	Yuen Long Highway (Right of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)	(Link)
L5	Long Tin Road	(Link)
L6	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road	(Link)
	(Between Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan and Ping Hong Lane)	
L7	Ma Fung Ling Road	(Link)
L8	Ma Wang Road	(Link)
L9	Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange (From Long Tin Road - SB to Yuen Long Highway - EB)	(Link)
L10	Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange (To Long Tin Road - NB)	(Link)
L11	Wang Tat Road	(Link)
L12	Slip Road from Long Tin Road - SB to Yuen Long Highway - WB	(Link)
L13	Slip Road from Yuen Long Highway - EB to Long Tin Road - NB	(Link)
L14	San Hi Tsuen Street	(Link)

- 2.2.2 The surveys were conducted on a normal weekday (23 May 2018) during the periods 0700-1000 and 1600-1900. The morning peak and evening peak hours were found to be 0745-0845 and 1615-1715 respectively.
- 2.2.3 A series of capacity analysis based on the Transport Planning and Design Manual (TPDM) were carried out at junctions and links within the AOI during the identified peak hours.
- 2.2.4 During the liaison with relevant departments on the TTIA report, it was noted that the order of the original base year traffic flow was not consistent with the traffic survey data of other studies in the vicinity. It is considered that even if another round of traffic survey would be carried out at this stage, the results would not be reliable due to the effect of Covid-19 epidemic. Reference was made to TTIAs of other studies and projected a revised set of base year traffic flow. The results of the

junction capacity and link flow assessment are shown in **Table 2.1** and **Table 2.2** respectively.

			Perform	ance ^(#)
	Junction	Junction Type	AM	РМ
J1	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Long Tin Road	Signalized	>50%	>50%
J2	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Road	Signalized	>50%	>50%
J3	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ San Hi Tsuen Street	Signalized	>50%	>50%
J4	Town Park Road South/ Lam Hau Tsuen Road	Signalized	>50%	>50%
J5	Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road	Priority	0.12	0.12
J6	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road	Signalized	>50%	>50%
J7	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	Signalized	31%	>50%
J8	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road	Signalized	43%	>50%
J9	Slip road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB)	Priority	1.19	1.10
J10	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street	Priority	0.15	0.14

Table 2.1 Existing Junction Performance (201)	18)1))	ſ	1	1	١	l	۱	l	J	١	١	l	۱	۱	1	l		l	L	l		L	L	Ļ	Ļ			ļ										Ļ	L	Ļ	Ļ	L	L		l	1	١	١	١	١	١	1	1	1	1	١	١	I	I	I	1	1	1	J]]]	1	1	1]]]]	1]		1							ĺ	l	Į.)))	J	J		J	l	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	1	J	J	J	J	J	1	1	1	J	1	ĺ	1	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	1	J	J	J	1	ĺ	ĺ	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J
-------------------------------------------------------	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

(#) Figures shown represent "Reserve Capacity" (RC) for the signal controlled junctions and "Design Flow to Capacity" (DFC) ratio for the priority junctions. A signal-controlled junction with a reserve capacity (RC) of more than 15% is considered as satisfactory performance; 0% implies that it is operating at capacity while a negative RC% suggests that it is overloaded. For priority junction, the performance indicators is the DFC (Design Flow to Capacity). DFC of less than 0.85 is considered as satisfactory performance; 1.00 indicate that capacity has been reached while DFC over 1.00 indicate overloaded conditions.

	Link		Capacity (pcu/hr)	Peak Hou Flow (j	ır Traffic pcu/hr)	V/C	Ratio ^(*)
			(***)	AM	PM	AM	PM
	Castle Peak Road -	EB	3,980	1,000	900	0.25	0.23
L1	Ping Shan (Left of Long Tin Road)	WB	3,040	1,050	1,300	0.35	0.43
	Castle Peak Road -	EB	2,800	650	550	0.23	0.20
L2	Ping Shan (Right of Long Tin Road)	WB	2,800	850	850	0.30	0.30
	Yuen Long	EB	6,100	4,350	4,100	0.71	0.67
L3	Highway (Left of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)	WB	6,100	3,350	3,250	0.55	0.53
	Yuen Long	EB	6,100	4,850	4,200	0.80	0.69
L4	Highway (Right of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)	WB	6,100	4,450	4,250	0.73	0.70
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NB	3,700	2,750	2,800	0.74	0.76
L5	Long Tin Road	SB	5,600	3,350	3,050	0.60	0.54
	Tong Yan San	NB	1,090	250	300	0.23	0.28
L6	Tsuen Road (Between Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan and Ping Hong Lane)	SB	1,090	250	250	0.23	0.23
x 7		NB	820	50	50	0.06	0.06
L7	Ma Fung Ling Road	SB	820	50	50	0.06	0.06
L8	Ma Wang Road	WB	2,530	400	450	0.16	0.18
L9	Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange (From Long Tin Road - SB To Yuen Long Highway - EB)	SB	1,800	1,500	1,100	0.83	0.61
L10	Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange (To Long Tin Road - NB)	NB	1,800	1,700	1,750	0.94	0.97
L11	Wang Tat Road	EB	2,530	1,000	850	0.40	0.34
L12	Slip Road from Long Tin Road - SB to Yuen Long Highway - WB	WB	1,800	1,200	1,200	0.67	0.67

 Table 2.2
 Existing Link Performance (2018)

Link		Capacity (pcu/hr)	Peak Hou Flow (J	ır Traffic pcu/hr)	V/C	Ratio ^(*)
		(***)	AM	PM	AM	PM
Slip Road from Yuen Long L13 Highway - EB to Long Tin Road - NB	NB	1,800	1,000	1,000	0.56	0.56
L 14 Con II' To an Charact	EB	820	100	100	0.12	0.12
L14 San H1 Isuen Street	WB	820	150	150	0.18	0.18

- (*) For links, the performance indicators is V/C (Volume to Capacity) ratios. A V/C ratio above 1.0 indicates the onset of congestion.
- (**) The critical section of Long Tin Road (NB) runs in a 2-lane configuration while Long Tin Road (SB) runs in a 3 lane configuration.
- (***) The road link capacity for traffic assessment is consistent with the capacity adopted in TIA of Agreement No. CE 26/2015 (CE).
- 2.2.5 Results of the analysis indicate that **J9** has already operated over-capacity in both AM and PM peaks in existing condition. This is mainly due to the heavy U-turn traffic from north bound of Long Tin Road slip road and the one-lane configuration for the U-turn movement. Improvement scheme would be proposed and discussed in **Section 5.3**.
- 2.2.6 Other junctions and links within AOI are currently operating satisfactorily with spare capacity.

2.3 Existing Pedestrian/Cycle Track Network

Pedestrian Condition

- 2.3.1 Before demolition of Long Bin Interim Housing Block, there is only one entrance/exit located in the Westbound of Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan for pedestrian access to/from the site. Roadside footpaths are provided along the carriageways along Long Tin Road and Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan in the vicinity of the site. Basic crossing facilities are also available. Pedestrians can make use of the footpath and two crossings along Castle Peak Road (near the vehicular U-turn at Long Bin Road) in order to access Yuen Long town centre.
- 2.3.2 Pedestrian flows along existing pedestrian facilities are minimal due to the fact that the site area is not intensively developed at this stage. In view of significant increase in pedestrian demand induced by the proposed housing sites, the need of enhancing the pedestrian connection between the subject site with other public transport nodes or recreational facilities would be assessed in **Section 5.4** and **Section 6.2**. In particular, elevated pedestrian connection across Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan, as well as that connecting the site with the existing Yuen Long Park would be proposed.

Cycle Track Network

2.3.3 As shown in **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0003**, the existing cycle track in the vicinity of the site mainly runs along Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan at the North and Long Tin Road at the East. There are also segments of cycle track along the North side of Yuen Long Highway and near Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange.

2.3.4 However, the section along Westbound of Castle Peak Road near the vehicle run in/out of existing Long Bin Interim Housing Site is discontinuous and the existing cycle track network does not allow movement crossing Castle Peak Road. A portion of the existing cycle track is also not segregated with the pedestrian footpaths, and therefore will raise safety concern when the pedestrian footpaths become more utilized due to the proposed development. Possible improvement of cycle track network would be discussed in **Section 6.2**.

2.4 **Public Transport Facilities**

2.4.1 The following public transport routes are within located in the Study Area. They are also shown in **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0004.**

Transport Mode	Route Name	From	То
Pue	52	MTD Vuon Long	MTD Tough Wash
Dus	55	Station	Station
	68A	Long Ping Estate	MTR Tsing Yi Station
	68E	Yuen Long Park	MTR Tsing Yi Station
	68X	Hung Shui Kiu	Mong Kok (Park Avenue)
	0011	(Hung Fuk Estate)	
	268B	MTR Long Ping	Hung Hom Ferry B/T
		Station B/T	
	268C (including	MTR Long Ping	Kwun Tong Ferry
	Special Despatch	Station B/T	
	during Peak		
	Hours)		
	268X	Hung Shui Kiu	Jordan (To Wah Road)
		(Hung Fuk Estate)	
	269D	Tin Fu B/T	Lek Yuen B/T
	276	Tin Tsz B/T	Sheung Shui B/T
	276P	MTR Tin Shui Wai	Sheung Shui B/T
	1.0.6	Station	
	A36	MTR Long Ping	Airport (Ground
	D 1	Station B/T	Transportation Centre)
	BI	Tin Tsz B/T	Lok Ma Chau Station
	B 2	MTR Yuen Long	Shenzhen Bay Port
	F24D	Station	
	E34B	Yuen Long (Ma	Airport (Ground
	E24D	Wang Koad)	Transportation Centre)
	E34P	Contro	Forteta)
	K65	Vuon Long Fast	Lou Fou Shan
	K03 K68 (Circular)	Yuan Long	Lau Fau Shan Vuon Long Park
	Koo (Circular)	Industrial Estate	I tiell Long I ark
	N30	MTR Yuen Long	MTR Tung Chung Station
	1150	Station	(via airport)
	N30S	MTR Yuen Long	MTR Tung Chung Station
		Station	
	N269	Tin Tsz B/T	Mei Foo B/T

 Table 2.3
 Existing Public Transport Services

Transport Mode	Route Name	F	rom	То
	N969	Tin Shui	Wai Town	Causeway Bay (Moreton
		Centre		Terrace)
	NA34	Airport (Ground	Tin Shui Wai Town Centre
		Transpor	tation	
		Centre)		
GMB ^(*)	31 (Circular)	Yuen Lor	ng (Hong	Tong Yan San Tsuen
		King Stre	eet)	
	31A (Circular)	Tong Ya	n San	Yuen Long Plaza
		Tsuen		-
	32	MTR Yu	en Long	Tan Kwai Tsuen
		Station	_	
	33	Ha Pak N	Jai	Yuen Long Tai Fung Street
	34	Lau Fau	Shan	Yuen Long Tai Fung Street
	35	Sha Kiu	(Tsim Bei	Yuen Long Tai Fung Street
		Tsui)		
	604	MTR Yu	en Long	Shan Ha Tsuen
		Station		
	604 (Short-	Yuen Lo	ng (Fau	Shan Ha Tsuen
	working)	Tsoi Stre	et)	
Light Rail	610/614/615/7	/61P		Various
			()	via Ping Shan Stop)

- 2.4.2 It is observed that the nearest Rail Station (West Rail Long Ping Station) is not in close proximity to the subject site in terms of walking distance (1,300m). However, a number of bus services are available as feeder to the Long Ping Station. Several GMB services can also serve as feeder to Long Ping Station but their availability depends on the demand at upstream stops and may only be available at non-peak period. Although the Light Rail stops in closer proximity to the subject site also perform similar functions, the walking distances to nearby Light Rail Ping Shan stop (550m) and Shui Pin Wai stop (700m) are also inconvenient.
- 2.4.3 For road-based public transport, the subject site is in close proximity to the bus stops alongside Castle Peak Road Ping Shan (Westbound) (100m). However, the bus stops at eastbound direction of the same road are located relatively far away (500m), due to the site constraint posed by the LRT. Pedestrian facilities have been proposed to improve connectivity and walkability. A footbridge across Castle Peak Road (Ping Shan) would be provided to facilitate pedestrians to access the bus stops at eastbound direction of the same road. Pedestrian safety can be improved as it is segregated from at-grade vehicular traffic. It can also serve as alternative route for the pedestrian to cross the road and junctions. Details of the pedestrian facilities would be provided in **Section 3.4**.
- 2.4.4 The public transport demand arising from the subject development after its completion would be assessed by trip rates and modal split assumptions in Section 5.5. The adequacy of public transport provision would be assessed accordingly and additional public transport service would be proposed whenever necessary.

3 THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

3.1 Development Schedule

- 3.1.1 The Site is proposed to be developed in two phases. Phase 1 involves development is planned to provide not more than 3,080 Subsidized Sale Flats. Phase 2 involves development in the remaining area of the Site and is planned to provide not more than 8,860 flats for Public Rental Housing. There will be one 30-classroom primary school to be completed with Phase 2 development.
- 3.1.2 It is targeted to complete the housing flats of the Development for population intake by 2025 for Phase 1 and by 2029 the earliest for Phase 2 respectively. The detail of development schedule is summarised and tabulated in below **Table 3.1**.

Phase	Intake Year	No of Flats (ceiling)	Population (ceiling)	Housing Type
Phase 1	2025	3,080	8,624	SSF (Subsidized Sale Flats)
Phase 2	2029 the earliest	8,860	24,808	PRH (Public Rental Housing)
	No of Schools			School Type
	1		30-Cl	assroom Primary School
Comr	nercial Develop	ment		GFA
	Phase 1			1,362 m ²
	Phase 2			9,018 m ²

 Table 3.1
 Summary of development schedule

3.2 General Road Layout

3.2.1 The recommended general road layout is presented in the Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0041A (Interim Layout) and 261044/F/03/0041B (Ultimate Layout) for Phase 1 & Phase 2.

3.3 Vehicular Access Arrangement

3.3.1 For Phase 1 development, vehicle access will be in left in/ left out arrangement at the westbound of Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan and one additional egress point at the northbound of Long Tin Road. Details of access arrangement are shown in **Figure 3.3.1** below, the final arrangement is subject to HD's design. There is also an additional egress point at Long Tin Road (to be shared with Phase 2), subjected to the design in compliance with TPDM requirements and the agreement with HD on the interfacing issues of Phase 2 development construction. The accessibility arrangement for the Phase 1 development (scenario with Phase 1 only) for various origins/destinations is shown in the **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0011, Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0012, Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0013** and **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0014**.



Figure 3.3.1 Details of Phase 1 Access

- 3.3.2 It is concerned that there may have traffic management issues on the cul-de-sac. Activities such as illegal parking and loading/unloading activities will block the vehicular access of housing development, as well as possible traffic queues tailing back to Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan. Therefore, double yellow line has been implemented along the cul-de-sac to enforce no stopping at any time (24 hours) as shown in **Drawing 261044/F/03/0053**.
- 3.3.3 For Phase 2 development, a car park (design and constructed by HD) and PTI is proposed at the East of the development alongside Long Tin Road (Northbound). Majority of traffic induced by the Phase 2 development is anticipated to approach/leave (egress point share with Phase 1) the housing site via this access point. The accessibility arrangement for the Phase 2 development (scenario with both Phase 1 and Phase 2) for traffic of various origins/destinations is shown in the **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0021, Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0022, Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0023** and **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0024**. And accessibility arrangement for the primary school development for traffic of various origins/destinations is shown in the **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0031, Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0032** and **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0033**.
- 3.3.4 On the other hand, Emergency Vehicular Access (EVA) to/from the site will be provided at Ma Fung Ling Road near Green Lodge, and at Ma Fung Ling Road near Jasper Court. There will be new slip road connecting Ma Fung Ling Road to Long Tin Road (Northbound), to improve the accessibility of emergency vehicles as well as traffic from/to other residential developments nearby. The new slip road is shown in the **Drawing No.261044/F/03/0044**.
- 3.3.5 The existing nearside traffic lane of Long Tin Road (Northbound) at the 3-lane section will be used for access to/from the car park cum PTI of Phase 2. The detailed arrangement on proposed PTI and its interface issue with Long Tin Road will be discussed in **Chapter 6** of this text.

3.4 Pedestrian/Cycling Facilities

3.4.1 Based on the locations of major attraction nodes in the vicinity to the proposed development, the major pedestrian trips are likely to be northwards of the development site, i.e. via Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan to/from the Ping Shan LRT station and West Rail Long Ping Station.

- 3.4.2 Three new footbridges are proposed to enhance pedestrian connectivity (**Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0041A**). One (**Footbridge A**) will be located near to the Phase 1 vehicular access and it crosses Castle Peak Road Ping Shan. This footbridge improves the connectivity of Long Bin development to nearby facilities such as the bus stop on Castle Peak Road Ping Shan (Eastbound). This footbridge has provided a grade separated walkway for the pedestrian which has highly improved the pedestrian safety comparing with the existing at-grade crossing. The footbridge can also provide alternative for the pedestrian to reach the bus stop. Another set of footbridges (Footbridge B&C) will be located at the North of commercial centre/ car park and it crosses Long Tin Road and Yuen Long West Nullah respectively. Details of the footbridge design and corresponding pedestrian assessment would be presented in **Section 5.4** and **Section 6.2**. It is recommended that two sets of footbridges to be constructed as part of the Phase 1 development.
- 3.4.3 Cycle track surrounding the site is also planned and could be referred in **Drawing** No. 261044/F/03/0041A.

3.5 Car Parking Provision

- 3.5.1 Referring to the development information as stated in Table 3.1, the provision of parking facilities for Phase 1 development is based on the "Departmental Circular No. 2/2012 Interim Parking Standard for the New Home Ownership Scheme (HOS) Projects" issued by TD and HKPSG, as agreed with TD under the Planning Brief approved by DipCon on 31 January 2019.
- 3.5.2 The provision of parking facilities for Phase 2 development is based on the parking standards agreed by TD and "Hong Kong Planning Standard and Guidelines (HKPSG).
- 3.5.3 The parking requirement for Phase 1 and Phase 2 is tabulated in **Table 3.2** and **3.3**. The parking facilities provision of the proposed welfare facilities and primary school is tabulated in **Table 3.4** and **3.5** respectively.

Development	Facility	Standard	Requirement	Proposed Provision
	Private Car Parking	1 car space per 13 to 19 flats	163 – 237 nos. (including 3 nos. for the disabled)	237 nos.
SSF in	Visitor Parking	2-3 per housing block	6 – 9 nos.	15 nos.
Phase 1 (3 080 flats)	Loading/ Unloading	1 per housing block	3 nos.	3 nos.
(0,000 1146)	Motor Cycle Parking	1 car space per 110 flats	28 nos.	28 nos.
	Bicycle Parking	1 space per 7.5 flats	411 nos.	411 nos.
Retail (1,362 m ²)	Private Car Parking	1 per 200m ² commercial GFA	7 nos.	15 nos.

Table 3.2Required Parking and Loading/Unloading Facilities for Proposed
Phase 1 Development (refer to Interim Parking Standard for the New
HOS and HKPSG)

Development	Facility	Standard	Requirement	Proposed Provision
	Loading/ Unloading	1 per 800 – 1200m ² commercial GFA	2 nos.	2 nos.

Table 3.3	Required Parking and Loading/Unloading Facilities for Proposed Phase 2
Development	

Development	Facility	Standard	Requirement	Proposed Provision
	Private Car Parking*	Parking requirement = GPS x R1 x R2	510 – 893 nos.*** (including 6 nos. for the disabled)	647 nos.
DD Ll in	Visitor Parking	Up to 5 spaces per block	35 nos. [7 blocks x 5]	35 nos.
Phase 2 (1.998 nos. of	Light Goods Vehicle Parking*	1 LGV space per 260 flats	27 nos. [6,862 flats / 260]	27 nos.
(1,998 lios: of 1-person and 2-person flats + 6,862 nos. other flats)	Motorcycle Parking*	1 car space per 110 – 250 flats	28 – 63 nos. [6,862 flats / (110 to 250)]	63 nos.
	Loading/ Unloading	2 per housing block	14 nos. [7 block x 2 parking]	14 nos.**
	Bicycle Parking	Bicycle Parking 1 per 15 flats		591 nos.
Retail (8,871 m ²)	Private Car Parking	1 per 200 – 300m ² GFA	30 - 45 nos. [8871 m ² / (200 to 300 m ²)]	60 nos.
	Loading/ Unloading	1 per 800 – 1200m ² commercial GFA	8 - 12 nos. [8871 m ² / (800 to 1200 m ²)]	12 nos.

*1,998 nos. of 1-person and 2-person flats are excluded in the calculation of parking requirements for private cars/ LGV/ motorcycles.

**2 nos. of Loading/Unloading bays per housing block are shared with overnight parking of Medium/Heavy Goods Vehicles and Coach.

*** R1 = 0.52, R2 = 1, GPS = 1 space per 4-7 flats, Flat nos. = 6,862; Parking requirement = GPS x R1 x R2; Requirement = (Flat nos. / GPS) x R1 x R2 = Required nos. (510 to 893);

Welfare Facility	Parking Facility	Proposed Provision^
Special Child Care Centre	48-seater Van Parking (3.5m (W) x 12m (L) x min. 3.8m (H))	1 no.
(SCCC) (60-place)	Loading/ Unloading lay-by	1 no.
Residential Care Home for the Elderly (RCHE) (200-	Private Light Bus Parking (3m (W) x 8m (L) x min. 3.3m (H))	2 nos.
place) cum Day Care Unit (DCU) (30-place)	Loading/ Unloading lay-by	1 no.*
Hostel for Severely	Private Light Bus Parking (3m (W) x 8m (L) x min. 3.3m (H))	1 no.
Physically Handicapped Persons (HSPH) (50-place)	Loading/ Unloading lay-by	1 no.*
Integrated Vocational	Light Goods Vehicle Parking	1 no.
Centre (IVRSC) (120-place)	Loading/ Unloading lay-by	1 no.*

Table 3.4	Required Parking Facilities for the Proposed Welfare Facilities
-----------	-----------------------------------------------------------------

*One lay-by will be provided for the shared use by ambulance and private light bus of the RCHU cum DCU, HSPH and IVRSC. It will be located in close proximity of the entrance of these facilities.

^ Proposed Provision is reference to Car Park Provision received from Housing Department on 29 October 2020.

 Table 3.5
 Required Parking Facilities for the Proposed Primary School

Development	Facility*	Standard	Requirement
Primary school (30- classroom)	Private Car Parking	1 car parking space for every 4 to 6 classrooms	5 – 8 nos. (with one accessible parking space)
	Taxi lay-by	2 to 3 class-rooms in primary schools	10 – 15 nos.
	School buses lay-by	3 lay-bys	3 nos.

*The parking facilities would be located within the school site.

3.6 Proposed Improvement Measures

3.6.1 There would be a recommended improvement scheme at the U-turn at Long Tin Road, which involves widening of the U-turn approach and removal of the giveway line to avoid the traffic queue queuing back to the Long Tin Road (Northbound). The U-turn approach will be converted to a merging lane joining back to Long Tin Road (Southbound). Details would be discussed in **Section 5.3**.

3.7 Summary

3.7.1 **Table 3.6** serves a summary on the schedule of permanent facilities provision planned for the proposed housing site, all these provisions will be considered in the traffic analysis for "design" scenarios. The justification/elaboration on the proposed provisions are discussed in **Chapter 6** of this text.

	Car	Car		New Slip	P	edestrian Provi	sion
Year	Park at Phase 1	Park at Phase 2	PTI	Road to Long Tin Road	Footbridge A	Footbridge B	Footbridge C
2025 onward s	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
2029 onward s	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

 Table 3.6
 Summary on Transport Facilities Provision

4 TRANSPORT/TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

4.1 **Overview**

4.1.1 The detailed methodology for transport/traffic assessment in this report had been discussed in a separate deliverable: *Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment Inception Report*. This Chapter will outline the key points on assessment scenarios, base year traffic model validation, and future year traffic model development.

4.2 Assessment Scenarios

- 4.2.1 Year 2018 will be adopted as base year. Comprehensive traffic survey had been conducted for the major junctions and road links in the vicinity of the site as mentioned in **Section 2.2**.
- 4.2.2 The population intake for the subject development is expected to complete in Year 2025 for Phase 1 and in between 2029 and 2031 in Phase 2 of the development. Thus, ultimate Year 2034 would be assessed as 3 years after full population intake in 2031 for the development.
- 4.2.3 **Table 4.1** summarizes the assessment scenarios. AM peak hour scenario and PM peak hour scenario will be set accordingly, with peak hours to be identified from the results of traffic surveys described in **Section 2**.

2018 Existing	=	Observed 2018 traffic demand on the existing road network				
2025 Reference	=	2018 Existing x Background growth rate +				
		Traffic demand arising from committed/planned development in close proximity to the subject site between 2018 and 2025				
2025 Design	=	2025 Reference +				
		Traffic demand arising from the subject site (Phase 1)				
2029 Reference	=	2018 Existing x Background growth rate +				
		Traffic demand arising from committed/planned development in close proximity to the subject site between 2018 and 2029				
2029 Design	=	2029 Reference +				
		Traffic demand arising from the subject site (Phase 1 + Phase 2)				
2034 Reference	=	2018 Existing x Background growth rate +				
		Traffic demand arising from committed/planned development in close proximity to the subject site between 2018 and 2034				
2034 Design	=	2034 Reference +				
		Traffic demand arising from the subject site (Phase 1 + Phase 2)				

 Table 4.1
 Summary of Design Scenario Setting

4.3 Base Year Model Development and Model Validation

- 4.3.1 A Local Area Traffic Model (LATM) is developed based on 2008-based Base District Traffic Model (BDTM). To replicate the existing traffic condition, the Base Year LATM for weekday AM and PM peak hours would be validated to Year 2018 observed traffic data (including those obtained from traffic survey as discussed in earlier section).
- 4.3.2 For the LATM model, there are two broad classes of count data used for model validation: the screenline data (including cordon points) and the key junction data. The screenline (and cordon) data is compared with the observed counts by link and direction, while the key junction data is compared by in and out flows on each key junction arm.
- 4.3.3 The validation of this LATM follows the same approach as TD's BDTM and the base year LATM model has been well-validated to Year 2018 traffic condition.

4.4 **Design Year Model Input Assumptions**

Territorial Planning Assumptions

4.4.1 The population and employment data in areas of NWNT for design years 2021-2041 provided in 2014-based Territorial Population and Employment Data Matrix (TPEDM) will be adopted in the formulation of LATM matrices. A suitable set of background growth factors would be derived for different O-D pairs in design years LATM models.

Planning Assumptions on Other Major Developments

- 4.4.2 In addition to the TPEDM dataset, other major developments in NWNT have been reviewed and taken into consideration in the development of background traffic flows and patterns. For example:
 - Yuen Long South (YLS) Development It will serve as an extension of Yuen Long New Town, accommodating about 28,500 flats and 570,000 square meters of industrial and commercial floor area.
 - Hung Shui Kiu (HSK) New Development Area (NDA)¹ It will be the next generation of new town, accommodating about 61,000 additional flats and over 6 million square meters of industrial and commercial floor area.
 - Public Housing Development at Tan Kwai Tsuen (TKT)² It will provide about 7,400 flats, accommodating a total population of about 20,600 persons. Its target completion date is 2028/29.

Development Assumptions on Public Housing Development at Long Bin

4.4.3 As mentioned in **Section 3.1**, the proposed public housing development at Long Bin will be developed in two phases. Their development parameters will be adopted in the "Design" scenarios as discussed in **Section 4.2**.

¹ The planning parameters of Hung Shui Kiu New Development Area is sourced from the Town Planning Broad' paper, which is available from

<http://www.info.gov.hk/tpb/en/papers/TPB/1140-tpb_10276.pdf >.

² The planning parameters of public housing development at Tan Kwai Tsuen is sourced from Town Planning Broad's paper, which is available from http://www.info.gov.hk/tpb/en/papers/RNTPC/587-rntpc_7-17.pdf >.

4.4.4 TPDM trip rates (in unit of pcu/hr/flat) will be adopted to estimate the trip generation by the proposed housing site.

Major Transport Infrastructure Assumptions

- 4.4.5 In terms of future strategic road network in NWNT, Route 11 and Tuen Mun Western Bypass (TMWB) were assumed as additional external transport linkages in support of HSK NDA development³. Based on the information provided by TD under this study, TMWB and Route 11 would be in place in 2031 and 2036 respectively.
- 4.4.6 On the other hand, road improvement proposals particularly including news roads for better connectivity between the YLS and the Yuen Long New Town, the improvement of existing roads by widening Kung Um Road and upgrading road junctions and interchanges, etc. have been made under YLS development⁴.
- 4.4.7 The transport infrastructure assumptions for YLS and HSK NDA development are summarised in **Appendix F**.
- 4.4.8 In terms of local road network, the proposed road works for public housing development at Long Bin mainly include the following:
 - left-in/left-out access at Castle Peak Road under Phase 1 development (as discussed in **Section 3.2**)
 - public transport interchange and development access (as discussed in Section 3.2)
 - local widening works for the U-turn lane at Long Tin Road (as discussed in Section 5.3)
 - slips road connecting Ma Fung Ling Road to Long Tin Road(discussed in Section 3.2)
 - Long Tin Road near the Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange under Phase 2 development (discussed in **Section 3.2**)

³ LegCo Paper of HSK NDA Development is available from < <u>http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr16-</u> <u>17/english/panels/dev/papers/dev20161108cb1-51-5-e.pdf</u> >.

⁴ LegCo Paper of YLS Development is available from < http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr15-16/english/panels/dev/papers/dev20160126cb1-452-6-e.pdf >.

5 TRANSPORT/TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT

5.1 Trip Generation and Attraction

- 5.1.1 Based on the development parameter shown in **Table 3.1**, the trip generation and attraction by the proposed housing development in different phases had been estimated by trip rates provided in TPDM.
- 5.1.2 The adopted trip rates are shown in **Table 5.1** below, The planned primary school as part of the Phase 2 development had also been included in the trip generation assessment:

Common and	AM	Peak	PM peak		
Component	Generation	Attraction	Generation	Attraction	
SSF ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ (pcu/hr/flat)	0.0622	0.0426	0.0297	0.0401	
PRH ⁽¹⁾ (pcu/hr/flat)	0.0242	0.0226	0.0177	0.0201	
Primary School ⁽³⁾ (pcu/hr/30 classroom)	7	30	1	1	
Commercial (pcu/hr/100sqm)	0.2296	0.2434	0.3100	0.3563	

Table 5.1 Trip rates adopted for development

Note:

(1) Extracted from TPDM Volume 1: Appendix (Table 1)

(2) SSF are referred as "HOS / PSPS" in TPDM

(3) Extracted from Trip Generation Survey 2006

5.1.3 Based on the development parameters outline in **Table 3.1**, the calculated trip generation/attraction induced by the proposed housing site is shown in **Table 5.2** below:

Table 5.2Calculated Trip Generation/Attraction by ProposedDevelopment(pcu/hr)Development

	AM	Peak	PM Peak				
	Generation	Attraction	Generation	Attraction			
Short Term Scenario (With Phase 1 only)							
Phase 1 housing site ⁽¹⁾	192	131	91	124			
Phase 1 commercial ⁽¹⁾	5	5	5	6			
Long Term Scenario (With	Both Phases	l and 2)					
Phase 1 & Phase 2 housing site ⁽¹⁾	406	331	248	302			
Phase 2 Primary school ^{(1) (2)}	7	30	5	5			
Phase 1 & Phase 2 commercial	25	26	33	38			

Note:

(1) For primary school, the calculated traffic generation is subjected to a minimum amount of 5 pcu/hr (oneway) to allow design flexibility/conservative assessment

5.1.4 The vehicular traffic distribution (from/to urban/other NT, Yuen Long, Tin Shui Wai/ Tuen Mun) would be the same as that adopted in FS, which in general follows the traffic characteristics of other housing sites located in close proximity to our subject site.

5.1.5 The approximate distribution of the trips generated/attracted by the proposed developments is as below:

		AM Peak / PM Peak						
	Yuen Long (*)	Tin Shui Wai / Hung Shui Kiu	Tuen Mun	Urban and Other NT				
Long Term Scenario (With Both Phase 1 and Phase 2)								
Phase 1 & Phase 2 housing site (commercial included)	30%	5%	10%	55%				
Phase 2 Primary school ^(**)	50%	25%	25%	0%				

Table 5.3 Trip Distribution (Rounded to nearest 5%)

(*) This covers all areas in Yuen Long District other than Tin Shui Wai and Hung Shui Kiu

(**) Trip distribution of school trips induced by primary school is taken by intuitive assumption that half of the trips being inter-district trips (i.e. within Yuen Long), while remaining being equally shared by Tin Shui Wai & Hung Shui Kiu / Tuen Mun

5.2 Junction / Link Capacity Assessment

5.2.1 The Junction and link capacity assessment were carried out to examine the performance for all concerned junctions and link section^(*) within AOI in different design years reference and design cases and the results are presented in **Tables 5.4** to **5.9** accordingly.

(*) The base network adopted for design scenarios include all the provisions discussed in **Table 2.2** of the text

	Turn officer	Junction	Reference	e Case (#)	Design	Design Case (#)	
JUICTION		Туре	AM	PM	AM	PM	
J1	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Long Tin Road	Signalized	>50%	>50%	>50%	>50%	
J2	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Road	Signalized	>50%	>50%	>50%	>50%	
J3	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ San Hi Tsuen Street	Signalized	>50%	>50%	>50%	>50%	
J4	Town Park Road South/ Lam Hau Tsuen Road	Signalized	21%	27%	21%	27%	
J5	Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road	Priority	0.32	0.22	0.32	0.22	
J6	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road	Signalized	33%	24%	33%	24%	
J7	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	Signalized	14%	41%	13%	40%	
J8	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road	Signalized	4%	9%	4%	9%	
J9	Slip road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U- turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB)	Priority	1.02	0.80	1.23	0.89	
J10	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street	Priority	0.19	0.17	0.23	0.18	

 Table 5.4
 Junction Capacity Assessment Results for Year 2025

(#) Figures shown represent "Reserve Capacity" (RC) for the signal controlled junctions and "Design Flow to Capacity" (DFC) ratio for the priority junctions. A signal-controlled junction with a reserve capacity (RC) of more than 15% is considered as satisfactory performance; 0% implies that it is operating at capacity while a negative RC% suggests that it is overloaded. For priority junction, the performance

indicators is the DFC (Design Flow to Capacity). DFC of less than 0.85 is considered as satisfactory performance; 1.00 indicate that capacity has been reached while DFC over 1.00 indicate overloaded conditions.

				Referen	ice Case		Design Case				
Link		Capacity (pcu/hr)	Peak Traffi (pct	Hour c Flow ı/hr)	V/C Ratio (*)		Peak Hour Traffic Flow (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio (*)		
			AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	
La Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan	EB	3,980	1,650	1,550	0.41	0.39	1,700	1,550	0.43	0.39	
L1 (Left of Long Tin Road)		3,040	1,600	1,650	0.53	0.54	1,650	1,700	0.54	0.56	
Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan		2,800	1,000	800	0.36	0.29	1,000	850	0.36	0.30	
(Right of Long Tin Road)	WB	2,800	1,200	1,150	0.43	0.41	1,250	1,200	0.45	0.43	
Yuen Long Highway	EB	6,100	5,000	4,600	0.82	0.75	5,050	4,650	0.83	0.76	
Interchange)	WB	6,100	4,600	4,600	0.75	0.75	4,650	4,650	0.76	0.76	
Yuen Long Highway	EB	6,100	5,300	4,700	0.87	0.77	5,400	4,750	0.89	0.78	
Interchange)	WB	6,100	4,350	4,750	0.71	0.78	4,450	4,800	0.73	0.79	
L. Lang Tin Dead(**)	NB	3,700	2,850	3,000	0.77	0.81	3,100	3,150	0.84	0.85	
L5 Long Tin Road(**)		5,600	3,550	3,100	0.63	0.55	3,700	3,150	0.66	0.56	
Tong Yan San Tsuen Road		1,090	200	250	0.18	0.23	250	300	0.23	0.28	
L6 (Between Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan and Ping Hong Lane)	SB	1,090	300	300	0.28	0.28	300	350	0.28	0.32	
17 Ma Euro Ling Dood	NB	820	50	50	0.06	0.06	100	100	0.12	0.12	
	SB	820	50	50	0.06	0.06	100	100	0.12	0.12	
L8 Ma Wang Road	WB	2,530	500	550	0.20	0.22	550	600	0.22	0.24	
L9 Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange (From Long Tin Road - SB To Yuen Long Highway - EB)	SB	1,800	1,500	1,350	0.83	0.75	1,600	1,400	0.89	0.78	
Tong Yan San Tsuen L10 Interchange (To Long Tin Road - NB)	NB	1,800	1,650	1,750	0.92	0.97	1,750	1,800	0.97	1.00	
L11 Wang Tat Road	EB	2,530	1,200	1,200	0.47	0.47	1,250	1,250	0.49	0.49	
Slip Road from Long Tin Road L12 - SB to Yuen Long Highway - WB		1,800	1,300	1,150	0.72	0.64	1,350	1,200	0.75	0.67	
Slip Road from Yuen Long L13 Highway - EB to Long Tin Road - NB		1,800	1,200	1,250	0.67	0.69	1,250	1,300	0.69	0.72	
I 14 San Hi Touan Streat	EB	820	100	100	0.12	0.12	150	150	0.18	0.18	
	WB	820	200	200	0.24	0.24	250	250	0.30	0.30	

Table 5.5Link Capacity Assessment Results for Year 2025

(*) For links, the performance indicators is V/C (Volume to Capacity) ratios. A V/C ratio above 1.0 indicates the onset of congestion.

(**) The critical section of Long Tin Road (NB) runs in a 2 lane configuration while Long Tin Road (SB) runs in a 3 lane configuration.

	Turnetien	Junction	Reference	ce Case (#)	Design Case (#)		
	JUNCUON	Туре	AM	PM	AM	PM	
J1	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Long Tin Road	Signalized	>50%	>50%	48%	>50%	
J2	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Road	Signalized	>50%	46%	37%	41%	
J3	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ San Hi Tsuen Street	Signalized	>50%	>50%	27%	>50%	
J4	Town Park Road South/ Lam Hau Tsuen Road	Signalized	20%	23%	20%	23%	
J5	Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road	Priority	0.35	0.22	0.41	0.22	
J6	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road	Signalized	30%	24%	27%	21%	
J7	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	Signalized	13%	47%	10%	38%	
J8	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road	Signalized	-2%	3%	0%	0%	
J9	Slip road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U- turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB)	Priority	1.09	0.87	2.02	1.45	
J10	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street	Priority	0.19	0.17	0.33	0.24	
J11	Ma Fung Ling Road/ Road A	Priority	/	/	0.31	0.22	

Table 5.6 Junction Capacity Assessment Results for Year 2029

(#) Figures shown represent "Reserve Capacity" (RC) for the signal controlled junctions and "Design Flow to Capacity" (DFC) ratio for the priority junctions. A signal-controlled junction with a reserve capacity (RC) of more than 15% is considered as satisfactory performance; 0% implies that it is operating at capacity while a negative RC% suggests that it is overloaded. For priority junction, the performance indicators is the DFC (Design Flow to Capacity). DFC of less than 0.85 is considered as satisfactory performance; 1.00 indicate that capacity has been reached while DFC over 1.00 indicate overloaded conditions.

 Table 5.7
 Link Capacity Assessment Results for Year 2029

					Referen	ice Case		Design Case				
	Link		Capacity (pcu/hr)	ty r) Peak Hour Traffic Flow (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio (*)		Peak Hour Traffic Flow (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio (*)		
				AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	
T 1	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan		3,980	1,800	1,700	0.45	0.43	1,700	1,650	0.43	0.41	
LI (L	(Left of Long Tin Road)	WB	3,040	1,650	1,750	0.54	0.58	1,800	1,850	0.59	0.61	
	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan	EB	2,800	1,150	950	0.41	0.34	1,100	900	0.39	0.32	
L2	(Right of Long Tin Road)	WB	2,800	1,300	1,250	0.46	0.45	1,500	1,400	0.54	0.50	
	Yuen Long Highway	EB	6,100	5,400	5,200	0.89	0.85	5,450	5,250	0.89	0.86	
L3	(Left of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)	WB	6,100	5,500	5,550	0.90	0.91	5,600	5,600	0.92	0.92	
	Yuen Long Highway		6,100	5,600	5,100	0.92	0.84	5,900	5,500	0.97	0.90	
L4	(Right of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)	WB	6,100	4,650	5,000	0.76	0.82	4,800	5,150	0.79	0.84	
L5	L5 Long Tin Road (**)	NB	3,700	2,900	3,150	0.78	0.85	3,650	3,650	0.99	0.99	

					Referen	ice Case	•	Design Case			
	Link		Capacity (pcu/hr)	Peak Traffi (pcu	Hour c Flow ı/hr)	V/C Ratio (*)		Peak Hour Traffic Flow (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio (*)	
				AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
		SB	5,600	4,050	3,350	0.72	0.60	4,600	3,850	0.82	0.69
	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road	NB	1,090	200	300	0.18	0.28	150	250	0.14	0.23
L6	Ping Shan and Ping Hong Lane)		1,090	250	300	0.23	0.28	250	250	0.23	0.23
L7 Ma Fung Ling Road		NB	820	50	50	0.06	0.06	100	100	0.12	0.12
		SB	820	50	50	0.06	0.06	150	100	0.18	0.12
L8	Ma Wang Road	WB	2,530	500	550	0.20	0.22	500	500	0.20	0.20
L9	Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange (From Long Tin Road - SB To Yuen Long Highway - FB) (***)		1,800	1,500	1,350	0.83	0.75	1,850	1,700	1.03	0.94
L10	Tong Yan San Tsuen L10 Interchange (To Long Tin Road - NB)		1,800	1,600	1,750	0.89	0.97	2,100	2,150	1.17	1.19
L11	Wang Tat Road	EB	2,530	1,250	1,200	0.49	0.47	1,300	1,300	0.51	0.51
L12	Slip Road from Long Tin Road L12 - SB to Yuen Long Highway – WB		1,800	1,700	1,450	0.94	0.81	1,800	1,500	1.00	0.83
Slip Road from Yuen Long L13 Highway - EB to Long Tin Road - NB		NB	1,800	1,300	1,400	0.72	0.78	1,350	1,500	0.75	0.83
			820	100	150	0.12	0.18	250	200	0.30	0.24
	L14 San Hi Tsuen Street		820	200	200	0.24	0.24	300	250	0.37	0.30

(*) For links, the performance indicators is V/C (Volume to Capacity) ratios. A V/C ratio above 1.0 indicates the onset of congestion.

(**) The critical section of Long Tin Road (NB) runs in a 2 lane configuration while Long Tin Road (SB) runs in a 3 lane configuration.

(***) Possible improvement / upgrading works on the road link performance of L9 will be assessed under the investigation and design stage of a future CEDD site formation and infrastructure project in Tin Shui Wai. The implementation arrangement and agent of any necessary improvement works / upgrading works will be explored and identified with liaison amongst relevant Offices of CEDD. Subject to timely resolution of the interface issues with other government projects, CEDD will target to complete the improvement/ upgrading works, if any, before population intake of the public housing developments in the vicinity.

1 able 5.8 Junction Capacity Assessment Results for Year 2	Table 5.8	Junction Capacity	Assessment	Results for	Year 203
------------------------------------------------------------	-----------	--------------------------	------------	--------------------	----------

	Innotion	Junction	Reference	ce Case (#)	Design Case (#)		
	Juncuon	Туре	AM	PM	AM	PM	
J1	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Long Tin Road	Signalized	>50%	>50%	45%	44%	
J2	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Road	Signalized	42%	35%	45%	37%	

	Transform	Junction	Reference	ce Case (#)	Design	Case (#)
	JUNCTION	Туре	AM	PM	AM	PM
J3	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ San Hi Tsuen Street	Signalized	46%	>50%	20%	>50%
J4	Town Park Road South/ Lam Hau Tsuen Road	Signalized	21%	30%	21%	29%
J5	Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road	Priority	0.59	0.33	0.60	0.33
J6	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road	Signalized	13%	22%	13%	22%
J7	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	Signalized	8%	34%	4%	31%
J8	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road	Signalized	2%	1%	1%	0%
J9	Slip road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U- turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB)	Priority	0.01	0.01	1.07	0.67
J10	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street	Priority	0.18	0.09	0.41	0.24
J11	Ma Fung Ling Road/ Road A	Priority	/	/	0.35	0.30

(#) Figures shown represent "Reserve Capacity" (RC) for the signal controlled junctions and "Design Flow to Capacity" (DFC) ratio for the priority junctions. A signal-controlled junction with a reserve capacity (RC) of more than 15% is considered as satisfactory performance; 0% implies that it is operating at capacity while a negative RC% suggests that it is overloaded. For priority junction, the performance indicators is the DFC (Design Flow to Capacity). DFC of less than 0.85 is considered as satisfactory performance; 1.00 indicate that capacity has been reached while DFC over 1.00 indicate overloaded conditions.

* As the main user of the U-turn at Long Tin Road is the residents in Yuen Long South area, they are allowed to turn into Yuen Long Highway via TYST Interchange directly upon the completion of TYST Interchange improvement works. Therefore, the traffic flow at J9 in Year 2034 reference scenario is low.

Table 5.9	Link Capacity	Assessment	Results for	Year 2034

				Reference Case				Design Case			
	Link		Capacity (pcu/hr)	city hr) Peak Hour Traffic Flow (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio (*)		Peak Hour Traffic Flow (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio (*)	
				AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
т 1	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan		3,980	1,900	1,900	0.48	0.48	1,850	1,800	0.46	0.45
LI (Left of Long Tin Road)	(Left of Long Tin Road)	WB	3,040	1,750	1,850	0.58	0.61	1,900	2,000	0.63	0.66
1.0	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan		2,800	1,150	1,050	0.41	0.38	1,100	1,000	0.39	0.36
^{L2} (Right of Long Tin Road)	WB	2,800	1,400	1,400	0.50	0.50	1,550	1,550	0.55	0.55	
	Yuen Long Highway		6,100	5,950	5,650	0.98	0.93	6,000	5,650	0.98	0.93
L3	(Left of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)	WB	6,100	6,200	6,200	1.02	1.02	6,250	6,250	1.02	1.02
	Yuen Long Highway	EB	6,100	5,850	5,350	0.96	0.88	6,300	5,650	1.03	0.93
L4	(Right of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange)	WB	6,100	5,000	5,300	0.82	0.87	5,200	5,500	0.85	0.90
1.5		NB	5,600	2,350	2,650	0.42	0.47	3,200	3,300	0.57	0.59
L5	L5 Long Tin Road (**)		5,600	3,450	3,050	0.62	0.54	4,050	3,500	0.72	0.63
Tong Yan San Tsuen Road		NB	1,090	200	300	0.18	0.28	150	250	0.14	0.23
L6	(Between Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan and Ping Hong Lane)	SB	1,090	250	200	0.23	0.18	300	250	0.28	0.23

				Referen	ice Case	9	Design Case			
Link		Capacity (pcu/hr)	Peak Hour Traffic Flow (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio (*)		Peak Hour Traffic Flow (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio (*)	
			AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
17 Ma Francisco Dand	NB	820	50	50	0.06	0.06	100	100	0.12	0.12
L7 Ma Fung Ling Koad	SB	820	50	50	0.06	0.06	100	100	0.12	0.12
L8 Ma Wang Road		2,530	450	550	0.18	0.22	500	500	0.20	0.20
Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange (From Long Tin Road - SB To Yuen Long Highway - EB) (***)		1,800	1,250	1,050	0.69	0.58	1,700	1,400	0.94	0.78
Tong Yan San Tsuen L10 Interchange (To Long Tin Road - NB)		1,800	1,350	1,500	0.75	0.83	1,650	1,800	0.92	1.00
L11 Wang Tat Road	EB	2,530	1,300	1,200	0.51	0.47	1,350	1,300	0.53	0.51
Slip Road from Long Tin Road L12 - SB to Yuen Long Highway - WB	WB	3,600	2,100	1,900	0.58	0.53	2,150	1,950	0.60	0.54
Slip Road from Yuen Long L13 Highway - EB to Long Tin Road - NB		3,600	1,000	1,000	0.28	0.28	1,100	1,100	0.31	0.31
	EB	820	100	100	0.12	0.12	300	200	0.37	0.24
L14 San H1 I suen Street	WB	820	200	200	0.24	0.24	300	200	0.37	0.24

(*) For links, the performance indicators is V/C (Volume to Capacity) ratios. A V/C ratio above 1.0 indicates the onset of congestion.

- (**) The critical section of Long Tin Road (NB) runs in a 2 lane configuration while Long Tin Road (SB) runs in a 3 lane configuration.
- (***) Possible improvement / upgrading works on the road link performance of L9 will be assessed under the investigation and design stage of a future CEDD site formation and infrastructure project in Tin Shui Wai. The implementation arrangement and agent of any necessary improvement works / upgrading works will be explored and identified with liaison amongst relevant Offices of CEDD. Subject to timely resolution of the interface issues with other government projects, CEDD will target to complete the improvement/ upgrading works, if any, before population intake of the public housing developments in the vicinity.
- (*) The Road Link Capacity of L5, L12 and L13 have been adjusted due to the improvement works of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange under Yuen Long South Study.
- 5.2.2 The performance of the following junctions is discussed below:

J2: Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Road

5.2.3 For AM peak in design case, it is noticed that the junction performance in 2034 is better than 2029 though the traffic volume has been increasing. It was due to the diversion induced by the HSK NDA. The concerned flow pattern changes at Castle Peak Road westbound in year 2029 (red line) and 2034 (blue line) is provided as below.

Flow Patten Changes of J2 in Year 2029 and Year 2034



J6: Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road

5.2.4 Under the existing cycle time, the above results reveal that the Junction **J6** will operate in same capacity at AM peak in 2034 for both reference and design scenarios. The proposed housing development would not deteriorate the junction performance.

J7: Shui Pin Wai Interchange

5.2.5 Under the existing cycle time, the above results reveal that the Junction J7 will operate in similar capacity at AM peak in 2034 for both reference and design scenarios. The proposed housing development would only account for 4% and 3% in AM and PM peak respectively. It would not significantly deteriorate the junction performance.

J8: Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road

5.2.6 The above results reveal that the Junction J8 will operate in similar capacity at AM peak and PM peak in 2034 for both reference and design scenarios. The proposed housing development would only account for 1% in both AM and PM peak, in comparison with 2034 Reference Case. It would not significantly deteriorate the junction performance. Nevertheless, an improvement scheme is recommended and illustrated in **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0055**. The junction performance with the improvement scheme is summarized in **Table 5.10**.

	With/	Junction Performance (*)									
No.	Without	2025 Des	sign Case	2029 Des	sign Case	2034 Design Case					
1.00	Improvement Scheme	AM	PM	AM PM		AM	PM				
J8	Without Improvement	4%	9%	0%	0%	1%	0%				
J8	With Improvement	26%	36%	17%	22%	11%	10%				

Table 5.10Junction Performance at J8

Note:

(*) Performance is assessed with "Design Flow to Capacity" (D.F.C.) ratio for priority junctions and roundabout and "Reserve Capacity" (R.C.) for signalized junctions

As the recommended improvement scheme of Junction J8, which falls within MTR protection zone, will involve modifications of existing traffic islands and pedestrian crossings adjacent to the MTR LRT, relevant parties including MTRC and Utilities Undertakers shall be consulted upon the detailed design. Further investigation will be required to determine whether the aforesaid improvement scheme is feasible to be carried out within the context of "Minor Works" under s.4 of Cap. 370 by relevant works projects.

J9: Slip road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB)

- 5.2.7 The priority junction **J9** will operate over capacity in future years for design scenario. Possible improvement scheme is discussed in **Section 5.3**.
- 5.2.8 As for link capacity analysis, L3, L4 and L10 would operate with V/C ratio exceeding 1.0. Improvement measures would be further investigated in upcoming studies including Route 11 study and Yuen Long Highway widening study.
- 5.2.9 The capacity analysis on the assessed junctions and links are summarised in **Table 5.11** and **5.12**.

.	2025 Reference (#)		2025 Design (#)		2029 Reference (#)		2029 Design (#)		2034 Reference (#)		2034 Design (#)	
Junction	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
J1	>50%	>50%	>50%	>50%	>50%	>50%	48%	>50%	>50%	>50%	45%	44%
J2	25%	20%	23%	19%	21%	15%	13%	13%	18%	11%	17%	11%
J3	>50%	>50%	>50%	>50%	>50%	>50%	27%	>50%	46%	>50%	20%	>50%
J4	21%	27%	21%	27%	20%	23%	20%	23%	21%	30%	21%	29%
J5	0.32	0.22	0.32	0.22	0.35	0.22	0.41	0.22	0.59	0.33	0.60	0.33
J6	33%	24%	33%	24%	30%	24%	27%	21%	13%	22%	13%	22%
J7	14%	41%	13%	40%	13%	47%	10%	38%	8%	34%	4%	31%
J8	4%	9%	4%	9%	-2%	3%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%
J9	1.02	0.80	1.23	0.89	1.09	0.87	2.02	1.45	0.01	0.01	1.07	0.67
J10	0.19	0.17	0.23	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.33	0.24	0.18	0.09	0.41	0.24

 Table 5.11
 Summary of Results of Junction Capacity Assessments

(#) Figures shown represent "Reserve Capacity" (RC) for the signal controlled junctions and "Design Flow to Capacity" (DFC) ratio for the priority junctions. A signal-controlled junction with a reserve capacity (RC) of more than 15% is considered as satisfactory performance; 0% implies that it is operating at capacity while a negative RC% suggests that it is overloaded. For priority junction, the performance indicators is the DFC (Design Flow to Capacity). DFC of less than 0.85 is considered as satisfactory performance; 1.00 indicate that capacity has been reached while DFC over 1.00 indicate overloaded conditions.

Link		20 Refere	25 mce (*)	2025 I	Design	20 Refere	29 nce (*)	2029 I	Design	20 Refere	34 mce (*)	2034 I	Design
		AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
T 1	EB	0.41	0.39	0.43	0.39	0.45	0.43	0.43	0.41	0.48	0.48	0.46	0.45
LI	WB	0.53	0.54	0.54	0.56	0.54	0.58	0.59	0.61	0.58	0.61	0.63	0.66
1.2	EB	0.36	0.29	0.36	0.30	0.41	0.34	0.39	0.32	0.41	0.38	0.39	0.36
LZ	WB	0.43	0.41	0.45	0.43	0.46	0.45	0.54	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.55	0.55
1.2	EB	0.82	0.75	0.83	0.76	0.89	0.85	0.89	0.86	0.98	0.93	0.98	0.93
LS	WB	0.75	0.75	0.76	0.76	0.90	0.91	0.92	0.92	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02
т.4	EB	0.87	0.77	0.89	0.78	0.92	0.84	0.97	0.90	0.96	0.88	1.03	0.93
L4	WB	0.71	0.78	0.73	0.79	0.76	0.82	0.79	0.84	0.82	0.87	0.85	0.90
Ι.5	NB	0.77	0.81	0.84	0.85	0.78	0.85	0.99	0.99	0.42	0.47	0.57	0.59
LS	SB	0.63	0.55	0.66	0.56	0.72	0.60	0.82	0.69	0.62	0.54	0.72	0.63
Ιc	NB	0.18	0.23	0.23	0.28	0.18	0.28	0.14	0.23	0.18	0.28	0.14	0.23
LO	SB	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.32	0.23	0.28	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.18	0.28	0.23
17	NB	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.12
L/	SB	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.18	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.12
L8	WB	0.20	0.22	0.22	0.24	0.20	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.20
L9	SB	0.83	0.75	0.89	0.78	0.83	0.75	1.03	0.94	0.69	0.58	0.94	0.78
L10	NB	0.92	0.97	0.97	1.00	0.89	0.97	1.17	1.19	0.75	0.83	0.92	1.00
L11	EB	0.47	0.47	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.47	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.47	0.53	0.51
L12	WB	0.72	0.64	0.75	0.67	0.94	0.81	1.00	0.83	0.58	0.53	0.60	0.54
L13	NB	0.67	0.69	0.69	0.72	0.72	0.78	0.75	0.83	0.28	0.28	0.31	0.31
T 14	EB	0.12	0.12	0.18	0.18	0.12	0.18	0.30	0.24	0.12	0.12	0.37	0.24
L14	WB	0.24	0.24	0.30	0.30	0.24	0.24	0.37	0.30	0.24	0.24	0.37	0.24

Table 5.12	Summary	of Results	of Link	Capacity	Assessments

(*) For links, the performance indicators is V/C (Volume to Capacity) ratios. A V/C ratio above 1.0 indicates the onset of congestion.

5.2.10 Queue length analysis has been conducted on the arms of the assessed junctions. The results are summarised in **Table 5.13**. For priority junctions, only minor road streams are assessed. Results have shown that the expected queuing in the assessed junctions under all design scenarios are within capcity.

Junction Arm		Existing Queuing	20 Refe	25 rence	2025 1	Design	20 Refe	29 rence	2029 1	Design	20 Refe	34 rence	2034 I	Design
		Space (m)	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
J1	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan EB	150	50	45	55	55	55	50	65	60	60	60	65	65
	Ma Wang Road SB	200	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	35	25	30	30	35
	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan WB	480	45	45	45	45	45	45	50	55	45	50	50	55

 Table 5.13
 Summary of Queue Length Analysis (in metre)

_		Existing Oueuing	20 Refe	25 rence	2025 1	Design	20 Refe	29 rence	2029 1	Design	20 Refe	34 rence	2034 1	Design
Jun	ction Arm	Space (m)	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	РМ
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange NB	150	5	0	10	10	10	5	30	20	15	25	45	50
J2	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan EB	300	65	60	65	60	70	65	70	70	75	70	70	70
	Ping Ha Road SB	100	40	40	40	45	35	35	40	40	40	35	40	35
	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan WB	500	50	55	55	55	55	55	55	60	55	55	60	65
	Tong Yan San Tsuen Road NB	70	40	40	40	40	40	45	30	40	40	40	35	35
J3	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan EB	550	45	35	50	35	55	40	55	45	60	45	60	45
	Ping Kwai Road SB	40	20	30	20	30	20	25	20	30	20	25	20	30
	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan WB	300	45	40	50	45	50	45	55	50	55	55	60	55
	San Hi Tsuen Street NB	70	40	25	40	30	45	25	45	25	45	30	45	30
J4	Town Park Road South EB	60	50	45	50	45	50	50	50	50	50	45	50	45
	Town Park Road South WB	70	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
	Lam Hau Tsuen Road NB	110	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	15	15	15	15
J5	Town Park Road South EB	70						N	/A	_			-	
	Town Park Road North SB	200	10	5	10	5	10	5	10	5	25	10	25	10
	Ma Tin Road WB	60						N	/A					

T		Existing Queuing	20 Refe	25 rence	2025 1	Design	20 Refe	29 rence	2029 1	Design	20 Refe	34 rence	2034 1	Design
Jun	ction Arm	Space (m)	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	РМ	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
J6	Ma Tin Road EB	140	55	50	55	50	55	50	55	50	65	50	65	50
	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road SB	150	45	50	45	50	45	50	45	50	50	50	50	50
	Ma Tin Road WB	120	30	35	30	35	30	35	30	35	30	30	30	35
	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road NB	200	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	50	50	50	50	50
J7	Shui Pin Wai Interchange SB	160	45	40	45	40	50	40	50	40	50	40	50	40
	Long Ping Road WB	550	70	60	70	60	75	60	75	65	80	60	80	65
	Long Tin Road NB	200	40	35	40	40	40	35	45	40	40	40	45	45
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange NB	260	60	50	60	50	55	50	55	50	60	50	60	50
J8	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan EB	280	70	60	70	60	75	65	75	65	75	70	75	70
	Ma Miu Road SB	100	60	55	60	60	60	60	55	60	60	55	55	55
	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan WB	100	60	55	60	60	65	60	75	70	65	65	75	75
	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road NB	110	85	85	85	85	90	85	85	90	85	85	85	85
J9(*)							N/A							
J10	Tong Yan San Tsuen NB	50						N	/A					
	San Hi Tsuen Street EB	70	5	5	5	5	5	5	10	5	5	5	10	5
	Tong Yan San Tsuen SB	110						N	/A					

(*) Queue length analysis is not applicable on J9 because of overcapacity.

5.3 Traffic Improvement Schemes

U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road

- 5.3.1 The U-turn at Long Tin Road (near J/O Long Tin Road/Castle Peak Road) is currently controlled by a give-way arrangement. As shown from junction capacity assessment results of J9, the junction operates over capacity under both existing traffic condition and future traffic condition.
- 5.3.2 Vehicles leaving the Long Bin Housing Site leading to Yuen Long Highway rely heavily on this U-turn provision. The junction performance will therefore deteriorate in the design scenarios. An improvement scheme is therefore considered necessary. As shown in **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0046**, the recommended improvement scheme involves widening of the U-turn approach and removal of the give-way line to avoid the traffic queue queuing back to the Long Tin Road (Northbound) will be implemented under Phase 1 development (Year 2025). The U-turn approach will be converted to a merging lane joining back to Long Tin Road (Southbound). The improvement scheme would follow the approach developed in FS stage while more details are being developed and the schematic design will be provided in later stage of the study.
- 5.3.3 As the original priority junction is changed to a merging lane, link capacity assessment will be adopted to assess the traffic performance under this improvement scheme. **Table 5.14** shows the corresponding V/C ratio at design scenarios.

	Capacity (pcu/hr)	V/C ratio (Peak hour flow in pcu/hr))							
Road Link		Year 20	25 - Des	Year 20	29 - Des	Year 2034 - Des			
		AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM		
U-turn link at Long Tin Road	1,340	0.50 (670)	0.37 (490)	0.78 (1050)	0.59 (790)	0.42 (560)	0.27 (360)		

Table 5.14Link capacity assessment (V/C) ratio for the improved U-turn approachat Long Tin Road

5.4 Pedestrian Linkages Assessment

Pedestrian Trip Rates

5.4.1 The following pedestrian trip rates will be adopted for pedestrian trip generation assessment:

Development		AM	Peak	PM	Peak
Туре	Unit	Generation Rate	Attraction Rate	Generation Rate	Attraction Rate
SSF	persons/hr/flat	0.494	0.081	0.158	0.298
PRH	persons/hr/flat	0.464	0.201	0.194	0.440

 Table 5.15
 Pedestrian trip rates to be adopted

Note: The pedestrian trip rates for traffic assessment is consistent with those adopted in TIA of Agreement No. CE 26/2015 (CE).

5.4.2 On the other hand, existing public transport services and facilities within reasonable walking distance to the site had already been identified as discussed in **Section 3.2**.

Pedestrian Demand by Transport Modes

5.4.3 The following tabulates the calculated pedestrian trip generation (in ped/hr) based on in-house pedestrian trip rates.

Table 5.16 Peak hour Pedestrian Trip Generation in ped/hr by each stage of development (*)

		AM Peak		PM Peak			
Scenario	Generation	Attraction	Two-way total	Generation	Attraction	Two-way total	
Phase 1 only	1,522	249	1,771	487	918	1,404	
Phases 1 and 2	5,633	2,030	7,663	2,205	4,816	7,022	

(*) A safety factor of 1.1 has been applied to the size of population to allow design flexibility

- 5.4.4 As shown above, a total of 7,663 ped/hr two-way (at AM peak) will be the critical trip generation adopted for assessment.
- 5.4.5 Based on the modal split identified in Feasibility Study stage, the estimated peak hour pedestrian flows by mode are summarised in **Table 5.17**.

Table 5.17 Modal Split Assumption (With walk mode considered)

	Mechanised Mode (% round to nearest integer)					
Walk Mode	Drivoto Modo	Rail-based Public	Road-based Public			
	r rivate Moue	Transport	Transport			
23%	15%	40%	22%			
	201					

Note: The modal split for traffic assessment is consistent with that adopted in TIA of Agreement No. CE 26/2015 (CE).

Mode	Peak hour pedestrian flow
By Rail/LRT Mode	3,076 ped/hr
By Bus/GMB Mode	1,716 ped/hr
By Walk Mode	1,722 ped/hr
By Private Mode	1,149 ped/hr
Total	7,663 ped/hr

 Table 5.18
 Peak hour pedestrian flow breakdown

Estimated Utilization of Proposed Footbridges

- 5.4.6 In this stage, three footbridges surrounding the proposed housing site had been proposed: One of which (to be abbreviated Footbridge A) will span across the Castle Peak Road Ping Shan at the North of the proposed housing site, while another (to be abbreviated Footbridge B&C) will span across the Long Tin Road at the East of the proposed housing site.
- 5.4.7 Footbridge A will mainly serve the pedestrian leading to West Rail Long Ping Station while Footbridge B&C will mainly serve the pedestrian leading to Yuen Long Park and other recreational facilities (Yuen Long Stadium, Yuen Long Swimming Pool, 7 nos. of primary/secondary schools along Ma Tin Road/Shap Pat Heung Road, and so on).

- 5.4.8 As estimated in **Table 5.27**, 83% of the rail users of Long Bin Development will be distributed to the critical section of West Rail Line (i.e. urban direction). It is assumed, for conservative design purpose, that all the urban direction rail users would take Footbridge A to reach West Rail Long Ping Station, which is approximately 3,076 * 83% = 2,553 ped/hr.
- 5.4.9 The remaining rail users towards Tuen Mun and Tin Shui Wai Direction would take Light Rail at nearby Ping Shan Station through southern footpath of Castle Peak Road Ping Shan and the existing footbridge near Light Rail Ping Shan Station. As such, the estimated pedestrian flow will be distributed to these footbridges as follows.

Pedestrian Generation by Mode	Pedestrian Route and Spli	t*	Peak hour pedestrian flow
By Rail/LRT Mode	Footbridge A	83%	2,553 ped/hr
(3,076 ped/hr)	Southern Footpath at Castle Peak Road (Ping Shan)	17%	523 ped/hr
By Walk Mode (1,722 ped/hr)	Footbridge B&C	100%	1,722 ped/hr

Table 5.19 Peak hour pedestrian flow at each proposed footbridge

*Passengers taking bus/GMB trips will make use of PTI inside the estate directly.

5.4.10 The footbridge width is normally designed in a way that a LOS (Level of Service, which is a usual way to measure pedestrian congestion level specified by TPDM) level of C is guaranteed during peak hours. The required flow rate is 23 ped/m/min to 33 ped/m/min. **Table 5.20** summarizes the required footbridge width calculated based on the pedestrian demand estimated:

Fable 5.20	Footbridge width	recommendation based	l on pedestrian	demand estimate
-------------------	------------------	----------------------	-----------------	-----------------

	Footbridge A	Footbridge B&C
Peak hour pedestrian flow, (a)	2,553 ped/hr	1,722 ped/hr
Lower limit of flow rate (23 ped/m/min)		
Required Effective Width, (b1) = (a) / 60 min/hr / 23 ped/m/min	1.8 m	1.2 m
Clear Width Required, $(c1) = (b1) + 1$	2.8 m	2.2 m
Upper Limit of flow rate (33 ped/m/min)		
Required Effective Width, (b2) = (a) / 60 min/hr / 33 ped/m/min	1.3 m	0.9 m
Clear Width Required, (c2) = (b2) + 1	2.3 m	1.9 m

5.4.11 To conclude, based on the latest development assumption, a clear width of 3m is proposed for both Footbridge A and Footbridge B&C to guarantee LOS C during peak hours (rounded to the nearest meter based on the calculation results above).
5.5 **Public Transport Demand Assessment**

Public Transport Demand

5.5.1 Based on similar analysis as discussed in **Section 5.4**, the following critical peak hour Public Transport demand had been established. AM peak trip generation (one-way), as the critical time period, had been adopted for assessment:

 Table 5.21 Estimates on Critical Public Transport Demand induced by housing development (ped/hr)

Scenario Rail Based		Road Based	Total	
Phase 1	611	341	951	
Phase 2	1,650	920	2,571	

- 5.5.2 Since the site is not located within a normal walkable distance to the nearest rail station, it is expected that most of the trips heading to the railway station(s) will need to use feeder service. It is therefore considered necessary to include both railbased and road-based public transport demand in the recommendation of new public transport routes, which also implies a more conservative side of the assessment.
- 5.5.3 In view of the tight land-use budget on provision of public transport facilities within both phases of sites, it is proposed that franchised bus routes (instead of GMB or others) would be proposed as major feeder public transport mode to/from the site to maximize the carrying capacity. An estimate of the road-based public transport requirements to meet the demand as described above is shown in **Table 5.22** and **Table 5.23**.
- 5.5.4 For short term scenario (with Phase 1 only), 2 bus routes with headway of 12 minutes are initially recommended.
- 5.5.5 For long term scenario (with Phase 1 and Phase 2), the public transport demand will increase significantly. It would require a total of 3 nos. of franchised bus route (12-min headway) and 2 nos. of franchised bus route (6-min headway) to accommodate the estimated public transport demand arising from Phase 2 development.
- 5.5.6 It could be seen that the provisions at both phases of housing site would allow a certain amount of spare capacity during peak hours, so as to cater for peak-of-the-peak travel patterns or flexibility in further population increase of the site.

	Franchised Bus
Number of routes provided	2
Proposed AM peak headway (minutes)	12 (i.e. 5 trips in one hour)
Capacity (persons)	135
Estimated service capacity in AM peak (ped/hr)	$1350 > 951(**) \rightarrow \text{OK!}$
Maximum Fleet Requirement under proposed headway (vehicles)(*)	20

 Table 5.22 Estimates on PT Service Capacity (For Phase 1 development only)

(*) Estimated Based on number of fleet required in peak hour per route per bound * number of routes proposed *2. The actual fleet requirement under the proposed headway could be less than this estimate due to the possibility that two or more round-trips could be completed by one single vehicle within an hour. This is subject to detailed planning of each route.

(**) Estimation on critical public transport demand induced by housing development (ped/hr) (From Table 5.21).

	Franchised Bus
Number of routes provided	5
	12 mins (i.e. 5 trips in one hour) for
Proposed AM peak headway (minutes)	three routes; 6 mins (i.e. 10 trips in
	one hour) for two routes
Capacity (persons)	135
Estimated service capacity in AM peak	4725 > 2522(**) → OKI
(ped/hr)	4723 > 3322(11) 7 OK!
Maximum Fleet Requirement under	70
proposed headway (vehicles)(*)	70

Table 5.23 Estimates on PT Service Capacity (For Phase 1+Phase 2 developments)

- (*) Based on *number of fleet required in peak hour per route per bound * number of routes proposed *2.* The actual fleet requirement under the proposed headway could be less than this estimate due to the possibility that two or more round-trips could be completed by one single vehicle within an hour. This is subject to detailed planning of each route.
- (**) Estimation on critical public transport demand induced by housing development (ped/hr) (From Table 5.20).

Conceptual Plan of Public Transport Routes based on Travel Characteristics

- 5.5.7 In view of the majority of public transport demand being rail trips (as shown in **Table 5.17**), it is considered that at least half of the planned public transport routes shall base on/route via the railway stations from transport demand viewpoint. Also considering that bus stops at Castle Peak Road (Ping Shan) eastbound could not be provided in close proximity to the proposed housing site due to site constraint, it is suggested that a circular feeder route plying between WRLPS and the proposed housing site could be considered. By this proposal, passengers could make use of the existing westbound bus stop at Castle Peak Road (Ping Shan) for feeder trips to the WRLPS, and hence walking distance of majority of passengers could be reduced.
- 5.5.8 Based on the identified transport demands, and the OD patterns identified in the assessment, below table and **Appendix E** outlines the public transport routing *(Within Yuen Long District)* recommendation. The routes are *designated solely to meet the transport demand from proposed housing site*, and had *not* incorporated transport demand induced from housing sites nearby for consideration. Due to the study area coverage and the scope of this study, the routing of the proposed public transport service outside Yuen Long District is also yet to be determined by more detailed studies or other relevant parties such as transport operators.
- 5.5.9 It should be noted that the final operation plan of the public transport would be subject to future demand, and would need to be revisited by the respective operators. In lieu of provision of new bus/minibus route, re-routing of existing public transport services along Castle Peak Road (Ping Shan) or Long Tin Road to the development site could also supplement these proposed public transport services.

Route	Suggested Routing / Origin - Destination	Justification / Remarks		
Route A Franchised Bus Route for Phase 1 (Circular)	Yuen Long Station Public TransportInterchange* \rightarrow Yuen Long On LokRoad \rightarrow Ma Wang Road \rightarrow Castle PeakRoad \rightarrow Ma Wang Road \rightarrow Castle PeakRoad \rightarrow Shan \rightarrow Phase 1 housingSite \rightarrow Tong Yan San TsuenRoad \rightarrow San Hi Tsuen Street \rightarrow CastlePeak Road-Ping Shan \rightarrow Long TinRoad \rightarrow Shui Pin Wai Interchange \rightarrow Wang Tat Road \rightarrow Long YipStreet \rightarrow Long Yat Road \rightarrow Yuen LongStation Public TransportInterchange*(*Stop for passengers to / from Yuen LongWest Rail Station, will not terminate at thePTI)	 To serve as feeder for passenger trips to railway station (WRYLS and WRLPS) Avoid routing via Castle Peak Road-Yuen Long due to busy traffic at peak hours The extended layby outside Phase 1 can serve as terminal point. When Phase 2 becomes available, the routing could be slightly modified to terminate at Phase 2 PTI and the proposed headways should be increased to double 		
<u>Route B</u> Franchised Bus Route for Phase 1 (Circular)	(PTI In Tuen Mun Town Centre) → Castle Peak Road –Ping Shan → Phase 1 housing Site →Shui Pin Wan Road →Ma Wang Road → Castle Peak Road –Ping Shan →(PTI In Tuen Mun Town Centre)	 To handle the remaining transport demand of Phase 1 to the western/southern direction Terminus proposed in Tuen Mun District, its actual location and detailed routing outside Yuen Long District is yet to be determined in later studies. When Phase 2 becomes available, the routing could be slightly modified to route through the Phase 2 PTI and the proposed headways should be increased to double 		
Route C Franchised Bus Route for Phase 2	Urban Area Bound: Phase 2 PTI → Long Tin Road → (U-turn at existing slip road) →Long Tin Road → Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange → (Bus Interchange at Tai Lam Tunnel) → (Urban Area) Long Bin Bound: (Urban Area)→ (Bus Interchange at Tai Lam Tunnel) → Yuen Long Highway → Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange → Long Tin Road→ Phase 2 PTI	 Provides direct access to urban area Bus Interchange at Tai Lam Tunnel could provide interchange options to passengers Detailed routing outside the Yuen Long District should be studied in detail by bus operator and/or other relevant parties 		
Route D Franchised Bus Route for Phase 2 (Circular)	<i>Phase 2 PT1</i> → Long Tin Road→Castle Peak Road – Ping Shan → Hung Tin Road → Ping Ha Road→ (<i>Internal Road Network Proposed by</i> <i>HSK NDA Study</i>) → Ping Ha Road → Ma Fung Ling Road→ Proposed	- The HSK NDA will provide a large number of employment places and school places and it will induce certain transport demand to the proposed housing site		

Table 5.24 Public Transport Routing (within Yuen Long District) Recommendation

Route	Suggested Routing / Origin - Destination	Justification / Remarks
	Slip Road to Long Tin Road \rightarrow Long Tin Road \rightarrow <i>Phase 2 PTI</i>	- The route could also feed the passengers to the WRTSWS
<u>Route E</u> Franchised Bus Route for Phase 2 (Circular)	Phase 2 PTI → Long Tin Road → Shui Pin Wai Interchange → Wang Tat Road → (Yuen Long Industrial Area) → Long Yip Street → Yuen Long Station Public Transport Interchange → Long Lok Road → Castle Peak Road (Yuen Long) → Long Tin Road → Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange → Long Tin Road → Phase 2 PTI	 Serve as short-haul public transport service for intra-district trips Supplement route A as function of feeder to railway stations with additional function to serve the Yuen Long Industrial Area

Impacts on Existing Public Transport System

5.5.10 Under the above proposal, all projected road-based public transport demand will be well-accommodated by the proposed road-based public transport service. With the comprehensive coverage of the proposed routes in terms of common origins/destinations of the road-based public transport trips, significant impact on existing road-based public transport services is therefore not expected.

5.6 Railway Impact Assessment

Overview

- 5.6.1 The MTR West Rail (WR) Line is the key mass transit link providing access between Northwest New Territory and the main urban areas of Hong Kong. A preliminary assessment on the potential impact of the proposed Long Bin development to the WR Line has been conducted and the findings are presented below.
- 5.6.2 The preliminary assessment has made reference to the assessment for preparing "TR16H – Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment" of Agreement No. CE 35/2012 (CE) Planning and Engineering Study for Housing Sites in Yuen Long South – Investigation, which is provided and agreed with CEDD.

MTR WR Line Capacity and Existing Patronage

5.6.3 Reference was made to the questions raised by Finance Committee Members in examining the Estimates of Expenditure 2020-21 (<u>https://www.legco.gov.hk/yr19-20/english/fc/fc/w_q/thb-t-e.pdf</u>), THB(T)099. The existing carrying capacity of WR Line is 56,200 persons per hour per direction, based on a passenger density loading of 6 persons per square metre (ppsm). The 2019 patronage (for the first half of 2019) on the critical link of the WR Line (section from Kam Sheung Road to Tsuen Wan West) is 39,600 pphpd during the morning peak. It corresponds to a Volume to Capacity Ratio (v/c ratio) of 0.70 under 6 ppsm and 0.99 under 4ppsm.

Approach in Reviewing the Impact of Long Bin Development to the Rail Service

- 5.6.4 A preliminary analysis was carried out to make a broad estimation on the patronage of WR without Long Bin Development. The background WR Line patronage is derived by projecting the existing patronage to the design year by the respective population growth. The approach in estimating the background patronage of WR Line is outlined below:
 - The 2019 patronage at the critical section of WR Line is projected to design year 2034, based on a factor derived from the population data in Tuen Mun, Yuen Long, Tin Shui Wai and Hung Shui Kiu area between 2019 and 2034. The factor is calculated as shown in **Table 5.25**. By applying the projection factor, it is estimated that the background patronage of WR Line at critical section from Kam Sheung Road Station to Tsuen Wan West Station would be 53,100 in design year 2034 morning peak hour
 - The population data for 2019 is derived based on the interpolation of 2014 BYE and design year 2021 of 2014-based TPEDM. Similary, the population data for 2034 is derived by interpolation between design years 2031 and 2036 of the TPEDM dataset.

	Year	Population in Tuen Mun, Yuen Long, Tin Shui Wai and Hung Shui Kiu Areas	Projection Factor
Population data for 2031 background WR Line patronage	2019 ^A	862,000	1.341
Population Data adopted in this Study	2034 ^B	1,155,000	

Table 5.25Calculation of Projection	on Factor
-------------------------------------	-----------

Noted:

The presented number is rounded to nearest 1,000. The presented factor is rounded to 3 decimal places

A: Year 2019 planning data is interpolated between Year 2014 and 2021 planning data, Yuen Long South area has been excluded.

B: Year 2034 planning data is interpolated between Year 2031 and 2036 planning data, Yuen Long South area has been excluded.

• According to the Railway Development Strategy 2014, the Northern Link (NOL) would be one of the proposed 7 railway developments and it would have considerable impact to the patronage of WR. A "bottom-up" approach is applied to estimate the potential increase of patronage on the critical section of WR brought by NOL. The calculation is presented in **Table 5.26**. With reference to the employment places in Sham Shui Po, Tsuen Wan, Kwai Tsing, North Lantau and North Lantau areas, which is likely to travel along the critical section of WR Line by the NOL passengers, it is estimated that about 22% of the NOL passengers, which is correspondingly about 7,100 passengers, will be loaded on the critical section of WR Line in 2034 morning peak hour

Fable 5.26	Addition of NOL	Patronage Demand	on the	Critical Section	of WR
-------------------	-----------------	------------------	--------	-------------------------	-------

Items	Factor	Value
Year 2034 NOL Catchment Population ^A		528,100
Average weekday mechanised daily trips	1.83 trips per person ^B	966,350
Directional Split for daily trips	50%	483,200
Morning peak hour trip rate to daily total	14% ^C	67,600
Rail Mode Split	48% ^D	32,500
Distribution to the critical section of WR	22% ^E	7,100

Note:

The presented number is rounded to nearest 100

- A: It presents the population in Kwu Tung area, Fanling and Sheung Shui area and the Rural NENT area in the vicinity. Kwu Tung North and Fanling North NDA are included in the calculation. It is interpolated between the planning data of 2031 and 2036 as adopted in this Study
- B: Reference from Travel Characteristics Survey 2011 Final Report
- C: Arup's in-house survey database for the NWNT rail stations
- D: Arup's in-house public transport data, assume 80% of the trips are PT trips, with 60% of the PT trips as rail trips
- E: The distribution is estimated by the Employment Place in Sham Shui Po, Tsuen Wan, Kwai Tsing and North Lantau areas over the territory-wide employment places based on Year 2034 planning data adopted in this Study. It accounts for the attractiveness to NOL catchment population which is likely to travel along the critical section of WR

- The above estimation does not include the patroange of WR Line generated from the Yuen Long South development. With reference to "TR16H – Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment" of Agreement No. CE 35/2012 (CE) Planning and Engineering Study for Housing Sites in Yuen Long South – Investigation, it is estimated that this development would generate about 740 passengers in 2034 morning peak hour to the crtical section of WR Line.
- According to **Table 5.21**, the Long Bin Development would generate about 2,490 rail/ light rail passengers. By comparing the distribution of employment places in urban area against NWNT and NENT, it is estimated that 83% of the rail/ light rail passengers would travel to urban area via the critical section of WR Line from Kam Sheung Road to Tseun Wan West. It corresponds to about 2,070 passengers in the morning peak hour in year 2034. The estimation is summarised in **Table 5.27**
- The remaining rail/ light rail passengers, corresponding to 2,490 2,070 = 420 passengers, would take WR Line and NOL to NENT, or take WR Line or Light Rail Trainsit (LRT) to NWNT. The assessment on LRT will be discussed in the next section.

Table 5.27	Addition of Long Bin Patronage Demand on the Critical Se	ction of WR
	Addition of Long Din 1 att onage Demand on the Critical Se	

Items	Factor	Value	
Peak hour Rail Passengers	-	2,490	
Distribution to the critical section of WR	83% ^A	2,070	

Note:

A: The distribution is estimated by the Employment Place in Kowloon, Hong Kong Island, Tsuen Wan, Kwai Tsing, Tseung Kwan O and North Lantau over the territory-wide employment places based on Year 2034 planning data derived from 2031 and 2036 dataset. It accounts for the distribution of Long Bin residents to the urban area travelling along the critical section of WR

• The total patronage of MTR WR Line at critical section from Kam Sheung Road to Tsuen Wan West in 2034 morning peak hour is calculated and summarised in **Table 5.28**

No.	Items	Factor	Value
1	WR Line Patronage at Critical Section from Kam Sheung Road to Tsuen Wan West in year 2019 (pphpd)	-	39,600
2	WR Line Patronage at Critical Section from Kam Sheung Road to Tsuen Wan West (Without Addition of NOL Patronage Demand) in year 2034	1.341	53,100 ^A
3	Additional NOL Patronage Demand to the Critical Section of WR	-	7,100 ^B
4	Additional YLS Patronage Demand to the Critical Section of WR	-	740 ^c
5	Additional Long Bin Patronage Demand to the Critical Section of WR	-	2,070 ^D
	Estimated patronage without Long Bin Development (item 2+3+4)	-	60,940
	Estimated patronage with Long Bin Development (item 2+3+4+5)	-	63,010

 Table 5.28
 MTR Patronage Demand on the Critical Section of WR

Note:

A. The projection factor is derived in Table 5.25.

B. The additional NOL patronage demand to the critical section of WR is derived in Table 5.26

- C. The additional patronage demand from YLS is derived from the 2038 peak hour patronage based on a prorata of population intake between 2034 and 2038
- D. The Long Bin rail patronage is derived in Table 5.27
- 5.6.5 The anticipated resultant patronage on the critical section of WR in the morning peak hour of year 2034 will be about 63,010, corresponding to a V/C ratio of 0.84 under 6 ppsm and 1.18 under 4ppsm.The broad-brush estimated rail patronage at the critical section of WR is summarized in **Table 5.29**.

Year	Critical Section of WR (Kam Sheung Road to Tsuen Wan West)	Background Patronage	Backgound Patronage + Proposed Long Bing Development
	MTR Patronage (pphpd)	60,940	63,010
	Maximum Capacity (6ppsm) ^A	75,000	75,000
2034	Maximum Capacity (4ppsm)	53,400	53,400
	V/C Ratio (6ppsm)	0.81	0.84
	Maximum Capacity (4ppsm)	1.14	1.18

 Table 5.29
 MTR Patronage Assessment with the Proposed Long Bin Development

Note:

A. Adopted the same capacity as the background WR Line patronage

5.6.6 It is revealed that the V/C ratio of the critical link of the WR will be around 0.84 under 6 ppsm with the proposed development in 2034 and it would operate under the capacity. In this regard, the proposed development will not induce unacceptable impact to West Rail Line under 6ppsm.

5.7 Light Rail Impact Assessment

5.7.1 The Light Rail Transit (LRT) network provides an alternative pubic transport connection for Long Bin development to access Tuen Mun, Tin Shui Wai area to the west and Yuen Long area to the east. The nearest LRT stations to Long Bin development are the Ping Shan station and Shui Pin Wai station. These two LRT stations are currently serving four LRT routes, as presented in **Table 5.30**.

Route	Destinations	Stations
610	Tuen Mun Ferry Pier to Yuen Long	Tuen Mun Ferry Pier, Melody Garden, Butterfly, Light Rail Depot, Lung Mun, Tsing Shan Tsuen, Tsing Wun, Ming Kum, Shek Pai, Tai Hing (North), Tai Hing (South), Ngan Wai, Affluence, Tuen Mun Hospital, Siu Hong, Lam Tei, Nai Wai, Chung Uk Tsuen, Hung Shui Kiu, Tong Fong Tsuen, Ping Shan, Shui Pin Wai, Fung Nin Road, Hong Lok Road, Tai Tong Road, Yuen Long
614	Tuen Mun Ferry Pier to Yuen Long	Tuen Mun Ferry Pier, Siu Hei, Tuen Mun Swimming Pool, Goodview Garden, Siu Lun, On Ting, Town Centre, Pui To, Hoh Fuk Tong, San Hui, Prime View, Fung Tei, Siu Hong, Lam Tei, Nai Wai, Chung Uk Tsuen, Hung Shui Kiu, Tong Fong Tsuen, Ping Shan, Shui Pin Wai, Fung Nin Road, Hong Lok Road, Tai Tong Road, Yuen Long
615	Tuen Mun Ferry Pier to Yuen Long	Tuen Mun Ferry Pier, Melody Garden, Butterfly, Light Rail Depot, Lung Mun, Tsing Shan Tsuen, Tsing Wun, Ming Kum, Shek Pai, San Wai, Leung King, Tin King, Kin Sang, Ching Chung, Lam Tei, Nai Wai, Chung Uk Tsuen, Hung Shui Kiu, Tong Fong Tsuen, Ping Shan, Shui Pin Wai, Fung Nin Road, Hong Lok Road, Tai Tong Road, Yuen Long

Table 5.30LRT Routes at Ping Shan Station and Shui Pin Wai Station

Route	Destinations	Stations
761P	Tin Yat to Yuen Long	Tin Yat, tin Fu, Chung Fu, Tin Shui, Locwood, Tin Yiu, Hang Mei Tsuen, Tong Fong Tsuen, Ping Shan, Shui Pin Wai, Fung Nin Road, Hong Lok Road, Tai Tong Road, Yuen Long

5.7.2 Reference was made to the questions raised by Finance Committee Members in examining the Estimates of Expenditure 2019-20, THB(T)096. The number of light rail vehicle (LRV) of these four LRT routes in 2018 is presented in **Table 5.31**.

Table 5.31	Number of LRV for Route 610, 614, 615 and 761P in 2018 in the morning
	peak hours

D (Number of Lig	ht Rail vehicle		
Route	Single-set	Couple-set	Single-set-equivalent LRV	
610	11	2	15	
614	7	0	7	
615	7	0	7	
761P	0	13	26	
Total	25	15	55	

Source: questions raised by Finance Committee Members in examining the Estimates of Expenditure 2019-20, THB(T)096,

<https://www.thb.gov.hk/eng/legislative/transport/special/land/index.htm>

- 5.7.3 In operation, one couple-set LRV is equivalent to two single-set LRV. At Ping Shan station and Shui Pin Wai station, the LRT route 610, 614, 615 and 761P would provide 15, 7, 7 and 26 single-set-equivalent LRV respectively in each direction.
- 5.7.4 As discussed in Section 5.6, there would be 420 rail/ LR passengers from Long Bin development (one direciton) travelling either to NENT or NWNT in morning peak. The split of the passenger to (1) Tuen Mun, (2) Hung Shui Kiu, (3) Tin Shui Wai, (4) Yuen Long, and (5) Fanling/ Sheung Shui is estimated based on the distribution of employment places as presented in Table 5.32.

 Table 5.32
 Distribution of Long Bin Rail/ LR Passengers to NENT and NWNT

Items	Factor	Passengers	Split to LRT	Split to WR	LRT Passengers
Distribution to Tuen Mun (NWNT)	39%	165	50%	50%	85
Distribution to Hung Shui Kiu (NWNT)	14%	60	50%	50%	30
Distribution to Tin Shui Wai (NWNT)	19%	80	50%	50%	40
Distribution to Yuen Long (NWNT)	9%	35	100%	-	35
Distribution to Fanling/ Sheung Shui (NENT)	19%	80	-	100%	-
Total	100%	420	-	-	190

Note:

The passenger data is rounded to nearest 5.

The split of LRT and WR is based on the annual passenger data of MTR and LRT of 2014, as published in Monthly Traffic and Transport Digest from TD's webpage. The annual MTR and LRT passengers were 1,665,000 and 174,000 respectively in 2014. While the MTR passengers cover the

whole territory, the NWNT MTR passengers is estimated by pro-rata of NWNT (Tuen Mun, Yuen Long, Tin Shui Wai, Hung Shui Kiu) population against the whole territory population in 2014, based on the base year 2014 planning data as adopted in this Study. The population split in NWNT is about 13% and the NWNT MTR passengers are estimated to be about 216,000 (1,665,000 x 13%). The NWNT MTR and LRT split is therefore 216,000: 174:000, or 55%:45%. For more conservative assessment of LRT, it is assumed the split to LRT is 50%.

From the Monthly Traffic and Transport Digest, the ratio of LRT/ MTR passenger is about 10% from 2014 to 2018. Although the above split is estimated based on 2014 patronage and population data, it is anticipated that the trend would remain similar up to 2018. Nonetheless, it is considered that any discrepancies would be covered by the more conservative assumption of 50% split to LRT.

5.7.5 From **Table 5.32**, about 85, 30 and 40 Long Bin passengers would take LRT to Tuen Mun, Hung Shui Kiu and Tin Shui Wai respectively to the west. About 35 passengers would take LRT to Yuen Long to the east. The average number of passenger per single-set-equivalent LRV to each destination is presented in **Table 5.33**. To sum up, the average number of passenger per single-set-equivalent LRV by LRT route is shown in **Table 5.34**.

Table 5.33Average Number of Passenger per Single-Set-Equivalent LRV by
Destination

Destination	Morning Peak Hour Passengers	LRT Route	Number of single-set- equivalent LRV	Average number of passenger per single-set- equivalent LRV
Tuen Mun	85	610, 614, 615	29	2.8
Hung Shui Kiu	30	610, 614, 615, 761P	55	0.5
Tin Shui Wai	40	761P	26	1.5
Yuen Long	35	610, 614, 615, 761P	55	0.6

Table 5.34	Average Number of Passenger per Single-Set-Equivalent LRV by
	LRT Route

Route	Towards Tuen Mun, Tin Shui Wai, Hung Shui Kiu	Towards Yuen Long
610	3.3	0.6
614	3.3	0.6
615	3.3	0.6
761P	2.0	0.6

5.7.6 From the assessment, it is anticipated that with Long Bin development in place, it would generate less than 4 additional passenges to each LRV for the routes 610, 614, 615 and 761P. Such additional patornage demand to LRT service is considered insignificant.

6 PERMANENT PROVISION ON TRANSPORT/TRAFFIC/PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

6.1 **Permanent New Road Design**

Conceptual Design of Internal Roads

- 6.1.1 Brand new roads (hereinafter refer as "Road A" and "Road B" as shown in **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0041A**) will be constructed as access roads at Phase 1 and Phase 2 development respectively. Road A links up Phase 1 to the West bound of Castle Peak Road Ping Shan. Road B links up Phase 2 to the South bound of Ma Fung Ling Road. However, more detailed design of the internal roads are subject to HD's confirmation and is out of the scope of this assignment.
- 6.1.2 Nearside traffic lane at Long Tin Road (Northbound) will be used by vehicles entering/leaving the car park of the proposed PTI. The ingress traffic to both car park and PTI will make use of near-side lane for Long Tin Road (NB), and then the two traffic streams would be diverged just before the entrance of PTI. The speed limit of the concerned section of Long Tin Road (Northbound) will be reduced to 50 km/h. Details of the speed reduction would be discussed in **Section 6.3**.
- 6.1.3 Internal roads within Phase 1 development are open to resident use (with parking spaces provided), while the planned internal roads within the Phase 2 housing site are, in principle, Emergency Vehicular Accesses (EVAs) that do not permit normal access of private vehicles/public transport. Major ingress and egress are confined to the access road servicing the car-park and PTI in the Phase 2 housing site. Therefore, junction capacity assessments are not required to the ingress/egress at Road B.

Interface with Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange

6.1.4 New slip road linking the existing Ma Fung Ling Road with Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange had been proposed in this project. However, notice that there will be improvement to Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange⁵ to cater for all traffic movements to/from Yuen Long Highway. Therefore, an ultimate scheme (**Drawing No, 261044/F/03/0041B** refers) is established to compromise the new slip road and improvement works at Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange.

6.2 **Pedestrian Connectivity**

- 6.2.1 As discussed in **Section 5.4**, three footbridges serving the proposed housing site, namely, **Footbridge A**, **Footbridge B & Footbridge C**, had been planned to enhance its pedestrian connectivity with existing facilities.
- 6.2.2 Both sets of footbridges will require a clear width of 3 meters to cater for the estimated pedestrian demand arising from the proposed development.
- 6.2.3 **Footbridge A** will mainly serve as pedestrian corridor to West Rail Long Ping Station, as well as other existing bus stops, while **Footbridge B** (& Footbridge C) will mainly serve walk trips from/to recreational facilities (such as Yuen Long

⁵ The layout of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange improvement works under Yuen Long South Study is provided by CEDD on 26 April 2018.

Park) and community facilities (such as primary and secondary schools). Both sets of footbridges are considered necessary to cater for the Phase 1 development and therefore would be recommended to be constructed with the Phase 1 development.

Cycling Connectivity

6.2.4 Cycle track network surrounding the housing site (Mainly alongside Long Tin Road Northbound) had been proposed and shown in **Drawing No.** 261044/F/03/0041A.

6.3 **Public Transport Facilities Provision**

Scenario with Phase 1 only

- 6.3.1 The proposed housing site is served by bus stops located alongside Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan. The existing bus stop for Westbound direction is located in very close proximity to the proposed housing site (within 100m walking distance as measured from the entrance of Phase 1 development) while for Eastbound direction is located relatively far away (about 400-600 m away, as measured from the entrance of Phase 1 development).
- 6.3.2 For the proposed bus routes (Route A and B) in Phase 1 development, boarding/alighting of passengers near Long Bin Development will be done at the existing bus lay-by on Castle Peak Road Ping Shan (Westbound). To accommodate the additional demand for road-based transport induced Phase 1 housing development, it is proposed to extend the existing bus lay-by to 39m, which is expected to serve boarding/alighting activities of 3 buses simultaneously.
- 6.3.3 Referring to **Section 5.5**, critical public transport demand induced by Phase 1 housing development for road-based transport is 1,019 ped/hr, assuming an average headway of 12 mins, the peak demand of passenger queuing would be 204 ped/12 mins. Referring to TPDM Volume 4 Ch. 3.2.6.2, minimum average pedestrian occupancy area is 0.2 m^2 /person, the area required for pedestrian queuing is 41m^2 . As the allowable queuing area is 39m (length of bus stops) x $2\text{m} = 78\text{m}^2$, it is anticipated that there is enough space for pedestrian queuing.
- 6.3.4 Internal roads within Phase 1 are open to the use of residents with allocated car parks, but not for public use. Public transport pick up/drop off activities would be done at the entrance of the Phase 1 housing site via extended layby with total length of 39m. With the extension of bus layby, it can accommodate 2 more buses simultaneously to cater the 2 additional bus routes for phase 1 development. The 39m lay-by would be sufficient to serve multiple purposes.

Scenario with both Phase 1 and Phase 2

- 6.3.5 A PTI will be provided in the Phase 2 development. It is located at the East of the subject site, as shown in the general road layout. The detailed layout of the PTI could be referred in **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0043.**
- 6.3.6 A set of bus bays and a set of taxi bays, will be provided according to **Table 6.1**. As of the recommended transport provision in this report, GMB provision is *not* recommended at this stage (See Section 5.5 for details). Should they are considered necessary, the bus bay at the outer side could also be utilized as pick up/drop off facilities of GMB or other transport modes. Both bus bays are able to allow smooth maneuvering of the critical 12.8m bus during the pick up/drop off process as revealed by a swept path analysis. The swept path analysis for PTI are shown in

Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0047 to **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0051**. The length of each single-width bay is able to accommodate 3 buses and each double width bay is able to accommodate 6 buses for night time parking propose in **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0054**.

Location	Facility Type	Provision		
	Pus Poys (Single Lone)	26 x 3 =78m		
	Bus Bays (Single Lane)	(double bay)		
PTI in Phase 2	Pue Pove with Pypage Lana	26 x 2 =52m		
development	Bus Bays with Bypass Lane	(double bay)		
	Taxi Bays (N.T. and Urban)	60m		

 Table 6.1
 Public Transport Facilities Provision at Phase 2 Housing Site

6.3.7 The design of PTI at this stage is based on the criterion to accommodate all public transport services recommended in this Study (i.e. those discussed in **Section 5.5**). The actual sizing/scale of PTI is however subject to further review of TD, HD, and Transport Operators.

Interface between the proposed PTI and car park with Long Tin Road (Northbound): Speed Limit Reduction

- 6.3.8 The proposed PTI under Long Bin Housing Development would be located alongside Long Tin Road. It would be completed with Phase 2 development (between 2029 and 2031 in phases). Vehicular ingress/egress along Long Tin Road and the weaving movement from the proposed PTI to the U-turn lane at the North of Long Tin Road near Castle Peak Road (Ping Shan Section) will raise a safety concern if current speed limit of 70 km/h at Long Tin Road northbound is to be kept.
- 6.3.9 It is also anticipated that the traffic flow at Long Tin Road will increase in future years. As stipulated in the TTIA report, traffic flow at Long Tin Road will increase from 2,750 pcu/h to 3,300 pcu/h in AM Peak and 2,900 pcu/h to 3,300 pcu/h in PM Peak.
- 6.3.10 To enhance vehicular safety, it is proposed to reduce the speed limit of the concern section of Long Tin Road northbound to 50km/h as shown in the **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0042**. The affected section of Long Tin Road northbound, from Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange to the U-turn to Long Tin Road southbound, is around 400 meters long. The implementation of speed limit reduction will tally with the completion of Phase 2 development and PTI (i.e. between 2029 and 2031 in phases).
- 6.3.11 The PTI provision will not affect the effective number of lanes of Long Tin Road northbound. With the speed reduction to be in place in a relatively short road section, it is therefore reasonable to assume there is no difference in traffic capacity in the reduced speed limit scenario. i.e. the proposed PTI will not deteriorate the V/C ratios of Long Tin Road in peak hours. Therefore, the proposed speed limit reduction is expected to induce little traffic impact in terms of traffic capacity and traffic speed.
- 6.3.12 In view of the reasons above, Speed Limit Review is carried out on 10 June 2019 (pm) and 11 June 2019 (am) to examine the condition, including road characteristics, traffic speed, accident statistics, etc. Technical Note of Review of Speed Limit on Long Tin Road Northbound between Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange and U-turn

to Long Tin Road Southbound has been submitted to TD and "support in-principle" has been received from group members in Speed Limit Review Working Group Meeting held on 26 June 2019. The speed reduction proposal accompanied with finalized road layout should be consulted with group members prior to the road comissioning around Year 2025.

Interface between the proposed PTI and car park with Long Tin Road (Northbound): Weaving Section Requirement

Under Interim Layout

- 6.3.13 Under interim layout (from Year 2029 onwards), vehicles from the new slip road would make use of the nearside traffic lane to make a left turn heading to the proposed PTI and car park, or make use of the middle lane/offside lane heading to Long Tin Road. This also applies to the vehicles from TYST Interchange. This weaving section measured from the merging point of new slip road and TYST Interchange to the proposed PTI ingress will comprise of 3 traffic lanes with a total length of around 140m. The corresponding weaving section is shown in **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0045**.
- 6.3.14 Vehicles leaving the proposed PTI and car park (routing through Long Tin Road (Northbound)) would either make use of the nearside traffic lane to make a left turn heading to Castle Peak Road (Ping Shan), or make use of the middle lane/offside lane heading to Tin Shui Wai/Yuen Long North. This also applies to the mainstream traffic on Long Tin Road (Northbound). This weaving section, measured from the proposed PTI egress to the diverging point to Long Tin Road Flyover, will comprise of 3 traffic lanes with a total length of around 110 meters long.
- 6.3.15 As for the *weaving distance* issue, the provision of 140 meters and 110 meters fulfils the absolute minimum of 100 meters specified by TPDM (Volume 2 Chapter 4.6.10) under the design speed of 50 km/h. That is, the weaving requirement will be satisfied after implementation of the above discussed speed limit reduction. Details of weaving section calculation is shown in **Appendix D**. The result revealed that the number of lanes provided fulfilled the TPDM requirements.

Under Ultimate Layout

- 6.3.16 Under ultimate layout (from Year 2034 onwards), weaving movement retains at the southern part of Long Tin Road (Northbound), measured from the merging point of new slip road and TYST Interchange to the proposed PTI ingress will comprise of 4 traffic lanes with a total length of around 110m.
- 6.3.17 As for the *weaving distance* issue, the provision of 110 meters fulfils the absolute minimum of 100 meters specified by TPDM (Volume 2 Chapter 4.6.10) under the design speed of 50 km/h. That is, the weaving requirement will be satisfied after implementation of the above discussed speed limit reduction. Details of weaving section calculation is shown in **Appendix D**. The result revealed that the number of lanes provided fulfilled the TPDM requirements.

6.4 Facilities Provision for Planned Primary School under Phase 2 Development

6.4.1 The accessibility of the planned primary school will mainly rely on Ma Fung Ling Road, Tong Yan San Tsuen Road, as well as the proposed slip roads to/from Long Tin Road. Vehicles can enter/leave the school through a normal access road provided at Ma Fung Ling Road near Jasper Court and gain direct accessibility to Long Tin Road through the new slip road.

6.4.2 For the school site, two pick-up/drop-off bays, with a total length of approximately 74m have been proposed, as shown in **Drawing No. 261044/F/03/0041A**. Reference had been made on the proposed provision for primary school of similar scales. The run-in/run-out of primary school would be located adjacent to the proposed access to the site. A minimum sight distance of 50m could be allowed, subject to the detailed building plan of the primary school.

7 SUMMARY

7.1 Summary

- 7.1.1 This report summarized the analysis, findings, and recommendations for the Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment for the proposed housing site at Long Bin.
- 7.1.2 A series of capacity analysis based on the Transport Planning and Design Manual (TPDM) were carried out at 11 key junctions and 14 road links within the AOI to evaluate the existing and future traffic condition.
- 7.1.3 A well validated Local Area Transport Model (LATM) was developed to provide quantitative input to the road capacity analysis of the major road corridors and junctions in the design year. Necessary road improvement measures have been identified to improve vehicular accessibility and mitigate the potential traffic impact due to the proposed Development.
- 7.1.4 Parking provision for Subsidized Sales Flats (Phase 1) and Public Rental Housing (Phase 2) were estimated based on the "Hong Kong Planning Standard and Guidelines (HKPSG).
- 7.1.5 Public Transport Interchange (PTI) with franchised bus bays and taxi bays were proposed to cater for the transport need. Bus routes plan had also been proposed based on the identified transport demand and travel characteristics.
- 7.1.6 The ingress/egress of the PTI will be located on Long Tin Road Northbound, to improve safety and fulfil weaving requirement stated in TPDM, it is proposed to reduce the speed limit from 70km/hr to 50km/hr for the section of Long Tin Road Northbound between Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange and the U-turn merging lane to Long Tin Road (Eastbound). The proposal has received "support in-principle" from group members in the Speed Limit Review Working Group Meeting held on 26 June 2019. The speed reduction proposal accompanied with finalized road layout should be consulted with group members prior to the road commissioning around 2025.
- 7.1.7 Three sets of pedestrian footbridges and cycle tracks surrounding the housing site are proposed to enhance the Development's connectivity to the existing pedestrian and cycle track network.
- 7.1.8 The layout of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange improvement works under Yuen Long South Study provided by CEDD on 15 Nov 2018 has been incorporated in our ultimate year (year 2034) scenario.

7.2 Conclusion

7.2.1 The proposed Long Bin Development will have manageable traffic impact on the nearby road links, junctions and transport facilities. Mitigation measures had been studied and proposed in section 6 whenever necessary. Therefore, the proposed development is considered acceptable form the traffic point of view.

Appendix A

Existing Junction Layout Under Assessment





Junction Layout of J2 (Received from TD)



	NC	DTES:				-
-04						
2 4						
樂居樓						
-111111						
	В	06/10/12	Datashee and Juncti	et Format revis ion Layout upd	ed ated	Al-
······	A	09/12/08	Commissi	oning on 10/12	2/08	MY TSE
_	NO.	Date		scription		
			KE	Name		Data
-] []]		rawn		Y.O.LAW	06	/10/12
œ	C	hecked c	on site			
	A	pproved		M.Y.TSE	09	0/12/08
	F	ED. PUS	H BUTTO	N		-
	A ,					2 8
		Detector	TAC			-
1	Tit	le Affic I	IGHT S	IGNALS AT	.J/∩	
7 _	<u></u> ₩	IN42 CAS	TLE PEAK	(ROAD / ACC	ESS	
	N	IJ14 CAS TON	TLE PEAK	ROAD / PING) HA AD	ROAD /
		D	ATA SI	HEET		Scale
	N	TATC	/ (MN	42) J431	41	1:500
翌		& (MJ	14) J4	43142 / 1		(A3)
	EM	SD NO.	MJ14(MJ	14_MN42) Y	UEN	LONG
		<u> </u>	raffic	Control	Div	vision
		÷	> }3	ᇙᇏᆂ	7	
		A		: 11 花	1 nar	tment
	1		iran		Jui	anoni





Junction Layout oF J4 (Received from TD)



Junction Layout for J5 (Priority)

(1:1000 in A4)









		LOOP DETECTOR AND FEEDER CABLE EMSD DRAWPIT EMSD/ATC DUCTING SIGNAL CONTROLLER INTERFACE BOX			
L L					
	0 20/08/08 No. Date	Commissioning on 20/08/08 Description	MY TSE Initial		
	I	REVISION			
·	Vehicle Detector	VA TAC	6		
	LOCATIO	NC NC	•		
	LC Shui p	ONG PING ROAD / PIN WAI INTERCHA	NGE		
Y X7	DA	TA SHEET 3	Scale		
	ΝΤΑ	TC / J55121	1:500		
$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$	EMSD NO. NT584(TSW29) YUEN LONG				
	office Tr	affic Control Div	vision		
	İ	_ _ Transport Depar	tment		



Junction Layout of J9 (Priority)

(1:1000 in A4)



Junction Layout of J10 (Priority)





Appendix B

Flow Diagram


























Appendix C

Junction Performance Calculation



un-21	FILENAME :			
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.421		
	L =	18	sec	
	=	2247	pcu	
	=	55.2	sec	
	=	31.1	sec	
	=	0.765		
	=	81.8	%	
	=	33.8	sec	
	=	0.850		
0%	=	81.8	% (Optimized)	

Required (s)		Green	Check		
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	15	4	7	OK
4	9	80	4	9	ОК
4	6	56	4	6	ОК
4	5	17	4	5	ОК
6	7	54	6	7	ОК
4	7	56	4	7	ОК

		a	~	Degree of	Quantag
		g	g	Degree of	Queuing
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	18				
		31	67	0.225	22
		67	67	0.495	44
		28	28	0.495	37
336		28	81	0.170	16
		81	81	0.495	36
		36	81	0.218	20
085		21	21	0.495	26
		16	21	0.377	22



un-21	FILENAME :			
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.378		
	L =	18	sec	
	=	2119	pcu	
	=	51.5	sec	
	=	29.0	sec	
	=	0.765		
	=	102.2	%	
	=	31.1	sec	
	=	0.850		
0%	=	102.2	% (Ontimized)	

Required (s)		Green	Check		
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	14	4	7	OK
4	9	81	4	9	ОК
4	6	58	4	6	ОК
4	5	16	4	5	ОК
6	7	56	6	7	ОК
4	7	58	4	7	ОК

		a	a	Degree of	Queuina
		9	9	0 1	duounig
eater	L	(requirea)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	18				
		33	72	0.203	19
		72	72	0.445	39
		27	27	0.445	33
304		27	82	0.147	13
		82	82	0.445	32
		44	82	0.236	21
074		20	20	0.445	22
		20	20	0.444	25



un-21	FILENAME :			
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.425		
	L =	18	sec	
	=	3596	рси	
	=	55.6	sec	
	=	31.3	sec	
	=	0.765		
	=	80.1	%	
	=	34.1	sec	
	=	0.850		
0%	=	80.1	% (Optimized)	

Required (s)		Green	Check		
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	14	4	7	OK
4	9	81	4	9	ОК
4	6	31	4	6	OK
4	5	16	4	5	OK
6	7	29	6	7	OK
4	7	31	4	7	OK

		g	g	Degree of	Queuing
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
	18				
		42	93	0.227	16
		93	93	0.500	32
		54	54	0.500	52
340		54	82	0.328	30
		82	82	0.500	36
		76	82	0.468	42
085		20	20	0.499	26
		20	20	0.500	28



un-21	FILENAME :			
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.409		
	L =	18	sec	
	=	3219	pcu	
	=	54.1	sec	
	=	30.5	sec	
	=	0.765		
	=	87.1	%	
	=	33.0	sec	
	=	0.850		
0%	=	87.1	% (Optimized)	

Required (s)		Green	Check		
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	19	4	7	OK
4	9	76	4	9	ОК
4	6	36	4	6	ОК
4	5	21	4	5	ОК
6	7	34	6	7	ОК
4	7	36	4	7	ОК

		a	a	Degree of	Queuina
		9	9	Dogroo or	Quoding
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	18				
		51	112	0.219	5
		112	112	0.481	11
		44	44	0.481	47
311		44	77	0.273	26
		77	77	0.481	37
		77	77	0.481	46
098		24	25	0.479	28
		25	25	0.481	31



un-21	FILENAME :			
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.427		
	L =	18	sec	
	=	3736	pcu	
	=	55.9	sec	
	=	31.4	sec	
	=	0.765		
	=	79.1	%	
	=	34.3	sec	
	=	0.850		
0%	=	70 1	% (Ontimized)	

Requ	ired (s)	Green Time Provided (s)			Check
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	15	4	7	OK
4	9	80	4	9	ОК
4	6	28	4	6	OK
4	5	17	4	5	OK
6	7	26	6	7	OK
4	7	28	4	7	ОК

		g	g	Degree of	Queuing
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
	18				
		42	92	0.229	16
		92	92	0.503	32
		56	56	0.503	53
340		56	81	0.345	32
		81	81	0.503	36
		81	81	0.500	45
087		21	21	0.503	26
		21	21	0.501	29



un-21	FILENAME :			
				_
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.421		
	L =	18	sec	
	=	3325	pcu	
	=	55.2	sec	
	=	31.1	sec	
	=	0.765		
	=	81.9	%	
	=	33.8	sec	
	=	0.850		
0%	=	81.9	% (Optimized)	

Requ	iired (s)	Green Time Provided (s)			Check
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	18	4	7	OK
4	9	77	4	9	ОК
4	6	36	4	6	ОК
4	5	20	4	5	ОК
6	7	34	6	7	ОК
4	7	36	4	7	ОК

		a	a	Degree of	Queuing
		9	9	Degree or	Queding
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	18				
		50	109	0.225	7
		109	109	0.495	15
		44	44	0.495	49
320		44	78	0.283	27
		78	78	0.495	38
		78	78	0.495	47
100		24	24	0.495	29
		24	24	0.494	32



un-21	FILENAME :			
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.435		
	L =	18	sec	
	=	3980	pcu	
	=	56.6	sec	
	=	31.9	sec	
	=	0.765		
	=	75.9	%	
	=	34.8	sec	
	=	0.850		
0%	-	75.9	% (Ontimized)	

Requ	iired (s)	Green Time Provided (s)			Check
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	14	4	7	OK
4	9	81	4	9	OK
4	6	25	4	6	ОК
4	5	16	4	5	OK
6	7	23	6	7	OK
4	7	25	4	7	OK
					1

		a	a	Dearee of	Queuina
aater	1	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
alei	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Lengui
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	18				
		43	94	0.233	16
		94	94	0.512	31
		61	61	0.512	54
351		61	82	0.377	34
		82	82	0.512	37
		82	82	0.511	46
084		20	20	0.510	25
		20	20	0.512	28



un-21	FILENAME :			
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.428		
	L =	18	sec	
	=	3617	рси	
	=	56.0	sec	
	=	31.5	sec	
	=	0.765		
	=	78.6	%	
	=	34.3	sec	
	=	0.850		
0%	=	78.6	% (Optimized)	

Requ	ired (s)	Green Time Provided (s)			Check
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	16	4	7	OK
4	9	79	4	9	ОК
4	6	31	4	6	OK
4	5	18	4	5	OK
6	7	29	6	7	OK
4	7	31	4	7	OK

		g	g	Degree of	Queuing
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	18				
		49	107	0.229	9
		107	107	0.504	17
		51	51	0.504	52
334		51	80	0.325	31
		80	80	0.504	38
		80	80	0.504	47
094		22	22	0.503	28
		22	22	0.504	31



un-21	FILENAME :			
				_
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.518		
	L =	18	sec	
	=	4345	рси	
	=	66.4	sec	
	=	37.3	sec	
	=	0.765		
	=	47.7	%	
	=	42.4	sec	
	=	0.850		
0%	=	47.7	% (Optimized)	

Required (s)		Green	Check		
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	13	4	7	OK
4	9	82	4	9	ОК
4	6	33	4	6	OK
4	5	15	4	5	OK
6	7	31	6	7	ОК
4	7	33	4	7	OK

				D (<u> </u>
		g	g	Degree of	Queuing
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	18				
		32	70	0.277	27
		70	70	0.609	53
		54	54	0.609	63
124		54	83	0.394	35
		83	83	0.609	43
		75	83	0.546	48
094		19	19	0.609	29
		19	19	0.609	32



un-21	FILENAME :			
				_
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.476		
	L =	18	sec	
	=	3823	pcu	
	=	61.0	sec	
	=	34.3	sec	
	=	0.765		
	=	60.8	%	
	=	38.2	sec	
	=	0.850		
0%	=	60.8	% (Optimized)	

Required (s)		Green	Check		
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	15	4	7	OK
4	9	80	4	9	OK
4	6	37	4	6	OK
4	5	17	4	5	OK
6	7	35	6	7	OK
4	7	37	4	7	ОК

		a	a	Degree of	Queuing
		g	g	Degree of	Queung
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	18				
		40	89	0.255	20
		89	89	0.560	39
		47	47	0.560	56
377		47	81	0.323	30
		81	81	0.560	41
		81	81	0.560	51
098		21	21	0.560	29
		21	21	0.558	32



un-21	FILENAME :			
				-
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.458		
	L =	21	sec	
	=	4057	pcu	
	=	67.3	sec	
	=	38.7	sec	
	=	0.743		
	=	62.2	%	
	=	42.7	sec	
	=	0.825		
0%	=	62.2	% (Optimized)	

Required (s)		Green	Check		
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	11	4	7	OK
4	9	84	4	9	OK
4	6	32	4	6	OK
4	5	13	4	5	OK
6	7	30	6	7	OK
4	7	32	4	7	OK

		-	-	Desires of	Outerring
		g	g	Degree or	Queuing
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	18				
		45	99	0.253	15
		99	99	0.555	29
		56	56	0.555	58
379	3	56	85	0.366	32
		82	85	0.535	37
		82	85	0.535	46
079		17	17	0.555	25
		17	17	0.552	27



un-21	FILENAME :			
				_
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.462		
	L =	21	sec	
	=	3966	pcu	
	=	67.8	sec	
	=	39.0	sec	
	=	0.743		
	=	60.8	%	
	=	43.1	sec	
	=	0.825		
0%	=	60.8	% (Optimized)	

Required (s)		Green	Check		
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	14	4	7	OK
4	9	81	4	9	OK
4	6	34	4	6	OK
4	5	16	4	5	OK
6	7	32	6	7	OK
4	7	34	4	7	OK

		g	g	Degree of	Queuing
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
	18				
		47	104	0.255	12
		104	104	0.560	24
		52	52	0.560	58
371	3	52	82	0.352	32
		79	82	0.539	40
		79	82	0.539	48
091		20	20	0.559	28
		20	20	0.560	31



un-21	FILENAME :			
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.510		
	L =	21	sec	
	=	4285	рси	
	=	74.5	sec	
	=	42.9	sec	
	=	0.743		
	=	45.5	%	
	=	48.5	sec	
	=	0.825		
0%	=	45.5	% (Optimized)	

Required (s)		Green	Check		
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	13	4	7	OK
4	9	82	4	9	OK
4	6	36	4	6	OK
4	5	15	4	5	OK
6	7	34	6	7	OK
4	7	36	4	7	ОК

		-	-	Desires of	Outerring
		g	g	Degree or	Queuing
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	18				
		37	82	0.282	24
		82	82	0.619	48
		51	51	0.619	64
414	3	51	83	0.376	34
		80	83	0.596	42
		80	83	0.596	52
096		19	19	0.619	29
		18	19	0.582	31



un-21	FILENAME :			
	N =	3		
	N =	2		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.517		
	L =	21	sec	
	=	4254	рси	
	=	75.5	sec	
	=	43.5	sec	
	=	0.743		
	=	43.7	%	
	=	49.3	sec	
	=	0.825		
0%	-	43.7	% (Optimized)	

Required (s)		Green	Check		
elay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
4	7	14	4	7	ОК
4	9	81	4	9	OK
4	6	37	4	6	OK
4	5	16	4	5	OK
6	7	35	6	7	ОК
4	7	37	4	7	ОК

		g	g	Degree of	Queuing
eater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
	18				
		37	81	0.285	25
		81	81	0.626	49
		48	48	0.626	63
413	3	48	82	0.367	33
		79	82	0.603	44
		79	82	0.604	54
103		17	20	0.554	28
		20	20	0.626	35



245467				
18-Jan-22	FILENAME:			
	N =	5		
lation	N =	3		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.386		
	L =	20	sec	
	=	2113.3	рси	
-Y)	=	57.0	sec	
	=	32.6	sec	
	=	0.750		
00%	=	94.3	%	
)	=	35.0	sec	
	=	0.833		
()/Y*100%	=	94.3	%	

e Required	l (s)	Green 1	Check		
Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
5	5	31	5	5	OK
6	10	14	6	10	OK

		g	g	Degree of	Queuing
Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	20				
		4	4	0.463	5
		20	20	0.463	27
		23	23	0.463	28
		56	56	0.463	43
0.217		56	56	0.463	49
0.043		11	11	0.463	17
		25	25	0.463	28
		6	33	0.085	6
0.126		33	33	0.463	36



245467				
18-Jan-22	FILENAME:			
	N =	5		
ulation	N =	3		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.429		
	L =	20	sec	
	=	2329.05	pcu	
1-Y)	=	61.3	sec	
	=	35.0	sec	
	=	0.750		
100%	=	74.9	%	
Y)	=	38.2	sec	
	=	0.833		
·Y)/Y*100%	=	74.9	%	

ne Required	l (s)	Green T	Check		
Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
5	5	27	5	5	OK
6	10	10	6	10	OK

		g	g	Degree of	Queuing
Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	20				
		5	5	0.515	7
		17	17	0.515	27
		31	31	0.515	38
		61	61	0.514	48
0.260		61	61	0.515	54
0.031		7	7	0.515	12
		20	20	0.515	26
		4	32	0.065	5
0.138		32	32	0.515	40

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CAL	CULATION		
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long		PROJECT NO: 245467		
J2 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Year 2	025 Reference Traffic Flows (AM Peak)		DATE : 18-Jan-22	FILENAME:
A2 6 A1 124 A2 7 A2 7 D1 D	B1 B2 B3 -1408 104 11 224 536104 11 224 $Castle Peak Road - P142$ 112 296 C1 142 945 C2 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142	N 1632 → 1028 ← g Shan	No. of stages per cycle No. of stage using for calculation Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co = $(1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)$ Cm = $L/(1-Y)$ Yult R.C.ult = $(Yult-Y)/Y*100\%$ Cp = $0.9*L/(0.9-Y)$ Ymax = $1-L/C$ R.C.(C) = $(0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100\%$	N = 4 $N = 4$ $C = 120 sec$ $Y = 0.463$ $L = 23 sec$ $= 3478.3 pcu$ $= 73.6 sec$ $= 42.8 sec$ $= 0.728$ $= 57.1 %$ $= 47.4 sec$ $= 0.808$ $= 57.1 %$
(B3)	(B1) (B2) (B3)	Pedestrian	Width Green Time Required (s)	Green Time Provided (s) Check
		(1) J	4.5 7 5 8	39 5 8 OK
		(1) B(LRT)	N/A 10 5 10	37 5 10 OK
$(C2) \qquad (C2) \qquad $	(C3)	1,2 N	5.5 5 5 5	76 5 5 OK
Stage 1 Int = 10 Stage 2 Int = 5 Stage 3 Int	= 5 Stage 4 Int = 7 Stage 5 Int =	(2) M	12 5 5 10	20 5 10 OK
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius O N	Straight- m Total Proporti	n Sat. Uphill Short lane	Revised	g g Degree of Queuing
ment Width lane	Ahead Left Straight Right FLow of Turni	g Flow Gradient Effect	Sat. Flow y Greater L	(required) (input) Saturation Length
			23	
A1 1 3.00 D 3 C3 14 350 L 1 14	6165 1252 1252 1965 323 323	0.00 6165	6165 0.203 0.203 1775 0.182	43 43 0.573 54 38 60 0.364 32
C_{2} $C_{1,4}$ $C_{2,50}$ $C_{2,12}$ $C_{2,50}$ $C_{2,12}$ $C_{2,50}$ $C_{2,12}$ $C_{2,50}$ $C_{2,12}$ $C_$	4210 945 945	0.00 4210	4210 0.224	47 82 0.327 30
C1 2 3.50 G 1 30	2105 296 296	1.00 2005	2005 0.148 0.148	31 31 0.573 44
B3 2,3 3.70 H 1 16 N	1985 224 224	1.00 1815	1815 0.123	26 47 0.315 27
B1,B2 3 3.40 I 1 30	2095 11 104 116	0.90 2005	2005 0.058 0.058	12 12 0.573 21
D1 4 3.50 K 1 25 N	1965 42 42	1.00 1854	1854 0.023 0.055	5 11 0.236 8
D2,D3 4 3.30 K 1 33	2085 77 35 112	0.31 2056	2056 0.055	11 11 0.573 20 11 14 0.574 10
A2 3 3.50 E 1 18 N	1965 61 61	1.00 1814	1814 0.034	7 12 0.335 11

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS TRAFFIC SIGNAL	CALCULATION
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long	PROJECT NO: 245467
J2 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Year 2025 Reference Traffic Flows (PM Peak)	DATE : 18-Jan-22 FILENAME:
$A2 \qquad 23 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad A2 \qquad 23 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad A1 \qquad 1145 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad Castle Peak Ro \\ f \qquad f \qquad f \qquad f \qquad f \qquad g57 \qquad C2 \qquad & f \qquad g57 \qquad G3 \qquad & f \qquad g57 \qquad & f \qquad$	No. of stages per cycle $N = 4$ No. of stage using for calculation $N = 4$ No. of stage using for calculation $N = 4$ Cycle time $C = 120 \text{ sec}$ Sum(y) $Y = 0.474$ Loss time $L = 23 \text{ sec}$ Total Flow $= 3449.68 \text{ pcu}$ Co $= (1.5^{\circ}L+5)/(1-Y)$ $= 75.1 \text{ sec}$ Cm $= L/(1-Y)$ $= 43.8 \text{ sec}$ Yult $= 0.728$ R.C.ult $= (Yult-Y)Y^*100\%$ $= 53.4 \%$ Cp $= 0.9^{\circ}L/(0.9-Y)$ $= 48.6 \text{ sec}$ Ymax $= 1-L/C$ $= 0.808$ R.C.(C) $= (0.9^{\circ}Ymax-Y)/Y^*100\%$ $= 53.4 \%$
$B \longleftrightarrow (B3) (B1) (B2) (B3) (B1) (B2) (B3) (B1) (B2) (B3) (B1) (B2) (B3) (B1) (B1) (B2) (B3) (B1) (B1) (B2) (B1) (B1) (B1) (B1) (B1) (B1) (B1) (B1$	
$A1 \longrightarrow (C2) \longrightarrow (C3) \longrightarrow (C3) \longrightarrow (C3) \longrightarrow (C3) \longrightarrow (C3) \longrightarrow (D1) (D2) (D3)$	1,2 N 5.5 5 5 5 79 5 5 OK (2) M 12 5 5 10 27 5 10 OK
Stage 1Int =10Stage 2Int =5Stage 3Int =5Stage 4Int =7Stage 5Int =	
Move- ment Stage Lane Phase No. of lane Radius O N Straight- Ahead Eft Straight Right FLow O FLow ment m. <	oportionSat.UphillShort laneRevisedyGreaterLggDegree ofQueuingTurningFlowGradientEffectSat. FlowyGreaterL(required)(input)SaturationLengthrehiclespcu/h%pcu/hpcu/hImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: Saturationrehiclespcu/h%pcu/hImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: Saturationrehiclespcu/hImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: Saturationrehiclespcu/hImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: Saturationrehiclespcu/hpcu/himage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: Saturationrehiclespcu/hpcu/himage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: Saturationrehiclespcu/hpcu/himage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: Saturationrehiclespcu/hpcu/himage: SaturationImage: SaturationImage: Saturationrehiclespcu/hpcu/himage: Saturationimage: SaturationImage: Saturationrehiclespcu/hpcu/himage: Saturationimage: Saturationimage: Saturation <t< td=""></t<>
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0.00 6165 6165 0.186 0.186 38 38 0.587 52 1.00 1775 1775 0.177 36 56 0.376 33 0.00 4210 4210 0.227 46 85 0.322 28 1.00 2005 2005 0.184 0.184 38 38 0.587 51 1.00 1815 1815 0.142 29 51 0.338 30 0.90 2005 2005 0.044 0.044 9 9 0.587 16 1.00 1854 1854 0.028 0.061 6 12 0.265 9 0.12 2074 2074 0.061 12 12 0.587 23 1.00 1975 1975 0.061 3 9 0.167 4 1.00 1814 0.12 4 3 9 0.167 4

OVE AF	RUP &	PARTN	ERS								TRAF	IC SIG	NAL CALCULA	TION										
Site Formation	e Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long															PROJECT N	0:	245467						
J2 Castle Peal	k Road - Pi	ng Shan/ Tong	g Yan San Tsu	ien			Year 2025 D	esign Traffic F	lows (AM Pe	eak)							DATE :		18-Jan-22		FILENAME:			
						A2 A1 41 D1	61 1292 177 D2		B1 104 Tong Yan Sa	B2 11 ↓ 301 960 352	B3 227 C1 C2 C3	Castle	-1405 1632 585 1028 Peak Road - Ping Shan	→ ←	N		No. of stages No. of stage Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax	s per cycle using for calc = (1.5*L+5)/(= L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/Y* = 0.9*L/(0.9- = 1-L/C	(1-Y) 100% Y)		N = N = N = C = Y = L = = = = = =	2 12(0.472 23 3569.5512 74.8 43.6 0.728 54.1 48.4 0.808) sec sec 2 pcu sec sec % sec	
																	R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Ymax	-Y)/Y*100%		=	54.1	%	
					(B3)		(B1)	(B2) (B3)								Pedestrian	Width	Green Tir	me Required (s)	Green	Time Provide	ed (s)	Check
в ++►	· •	⊢+ в			└▶		◀┘	★ └►							(1)	J	4.5	7	5	8	39	5	8	ОК
		4 (22)			•	(1)	_								(1)	B(LRT)	N/A	10	5	10	37	5	10	ОК
(A1)		(C2)			(C2)						(C3)				1,2	N	5.5	5	5	5	77	5	5	ОК
Stare 1	Int =	10	Marca 2		5	Stare 3	N Int =	5	(D1) (D	2) (D3)	7	Stare 5	lot =		(2)	м	12	5	5	10	20	5	10	OK
Otage 1	nii –		Otage 2		•	Oldge 0	int –		Olage 4	111		Olage 0		J		I	1							
Move-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	N	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	FLow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		У	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	4	2.00	5	2				0405		4000		1000	0.00	0105			0405	0.040	0.040	23	40	40	0.594	55
C3	ı 1.4	3.50 3.50	L	3 1	14		N	1965	352	1292		352	1.00	1775			1775	0.210	0.210		43 41	43 60	0.395	35
C2	1,2	3.50	F	2				4210		960		960	0.00	4210			4210	0.228			47	83	0.330	30
C1	2	3.50	G	1	30			2105			301	301	1.00	2005			2005	0.150	0.150		31	31	0.584	45
В3	2,3	3.70	н	1	16		N	1985	227			227	1.00	1815			1815	0.125			26	47	0.321	28
B1,B2	3	3.40	I	1	30			2095		11	104	116	0.90	2005			2005	0.058	0.058		12	12	0.584	21
D1	4 1	3.50	K K	1	25 33		N	1965 2085	41	77	25	41	1.00	1854 2056			1854 2056	0.022	0.055		5	11 11	0.235	7
D2,D3	4	3.30	K	1	27			2085			107	107	1.00	1975			1975	0.055			11	11	0.582	19
A2	3	3.50	E	1	18		N	1965	61			61	1.00	1814			1814	0.034			7	12	0.341	11
L L		I	·					. 1															<u>. </u>	

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALC	JLATION		
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long			PROJECT NO: 245467	
J2 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen	Year 2025 Design Traffic Flows (PM Peak)		DATE : 18-Jan-22	FILENAME:
A2 A1 51 D1	B1 B2 B3 -1370 $79 9 262 640$ 23	$\begin{array}{c} N \\ 1632 \rightarrow \\ 1028 \leftarrow \end{array}$	No. of stages per cycle No. of stage using for calculation Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co = $(1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)$ Cm = $L/(1-Y)$ Yult R.C.ult = $(Yult-Y)/Y*100\%$ Cp = $0.9*L/(0.9-Y)$ Ymax = $1-L/C$ R.C.(C) = $(0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100\%$	$N = 4$ $N = 4$ $C = 120 \sec$ $Y = 0.479$ $L = 23 \sec$ $= 3505.9303 pcu$ $= 75.9 \sec$ $= 44.2 \sec$ $= 0.728$ $= 51.7 \%$ $= 49.2 \sec$ $= 0.808$ $= 51.7 \%$
$B + + + B \qquad (B3) \qquad (1)$	(B1) (B2) (B3) (B1) (B2) (B3) (C3)	(1) Fedestrian Phase (1) J (1) B(LRT) 1,2 N (2) M	Width Green Time Required (s) (m) SG Delay FG 4.5 7 5 8 N/A 10 5 10 5.5 5 5 5	Green Time Provided (s) Check SG Delay FG 34 5 8 OK 32 5 10 OK 79 5 5 OK 26 5 10 OK
Stage 1 Int = 10 Stage 2 Int = 5 Stage 3	N Int = 5 Stage 4 Int = 7 Stage 5 Int =			
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius O ment Width Iane m m	N Straight- m Total Proportion Ahead Left Straight Right FLow of Turning Sat Flow pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles	Sat. Uphill Short lane Flow Gradient Effect	Revised Sat. Flow y Greater L	g g Degree of Queuing (required) (input) Saturation Length sec sec X m
			23	
A1 1 3.00 D 3 C3 1,4 3.50 L 1 14 C2 1,2 3.50 F 2	6165 1169 1169 00 N 1965 328 328 1 4210 969 969 969 0 2105 371 371 1 1 N 1985 262 262 1 2095 9 79 88 0 N 1965 51 1 1 2085 111 15 126 0 2085 120 120 1 1	00 6165 00 1775 00 4210 00 2005 00 1815 00 2005 00 1854 2 2074 00 1975	6165 0.190 0.190 1775 0.185	38 38 0.593 53 37 57 0.391 35 47 85 0.325 28 37 37 0.593 51 29 50 0.344 30 9 9 0.593 16 6 12 0.268 9 12 12 0.593 23 12 12 0.591 22
A2 3 3.50 E 1 18	N 1965 23 23 1	0 1814	1814 0.012	3 9 0.168 4

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION	
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long	PROJECT NO: 245467
J2 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Year 2029 Reference Traffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE : 18-Jan-22 FILENAME:
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No. of stages per cycleN =4No. of stage using for calculationN =4Cycle timeC =120 secSum(y)Y =0.484Loss timeL =23 secTotal Flow=3729.33 pcuCo= $(1.5^*L+5)/(1-Y)$ =76.5 secCm= L/(1-Y)=44.5 secYult=0.728R.C.ult= (Yult-Y)/Y*100%=50.4 %Cp= $0.9^*L/(0.9-Y)$ =49.7 secYmax= $1-L/C$ =0.808R.C.(C)= $(0.9^*Ymax-Y)/Y*100\%$ =50.4 %
$B + + + B \qquad (B3) \qquad (B1) (B2) (B3) \qquad (1) $	Pedestrian Width Green Time Required (s) Green Time Provided (s) Check Phase (m) SG Delay FG SG Delay FG J 4.5 7 5 8 43 5 8 OK B(LRT) N/A 10 5 10 41 5 10 OK
$A1) \longrightarrow (C2) (C3) (C3) (C3) (C3) (C3) (C3) (C3) (C3$	N 5.5 5 5 5 79 5 5 OK M 12 5 5 10 18 5 10 OK
Stage 1 Int = 10 Stage 2 Int = 5 Stage 3 Int = 5 Stage 4 Int = 7 Stage 5 Int =	
Move- ment Stage Lane Phase No. of lane Radius O N Straight- Ahead Left Straight Right Proportion Sat. Uphill Straight ment Width m. Image: Stage m. m. Image: Stage Straight Right Right FLow of Turning Flow Gradient Straight Straight pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	Short lane EffectRevisedyGreaterLggDegree of (input)Queuing Lengthpcu/hpcu/hyGreaterLsecsecsecXm.23Image: Sec in the sec interval of the sec
A1 1 3.00 D 3	61650.2330.23347470.5985817750.16934630.3212842100.24649850.3473020050.1470.14729290.5984418150.12325440.3362820040.0520.05210100.5981918540.0220.0524100.254820530.05210100.5981918140.04810100.59816

OVE AF	RUP &	PARTN	ERS								TRAF	IC SIG	NAL CALCULA	TION										
Site Format	Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long															PROJECT N	0:	245467						
J2 Castle Pea	ak Road - Pi	ng Shan/ Ton	g Yan San Tsi	len			Year 2029 R	eference Traf	fic Flows (PM	Peak)							DATE :		18-Jan-22		FILENAME:			
$A2 \qquad 55 \qquad \downarrow \qquad $											B1 B2 B3 -1412 $1632 \rightarrow$ 72 8 220 688 $1028 \leftarrow$ Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan 356 C1 1057 C2 303 C3 ong Yan San Tsuen Road								(1-Y) 100% Y) -Y)/Y*100%		N = N = C = Y = L = = = = = = =	4 4 120 0.497 23 3722.23 78.5 45.7 0.728 46.4 51.4 0.808 46.4	sec pcu sec sec % sec	
в +++	4]-				(B3)		(B1)	(B2) (B3)								Pedestrian Phase	Width (m)	Green Tir SG	me Required (Delay	s) FG	Green 1 SG	īme Provide Delay	ed (s) FG	Check
5 1 1 5	•	+ 				(1)	▲	•							(1)	J B(I PT)	4.5	7 10	5	8 10	38 36	5	8 10	OK OK
(A1)		← (C2)			(C2)	(1)					(00)				1,2	N N	5.5	5	5	5	80	5	5	OK
Stage 1	Int =	↓ (C3) ↓ 10	▲ N Stage 2		← (C3) → 5	▲ Stage 3	N Int =	5	(D1) (D	2) (D3)	7	Stage 5	Int =		(2)	М	12	5	5	10	24	5	10	ОК
							1					<u> </u>				·	ı				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ı	
Move-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	N	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	FLow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient %	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
								Sal. Flow	pcu/II	pcu/II	pcu/II	pcu/II	Venicies	pcu/II	70	pcu/m	pcu/ii		у	23	Sec	Sec	^	
A1	1	3.00	D	3				6165		1339		1339	0.00	6165			6165	0.217	0.217		42	42	0.615	58
C3	1,4	3.50		1	14		N	1965	303	1057		303	1.00	1775			1775	0.171			33	61	0.338	30 30
C2	2	3.50	G	2	30			2105		1057	356	356	1.00	2005			2005	0.231	0.177		49 35	35	0.615	50 51
B3	2,3	3.70	H	1	16		N	1985	220			220	1.00	1815			1815	0.121			24	46	0.313	27
B1,B2	3	3.40	I	1	30			2095		8	72	79	0.90	2004			2004	0.040	0.040		8	8	0.615	15
D1	4	3.50	K	1	25		N	1965	61			61	1.00	1854			1854	0.033	0.063		6	12	0.322	11
D2,D3 רמ	4	3.30	K K	1	33			2085		107	23 123	130 123	0.18	2068			2068	0.063			12 12	12 12	0.615	23
A2	3	3.50	E	1	18		N	1965	55		123	55	1.00	1814			1814	0.030			6	8	0.470	10

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	TF	RAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION						
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long	PR	OJECT NO: 245467						
J2 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen	Year 2029 Design Traffic Flows (AM Peak)		DA	ATE : 18-Jan-22	FILE	ENAME:		
A A A 4: D	A2 86 $$ 71 2 A1 1450 $$ 71 2 71 2 75 79 D1 D2 D3 Tong Yan San Tsuen Road	B3 -1390 $1632 \rightarrow$ 242 761 $1028 \leftarrow$ Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan C1 C2 C3	No. No. Cyc Sur Los Tot Co Cm Yul R.C Cp Ym	of stages per cycle of stage using for calculation cle time m(y) ss time tal Flow = (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) = L/(1-Y) It C.ult $= (Yult-Y)/Y*100\%$ = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) hax $= 1-L/C$		N = N = N = 0.53 $L = 22$ $= 3930.62$ $= 84$ $= 49$ $= 0.72$ $= 36$ $= 56$ $= 0.80$	4 4 20 sec 33 23 sec 65 pcu .6 sec .3 sec 28 .5 % .4 sec 28	
			R.C	C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%		= 36	.5 %	
(B3)	(B1) (B2) (B3)		Pedestrian Phase	Width Green Time Required ((s) FG	Green Time Prov	ided (s) FG	Check
	← ★ └ ▶	(1)	J	4.5 7 5	8	39 5	8	ОК
	(1)	(1)	B(LRT)	N/A 10 5	10	37 5	10	ОК
$(C2) \qquad (C2) \qquad (C2) \qquad (C2) \qquad (C2) \qquad (C2) \qquad (C3) \qquad $		- (C3)	Ν	5.5 5 5	5	78 5	5	OK
Stage 1 Int - 10 Stage 2 Int - 5 Stage	(D1) (D2) (D3)	(2)	м	12 5 5	10	21 5	10	OK
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius C	O N Straight- m	Total Proportion Sat. Uph	Short lane Rev	vised		g g	Degree of C	Queuing
ment Width lane	Ahead Left Straight R	Right FLow of Turning Flow Gradi	ent Effect S	at. Flow y Greater	L (re	equired) (input)	Saturation	Length
mm	Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h p	ncu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	pcu/h pcu	ı/h y	sec	sec sec	X	m.
					23			
A1 1 3.00 D 3	6165 1450	1450 0.00 6165		6165 0.235 0.235		43 43	0.659	62
C3 1,4 3.50 L 1 14	N 1965 384	384 1.00 1775		1775 0.216		39 56	0.465	41
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4210 1050	1050 0.00 4210 354 354 1.00 2005		4210 0.249 2005 0.177 0.177		45 84 32 32	0.356	32 52
B3 2.3 3.70 H 1 16	N 1985 242	242 1.00 1815		1815 0.133		24 51	0.312	28
B1,B2 3 3.40 I 1 30	2095 71	97 169 0.58 2036		2036 0.083 0.083		15 15	0.659	30
D1 4 3.50 K 1 25	N 1965 42	42 1.00 1854		1854 0.022 0.038		4 7	0.387	8
D2,D3 4 3.30 K 1 33	2085 75	4 79 0.05 2080		2080 0.038		7 7	0.659	15
D3 4 3.30 K 1 27 A2 3 3.50 E 1 19	2085 N 1965 86	75 75 1.00 1975 86 1.00 1914		1975 0.038		7 7 0 15	0.655	14 15
				0.047		5 10	0.570	13
					L L	I	_1	

OVE AF	RUP &	PARTN	ERS								TRAF	FIC SIG	NAL CALCULA	TION										
Site Format	ion in Long	J Bin, Yuen I	Long														PROJECT N	NO:	245467					
J2 Castle Pea	ak Road - Pi	ng Shan/ Tonç	g Yan San Tsi	uen			Year 2029 D	esign Traffic F	Flows (PM Pe	eak)							DATE :		18-Jan-22		FILENAME:			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									B1 71 • • • Tong Yan Sa	B2 24 ↓ 378 1088 363	B3 226 (1 C1 C2 C3	Castle	-1406 1632 801 1028 Peak Road - Ping Shan		No. of stage No. of stage Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax R.C.(C)	es per cycle e using for calo = (1.5*L+5)/(= L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/Y* = 0.9*L/(0.9- = 1-L/C = (0.9*Ymax	culation (1-Y) 100% ·Y)		N = N = C = Y = L = = = = = = = =	12(0.517 23 3831.9798 81.9 47.7 0.728 40.6 54.1 0.808 40.6) sec sec 3 pcu sec sec % sec			
	4				(B3)		(B1)	(B2) (B3)]		Pedestrian	Width	Green Ti	me Required ((s)	Green	Time Provide	ed (s)	Check
в +++	•	++ в			└▶		▲┘	♦ ५							(1)	Phase	(m) 4.5	SG 7	Delay 5	FG 8	36	Delay 5	FG 8	ОК
					•	(1)	_								(1)	B(LRT)	N/A	10	5	10	34	5	10	ОК
(A1)		(C2)			(C2)						(C3)				1,2	N	5.5	5	5	5	78	5	5	ОК
▲ [™] N		↓ (cs)	▲ N	∢ <u>M</u>	← (C3) -►	*	N		(D1) (D	2) (D3)					(2)	м	12	5	5	10	24	5	10	ОК
Stage 1	Int =	10	Stage 2	Int =	5	Stage 3	Int =	5	Stage 4	Int =	7	Stage 5	Int =	J										
Move-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	N	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	FLow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	у	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.	ļ'	 '	Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
																				23				
A1	1	3.00	D	3	14			6165	202	1318		1318	0.00	6165			6165	0.214	0.214		40	40	0.640	59 27
C3	1,4	3.50 3.50	F	1	14		N	1965 4210	303	1088		363 1088	1.00	4210			4210	0.204			38 48	59 84	0.417	37
C1	2	3.50	G	1	30		1	2105		1000	378	378	1.00	2005			2005	0.189	0.189		35	35	0.640	53
B3	2,3	3.70	н	1	16		N	1985	226			226	1.00	1815			1815	0.125			23	48	0.310	27
B1,B2	3	3.40	I	1	30		1	2095		24	71	95	0.75	2019			2019	0.047	0.047		9	9	0.640	18
D1	4	3.50	к	1	25	'	N	1965	61			61	1.00	1854			1854	0.033	0.068		6	13	0.310	11
D2,D3	4	3.30	K	1	33		1	2085		142	0	142	0.00	2085			2085	0.068			13	13	0.640	25
D3 A2	4 3	3.30 3.50	К Е	1	27 18		N	2085 1965	58		103	103 58	1.00 1.00	1975 1814			1975 1814	0.052			10 6	13 9	0.492 0.436	18 11
		<u> </u>	1					I]				1		<u> </u>	<u>I</u>	1	1	1	1	<u> </u>	1		<u> </u>]
OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION																							
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------																					
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long		PROJECT NO: 245467																						
J2 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Year 2034 Reference	ze Traffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE : 18-Jan-22	FILENAME:																					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B1 B2 B3 -1389 $1632 \rightarrow$ 87 9 243 685 $1028 \leftarrow$ $1028 \leftarrow$ 1136 C2 291 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan 1136 C2 291 C3 Tong Yan San Tsuen Road	No. of stages per cycle No. of stage using for calculation Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co = $(1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)$ Cm = $L/(1-Y)$ Yult R.C.ult = $(Yult-Y)/Y*100\%$ Cp = $0.9*L/(0.9-Y)$ Ymax = $1-L/C$ R.C.(C) = $(0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100\%$	N = 4 $N = 4$ $C = 120 sec$ $Y = 0.512$ $L = 23 sec$ $= 3957.4 pcu$ $= 81.0 sec$ $= 47.2 sec$ $= 0.728$ $= 42.0 %$ $= 53.4 sec$ $= 0.808$ $= 42.0 %$																					
(B3) (B1) (B2) (B3)	⁾	Pedestrian Width Green Time Required (s)	Green Time Provided (s) Check																					
	▶	Phase (m) SG Delay FG	SG Delay FG																					
		J 4.5 7 5 8	42 5 8 OK																					
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	1,2	N 5.5 5 5 5	76 5 5 OK																					
	(C3) (D1) (D2) (D3) (C3) (C3)	M 12 5 5 10	16 5 10 OK																					
Stage 1 Int = 10 Stage 2 Int = 5 Stage 3 Int = 5	Stage 4 Int = 7 Stage 5 Int =																							
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius O. N. Straight	ight- m Total Proportion Sat Linhill S	Short lane Revised	a a Degree of Queuing																					
ment Width lane Ahead	ead Left Straight Right FLow of Turning Flow Gradient	Effect Sat. Flow y Greater L	(required) (input) Saturation Length																					
m. m. Sat. Flo	Flow pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	pcu/h pcu/h y sec	sec sec X m.																					
	SE 1406 1406 0.00 6165	6165 0.242 0.242	46 46 0.624 62																					
C3 1.4 3.50 L 1 14 N 1965	35 1490 0.00 0103 65 291 1.00 1775	1775 0.164	31 63 0.312 28																					
C2 1,2 3.50 F 2 4210	10 1136 1136 0.00 4210	4210 0.270	51 82 0.395 36																					
C1 2 3.50 G 1 30 2105	286 286 1.00 2005	2005 0.143 0.143	27 27 0.634 44																					
B3 2,3 3.70 H 1 16 N 1985	35 243 243 1.00 1815	1815 0.134	25 40 0.400 32																					
B1,B2 3 3.40 I 1 30 2095	95 9 87 96 0.91 2004	2004 0.048 0.068	9 9 0.634 18																					
D1 4 3.50 K 1 25 N 1965	55 50 1.00 1854 35 75 45 120 0.37 2050	1854 0.027 0.059 2050 0.059	5 11 0.292 9 11 11 0.634 22																					
D3 4 3.30 K 1 27 2085	35 7.5 4.5 120 0.57 2050 35 115 115 1.00 1975	1975 0.058	11 11 0.631 21																					
A2 3 3.50 E 1 18 N 1965	35 124 124 1.00 1814	1814 0.068	13 9 0.903 33																					

Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long PROJECT NO: J2 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Year 2034 Reference Traffic Flows (PM Peak) DATE : No. of stages per cycle No. of stages per cycle No. of stages using for	245467 18-Jan-22 calculation	2	FILENAME	:		
J2 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen DATE : N No. of stages per cycle No. of stages using for	18-Jan-22	2	FILENAME	:		
N No. of stages per cycle No. of stage using for	calculation					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5)/(1-Y) /Y*100% 0.9-Y) nax-Y)/Y*100%	6	N N C Y L	= 1 = 0.5 = 402 = 402 = 86 = 50 = 0.7 = 34 = 57 = 0.8	4 4 120 sec 41 23 sec 3.3 pcu 5.0 sec 0.1 sec 28 4.5 % 7.6 sec 08	
				-	-	
(B3) (B1) (B2) (B3) Pedestrian Width Green Phase (m)	Time Required	ed (s) FG	Gree	n Time Prov G Delav	vided (s)	Check
$B + + + B \qquad (1) \qquad J \qquad 4.5 \qquad 7$	5	8	40	5	8	ОК
(1) B(LRT) N/A 10	5	10	38	5	10	ОК
A1 (C2) (C2) (C3) (C3) (C3) (C3) (C3) (C3) (C3) (C3	5	5	78	5	5	OK
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ &$	5	10	20	5	10	UK
Stage 1 Int = 10 Stage 2 Int = 5 Stage 3 Int = 5 Stage 4 Int = 7 Stage 5 Int =						
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius O N Straight- m Total Proportion Sat. Uphill Short lane Revised			a	a	Degree of	Queuing
ment Width lane Ahead Left Straight Right FLow of Turning Flow Gradient Effect Sat. Flow y	Greater	L	(required)) (input)) Saturation	Length
m. m. Sat. Flow pcu/h p	у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
		23				
A1 1 3.00 D 3 6165 1505 0.00 6165 0.244	0.244		44	44	0.669	64
C3 1,4 3.50 L 1 14 N 1965 235 235 1.00 17/5 17/5 0.132 C2 1.2 3.50 E 2 1.210 1.247 1.247 0.00 4210 0.296			24 53	61 84	0.260	23
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0 175		31	31	0.423	52
B3 2,3 3.70 H 1 16 N 1985 194 194 1.00 1815 1815 0.175	0.170		19	42	0.305	25
B1,B2 3 3.40 I 1 30 2095 7 67 74 0.90 2004 2004 0.037	0.060		7	7	0.669	14
D1 4 3.50 K 1 25 N 1965 61 61 1.00 1854 1854 0.033	0.062		6	11	0.354	11
D2,D3 4 3.30 K 1 33 2085 73 54 127 0.43 2045 2045 0.062			11	11	0.665	23
D3 4 3.30 K 1 27 2085 123 123 1.00 1975 1975 0.062 40 2 2 2 2 123 123 1.00 1975 1975 0.062			11	11	0.669	22
Az 3 3.50 E 1 18 N 1965 109 109 1.00 1814 1814 0.060			11	/	1.082	21

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION	
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long		PROJECT NO: 245467
J2 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Year 2034 Des	sign Traffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE : 18-Jan-22 FILENAME:
A2 116 A1 1455	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No. of stages per cycle N = 4 No. of stage using for calculation N = 4 Cycle time C = 120 sec Sum(y) Y = 0.503 Loss time L = 23 sec Total Flow = 4065.4565 pcu Co = (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) = 79.5 sec Cm = L/(1-Y) = 46.3 sec Yult = 0.728 R.C.ult = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 44.6 % Cp = 0.808 R.C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100% = 44.6 %
$B \longleftrightarrow B \longleftrightarrow B$ $(B3)$ $(B1) (B2)$ $(B1) (B2)$	2) (B3)	Pedestrian Width Green Time Required (s) Green Time Provided (s) Check Phase (m) SG Delay FG SG Delay FG J 4.5 7 5 8 41 5 8 OK
$(1) \longrightarrow (C2) (C3) (1) \longrightarrow (C2) (C3)$	(1) (1) 1,2 (2)	B(LRT) N/A 10 5 10 39 5 10 OK N 5.5 5 5 5 78 5 5 OK M 12 5 5 10 18 5 10 OK
	(D1) (D2) (D3)	
Stage 1 Int = 10 Stage 2 Int = 5 Stage 3 Int =	5 Stage 4 Int = 7 Stage 5 Int =	
Move- ment Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius O N ment Width Iane m. m. m. m.	Straight- m Total Proportion Sat. Uphill Ahead Left Straight Right FLow of Turning Flow Gradient Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h %	Short lane Revised g g Degree of Queuing Effect Sat. Flow y Greater L (required) (input) Saturation Length pcu/h pcu/h v sec sec sec X m.
A1 1 3.00 D 3	6165145514550.00616519653753753751.0017754210118811880.00421021053023021.00200519852492491.001815209534881220.722022196548481.001854208575331080.312056196511611161.001814	6165 0.236 0.236 45 45 0.622 60 1775 0.211 411 62 0.412 37 4210 0.282 54 84 0.405 36 2005 0.151 0.151 29 29 0.622 46 1815 0.137 26 45 0.368 31 2022 0.060 0.064 12 12 0.622 22 1854 0.026 0.052 5 10 0.307 9 2056 0.052 10 10 0.622 19 1814 0.064 12 12 0.662 19 1814 0.064 12 12 0.662 21

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION		
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long		PROJECT NO: 245467	
J2 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Year 2034 Design Trat	raffic Flows (PM Peak)	DATE : 18-Jan-22	FILENAME:
$\begin{array}{c cccc} A2 & 101 \\ A1 & 1502 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 61 & 91 & 104 \\ \hline \\ 01 & D2 & D3 \end{array}$	B1 B2 B3 -1428 $1632 \rightarrow$ 93 43 204 931 $1028 \leftarrow$ Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan 1315 C2 296 C3 1315 C2 1315	No. of stages per cycle No. of stage using for calculation Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co = $(1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)$ Cm = $L/(1-Y)$ Yult R.C.ult = $(Yult-Y)/Y*100\%$ Cp = $0.9*L/(0.9-Y)$ Ymax = $1-L/C$ R.C.(C) = $(0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100\%$	$N = 4$ $N = 4$ $C = 120 \sec $ $Y = 0.533$ $L = 23 \sec $ $= 4157.8298 pcu$ $= 84.5 \sec $ $= 49.2 \sec $ $= 0.728$ $= 36.6 \%$ $= 56.3 \sec $ $= 0.808$ $= 36.6 \%$
			00.0 /0
(B3) (B1) (B2) (B3)		Pedestrian Width Green Time Required (s)	Green Time Provided (s) Check
		Phase (m) SG Delay FG	SG Delay FG
(1)	(1)	J 4.5 7 5 8 B(LRT) N/A 10 5 10	38 5 10 OK
A1)	1,2	N 5.5 5 5 5	79 5 5 OK
(C3)	(CS) (2) $(D1) (D2) (D3)$	M 12 5 5 10	21 5 10 OK
Stage 1 Int = 10 Stage 2 Int = 5 Stage 3 Int = 5	Stage 4 Int = 7 Stage 5 Int =		
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius O N Straigh	ght- m Total Proportion Sat. Uphill	Short lane Revised	g g Degree of Queuing
ment Width lane Ahead	ad Left Straight Right FLow of Turning Flow Gradient	Effect Sat. Flow y Greater L	(required) (input) Saturation Length
m. m. Sat. Flo	Flow pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	pcu/h pcu/h y sec	sec sec X m.
A1 1 3 00 D 3 6165	5 1502 1502 0.00 6165	6165 0.244 0.244	44 44 0.650 63
C3 1.4 3.50 L 1 14 N 1965	1302 1302 0.00 0103 15 296 1.00 1775	1775 0.167	30 59 0.338 30
C2 1,2 3.50 F 2 4210	0 1315 1315 0.00 4210	4210 0.312	57 85 0.441 38
C1 2 3.50 G 1 30 2105	5 347 347 1.00 2005	2005 0.173 0.173	32 32 0.659 51
B3 2,3 3.70 H 1 16 N 1985	204 204 1.00 1815	1815 0.112	20 48 0.282 25
B1,B2 3 3.40 1 1 30 2095	15 43 93 136 0.68 2026	2026 0.067 0.067	12 12 0.659 24
D1 4 3.50 K 1 25 N 1965	5 61 1.00 1854 15 01 0.00 2077	1854 0.033 0.048 2077 0.048	6 9 0.448 11 9 0.650 10
D3 4 3.30 K 1 27 2085	5 95 95 100 0.09 2077 100 1975	1975 0.048	9 9 0.655 18
A2 3 3.50 E 1 18 N 1965	101 101 1.00 1814	1814 0.055	10 12 0.543 18

	<u>AR</u> UF	P & P/	ARTN	ERS							TRAF	FIC SIC	GNAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long															PROJECT N	NO:								
J3 Castle P	Peak Roa	ad - Ping S	Shan/ San	Hi Tsuen	Road		Year 201	18 Observed	I Traffic F	lows (AM	Peak)						DATE :		30-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
																_								
																	No. of stage	es per cycle			N =	4		
																	No. of stage	using for c	alculation		N =	4		
														. 1										
														\mathbf{X}			Cycle time				C =	120	sec	
								B1	B2	B3							Sum(y)				Y =	0.249		
								62	18	109				/			Loss time				L =	23	sec	
					A1	46	1			1							Total Flow				=	1442	pcu	
					A2	364																		
					A3	37			•	-	Castle F	eak Road -	Ping Shan				Co	= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)		=	52.6	sec	
					€-1	↑		↑	108	C1			•				Cm	= I /(1-Y)	,,,,,		=	30.6	sec	
									584	C.2							Yult	_/()			=	0 728		
					38	14	42	l`	22	C3							R C ult	= (Yult-Y)/2	Y*100%		=	191.9	%	
					00 D1	יי 2ח	 D3	+		00							Cn	= 0.9*1 //0	9_Y)		=	31.8	Sec	
						52	20										Ymay	= 1-1 /C	~ ')		-	0.10 208 0		
								San Hi To	ien Road	1							Inda				-	0.000		
																	P C (C)	- (0.0*\/	ov V\/V*4004	0/_	-	101.0	% (Ontimized)	
																1	R.C.(C)	- (0.9 1116	ax-1)/1 100	70	-	191.9	% (Optimized)	
								1							ו	Pedestrian	Width	Green	Time Pequir	rad (c)	Green Ti	me Provide	od (c)	Check
									20 - 20				222			Phase	(m)	SG		EG	SG		FG	Oneok
4 80	a a	1	1	2	ROAD	P-+		3	Owo			4	SER T		2	1 11430	7.2	6		5	21	Delay	5	OK
1 6	2	1		A+++++++				CASTLE PE	R ROAD -						2		1.2	7	, 4 , 5	6	40	4	5	OK
c			-		AK 8080 - PIN	IC SHAN	*	E PINC	SHAN	1		CASTLE PEAK R PING SHA	NAD -		2		10.2		5	7	40	3	7	OK
CASTLE PEAK ROA	40 - PING S	SHAN					>			1-	- r			-		J	10.5	9	4	1	9	4	I	UK
+		0		-	10.10	6			10.00	1-					-									
TSU	ar to	-			TREET	*(TSUEN	'(STEN / C											
άœ.	<u></u> 1	1										Ī												
CTAC	F 4		0	0.74			7	CTA(INIT-	0	CTAC		0	-									
STAG		1111-	0	514	GE 2	1111-	I	STAC	5 3	1111-	0	STAC		9	J									
Move	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Padius	0	N	Straight		m		Total	Proportion	Sat	Unbill	Short Jane	Pevised				a	a	Degree of	Queuing
ment	olage	Width	1 Hubb	lane	radius	Ŭ	N	Ahead	l oft	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat Flow	v	Greater		9 (required)	9 (input)	Saturation	Length
mont		m		lanc				Sot Flow	nou/h	buaigint	nou/h	nou/h	Vehicles	nou/h	0/2	nou/h	bou/b	У	Greater		(required)	(11)01()	v	m
		m.			m.			Sal. Flow	pcu/n	pcu/n	pcu/n	pcu/n	Venicies	pcu/n	70	pcu/n	pcu/n		У	10	sec	sec	^	m.
																				10				
A 1	4	3 10		1	20		N	1055	16			46	1.00	1010			1010	0.025	0.025	F	10	15	0.204	
A1 40	10	3.40			20		IN	1900	40	264		40	1.00	1019			1019	0.020	0.025	5	10	10	0.204	0
A2	1,2	3.40		3			K.F	0285		304		304	0.00	0285			0285	0.058			23	58	0.119	12
02,03	2	3.30			20		N	1945	22	170		192	0.11	1929			1929	0.099	0.000		39	39	0.308	26
02	2	3.30		2				41/0		414	<u> </u>	414	0.00	41/0			41/0	0.099	0.099		- 39	39	0.308	28
A3	3	3.40	IV		30			2095			37	37	1.00	1995			1995	0.018			1	21	0.103	6
C1	3	3.15	IV	1	30			2070			108	108	1.00	1971			1971	0.055	0.055		21	21	0.308	18
	4	3.40	V	1	20		N	1955	38	14		52	0.73	1854			1854	0.028			11	27	0.124	8
D1,D2	1	3.40	V	1	25	0		2095			42	42	1.00	1759			1759	0.024			9	27	0.105	6
D1,D2 D3	4			1 1	20		Ν	1925	109	18		126	0.86	1808			1808	0.070			27	27	0.308	20
D1,D2 D3 B2,B3	4	3.10	V	l '				0005	1	1	62	62	1.00	1776			1776	0.035	0.070	1	14	27	0 15/	10
D1,D2 D3 B2,B3 B1	4 4 4	3.10 3.10	V V	1	45	0		2065													1	2.	0.104	10
D1,D2 D3 B2,B3 B1	4 4 4	3.10 3.10	V	1	45	0		2065														2.	0.134	10
D1,D2 D3 B2,B3 B1	4 4 4	3.10 3.10	v	1	45	0		2065														2.	0.104	10
D1,D2 D3 B2,B3 B1	4 4	3.10 3.10	v	1	45	0		2005															0.104	10
D1,D2 D3 B2,B3 B1	4 4 4	3.10 3.10	V V	1	45	0		2065															0.104	10
D1,D2 D3 B2,B3 B1	4 4 4	3.10 3.10	v	1	45	0		2065																

N =	4
N =	4
C =	120 sec
Y =	0.249
L =	23 sec
=	1442 pcu
=	52.6 sec
=	30.6 sec
=	0.728
=	191.9 %
=	31.8 sec
=	0.808



N =	4
N =	4
C =	120 sec
Y =	0.272
L =	23 sec
=	1589 pcu
=	54.2 sec
=	31.6 sec
=	0.728
=	167.6 %
=	33.0 sec
=	0.808

167.6 % (Optimized)

=

Gre	Check			
	SG	Delay		
23		4	5	ОК
42		5	6	OK
	9	4	7	OK

g	g	Degree of	Queuing
(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	х	m.
10	15	0.227	9
21	62	0.112	12
41	41	0.336	29
41	41	0.336	32
14	21	0.223	13
21	21	0.336	19
7	25	0.094	6
7	25	0.089	5
25	25	0.336	20
17	25	0.232	14

OVE	ARU	P & P	ARTN	IERS							TRAF	FIC SIC	GNAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site For	mation in	i Long Bi	n, Yuen L	ong													PROJECT	NO:						
J3 Castle	J3 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ San Hi Tsuen Road Year 2025 Reference Traffic Flows (AM Peak)								DATE :		30-Jun-21		FILENAME :											
					A1 A2 A3 2777 D1	33 1166 60 1 8 D2		B1 70 San Hi Tsi	B2 45 ↓ 129 954 7	B3 98 C1 C2 C3	Castle P	eak Road -	Ping Shan	\times			No. of stage No. of stage Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax	es per cycle e using for c = (1.5*L+5 = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/ = 0.9*L/(0. = 1-L/C	alculation)/(1-Y) Y*100% 9-Y)		N = N = N = C = Y = L = = = = = = = = = =	4 3 120 0.407 31 2897 86.9 52.3 0.668 63.9 56.6 0.742	sec pcu sec sec % sec	
								1				1				 	R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	ax-Y)/Y*100	%	=	63.9	% (Optimized)	
									7				30 m 71.			Pedestrian Phase	Width (m)	Green	Time Requir	red (s)	Green T	ime Provide	d (s) FC	Check
1	PIN	1	j*	2	RDAD	IP H		3	OPOS CMUS	4		4	TAN THE TANK		2		7.2	6	i Delay	5	16	4	5	ОК
	2)	1	/	A+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++			++++	CASTLE P	EAK RDAD -	1		CAETUR DE	AV 0040 -	-	2	A (LRT)		7	5	6	35	5	6	ОК
CASTLE PEA	ROAD - PING	SHAN	-	BCASTLE	PEAK ROAD - P	ING SHAN	*	E		1	r	PING	SHAN			J	10.3	9	4	7	10	4	7	ОК
STA	GE 1	INT=	6	STA	SAW HI TSUEN STREET	INT=	7	STAC	SUM HI TSUEN STREET	INT=	6	STAG	SE 4 INT=	9										
Move-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	Ν	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	X	m.
																				19				
Λ 1	1	3.40	.	1	20		N	1055	22			22	1.00	1910			1910	0.019		10	4	16	0 125	6
Δ2	12	3.40		3	20		IN	6285	33	1166		1166	0.00	6285			6285	0.010	0 185	1 12	41	55	0.135	42
C2,C3	2	3.30		1	20		N	1945	7	299		306	0.02	1942			1942	0.158	0.100		34	34	0.549	44
C2	2	3.30	ш	2				4170		655		655	0.00	4170			4170	0.157		1	34	34	0.547	47
A3	3	3.40	IV	1	30			2095			60	60	1.00	1995			1995	0.030		1	7	14	0.253	11
C1	3	3.15	IV	1	30			2070			129	129	1.00	1971			1971	0.066	0.066	1	14	14	0.549	23
D1,D2	4	3.40	V	1	20		N	1955	277	8		285	0.97	1822			1822	0.156		1	34	34	0.549	41
D3	4	3.40	V	1	25	0		2095			50	50	1.00	1759			1759	0.028		1	6	34	0.100	7
B2,B3	4	3.10	V	1	20		Ν	1925	98	45		143	0.68	1831			1831	0.078		1	17	34	0.274	20
B1	4	3.10	V	1	45	0		2065			70	70	1.00	1776			1776	0.040	0.156		9	34	0.139	10
NOTE :	0 - OF	PPOSING	TRAFFIC	N	- NEAR S	I IDE LANE	1	SG - STE	ADY GRE	EN	FG - FLASH	I ING GREEN	I	<u>I</u>	PEDESTR		G SPEED = 1	.2m/s	1	QUEUI	I NG LENGTH =	AVERAGE	QUEUE * 6m	<u> </u>

N =	4
N =	3
C =	120 sec
Y =	0.407
L =	31 sec
=	2897 pcu
=	86.9 sec
=	52.3 sec
=	0.668
=	63.9 %
=	56.6 sec
=	0.742



N =	4
N =	4
C =	120 sec
Y =	0.358
L =	28 sec
=	2654 pcu
=	73.2 sec
=	43.6 sec
=	0.690
=	92.7 %
=	46.5 sec
=	0.767

=

92.7 % (Optimized)

Gree	Check			
	SG			
20		4	5	OK
39		5	6	OK
9		4	7	OK

g	g	Degree of	Queuing		
(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length		
sec	sec	Х	m.		
5	15	0.163	7		
42	58	0.333	35		
38	38	0.466	39		
38	38	0.467	42		
9	23	0.177	11		
23	23	0.467	29		
24	25	0.454	27		
4	25	0.075	4		
25	25	0.467	28		
9	25	0.165	10		

OVE	ARU	P & P	ARTN	IERS							TRAF	IC SIC	GNAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site For	mation in	Long Bi	n, Yuen L	ong													PROJECT	NO:						
J3 Castle	e Peak Roa	ad - Ping	Shan/ San	Hi Tsuen	Road		Year 202	25 Design Ti	affic Flov	vs (AM Pe	eak)						DATE :		30-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
					A1 A2 A3 2777 D1	33 1176 60 ↑ 8 D2		B1 70 San Hi Tay	B2 45 ↓ 129 969 7	B3 98 C1 C2 C3	Castle P	eak Road -	Ping Shan	\times			No. of stage No. of stage Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax	es per cycle e using for c = (1.5*L+5 = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/ = 0.9*L/(0. = 1-L/C	alculation)/(1-Y) Y*100% 9-Y)		N = N = N = Y = L = = = = = = = =	4 3 120 0.409 37 2951 102.4 62.6 0.623 52.2 67.8 0.692	sec pcu sec sec % sec	
								San Hi Tsu	ien Road						_		R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	ax-Y)/Y*100'	%	=	52.2	% (Optimized)	
									200	1			Poli a			Pedestrian Phase	Width (m)	Green SG	Time Requir Delay	ed (s) FG	Green T SG	ime Provide Delay	d (s) FG	Check
1	ROAD	1	1	2	ROAD	1p +		3	BER	+ \		4	828		2	I	7.2	6	4	5	14	4	5	OK
	1	6		A++++		real in		CASTLE P	EAK ROAD -	1			AK RDAD -	-	2	A (LRT)		7	5	6	34	5	6	ОК
B CASTLE PEAK	K ROAD - PING	SHAN	-	BCASTLE	PEAK ROAD - P	ING SHAN	*	ε		1		PING	SHAN		_	J	10.3	9	4	7	10	4	7	ОК
STA	GE 1	INT=	6	STA	SAM HI STREET AGE 2	INT=	7	STAC	SAN HE TSUEN STREET	INT=	6	STAG	SE 4 INT=	9										
Move-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	N	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				q	q	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	y	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h	,	v	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
												l .		Ċ		· ·	ľ			25				
A1	1	3.40	1	1	20		N	1955	33			33	1.00	1819			1819	0.018		12	4	16	0.137	6
A2	1,2	3.40	П	3				6285		1176		1176	0.00	6285			6285	0.187	0.187		38	53	0.422	44
C2,C3	2	3.30	Ш	1	20		N	1945	7	304		311	0.02	1942			1942	0.160			33	33	0.591	45
C2	2	3.30	Ш	2	1			4170		665		665	0.00	4170			4170	0.159			32	33	0.588	48
A3	3	3.40	IV	1	30			2095			60	60	1.00	1995			1995	0.030			6	13	0.273	11
C1	3	3.15	IV	1	30			2070			129	129	1.00	1971			1971	0.066	0.066		13	13	0.591	23
D1,D2	4	3.40	V	1	20		N	1955	277	8		285	0.97	1822			1822	0.156			32	32	0.591	42
D3	4	3.40	V	1	25	0		2095			80	80	1.00	1759			1759	0.045			9	32	0.171	12
B2,B3	4	3.10	V	1	20		N	1925	98	45		143	0.68	1831			1831	0.078			16	32	0.295	21
B1	4	3.10	V	1	45	0		2065			70	70	1.00	1776			1776	0.040	0.156		8	32	0.149	10
NOTE :	1 'O - Of	PPOSING	TRAFFIC	I N	- NEAR SI	I IDE LANE	I	SG - STEA	L DY GRE	EN	FG - FLASH	I NG GREEN	1	1	PEDESTR	I RIAN WALKING	G SPEED = 1	.2m/s	1	QUEUI	I NG LENGTH =	AVERAGE	QUEUE * 6m	1

N =	4
N =	3
C =	120 sec
Y =	0.409
L =	37 sec
=	2951 pcu
=	102.4 sec
=	62.6 sec
=	0.623
=	52.2 %
=	67.8 sec
=	0.692



N =	4	
N =	4	
C =	120 sec	
Y =	0.361	
L =	35 sec	
=	2690 pcu	
=	89.9 sec	
=	54.7 sec	
=	0.638	
=	76.8 %	
=	58.4 sec	
=	0.708	

=

76.8 % (Optimized)

Green	Check		
SG	Delay	FG	
17	4	5	ОК
36	5	6	ОК
10	4	7	ОК

g	g	Degree of	Queuing				
(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length				
sec	sec	Х	m.				
5	16	0.157	7				
39	56	0.348	36				
35	35	0.509	41				
35	35	0.508	44				
8	22	0.193	11				
22	22	0.509	30				
22	23	0.495	28				
6	23	0.124	7				
23	23	0.509	29				
8	23	0.180	10				

OVE	ARU	P & P.	ARTN	ERS							TRAF	IC SIC	GNAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site For	mation in	Long Bi	n, Yuen L	ong													PROJECT	NO:						
J3 Castle	J3 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ San Hi Tsuen Road Year 2029 Reference Traffic Flows (AM Peak)								DATE :	:	30-Jun-21		FILENAME :											
																1								
																	No. of stage	es per cycle			N =	4		
																	No. of stage	e using for c	alculation		N =	3		
														\checkmark							_			
									DO	Do				\wedge			Cycle time				C =	120	sec	
								B1	B2	B3				/			Sum(y)				Y =	0.436		
					A 1	20	↑	60	40 	92 							Loss ume				L =	32	sec	
					A1 A2	1270											Total Flow				=	3172	pcu	
					A2 43	58		←'	+	╘	Castle P	eak Road - I	Ping Shan				Co	= (1 5*1 +5)/(1 - Y)		=	93.9	Sec	
					40 40	→ 30	★	↑	110	C1	Castle I	cak Road -					Cm	- (1.5 L+5))/(1-1)		_	56.7		
									1048	C2							Vult	- L/(1-1)			-	0.660	Sec	
					1 278	8	50		5	C3							R C ult	= (Yult-Y)/	/*100%		=	51.4	%	
					D1	0 D2	50	+	0	00							Cn	= 0.9*1 //0.9	9_Y)		=	62.0	sec	
					51	DL	20										Ymax	= 1-L/C	0 1)		=	0.733		
								San Hi Tsi	uen Road									_ *						
								•									R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	ax-Y)/Y*100	%	=	51.4	% (Optimized)	
				-											-		1	-						
																Pedestrian	Width	Green 1	Time Requir	ed (s)	Green T	ime Provide	d (s)	Check
	71 - 71				Res Pi	[P		3	RUAL	1. I		4	PIN H			Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
1	ANIA PRO	1		2 	628			_	/						2	I	7.2	6	4	5	16	4	5	OK
c	1	1		8		_		CASTLE P	EAR RDAD - IG SHAN	1		CASTLE PE	AK ROAD -		2	A (LRT)		7	5	6	36	5	6	OK
8 CASTLE PEAK	ROAD - PING	SHAN	-	CASTLE	PEAK ROAD - P	ING SHAN	_			1-			-	0		J	10.3	9	4	7	10	4	7	OK
+	_	_	>	-		6		-0					-											
	STAN JO			1	SAN HI TSUEN STREET	+(TSUEN STREE	1			STHEFT OF											
		1						Τ				- ·												
STA	GE 1	INT=	6	STA	GE 2	INT=	7	STAC	SE 3	INT=	6	STAG	E 4 INT=	9	1									
												1	1		1		1				1			
Move-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	N	Straight-		m	-	Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
																				19				
A1	1	3.40	'	1	20		N	1955	29			29	1.00	1819			1819	0.016		13	3	16	0.119	5
A2	1,2	3.40	11	3				6285		1378		1378	0.00	6285			6285	0.219	0.219		44	56	0.469	49
C2,C3	2	3.30		1	20		N	1945	5	329		334	0.02	1943			1943	0.172			35	35	0.593	47
C2	2	3.30		2				4170		719		719	0.00	4170			4170	0.172			35	35	0.594	51
A3	3	3.40	IV N.		30			2095			58	58	1.00	1995			1995	0.029	0.000		6	12	0.287	10
C1	3	3.15	IV 		30			2070			118	118	1.00	1971			1971	0.060	0.060		12	12	0.594	21
D1,D2	4	3.40			20		N	1955	2/8	8	50	286	0.97	1822			1822	0.157			32	32	0.594	42
D3	4	3.40			25	0		2095	00	40	50	50	1.00	1/59			1/59	0.029			6	32	0.108	1
B2,B3	4	3.10		1	20		N	1925	92	40	60	132	0.70	1829			1829	0.072	0 157		15	32	0.272	19
81	4	3.10	v		40			2005			60	60	1.00	1//6			1//6	0.038	0.15/		ŏ	32	0.146	10
NOTE :	'O - OF	PPOSING	TRAFFIC	N	- NEAR S	DE LANE		SG - STEA	ADY GRE	EN	FG - FLASH	NG GREEN	 		PEDESTR		G SPEED = 1	.2m/s		QUEUII	NG LENGTH =	AVERAGE	QUEUE * 6m	<u> </u>

N =	4
N =	3
C =	120 sec
Y =	0.436
L =	32 sec
=	3172 pcu
=	93.9 sec
=	56.7 sec
=	0.660
=	51.4 %
=	62.0 sec
=	0.733



N =	4
N =	3
C =	120 sec
Y =	0.373
L =	30 sec
=	2958 pcu
=	79.8 sec
=	47.9 sec
=	0.675
=	80.9 %
=	51.2 sec
=	0.750

=

80.9 % (Optimized)

Green	Check						
S	SG Delay FG						
22	4	5	OK				
41	5	6	OK				
10	4	7	OK				

g	Degree of	Queuing		
(input)	Saturation	Length		
sec	Х	m.		
16	0.149	6		
61	0.390	41		
40	0.498	43		
40	0.498	46		
20	0.218	12		
20	0.498	27		
22	0.474	26		
22	0.093	5		
22	0.498	27		
22	0.178	9		
	g (input) sec 16 61 40 40 20 20 22 22 22 22 22 22	g Degree of Saturation sec X 16 0.149 61 0.390 40 0.498 20 0.218 20 0.498 22 0.474 22 0.474 22 0.478 22 0.498 24 0.149		

OVE	ARU	P & P.	ARTN	ERS							TRAF	IC SIC	GNAL CAL	CULAT	ION										
Site For	mation in	Long Bi	n, Yuen L	.ong													PROJECT NO:								
J3 Castle	e Peak Roa	ad - Ping	Shan/ San	Hi Tsuen	Road		Year 202	29 Design T	raffic Flov	vs (AM Pe	Peak)						DATE : 30-Jun-21				FILENAME :				
																1	No. of stage	es ner cycle			N =	4			
																	No. of stage	e using for c	alculation		N =	3			
														~ 1											
														\rightarrow			Cycle time				C =	120	sec		
								B1	B2	B3				/			Sum(y)				Y =	0.484			
					Δ1	29		09									Total Flow					3385	ncu		
					A2	1353	>										10tal 110W					0000	pou		
					A3	224			•		Castle P	eak Road - I	Ping Shan				Co	= (1.5*L+5	5)/(1-Y)		=	120.2	sec		
					•	Ť		↑	117	C1							Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	73.7	sec		
								←	1065	C2							Yult				=	0.615			
					277	9	104	↓	7	C3							R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/	Y*100%		=	27.0	%		
					D1	D2	D3										Ср	= 0.9*L/(0.	9-Y)		=	82.2	sec		
								San Hi Te	ien Road								Ymax	= 1-L/C			=	0.683			
								Carrieros									R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Ym	ax-Y)/Y*100	%	=	27.0	% (Optimized)		
												1			ו	Dedestrian	\\/idth	Croon	Time Dequir	rad (a)	Croop T	ima Dravida	d (a)	Chaoli	
									20.55				۱			Pedesthan	(m)	SG	Delav	EG (S)	SG	Delav	G (S)	Check	
1	PIN	1	1	2	ROAD	IP +		3	000	+		4	DAND H		2		7.2	6	4	5	11	4	5	ОК	
)	L	/	_A++++		make	FFFF	CASTLE	EAK ROAD -	1		=		-	2	A (LRT)		7	5	6	31	5	6	ок	
	ROAD - PINC	SHAN		BCASTLE	PEAK ROAD - P	ING SHAN		ε	C Stink	1		CASTLE PE PING	SHAN		_	J	10.3	9	4	7	10	4	7	ОК	
	_		>	-		-	-	- D)	0			-											
-	at ASTR	-		-	SAN I	10			SUN	+1			STREE												
		-1			923 (Γ	TN T	1		T	122												
STA	GE 1	INT=	6	STA	AGE 2	INT=	7	STAC	GE 3	INT=	6	STAG	E 4 INT=	9	1										
Maria	01		Dhave	No. of	Destina			Otavialit				Tatal	Descrition	0t	11-1-11	Objections	During	1	<u> </u>	1			Democrat	Quantization	
ment	Stage	Lane Width	Phase	INO. OI	Radius	0	N	Abead	l off	M Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Sal. Flow	Gradient	Short lane	Sat Flow	V	Greater	Ι.	g (required)	g (input)	Saturation	Queuing	
ment		m		lanc	m			Sat Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	ncu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	ncu/h	у	v	sec	sec	sec	X	m	
								out. Hiow	pourn	pourn	pouin	pourri	Volitoloo	pourri		pouri	poun		y	25	000	000	X		
A1	1	3.40		1	20		N	1955	29			29	1.00	1819			1819	0.016		13	3	16	0.123	5	
A2	1,2	3.40	Ш	3				6285		1353		1353	0.00	6285			6285	0.215	0.215		36	50	0.512	52	
C2,C3	2	3.30		1	20		N	1945	7	334		341	0.02	1942			1942	0.175			30	30	0.709	51	
C2	2	3.30		2				4170		731	004	731	0.00	4170			4170	0.175			30	30	0.709	55	
A3	3	3.40	IV N/	1	30			2095			224	224	1.00	1995			1995	0.112	0.440		19	19	0.709	38	
	3	3.15			30		N	2070	077		117	117	1.00	19/1			19/1	0.060	0.112		10	19	0.3/0	20	
20,10	4 1	3.40	V V	1	20	0		2005	211	9	104	104	1.00	1750			1750	0.157			10	21	0.709	44 16	
B2 B3	4	3 10	v		20		N	1925	79	52	104	131	0.60	1842			1842	0.071			12	27	0.322	20	
B1	4	3.10	v	1	45	0		2065			69	69	1.00	1776			1776	0.039	0.157		7	27	0.175	11	
NOTE :	'O - OF	PPOSING		N	- NEAR SI	DE LANE		SG - STEA	ADY GRE	EN	FG - FLASH	NG GREEN	<u> </u>		PEDESTR		G SPEED = 1	.2m/s		QUEUI	NG LENGTH =	AVERAGE	QUEUE * 6m	<u> </u>	

N =	4
N =	3
C =	120 sec
Y =	0.484
L =	38 sec
=	3385 pcu
=	120.2 sec
=	73.7 sec
=	0.615
=	27.0 %
=	82.2 sec
=	0.683



N =	4
N =	3
C =	120 sec
Y =	0.371
L =	38 sec
=	3057 pcu
=	98.5 sec
=	60.4 sec
=	0.615
=	66.0 %
=	64.6 sec
=	0.683

=

66.0 % (Optimized)

Green	Check		
SG	Delay	FG	
18	4	5	ОК
39	5	6	ОК
11	4	7	ОК

g	g	Degree of	Queuing
(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	х	m.
4	17	0.135	6
44	60	0.391	41
38	38	0.542	46
38	38	0.542	49
15	19	0.452	24
19	19	0.542	28
19	20	0.520	26
6	20	0.153	7
20	20	0.542	28
7	20	0.197	10

OVE	ARU	P & P.	ARTN	ERS							TRAF	FIC SIC	GNAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site For	mation in	n Long Bi	n, Yuen L	ong													PROJECT	NO:						
J3 Castle	e Peak Ro	ad - Ping	Shan/ San	Hi Tsuen	Road		Year 203	34 Referenc	e Traffic I	lows (AN	l Peak)						DATE :	:	30-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
																_								
																	No. of stage	es per cycle			N =	4		
																	No. of stage	e using for c	alculation		N =	3		
														. 1										
														\times			Cycle time				C =	120	sec	
								B1	B2	B3							Sum(y)				Y =	0.453		
							•	69	34	92							Loss time				L =	32	sec	
					A1	29											Total Flow				=	3370	pcu	
					A2	1478	\rightarrow		Ļ	L														
					A3	54				-	Castle P	eak Road - I	Ping Shan				Co	= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)		=	96.9	sec	
					4 _	Ť		↑	114	C1			-				Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	58.5	sec	
									1154	C2							Yult				=	0.660		
					282	10	50		5	C3							R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/	Y*100%		=	45.6	%	
					D1	D2	D3	ľ									Ср	= 0.9*L/(0.9	9-Y)		=	64.5	sec	
																	Ymax	= 1-L/C			=	0.733		
								San Hi Tsu	uen Road															
																	R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	ax-Y)/Y*100	%	=	45.6	% (Optimized)	
															_									
																Pedestrian	Width	Green 1	Time Requir	ed (s)	Green T	ime Provide	ed (s)	Check
						1			200			4	Real H			Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
1	PING	1	1	2	000			_	528	+ \		=	628/		2	I	7.2	6	4	5	18	4	5	ОК
	13	6		A-++		make	THE	CASTLE P	EAK ROAD -	(AV DOAD -	-	2	A (LRT)		7	5	6	38	5	6	ОК
B CASTLE PEAK	ROAD - PING	G SHAN	+	BCASTLE	PEAK ROAD - P	PING SHAN	*	ε		1		PING	SHAN			J	10.3	9	4	7	10	4	7	ОК
	-		-	-			-	- D)	0			-										
-		-			STR	50			STR	+1			SING T											
	TREEN H	(-	E NOR	,		т	HI NJEN HI	1														
				I																				
STA	GE 1	INT=	6	STA	GE 2	INT=	7	STAC	GE 3	INT=	6	STAG	E 4 INT=	9										
Maria	Charte	1.000	Dhaaa	Nie of	Dedius			Chaoliokht				Tatal	Dreastian	Cat	Linkill	Chartland	Deviced	1	1				Demos of	Outerview
wort	Slage	Lane	Phase		Radius	0	IN	Abood	Loft	Straight	Diabt	Flow	of Turning	Sal.	Cradient	Effoot	Set Flow		Creator		g (roquirod)	(input)	Degree of	Queuing
ment		m		lane				Arieau Sot Elow	Leit	Straight	ngu/h	FIUW	Vahialaa	FIUW		Ellect	Sal. FIUW	У	Greater		(required)	(input)	v	Lengui
		m.			m.			Sal. Flow	pcu/n	pcu/n	pcu/n	pcu/n	venicies	pcu/n	70	pcu/n	pcu/n		У	10	sec	Sec	^	m.
																				19				
Δ1	1	340		1	20		N	1955	20			20	1.00	1810			1810	0.016		12	з	16	0 119	5
Δ2	12	3 40		2	20			6285	23	1478		1478	0.00	6285			6285	0.235	0.235		46	58	0.487	51
C2 C3	2	3 30		1	20		N	1945	5	364		369	0.00	1943			1943	0.200	0.200		37	37	0.407	51
C2	2	3.30		2				4170	ľ	790		790	0.00	4170			4170	0 189			37	37	0.617	55
Δ3	2	3.40		1	30			2005		130	54	54	1.00	1005			1005	0.103			5	11	0.017	10
A3 C1	2	0.4U		4	20			2090			114	114	1.00	1990			1990	0.027	0.059		11	11	0.200	24
	3	3.15			30			2070	202	10	114	200	1.00	19/1			1971	0.100	0.058				0.010	21
01,02	4	3.40	V V	4	20		IN	1955	282	10	50	292	0.97	1823			1823	0.100			31	31	0.010	43
	4	3.40	, v		25			2095			50	50	1.00	1/59			1/59	0.028			6	31	0.110	
B2,B3	4	3.10	V V	1	20		N	1925	92	34	<u></u>	126	0.73	1825			1825	0.069	0.400		13	31	0.267	19
		5.10	v		40			2000			09	03	1.00	1770				0.039	0.100			51	0.150	10
NOTE :	'O - Oł	PPOSING	TRAFFIC	N	- NEAR S	IDE LANE		SG - STEA	ADY GRE	EN	FG - FLASH	ING GREEN	I		PEDESTR	RIAN WALKING	G SPEED = 1	.2m/s		QUEUI	NG LENGTH =	AVERAGE	QUEUE * 6m	

N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	120 sec	
Y =	0.453	
L =	32 sec	
=	3370 pcu	
=	96.9 sec	
=	58.5 sec	
=	0.660	
=	45.6 %	
=	64.5 sec	
=	0.733	



N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	120 sec	
Y =	0.407	
L =	31 sec	
=	3350 pcu	
=	86.9 sec	
=	52.3 sec	
=	0.668	
=	63.9 %	
=	56.6 sec	
=	0.742	

=

63.9 % (Optimized)

Green	Check			
S	G Delay	FG		
24	4	5	ОК	
45	5	6	OK	
10	4	7	OK	

g	Degree of	Queuing
(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	х	m.
16	0.143	6
65	0.429	45
44	0.549	49
44	0.549	53
17	0.244	12
17	0.549	26
21	0.549	29
21	0.040	2
21	0.513	27
21	0.182	9
	g (input) sec 16 65 44 44 17 17 21 21 21 21 21	g Degree of (input) Saturation sec X 16 0.143 65 0.429 44 0.549 44 0.549 17 0.244 17 0.549 21 0.549 21 0.513 21 0.182

OVE	ARUF	- & P	ARTN	ERS							TRAF	IC SIC	GNAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site Forr	nation in	Long Bir	n, Yuen L	.ong													PROJECT N	NO:						
J3 Castle	Peak Roa	ad - Ping S	Shan/ San	Hi Tsuen	Road		Year 203	4 Design Ti	raffic Flov	vs (AM Pe	eak)						DATE : 30-Jun-21 FILENAME :							
]	No. of stage	es per cycle			N =	4		
														. 1			INO. OI SLAGE	e using for c	acculation		N =	3		
													Cycle time				C =	120	sec					
								B1	B2	B3							Sum(y)				Y =	0.512		
							♠	69	47	79							Loss time				L =	38	sec	
					A1 A2	29 · 1428											Total Flow				=	3580	pcu	
					A3	249			•		Castle P	eak Road - I	Ping Shan				Co	= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)		=	127.0	sec	
					€_	Ť		♠	114	C1			-				Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	77.8	sec	
								←	1204	C2							Yult				=	0.615		
					282	10	64	↓	5	C3							R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/	Y*100%		=	20.2	%	
					D1	D2	D3										Ср	= 0.9*L/(0.	9-Y)		=	88.1	sec	
								San Hi Ter	ion Pood								Ymax	= 1-L/C			=	0.683		
								Sairrii Tsu	len Koau								R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	ax-Y)/Y*100	%	=	20.2	% (Optimized)	
]	Pedestrian	Width	Green	Time Requir	ed (s)	Green T	ime Provide	ed (s)	Check
					2000	fe I		3	ROM			4	Р н			Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
1	PING	1	1	2	000			_	2	1				_	2	I	7.2	6	4	5	13	4	5	ОК
c	Ì	1						CASTLE P	EAK ROAD - G SHAN	(CASTLE PE	AK ROAD -	-	2	A (LRT)		7	5	6	33	5	6	OK
8 CASTLE PEAK	ROAD - PING	SHAN	-	CASTLE	PEAK ROAD - P	ING SHAN	-	= <u>E</u>		1		P ING	SHAN			J	10.3	9	4	7	10	4	7	OK
+	-	_	>	-			-	D				_	-											
	ar Jazz	-			SAN H TSUEA STREE	+(STREE	1		-	STREE SWAT											
100	E T	- 7		1				Γ	-1×			T												
STA	GE 1	INT=	6	STA	GE 2	INT=	7	STAC	SE 3	INT=	6	STAG	E 4 INT=	9										
	C 1						I		1						- 				I	1	I			
Move-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	N	Straight-	1.0	m	Diskt	Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised		Orester	Ι.	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Lurning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater		(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		У	sec	sec	sec	X	m.
																				25				
A1	1	3.40	1	1	20		N	1955	29			29	1.00	1819			1819	0.016		13	3	16	0.122	5
A2	1,2	3.40	Ш	3				6285		1428		1428	0.00	6285			6285	0.227	0.227		36	52	0.522	54
C2,C3	2	3.30	111	1	20		N	1945	5	380		385	0.01	1943			1943	0.198			32	32	0.749	57
C2	2	3.30	ш	2				4170		824		824	0.00	4170			4170	0.198			32	32	0.748	61
A3	3	3.40	IV	1	30			2095			249	249	1.00	1995			1995	0.125			20	20	0.749	41
C1	3	3.15	IV	1	30			2070			114	114	1.00	1971			1971	0.058	0.125		9	20	0.348	19
D1,D2	4	3.40	V	1	20		N	1955	282	10		292	0.97	1823			1823	0.160			26	26	0.749	46
D3	4	3.40	V	1	25	0		2095			64	64	1.00	1759			1759	0.037			6	26	0.172	10
B2,B3	4	3.10	V	1	20		N	1925	79	47		126	0.63	1838			1838	0.068			11	26	0.320	20
B1	4	3.10	V	1	45	0		2065			69	69	1.00	1776			1776	0.039	0.160		6	26	0.183	11
NOTE :	'O - OF	PPOSING	TRAFFIC	I N	- NEAR SI	I IDE LANE	1	SG - STEA	DY GRE	EN	FG - FLASHI	NG GREEN	I	1	PEDESTR	I RIAN WALKING	SPEED = 1	.2m/s	1	QUEUI	NG LENGTH =	AVERAGE	QUEUE * 6m	1

N =	4
N =	3
C =	120 sec
Y =	0.512
L =	38 sec
=	3580 pcu
=	127.0 sec
=	77.8 sec
=	0.615
=	20.2 %
=	88.1 sec
=	0.683



N =	4	
N =	4	
C =	120 sec	
Y =	0.408	
L =	36 sec	
=	3525 pcu	
=	99.7 sec	
=	60.8 sec	
=	0.630	
=	54.4 %	
=	65.9 sec	
=	0.700	

=

54.4 % (Optimized)

Green Time Provided (s)			Check
SG	Delay	FG	
25	4	5	ОК
45	5	6	ОК
10	4	7	ОК

g	g	Degree of	Queuing
(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	х	m.
4	16	0.146	6
47	65	0.427	45
44	44	0.583	52
44	44	0.583	56
15	16	0.549	25
16	16	0.583	27
20	20	0.583	30
5	20	0.150	7
19	20	0.530	27
7	20	0.190	10
	•		•



FILENAME :			 	
N =	4			
N =	3			
C =	90	sec		
Y =	0.327			
L =	34	sec		
=	95	pcu		
=	83.3	sec		
=	50.5	sec		
=	0.645			
=	97.0	%		
=	53.4	sec		
=	0.622			

)	Green Ti	me Provide	ed (s)	Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

=

71.1 % (Optimized)

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	Х	m.
5				
	39	39	0.526	40
	8	8	0.526	14
	8	8	0.526	13
9				



FILENAME :		
N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	90	sec
Y =	0.349	
L =	35	sec
=	78	рси
=	88.4	sec
=	53.8	sec
=	0.638	
=	82.5	%
=	57.2	sec
=	0.611	
=	57.5	% (Optimized)

)	Green Tir	Check		
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	34	34	0.572	42
	15	15	0.572	25
	6	6	0.572	11
9				



FILENAME :		
N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	90	sec
Y =	0.447	
L =	36	sec
=	95	рси
=	106.7	sec
=	65.1	sec
=	0.630	
=	40.9	%
=	71.6	sec
=	0.600	
=	20.7	% (Optimized)

)	Green Tir	Check		
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	ОК

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	28	28	0.745	50
	20	20	0.745	41
1	6	7	0.637	13
9				



FILENAME :		
N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	90	sec
Y =	0.434	
L =	35	sec
=	96	рси
=	101.6	sec
=	61.9	sec
=	0.638	
=	46.8	%
=	67.6	sec
=	0.611	
=	26.6	% (Optimized)

)	Green Time Provided (s)			Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	28	28	0.711	48
	20	20	0.711	40
	6	6	0.711	13
9				



FILENAME :		
N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	90	sec
Y =	0.447	
L =	36	sec
=	95	рси
=	106.7	sec
=	65.1	sec
=	0.630	
=	40.9	%
=	71.6	sec
=	0.600	
=	20.7	% (Optimized)

)	Green Time Provided (s)			Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	ОК

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	28	28	0.745	50
	20	20	0.745	41
1	6	7	0.637	13
9				



FILENAME :		
N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	90	sec
Y =	0.434	
L =	35	sec
=	96	рси
=	101.6	sec
=	61.9	sec
=	0.638	
=	46.8	%
=	67.6	sec
=	0.611	
=	26.6	% (Optimized)

)	Green Time Provided (s)			Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	28	28	0.711	48
	20	20	0.711	40
	6	6	0.711	13
9				



FILENAME :		
N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	90	sec
Y =	0.448	
L =	36	sec
=	96	рси
=	106.9	sec
=	65.3	sec
=	0.630	
=	40.5	%
=	71.7	sec
=	0.600	
=	20.4	% (Optimized)

)	Green Time Provided (s)			Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	28	28	0.747	50
	20	20	0.747	41
1	6	7	0.639	13
9				



FILENAME :		
N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	90	sec
Y =	0.439	
L =	36	sec
=	95	рси
=	105.3	sec
=	64.2	sec
=	0.630	
=	43.4	%
=	70.4	sec
=	0.600	
=	22.9	% (Optimized)

)	Green Tir	ne Provide	ed (s)	Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	28	28	0.732	49
	20	20	0.732	41
1	6	7	0.627	13
9				



FILENAME :		
N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	90	sec
Y =	0.449	
L =	36	sec
=	96	рси
=	107.1	sec
=	65.4	sec
=	0.630	
=	40.2	%
=	71.9	sec
=	0.600	
=	20.2	% (Optimized)

)	Green Time Provided (s)			Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	28	28	0.749	50
	20	20	0.749	41
1	6	7	0.640	13
9				



FILENAME :		
N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	90	sec
Y =	0.440	
L =	36	sec
=	94	рси
=	105.3	sec
=	64.3	sec
=	0.630	
=	43.3	%
=	70.4	sec
=	0.600	
=	22.8	% (Optimized)

)	Green Time Provided (s)			Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	28	28	0.733	49
	20	20	0.733	41
1	6	7	0.627	13
9				



	FILENAME :		
_			
	N =	4	
	N =	3	
	C =	90	sec
	Y =	0.454	
	L =	35	sec
	=	101	рси
	=	105.2	sec
	=	64.1	sec
	=	0.638	
	=	40.5	%
	=	70.6	sec
	=	0.611	
	=	21.2	% (Optimized)

)	Green Time Provided (s)			Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
-	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	Х	m.
6				
	28	28	0.742	50
	20	20	0.742	41
	6	6	0.742	14
9				



FILENAME :		
N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	90	sec
Y =	0.424	
L =	35	sec
=	96	рси
=	99.9	sec
=	60.8	sec
=	0.638	
=	50.2	%
=	66.2	sec
=	0.611	
=	29.6	% (Optimized)

)	Green Tin	ne Provide	ed (s)	Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	27	27	0.694	46
	21	21	0.694	40
	6	6	0.694	13
9				



	FILENAME :		
_			
	N =	4	
	N =	3	
	C =	90	sec
	Y =	0.454	
	L =	35	sec
	=	101	рси
	=	105.2	sec
	=	64.1	sec
	=	0.638	
	=	40.5	%
	=	70.6	sec
	=	0.611	
	=	21.2	% (Optimized)

)	Green Tir	ne Provide	ed (s)	Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
-	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	28	28	0.742	50
	20	20	0.742	42
	6	6	0.742	14
9				



FILENAME :		
N =	4	
N =	3	
C =	90	sec
Y =	0.427	
L =	35	sec
=	95	рси
=	100.3	sec
=	61.0	sec
=	0.638	
=	49.4	%
=	66.6	sec
=	0.611	
=	28.9	% (Optimized)

)	Green Tir	ne Provide	ed (s)	Check
	SG	Delay	FG	
	9	5	5	ОК
	6	8	5	ОК
	10	1	8	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ес	sec	sec	х	m.
6				
	28	28	0.698	46
	21	21	0.698	40
	6	6	0.698	13
9				

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	PRIORI	TY JUNCTION CALCULATION			T
			PROJECT NO:		DESIGNED BY:
5 Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road	Year 2018 (Observed Traffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE :	30/06/21	FILENAME :
Town Park Road North (ARM B) 12 70.15 37 4 4390 4 4 5	N 	NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTI W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE T W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE T W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE T V b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT I Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT I Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT I Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT I D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B Road Y = (1-0.0345W)	10m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) TH (0m, 1.2-9m) TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0 TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2 TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b- FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b- FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b- FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-	Dm, 2.2-5m) 2.2-5m) Jm, 2.2-5m) a (0-250m) -a (0-250) -c (0-250) -b (0-250)	
(ARM A)	(ARM C)				
(ARM A)	(ARM C)	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION O	F DESIGN FLOW	
(ARM A)	(ARM C)	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION O TO CAPACITY:	F DESIGN FLOW	
(ARM A) EEOMETRIC DETAILS: GE MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres)	(ARM C) OMETRIC FACTORS : D = 1.034491	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION O TO CAPACITY:	F DESIGN FLOW	= 0.0221
(ARM A) ECOMETRIC DETAILS: GE MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) a o b = 27 (cou/br)	(ARM C) OMETRIC FACTORS : D = 1.034491 E = 1.089043 E = 1.028244	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 524 Q b-c = 709 O a b = 607	COMPARISION O TO CAPACITY:	F DESIGN FLOW	= 0.0221 = 0.0989 - 0.0560
(ARM A) EEOMETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 390 (pcu/hr)	(ARM C) OMETRIC FACTORS : D = 1.034491 E = 1.089043 F = 1.078814 Y = 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 524 Q b-c = 709 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 675	COMPARISION OF TO CAPACITY:	F DESIGN FLOW DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0221 = 0.0989 = 0.0549 = 0.1211
(ARM A) EEOMETRIC DETAILS: GE MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W W = 10.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 390 (pcu/hr) MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) q c-a = 92 (pcu/hr) q c-b = 38 (pcu/hr)	(ARM C) OMETRIC FACTORS : D = 1.034491 E = 1.089043 F = 1.078814 Y = 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 524 Q b-c = 709 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 675 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (F	Comparision of To capacity: (PCU/HR)	F DESIGN FLOW DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0221 = 0.0989 = 0.0549 = 0.1211
(ARM A) GE MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) (metres) W = 10.50 (metres) (metres) Q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 390 (pcu/hr) MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (metres) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) Q c-a = 92 (pcu/hr) q c-b = 38 (pcu/hr)	(ARM C) OMETRIC FACTORS : D = 1.034491 E = 1.089043 F = 1.078814 Y = 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 524 Q b-c = 709 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 675 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (F	COMPARISION OF TO CAPACITY: (PCU/HR) CRITICAL	F DESIGN FLOW DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0221 = 0.0989 = 0.0549 = 0.1211
(ARM A) DECOMETRIC DETAILS: GE MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W W = 10.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 390 (pcu/hr) MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) q c-a = 92 (pcu/hr) q c-b = 38 (pcu/hr) MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W	(ARM C) OMETRIC FACTORS : D = 1.034491 E = 1.089043 F = 1.078814 Y = 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 524 Q b-c = 709 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 675 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (F	COMPARISION O TO CAPACITY: (PCU/HR) CRITICAL	F DESIGN FLOW DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0221 = 0.0989 = 0.0549 = 0.1211 = 0.121
(ARM A) EEOMETRIC DETAILS: GE MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W W = 10.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 390 (pcu/hr) MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) Q c-a = 92 (pcu/hr) q c-b = 38 (pcu/hr) MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-a = 6.00 (metres)	(ARM C) OMETRIC FACTORS : D = 1.034491 E = 1.089043 F = 1.078814 Y = 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 524 Q b-c = 709 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 675 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (F	COMPARISION OF TO CAPACITY: (PCU/HR) CRITICAL	F DESIGN FLOW DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0221 = 0.0989 = 0.0549 = 0.1211 = 0.121
(ARM A) EEOMETRIC DETAILS: GE MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) (metres) W = 10.50 (metres) (metres) q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 390 (pcu/hr) MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (metres) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) (q c-a = 92 (pcu/hr)) q c-a = 92 (pcu/hr) q c-b = 38 (pcu/hr) MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-a = 0.00 (metres) W b-a = 20 (metres)	(ARM C) OMETRIC FACTORS : D = 1.034491 E = 1.089043 F = 1.078814 Y = 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 524 Q b-c = 709 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 675 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (F	COMPARISION OF TO CAPACITY: (PCU/HR) CRITICAL	F DESIGN FLOW DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0221 = 0.0989 = 0.0549 = 0.1211 = 0.121
(ARM A) EEOMETRIC DETAILS: GE MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 390 (pcu/hr) MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W C-b = 5.50 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) Vr c-b = 38 (pcu/hr) MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-a = 0.00 (metres) W b-a = 0.00 (metres) W b-a = 0.00 (metres) W b-a = 0.00 (metres) W b-a = 0.00 (metres) VI b-a = 20 (metres) Vr.b-a = 30 (metres)	(ARM C)	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 524 Q b-c = 709 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 675 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (F	COMPARISION O TO CAPACITY: (PCU/HR) CRITICAL	F DESIGN FLOW DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0221 = 0.0989 = 0.0549 = 0.1211 = 0.121
(ARM A) DECOMETRIC DETAILS: GE MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-b = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 390 (pcu/hr) MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) Vr c-b = 38 (pcu/hr) q c-a = 92 (pcu/hr) q c-b = 38 (pcu/hr) MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 6.00 (metres) VI b-a = 20 (metres) Vr b-a = 30 (metres) Vr b-a = 30 (metres) Vr b-a = 0 (metres) Vr b-a = 0 (metres) Vr b-a = 0 (metres)	(ARM C)	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 524 Q b-c = 709 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 675 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (F	COMPARISION OI TO CAPACITY: (PCU/HR) CRITICAL	F DESIGN FLOW DFC b-a DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0221 = 0.0989 = 0.0549 = 0.1211 = 0.121
(ARM A) DECOMETRIC DETAILS: GE MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q ab = 37 (pcu/hr) q ab = 37 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 390 (pcu/hr) MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) Q c-a = 92 (pcu/hr) q c-b = 38 (pcu/hr) MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-a = 20 (metres) Vr b-a = 30 (metres) Vr b-a = 30 (metres) Vr b-a = 30 (metres) Vr b-a = 12 (pcu/hr) Vr b-a = 12 (pcu/hr)	(ARM C)	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 524 Q b-c = 709 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 675 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (F	COMPARISION O TO CAPACITY: (PCU/HR) CRITICAL	F DESIGN FLOW DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0221 = 0.0989 = 0.0549 = 0.1211 = 0.121

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

qb-a =

q b-c =

13 (pcu/hr) 66 (pcu/hr)

J5 Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road Year 2018 Observed Traffic Flows (PM Peak) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Town Park Road North MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) W = W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) (ARM B) LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) 13 66.1 Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) Vrc-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A -------D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C F STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B = Ma Tin Road Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM C) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 D = 1.034491 Qb-a = 511 DFC b-a 0.0245 (metres) = W cr = 0 (metres) Е = 1.089043 Qb-c = 713 DFC b-c = 0.0927 F 1 078814 Q c-b = 701 DFC c-b 0.0664 qa-b = 30 (pcu/hr) = = qa-c = 378 (pcu/hr) Y = 0.63775 Q b-ac = 670.83 DFC b-ac 0.1172 = MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vrc-b = 30 (metres) qc-a = 186 (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) q c-b = 46.55 CRITICAL DFC = 0.117 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) (metres) W b-a = 6.00 W b-c = 6.00 (metres) VIb-a = 20 (metres) Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 0 (metres)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

J5 Town Park F	Road No	rth/ Ma Tin Ro	bad			Year 2025 Refer	ence Traffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE :	30/06/21	FILENAME :	
		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Town Par} \\ \text{(ARM)} \\ 10 \\ \\ 9.6 \\ 418 \end{array}$	k Road North M B) 207.7 207.7 20 20 20 349.6			N Ma Tin Road (ARM C)	NOTES: (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6- W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WID W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE V b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B Y = (1-0.0345W)	-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2/ DTH (0m, 1.2-9m) E TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STR E TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STR F VEHICLE WAITING IN ST F FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN S T FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN S T FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN S	W) EAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) EAM b-c (2.2-5m) EAM b-a (0-250m) STREAM b-a (0-250m) STREAM b-a (0-250) STREAM b-c (0-250) STREAM c-b (0-250)		
GEOMETRIC DETAILS	3:		GEOMETR		ORS :		THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMP	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW		
GEOMETRIC DETAILS	5: D (ARM A)		GEOMETR	RIC FACTO	ORS :		THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMP TO CA	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW Apacity:		
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50	(metres)	GEOMETR	RIC FACTO	ORS : =	1.034491	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488	СОМР ТО СА	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a	= 0.0205	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = W cr =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0	(metres) (metres)	GEOMETR	RIC FACTO D E	ORS : = =	1.034491 1.089043	Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705	COMP TO CA	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c 200 c	= 0.0205 = 0.2945	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAD W = W cr = q.a-b =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr)	GEOMETR	RIC FACTO D E F	ORS : = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 C b 000000	COMP TO CA	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAI W = W cr = q a-b = q a-c =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr)	GEOMETR	RIC FACTO D E F Y	ORS : = = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88	COMP TO CA	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAI W = W cr = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAD	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418 D (ARM C)	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr)	GEOMETR	RIC FACTO D E F Y	ORS : = = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMP TO CA	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = W cr = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAL W c-b =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418 D (ARM C) 5.50	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres)	GEOMETR	D E F Y	ORS : = = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMP TO CA (PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = W or = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAL W c-b = Vr c-b =	C (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418 0 (ARM C) 5.50 30	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (metres)	GEOMETR	D E F Y	ORS : = = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMP TO CA (PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = W cr = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAL W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418 D (ARM C) 5.50 30 350	(metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (metres) (pcu/hr)	GEOMETR	D E F Y	ORS : = = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-0 = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMP TO CA (PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAI W = W cr = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAL W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a = q c-b =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418 D (ARM C) 5.50 30 350 20.49	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (metres) (pcu/hr)	GEOMETR	D E F Y	ORS : = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88	Comp To CA (PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = Q ab = q ab = q ab = q ac = MAJOR ROAL W c-b = Vrc-b = q c-a = q c-b =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418 D (ARM C) 5.50 30 350 20.49	(metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr)	GEOMETR	D E F Y	ORS : = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-a = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88	(PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = W or = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAL W c-b = V c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD	C (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 10 418 0 (ARM C) 5.50 30 350 20.49 0 (ARM B)	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr)	GEOMETR	RIC FACTO D E F Y	ORS : = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	(PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = W or = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAL W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD W b-a =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418 D (ARM C) 5.50 350 20.49 D (ARM B) 6.00	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr)	GEOMETR	RIC FACTO E F Y	ORS : = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	(PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAL W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-b = MINOR ROAD W b-a = W b-c =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418 D (ARM C) 5.50 300 3500 20.49 P (ARM B) 6.00 6.00	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (metres)	GEOMETR	D E F Y	ORS : = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	(PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
SEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = W cr = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAL W c-b = Vrc-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD W b-a = W b-c = Vrb =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 0 (ARM C) 5.50 30 350 20.49 (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 20	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (pcu/hr) (metres) (metres) (metres)	GEOMETR	D E F Y	ORS : = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	(PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = Q a-b = Q a-c = MAJOR ROAL W c-b = Vrc-b = Q c-a = Q c-b = MINOR ROAL W b-a = W b-c = Vrb-a = Vrb-a =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418 D (ARM C) 5.50 30 350 20.49 P (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 20 30	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (metres) (metres)	GEOMETR	D E F Y	ORS : = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	(PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = Q a-b = Q a-c = MAJOR ROAL W c-b = Vr c-b = Q c-a = Q c-b = MINOR ROAD W b-a = W b-c = Vr b-a = Vr b-a = Vr b-a =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418 D (ARM C) 5.50 30 350 20.49 0 (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 20 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres)	GEOMETR	D E F Y	ORS : = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	(PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC b-c DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = W cr = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAL W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD W b-a = W b-c = Vr b-a = Vr b-a =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 0 (ARM C) 5.50 30 350 20.49 0 (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres)	GEOMETR	D E F Y	ORS : = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 488 Q b-c = 705 Q c-b = 697 Q b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	(PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150 = 0.315	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS MAJOR ROAL W = Q a-b = Q a-b = Q a-c = Vr c-b = Vr c-b = Q c-a = Q c-b = MINOR ROAD W b-a = W b-c = Vr b-a = Vr b-a = Vr b-c = Q b-a = Q b-a = Q b-a =	5: D (ARM A) 10.50 0 10 418 D (ARM C) 5.50 30 350 20.49 P (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 20 30 0 10 20 10 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	(metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) (metres) (pcu/hr) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (pcu/hr)	GEOMETR	D E F Y	ORS : = = =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : () b-a = 488 () b-c = 705 () c-b = 697 () b-ac = 690.88 TOTAL FLOW = 0	(PCU/HR)	PARISION OF DESIGN FLOW APACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.2945 = 0.0294 = 0.3150	

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

J5 Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road

Year 2025 Reference Traffic Flows (PM Peak)

PROJECT NO:

DATE :

DESIGNED BY:

30/06/21 FILENAME :

	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Town Parl}\\ \text{(ARM)}\\ 10\\ \\ 10\\ \\ 11\\ \\ 413 \end{array}$	k Road North A B) 140 ====== 16 4343.7		N Ma Tin Road	NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) W = MAJOR ROAD WIL W cr = CENTRAL RESER W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVA W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVA W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVA V i-b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE Vr c-b = STREAM-SPECIFI E = STREAM-SPECIFI F = STREAM-SPECIFI Y = (1-0.0345W)	DTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) IVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) NLABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) NLABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0.2.5m) E LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0.250m) E RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0.250) E RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0.250) IC B-A IC B-C	
(ARM A)				(ARM C)			
ETRIC DETAILS:		GEOMETRIC FA	CTORS :		THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:	v
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI	M A)	GEOMETRIC FA	CTORS :		THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:	V
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI W = 1	M A) 10.50 (metres)	GEOMETRIC FA	D =	1.034491	Q b-a 491 Q b-a 700	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-a	• 0.0204
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI W = 1 W cr =	M A) 10.50 (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (nov/kr)	GEOMETRIC FA	CTORS : D = E =	1.034491 1.089043	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 O a b = 509	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC b-c	v = 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0232
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI W = 1 W cr = q a-b = g a-c =	M A) 10.50 (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (ncu/hr)	GEOMETRIC FA	D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 O b-ac = 695 97	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC c-b	V = 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI W = 7 W cr = q a-b = q a-c =	M A) 10.50 (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr)	GEOMETRIC FA	CTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	V = 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI W = W or = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (ARM	M A) 10.50 (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (pcwhr) 413 (pcwhr) 4 C)	GEOMETRIC FA	D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	 = 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186
HAJOR ROAD (ARI W = W or = q a-b = mAJOR ROAD (ARI W o-b =	M A) 10.50 (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) A C) 5.50 (metres)	GEOMETRIC FA	D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	<pre>v = 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186</pre>
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI W = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (ARM W c-b = Vr c-b =	M A) 10.50 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) A C) 5.50 (metres)	GEOMETRIC FA	LCTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	D-a 491 Q b-a 706 Q b-c 708 Q c-b 688 Q b-ac 685.97	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-a DFC b-ac	v = 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI W = W cr = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (ARM W c-b = Vr c-b = vr c-b = q c-a =	M A) 10.50 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) A C) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 344 (pcu/hr)	GEOMETRIC FA	LCTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	v = 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186
HAJOR ROAD (ARI W = qab = qac = MAJOR ROAD (ARI W cb = Vrcb = vrcb = q cb = 1	M A) 10.50 (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) A C) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 344 (pcu/hr) 6.28 (pcu/hr)	GEOMETRIC FA	LCTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	v = 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186
HAJOR ROAD (ARI W = qab = qac = MAJOR ROAD (ARI W cb = Vr cb = q ca = q cb = 1	M A) 10.50 (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) A C) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 344 (pcu/hr) 6.28 (pcu/hr)	GEOMETRIC FA	CTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	<pre>v = 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186</pre>
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI W = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (ARM W c-b = V c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD (ARM	M A) 10.50 (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) A C) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 344 (pcu/hr) 6.28 (pcu/hr) 1B)	GEOMETRIC FA	LCTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-a DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	• 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186 = 0.219
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI W = q.a-b = q.a-c = MAJOR ROAD (ARM W c-b = V r c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD (ARM W b-a =	M A) 10.50 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) A C) 5.50 (metres) 344 (pcu/hr) 6.28 (pcu/hr) 18) 6.00 (metres)	GEOMETRIC FA	CTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-a DFC c-b DFC b-ac (PCU/HR) CRITICAL DFC	• 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186 = 0.219
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI W = q a-b = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (ARM W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a = q c-b = 11 MINOR ROAD (ARM W b-a = W b-c =	M A) 10.50 (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) 6.00 (metres) 6.00 (metres) 6.00 (metres)	GEOMETRIC FA	CTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q.b-a = 491 Q.b-c = 706 Q.c-b = 698 Q.b-ac = 685.97	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	• 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186 = 0.219
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (ARI W = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (ARM W c-b = vr c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD (ARM W b-a = W b-a = Vl b-a =	M A) 10.50 (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) A C) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 344 (pcu/hr) 6.28 (pcu/hr) HB) 6.00 (metres) 6.00 (metres) 20 (metres)	GEOMETRIC FA	LCTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	• 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186 = 0.219
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (AR. W =	M A) 10.50 (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) A C) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 344 (pcu/hr) 6.28 (pcu/hr) 18) 6.00 (metres) 6.00 (metres) 20 (metres) 30 (metres)	GEOMETRIC FA	CTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	• 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186 = 0.219
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (AR W = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (ARM W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a = q c-a = q c-b = 11 MINOR ROAD (ARM W b-a = W b-c = Vi b-a = Vr b-a = Vr b-a =	M A) M (metres) 0 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 344 (pcu/hr) 6.28 (pcu/hr) 6.28 (pcu/hr) 18) 6.00 (metres) 20 (metres) 30 (metres) 0 (metres) 30 (metres)	GEOMETRIC FA	LCTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	• 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186 = 0.219
ETRIC DETAILS: MAJOR ROAD (AR W = q a-b = q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (ARM W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a = q c-b = 11 MINOR ROAD (ARM W b-a = W b-a = Vr b-a = Vr b-a = Vr b-a = Vr b-a = 4 b-a =	M A) 0 (metres) 11 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) 413 (pcu/hr) A C) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 344 (pcu/hr) 6.28 (pcu/hr) 1B) 6.00 (metres) 20 (metres) 30 (metres) 10 (me	GEOMETRIC FA	CTORS : D = E = F = Y =	1.034491 1.089043 1.078814 0.63775	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : Q b-a = 491 Q b-c = 706 Q c-b = 698 Q b-ac = 685.97 TOTAL FLOW = 0	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-a DFC c-b DFC b-ac	• 0.0204 = 0.1983 = 0.0233 = 0.2186 = 0.219
PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

J5 Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road

Year 2025 Design Traffic Flows (AM Peak) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Town Park Road North MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) W = W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) (ARM B) LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) 10 207.7 Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) Vrc-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) ╘ STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A -------D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C 9.6 _____ **1 1** 20 418 _____ **3**49.6 F STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B = Ma Tin Road Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM C) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 D = 1.034491 488 DFC b-a 0.0205 (metres) Qb-a = = W cr = 0 (metres) Е = 1.089043 Qb-c = 705 DFC b-c = 0.2945 F 1 078814 Q c-b = 697 DFC c-b 0.0294 qa-b = 10 (pcu/hr) = = qa-c = 418 (pcu/hr) Y = 0.63775 Q b-ac = 690.88 DFC b-ac 0.3150 = MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vrc-b = 30 (metres) qc-a = 350 (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) q c-b = 20.49 CRITICAL DFC = 0.315 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) (metres) W b-a = 6.00 W b-c = 6.00 (metres) VIb-a = 20 (metres) Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 0 (metres) 10 (pcu/hr) qb-a = 208 (pcu/hr) q b-c =

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

J5 Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road

Year 2025 Design Traffic Flows (PM Peak) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Town Park Road North MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) W = W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) (ARM B) LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) 10 140 Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) Vrc-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A -------D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C F STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B = Ma Tin Road Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM C) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres) D = 1.034491 491 DFC b-a 0.0204 Qb-a = = W cr = 0 (metres) Е = 1.089043 Qb-c = 706 DFC b-c = 0.1983 F 1 078814 Q c-b = 698 DEC c-h 0.0233 qa-b = 11 (pcu/hr) = = qa-c = 413 (pcu/hr) Y = 0.63775 Q b-ac = 685.97 DFC b-ac 0.2186 = MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vrc-b = 30 (metres) qc-a = 344 (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) q c-b = 16.28 CRITICAL DFC = 0.219 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) (metres) W b-a = 6.00 W b-c = 6.00 (metres) VIb-a = 20 (metres) Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 0 (metres) 10 (pcu/hr) qb-a = 140 (pcu/hr) q b-c =

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

J5 Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road	Year 2029 Reference Traffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE : 30/06/21	FILENAME :
Town Park Road North (ARM B) 10 235.3 $9.5 \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longleftarrow} 21$ $416 \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} 352.8$ (ARM A)	N NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (W W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO V W b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOF Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOF Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOF D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A E = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM C)	(minor road tum left only, 2W) Im, 1.2-9m) TEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) (EHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0.2.5cm) VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0.250m) R VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0.250) R VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0.250) R VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0.250) R VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-b (0.250)	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS :	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:	
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres) D =	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q b-a = 487	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a	= 0.0205
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres) D = W cr = 0 (metres) E =	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q b-a = 487 1.089043 Q b-c = 705	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c	= 0.0205 = 0.3338
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres) D = W cr = 0 (metres) E = q a-b = 10 (pou/hr) F =	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-c = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) D W = 10.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q a-b = 10 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 416 (pcu/hr)	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-c = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) D W = 10.50 (metres) D W cr = 0 (metres) E W cr = 10 (pcu/hr) F q a-c = 416 (pcu/hr) Y MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) E E	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q b-a = 487 1.089043 Q b-c = 705 1.078814 Q c-b = 697 0.63775 Q b-ac = 692.37 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCI	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) D W = 10.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) Q a-b = 10 (pcu/hr) Q a-c = 416 (pcu/hr) MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W c-b =	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT: 1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-c = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCL)	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{GEOMETRIC DETAILS:} & \mbox{GEOMETRIC FACTORS :} \\ \mbox{MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)} & & & & \\ \mbox{W cr} &= & 10.50 & (metres) & & & \\ \mbox{W cr} &= & 0 & (metres) & & & \\ \mbox{q a-b} &= & 10 & (pcu/hr) & & F & = \\ \mbox{q a-c} &= & 416 & (pcu/hr) & & Y & = \\ \mbox{MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)} & & & \\ \mbox{W c-b} &= & 5.50 & (metres) \\ \mbox{V r c-b} &= & 30 & (metres) \\ \end{array} $	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-c = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCI	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) D W = 10.50 (metres) D W or = 0 (metres) E q ab = 10 (pcu/hr) F q ac = 416 (pcu/hr) Y MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W V W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vr c-b = 30 (metres) Q c-a = 353 (pcu/hr)	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-c = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCI)	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{GEOMETRIC DETAILS:} & \mbox{GEOMETRIC FACTORS :} \\ \mbox{MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)} & & & & & \\ \mbox{W } c & = & 10.50 & (metres) & & & \\ \mbox{W } c r & = & 0 & (metres) & & \\ \mbox{q } a b & = & 10 & (pcu/hr) & & F & = \\ \mbox{q } a c & = & 416 & (pcu/hr) & & F & \\ \mbox{MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)} & & & \\ \mbox{W } c b & = & 5.50 & (metres) & \\ \mbox{V } r c b & = & 30 & (metres) & \\ \mbox{V } r c b & = & 30 & (metres) & \\ \mbox{q } c a & = & 353 & (pcu/hr) & \\ \mbox{q } c b & = & 21.44 & (pcu/hr) & \\ \end{array} $	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-c = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCI	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{GEOMETRIC DETAILS:} & \mbox{GEOMETRIC FACTORS:} \\ \mbox{MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)} & & \mbox{W} &= 10.50 & (metres) & \mbox{D} &= \\ \mbox{W} \mbox{cr} &= 0 & (metres) & \mbox{E} &= \\ \mbox{q} \mbox{a-b} &= 10 & (pcu/hr) & \mbox{F} &= \\ \mbox{q} \mbox{a-b} &= 10 & (pcu/hr) & \mbox{F} &= \\ \mbox{q} \mbox{a-c} &= 416 & (pcu/hr) & \mbox{Y} &= \\ \mbox{MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)} & & \mbox{W} \mbox{c-b} &= 30 & (metres) \\ \mbox{Vr} \mbox{c-b} &= 30 & (metres) \\ \mbox{Vr} \mbox{c-b} &= 353 & (pcu/hr) \\ \mbox{q} \mbox{c-b} &= 21.44 & (pcu/hr) \\ \end{array} $	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-c = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCI	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543 = 0.3543
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) D = W = 10.50 (metres) D = W or = 0 (metres) E = q a-b = 10 (pou/hr) F = q a-c = 416 (pou/hr) Y = MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vr c-b = 300 (metres) Vr c-b = 353 (pou/hr) q c-a = 353 (pou/hr) q c-b = 21.44 (pou/hr) MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 5.00 (metres) MINOR ROAD (ARM B) MINOR ROAD (ARM B) MINOR ROAD (ARM B)	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-c = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCI	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543 = 0.354
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) D W cr 10.50 (metres) Q ab 0 (metres) Q ab 10 (pcu/hr) F = Q ab 10 (pcu/hr) W cr 416 (pcu/hr) W cb 5.50 (metres) Vr cb 30 (metres) Vr cb 30 (metres) Q ca 313 (pcu/hr) Q cb 21.44 (pcu/hr) MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W ba W ba 6.00 (metres)	1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-b = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543 = 0.3543
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) D W = 10.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q ab = 10 (pcu/hr) F = q ab = MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) Y W c-b = MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) Y Vr c-b = Q c-a = q c-a = Q c-a = MINOR ROAD (ARM B) (metres) W b-a = MINOR ROAD (ARM B) (metres) W b-a = MINOR ROAD (ARM B) (metres) W b-a = W b-a = W b-a =	1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-b = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543 = 0.3543
	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-c = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCI	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543 = 0.3543
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} \mbox{GEOMETRIC DETAILS:} & \mbox{GEOMETRIC FACTORS:} \\ \mbox{MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)} & & \mbox{W} &= 10.50 & (metres) & \mbox{D} &= \\ & & \mbox{W} \ cr &= 0 & (metres) & \mbox{E} &= \\ & & \mbox{q} \ a \ b &= 100 & (p \ cu \ hr) & \mbox{F} &= \\ & & \mbox{q} \ a \ c &= 416 & (p \ cu \ hr) & \mbox{F} &= \\ & & \mbox{MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)} & \\ & & \mbox{W} \ c \ b &= 5.50 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{Vr} \ c \ b &= 30 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{Vr} \ c \ b &= 30 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{Q} \ c \ a &= 353 & (p \ cu \ hr) & \\ & & \mbox{Q} \ c \ a &= 353 & (p \ cu \ hr) & \\ & & \mbox{MINOR ROAD (ARM B)} & \\ & & \mbox{W} \ b \ c &= 6.00 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{W} \ b \ c &= 6.00 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ a &= 20 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ a &= 30 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ a &= 30 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ b \ c &= 0 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & (metres) & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 & \\ & & \mbox{VI b} \ c &= 0 &$	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-c = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCI	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.3338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543 = 0.3543
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) D W α = 10.50 (metres) D W α = 0 (metres) E q ab = 10 (pcu/hr) F q $a-c$ = 416 (pcu/hr) Y MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W V W $c-b$ = 5.50 (metres) Vr $c-b$ = 30 (metres) q $c-a$ = 353 (pcu/hr) q $c-b$ = 21.44 (pcu/hr) MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W W $b-a$ = 6.00 (metres) VI $b-a$ = 20 (metres) VI $b-a$ = 30 (metres) VI $b-a$ = 10 (pcu/hr)	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : 1.034491 Q.b-a = 487 1.089043 Q.b-c = 705 1.078814 Q.c-b = 697 0.63775 Q.b-ac = 692.37 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCI	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0205 = 0.338 = 0.0308 = 0.3543 = 0.3543

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

J5 Town Park Ro	oad North/	/la Tin Road			Year 2029 Refe	ence Traffic Flows (PM Peak)	DATE :	30/06/21	FILENAME :	
	11 418	Town Park Road North (ARM B) 10 139.3 \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow 11 \rightarrow 11 347.6			N Ma Tin Road (ARM C)	NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WI W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE V tb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGH Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGH Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGH D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B Y = (1-0.0345W)	-20m) (minor road turn left only DTH (0m, 1.2-9m) E TO VEHICLE WAITING IN S E TO VEHICLE WAITING IN S F TO VEHICLE WAITING IN S F OR VEHICLES WAITING IN IT FOR VEHICLES WAITING I IT FOR VEHICLES WAITING I IT FOR VEHICLES WAITING I	y, 2W) STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) N STREAM b-a (0-250m) IN STREAM b-a (0-250) IN STREAM b-c (0-250) IN STREAM c-b (0-250)		
GEOMETRIC DETAILS:		GEOMETRIC	FACTO	DRS :		THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	CO TO	DMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW		
MAJOR ROAD	(ARM A)									
VV =	10.50 (me	res)	D	=	1.034491	Q b-a = 491		DFC b-a	= 0.0204	
W cr =	0 (me	res)	E	=	1.089043	Q b-c = 705		DFC b-c	= 0.1976	
q a-b =	11 (pc	ı/hr)	F	=	1.078814	Q c-b = 697		DFC c-b	= 0.0152	
q a-c =	418 (pc	/hr)	Y	=	0.63775	Q b-ac = 685		DFC b-ac	= 0.2179	
MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)					TOTAL FLOW = 0	(PCU/HR)			
W c-b =	5.50 (me	res)								
Vrc-b =	30 (me	res)								
qc-a =	348 (pc	ı/hr)								
q c-b =	10.6 (pc	vnr)					-			
							C	RITICAL DFC	= 0.218	
MINOR ROAD (A	ARM B)									
W b-a =	6.00 (me	res)								
W b-c =	6.00 (me	res)								
VIb-a =	20 (me	res)								
Vrb-a =	20 (20.0	7051								
Vrp-c =	30 (Ine									
	0 (me	res)								
q b-a =	0 (me 10 (pc	res) /hr)								

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

J5 Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road

Year 2029 Design Traffic Flows (AM Peak) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Town Park Road North MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) W = W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) (ARM B) LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) 276.4 10 Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) Vrc-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) ╘ STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A -------D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C 9.5 _____ 19 419 _____ 355.4 F STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B = Ma Tin Road Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM C) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres) D = 1.034491 487 DFC b-a 0.0205 Qb-a = = W cr = 0 (metres) Е = 1.089043 Qb-c = 704 DFC b-c = 0.3926 F 1 078814 Q c-b = 696 DEC c-h 0.0269 qa-b = 9 (pcu/hr) = = qa-c = 419 (pcu/hr) Y = 0.63775 Q b-ac = 693.21 DFC b-ac 0.4131 = MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vrc-b = 30 (metres) qc-a = 355 (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) q c-b = 18.7 CRITICAL DFC = 0.413 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) (metres) W b-a = 6.00 W b-c = 6.00 (metres) VIb-a = 20 (metres) Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 0 (metres) 10 (pcu/hr) qb-a = 276 (pcu/hr) q b-c =

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

J5 Town Park R	Road No	rth/ Ma Tin Road				Year 2029 Desi	gn Traffic Flows (PM Peak)		DATE : 30/0	06/21	FILE	NAME :	
		Town Park Road (ARM B) 10 139 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1 North 9.7 10 348.4			N ↑ Ma Tin Road (ARM C)	NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) W = MAJOR ROAD WIDT W or = CENTRAL RESERVE W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILA W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILA W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILA V b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE R V r b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE R V r b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE R U r c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE R D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B E = STREAM-SPECIFIC C Y = (1-0.0345W)	H (6-20m) (mir WIDTH (0m, BLE TO VEH BLE TO VEH BLE TO VEH EFT FOR VE IGHT FOR VE IGHT FOR VE IGHT FOR VE IGHT FOR VE IGHT FOR VE IGHT FOR VE	nor road tum left only, 2W) 1.2-9m) ICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) ICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) ICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) HICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) HICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) HICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-b (0-250) HICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250)				
GEOMETRIC DETAILS:	:		GEOMETRIC	FACTO	RS :		THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :		COMPARISION OF DESIGN F TO CAPACITY:	LOW			
MAJOR ROAD	O (ARM A)												
W =	10.50	(metres)		D	=	1.034491	Q b-a = 491		DFC b-a	1	=	0.0204	
VV cr =	0	(metres)		E	=	1.089043	Q b-c = 705		DFC b-c	2	=	0.1982	
q a-b =	10	(pcu/nr)		F	=	1.078814	Q C-D = 696		DFC c-b)	=	0.0150	
q a-c =	418	(pcu/hr)		Y	=	0.63775	Q b - ac = 685.05		DFC b-a	iC	=	0.2185	
MAJOR ROAD	(ARM C)						TOTAL FLOW = 0	(PCU/HI	R)				
W c-b =	5.50	(metres)											
Vr c-b =	30	(metres)											
qc-a =	348	(pcu/hr)											
q c-b =	10.45	(pcu/hr)											
									CRITICAL DFC		=	0.219	
MINOR ROAD	(ARM B)	<pre>// · · · ·</pre>											
W b-a =	6.00	(metres)											
W b-c =	6.00	(metres)											
VI b-a =	20	(metres)											
Vrb-a =	30	(metres)											
Vr b-c =	0	(metres)											
q b-a =	10	(pcu/hr)											
q b-c =	140	(pcu/hr)											
4 5 5 -	0	(r//")											

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

J5 Town Park I	Road No	rth/ Ma Tin Road			Year 2034 R	eference Traffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE :	30/06/21	FILENAME :	
		Town Park Road (ARM B) 10 402 22 10 402 403 402	North .6 23 357.3		N Ma Tin R (ARM C)	NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-2) W or = CENTRAL RESERVE (WD) W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE : W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE : W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE : V b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT F V r b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT V r b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT V r c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A E = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B Y = (1-0.0345W)	0m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) TH (0m, 1.2-9m) TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5 TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-b (0m, 2.2-5 OR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-b (0-250) FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-b (0-250)	m))) ,		
GEOMETRIC DETAILS	S:		GEOMETRIC FA	ACTORS	:	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION OF DESI TO CAPACITY:	GN FLOW		
MAJOR ROA	D (ARM A)			_						
VV =	10.50	(metres)		D :	= 1.034491	Q b-a = 488	DF	Cb-a	= 0.0205	
- 10 W	22	(neu/br)		E ·	= 1.009043	$Q_{1-c} = 707$) D-U) c-b	= 0.0328	
qa-c =	403	(pcu/hr)		Y :	= 0.63775	Q b-ac = 699.38	DFC	C b-ac	= 0.5899	
MAJOR ROAD	D (ARM C)					TOTAL FLOW = 0	(PCU/HR)			
W c-b =	5.50	(metres)								
Vr c-b =	30	(metres)								
q c-a =	357	(pcu/hr)								
q c-b =	22 80	(ncu/hr)								
	22.05	(pourir)					CRITICAL DFC	;	= 0.590	
MINOR ROAD	D (ARM B)	(pouri)					CRITICAL DFC	;	= 0.590	
MINOR ROAE W b-a =	D (ARM B) 6.00	(metres)					CRITICAL DFC	;	= 0.590	
MINOR ROAD W b-a = W b-c =	D (ARM B) 6.00 6.00	(metres) (metres)					CRITICAL DFC	;	= 0.590	
MINOR ROAE W b-a = W b-c = VI b-a =	22.00 D (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 20	(metres) (metres) (metres)					CRITICAL DFC	;	= 0.590	
MINOR ROAE W b-a = W b-c = VI b-a = Vr b-a =	22.00 D (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 20 30	(metres) (metres) (metres) (metres)					CRITICAL DFC	;	= 0.590	
MINOR ROAE W b-a = W b-c = VI b-a = Vr b-a = Vr b-c =	22.00 D (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 20 30 0	(metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres)					CRITICAL DFC	;	= 0.590	
MINOR ROAE W b-a = W b-c = VI b-a = Vr b-a = Vr b-c = q b-a =	22.00 D (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 20 30 0 10	(metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (pcu/hr)					CRITICAL DFC	;	= 0.590	
MINOR ROAE W b-a = W b-c = Vrb-a = Vrb-a = Vrb-c = q b-a = q b-c =	22.03 D (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 20 30 0 10 403	(metres) (metres) (metres) (metres) (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr)					CRITICAL DFC	;	= 0.590	

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

J5 Town Park R	Road North/ Ma Tin Roa	ad	Year 2034 Refer	ence Traffic Flows (PM Peak)	DATE : 30/	06/21 FILENAME :	
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Town Park} \\ (\text{ARM} \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 21 \\ 366 \end{array}$	Road North B) 2221.7 \downarrow 221.7 \downarrow 221.7 \downarrow 21 350	N Ma Tin Road (ARM C)	NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO \ W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO \ W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO \ W c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOF Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOF Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOF D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B Y = (1-0.0345W)	(minor road tum left only, 2W) 0m, 1.2-9m) /EHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) /EHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-b (2.2-5m) /EHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) R VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) R VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) R VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-b (0-250) R VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250)		
GEOMETRIC DETAILS:		GEOMETRIC FACTORS :		THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION OF DESIGN I	FLOW	
MAJOR ROAD	(ARM A)						
W =	10.50 (metres)	D =	1.034491	Q b-a = 499	DFC b-	a = 0.0200	
W cr =	0 (metres)	E =	1.089043	0 h c = 717	5501	0.0001	
qa-b =	21 (ncu/br)				DFC D-	c = 0.3091	
	21 (pou/iii)	F =	1.078814	Q c-b = 707	DFC 6-	c = 0.3091 c = 0.0298	
qa-c =	366 (pcu/hr)	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q c-b = 707 Q b-ac = 703.73	DFC 6- DFC 6- DFC b-	c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292	
q a-c =	366 (pcu/hr) (ARM C)	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q c-b = 707 Q b-ac = 703.73 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PC	UFC 6-1 DFC 6-1 DFC 6-1	c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292	
q a-c = MAJOR ROAD W c-b =	(ARM C) 5.50 (metres)	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q c-b = 707 Q b-ac = 703.73 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PC)	DFC 6-1 DFC 6-1 DFC b-1	c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292	
q a-c = MAJOR ROAD W c-b = Vr c-b =	(ARM C) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres)	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q ob = 707 Q ob = 703.73 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PC	U/HR)	c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292	
q a-c = MAJOR ROAD W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a =	(ARM C) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 350 (pcu/hr)	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q cb = 707 Q cb = 703.73 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PC	UFC 6- DFC 6- DFC 6-	c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292	
qa-c = MAJOR ROAD W c-b = Vrc-b = q c-a = q c-b =	21 (poullit) 366 (poullit) (ARM C)	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q cb = 707 Q cb = 703.73 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PC		c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292	
q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD ((ARM C) 366 (pcu/hr) (ARM C) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 350 (pcu/hr) 21.1 (pcu/hr) (ARM B)	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q ob = 707 Q ob = 703.73 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PC	U/HR)	c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292 = 0.329	
q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (W c-b = V c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD (W b-a =	(ARM C) 366 (pcu/hr) (ARM C) 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 350 (pcu/hr) 21.1 (pcu/hr) (ARM B) 6.00 (metres)	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q cb = 707 Q cb = 703.73 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PC	U/HR)	c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292 = 0.329	
q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD (W b-a = W b-c =	(ARM C) 5.50 (metres) 300 (metres) 350 (pcu/hr) 21.1 (pcu/hr) (ARM B) 6.00 (metres) 6.00 (metres)	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q cb = 707 Q cb = 703.73 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PC	U/HR) CRITICAL DFC	c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292 = 0.329	
q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD (W b-a = V b-a =	21 (pount) 366 (pount) 6.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 350 (pountr) 21.1 (pountr) 21.1 (pountr) (ARM B) 6.00 (metres) 6.00 (metres) 20 20 (metres) 20	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q ob = 707 Q ob = 703.73 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PC	U/HR)	c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292 = 0.329	
q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD (W b-a = W b-c = VI b-a = Vr b-a =	21 (pcu/hr) 366 (pcu/hr) (ARM C) 5.50 5.50 (metres) 30 (metres) 350 (pcu/hr) 21.1 (pcu/hr) (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 (metres) 20 (metres) 30 (metres)	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q ob = 707 Q ob = 703.73 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PC	U/HR) CRITICAL DFC	c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292 = 0.329	
q a-c = MAJOR ROAD (W c-b = Vr c-b = q c-a = q c-b = MINOR ROAD (W b-a = W b-c = Vr b-a = Vr b-a = Vr b-a =	21 (pcu/hr) 366 (pcu/hr) (ARM C) 5.50 30 (metres) 350 (pcu/hr) 21.1 (pcu/hr) (ARM B) 6.00 6.00 (metres) 20 (metres) 30 (metres) 30 (metres) 30 (metres)	F = Y =	1.078814 0.63775	Q cb = 707 Q cb = 703.73 TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PC	U/HR) CRITICAL DFC	c = 0.3091 b = 0.0298 ac = 0.3292 = 0.329	

qb-a q b-c = 222 (pcu/hr)

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

J5 Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road

Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows (AM Peak) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Town Park Road North MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) W = W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) (ARM B) LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) 409.5 10 Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) Vrc-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) ╘ STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A -------D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C 22 <u>1</u> <u>23</u> 23 404 <u>357.5</u> F STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B = Ma Tin Road Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM C) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 (metres) D = 1.034491 488 DFC b-a 0.0205 Qb-a = = W cr = 0 (metres) Е = 1.089043 Qb-c = 707 DFC b-c = 0.5792 F 1 078814 Q c-b = 697 DFC c-b 0.0325 qa-b = 22 (pcu/hr) = = qa-c = 404 (pcu/hr) Y = 0.63775 Q b-ac = 699.51 DFC b-ac 0.5997 = MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vrc-b = 30 (metres) qc-a = 358 (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) q c-b = 22.68 **CRITICAL DFC** = 0.600 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) (metres) W b-a = 6.00 W b-c = 6.00 (metres) VIb-a = 20 (metres) Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 0 (metres) 10 (pcu/hr) qb-a = 409 (pcu/hr) q b-c =

PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

PROJECT NO:

DESIGNED BY:

J5 Town Park Road North/ Ma Tin Road

Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows (PM Peak) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Town Park Road North MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) W = W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) (ARM B) LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) 10 220.9 Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) Vrc-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) ╘ STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A -------D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C F STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B = Ma Tin Road Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM C) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 10.50 D = 1.034491 Qb-a = 498 DFC b-a 0.0201 (metres) = W cr = 0 (metres) Е = 1.089043 Qb-c = 717 DFC b-c = 0.3080 F 1 078814 Q c-b = 707 DFC c-b 0.0306 qa-b = 20 (pcu/hr) = = qa-c = (pcu/hr) Y = 0.63775 Q b-ac = 703.6 DFC b-ac 0.3281 366 = MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) TOTAL FLOW = 0 (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vrc-b = 30 (metres) qc-a = 350 (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) q c-b = 21.6 **CRITICAL DFC** = 0.328 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) (metres) W b-a = 6.00 W b-c = 6.00 (metres) VIb-a = 20 (metres) Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 0 (metres) 10 (pcu/hr) qb-a = 221 (pcu/hr) q b-c =

OVE	ARU	P & P/	ARTN	ERS							TRAFF	IC SIG	NAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site Fo	ormation	n Long Bi	n, Yuen L	.ong													PROJECT N	10:						
J6 Yue	n Long Ta	Yuk Road/	/ Ma Tin R	oad			Year 201	8 Observed	Traffic F	lows (AM F	eak)						DATE :	3	80-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
																1								
									Tai Mala	Deed							No. of stage	s per cycle			N =	4		
								Yuen Long	I al Yuk	Road				•			No. of stage	using for ca	alculation		N =	4		
																	Cycle time				C =	120	sec	
								B1	B2	B3							Sum(y)				Y =	0.382	000	
								50	88	111				I			Loss time				L =	27	sec	
					A1	224											Total Flow				=	703	pcu	
					A2	236	→		¥	└→		Ma Tin Ro	ad											
					€	Ť		T	82	C1							Co	= (1.5*L+5)	/(1-Y)		=	73.6	sec	
						I		←	64	C2							Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	43.7	sec	
					17	325	140	↓ ↓	78	C3							Yult				=	0.698		
					D1	D2	D3										R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/Y	/*100%		=	82.7	%	
																	Cp Vmov	= 0.9*L/(0.9	9-Y)		=	46.9	sec	
								1									TIIIAX	- 1-L/C			-	0.775		
																	R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	x-Y)/Y*1009	6	=	82.7	% (Optimized)	
																1	- (-)	1	<i>Γ</i>				(-1 /	
																Pedestrian	Width	Green T	ime Require	ed (s)	Green Ti	ime Provide	ed (s)	Check
1	1	10	N I		1	.1		3	1	101		4				Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
	/ f	+	+ 2		Jth			-	.)	1 A+	1	MA TIN ROAD		-	- 1,3,4	E	7.8	5	2	7	73	2	7	ОК
A)	G		MA TIN ROAD		-	-	MA TIN RU	pi	HD		Kp		P	- 1,2	F	7.6	5	2	6	63	2	6	ОК
C	P		Gp	đ	PV	4	GD		0	-	C			0	1,2,4	G	7.2	5	2	6	83	2	6	OK
	K A	EP DOUG			NA	LOWC A		-	1	1/1	K ROAD			TUK RO	3	н	8.0	5	2	7	20	2	7	OK
	TEPO	YUEN TAL YU) IB	TAL YU			1		14 1			IAI	1,2,3		7.5	5	2	6	92	2	6	OK
															234	ĸ	7.3	5	2	6	79	2	6	OK
ST	AGE 1	INT=	5	STA	GE 2	INT=	10	STAG	E 3	INT=	11	STAG	E4 INT=	5	1	L	10.0	5	2	8	23	2	8	OK
						•							•											
Move	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	Ν	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
																				27				
A1	1	3.50			15		N	2105	224			224	1.00	1914			1914	0.117	0.120		29	29	0.481	34
A2	1	3.50			45		N	1965	47	236		236	0.00	1965			1965	0.120	0.440		29	29	0.493	36
D1,D2		3.80			30		N	2130	17	230	140	252	0.07	1036			1036	0.119	0.119		29	29	0.492	38 35
C1	3	3.00			20		N	2055		30	82	82	1 00	1930			1930	0.043	0.078		29 10	29 19	0.495	14
C2.C3	3	3.00			15			1915	78	64	<u>~</u>	142	0.55	1815			1815	0.078	0.010		19	19	0.493	24
B1,B2	4	3.50		1	25		N	2105	-	83	50	133	0.37	2059			2059	0.064	0.065		16	16	0.490	23
B2,B3	4	3.50		1	15			1965	111	5		116	0.95	1794			1794	0.065			16	16	0.493	20
		1																						
		1																						
	-																							

N - NEAR SIDE LANE

SG - STEADY GREEN

FG - FLASHING GREEN

PEDESTRIAN WALKING SPEED = 1.2m/s

OVE	ARU	P & P/	ARTN	ERS							TRAFF	IC SIG	NAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site For	rmation ir	n Long Bir	n, Yuen L	ong													PROJECT N	10:						
J6 Yuen	Long Tai	Yuk Road/	Ma Tin R	oad			Year 201	8 Observed	Traffic F	lows (PM P	eak)						DATE :	÷	30-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
																1								
																	No. of stage	s per cycle			N =	3		
								Yuen Long	Tai Yuk	Road				•			No. of stage	using for c	alculation		N =	4		
																	Cuelo timo				c -	120		
								B1	B2	B3											V =	0.407	560	
								92	139	166							Loss time				=	0.407	sec	
					A1	185 -	1	52									Total Flow				=	999	DCU	
					A2	258	→		Ļ			Ma Tin Roa	ad									000	pou	
					← _1	1	\rightarrow	Ť	106	C1		•					Co	= (1.5*L+5)	/(1-Y)		=	76.8	sec	
									122	C2							Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	45.5	sec	
					19	209	94		52	C3							Yult				=	0.698		
					D1	D2	D3	+									R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/Y	(*100%		=	71.3	%	
																	Ср	= 0.9*L/(0.9	9-Y)		=	49.3	sec	
																	Ymax	= 1-L/C			=	0.775		
																	R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	x-Y)/Y*1009	%	=	71.3	% (Optimized)	
				<u> </u>								1			1		1	1			1			
				l.												Pedestrian	Width	Green T	ime Require	ed (s)	Green T	ime Provide	d (s)	Check
1	1.0	10	Ť	2	1.	0 10	l	3		100		4	/ In /			Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG -	
MA TIN ROAD	10			NA TIN ROAD		0		MA TIN F	NDAD /	10		MA TIN ROA	a Jo U	-	1,3,4	E	7.8	5	2	(84	2	/	OK
A		G	2	Kp		2	P=		KDI			K	5	I GD	1,2	F	7.6	5	2	6	53	2	6	OK
C	TLP -	6 19	60	-	RY	- 50 /9	1 GD		-		0	1	501	NC	1,2,4	G	7.2	5	2	6 7	81	2	0 7	OK
	×	L DNC							F		UK RONG		YEP U (NUK 10	5 123		0.0 7.5	5	2	6	85	2	6	OK
) ED C	TALY		_) IB	ALC: N					TAL		1 13	T	4	י .ו	7.3	5	2	6	19	2	6	OK
															234	ĸ	7.3	5	2	6	78	2	6 6	OK
STA	AGE 1	INT=	5	STA	GE 2	INT=	10	STAG	E 3	INT=	11	STAG	E4 INT=	5	1	L	10.0	5	2	8	24	2	8	OK
L													•											
Move-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	Ν	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	у	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
																				27				
A1	1	3.50		1	15		Ν	2105	185			185	1.00	1914			1914	0.097	0.131		22	30	0.387	28
A2	1	3.50		1				1965		258		258	0.00	1965			1965	0.131			30	30	0.525	39
D1,D2	2	3.80		1	15		Ν	2135	19	149		168	0.11	2111			2111	0.079	0.080		18	18	0.524	28
D2,D3	2	3.80		1	30			1995		60	94	154	0.61	1936			1936	0.080			18	18	0.525	26
C1	3	3.00		1	20		N	2055			106	106	1.00	1912			1912	0.056	0.093		13	21	0.313	18
C2,C3	3	3.00			15			1915	52	122	~~	174	0.30	1860			1860	0.093	0.405		21	21	0.525	29
B1,B2	4	3.50			25		N	2105	100	119	92	211	0.44	2051			2051	0.103	0.103		23	23	0.525	34
B2,B3	4	3.50		1	15			1965	166	20		186	0.89	1804			1804	0.103			23	23	0.525	30

OV	/E A	RUF	P & P/	ARTN	ERS							TRAFF	IC SIG	NAL CALC	CULAT	ION									
Site	Forma	ation in	Long Bir	n, Yuen L	ong			-										PROJECT N	10:						
J6 Yւ	uen Lo	ng Tai Y	/uk Road/	Ma Tin R	oad			Year 202	5 Reference	e Traffic I	lows (AM I	Peak)						DATE :	3	30-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
																	1	r							
																		No. of stage	s per cycle			N =	4		
								1	Yuen Long	Tai Yuk	Road				•			No. of stage	using for ca	alculation		N =	4		
															Ţ.			Out the time				0	400		
									D1	D 2	D2							Cycle time				C =	120	Sec	
									БI 163	BZ 166	вз 173							Sum(y)				Y =	0.525	500	
						۸1	276	1	103		1/3							Loss une				L - _	1072	Sec	
						A2	330			Ļ			Ma Tin Roa	he				TOLATTION				-	1072	pcu	
						<u>√∠</u>	<u>000</u>	, ,	- <u>t</u>	13/	C1		•					Co	= (1 5*1 +5)	/(1 ₋ V)		=	95.7	500	
									-	134	C2							Cm	= (1.0 E · 0) = 1 /(1-Y)	"(1-1)		=	56.8	sec	
						100	344	114		31	C3							Yult	_/(: : /			=	0.698		
						D1	D2	D3	+	-								R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/Y	/*100%		=	32.9	%	
																		Ср	= 0.9*L/(0.9	9-Y)		=	64.7	sec	
																		Ymax	= 1-L/C	-		=	0.775		
																		R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	x-Y)/Y*1009	%	=	32.9	% (Optimized)	
																,			1						
																	Pedestrian	Width	Green T	ime Require	ed (s)	Green T	ime Provide	d (s)	Check
1			L	N I ↑ 2		1	10		3	1			4	lni			Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
TIN ROAD	/	t t	-	+ .		10	+				102	1	MA TIN ROAD	J Jot N		- 1,3,4	E	7.8	5	2	7	77	2	7	OK
A	_		(P)		Kp I		G		KA TIN K		HE		Kpl	> 1	P GO	- 1,2	F	7.6	5	2	6	59	2	6	OK
C	P		i	0	e	ZY	-	GD		0		6			0	1,2,4	G	7.2	5	2	6	87	2	6	OK
	1LB	_ <u><u>f</u>-</u>	L ROAD			NA	ED TONOL X		-	1	-A (/	IK ROAL			TUK RO	3	н	8.0	5	2	/ 6	16	2	(OK
	1	Ep	TAL YUEN) IB	TAL YUEN) E		141 11		1 15	IVI	1,2,3		7.3	5	2	6	10	2	6	OK
																234	ĸ	7.3	5	2	6	78	2	6	OK
	STAG	E 1	INT=	5	STA	GE 2	INT=	10	STAG	E 3	INT=	11	STAG	E4 INT=	5	1	L	10.0	5	2	8	24	2	8	ОК
	-		•											•											
Mov	ve-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	Ν	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
me	nt		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	у	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
			m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
																					27				
A	1	1	3.50		1	15		Ν	2105	276			276	1.00	1914			1914	0.144	0.168		26	30	0.580	41
A	2	1	3.50		1				1965		330		330	0.00	1965			1965	0.168			30	30	0.677	50
D1,I	D2	2	3.80		1	15		N	2135	100	186		286	0.35	2063			2063	0.139	0.139		25	25	0.677	46
D2,I	D3	2	3.80		1	30			1995		158	114	271	0.42	1954			1954	0.139			25	25	0.677	43
C.	1	3	3.00		1	20		N	2055			134	134	1.00	1912			1912	0.070	0.087		12	15	0.542	23
C2,	C3	3	3.00			15			1915	31	134		164	0.19	1880			1880	0.087	0.10-		15	15	0.677	29
B1,	B2	4	3.50			25		N	2105	170	101	163	264	0.62	2030			2030	0.130	0.130		23	23	0.677	43
B2,	ചാ	4	3.50		1	15			1965	1/3	CO		238	0.73	1832			1832	0.130			23	23	0.075	38

OV	ΕA	RUF	P & P/	ARTN	ERS							TRAFF	IC SIG	NAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site F	orma	ation in	Long Bir	n, Yuen L	ong													PROJECT N	10:						
J6 Yu	en Lor	ng Tai Y	/uk Road/	Ma Tin Ro	oad			Year 202	5 Reference	e Traffic I	Flows (PM I	Peak)						DATE :	3	30-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
																	1	No. of store				N -	4		
									Yuen Long	Tai Yuk	Road							No. of stage	using for c	alculation		N =	4		
										Tai Tuk	Noau							NO. OF Stage	using for ca	acculation		N -	4		
																		Cycle time				C =	120	sec	
									B1	B2	B3							Sum(y)				Y =	0.562		
								♠	165	196	218				I			Loss time				L =	27	sec	
						A1	330											Total Flow				=	1190	рси	
						A2	216	\rightarrow	← _' ≠	¥			Ma Tin Roa	ad											
						•	Ť			207	C1							Co	= (1.5*L+5)	/(1-Y)		=	103.9	sec	
						I	I	I		126	C2							Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	61.7	sec	
						93	362	75	↓ ↓	18	C3							Yult	- 00-4-20-2	(*4.00%)		=	0.698	0/	
						U1	D2	D3										R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/	-100% A-V)		=	24.1	% Sec	
																		Ymax	= 0.9 L/(0.8			=	0 775	360	
									1									THUX	1 2/0				0.110		
																		R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	x-Y)/Y*100	%	=	24.1	% (Optimized)	
																-	-		-						
																	Pedestrian	Width	Green T	ime Require	ed (s)	Green T	ime Provide	d (s)	Check
1	1	0 "	L	× ↑ 2		1			3	1			4	lni			Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
MA TIN ROAD)	t U	-	1	TIN 0040	210			MA TIN RO		10	1	MA TIN ROAD	J-J-t	+	- 1,3,4	E	7.8	5	2	7	80	2	7	OK
A	_	/	P		Kp I		G		K	01	HC HC		Kp	> 1	P GO	- 1,2	F	7.6 7.0	5	2	6	55	2	6	OK
C	LD		10	i0	-	RY.		GD	-		-7	0			000	1,2,4	Ч	8.0	5	2	0 7	04 19	2	7	OK
	Y	- [] -	- Jone Ha			NO	CIC BON			+-		AL RO			TUK	1.2.3		7.5	5	2	6	83	2	6	OK
)	cp -	AL			/ IB	TAL		г	1.		IAI	l T	1 15	14	4	J	7.3	5	2	6	21	2	6	OK
																2,3,4	к	7.3	5	2	6	80	2	6	ок
S	TAGE	E 1	INT=	5	STA	GE 2	INT=	10	STAG	iE 3	INT=	11	STAG	E4 INT=	5	1	L	10.0	5	2	8	22	2	8	ОК
			1												1		1	1	-	-	1				
Mov	e- 3	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	N	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
mer	nt		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
			m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		У	sec	Sec	sec	X	m.
Δ1		1	3 50		1	15		N	2105	330			330	1 00	1914			1914	0 172	0 172	21	28	28	0 725	50
A2		1	3.50		1				1965	550	216		216	0.00	1965			1965	0.110			18	28	0.464	33
D1,0	02	2	3.80		1	15		N	2135	93	179		272	0.34	2065			2065	0.131	0.132		22	22	0.725	44
D2,0	03	2	3.80		1	30			1995		183	75	259	0.29	1966			1966	0.132			22	22	0.725	42
C1		3	3.00		1	20		N	2055			207	207	1.00	1912			1912	0.108	0.108		18	18	0.725	35
C2,0	3	3	3.00		1	15			1915	18	126		145	0.13	1891			1891	0.077			13	18	0.513	25
B1,E	32	4	3.50		1	25		N	2105		141	165	306	0.54	2039			2039	0.150	0.150		25	25	0.725	49
B2,E	33	4	3.50		1	15			1965	218	55		273	0.80	1820			1820	0.150			25	25	0.725	43
						-		-									1			1	1				

N - NEAR SIDE LANE

SG - STEADY GREEN

FG - FLASHING GREEN

PEDESTRIAN WALKING SPEED = 1.2m/s

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION	
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long		PROJECT NO:
J6 Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road Year 202	25 Design Traffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE : 30-Jun-21 FILENAME :
	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road	No. of stages per cycleN =4No. of stage using for calculationN =4
A1 276	B1 B2 B3 163 166 173	Cycle timeC =120 secSum(y)Y = 0.525 Loss timeL =27 secTotal Flow= 1072 pcu
A2 330	$ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	Co= $(1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)$ = 95.7 secCm= $L/(1-Y)$ = 56.8 secYult= 0.698 R.C.ult= $(Yult-Y)/Y*100\%$ = 32.9 %Cp= $0.9*L/(0.9-Y)$ = 64.7 sec
		Ymax = 1-L/C = 0.775 R.C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100% = 32.9 % (Optimized)
		Pedestrian Width Green Time Required (s) Green Time Provided (s) Check Phase (m) SG Delay FG SG Delay FG
MA TIN ROAD A Kp1	Hol Kol C C 1,3,4	E 7.8 5 2 7 77 2 7 OK F 7.6 5 2 6 59 2 6 OK G 7.2 5 2 6 87 2 6 OK
	Source Market State Stat	H 8.0 5 2 7 16 2 7 OK I 7.5 5 2 6 85 2 6 OK
STAGE 1 INT= 5 STAGE 2 INT= 10	4 2,3,4 STAGE 3 INT= 11 STAGE 4 INT= 5 1	J 7.3 5 2 6 19 2 6 OK K 7.3 5 2 6 78 2 6 OK L 10.0 5 2 8 24 2 8 OK
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius O N ment Width Iane m m m m m	Straight- m Total Proportion Sat. Uphill Sat. Ahead Left Straight Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient Sat. Left Straight Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient	Short lane Revised g g Degree of Queuing Effect Sat. Flow y Greater L (required) (input) Saturation Length pcu/h pcu/h v sec sec sec X m
A1 1 3.50 1 15 N A2 1 3.50 1 1 15 N D1,D2 2 3.80 1 15 N D2,D3 2 3.80 1 30 N C1 3 3.00 1 20 N C2,C3 3 3.00 1 15 N B1,B2 4 3.50 1 25 N B2,B3 4 3.50 1 15 N	2105 276 276 1.00 1914 1965 330 330 0.00 1965 2135 100 186 286 0.35 2063 1995 158 114 271 0.42 1954 2055 134 134 1.00 1912 1915 31 134 164 0.19 1880 2105 101 163 264 0.62 2030 1965 173 65 238 0.73 1832	1914 0.144 0.168 27 26 30 0.580 41 1965 0.168 30 30 0.677 50 2063 0.139 0.139 25 25 0.677 46 1954 0.139 25 25 0.677 43 1912 0.070 0.087 12 15 0.542 23 1880 0.087 15 15 0.677 43 1820 0.130 0.130 23 23 0.677 43 1832 0.130 0.130 23 23 0.675 38

Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen LongPROJECT NO:J6 Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadYear 2025 Design Traffic Flows (PM Peak)DATE :30-Jun-21FILENAME :Vuen Long Tai Yuk RoadVuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation in Long Tai Yuk RoadImage: Site Formation Image: Site Formation	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} A1 & 330 \\ A2 & 216 \\ B1 & B2 & B3 \\ 165 & 196 & 218 \\ A2 & 216 \\ B1 & D2 & D3 \end{array} A1 & 330 \\ \hline \\ A2 & 216 \\ D1 & D2 & D3 \end{array} A2 & 216 \\ \hline \\ A1 & 330 \\ \hline \\ A2 & 216 \\ \hline \\ A1 & 207 \\ \hline \\ C1 \\ \hline \\ C2 & C1 \\ \hline \\ C3 & C2 \\ \hline \\ C3 & C1 \\ \hline \\ C3 & C1 \\ \hline \\ C3 & C2 \\ \hline \\ C4 & C1 \\ \hline \\ C4 & C1 \\ C4 & C1 \\ \hline \\ C5 & C1 \\ \hline \\ C6 & C1 \\ C7 \\ C7 & C1 \\ \hline \\ C6 & C1 \\ C7 \\ C7 \\ C7 \\ C7 \\ C1 \\ \hline \\ C7 \\ C7 \\ C1 \\ \hline \\ C7 \\ C1 \\ C0 \\ C1 \\ C1 \\ C1 \\ C1 \\ C1 \\ C1$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ing d)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ing d)
A1 330 \rightarrow	ing d)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ing d)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ing d)
$\begin{vmatrix} & & & \\ 93 & 362 & 75 \\ D1 & D2 & D3 \\ \end{vmatrix} \xrightarrow{4} 18 & C3 \\ = 0.698 \\ R.C.ult = (Yult-Y)/Y^*100\% = 24.1 \% \\ Cp = 0.9^*L/(0.9-Y) = 71.9 \text{ sec} \\ Ymax = 1-L/C = 0.775 \\ \end{vmatrix}$	ing d)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	i d)
D1 D2 D3 R.C.ult = $(Yult-Y)/Y^{*100\%}$ = 24.1 % Cp = $0.9^{*}L/(0.9-Y)$ = 71.9 sec Ymax = 1-L/C = 0.775	i d)
$\begin{array}{ccc} Cp &= 0.9^{-1}/(0.9^{-1}) &= 71.9 \ \text{sec} \\ \\ Ymax &= 1-L/C &= 0.775 \end{array}$	inad
	i= - d)
	in a d)
R.C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100% = 24.1% (Optin	nzea)
Pedestrian Width Green Time Required (s) Green Time Provided (s)	Check
Phase (m) SG Delay FG SG Delay FG	
	ОК
$\frac{MA TIN ROAD}{A} \qquad	OK
12,4 G 7.2 5 2 6 84 2 6	OK
	OK
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OK
2.3.4 K 7.3 5 2 6 80 2 6	ОК
STAGE 1 INT= 5 STAGE 2 INT= 10 STAGE 3 INT= 11 STAGE 4 INT= 5 1 L 10.0 5 2 8 22 2 8	ОК
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius O N Straight- m Total Proportion Sat. Uphill Short lane Revised g G Degra	e of Queuing
ment Width lane Ahead Left Straight Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient Effect Sat. Flow y Greater L (required) (input) Satur	tion Length
m. m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h % pcu/h pcu/h y sec sec sec x	m.
AI I 5.50 I I5 N 2105 530 530 1.00 1914 1914 1914 0.172 0.172 28 28 0.72) 5U 4 33
A2 1 3.00 1 100 210 0.00 1805 0.10 18 28 0.40 D1D2 2 3.80 1 15 N 2135 93 170 272 0.34 2065 0.131 0.132 22 22 22	5 <u>4</u> 4
D2.D3 2 3.80 1 30 1995 183 75 259 0.29 1966 196 0.132 22 22 22 0.7	5 42
C1 3 3.00 1 20 N 2055 207 207 1.00 1912 1912 0.108 0.108 18 18 0.77	5 35
C2,C3 3 3.00 1 15 1915 18 126 145 0.13 1891 1891 0.077 13 18 0.5	3 25
B1,B2 4 3.50 1 25 N 2105 141 165 306 0.54 2039 2039 0.150 0.150 25 25 0.72	5 49
B2,B3 4 3.50 1 15 1965 218 55 273 0.80 1820 1820 0.150 25 25 0.72	5 43

N - NEAR SIDE LANE

SG - STEADY GREEN

FG - FLASHING GREEN

PEDESTRIAN WALKING SPEED = 1.2m/s

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	TRAFFIC S	IGNAL CALCULATION		
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long			PROJECT NO:	
J6 Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road	Year 2029 Reference Traffic Flows (AM Peak)		DATE : 30-Jun-21	FILENAME :
	Vuen Long Tai Vuk Road		No. of stages per cycle	N = 4
		▲		N - 4
			Cycle time	C = 120 sec
	B1 B2 B3		Sum(y)	Y = 0.535
	▲ 167 184 182	I	Loss time	L = 27 sec
A1	290		Total Flow	= 1092 pcu
A2	343	Road		
↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	↑ → I - 131 C1		Co = (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)	= 97.9 sec
	← 135 C2		Cm = L/(1-Y)	= 58.1 sec
100	333 107 31 C3		Yult	= 0.698
D1	U2 U3		R.C.ult = $(Yult-Y)/Y^*100\%$	= 30.3 %
			$V_{max} = 1 - 1 / C$	= 0.775
	I			- 0.110
			R.C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 30.3 % (Optimized)
		Pedes	destrian Width Green Time Required (s)	Green Time Provided (s) Check
	4 3 J. o IP	Pha	Phase (m) SG Delay FG	SG Delay FG
		ROAD - JP - 1,3,4 E	E 7.8 5 2 7	79 2 7 OK
A Kp Kp	Kp1 Hol	Kol 1,2 F	F 7.6 5 2 6	59 2 6 OK
			G 7.2 5 2 6	87 2 6 OK
				84 2 6 OK
			J 7.3 5 2 6	20 2 6 OK
		2,3,4 K	К 7.3 5 2 6	78 2 6 OK
STAGE 1 INT= 5 STAGE 2 I	INT= 10 STAGE 3 INT= 11 ST	AGE 4 INT= 5 1 L	L 10.0 5 2 8	24 2 8 OK
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius	O N Straight- m Tota	Proportion Sat. Uphill Short	ort lane Revised	g g Degree of Queuing
ment Width lane	Ahead Left Straight Right Flov	of Turning Flow Gradient Effe	Effect Sat. Flow y Greater L	(required) (input) Saturation Length
m m.	Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h	n Vehicles pcu/h % pcu	ocu/h pcu/h y sec	sec sec X m.
	N 2105 200 200	1 00 1914	1914 0 151 0 175	26 30 0.508 43
	1965 343 343	0.00 1965	1965 0.175	30 30 0.690 51
D1,D2 2 3.80 1 15	N 2135 100 177 277	0.36 2061	2061 0.135 0.135	23 23 0.690 45
D2,D3 2 3.80 1 30	1995 156 107 263	0.41 1955	1955 0.135	23 23 0.690 42
C1 3 3.00 1 20	N 2055 131 131	1.00 1912	1912 0.069 0.088	12 15 0.539 23
C2,C3 3 3.00 1 15	1915 31 135 165	0.19 1880	1880 0.088	15 15 0.690 29
B1,B2 4 3.50 1 25	N 2105 113 167 280	0.60 2032	2032 0.138 0.138	24 24 0.688 45
B2,B3 4 3.50 1 15	1965 182 71 253	0.72 1833	1833 0.138	24 24 0.690 40

OVE	ARU	P & P/	ARTN	ERS							TRAFF	IC SIG	NAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site Fo	ormation i	n Long Bi	n, Yuen L	.ong													PROJECT N	10:						
J6 Yue	n Long Tai	Yuk Road	/ Ma Tin R	oad			Year 202	9 Reference	e Traffic I	Flows (PM F	Peak)						DATE :	3	80-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
																1								
								Vuon Long	Tai Vuk	Dood							No. of stage	s per cycle	louistion		N =	4		
								Yuen Long	таг тик	Road				•			NO. OF Stage	using for ca	aculation		N =	4		
																	Cvcle time				C =	120	sec	
								B1	B2	B3							Sum(y)				Y =	0.564		
							•	168	189	213				I			Loss time				L =	27	sec	
					A1	334											Total Flow				=	1181	pcu	
					A2	216	\rightarrow	← _!	¥			Ma Tin Roa	ad											
					←	Ť		T	214	C1							Co	= (1.5*L+5)	/(1-Y)		=	104.4	sec	
					I	I			122	C2							Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	61.9	sec	
					91	358	75		18	C3							Yult				=	0.698		
					D1	D2	D3										R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/Y	/*100%		=	23.6	%	
																	Up Vmax	= 0.9*L/(0.9	9-Y)		=	/2.4 0.775	Sec	
								I									TITIAX	- 1-L/C			-	0.775		
																	R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	x-Y)/Y*1009	%	=	23.6	% (Optimized)	
																1	- \-/	1	<i>Γ</i>				(-1 /	
																Pedestrian	Width	Green T	ime Require	ed (s)	Green T	ime Provide	ed (s)	Check
1	1	10	N I			.1		3	1	101		4				Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
	/ ft	+	+ 2		JIA			-		1 A+		MA TIN ROAD		-	- 1,3,4	E	7.8	5	2	7	81	2	7	ОК
A		-		MA TIN ROAD		-		MA TIN RO		(Hp	5	Kp		P	- 1,2	F	7.6	5	2	6	55	2	6	ОК
C	P		Gp	đ	PV	4	GD		0		C			0	1,2,4	G	7.2	5	2	6	84	2	6	OK
	h A	EP ONOL			NA	LOWC A		-	1	1/2	K ROAD			TUK RO	3	н	8.0	5	2	7	19	2	7	OK
	TED	TAL YU) IB	TAL YU			16				1 15	IAI	1,2,3		7.5	5	2	6	84 20	2	6	OK
															234	ĸ	7.3	5	2	6	79	2	6	OK
ST	AGE 1	INT=	5	STA	GE 2	INT=	10	STAG	E 3	INT=	11	STAG	E4 INT=	5	1	L	10.0	5	2	8	23	2	8	OK
						•									•									
Move	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	Ν	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
																				27				
A1	1	3.50			15		N	2105	334			334	1.00	1914			1914	0.174	0.174		29	29	0.728	51
A2	1	3.50			45		NI.	1965	04	216		216	0.00	1965			1965	0.110	0.400		18	29	0.459	33
D1,D2		3.80		1	15		N	2135	91	1//	75	268	0.34	2065			2065	0.130	0.130		21	21	0.727	44
C1	3	3.00		1	20		N	2055		101	214	230	1.00	1900			1900	0.130	0 112		18	18	0.728	42 36
C2.C3	3	3.00			15			1915	18	122	217	141	0.13	1890			1890	0.075	0.112		12	18	0.485	24
B1,B2	4	3.50		1	25		N	2105		133	168	301	0.56	2037			2037	0.148	0.148		24	24	0.728	48
B2,B3	4	3.50		1	15			1965	213	56		269	0.79	1821			1821	0.148			24	24	0.727	43
L	1	1	1	I	1	1			1			1		1	1	1	I						1	

N - NEAR SIDE LANE

SG - STEADY GREEN

FG - FLASHING GREEN

PEDESTRIAN WALKING SPEED = 1.2m/s

OVE	ARU	P & P/	ARTN	ERS							TRAFF	IC SIG	NAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site Fo	ormation i	n Long Bir	n, Yuen L	ong													PROJECT N	10:						
J6 Yue	n Long Tai	Yuk Road/	Ma Tin R	oad			Year 202	9 Design Tr	raffic Flow	vs (AM Pea	k)						DATE :	3	30-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
																1	N							
								Vuon Long	Toi Vuk	Pood							No. of stage	s per cycle	algulation		N =	4		
								ruen Long		Roau				•			NO. OF Stage	using for ca	aculation		IN -	4		
														_			Cvcle time				C =	120	sec	
								B1	B2	B3							Sum(y)				Y =	0.551		
							▲	166	183	175				I			Loss time				L =	27	sec	
					A1	297											Total Flow				=	1088	рси	
					A2	380	\rightarrow	← _!	¥			Ma Tin Roa	ad											
					↓	Ť		Τ	136	C1							Co	= (1.5*L+5)	/(1-Y)		=	101.3	sec	
					I	I		←	135	C2							Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	60.1	sec	
					100	335	102	\mathbf{I}	31	C3							Yult				=	0.698		
					D1	D2	D3										R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/Y	/*100%		=	26.6	%	
																	Ср	= 0.9^L/(0.9	9-Y)		=	69.6	SEC	
								I									TIIIdX	- 1-L/C			-	0.775		
																	R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	x-Y)/Y*1009	6	=	26.6	% (Optimized)	
																1	- \-/	1	7				<u> </u>	
																Pedestrian	Width	Green T	ime Require	ed (s)	Green T	me Provide	d (s)	Check
1	1		*			. 1		3	1	10		4				Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
	1tft		‡ 2		JIA					1 A	-	MA TIN ROAD		-	- 1,3,4	E	7.8	5	2	7	79	2	7	OK
A)	P	-	MA TIN ROAD		-	2	MA TIN RU		HD		Kp	5 / 0	P	- 1,2	F	7.6	5	2	6	60	2	6	ОК
C	P	i	50	e	PV	4	GD		5	-	C			01	1,2,4	G	7.2	5	2	6	87	2	6	OK
	S_A	FP JOOD X	-		NA	E RONG		-	1	1/1	K ROAL			TUK RO	3	н	8.0	5	2	/ 6	16	2	/ 6	OK
	Ep O	TAL YUEN) IB	TAL		F) E		14 IVI		1 12	141	1,2,3	י ו	7.3	5	2	6	19	2	6	OK
															2.3.4	ĸ	7.3	5	2	6	75	2	6	OK
ST	AGE 1	INT=	5	STA	GE 2	INT=	10	STAG	E 3	INT=	11	STAG	E4 INT=	5	1	L	10.0	5	2	8	27	2	8	OK
	-	-	-		-	_	-					_					-	-	-					
Move	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	N	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
		0.55						0.405						404.5			4044	0.455	0.405	27	~~	~~	0.570	
A1		3.50			15		N	2105	297	200		297	1.00	1914			1914	0.155	0.193		26	33	0.570	43 55
A2	1	3.5U 2.20			15		N	1905	100	38U		380	0.00	1905			1905	0.193	0 134		33 22	33 22	0.711	25 15
20,10	2	3.80		1	30		IN	1995	100	175	102	275	0.30	1957			1957	0.134	0.134		23	23	0.709	43
C1	3	3.00		1	20		N	2055		100	136	136	1.00	1912			1912	0.071	0.088		12	15	0.575	24
C2,C3	3	3.00		1	15			1915	31	135		166	0.18	1880			1880	0.088			15	15	0.711	29
B1,B2	4	3.50		1	25		N	2105		109	166	275	0.60	2031			2031	0.136	0.136		23	23	0.711	45
B2,B3	4	3.50		1	15			1965	175	74		249	0.70	1836			1836	0.136			23	23	0.711	40
					-																			·

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION		
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long	1	PROJECT NO:	
J6 Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road Year 2029 Design Traffic Flows (PM P	ak)	DATE : 30-Jun-21	FILENAME :
		r	
		No. of stages per cycle	N = 4
Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road	•	No. of stage using for calculation	N = 4
		Quala fina	0 100
			C = 120 sec
BI BZ B3 168 184 213		Sum(y)	Y = 0.578
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ma Tin Road	T OLAT T DW	- 1203 pcu
		$C_{0} = (1.5^{*1} + 5)/(1-Y)$	= 107.8 sec
		Cm = 1/(1-Y)	= 64.0 sec
91 414 74 F 18 C3		Yult	= 0.698
D1 D2 D3		R.C.ult = $(Yult-Y)/Y^*100\%$	= 20.7 %
		Cp = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y)	= 75.4 sec
		Ymax = 1-L/C	= 0.775
•			
		R.C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 20.7 % (Optimized)
		Pedestrian Width Green Time Required (s	s) Green Time Provided (s) Check
	4	Phase (m) SG Delay FG	SG Delay FG
	MA TIN ROAD Jp 1,3,4	E 7.8 5 2 7	79 2 7 ОК
MA TIN ROAD U MA TIN ROAD MA TIN ROAD WA TIN ROAD U		F 7.6 5 2 6	56 2 6 OK
	1,2,4	G 7.2 5 2 6	84 2 6 OK
		H 8.0 5 2 7	19 2 7 OK
		I 7.5 5 2 6	84 2 6 OK
	2 4	J 7.3 5 2 6	20 2 6 OK
	2,3,4	K 7.3 5 2 6	80 2 6 OK
STAGE1 INT= 5 STAGE2 INT= 10 STAGE3 INT=	11 STAGE 4 INT= 5 1	L 10.0 5 2 8	22 2 8 OK
Move Stage Lane Phase No of Padius O N Straight m	Total Proportion Sat Liphill	Short Jane Revised	a a Degree of Queuing
ment Width lane Abead Left Straight	Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient	Effect Sat Flow y Creater	y y Degree of Queuring
m m Sat Elow peu/h peu/h	ngin row or unning row Gradient	peu/b peu/b v s	sec sec X m
			27
A1 1 3.50 1 1 15 N 2105 335	335 1.00 1914	1914 0.175 0.175	28 28 0.746 51
A2 1 3.50 1 1 1965 216	216 0.00 1965	1965 0.110	18 28 0.468 33
D1,D2 2 3.80 1 15 N 2135 91 206	297 0.31 2072	2072 0.143 0.143	23 23 0.746 48
D2,D3 2 3.80 1 30 1995 208	74 282 0.26 1969	1969 0.143	23 23 0.745 46
C1 3 3.00 1 20 N 2055	217 217 1.00 1912	1912 0.113 0.113	18 18 0.746 37
C2,C3 3 3.00 1 15 1915 18 124	142 0.13 1890	1890 0.075	12 18 0.494 24
B1,B2 4 3.50 1 25 N 2105 130	168 298 0.56 2036	2036 0.146 0.146	24 24 0.746 48
B2,B3 4 3.50 1 15 1965 213 54	266 0.80 1820	1820 0.146	24 24 0.745 43

OV	E AR	UP &	PAR	RTNE	ERS							TRAFF	IC SIG	NAL CALC	CULAT	ION									
Site F	ormatio	n in Lon	g Bin, Y	′uen Lo	ong													PROJECT N	10:						
J6 Yue	en Long	ai Yuk R	oad/ Ma	a Tin Ro	ad			Year 203	4 Reference	e Traffic F	lows (AM F	^p eak)						DATE :	3	80-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
																	l	N				N			
									Vuen Long	Tai Vuk	Pood							No. of stage	s per cycle	alculation		N =	4		
									Tueri Long		Ruau				*			NO. OF Stage	using for ca	alculation		N -	4		
															<u> </u>			Cycle time				C =	120	sec	
									B1	B2	B3							Sum(y)				Y =	0.618		
									172	204	176				I			Loss time				L =	27	sec	
						A1	311											Total Flow				=	1142	рси	
						A2	473	\rightarrow	← ┘ ÷	↓	└-•		Ma Tin Roa	ad											
						▲	Ť	\rightarrow	<u> </u>	137	C1							Co	= (1.5*L+5)	/(1-Y)		=	119.1	sec	
						I		I	←	137	C2							Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	70.7	sec	
						99	397	90	\mathbf{I}	31	C3							Yult				=	0.698		
						D1	D2	D3										R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/Y	/*100%		=	12.9	%	
																		Cp Vmax	= 0.9°L/(0.9°	9-Y)		=	86.2	Sec	
									1									TIIIdA	- 1-L/C			-	0.775		
																		R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	x-Y)/Y*100%	6	=	12.9	% (Optimized)	
																				,				, , ,	
																	Pedestrian	Width	Green T	ime Require	ed (s)	Green T	ime Provide	ed (s)	Check
1	1	101				1			3	1			4	loi			Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
THE 0040	11	95		† 2		JIA					10	1	MA TIN ROAD	J	-	1,3,4	E	7.8	5	2	7	80	2	7	ОК
A			-		Kp I		-	-	MA TIN RU	p1	Hp		Kp	5 / 0	P	1,2	F	7.6	5	2	6	63	2	6	ОК
C	P		1 GD	-	e	PV	4	GD		6	-	C			ON	1,2,4	G	7.2	5	2	6	89	2	6	OK
	100	A	K ROAD	-		NA	LONG H		-	1	_A (/	K ROAD			YUK RO	3	н	8.0	5	2	/ 6	14	2	(OK
	EP	VUEN) IB	TAL Y) E		14 141		1 12	ž	1,2,3	і 	7.3	5	2	6	17	2	6	OK
																2.3.4	ĸ	7.3	5	2	6	72	2	6	ок
S	TAGE 1	IN	Г=	5	STA	GE 2	INT=	10	STAG	E 3	INT=	11	STAG	E4 INT=	5	1	L	10.0	5	2	8	30	2	8	OK
														•											
Move	e- Sta	ge La	ne P	hase	No. of	Radius	0	Ν	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
men	t	Wi	dth		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		n				m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
																					27				
A1		3.5	U		1	15		N	2105	311	470		311	1.00	1914			1914	0.163	0.241		24	36	0.539	43
A2	1	3.5	0		1	45		N	1965	00	4/3		473	0.00	1965			1965	0.241	0.140		36	36	0.797	66
	2 2	3.0	0		1	10		N	2130	99	202	00	285	0.33	2007			2007	0.140	0.140		22	22	0.797	49
C1	3 2	3.0	0		1	20		N	2055		195	137	137	1.00	1904			1904	0.143	0.089		11	13	0.795	24
C2.C	3 3	3.0	0		1	15			1915	31	137		168	0.18	1880			1880	0.089	0.000		13	13	0.797	30
B1,B	2 4	3.5	0		1	25		N	2105	-	118	172	290	0.59	2033			2033	0.143	0.143		21	21	0.797	48
B2,B	3 4	3.5	0		1	15			1965	176	86		262	0.67	1841			1841	0.142			21	21	0.797	43
						1	I	1					1					1	1						

	OVE	ARU	P & P/	ARTN	ERS							TRAFF	IC SIG	NAL CALC	CULAT	ION									
	Site Form	nation in	Long Bir	n, Yuen L	ong													PROJECT N	10:						
	J6 Yuen L	ong Tai `	Yuk Road/	Ma Tin R	oad			Year 203	4 Reference	e Traffic I	Flows (PM F	Peak)						DATE :	3	80-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
																	1	No. of stars				N			
									Vuoniona		Dood							No. of stage	s per cycle	louistion		N =	4		
									ruen Long	Tal Yuk	Road				•			NO. OF Stage	using for ca	aculation		N =	4		
															_			Cvcle time				C =	120	sec	
									B1	B2	B3							Sum(y)				Y =	0.570		
								•	181	187	203				I			Loss time				L =	27	sec	
						A1	325											Total Flow				=	1200	pcu	
						A2	251	→	↓	¥			Ma Tin Roa	ad											
						▲	Ť		Τ	188	C1							Co	= (1.5*L+5)	/(1-Y)		=	105.7	sec	
						Ι			←	121	C2							Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	62.7	sec	
						92	462	69	\mathbf{I}	19	C3							Yult				=	0.698		
						D1	D2	D3										R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/Y	/*100%		=	22.4	%	
																		Up Vmax	= 0.9^L/(0.9	9-Y)		=	73.6 0.775	SEC	
									I									TIIIdX	- 1-L/C			-	0.775		
																		R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	x-Y)/Y*100%	6	=	22.4	% (Optimized)	
																			`	,					
																	Pedestrian	Width	Green T	ime Require	ed (s)	Green T	ime Provide	ed (s)	Check
1			1	* I	_	1	1.1		3	1			4	loi			Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
		/+ []-	-	‡ 2		JtA					1 A+	-	MA TIN ROAD	J	-	- 1,3,4	E	7.8	5	2	7	77	2	7	ОК
A	N ROAD		P		Kp I	_		-	MA TIN RU	p1	Hp		Kpl		P	1,2	F	7.6	5	2	6	58	2	6	OK
	P		i	5p	đ	ZY		GD		0		6			040	1,2,4	G	7.2	5	2	6	86	2	6	OK
	The second secon		R ROM	-		NA	K ROAC			1	-1 (/	IK ROAL			TUK R	3 123	н	8.0	5	2	6	17 84	2	6	OK
		EP U	TAL YUEN) IB	TAL		F) e		141 71		1 12	141	4	J	7.3	5	2	6	20	2	6	OK
																2.3.4	ĸ	7.3	5	2	6	80	2	6	ОК
	STAG	E 1	INT=	5	STA	GE 2	INT=	10	STAG	E 3	INT=	11	STAG	E 4 INT=	5	1	L	10.0	5	2	8	22	2	8	ОК
			-																						
	Move-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	Ν	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
	ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
			m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		У	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
	A.4	A	2.50		4	45		N1	2405	205			205	4.00	1014			1014	0.470	0.470	27	00	00	0.705	50
	A1 42	י ז	3.50		1	15		IN	2105	325	251		325	1.00	1914			1914	0.170	0.170		28	28	0.735	0C 20
	74 D1 D2	י 2	3.80		1	15		N	2135	92	201		310	0.00	2075			2075	0.120	0 154		∠1 25	20 25	0.000	50
	D2.D3	2	3.80		1	30		IN .	1995	52	235	69	303	0.23	1973			1973	0.154	0.104		25	25	0.735	48
	C1	3	3.00		1	20		Ν	2055			188	188	1.00	1912			1912	0.098	0.098		16	16	0.735	33
	C2,C3	3	3.00		1	15			1915	19	121		139	0.13	1890			1890	0.074			12	16	0.550	24
	B1,B2	4	3.50		1	25		Ν	2105		119	181	300	0.60	2032			2032	0.148	0.148		24	24	0.733	48
	B2,B3	4	3.50		1	15			1965	203	68		270	0.75	1828			1828	0.148			24	24	0.735	43

N - NEAR SIDE LANE

SG - STEADY GREEN

FG - FLASHING GREEN

PEDESTRIAN WALKING SPEED = 1.2m/s

		ANUr	~ & P <i>F</i>	4K I NI	FK2							TRAFF	IC SIG	NAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
	Site Form	nation in	Long Bin	n, Yuen L	ong						l							PROJECT N	10:						
	J6 Yuen L	ong Tai `	/uk Road/	Ma Tin Ro	bad			Year 203	4 Design Tr	affic Flow	vs (AM Peal	k)						DATE :	3	30-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
									Yuen Long	Tai Yuk I	Road							No. of stage No. of stage	s per cycle using for ca	alculation		N = N =	4		
						۵1	310 -	Ţ	B1 172 	B2 198	B3 172 				-			Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time				C = Y = L =	120 0.616 27 1133	sec	
						A2	473 1 395	95		137 136 31	C1 C2 C3		Ma Tin Roa	ad				Co Cm Yult	= (1.5*L+5) = L/(1-Y)	/(1-Y)		= = =	118.4 70.3 0.698	sec sec	
						D1	D2	D3										R.C.ult Cp Ymax	= (Yult-Y)/Y = 0.9*L/(0.9 = 1-L/C	/*100% 9-Y)		= = =	13.3 85.5 0.775	% sec	
																		R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	ux-Y)/Y*1009	%	=	13.3	% (Optimized)	
		1 1		N I			. 1		3	1	10	_	4]	Pedestrian Phase	Width (m)	Green T SG	ime Require Delay	ed (s) FG	Green Ti SG	me Provide Delay	d (s) FG	Check
MA T	N ROAD	10	-+	+ 2	TIN ROAD	10	10-		MA TIN RO		10		MA TIN ROAD	J det	-	- 1,3,4	E	7.8	5	2	7	80	2	7	OK
A			P		Kpl	5/	G		K		HD C		Kpl	> / °	P GD	- 1,2	F G	7.6 7.2	5	2	ь 6	63 89	2	6 6	OK OK
_	14	0.1	P . 000	_		5/0	Fp 90	1		-	0/10	OND		S n/	ROAD	3	H	8.0	5	2	7	14	2	7	OK
	7	VED U	LON LON			110	EN LON			TE		YUK R		TED T S	AI YUK	1,2,3	I	7.5	5	2	6	87	2	6	ОК
		1	IN I			1.8	TAI		Г	1			Ì			4	J	7.3	5	2	6	17	2	6	ОК
						07.0										2,3,4	ĸ	7.3	5	2	6	72	2	6	OK
	STAG	jE 1	IN I =	5	STA	GE 2	IN I =	10	STAG	E 3	IN I =	11	STAG	E4 INI=	5] 1	L	10.0	5	2	8	30	2	8	ŬK
	Move-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	Ν	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
	ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
			m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
			0.50			45			0405	040			040	4.00	1011			1011	0.400	0.044	27	05	00	0.550	
	A1 A2	1	3.50 3.50		1	15		IN	2105	319	473		473	0.00	1914			1914	0.100	0.241		25 36	36	0.550	44 66
	D1,D2	2	3.80		1	15		N	2135	99	203		302	0.33	2067			2067	0.146	0.146		22	22	0.794	49
	D2,D3	2	3.80		1	30			1995		192	95	287	0.33	1963			1963	0.146			22	22	0.794	47
	C1	3	3.00		1	20		Ν	2055			137	137	1.00	1912			1912	0.072	0.089		11	13	0.639	24
	C2,C3	3	3.00		1	15			1915	31	136	(70	167	0.18	1880			1880	0.089	0.440		13	13	0.794	30
	B1,B2 B2 B3	4 4	3.50		1	25 15		N	2105 1965	172	112 86	1/2	284 258	0.61	2031			2031	0.140	0.140		21 21	21 21	0.792	47 43
	62,63	4	3.50		I	15			1903	172	80		236	0.67	1842			1842	0.140			21	21	0.794	43

OV	E ARU	P & P/	ARTN	ERS							TRAFF	IC SIG	NAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site F	ormation i	n Long Bi	n, Yuen L	ong													PROJECT N	10:						
J6 Yue	n Long Tai	Yuk Road/	/ Ma Tin R	oad			Year 203	84 Design Ti	raffic Flov	ws (PM Pea	k)						DATE :	:	30-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
																1								
								Vuon Long		Dood							No. of stage	s per cycle	algulation		N =	4		
								ruen Long	Tal tuk	Roau				•			NO. OF Stage	using for ca	acculation		N -	4		
																	Cycle time				C =	120	sec	
								B1	B2	B3							Sum(y)				Y =	0.571		
							•	181	180	202				I			Loss time				L =	27	sec	
					A1	324											Total Flow				=	1199	рси	
					A2	251	\rightarrow	← _!	¥			Ma Tin Roa	ad											
					•	1		T	196	C1							Co	= (1.5*L+5)	/(1-Y)		=	106.0	sec	
					Ι		I		121	C2							Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	62.9	sec	
					92	457	69		19	C3							Yult				=	0.698		
					D1	D2	D3										R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/Y	(*100%		=	22.2	%	
																	Ср	$= 0.9^{L}/(0.9^{-1})$	9-Y)		=	/3.8	Sec	
								I									TINAX	- 1-L/C			-	0.775		
																	R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	x-Y)/Y*1009	6	=	22.2	% (Optimized)	
																1	- (-)	1	/				<u> </u>	
																Pedestrian	Width	Green T	ime Require	ed (s)	Green T	ime Provide	ed (s)	Check
1	1	10	N I		1	.1			1	101		4	1:0 1			Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
	1 ft		ŧ 2		Jth			-		1 A+		MA TIN ROAD		-	- 1,3,4	E	7.8	5	2	7	77	2	7	ОК
A	/)*	G		MA TIN ROAD				MA TIN RO	AD I	(Hp	5	Kp		P	- 1,2	F	7.6	5	2	6	58	2	6	ОК
C	P		Gp	đ	PV	4	GD	-	0		c			0	1,2,4	G	7.2	5	2	6	85	2	6	OK
	K_A	LONO A			NA	P ROAD		-	1		K ROAD		TEP - (TUK RO	3	н	8.0	5	2	7	18	2	7	OK
	LED O	YUEN TAL YU) IB	TAL YU		-) e				1 15	IAI	1,2,3		7.5	5	2	6	04 20	2	6	OK
															234	ĸ	7.3	5	2	6	20 80	2	6	OK
S	TAGE 1	INT=	5	STA	GE 2	INT=	10	STAG	E 3	INT=	11	STAG	E4 INT=	5	1	L	10.0	5	2	8	22	2	8	OK
Move	- Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	Ν	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
men	t I	Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
		0.50			, I			0.107										0.400	0.455	27	0.5		0.700	
A1		3.50		1	15		N	2105	324	054		324	1.00	1914			1914	0.169	0.169		28	28	0.736	50
A2		3.50		1	15		N	1905	02	251		251	0.00	1965			1905	0.128	0.152		21	28	0.555	39 50
01,0	2 2	3.80		1	30		IN	1995	92	225	69	301	0.29	1972			1972	0.153	0.155		25 25	25 25	0.736	48
C1	3	3.00		1	20		N	2055		202	196	196	1.00	1912			1912	0.102	0.102		17	17	0.736	34
C2,C	3 3	3.00		1	15			1915	19	121		140	0.13	1890			1890	0.074			12	17	0.531	24
B1,B	2 4	3.50		1	25		N	2105		115	181	296	0.61	2031			2031	0.146	0.146		24	24	0.736	47
B2,B	3 4	3.50		1	15			1965	202	65		266	0.76	1827			1827	0.146			24	24	0.736	43
-			-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-		-	-	-	-		-	-				·

$\frac{2}{10} \frac{1}{10} \frac$	OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION		
$ \frac{1}{2} + 1$	Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long		PROJECT NO:	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline \hline \\ $	J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road Year 2018 Obse	erved Traffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE : 30-Jun-21	FILENAME :
$\frac{1}{92} + \frac{1}{92} $	Shui P	Pin Wai Interchange	No. of stages per cycle No. of stage using for calculation	N = 4 N = 4
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		A1 F1	Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow	C = 120 sec Y = 0.498 L = 33 sec = 2482 pcu
$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{380} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{380} + \frac{1}{1} + $	↓ ↓ 376 469 439 B1 B2 C1	548 E1 Long Ping Road 326 D1	Co = $(1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)$ Cm = L/(1-Y) Yult R.C.ult = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% Cp = $0.9*L/(0.9-Y)$	= 108.5 sec = 65.7 sec = 0.653 = 31.1 % = 73.8 sec
Stage 1 Stage 2 F Stage 3 F Stage 4 Check MT= Check Stage 4 Check <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ymax = 1-L/C R.C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%</td> <td>= 0.725 = 31.1 % (Optimized)</td>			Ymax = 1-L/C R.C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 0.725 = 31.1 % (Optimized)
Image Int= 7 STAGE 1 NT= 7 STAGE 2 NT= 12 STAGE 4 INT= 6 Image Lane Phase No.d Radius O N Stage Lane Phase No.d Radius O N Stage Lane Phase No.d Reduce O N Stage Lane Phase Statuston Length Phase Reg/ned Creater L (required) (riput) Statuston Length B1 1 3.80 1 60 N 1965 200 200 0.00 1905 11905 0.145 0.145 25 25 0.088 44 B2 1 3.80 1 30 N 1995 275 1.00 1902 1905 0.145 25 25 0.088 44 B2 1 3.80 2 N 4070 1020 11902 0.145 25	Stage 1 Stage 2 F Stage	e 3 F Stage 4	edestrian Width Green Time Required (s) Phase (m) SG Delay FG	Green Time Provided (s) Check SG Delay FG
Invie Invie <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>				
ment rines rines <thr< td=""><td>STAGE 1 INT= 7 STAGE 2 INT= 12 STAGE Moves Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius O N Straig</td><td>GE 3 INT= 12 STAGE 4 INT= 6</td><td>hort lane Revised</td><td>a a Degree of Queuing</td></thr<>	STAGE 1 INT= 7 STAGE 2 INT= 12 STAGE Moves Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius O N Straig	GE 3 INT= 12 STAGE 4 INT= 6	hort lane Revised	a a Degree of Queuing
B1 1 3.80 1 60 N 195 290 290 290 0.00 1926 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 30 N 1955 25 25 0.685 46 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 30 N 1955 275 1.00 1906 0.145 0.145 25 25 0.685 46 B2 1 3.80 1 30 N 1955 275 1.00 1906 0.145 0.145 25 25 0.686 43 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 N 4070 489 489 0.00 4070 4070 0.120 1 21 21 0.686 24 A1 2 3.50 2 70 N 4070 466 0.00 6175 6175 0.066 0.066 111 11 0.686 24 D1 3 3.20 1 25 N 1935 326 1.00 1825 1825 1825 0.179 0.179 131 31 0.686 37 C1 4 4.50 2 30 N 427 <	ment Width lane m. Sat. F	ead Left Straight Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient Flow pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	Effect Sat. Flow y Greater L pcu/h pcu/h y sec	(required) (input) Saturation Length sec sec X m.
	B1 1 3.80 1 60 N 1998 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 30 N 1998 B2 1 3.80 1 30 N 1998 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 N 4070 A1 2 3.50 3 N 6178 E1 3,4 3.50 2 70 N 4070 D1 3 3.20 1 25 N 1938 C1 4 4.50 2 30 N 4270 Image: Second	95 290 290 0.00 1995 95 86 194 280 0.69 1928 95 275 275 1.00 1900 70 489 489 0.00 4070 75 406 406 0.00 6175 70 548 548 1.00 3985 35 326 326 1.00 1825 70 439 439 1.00 4067 100 100 100 100 100 101 102 100 1825 100 1825 102 439 439 1.00 4067 100 101 101 101 101 101 101 102 101 101 101 101 101	33 1995 0.145 1928 0.145 1900 0.145 4070 0.120 6175 0.066 3985 0.137 1825 0.179 4067 0.108	25 25 0.686 46 25 25 0.685 44 25 25 0.684 43 21 21 0.686 40 11 11 0.686 24 24 24 0.686 48 19 19 0.686 37

OVE	ARUI	P & P/	ARTN	ERS							TRAF	FIC SIG	GNAL CAL	CULAT	ION									
Site For	mation in	Long Bi	n, Yuen I	Long			Voor 201		Troffic F								PROJECT	NO:	20 Jun 21					
J7 Shui F	'n wai n	terchange	/ Long Pir	ig Road			rear 201	18 Observed		IOWS (PIN P	чеак)						DATE:		30-Jun-21		FILENAME :			
]	No. of stag	es per cycle			N =	4		
								Shui Pin V	/ai Intercl	hange				•			No. of stag	e using for c	alculation		N =	4		
									A1	F1				_ I			Cycle time				C =	120	sec	
									279	354							Sum(y)				Y =	0.421		
														I			Loss time				L =	33	sec	
								▲	+				-				Total Flow				=	2095	pcu	
									284	D1			Long Ping Road				Co	= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)		=	94.1	sec	
					I	♥	+	+									Cm	= L/(1-Y)			=	57.0	sec	
					397	363	366										Yult				=	0.653		
					B1	B2	C1										R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/	Y*100%		=	55.0	%	
																	Cp Ymax	= 0.9 ⁻ L/(0. = 1-L/C	9-1)		=	0.725	sec	
								•																
																	R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Yma	ax-Y)/Y*100	%	=	55.0	% (Optimized))
]	Pedestrian	Width	Green	Time Requir	red (s)	Green T	ime Provide	ed (s)	Check
Stage 1			-	Stage 2				 			_			_	F	Phase	(m)	SG	i Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
		6						Stage 3			F	Stage 4)									
	/	/	X							E←	-(/	E	•										
				_			A	_						c										
	Bi												\		I									
		-																						
STAGE 1		INT=	7	STAGE 2	2	INT=	12	STAGE 3		INT=	12	STAGE 4	INT=	6										
Move-	Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	Ν	Straight-	m			Total	roportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment		Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	f Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
		m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		У	sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
B1	1	3.80		1	60		N	1995		260		260	0.00	1995			1995	0.130	0.130	33	27	27	0.581	40
B1,B2	1	3.80		1	30		N	1995		137	116	253	0.46	1950			1950	0.130			27	27	0.579	39
B2	1	3.80		1	30		Ν	1995			247	247	1.00	1900			1900	0.130			27	27	0.579	38
F1	2,3,4	3.50		2			N	4070		354		354	0.00	4070			4070	0.087	0.045		18	18	0.581	30
E1	2 3.4	3.50 3.50		2	70		N	4070		279	565	565	1.00	3985			6175 3985	0.045	0.045		9 29	9 29	0.581	43
D1	3	3.20		1	25		N	1935	284			284	1.00	1825			1825	0.156	0.156		32	32	0.581	42
C1	4	4.50		2	30		Ν	4270			366	366	1.00	4067			4067	0.090	0.090		19	19	0.581	31
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	·	-	-	-	-	-	•		-	-	-	-	-	-	- -
NOTE :	'0 - 0	PPOSING	TRAFFIC	; N-	NEAR SI	DE LANE		SG - STE	ADY GRE	EN	FG - FLASH	ING GREEI	Ν		PEDEST	RIAN WALKIN	G SPEED =	1.2m/s		QUEUI	NG LENGTH =	AVERAGE	QUEUE * 6m	

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION			
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long	I		PROJEC	NO:	
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2025 Reference Traffic Flows (AM	l Peak)	DATE :	30-Jun-21	FILENAME :
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	•	No. of sta No. of sta	ges per cycle ge using for calculation	N = 4 N = 4
	A1 F1 477 578		Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flov	2	C = 120 sec Y = 0.571 L = 33 sec = 3001 pcu
	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ </td <td>Long Ping Road</td> <td>Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax</td> <td>= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) = 1-L/C</td> <td>= 127.0 sec = 76.9 sec = 0.653 = 14.3 % = 90.2 sec = 0.725</td>	Long Ping Road	Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax	= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) = 1-L/C	= 127.0 sec = 76.9 sec = 0.653 = 14.3 % = 90.2 sec = 0.725
			R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 14.3 % (Optimized)
Stage 1 Stage 2	F Stage 3	F Stage 4	Pedestrian Width Phase (m)	Green Time Required (s) SG Delay FG	Green Time Provided (s) Check SG Delay FG
B STAGE 1 INT= 7 STAGE 2	A A E A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				
			1		
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of ment Width Iane m. m.	Radius O N Straight- Ahead m m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h	Total Proportion Sat. Uphill Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	Short lane Revised Effect Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h	v y Greater L y sec	g g Degree of Queuing (required) (input) Saturation Length sec sec X m.
B1 1 3.80 1 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 B2 1 3.80 1 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 A1 2 3.50 3 E1 3,4 3.50 2 D1 3 3.20 1 C1 4 4.50 2	60 N 1995 352 30 N 1995 271 30 N 1995 1 30 N 4070 578 N 6175 477 70 N 4070 25 N 1935 488 30 N 4270	352 0.00 1995 77 348 0.22 1973 336 336 1.00 1900 578 0.00 4070 477 0.00 6175 735 735 1.00 3985 488 1.00 1825 386 386 1.00 4067	1995 1973 1900 4070 6175 3985 375 2200 4067	0.176 0.177 0.176 0.177 0.176 0.177 0.142 0.077 0.184 0.222 0.095 0.095	27 27 0.785 55 27 27 0.785 54 27 27 0.787 52 22 22 0.787 47 12 12 0.787 56 34 34 0.787 70 14 14 0.787 34
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N - N	NEAR SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASHING GREEN PEDESTRIA	N WALKING SPEED =	: 1.2m/s QUEL	JING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION			
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long			PROJI	ECT NO:	
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2025 Reference Traffic Flows (P	/I Peak)	DATE	: 30-Jun-21	FILENAME :
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	•	No. of No. of	stages per cycle stage using for calculation	N = 4 N = 4
	A1 F1 373 470		Cycle f Sum(y Loss ti Total F	ime) ne Iow	C = 120 sec Y = 0.462 L = 33 sec = 2793 pcu
	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Long Ping Road	Co Cm Yult R.C.ul Cp Ymax	= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) = 1-L/C	= 101.4 sec = 61.4 sec = 0.653 = 41.1 % = 67.9 sec = 0.725
			R.C.(0) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 41.1 % (Optimized)
Stage 1 Stage 2	F Stage 3	F Stage 4	Pedestrian Wic Phase (m	th Green Time Required (s)) SG Delay FG	Green Time Provided (s) Check SG Delay FG
STAGET INTE 7 STAGE2	INT= 12 STAGE 3 INT=	12 STAGE 4 INT = 0			
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of ment Width Iane m. m.	Radius O N Straight- Ahead m m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h	Total Proportion Sat. Uphill t Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	Short lane Revise Effect Sat. F pcu/h pcu/h	d Flow y Greater L y sea	g g Degree of Queuing (required) (input) Saturation Length c sec sec X m.
B1 1 3.80 1 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 B2 1 3.80 1 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 A1 2 3.50 3 E1 3,4 3.50 2 D1 3 3.20 1 C1 4 4.50 2	60 N 1995 315 30 N 1995 200 30 N 1995 1 30 N 1995 470 N 4070 470 N 6175 373 70 N 4070 25 N 1935 30 N 4270	315 0.00 1995 110 310 0.35 1960 300 300 1.00 1900 470 0.00 4070 373 0.00 6175 923 923 1.00 3985 313 1.00 1825 413 413 1.00 4067	199 196 190 407 617 398 375 220 406	5 0.158 0.158 0.158 0 0.158 0 0.158 0 0.158 0 0.116 5 0.060 0.060 5 5 0.232 0 0.142 0 0.142 0.142 7 0.102 0.102	30 30 0.637 47 30 30 0.638 47 30 30 0.638 45 22 22 0.638 39 11 11 0.638 59 27 27 0.638 49 19 19 0.638 35
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N	NEAR SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASHING GREEN PEDESTRIA	AN WALKING SPEE	D = 1.2m/s QUE	UING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION			
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long	I		PRO	JECT NO:	
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2025 Design Traffic Flows (AM Pe	ak)	DAT	E: 30-Jun-21	FILENAME :
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	•	No. c No. c	of stages per cycle of stage using for calculation	N = 4 N = 4
	A1 F1 477 578		Cycle Sum Loss Total	e time (y) time Flow	C = 120 sec Y = 0.578 L = 33 sec = 3029 pcu
	↓ 735 E1 ↓ ↓ ↓ 488 D1 623 413 416 B1 B2 C1	Long Ping Road	Co Cm Yult R.C.I Cp Yma	= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) = L/(1-Y) ult = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) x = 1-L/C	= 129.2 sec = 78.2 sec = 0.653 = 12.9 % = 92.2 sec = 0.725
			R.C.	(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 12.9 % (Optimized)
Stage 1 Stage 2	F Stage 3	F Stage 4	Pedestrian W Phase (idth Green Time Required (s m) SG Delay FG) Green Time Provided (s) Check SG Delay FG
			I		
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of ment Width Iane m. m.	Radius O N Straight- Ahead m m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h	Total Proportion Sat. Uphill Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	Short lane Revis Effect Sat pcu/h pcu/ł	sed J. Flow y Greater L. n y se	g g Degree of Queuing (required) (input) Saturation Length sc sec sec X m.
B1 1 3.80 1 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 B2 1 3.80 1 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 A1 2 3.50 3 E1 3,4 3.50 2 D1 3 3.20 1 C1 4 4.50 2	60 N 1995 353 30 N 1995 270 30 N 1995 70 30 N 1995 70 30 N 4070 578 N 6175 477 70 N 4070 25 N 1935 488 30 N 4270 1488	353 0.00 1995 78 348 0.22 1973 335 335 1.00 1900 578 0.00 4070 477 0.00 6175 735 735 1.00 3985 488 1.00 1825 416 1.00 4067	19 19 40 6 39 375 22 40	095 0.177 0.177 0.177 073 0.176 0.000 0.176 000 0.176 0.000 0.176 070 0.142 0.0077 0.077 085 0.184 0.222 0.222 067 0.102 0.102	3 27 27 0.797 55 27 27 0.795 54 27 27 0.795 52 21 21 0.797 48 12 12 0.797 29 28 28 0.797 56 33 33 0.797 70 15 15 0.797 36
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N	NEAR SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASHING GREEN PEDESTRIA	AN WALKING SPE	ED = 1.2m/s QUE	EUING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION			
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long			PROJEC	T NO:	_
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2025 Design Traffic Flows (PM F	eak)	DATE :	30-Jun-21	FILENAME :
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange		No. of st No. of st	ages per cycle age using for calculation	N = 4 N = 4
	A1 F1 373 470		Cycle tim Sum(y) Loss tim Total Flo	e w	C = 120 sec Y = 0.466 L = 33 sec = 2808 pcu
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Long Ping Road	Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax	= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) = 1-L/C	= 102.1 sec = 61.8 sec = 0.653 = 40.0 % = 68.4 sec = 0.725
			R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 40.0 % (Optimized)
Stage 1 Stage 2	F Stage 3	F Stage 4	Pedestrian Width Phase (m)	Green Time Required (s) SG Delay FG) Green Time Provided (s) Check SG Delay FG
Move Stage Lane Phase No. of	Padius O N Straight m	Total Proportion Sat Unbill	Short Ione Revised		a a Degree of Queuing
ment Width lane m.	m. Straight Ahead Left Straight m.	t Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	Effect Sat. Flo pcu/h pcu/h	w y Greater L y se	(required) (input) Saturation Length c sec sec X m.
B1 1 3.80 1 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 B2 1 3.80 1 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 A1 2 3.50 3 E1 3,4 3.50 2 D1 3 3.20 1 C1 4 4.50 2	60 N 1995 315 30 N 1995 200 30 N 1995 1 30 N 1995 470 N 4070 470 N 6175 373 70 N 4070 25 N 1935 30 N 4270	315 0.00 1995 110 310 0.35 1960 300 300 1.00 1900 470 0.00 4070 373 0.00 6175 923 923 1.00 3985 313 1.00 1825 428 428 1.00 4067	1995 1960 1900 4070 6175 3985 375 2200 4067	0.158 0.158 33 0.158 0.158 0.158 0.158 0.116 0.060 0.232 0.142 0.142 0.105 0.105 0.105	3 29 30 0.642 48 30 30 0.643 47 30 30 0.642 45 22 22 0.643 39 11 11 0.643 23 43 43 0.643 59 27 27 0.643 49 20 20 0.643 36
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N	NEAR SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASHING GREEN PEDESTRIA	N WALKING SPEED	= 1.2m/s QUE	EUING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION				
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long			Р	ROJECT NO:		
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2029 Reference Traffic Flows (AM F	Peak)	[DATE : 30-Jun-21	FILENAME :	
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange		N	o. of stages per cycle o. of stage using for calculation	N = 4 N = 4	
	A1 F1 465 619		C S LI T	ycle time um(y) oss time otal Flow	C = 120 sec Y = 0.578 L = 33 sec = 3027 pcu	
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Long Ping Road	C C Y R C Y	co = (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) m = L/(1-Y) ult c.C.ult = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% cp = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) max = 1-L/C	= 129.1 sec = 78.2 sec = 0.653 = 12.9 % = 92.2 sec = 0.725	
			R	C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 12.9 % (Optimized)	
Stage 1 Stage 2	F Stage 3	F Stage 4	Pedestrian Phase	Width Green Time Required (m) SG Delay I	d (s) Green Time Provided (s) Ch FG SG Delay FG	heck
STAGE 1 INTE / STAGE 2	INT= 12 STAGE 3 INT=	12 STAGE 4 INTE 0				
Move- ment Stage Lane Phase No. of Width Iane m. m.	Radius O N Straight- m Ahead Left Straight m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h	Total Proportion Sat. Uphill Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	Short lane R Effect s	evised Sat. Flow y Greater cu/h y	ggDegree ofQueL(required)(input)SaturationLesecsecsecXn	euing ength m.
B1 1 3.80 1 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 B2 1 3.80 1 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 A1 2 3.50 3 E1 3,4 3.50 2 D1 3 3.20 1 C1 4 4.50 2	60 N 1995 352 30 N 1995 261 30 N 1995 30 N 1995 30 N 4070 619 N 6175 465 70 N 4070 25 N 1935 504 30 N 4270	352 0.00 1995 87 348 0.25 1970 335 335 1.00 1900 619 0.00 4070 465 0.00 6175 709 709 1.00 3985 504 1.00 1825 394 394 1.00 4067	375	1995 0.176 0.177 1970 0.177 1900 0.176 4070 0.152 6175 0.075 0.075 3985 0.178 2200 0.229 0.229 4067 0.097 0.097	33 27 27 0.797 4 27 27 0.797 4 27 27 0.795 4 23 23 0.797 4 11 11 0.797 4 27 27 0.797 4 23 23 0.797 4 11 11 0.797 4 34 34 0.797 5 15 15 0.797 5	55 54 52 50 28 55 72 35
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N - N	NEAR SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASHING GREEN PEDESTRI	AN WALKING S	SPEED = 1.2m/s	QUEUING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m	

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION			
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long			PROJE	CT NO:	
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2029 Reference Traffic Flows (P	/I Peak)	DATE	: 30-Jun-21	FILENAME :
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	•	No. of s No. of s	tages per cycle tage using for calculation	N = 4 N = 4
	A1 F1 372 464		Cycle ti Sum(y) Loss tir Total F	me ne ow	C = 120 sec Y = 0.445 L = 33 sec = 2747 pcu
	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Long Ping Road	Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax	= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) = 1-L/C	= 98.2 sec = 59.5 sec = 0.653 = 46.6 % = 65.3 sec = 0.725
			R.C.(C	= (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 46.6 % (Optimized) Green Time Provided (s) Check
Stage 1 Stage 2	F Stage 3	F Stage 4	Phase (m	SG Delay FG	SG Delay FG
STAGE 1 INTE 7 STAGE	2 INTE 12 STAGE 3 INTE	12 STAGE 4 INTE 6			
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of ment Width Iane m. m.	Radius O N Straight- Ahead m m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h	Total Proportion Sat. Uphill t Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	Short lane Revise Effect Sat. F pcu/h pcu/h	d low y Greater L y sea	g g Degree of Queuing (required) (input) Saturation Length c sec sec X m.
B1 1 3.80 1 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 B2 1 3.80 1 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 A1 2 3.50 3 E1 3,4 3.50 2 D1 3 3.20 1 C1 4 4.50 2	60 N 1995 313 30 N 1995 199 30 N 1995 199 30 N 1995 464 N 6175 372 70 N 4070 25 N 1935 280 30 N 4270	313 0.00 1995 108 307 0.35 1961 298 298 1.00 1900 464 0.00 4070 372 0.00 6175 925 925 1.00 3985 280 1.00 1825 409 409 1.00 4067	1994 196 1900 4070 6174 3985 375 2200 406	5 0.157 0.157 1 0.157 0 0.157 0 0.157 0 0.114 0 0.060 0 0.232 0 0.127 0 0.101	31 31 0.614 47 31 31 0.613 46 31 31 0.613 44 22 22 0.614 38 12 12 0.614 58 25 25 0.614 58 20 20 0.614 34
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N	NEAR SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASHING GREEN PEDESTRIA	AN WALKING SPEEI	0 = 1.2m/s QUE	UING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION				-		
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long	1			PROJECT NO:				
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2029 Design Traffic Flows (AM F	eak)		DATE : 30-Jun-	-21	FILENAME :		
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange			No. of stages per cycle No. of stage using for calculation	ion	N = N =	4 4	
	A1 F1 469 609			Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow		C = Y = L = =	120 sec 0.593 33 sec 3093 pcu	
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Long Ping Road		Co = $(1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)$ Cm = $L/(1-Y)$ Yult R.C.ult = $(Yult-Y)/Y*100\%$ Cp = $0.9*1/(0.9-Y)$	6	= = = =	134.0 sec 81.1 sec 0.653 10.0 %	
	Ι			$Y_{max} = 0.5 L(0.5 T)$ $Y_{max} = 1-L/C$ $R.C.(C) = (0.9*Y_{max}-Y)/Y'$	*100%	=	0.725)
Stage 1	- E		Pedestrian Phase	Width Green Time Re (m) SG Dela	equired (s) lay FG	Green Time SG	e Provided (s) Delay FG	, Check
в	E A A	F Stage 4						
STAGE 1 INT= 7 STAGE	2 INT= 12 STAGE 3 INT=	12 STAGE 4 INT= 6						
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of ment Width lane m. m.	Radius O N Straight- Ahead m m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h	Total Proportion Sat. Uph It Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradi pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	ill Short lane ent Effect pcu/h	Revised Sat. Flow y Grea pcu/h y	ater L / sec	g (required) sec	g Degree of (input) Saturation sec X	Queuing Length m.
B1 1 3.80 1 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 B2 1 3.80 1 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 A1 2 3.50 3 E1 3,4 3.50 2 D1 3 3.20 1 C1 4 4.50 2	60 N 1995 344 30 N 1995 285 30 N 1995 285 30 N 1995 469 70 N 4070 469 70 N 4070 30 25 N 1935 501 30 N 4270 4270	344 0.00 1995 57 342 0.17 1978 328 328 1.00 1900 609 0.00 4070 469 0.00 6175 712 712 1.00 3985 501 1.00 1825 476 476 1.00 4067	375	1995 0.172 0.17 1978 0.173 1900 0.172 4070 0.150 0.076 0.07 6175 0.076 0.179 2200 0.228 0.22 4067 0.117 0.11 0.11	33 73 76 28 17	25 25 22 11 26 33 17	25 0.817 25 0.818 25 0.817 22 0.818 11 0.818 26 0.818 33 0.818 17 0.818	54 52 50 28 56 72 41
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N	- NEAR SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASHING GREEN PEDE	STRIAN WALKING	G SPEED = 1.2m/s	QUEU	ING LENGTH = A	I /ERAGE QUEUE * 6m	<u> </u>

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION			
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long	1		PRO	DJECT NO:	
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2029 Design Traffic Flows (PM Pe	eak)	DA	TE : 30-Jun-21	FILENAME :
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	•	No. No.	of stages per cycle of stage using for calculation	N = 4 N = 4
	A1 F1 389 477		Cyci Sun Loss Tota	e time n(y) s time il Flow	C = 120 sec Y = 0.473 L = 33 sec = 2955 pcu
	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Long Ping Road	Co Cm Yult R.C Cp Yma	$= (1.5^{*}L+5)/(1-Y)$ = L/(1-Y) ult = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) ux = 1-L/C	= 103.4 sec = 62.6 sec = 0.653 = 38.0 % = 69.5 sec = 0.725
			R.C	(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 38.0 % (Optimized)
Stage 1 Stage 2	F Stage 3	F Stage 4	Pedestrian V Phase	Vidth Green Time Required (s (m) SG Delay FG) Green Time Provided (s) Check SG Delay FG
B STAGE 1 INT= 7 STAGE 2					
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of F ment Width Iane m. m.	Radius O N Straight- Ahead m m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h	Total Proportion Sat. Uphill Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	Short lane Rev Effect Sa pcu/h pcu/	ised t. Flow y Greater L h y se	g g Degree of Queuing _ (required) (input) Saturation Length ec sec sec X m.
B1 1 3.80 1 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 B2 1 3.80 1 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 A1 2 3.50 3 E1 3,4 3.50 2 D1 3 3.20 1 C1 4 4.50 2	60 N 1995 314 30 N 1995 190 30 N 1995 190 30 N 1995 477 N 6175 389 70 N 4070 25 N 1935 280 30 N 4270	314 0.00 1995 119 309 0.39 1957 301 301 1.00 1900 477 0.00 4070 389 0.00 6175 1003 1003 1.00 3985 280 1.00 1825 506 506 1.00 4067	1 1 4 6 3 375 2 4	995 0.157 0.158 957 0.158 900 0.158 070 0.117 175 0.063 985 0.252 200 0.127 067 0.124	3 29 29 0.649 48 29 29 0.651 47 29 29 0.652 46 22 22 0.652 39 12 12 0.652 62 23 23 0.652 45 23 23 0.652 41
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N - N	IEAR SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASHING GREEN PEDESTR	IAN WALKING SPI	EED = 1.2m/s QUE	EUING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION			
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long	I		PROJ	ECT NO:	
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2034 Reference Traffic Flows (AN	l Peak)	DATE	: 30-Jun-21	FILENAME :
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange		No. of No. of	stages per cycle stage using for calculation	N = 4 N = 4
	A1 F1 462 675		Cycle Sum(y Loss t Total	time) ime Flow	C = 120 sec Y = 0.603 L = 33 sec = 3103 pcu
		Long Ping Road	Co Cm Yult R.C.ul Cp Ymax	= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) = L/(1-Y) t = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) = 1-L/C	= 137.1 sec = 83.0 sec = 0.653 = 8.3 % = 99.9 sec = 0.725
			R.C.(0	c) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 8.3 % (Optimized)
Stage 1 Stage 2	▼ F Stage 3	F Stage 4	Pedestrian Wi Phase (n	th Green Time Required (s) n) SG Delay FG	Green Time Provided (s) Check SG Delay FG
B STAGE 1 INT= 7 STAGE 2	E INT= 12 STAGE 3 INT=	12 STAGE 4 INT= 6			
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of	Radius O N Straight- m	Total Proportion Sat Unbill	Short lane Revise	ed .	
ment Width lane m.	m. Stalight Ahead Left Straigh Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h	Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	Effect Sat.	Flow y Greater L y se	(required) (input) Saturation Length c sec sec X m.
B1 1 3.80 1 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 B2 1 3.80 1 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 A1 2 3.50 3 E1 3,4 3.50 2 D1 3 3.20 1 C1 4 4.50 2	60 N 1995 361 30 N 1995 267 30 N 1995 1 30 N 1995 1 30 N 4070 675 N 6175 462 70 N 4070 25 N 1935 543 30 N 4270 1	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	199 190 400 611 399 375 220 400	95 0.181 0.181 70 0.181 90 0.181 90 0.166 95 0.075 95 0.169 90 0.247 90 0.100	3 26 26 0.830 56 26 26 0.831 56 26 26 0.831 54 24 24 0.831 54 11 11 0.831 28 24 24 0.831 54 36 36 0.831 76 14 14 0.831 36
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N -	NEAR SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASHING GREEN PEDESTRI	IAN WALKING SPEE	D = 1.2m/s QUE	EUING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION				
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long			P	ROJECT NO:		
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2034 Reference Traffic Flows (PI	/I Peak)	0	DATE : 30-Jun-21	FILENAME :	
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	•	N	o. of stages per cycle o. of stage using for calculation	N = 4 N = 4	
	A1 F1 336 480		C Si La Ti	ycle time um(y) oss time otal Flow	C = 120 sec Y = 0.486 L = 33 sec = 2848 pcu	
	↓ ↓ 928 E1 ↓ 342 D1 526 444 448 B1 B2 C1	Long Ping Road	C C Yi R C Yi	o = $(1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)$ m = L/(1-Y) ult .C.ult = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% p = $0.9*L/(0.9-Y)$ max = 1-L/C	= 106.0 sec = 64.2 sec = 0.653 = 34.4 % = 71.7 sec = 0.725	
			R Pedestrian Phase	.C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*1009 Width Green Time Require (m) SG Delay	d (s) Green Time Provided (s) FG SG Delay FG	Check
Stage 1 B STAGE 1 INT= 7 STAGE	F Stage 3 E	F Stage 4 D C C 12 STAGE 4 INT= 6				
Move- ment Stage Lane Phase No. of Width Iane m. m.	Radius O N Straight- Ahead m m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h	Total Proportion Sat. Uphill t Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	Short lane R Effect s pcu/h po	evised Sat. Flow y Greater cu/h y	L (required) (input) Saturation sec sec sec X	Queuing Length m.
B1 1 3.80 1 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 B2 1 3.80 1 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 A1 2 3.50 3 E1 3,4 3.50 2 D1 3 3.20 1 C1 4 4.50 2	60 N 1995 331 30 N 1995 195 30 N 1995 1 30 N 1995 1 30 N 1995 1 30 N 4070 480 N 6175 336 70 N 4070 25 N 1935 342 30 N 4270	331 0.00 1995 129 324 0.40 1956 315 315 1.00 1900 480 0.00 4070 336 0.00 6175 928 928 1.00 3985 342 1.00 1825 448 448 1.00 4067	375	1995 0.166 0.166 1956 0.165 0.165 1900 0.166 0.054 4070 0.118 0.054 6175 0.054 0.054 3985 0.233 2200 2200 0.155 0.155 4067 0.110 0.110	33 30 30 0.670 30 30 0.668 30 30 0.669 21 21 0.670 10 10 0.670 42 42 0.670 28 28 0.670 20 20 0.670	50 49 47 40 21 61 52 37
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N	- NEAR SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASHING GREEN PEDESTRI	IAN WALKING S	SPEED = 1.2m/s	QUEUING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m	
OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULA	ATION				
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------			
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long		PROJECT NO:				
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE : 30-Jun-21 FILENAME :				
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange	No. of stages per cycleN =4No. of stage using for calculationN =4				
	A1 F1 426 658	Cycle time C = 120 sec Sum(y) Y = 0.627 Loss time L = 33 sec Total Flow = 3177 pcu				
638 B1	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Co = $(1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)$ = 146.2 sec Cm = L/(1-Y) = 88.5 sec Yult = 0.653 R.C.ult = $(Yult-Y)/Y*100\%$ = 4.0 % Cp = $0.9*L/(0.9-Y)$ = 108.9 sec Ymax = 1-L/C = 0.725				
		R.C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100% = 4.0 % (Optimized)				
Stage 1 Stage 2	F Stage 3 F Stage 4	Pedestrian Width Green Time Required (s) Green Time Provided (s) C Phase (m) SG Delay FG SG Delay FG	neck			
в						
STAGE 1 INT= 7 STAGE 2	INT= 12 STAGE 3 INT= 12 STAGE 4 INT= 6	6				
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of Radius ment Width Iane Iane m.	ius O N Straight- m Total Proportion Sat Ahead Left Straight Right Flow of Turning Flow . Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/	at. Uphill Short lane Revised g Greater L (required) (input) Saturation Let u/h % pcu/h pcu/h y sec sec sec X	euing ngth m.			
B1 1 3.80 1 60 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 30 B2 1 3.80 1 30 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 2 A1 2 3.50 3 2 70 D1 3 3.20 1 25 30 C1 4 4.50 2 30	N 1995 365 365 0.00 1997 N 1995 273 87 360 0.24 197 N 1995 347 347 1.00 1900 N 4070 658 658 0.00 4070 N 6175 426 426 0.00 6173 N 4070 658 543 1.00 3983 N 4070 543 1.00 3983 N 4070 552 522 1.00 4063 N 4270 522 522 1.00 4063	95 1995 0.183 0.183 25 25 0.865 71 1971 0.182 25 25 0.863 00 1900 0.183 25 25 0.863 70 4070 0.162 22 22 0.865 75 6175 0.069 0.069 10 10 0.865 85 3985 0.171 24 24 0.865 67 4067 0.128 0.128 18 18 0.865 67 4067 0.128 0.128 18 18 0.865 67 4067 0.128 18 18 0.865	58 57 55 54 26 55 78 44			
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N - NEAR S	R SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN FG - FLASHING GREEN	PEDESTRIAN WALKING SPEED = 1.2m/s QUEUING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m				

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION			
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long			PRO	JECT NO:	
J7 Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road	Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows (PM Pe	ak)	DAT	E: 30-Jun-21	FILENAME :
	Shui Pin Wai Interchange		No. c No. c	f stages per cycle f stage using for calculation	N = 4 N = 4
	A1 F1 345 475		Cycle Sum Loss Total	e time y) time Flow	C = 120 sec Y = 0.499 L = 33 sec = 2910 pcu
	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ </td <td>Long Ping Road</td> <td>Co Cm Yult R.C.I Cp Yma:</td> <td>$= (1.5^{*}L+5)/(1-Y)$ = L/(1-Y) ult = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) < = 1-L/C</td> <td>= 108.7 sec = 65.8 sec = 0.653 = 30.8 % = 74.0 sec = 0.725</td>	Long Ping Road	Co Cm Yult R.C.I Cp Yma:	$= (1.5^{*}L+5)/(1-Y)$ = L/(1-Y) ult = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) < = 1-L/C	= 108.7 sec = 65.8 sec = 0.653 = 30.8 % = 74.0 sec = 0.725
			R.C.	C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 30.8 % (Optimized)
Stage 1 Stage 2	F Stage 3	F Stage 4	Pedestrian W Phase (idth Green Time Required (s) m) SG Delay FG	Green Time Provided (s) Check SG Delay FG
STAGE 1 INT= 7 STAGE 2	INI= 12 STAGE 3 INI=	12 STAGE 4 INT= 6			
Move- Stage Lane Phase No. of ment Width Iane m. m.	Radius O N Straight- Ahead m m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h	Total Proportion Sat. Uphill Right Flow of Turning Flow Gradient pcu/h pcu/h Vehicles pcu/h %	Short lane Revis Effect Sat pcu/h pcu/h	Flow y Greater L	g g Degree of Queuing . (required) (input) Saturation Length ec sec sec X m.
B1 1 3.80 1 B1,B2 1 3.80 1 B2 1 3.80 1 F1 2,3,4 3.50 2 A1 2 3.50 3 E1 3,4 3.50 2 D1 3 3.20 1 C1 4 4.50 2	60 N 1995 327 30 N 1995 201 30 N 1995 1 30 N 1995 475 N 4070 475 345 70 N 4070 334 25 N 1935 334 30 N 4270 1	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11 19 19 40 6 30 375 22 40	995 0.164 0.164 959 0.163 900 0.164 970 0.117 175 0.056 0.056 985 0.233 200 0.152 0.152 967 0.127 0.127	3 29 29 0.688 50 29 29 0.686 49 29 29 0.688 47 20 20 0.688 39 10 10 0.688 61 27 27 0.688 52 22 22 0.688 42
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRAFFIC N -	NEAR SIDE LANE SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASHING GREEN PEDESTR	IAN WALKING SPE	ED = 1.2m/s QUE	EUING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m



	FILENAME :			
	N =	4		
	N =	3		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.398		
	L =	44	sec	
	=	2408	pcu	
	=	117.9	sec	
	=	73.1	sec	
	=	0.570		
	=	43.3	%	
	=	78.8	sec	
	=	0.633		
	=	43.3	% (Optimized)	
	r			
uired (s)	Gr	een Time Prov	/ided (s)	Check
FG	SG	Delay	FG	
9	28	3	9	OK

5	66	3	5	ОК
5	47	3	5	ОК
7	45	3	7	ОК
6	65	3	6	ОК
7	30	3	7	ОК
7	64	3	7	ОК
6	32	3	6	ОК

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	х	m.
39				
	17	24	0.435	27
	22	24	0.558	37
	24	24	0.628	42
	11	24	0.296	20
	32	41	0.490	43
	41	41	0.628	59
	28	28	0.628	47
	10	28	0.231	18
	24	24	0.628	38
5	1	6	0.141	3



		FILENAME :			
		N =	4		
		N =	3		
		C =	120	sec	
		Y =	0.355		
		L =	43	sec	
		=	2127	pcu	
		=	107.8	sec	
		=	66.7	sec	
		=	0.578		
		=	62.6	%	
		=	71.0	sec	
		=	0.642		
		=	62.6	% (Optimized))
		-			
s)		Green Tin	ne Provided ((s)	Check
	FG	SG	Delav	FG	

s)	Green T	ime Provided (s)	Check
FG	SG	Delay	FG	
9	28	3	9	OK
5	68	3	5	ОК
5	45	3	5	ОК
7	43	3	7	ОК
6	66	3	6	OK
7	29	3	7	ОК
7	65	3	7	ОК
6	32	3	6	ОК

_					
		g	g	Degree of	Queuing
	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
	39				
		14	24	0.335	21
		24	24	0.553	36
		23	24	0.551	36
		5	24	0.127	9
		37	39	0.522	46
		39	39	0.553	51
		27	27	0.553	41
		14	27	0.277	21
		26	26	0.553	36
	4	2	6	0.161	3



	FILENAME :			
	N =	4		
	N =	3		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.549		
	L =	44	sec	
	=	2992	pcu	
	=	157.5	sec	
	=	97.6	sec	
	=	0.570		
	=	3.8	%	
	=	112.9	sec	
	=	0.633		
	=	3.8	% (Optimized)	
	r			
uired (s)	Gre	en Time Prov	vided (s)	Check
FG	SG	Delay	FG	
9	35	3	9	OK
5	59	3	5	OK
5	53	3	5	OK
7	48	3	7	ОК

7	48	3	7	OK
6	46	3	6	ОК
7	25	3	7	ОК
7	45	3	7	ОК
6	39	3	6	ОК

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	х	m.
39				
	18	31	0.506	37
	31	31	0.867	68
	26	31	0.721	58
	2	31	0.063	5
	31	44	0.605	55
	44	44	0.867	85
	23	23	0.867	55
	16	23	0.598	40
	10	10	0.867	26
5	1	6	0.172	3



	FILENAME :			
	N =	4		
	N =	3		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.521		
	L =	44	sec	
	=	2972	pcu	
	=	148.1	sec	
	=	91.8	sec	
	=	0.570		
	=	9.5	%	
	=	104.4	sec	
	=	0.633		
	=	9.5	% (Optimized)	
	1			
uired (s)	Gre	en Time Prov	vided (s)	Check
FG	SG	Delay	FG	
9	31	3	9	OK
5	58	3	5	OK
5	49	3	5	OK
7	52	3	7	OK
6	51	3	6	OK

6	35	3	6	ОК
	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	х	m.
39				
	18	27	0.550	36
	27	27	0.822	58
	25	27	0.763	55
	2	27	0.072	5
	33	48	0.557	52
	48	48	0.822	83
	25	25	0.822	56
	14	25	0.454	32
	13	13	0.822	31
5	1	6	0.170	3

OK

OK

QUEUING LENGTH = AVERAGE QUEUE * 6m



	FILENAME :			
	N =	4		
	N =	3		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.550		
	L =	44	sec	
	=	3033	pcu	
	=	157.8	sec	
	=	97.8	sec	
	=	0.570		
	=	3.6	%	
	=	113.1	sec	
	=	0.633		
	=	3.6	% (Optimized)	
uired (s)	Gre	en Time Prov	vided (s)	Check
FG	SG	Delay	FG	
9	35	3	9	OK
5	59	3	5	OK
5	53	3	5	OK
7	48	3	7	OK
6	46	3	6	ОК

7	45	3	7	OK
6	39	3	6	OK
	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	х	m.
39				
	18	31	0.505	37
	31	31	0.868	70
	27	31	0.758	61
	2	31	0.063	5
	31	44	0.605	55
	44	44	0.868	85
	23	23	0.868	55
	16	23	0.599	40
	10	10	0.868	27
5	1	6	0.172	3

7 25 3 7

OK



	FILENAME :			
	N =	4		
	N =	3		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.524		
	L =	44	sec	
	=	3011	pcu	
	=	149.2	sec	
	=	92.5	sec	
	=	0.570		
	=	8.8	%	
	=	105.4	sec	
	=	0.633		
	=	8.8	% (Optimized)	
uired (s)	Gre	en Time Prov	vided (s)	Check
FG	SG	Delay	FG	
9	31	3	9	OK
5	58	3	5	OK
5	49	3	5	OK
7	52	3	7	OK
6	51	3	6	OK

6	51	3	6	OK
7	27	3	7	OK
7	50	3	7	OK
6	35	3	6	OK
			- · ·	.

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	х	m.
39				
	18	27	0.543	36
	27	27	0.828	59
	26	27	0.794	58
	2	27	0.071	5
	32	48	0.561	53
	48	48	0.828	83
	25	25	0.828	56
	14	25	0.457	32
	13	13	0.828	31
5	1	6	0.170	3

OVE ARUP & P	PARTNE	RS								TRAF	IC SIGN	IAL CALCU	LATION	1									
Site Formation in Long E	Bin, Yuen Lo	ng														PROJECT NO	D:						
J8 Ma Miu Road/ Castle Pe	ak Road - Pin	g Shan				Year 2025 D	esign Traffic Flo	ws (AM Peak) With Improve	ments						DATE :		19-May-22		FILENAME :			
				A1 A2 432 D1	249 943 €667	Ma Miu Road	B1 Bus Only 17	B2 684 ↓ 820 34	B3 247 C1 C2		_Castle Peak Ro	ad - Ping Shan	+			No. of stages No. of stage u Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C. ult Co	per cycle using for calcu = (1.5*L+5)/(= L/(1-Y) = (Yull-Y)/Y*	lation 1-Y) 100%		N = N = C = L = = = = =	121 0.454 44 130.0 80.6 0.570 25.6 88.8	4 3 D sec sec 7 pcu sec sec sec	
							1								Pedestrian	Ymax R.C.(C) Width	= 1-L/C = (0.9*Ymax-	• y •Y)/Y*100% reen Time Regi	uired (s)	=	0.633 25.6	% (Optimized) Check
															Phase	(m)	SG	Delav	FG	SG	Delav	FG	Onook
tage 1 🔺 Green Wa	ve Stage	× FD	Stage 2 💌	.lp ▲	Di	Dummy P	Stage 3 💌	lp ∳ C		×	Stage 4 🖕				F	12	10	3	9	42	3	9	0K
B/ Ep◄	• >			¥. [\rightarrow		<u> </u>	*		🖌 Fp	- - ,∥		->		F	5.5	5	3	5	67	3	5	OK
	 	A		†	1			T	\rightarrow				-		Ġ	6.1	5	3	5	60	3	5	OK
A		++-		Hp	Jp			Hp	(Jp		ц Ц	10		3	7	41	3	7	04
•		K				► Mo		1		💌 Mp			x , 1	Mp		10	6	5	,	41		,	OK
A Gn Ep	•	↓	-	ר ו וי ר	↓ *	×, mp	L L	ר י ר	N		▲ Gp	D 🕈		•		6.8	5	3	0	52	3	6	UK
Op			-		•		1				1				J	10	8	3	/	29	3	1	OK
														4	М	8.5	5	3	7	51	3	7	ОК
STAGE 1	INT=	17	ST	AGE 2	INT=	5	STA	GE 3	INT=	11	STAG	E 4 INT=	9	1	A(LRT)	N/A	10	3	6	46	3	6	OK
Movo Stago	Lana	Phase	No. of	Padius	0	N	Straight				Total	Proportion	Sat	Liphill	Short Jana	Povicod				a		Dogroo of	Quouing
ment	Width	Filase	lane	NauluS	0	IN IN	Abead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat Flow	v	Greater		(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
ment	m		Idilic	m			Sat Elow	neu/h	buaiyiit	nught	nou/h	Vahialaa	nou/h	Grauellt %	neu/h	bau/b	у	Greater		(required)	(input)	v	m
			+				Sat. FIOW	peu/ii	poun	pount	poum	VEIIIUES	pount	70	pount	pourn		у	300	360	300	^	
Δ1 1	3 50		1	50		N	1965	249			249	1.00	1008	1		1008	0.130	0.224	35	22	38	0.417	34
Δ2 1	3.50		2	50		IN IN	4210	243	943		0/3	0.00	4210	1		4210	0.224	0.224		38	38	0.717	65
C1 1	3.30		2				4170		920		940	0.00	4210			4170	0.107			22	20	0.620	56
	4 20		1	50			2195	24	020		24	1.00	2121	1		2121	0.157	1		33	30	0.023	50 E
D1 2.2	4.30		1	50		N	2100	34 422			422	1.00	1056	1		1056	0.010	0.221		37	30	0.052	60
	4.00			50		IN	2015	432	607		432	1.00	1950	1		1900	0.459	0.221		37	27	0.717	40
DZ 2,3	3.50		2				4210		007		007	0.00	4210	1		4210	0.158	1		21	37	0.514	40
BZ 2,4	3.30		2	45			41/0	047	084		084	0.00	41/0	1		41/0	0.164	1		21	2/	0./1/	53
B3 2,4	5.00			45			2255	247		407	247	1.00	2182	1		2182	0.113	1		19	27	0.494	38
D3 3	3.50		1	15			2105			137	137	1.00	1914	1		1914	0.072	0.000	-	12	12	0./1/	25
В1 4	3.30		1	30		N	1945			17	17	1.00	1852	1		1852	0.009	0.009	5	1	6	0.165	3
NOTE : 'O - OPPO	SING TRAFF	ic	1	N - NEA	AR SIDE LANE	1	SG - STEADY	GREEN	1	FG - FLASHI	NG GREEN	1	1	PEDESTRIA	N WALKING S	PEED = 1.2m/s	s	1	QUEUING L	ENGTH = AVER	RAGE QUEU	E * 6m	1

OVE ARUP & PA	RTNE	RS								TRAFF	IC SIGN	IAL CALCU	LATION	1									
Site Formation in Long Bin	n, Yuen Lor	ng														PROJECT NO	D:						
J8 Ma Miu Road/ Castle Peak	Road - Ping	Shan				Year 2025 D	esign Traffic Flo	ws (PM Peak	With Improver	nents						DATE :		19-May-22		FILENAME :			
				A1 A2 436 D1	234 763 ↓ 692 D2	Ma Miu Road	B1 Bus Only 17	B2 706 ↓ 747 34	B3 204 C1 C2		_Castle Peak Ro	ad - Ping Shan				No. of stages No. of stage u Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp	per cycle using for calcu = (1.5*L+5)/(= L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/Y** = 0.9*L/(0.9-X*	lation 1-Y) 100% Y)		N = N = Y = L = = = = =	124 0.418 44 301 122.1 75.7 0.570 36.2 82.2	4 3 D sec sec 1 pcu sec sec % sec	
																Ymax R.C.(C)	= 1-L/C = (0.9*Ymax-	Y)/Y*100%		=	0.633 36.2	% (Optimized	I)
1															Pedestrian	Width	Gr	een Time Requ	uired (s)	G	reen Time Pro	ovided (s)	Check
tage 1 🔺 Green Wave	Stage	1					Stage 2	In A.c.		*	Sec. 1			I	Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
B _ Ep∢-	> /	¥ Fp	Stage 2 💌	ST 🕇 🗌		Dummy P	Stage 5 r.	¥ 1°		🖌 Fp	Stage 4 💌 Ir		->		E	12	10	3	9	38	3	9	ОК
				A	•			↑	\rightarrow			` 4	•		F	5.5	5	3	5	69	3	5	OK
	++++	++- ^		Hn	In			HD					Jp		G	6.1	5	3	5	57	3	5	OK
◀					SP SP			"			-	-			н	10	8	3	7	44	3	7	OK
		(K	-	< ¥	÷	💌 Mp	-	、 ┿ _		💌 Mp	A	·		ир	1	6.8	5	3	6	60	3	6	ОК
▲ Gp Ep		•	Ĺ) ' c	↓ '		L	J = I	N	•	. Op	DV		-	J	10	8	3	7	33	3	7	ок
															м	8.5	5	3	7	59	3	7	OK
STACE 1	INIT-	17	ST	AGE 2	INT-	5	STA	25.2	INIT-	11	STAC	E4 INT-	0	1	A(I PT)	N/A	10	2		42	2		OK
STAGET	1111-	17	31/	10L 2	IN I -	5	314	32.3	1111-		3170	L4 INT-	5	T	A(LIVI)	IN/A	10	5	0	42	5	0	OK
Move- Stage	Lane	Phase	No. of	Radius	0	N	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				a	a	Degree of	Queuina
ment	Width		lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	v	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
	m			m			Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h	,	v	sec	sec	sec	x	m
			1				Gat Flow	pourn	poun	pour	poarti	10110100	pourri		pount			,	39	000	000		
A1 1	3 50		1	50		N	1965	234			224	1.00	100.8		1	1908	0 122	0 187		22	34	0.434	24
A2 1	2.00		2				4000	204	762		762	0.00	4000			4000	0.197	0.107		24	24	0.661	55
C1 1	2.50		2				4050		747		703	0.00	4030		1	4050	0.107			22	24	0.624	53
	4.20		1	50			2195	24	141		24	1.00	2121		1	2121	0.179	1		33	34	0.057	54
D1 22	4.30			50		N	2100	34 426			426	1.00	2121		1	2121	0.010	0.000		3	34	0.007	5
D1 2,3	4.00		1	50		N	2015	430	602		430	1.00	1950		1	1950	0.223	0.223		40	40	0.497	58
D2 2,3	3.50		2				4210		092		700	0.00	4210		1	4210	0.104			30	40	0.487	40
B2 2,4	3.30		2				4170	001	706		706	0.00	4170		1	41/0	0.169	1		31	31	0.661	53
ВЗ 2,4	5.00		1	45			2255	204		470	204	1.00	2182		1	2182	0.093	1		17	31	0.365	30
D3 3	3.50		1	15			2105			176	176	1.00	1914		1	1914	0.092			17	17	0.661	30
B1 4	3.30		1	30		N	1945			17	17	1.00	1852		1	1852	0.009	0.009	5	2	7	0.162	3
NOTE : '0 - OPPOSI	ING TRAFFIC	і с	1	N - NEA	AR SIDE LANE	<u> </u>	SG - STEADY	GREEN	<u> </u>	FG - FLASHI	NG GREEN	I	<u> </u>	PEDESTRIA	N WALKING S	PEED = 1.2m/s	s	.[QUEUING L	ENGTH = AVER	RAGE QUEU	E * 6m	I



	FILENAME :			
	N =	4		
	N =	3		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.579		
	L =	44	sec	
	=	3095	pcu	
	=	168.7	sec	
	=	104.6	sec	
	=	0.570		
	=	-1.6	%	
	=	123.4	sec	
	=	0.633		
	=	-1.6	% (Optimized)	
uired (s)	Gr	een Time Prov	vided (s)	Check

ired (s)	G	reen Time Provi	ided (s)	Check
FG	SG	Delay	FG	
9	37	3	9	ОК
5	61	3	5	ОК
5	55	3	5	ОК
7	46	3	7	ОК
6	45	3	6	ОК
7	24	3	7	ОК
7	44	3	7	ОК
6	41	3	6	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
39				
	19	33	0.535	41
	33	33	0.914	75
	27	33	0.759	63
	2	33	0.059	5
	28	42	0.623	55
	42	42	0.914	87
	22	22	0.914	57
	14	22	0.591	39
	10	10	0.914	40
5	1	6	0.173	3



	FILENAME :				
	N =	4			
	N =	3			
	C =	120	sec		
	Y =	0.554			
	L =	44	sec		
	=	3066	pcu		
	=	159.2	sec		
	=	98.7	sec		
	=	0.570			
	=	2.9	%		
	=	114.5	sec		
	=	0.633			
	=	2.9	% (Optimized)		
					•
ired (s)	Gr	een Time Prov	vided (s)	Check	ſ
FG	SG	Delay	FG		
9	33	3	9	OK	
5	60	3	5	ОК	
5	52	3	5	OK	

Э	52	3	5	UK
7	49	3	7	ОК
6	49	3	6	ОК
7	25	3	7	ОК
7	48	3	7	ОК
6	37	3	6	ОК

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
39				
	19	29	0.559	39
	29	29	0.875	66
	26	29	0.782	60
	2	29	0.065	5
	31	45	0.595	55
	45	45	0.875	87
	23	23	0.875	57
	13	23	0.480	33
	12	12	0.875	32
5	1	6	0.172	3



	FILENAME :				
					1
	N =	4			
	N =	3			
	C =	120	sec		
	Y =	0.573			
	L =	44	sec		
	=	3232	pcu		
	=	166.1	sec		
	=	102.9	sec		
	=	0.570			
	=	-0.4	%		
	=	120.9	sec		
	=	0.633			
	=	-0.4	% (Optimized)		l
					T
uired (s)	Gr	een Time Prov	/ided (s)	Check	

ired (s)	G	Check		
FG	SG	Delay	FG	
9	37	3	9	ОК
5	61	3	5	ОК
5	55	3	5	ОК
7	46	3	7	ОК
6	44	3	6	ОК
7	23	3	7	ОК
7	43	3	7	ОК
6	41	3	6	ОК

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	х	m.
39				
	20	33	0.544	41
	33	33	0.904	76
	33	33	0.898	75
	2	33	0.059	5
	30	42	0.654	58
	42	42	0.904	86
	21	21	0.904	55
	14	21	0.599	38
	10	10	0.904	36
5	1	6	0.173	3



	FILENAME :				
	N =	4			
	N =	3			
	C =	120	sec		
	Y =	0.578			
	L =	43	sec		
	=	3260	pcu		
	=	164.5	sec		
	=	101.8	sec		
	=	0.578			
	=	0.0	%		
	=	120.0	sec		
	=	0.642			
	=	0.0	% (Optimized)		
					L
uired (s)	Gre	een Time Prov	/ided (s)	Check	Ī
FG	SG	Delay	FG		

lieu (s)	G	Green Time Flovided (3)						
FG	SG	Delay	FG					
9	34	3	9	ОК				
5	60	3	5	ОК				
5	51	3	5	OK				
7	50	3	7	OK				
6	47	3	6	ОК				
7	24	3	7	OK				
7	46	3	7	ОК				
6	38	3	6	ОК				

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	Х	m.
39				
	18	30	0.549	39
	28	30	0.837	64
	30	30	0.900	70
	2	30	0.065	5
	32	46	0.626	58
	46	46	0.900	90
	22	22	0.900	57
	13	22	0.516	34
	12	12	0.900	38
5	1	6	0.188	3

OVE ARUP & PARTN	NERS								TRAFF	IC SIGN	IAL CALCU	ILATION	1									
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen	Long														PROJECT NO	D:						
J8 Ma Miu Road/ Castle Peak Road -	Ping Shan				Year 2029 D	esign Traffic Flo	ws (AM Peak) With Improve	ments						DATE :		19-May-22		FILENAME :			
			A1 A2 447 D1	285 1044 664 D2	Ma Miu Road	B1 Bus Only 17	B2 668 ↓ 1027 35	B3 232 C1 C2		Castle Peak Ro	ad - Ping Shan	_			No. of stages No. of stage u Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp	per cycle sing for calcul = (1.5°L+5)/(= L/(1-Y) = (Yull-Y)/Y** = 0.9°L/(0.9^	lation 1-Y) 100% Y)		N = N = C = L = = = = = = =	12 0.485 44 323 138.0 85.5 0.570 17.4	4 3 0 sec 5 2 pcu 9 sec 6 sec 1 5 sec 1 5 sec 1 5 sec	
															Ymax R.C.(C)	= 1-L/C = (0.9*Ymax-	Y)/Y*100%		=	0.633 17.4	% (Optimized	1)
[Т	Pedestrian	Width	Gr	een Time Requ	uired (s)	G	reen Time Pro	ovided (s)	Check
Green Wave Stage	.*					I _			-	I			1	Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	<u> </u>
Ep ←►	🖌 Fp	Stage 2 💌	State 1		Dummy P	Stage 3 💌	. <mark>∥</mark> ≜ ⊂		≰ Fp	Stage 4 💌 Ir				E	12	10	3	9	43	3	9	ОК
		^	_•	4			+	\rightarrow			` 4	≜ É		F	5.5	5	3	5	68	3	5	ОК
A -++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		~	Hn	In			Hp					Jp		G	6.1	5	3	5	61	3	5	OK
◀	K									_ '	-	_	Min	н	10	8	3	7	40	3	7	OK
ED ED	↓ [^]	4	√ ∳	÷.	▼ Mp	-	\ ¥		▼ Mp	▲ Gp	D ↓ `			I	6.8	5	3	6	50	3	6	ОК
▲ Gp →	•	L	1 10	*		L L		N		1			1	J	10	8	3	7	27	3	7	ОК
														М	8.5	5	3	7	49	3	7	ОК
STAGE 1 INT=	= 17	ST	AGE 2	INT=	5	STA	GE 3	INT=	11	STAG	E4 INT=	9		A(LRT)	N/A	10	3	6	47	3	6	ОК
															b		1	1			<u> </u>	
Move- Stage Lane	e Phase	No. of	Radius	0	N	Straight-	1.4	m Otraint:	Disht	Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised		Questa		g (g	Degree of	Queuing
ment Widt	n	lane				Anead	Lett	Straight	Right	Flow	of Lurning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
m.			m.			Sat. Flow	pcu/n	pcu/n	pcu/n	pcu/n	Vehicles	pcu/n	%	pcu/n	pcu/h		У	sec	sec	sec	X	m.
			50			4005	005			005	4.00	4000	1		4000	0.440	0.040	39	00	20	0.404	
A1 1 3.50		1	50		N	1965	285	1011		285	1.00	1908	1		1908	0.149	0.248		23	39	0.461	38
A2 1 3.50		2				4210		1044		1044	0.00	4210	1		4210	0.248			39	39	0.766	/1
		2	50			4170	05	1027		1027	0.00	41/0	1		4170	0.246			39	39	0.761	69
			50			2185	35			35	1.00	2121	1		2121	0.016	0.000		3	39	0.050	5
2,3 4.00		1	50		N	2015	447	664		447	1.00	1956	1		1956	0.228	0.228		36	36	0.766	63
D2 2,3 3.50		2				4210		664		664	0.00	4210	1		4210	0.158			25	36	0.529	47
B2 2,4 3.30		2				4170	000	668		668	0.00	4170	1		4170	0.160			25	25	0.766	53
ВЗ 2,4 5.00			45			2255	232		440	232	1.00	2182	1		2182	0.106			17	25	0.508	37
J3 3.50		1	15		l	2105			142	142	1.00	1914	1		1914	0.074			12	12	0.766	26
вт 4 3.30		1	30		N	1945			17	17	1.00	1852	1		1852	0.009	0.009	5	1	6	0.167	3
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING TRA	AFFIC	 	N - NEA	AR SIDE LANE	1	SG - STEADY	GREEN	1	FG - FLASHI	NG GREEN	1	1	PEDESTRIA	N WALKING S	PEED = 1.2m/:	s	I	QUEUING L	ENGTH = AVEF	RAGE QUEU	E * 6m	L

OVE ARUP & PAR	RTNEF	RS								TRAFF	IC SIGN	IAL CALCU	LATION	1									
Site Formation in Long Bin,	Yuen Long	g														PROJECT NO	D:						
J8 Ma Miu Road/ Castle Peak R	Road - Ping	Shan				Year 2029 D	esign Traffic Flo	ws (PM Peak	With Improver	nents						DATE :		19-May-22		FILENAME :			
				A1 A2 470 D1	260 850 ↑ 727	Ma Miu Roac	B1 Bus Only 17	B2 698 ↓ 932 34	B3 210 C1 C2		Castle Peak Roa	ad - Ping Shan	+			No. of stages No. of stage u Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Co	per cycle using for calcu = (1.5*L+5)/(= L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/Y*1 = 0.9*(//0.9x)	lation 1-Y) 100%		N = N = Y = L = = = =	12 0.472 43 326 131.7 81.5 0.578 22.3	4 3 0 sec 1 sec 0 pcu 5 sec 1 % 1 %	
							1				1				Pedestrian	Ymax R.C.(C) Width	= 1-L/C = (0.9*Ymax-	Y)/Y*100%	lired (s)	=	0.642 22.3	% (Optimized) Check
															Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	56	Delay	FG	CHECK
tage 1 🔺 Green Wave S	Stage	₩ ED	Stage 2 💌	lp ▲	DI	Dummy P	Stage 3 💌	lp ≜ c		*	Stage 4 🖕 .			1	F	12	10	2	4	40	3	4	0ĸ
B/ Ep◀				¥. T				▲ [🖌 Fp	- • Ip		-		F	55	5	3	5	69	3	5	OK
	1.1.1	A		↑	1			1 I	\rightarrow						G	6.1	5	2	5	59	2	5	OK
A		+-+-	I	Hp	Jp			Нр	(Jp		U U	10		2	7	42	2	7	OK
· · · · · ·	(ĸ				► Mn		1		💌 Mp			×.	qN		6.0	5	2	6	43	2	6	OK
Ep Ep	•	,	4	רע (C	↓ *	×, mp	L L	ן די נ	N		▲ Gp	D 🕈		•		0.8	5	3	6	55	3	6	UK OK
Op			-		•		1				1				J	10	8	3	/	29	3	/	OK
														4	м	8.5	5	3	7	54	3	7	ОК
STAGE 1	INT=	17	STA	AGE 2	INT=	5	STA	GE 3	INT=	11	STAGE	E4 INT=	9	1	A(LRT)	N/A	10	3	6	44	3	6	OK
Maya Staga	Lana	Dheee	No. of	Dedius	0	N	Straight				Total	Dreparties	Set	Linhill	Chartlana	Deviced			I		~	Degree of	Quaving
mont Stage	Width	mase	INU. Of	Raulus	0	IN	Abood	Loft	III Straight	Pight	Flow	of Turning	Sat.	Gradient	Effort	Sat Elow		Greater		(required)	(input)	Soturation	Longth
ment	vvidtri		lane				Cat Flam	Leit	Suaignt	Right	FIOW	Vahialaa	FIOW	Grauient	Ellect	Sal FIUW	У	Greater		(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
	m.			m.			Sat. FIOW	pcu/n	pcu/n	pcu/n	pcu/n	venicies	pcu/n	70	pcu/n	pcu/n		У	sec 20	sec	sec	~	m.
A1 1	2.50		1	50		N	1065	260			260	1.00	1009		1	1009	0.126	0.222	28	22	26	0.440	26
A2 1	3.50		2	50		IN	1903	200	950		200	1.00	1900		1	1900	0.130	0.223		24	30	0.449	50
M2 1	2.90		2	1			4090		000		000	0.00	4090		1	4090	0.208			34	30	0.000	59
	3.30		2	50			4170		932		932	0.00	4170		1	4170	0.223			30	30	0.730	60
C2 1	4.30		1	50			2185	34			34	1.00	2121		1	2121	0.016	0.010		3	36	0.053	5
D1 2,3	4.00		1	50		N	2015	470	707		4/0	1.00	1956		1	1956	0.240	0.240		39	39	0.736	63
D2 2,3	3.50		2	1			4210		/2/		/2/	0.00	4210		1	4210	0.1/3			28	39	0.529	49
В2 2,4	3.30		2				4170		698		698	0.00	4170		1	4170	0.167			27	27	0.736	54
B3 2,4	5.00		1	45			2255	210			210	1.00	2182		1	2182	0.096			16	27	0.422	32
D3 3	3.50		1	15			2105			174	174	1.00	1914		1	1914	0.091		-	15	15	0.736	31
B1 4	3.30		1	30		N	1945			17	17	1.00	1852		1	1852	0.009	0.009	5	1	6	0.180	3
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING	IG TRAFFIC		I	N - NEA	AR SIDE LANE	1	SG - STEADY	GREEN	I	FG - FLASHI	NG GREEN	<u> </u>	l	PEDESTRIA	N WALKING S	PEED = 1.2m/s	s	I	QUEUING L	ENGTH = AVEF	RAGE QUEU	E * 6m	<u> </u>



	FILENAME :			
	N =	4		
	N =	3		
	C =	120	sec	
	Y =	0.559		
	L =	44	sec	
	=	3195	pcu	
	=	160.9	sec	
	=	99.7	sec	
	=	0.570		
	=	2.0	%	
	=	116.0	sec	
	=	0.633		
	=	2.0	% (Optimized)	
uired (s)	Gr	een Time Prov	/ided (s)	Check
FG	SG	Delay	FG	
9	38	3	9	OK
5	63	3	5	ОК
5	56	3	5	ОК
	1			

э	00	3	5	UK
7	45	3	7	ОК
6	47	3	6	ОК
7	25	3	7	ОК
7	46	3	7	ОК
6	42	3	6	ОК

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	х	m.
39				
	22	34	0.574	44
	34	34	0.882	73
	29	34	0.757	64
	2	34	0.059	5
	36	41	0.776	69
	41	41	0.882	84
	23	23	0.882	56
	15	23	0.594	40
	12	12	0.882	32
5	1	6	0.172	3



	FILENAME :				
	N =	4			
	N =	3			
	C =	120	sec		
	Y =	0.562			
	L =	44	sec		
	=	3225	pcu		
	=	162.3	sec		
	=	100.6	sec		
	=	0.570			
	=	1.3	%		
	=	117.3	sec		
	=	0.633			
	=	1.3	% (Optimized)		
	-				-
uired (s)	Gre	een Time Prov	vided (s)	Check	
FG	SG	Delay	FG		
9	35	3	9	OK	

10	00	Delay	10	
9	35	3	9	OK
5	63	3	5	OK
5	53	3	5	OK
7	48	3	7	OK
6	49	3	6	OK
7	24	3	7	OK
7	48	3	7	OK
6	39	3	6	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	х	m.
39				
	21	31	0.605	44
	31	31	0.888	70
	29	31	0.830	66
	2	31	0.062	5
	34	44	0.688	62
	44	44	0.888	87
	22	22	0.888	56
	14	22	0.559	37
	14	14	0.888	37
5	1	6	0.172	3



	FILENAME :				
	N =	4			
	N =	3			
	C =	120	sec		
	Y =	0.563			
	L =	44	sec		
	=	3323	pcu		
	=	162.5	sec		
	=	100.7	sec		
	=	0.570			
	=	1.2	%		
	=	117.5	sec		
	=	0.633			
	=	1.2	% (Optimized)		
					-
uired (s)	Gre	en Time Prov	vided (s)	Check	Ī
FG	SG	Delay	FG		
					T

.,			.,	
FG	SG	Delay	FG	
9	37	3	9	ОК
5	63	3	5	ОК
5	55	3	5	ОК
7	46	3	7	ОК
6	46	3	6	ОК
7	24	3	7	ОК
7	45	3	7	ОК
6	41	3	6	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	х	m.
39				
	22	33	0.578	44
	31	33	0.837	70
	33	33	0.889	74
	2	33	0.060	5
	35	42	0.751	67
	42	42	0.889	85
	22	22	0.889	55
	16	22	0.647	42
	12	12	0.889	34
5	1	6	0.172	3



	FILENAME :				
					-
	N =	4			
	N =	3			
	C =	120	sec		
	Y =	0.572			
	L =	44	sec		
	=	3351	pcu		
	=	165.9	sec		
	=	102.8	sec		
	=	0.570			
	=	-0.3	%		
	=	120.7	sec		
	=	0.633			
	=	-0.3	% (Optimized)		
					_
uired (s)	Gre	een Time Prov	vided (s)	Check	
50	80	Delay	50		

ired (s)	G	reen Time Provi	ded (s)	Check
FG	SG	Delay	FG	
9	37	3	9	ОК
5	64	3	5	ОК
5	55	3	5	ОК
7	46	3	7	ОК
6	48	3	6	ОК
7	23	3	7	ОК
7	47	3	7	ОК
6	41	3	6	OK

	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
sec	sec	sec	х	m.
39				
	20	33	0.559	42
	31	33	0.852	69
	33	33	0.903	75
	2	33	0.059	5
	35	42	0.752	67
	42	42	0.903	87
	21	21	0.903	55
	14	21	0.573	37
	13	13	0.903	41
5	1	6	0.173	3

OVE ARUP & PART	INERS								TRAFF	IC SIGN	IAL CALCU	LATION	1									
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yu	en Long														PROJECT NO	D:						
J8 Ma Miu Road/ Castle Peak Road	d - Ping Shan				Year 2034 D	esign Traffic Flo	ws (AM Peak)	With Improver	nents						DATE :		19-May-22		FILENAME :			
			A1 A2 511	304 972 ↓ 650	Ma Miu Road	B1 Bus Only 17	B2 668 ↓ 1023 35	B3 254 C1 C2		Castle Peak Roa	ad - Ping Shan	_			No. of stages No. of stage u Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult	per cycle using for calcul = (1.5*L+5)/(= L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/Y**	lation 1-Y)		N = N = C = L = = = =	124 0.515 44 332: 146.5 90.8 0.570 10.6	4 3 0 sec sec 3 pcu sec sec	
			D1	D2	D3					1					Cp Ymax R.C.(C)	= 0.9*L/(0.9-1) = 1-L/C = (0.9*Ymax-	Y) Y)/Y*100%		= = =	102.9 0.633 10.6	sec % (Optimized)
l														Pedestrian	Width	Gr	een Time Requ	uired (s)	Gr	reen Time Pro	ovided (s)	Check
tage 1 A Green Wave Stag	e 🗡					Stars 2 🐂	In A c		*				I	Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
B J Ep ◀	🕨 🖌 Fp	Stage 2	[™] 10		Dummy P	Stage 3 💌	v¥ ↑°		⊮ Fp	Stage 4 💌 Up		+		E	12	10	3	9	40	3	9	ОК
~ +++++++		•	↓	4			↑	\rightarrow			` 4	•		F	5.5	5	3	5	67	3	5	ОК
		~	Hn	de			Hp					Jp		G	6.1	5	3	5	59	3	5	ОК
← − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −			19 E	J ap									de la	н	10	8	3	7	42	3	7	ОК
	∫ ĸ	-	→ ↓	+	💌 Mp	-	、↓		💌 Mp		· ا_ م	۲ ×.	MID.	1	6.8	5	3	6	49	3	6	ОК
▲ Gp EP	•	L) C	★ 1	*	L	1	N	-	1	04		1	J	10	8	3	7	26	3	7	ок
														м	8.5	5	3	7	48	3	7	ОК
STAGE 1 IN	IT= 17	ST	TAGE 2	INT=	5	STA	GE 3	INT=	11	STAGE	E 4 INT=	9	1	A(LRT)	N/A	10	3	6	44	3	6	ок
										•			-	· · · ·								
Move- Stage La	ane Phas	e No. of	Radius	0	N	Straight-		m		Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised				g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment W	idth	lane				Ahead	Left	Straight	Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	у	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
r	n.		m.	1		Sat. Flow	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
				1	l									1				39			1	
A1 1 3.	50	1	50		N	1965	304			304	1.00	1908		1	1908	0.159	0.245		24	36	0.529	42
A2 1 3.	50	2	1	1		4210		972		972	0.00	4210		1	4210	0.231			34	36	0.766	68
C1 1 3.	30	2				4170		1023		1023	0.00	4170		1	4170	0.245			36	36	0.814	71
C2 1 4	30	1	50			2185	35			35	1.00	2121		1	2121	0.017			2	36	0.055	5
D1 2.3 4	00	1	50		N	2015	511			511	1.00	1956		1	1956	0.261	0.261		38	38	0.814	69
D2 2.3 3	50	2				4210		650		650	0.00	4210		1	4210	0.154			23	38	0.481	44
B2 2.4 3	30	2				4170		668		668	0.00	4170		1	4170	0.160			24	24	0.814	54
B3 2.4 5	00	1	45			2255	254	000		254	1.00	2182		1	2182	0.116			17	24	0.592	41
D3 3 3	50	1	15	1		2105	204		166	166	1.00	1914		1	1914	0.087			13	13	0.814	30
B1 4 2	30	1	30	1	N	10/5			17	17	1.00	1852		1	1852	0.007	0.009	5	1	6	0.169	3
ы 4 3.	30		30		IN	1940			17	17	1.00	1032		1	1032	0.009	0.009	5		0	0.109	3
NOTE : 'O - OPPOSING T	RAFFIC	I	N - NE/	AR SIDE LANE	1	SG - STEADY	GREEN	1	FG - FLASHI	NG GREEN	L	1	PEDESTRIA	N WALKING S	PEED = 1.2m/s	s	<u>ı</u>	QUEUING L	ENGTH = AVEF	RAGE QUEUI	E * 6m	1

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS			TRAF	FIC SIGN	IAL CALCU	LATION										
Site Formation in Long Bin, Yuen Long									PROJECT NO	с						
J8 Ma Miu Road/ Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan	Ye	ear 2034 Design Traffic Flows (PM Peak) With Improvements						DATE :		19-May-22		FILENAME :			
	Ma A1 291 — A2 948 — S16 668 D1 D2	a Miu Road B1 Bus Only B2 17 674 J 193 D3	B3 224 C1 C2	Castle Peak Roa	ad - Ping Shan	+			No. of stages No. of stage u Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp	cer cycle sing for calcul = (1.5*L+5)/(1 = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y)/Y*1 = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y	ation I-Y) 00%		N = N = C = L = = = = = = =	12(0.519 44 3355 147.5 91.4 0.570 9.9 9.103.9	4 3 D sec sec 1 pcu sec sec	
				1]	Ymax R.C.(C)	= 1-L/C = (0.9*Ymax-	Y)/Y*100%		=	0.633 9.9	% (Optimized)
1								Pedestrian	Width	Gre	een Time Requ	ired (s)	Gr	een Time Pro	ovided (s)	Check
age 1 A Green Wave Stage		n Stars 3 ¥ In ≜ ⊂	*	64 4				Phase	(m)	SG	Delay	FG	SG	Delay	FG	
B Ep◀▶ ★ Fp	Stage 2 ▼ IP ↑ D <u>Dur</u>	mmy P Stage 5 T IP C	🖌 Fp	Stage 4 💌 Ip		-		E	12	10	3	9	40	3	9	OK
		<u>↑</u>	\rightarrow		`) 1	L		F	5.5	5	3	5	69	3	5	ОК
A		Hp	(Jp		G	6.1	5	3	5	58	3	5	OK
<				_ 4		- N	In	н	10	8	3	7	43	3	7	OK
	★ ↓ ↓	▼.Mp	▼ Mp	▲ Gp			1 1 2	1	6.8	5	3	6	51	3	6	OK
▲ Gp LP V	L) [C 🕈		N		5,		1	J	10	8	3	7	26	3	7	ОК
								м	8.5	5	3	7	50	3	7	ОК
STAGE 1 INT= 17	STAGE 2 INT=	5 STAGE 3	INT= 11	STAGE	4 INT=	9		A(LRT)	N/A	10	3	6	44	3	6	ОК
							•									
Move- Stage Lane Pha	e No. of Radius O	N Straight-	m	Total	Proportion	Sat.	Uphill	Short lane	Revised			1	g	g	Degree of	Queuing
ment Width	lane	Ahead Left	Straight Right	Flow	of Turning	Flow	Gradient	Effect	Sat. Flow	У	Greater	L	(required)	(input)	Saturation	Length
m.	m.	Sat. Flow pcu/h	pcu/h pcu/h	pcu/h	Vehicles	pcu/h	%	pcu/h	pcu/h		у	sec	sec	sec	х	m.
												39				
A1 1 3.50	1 50	N 1965 291		291	1.00	1908		1	1908	0.152	0.246		22	36	0.507	41
A2 1 2.90	2	4090	948	948	0.00	4090			4090	0.232			34	36	0.772	66
C1 1 3.30	2	4170	1025	1025	0.00	4170			4170	0.246			36	36	0.819	72
C2 1 4.30	1 50	2185 34		34	1.00	2121			2121	0.016			2	36	0.053	5
D1 2,3 4.00	1 50	N 2015 516		516	1.00	1956		1	1956	0.264	0.264		39	39	0.819	70
D2 2,3 3.50	2	4210	668	668	0.00	4210		1	4210	0.159			23	39	0.492	45
B2 2,4 3.30	2	4170	674	674	0.00	4170		1	4170	0.162			24	24	0.819	54
B3 2,4 5.00	1 45	2255 224		224	1.00	2182		1	2182	0.103			15	24	0.520	36
D3 3 3.50	1 15	2105	193	193	1.00	1914		1	1914	0.101			15	15	0.819	34
B1 4 3.30	1 30	N 1945	17	17	1.00	1852		1	1852	0.009	0.009	5	1	6	0.170	3
								1				-				
NOTE : '0 - OPPOSING TRAFFIC	N - NEAR SIDE LANE	SG - STEADY GREEN	FG - FLASH	ING GREEN			PEDESTRIA	N WALKING S	PEED = 1.2m/s	i		QUEUING LE	ENGTH = AVEF	AGE QUEU	E * 6m	

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2018 Observed Traffic Flows (AM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) 1 Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 557 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = Wb-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) 651 VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = (1-0.0345W) Y = (ARM A) 1 GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 546 DFC b-a = 1.1919 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Y = Q b-ac = 546 DFC b-ac 1.1919 = TOTAL FLOW = 1207.4 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vrc-b = 0 (metres) 557 (pcu/hr) qc-a= q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) **CRITICAL DFC** = 1.192 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) VI b-a = 20 (metres) Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 651 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2018 Observed Traffic Flows (PM Peak) DATE : J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) W = 504 (ARM C) W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) 612 Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = (1-0.0345W) Y = (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 556 DFC b-a = 1.1013 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Q c-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 556 DFC b-ac 1.1013 TOTAL FLOW = MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) 1116.1 (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) Vrc-b = 0 (metres) qc-a= 504 (pcu/hr) q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) **CRITICAL DFC** = 1.101 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) VI b-a = 20 (metres) Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 612 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2025 Reference Traffic Flows (AM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 567 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) 557.42 Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 544 DFC b-a = 1.0247 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 544 DFC b-ac 1.0247 = TOTAL FLOW = 1124.24 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 567 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) **CRITICAL DFC** = 1.025 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 557 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2025 Reference Traffic Flows (PM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 506 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) 444 Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 556 DFC b-a = 0.7989 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 556 DFC b-ac 0.7989 = TOTAL FLOW = 949.88 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 506 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) CRITICAL DFC = 0.799 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 444 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2025 Design Traffic Flows (AM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 567 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) 670 Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 544 DFC b-a = 1.2323 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 544 DFC b-ac 1.2323 = TOTAL FLOW = 1237.1856 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 567 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) **CRITICAL DFC** = 1.232 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 670 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2025 Design Traffic Flows (PM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 506 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) 492 Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 556 DFC b-a = 0.8853 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 556 DFC b-ac 0.8853 = TOTAL FLOW = 997.9418 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 506 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) **CRITICAL DFC** = 0.885 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 492 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2029 Reference Traffic Flows (AM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 573 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) 592.69 Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 543 DFC b-a = 1.0915 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 543 DFC b-ac 1.0915 = TOTAL FLOW = 1165.21 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 573 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) **CRITICAL DFC** = 1.092 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 593 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2029 Reference Traffic Flows (PM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 503 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) 481.92-Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 556 DFC b-a = 0.8668 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 556 DFC b-ac 0.8668 = TOTAL FLOW = 984.93 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 503 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) **CRITICAL DFC** = 0.867 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 482 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2029 Design Traffic Flows (AM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 702 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) +LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) 1050-Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 520 DFC b-a = 2.0188 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 520 DFC b-ac 2.0188 = TOTAL FLOW = 1751.65674 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 702 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) CRITICAL DFC = 2.019 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 1050 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2029 Design Traffic Flows (PM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 573 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) 787 Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 543 DFC b-a = 1.4493 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 543 DFC b-ac 1.4493 = TOTAL FLOW = 1360.09242 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 573 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) CRITICAL DFC = 1.449 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 787 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2034 Reference Traffic Flows (AM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 587 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 541 DFC b-a = 0.0092 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 541 DFC b-ac 0.0092 = TOTAL FLOW = 592.05 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 587 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) **CRITICAL DFC** = 0.009 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 5 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2034 Reference Traffic Flows (PM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 504 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 556 DFC b-a = 0.0090 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 556 DFC b-ac 0.0090 = TOTAL FLOW = 509.28 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 504 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) **CRITICAL DFC** = 0.009 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 5 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows (AM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 674 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) 563 Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 525 DFC b-a = 1.0729 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 525 DFC b-ac 1.0729 = TOTAL FLOW = 1237.24674 MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) (PCU/HR) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 674 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) **CRITICAL DFC** = 1.073 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 563 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION PROJECT NO: 247100 DESIGNED BY: Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows (PM Peak) J9 Slip Road to Long Tin Road (SB)/ U-turn from slip road of Long Tin Road (WB) DATE : 30/06/21 FILENAME : Ν NOTES : (GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA) Long Tin Road MAJOR ROAD WIDTH (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) (ARM C) W = 594 W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) \rightarrow LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) Wb-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) Wb-c = W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0m, 2.2-5m) (ARM B) VIb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) Vrb-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) 363 Vrb-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) Vrc-b = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A D = E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B F = Y = (1-0.0345W) (ARM A) GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GEOMETRIC FACTORS : THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT : COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY: MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 6.50 (metres) D = 1.034 Qb-a = 539 DFC b-a = 0.6738 W cr = 0 (metres) E = 0.614 Qb-c = 457 DFC b-c = 0.0000 qa-b = 0 (pcu/hr) F = 1.047 Qc-b = 780 DFC c-b = 0.0000 Y = 0.776 qa-c = 0 (pcu/hr) Q b-ac = 539 DFC b-ac 0.6738 = TOTAL FLOW = 957.6324202 (PCU/HR) MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W c-b = 5.50 (metres) 0 (metres) Vrc-b = 594 (pcu/hr) qc-a = q c-b = 0 (pcu/hr) CRITICAL DFC = 0.674 MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 6.00 (metres) W b-c = 0.00 (metres) 20 (metres) VIb-a = Vrb-a = 30 (metres) Vrb-c = 47 (metres) qb-a = 363 (pcu/hr) q b-c = 0 (pcu/hr)




























OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	PRIORITY J	UNCTION CALCULATION		
			PROJECT NO:	DESIGNED BY:
J11 - Ma Fung Ling Road / Road A	Year 2029 Design T	raffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE :	18/01/22 FILENAME :
$Ma \operatorname{Fung Ling Road}$ 32 226 (ARM C) $(ARM C)$ $(ARM C)$	← 5 (ARM A)	$\begin{array}{rcl} NOTES: & (GEOMETRIC \ INPUT \ DATA \) \\ W & = & MAJOR \ ROAD \ WIDTH \\ W \ cr & = & CENTRAL \ RESERVE \ V \\ W \ b-a & = & LANE \ WIDTH \ AVALAI \\ W \ b-c & = & LANE \ WIDTH \ AVALAI \\ W \ c-b & = & LANE \ WIDTH \ AVALAI \\ W \ c-b & = & LANE \ WIDTH \ AVALAI \\ W \ c-b & = & LANE \ WIDTH \ AVALAI \\ W \ c-b & = & LANE \ WIDTH \ AVALAI \\ W \ c-b & = & VISIBILITY \ TO \ THE \ RI \\ Vr \ b-a & = & VISIBILITY \ TO \ THE \ RI \\ Vr \ c-b & = & VISIBILITY \ TO \ THE \ RI \\ Vr \ c-b & = & VISIBILITY \ TO \ THE \ RI \\ D & = & STREAM-SPECIFIC \ B \\ E & = & STREAM-SPECIFIC \ C \\ F & = & STREAM-SPECIFIC \ C \\ Y & = & (1-0.0345W) \end{array}$	4 (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) BLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2 BLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5 BLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0- GHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0 GHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0 GHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0 GHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0 -A -C -B	.2-5m) n) .2-5m) 50m) -250) -250) -250)
(ARM B) Road A	 → N			
GEOMETRIC DETAILS:	GEOMETRIC FACTORS :	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION OF D TO CAPACITY:	ESIGN FLOW
MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 7.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q a-b = 143 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 5 (pcu/hr)	D = 1.027 E = 1.027 F = 1.027 Y = 0.74125	Q b-a = 532 Q b-c = 748 Q c-b = 724 Q b-ac = 621.78		DFC b-a = 0.0094 DFC b-c = 0.0067 DFC c-b = 0.3122 DFC b-ac = 0.0161
MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W c-b = 3.65 (metres) Vr c-b = 150 (metres) q c-a = 32 (pcu/hr) q c-b = 226 (pcu/hr)		TOTAL FLOW = 416.44	(PCU/HR)	
MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 3.65 (metres) W b-c = 3.65 (metres) V b-a = 150 (metres) Vr b-a = 150 (metres) Vr b-c = 150 (metres) q b-a = 5 (pcu/hr)			CRITICAL D	⁻ C = 0.312

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	PRIORITY JI	JNCTION CALCULATION		
			PROJECT NO:	DESIGNED BY:
J11 - Ma Fung Ling Road / Road A	Year 2029 Design Ti	raffic Flows (PM Peak)	DATE : 18/01/22	FILENAME :
$\begin{array}{c} \underline{Ma \ Fung \ Ling \ Road} \\ \hline 24 \\ \hline 158 \\ (ARM \ C) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ \end{array} \end{array} \qquad	5 (ARM A) 106	$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{NOTES}: & (\text{GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA }) \\ & W & = & \text{MAJOR ROAD WIDTH } \\ & W \text{ cr} & = & \text{CENTRAL RESERVE W} \\ & W \text{ b-a} & = & \text{LANE WIDTH AVAILAB} \\ & W \text{ b-c} & = & \text{LANE WIDTH AVAILAB} \\ & W \text{ c-b} & = & \text{LANE WIDTH AVAILAB} \\ & W \text{ c-b} & = & \text{LANE WIDTH AVAILAB} \\ & W \text{ b-c} & = & \text{VISIBILITY TO THE RIG} \\ & V \text{ r-b-a} & & \text{VISIBILITY TO THE RIG} \\ & V \text{ r-b-c} & = & \text{VISIBILITY TO THE RIG} \\ & V \text{ r-b-c} & = & \text{VISIBILITY TO THE RIG} \\ & D & = & \text{STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A} \\ & E & = & \text{STREAM-SPECIFIC C-E} \\ & Y & = & (1-0.0345W) \end{array}$	(6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) VIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) LE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) LE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-b (0m, 2.2-5m) FT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) SHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) SHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) SHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) SHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) A C	
(ARM B) Road A	→ N			
GEOMETRIC DETAILS: GE	OMETRIC FACTORS :	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:	
MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 7.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q a-b = 106 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 5 (pcu/hr)	D = 1.027 E = 1.027 F = 1.027 Y = 0.74125	Q b-a = 564 Q b-c = 752 Q c-b = 734 Q b-ac = 644.57	DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0089 = 0.0066 = 0.2151 = 0.0155
$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)} \\ \text{W} & \text{c-b} &= & 3.65 & (metres) \\ \text{Vr} & \text{c-b} &= & 150 & (metres) \\ \text{q} & \text{c-a} &= & 24 & (\text{pcu/hr}) \\ \text{q} & \text{c-b} &= & 157.9 & (\text{pcu/hr}) \end{array}$		TOTAL FLOW = 302.52	(PCU/HR)	
MINOR ROAD (ARM B)			CRITICAL DFC	= 0.215
W b-a = 3.65 (metres) W b-c = 3.65 (metres) VI b-a = 150 (metres)				

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	PRIORITY J	UNCTION CALCULATION		
			PROJECT NO:	DESIGNED BY:
J11 - Ma Fung Ling Road / Road A	Year 2034 Design T	raffic Flows (AM Peak)	DATE : 18/01/22	FILENAME :
$\begin{array}{c} \underline{\text{Ma Fung Ling Road}} \\ \hline 32 \\ 253 \\ \hline \\ (ARM C) \end{array}$	← 5 (ARM A)	$\begin{array}{rcl} NOTES: \left(GEOMETRIC \ INPUT \ DATA \right) \\ W & = & MAJOR \ ROAD \ WIDTH \\ W \ cr & = & CENTRAL \ RESERVE \\ W \ b-a & = & LANE \ WIDTH \ AVAILA \\ W \ b-c & = & LANE \ WIDTH \ AVAILA \\ W \ b-c & = & LANE \ WIDTH \ AVAILA \\ W \ c-b & = & LANE \ WIDTH \ AVAILA \\ W \ b-a & = & VISIBILITY \ TO \ THE \ LE \\ Vr \ b-a & = & VISIBILITY \ TO \ THE \ LE \\ Vr \ b-a & = & VISIBILITY \ TO \ THE \ R \\ Vr \ c-b & = & VISIBILITY \ TO \ THE \ R \\ Vr \ c-b & = & VISIBILITY \ TO \ THE \ R \\ D & = & STREAM \ SPECIFICE \\ E & E & = & STREAM \ SPECIFICE \\ F & = & STREAM \ SPECIFICE \\ F & = & STREAM \ SPECIFICE \\ Y & = & (1-0.0345W) \end{array}$	H (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) BLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) BLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c (2.2-5m) BLE TO VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250m) IGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0-250) IGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) IGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0-250) IGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0-250) IGHT FOR VEHICLES	
(ARM B) Road A	→ N			
GEOMETRIC DETAILS:	GEOMETRIC FACTORS :	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:	v
MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 7.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q a-b = 109 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 5 (pcu/hr)	$\begin{array}{rcl} D & = & 1.027 \\ E & = & 1.027 \\ F & = & 1.027 \\ Y & = & 0.74125 \end{array}$	Q b-a = 525 Q b-c = 752 Q c-b = 733 Q b-ac = 618.32	DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0095 = 0.0066 = 0.3453 = 0.0162
MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W c-b = 3.65 (metres) Vr c-b = 150 (metres) q c-a = 32 (pcu/hr) q c-b = 253.1 (pcu/hr)		TOTAL FLOW = 409.2	(PCU/HR)	
MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 3.65 (metres) W b-c = 3.65 (metres) VI b-a = 150 (metres) Vr b-a = 150 (metres) Vr b-c = 150 (metres) q b-a = 5 (pcu/hr)			CRITICAL DFC	= 0.345
q b-c = 5 (pcu/hr)				

OVE ARUP & PARTNERS	PRIORITY J	UNCTION CALCULATION		
			PROJECT NO:	DESIGNED BY:
J11 - Ma Fung Ling Road / Road A	Year 2034 Design	Traffic Flows (PM Peak)	DATE : 18/01/2	2 FILENAME :
Ma Fung Ling Road 24 225 (ARM C)	5 (ARM A) 70	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	H (6-20m) (minor road turn left only, 2W) WIDTH (0m, 1.2-9m) BLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0m, 2.2-5m) BLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0.2.5-5m) ETF FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0.250) IGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a (0.250) IGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c (0.250) IGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b (0.250)	
(ARM B) Road A	 ► N			
GEOMETRIC DETAILS:	GEOMETRIC FACTORS :	THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :	COMPARISION OF DESIGN FLO TO CAPACITY:	w
MAJOR ROAD (ARM A) W = 7.50 (metres) W cr = 0 (metres) q a-b = 70 (pcu/hr) q a-c = 5 (pcu/hr)	$\begin{array}{rcl} D &=& 1.027\\ E &=& 1.027\\ F &=& 1.027\\ Y &=& 0.74125 \end{array}$	Q b-a = 542 Q b-c = 756 Q c-b = 744 Q b-ac = 631.36	DFC b-a DFC b-c DFC c-b DFC b-ac	= 0.0092 = 0.0066 = 0.3021 = 0.0158
MAJOR ROAD (ARM C) W c-b = 3.65 (metres) Vr c-b = 150 (metres) q c-a = 24 (pcu/hr) q c-b = 224.7 (pcu/hr)		TOTAL FLOW = 333.3	(PCU/HR)	
MINOR ROAD (ARM B) W b-a = 3.65 (metres) W b-c = 3.65 (metres) VI b-a = 150 (metres) Vr b-a = 150 (metres)			CRITICAL DFC	= 0.302

Appendix D

Weaving Section Calculation

(2 x Lmin/Lact + 1) x (Qw2/D) =

No. of lanes provided

=

=

Ν

0.49

2.34

3

OK!

Location Southern Section Design case

2029AM

			<u></u>	Percentage of H	leavy Vehicles on Li	nk or Main Line - B	eing Considered					D
Gradient of	n Main Line		<u>5 10</u>	15	20	<u>25</u>	30	35	<u>40</u>	Where	Qnw	= total non wear
Downhill, Level or 1% Up			-8 -4	0	+4	+8	+12	+16	+20		Ow1	= major weavin
1% - 2% Uphill			+2 +6	+10	+14	+18	+22	+26	+30		2	inajor weaving
2% - 3% Uphill		+	+16	+20	+24	+28	+32	+36	+40		Qw2	= minor weavin
Flow Distribution			Remarks								D	= design flow p
Γο ΡΤΙ	=	<mark>398</mark> pcu	(Total Trip Attrac	tion of Housing + C	commerical) + Bu	s attraction					Lmin	= minimum wea
rom New Slip Road	=	20%									Lact	= actual weaving
From TYST Int	=	80%									Luct	actual weavin
Neaving and Design Flow												
Qw1	=	318.6749 pcu	To PTI flow * spli	t						From New S	lip Road	
Qnw1	=	2925 pcu	Baseflow on L10	+ L13 (from traffic r	model)					20	2042	
Qw2	=	364 pcu	Baseflow on prop	oosed slip road (fro	m traffic model)	+ Trip Attractior	of proposed so	hool developme	nt		11	
Qnw2	=	79.66872 pcu	To PTI flow * spli	t						_	1	//
)	=	1867 pcu								From TYS	r Int	115
Percentage Correction accor	<u>rding to TPDI</u>	<u> И v2 Table 4.6.8.1</u>								Qnwi		
Percentage of HV	=	20 %	From survey									
Gradient		Downhill										
Correction factor		1.04										
ocu factor	=	1.2			DIAGR.	AM 4.6.10).1 : Lmii	n MINIM	UM LENO	FIH OF WE	SAVIN	IG SECT
		1.2			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				The second second	Contractions I and		CONCEPTION OF THE OWNER.
		1.2								120		
Jw1	=	276 vph				000				120	-11-131	
Qw1 Qnw1	= =	276 vph 2535 vph				.000				120		
Qw1 Qnw1 Qw2	= = =	276 vph 2535 vph 316 vph			10	.000		С.,а		120 110 120		/
lw1 lnw1 lw2 lnw2	= = =	276 vph 2535 vph 316 vph 69 vph			K STED]	.000	/	8	A	(ka/h) 100 000		
ໄພ1 ໄກພ1 ໄໜ2 ໄກພ2	= = = =	276 vph 2535 vph 316 vph 69 vph 1556 vph			RLOW ACULSTED	0000 0000		8	A	Pf(0 (ke/h) 8 0 4 0		
Qw1 Inw1 Qw2 Qnw2 Min. Length of Weaving Sec	= = = = tion	276 vph 2535 vph 316 vph 69 vph 1556 vph			wing Row h. (Aqusted)	000	/	8	A	S(GK 5P(10 (ke/h) 6 8 8 6 4 6	/	/
tw1 tnw1 tw2 tnw2 <u>fin. Length of Weaving Sec</u> tw1 + Qw2	= = = = : <u>tion</u> =	276 vph 2535 vph 316 vph 69 vph 1556 vph	(Total Weaving F	low)	. WEANING FLOW - V. P.N. [.ACJUSTED]	.000 3000 2000		8	A	DS(GK 5P((0 (ke/h) 0) 00 (ke/h) 00 00 00 00		
Qw1 Qmw1 Qmw2 Qmw2 Qw1 + Qw2 Rw1 + Qw2 rom Diagram 4.6.10.1.	= = = = : <u>tion</u> =	276 vph 2535 vph 316 vph 69 vph 1556 vph 592 vph	(Total Weaving F	low)	OTAL WEANNG ROW	0000 2000 C B	1	8		05/04 5Pf(0 (1ke/h) 68 64 68 66 64 65	/	
Qw1 Qnw1 Qnw2 <u>Ain. Length of Weaving Sec</u> Qw1 + Qw2 rom Diagram 4.6.10.1, min (Line C)	= = = = : <u>tion</u> =	1.2 276 vph 2535 vph 316 vph 69 vph 1556 vph 592 vph	(Total Weaving F (N/A)	low)	TOTAL WEANING FLOW	0000 0000 0000 000 000		8		06(04 59(00 (Ae./h) 06(04 59(00 (Ae./h) 06 06 06 05 05	280	330 4
Qw1 Qnw1 Qw2 Qnw2 Qnw2 Qw1 + Qw2 rom Diagram 4.6.10.1, min (Line C) min (Abs.)	= = = = : <u>tion</u> = =	276 vph 2535 vph 316 vph 69 vph 1556 vph 592 vph m 100 m	(Total Weaving F (N/A)	low)	TOTAL WEANING ROW	0000 0000 0000 C B 0000 A		8	A	05(04 594(0 (fac/h) 6 8 8 8 6 04 7 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	240 ABSOL JI F 1	
2w1 2mw1 2w2 2nw2 2 <u>Ain. Length of Weaving Sec</u> 2w1 + Qw2 rom Diagram 4.6.10.1, min (Line C) min (Abs.) <u>alculations</u>	= = = : <u>tion</u> = = =	276 vph 2535 vph 316 vph 69 vph 1556 vph 592 vph m 100 m	(Total Weaving F (N/A)	low)	TOTAL WEANING FLOW	0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0 0 2000 0 2000 0 2000	0 400	600 B0	A 0 1000	120 (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))	200 A250L (JF + 3) WE	300 4 DOLIMIN AVING 3LINGTH INI
Qw1 Qmv1 Qw2 Qnw2) <u>Ain. Length of Weaving Sec</u> Qw1 + Qw2 rom Diagram 4.6.10.1, min (Line C) min (Abs.) <u>Calculations</u> min	= = = : <u>tion</u> = = =	1.2 276 vph 2535 vph 316 vph 69 vph 1556 vph 592 vph m 100 m	(Total Weaving F (N/A)	low)	TOTAL WEANING FLOW	0000 0000 0000 0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 400 IM LENGTH	600 BX	0 1000 SECTION (-	120 (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex)) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex))) (1/(ex)))	200 AdSOL (JF + 3) WE	300 4 DOLIMUN AVING LENGTH (%)
Qw1 Qw1 Qw2 Qmw2) <u>Ain. Length of Weaving Sec</u> W1 + Qw2 rom Diagram 4.6.10.1, min (Line C) min (Abs.) <u>Calculations</u> min act	= = = : <u>tion</u> = = = =	276 vph 2535 vph 316 vph 69 vph 1556 vph 592 vph m 100 m 100 m	(Total Weaving F (N/A)	low)	TOTAL WEANING FLOW	0000 0000 0000 0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 400 IM LENGTH	600 BOX	0 1000 SECTION (m	120 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	200 Adfol UFF 3	300 4 DOMUN AVING LENGTH DA

Line A should be used for very high standard rural roads with high design speeds around 100 km/h and consequently low design flows around 1200 v.p.h. per lane. Line B should be adopted for the more normal standard of high capacity road in Hong Kong with a design speed around 80 km/h and a design flow of 1400 v.p.h. per lane. Line C represents the urban condition with low design speed around 50 km/h and consequently higher design flow of 1600 v.p.h. per lane.

 $rac{w1}{m} + (2 imes rac{Lmin}{Lact} + 1) rac{Qw2}{D}$

on weaving flow (adjusted) v.p.h.

weaving flow (adjusted) v.p.h.

weaving flow (adjusted) v.p.h.

flow per lane in v.p.h. as described in para. 4.6.10.4

um weaving length (m)

weaving length (m)

To PTI To LTR

Ν

No. of lanes provided

2.31

3

=

=

OK!

Location	Southern	Section
LUCALIUII	Journern	Section

2029PM Design case

				<u>T</u> <u>Percentage Corre</u> <u>Non-standard C</u>	able 4.6.8.1 ctions to Predicte composition and	ed Flow for Gradient						N = -	$\frac{Qnw+Qw1}{D} + ($
Gradient on M	Agin Line				Percentage of	Heavy Vehicles on Li	nk or Main Line - B	eing Considered					D
			<u>5</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>30</u>	35	<u>40</u>	Where	Qnw	= total non wear
Downhill, Level or 1% Up			-8	-4	0	+4	+8	+12	+16	+20		Qw1	= major weavin
1% - 2% Uphill			+2	+6	+10	+14	+18	+22	+26	+30		02	- minor woavin
2% - 3% Uphill			+12	+16	+20	+24	+28	+32	+36	+40		Qw2	- minor weavin
low Distribution			Rem	narks								D	= design flow p
o PTI	=	352 pcu	(Tot	al Trip Attraction	of Housing +	Commerical) + Bus	attraction					Lmin	= minimum we
rom New Slip Road	=	20%										Lact	= actual weavi
From TYST Int	=	80%											
Veaving and Design Flow													
Jw1	=	281.2624 pcu	To P	PTI flow * split							From New S	Slip Road	
lnw1	=	3172 pcu	Base	eflow on L10 + L13	3 (from traffic	model)					20	2042	
w2	=	259 pcu	Base	eflow on propose	d slip road (fro	om traffic model) +	+ Trip Attraction	of proposed so	hool developme	nt		10	
lnw2	=	70.31559 pcu	To F	TI flow * split								1	//
1	=	1867 pcu									From TYS	Tint	11-
ercentage Correction accordi	ng to TPDN	1 v2 Table 4.6.8.1									Qnw	1	
ercentage of HV	=	20 %	Fror	n survey									
iradient		Downhill											
correction factor		1.04											
ocu factor	=	1.2				DIAGR	AM 4.6.10).1 : Lmi	n MINIM	UM LENG	TH OF WI	EAVII	NG SECT
Qw1	=	244 vph				- 01					40	- 11 - 1 - 1	
lnw1	=	2749 vph					000				110		/
)w2	=	224 vph				ā			C	A	2 100	-	/
lnw2	=	61 vph				> 310		/	10			-	1
	=	1556 vph				ADU	000	/ ,		/	0314		/
1in. Length of Weaving Sectio	n					DNING		//	/		SIGK	1	
Qw1 + Qw2	=	468 vph	(Tot	al Weaving Flow)		WE VE	000 0	/ /			2.0	/	
om Diagram 4.6.10.1,						TOTAL	B	/					
min (Line C)	=	m	(N/A	A)		1	000		12121-1-1222		140	200	300 4
nin (Abs.)	=	100 m				8	A.		and and the state	The second second	Contraction and	ABSOLUTE	HINIMUN LAVING LENGTH (4)
alculations							0 20	0 400	500 80	0 1000	1200	12	aconnabar
nin	=	100 m					MINIM	M LENGTH	OF WENDER	SECTION	19 H - H - H - H - H - H - H - H - H - H		
act	=	140 m				12.4	- Change	at Leadin	OF MCMATHING	section 1 m 1	al constraint and	- this	Constant in the
Qnw1 + Qnw2 + Qw1)/D	=	1.96											
$x \text{Imin/Iact} + 1) \times (0w2/D)$	=	0.35			L. L.	ine A should be us	ed for very high	standard rural	roads with high	design speeds arou	und 100 km/h and o	conseque	ntly low design f

Line A should be used for very high standard rural roads with high design speeds around 100 km/h and consequently low design flows around 1200 v.p.h. per lane. Line B should be adopted for the more normal standard of high capacity road in Hong Kong with a design speed around 80 km/h and a design flow of 1400 v.p.h. per lane. Line C represents the urban condition with low design speed around 50 km/h and consequently higher design flow of 1600 v.p.h. per lane.

 $+ \frac{Qw1}{D} + (2 imes \frac{Lmin}{Lact} + 1) \frac{Qw2}{D}$

- non weaving flow (adjusted) v.p.h.
- or weaving flow (adjusted) v.p.h.
- nor weaving flow (adjusted) v.p.h.
- ign flow per lane in v.p.h. as described in para. 4.6.10.4
- nimum weaving length (m)
- ual weaving length (m)

To PTI To LTR

= 3

No. of lanes provided

Location Northern Section D

Design case 2029AM

				<u>Percentage Corre</u> <u>Non-standard</u>	Table 4.6.8.1 ections to Predic Composition an	<u>eted Flow for</u> d <u>Gradient</u>				1		Omu - Oml - Imi
Gradient on Ma	in Line			10	Percentage o	f Heavy Vehicles on L	ink or Main Line - 1	Being Considered	25	10		$N = \frac{Qnw + Qw1}{D} + (2 \times \frac{Lmin}{Last})$
Downhill Level or 1% Up		-8		-4	0	+4	+8	+12	+16	+20		D Luci
1% - 2% Uphill		+2		+6	+10	+14	+18	+12	+16	+30	Where	Qnw = total non weaving flow (a)
2% - 3% Uphill		+12	2	+16	+20	+24	+28	+32	+36	+40		Qw1 = major weaving flow (adj ¹
Flow Distribution			Ron	narks								Qw2 = minor weaving flow (adj
From PTI	=	457 pcu	(Tot	tal Trin Generati	on of Housin	g + Commerical) +	Bus attraction					D = design flow per lane in v
To CPR / U-Turn	=	90%	(10)			b commencer,	Bus attraction					
To I TR Flyover	=	10%	(To	Tin Shui Wai)								Lmin = minimum weaving length
		20/0	(····· orrait itraily								Lact = actual weaving length (m
From LTR	=	2932 pcu	L5 f	low + Trip Gene	ration from p	proposed school de	velopment					
To CPR / U-Turn	=	30%										
To LTR Flyover	=	70%	(To	Tin Shui Wai)								
						From PTI				/	TO CPR / U-Turn	
Weaving and Design Flow						142	142			i.l		
Qw1	=	880 pcu	Fro	m LTR flow * spl	it		//			111		
Qnw1	=	2052 pcu	Fro	m LTR flow * spl	it		11			///		
Qw2	=	46 pcu	Fro	m PTI flow * spli	it	From LTR				//	To LTR Flyover	
Qnw2	=	411 pcu	Fro	m PTI flow * spli	t	Qw1 -				-		
D	=	1867 pcu				Qnw1				-		
Percentage Correction accordir	ng to TPDN	1 v2 Table 4.6.8.1										
Percentage of HV	=	20	Fro	m survey								
Gradient		Downhill										
Correction factor		1.04										
						DIAGR	AM 4.6.10).1 : Lmin	MINIM	UM LENG	FTH OF WE	AVING SECTION
pcu factor	=	1.2								the set is a		Provide and the second second
											40	
Qw1	=	762 vph				4	000				110	1
Qnw1	=	1779 vph				-					in the second se	
Qw2	=	40 vph				TED		1	/8	1		
Qnw2	=	356 vph				Mag 1	000	/	/	/	2 90	
D	=	1556 vph				G R		11			ž (0	
Min. Length of Weaving Section	<u>n</u>					4d	m	//	/		131.70	
Qw1 + Qw2	=	802 vph	(Tot	tal Weaving Flow	v)	M	c/ 1	/ /				
						ITAL (12	B	/			60 /	
From Diagram 4.6.10.1,						201	000				50	240 250 100
Lmin (Line C)	=	m	(N//	A)		3	A-		1-01		T A	ABSOLUTE MINUMUM
Lmin (Abs.)	=	100 m				2	S				10100101010000	WEAVING LENGTH DAL
Calculations							0 20	0 400	600 80	1000	1200	set brankers which had the
	_	100						N Internet	At Linuar	eres inc.	19 4 4 H 17 1	
Linili	-	110 m				0.155-	MINIML	A LENGTH	UP WEAVING	SECTION (I m)		- HATEBALLER HA
Latt $(Opw1 + Opw2 + Ow1)/D$	-	1.96										
$(Q_1 W I + Q_1 W Z + Q W I)/D$	-	1.80 0.07				line A should be	used for yory bi	ab standard rur	l roade with his	th design speeds a	round 100 km/h and	consequently low design flows arous
$(2 \times \text{LIIIII}/\text{LdCl} + 1) \times (\text{QW2/D})$	-	0.07	01/1				adopted for the	moro normal at	an rudus with Nig	conocity rood in U		ionsequency low design flows around in choose a design in the second around so the second and a design in the second around so the second around around so the second around arou
IN	=	1.93	UK!	I		Line B should be Line C represents	the urban cond	lition with low d	esign speed arc	ound 50 km/h and	consequently higher	design flow of 1600 v.p.h. per lane.

 $\frac{din}{dt} + 1)\frac{Qw2}{D}$

(adjusted) v.p.h.

justed) v.p.h.

ljusted) v.p.h.

v.p.h. as described in para. 4.6.10.4

th (m)

n)

ınd 1200 v.p.h. per lane. ign flow of 1400 v.p.h. per lane.

Location Northern Section

Design case 2029PM

			Percentage Corr Non-standard	Table 4.6.8.1 ections to Pred Composition a	icted Flow for nd Gradient		Deine Considered				Onw + Ow1 Imi
Gradient on I	Main Line		5 10	15	20	25	30	35	40		$N = \frac{q_{\text{HW}} + q_{\text{W}}}{D} + (2 \times \frac{1}{Lac})$
Downhill, Level or 1% Up		-	8 -4	0	+4	+8	+12	+16	+20	When	Onw - total non marries flow
1% - 2% Uphill		+	-2 +6	+10	+14	+18	+22	+26	+30	where	Qnw = total non weaving now
2% - 3% Uphill		+	12 +16	+20	+24	+28	+32	+36	+40		Qw1 = major weaving flow (ad
Flow Distribution			Remarks								Qw2 = minor weaving flow (ad
From PTI	=	306 pcu	(Total Trip Generation	on of Housin	g + Commerical) + B	sus attraction					D = design flow per lane in v
To CPR / U-Turn	=	90%	, i								T
To LTR Flyover	=	10%	(To Tin Shui Wai)								Lmin = minimum weaving leng
From LTP	_	3177 pcu	15 flow + Trin Gener	ation from r	vroposed school dev	elonment					Laci – actual weaving length (I
	-	20%	L5 now + mp dener			elopinent					
	_	30% 70%	(To Tip Shui Wai)								
TO LIK FIYOVEI	-	70%			From DTI					To CPR / U-Turn	
Weaving and Design Flow					242	14.2					
Qw1	=	953 pcu	From LTR flow * spli	t		11			111		
Qnw1	=	2224 pcu	From LTR flow * spli	t		11			///		
Qw2	=	31 pcu	From PTI flow * split	t	From LTR	11			///	To LTR Flyover	
Qnw2	=	276 pcu	From PTI flow * split	t	0.1		-)		-	
D	=	1867 pcu			Qnw1					·	
Percentage Correction accord	ling to TPDM v2	Table 4.6.8.1									
Percentage of HV	=	20	From survey								
Gradient	_ _	ownhill	Trom Survey								
Correction factor	D	1 04									
		1.04			DIACE	M 161	0 1 · T min	MINIM	UMIENO	THOFWE	AVINC SECTION
pcu factor	=	1.2			DIAGRA	1.11 4.0.1	0.1 . Linn		UM LENC	JIII OF WE	AVING SECTION
									7-32-52-55	120	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
Qw1	=	826 vph				000			Sec. 101 20213	110	
Qnw1	=	1928 vph									
Qw2	=	27 vph			8	1 1	1	-8	/A	e 100	
Qnw2	=	239 vph			3 5 3	000	/	1			
D	=	1556 vph			ALC H		/ /		/	0110	
					92	010010	///	/			
Min. Length of Weaving Secti	ion				\$ 40 2	000 /	//	/		10 70	/
Qw1 + Qw2	=	853 vph	(Total Weaving Flow	/)	MI	····	/ /				
From Diagram 4.6.10.1,					TOTA Own]	B	/				
Lmin (Line C)	=	m	(N/A)		1	000		244. 4		190	240 300 400
Lmin (Abs.)	=	100 m	())		8	-		and the second second		AB	SOLUTE MINIMUN WEAVING LENGTH (4)
						0 24	100	(40 00	1000	1200	
Calculations						20	400	000 80	1000	100	
Lmin	=	100 m			11 (S.)	MINIM	UM LENGTH	OF WEAVING	SECTION (m	1	
Lact	=	110 m									
(Qnw1 + Qnw2 + Qw1)/D		1 0 0									
$(2 \times 1 \text{ min}/1 \text{ act} + 1) \times (0 \times 2/D)$	=	1.92									
(2 / 2 / , 2000 / 2) / (((12, 2))	=	0.05			Line A should be u	sed for very hig	gh standard rural	roads with high	n design speeds ar	ound 100 km/h and c	onsequently low design flows arour
Ν	= = =	1.92 0.05 1.97	ОК!		Line A should be u Line B should be a	used for very hig dopted for the	gh standard rural more normal sta	roads with high ndard of high ca	n design speeds ar apacity road in Ho und 50 km/b and c	ound 100 km/h and c ong Kong with a design	onsequently low design flows arour a speed around 80 km/h and a design esign flow of 1600 y p h, per lang

Northern_2029PM

 $rac{Lmin}{Lact} + 1)rac{Qw2}{D}$

ow (adjusted) v.p.h.

(adjusted) v.p.h.

v (adjusted) v.p.h.

in v.p.h. as described in para. 4.6.10.4

ength (m)

th (m)

round 1200 v.p.h. per lane. design flow of 1400 v.p.h. per lane.

Weaving Section Calculation (TPDM Volume 2 Section 4.6.10)	Location	Southern Section	Design
weaving Section calculation (17 Divi volume 2 Section 4.0.10)	Location	Southern Section	Design

No. of lanes provided

4

=

2034AM gn case

To PTI

To LTR

				Non-standard C	omposition an	d Gradient								N = -	D	$-+(2 \times$	$\frac{\Delta norr}{Lact} + 1$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{D}$
Gradient on M	ain Line				Percentage o	f Heavy Vehicles on L	ink or Main Line - E	Being Considered							D		Luci	D
			5	<u>10</u>	<u>15</u>	20	25	30	35		<u>40</u>	W	here	Qnw =	total no	on weaving	flow (adjusted	ed) v.p.h.
Downhill, Level or 1% Up			-8	-4	0	+4	+8	+12	+16		+20			Qw1 =	major	weaving flo	w (adjusted)	v.p.h.
1% - 2% Uphill			+2	+6	+10	+14	+18	+22	+26		+30			0				
2% - 3% Uphill			+12	+16	+20	+24	+28	+32	+36		+40			Qw2 =	minor	weaving no	w (adjusted)	v.p.n.
Flow Distribution			Rema	rks										D =	design	flow per la	ne in v.p.h. a	s described i
To PTI	=	398 pcu	(Total	Trip Attraction	of Housing -	+ Commerical) + Bu	s attraction							Lmin =	minim	ım weaving	g length (m)	
From New Slip Road	=	20%												Lact =	actual y	weaving let	ogth (m)	
From TYST Int	=	80%												Luci	uetuur	in curring ici	.B ()	
Weaving and Design Flow																		
Qw1	=	318.6749 pcu	To PT	I flow * split								From	New Slip	Road				
Qnw1	=	2395 pcu	Basef	low on L10 + L13	3 (from traff	ic model)							243	nho				
Qw2	=	338 pcu	Basefl	low on proposed	d slip road (f	rom traffic model)	+ Trip Attraction	n of proposed s	school develop	ment			-	11				
Qnw2	=	79.66872 pcu	To PT	I flow * split										1	1			//
D	=	1867 pcu										Fro	n TYST li	nt	1			_//
Percentage Correction accordin	ng to TPDN	M v2 Table 4.6.8.1											Qnw1	_				
Percentage of HV	=	20 %	From	survey														
Gradient		Downhill																
Correction factor		1.04																
						DIAGR	AM 4610	01 · Lmi	in MINI	MUM	LENG	THOE	WE	VIN	GSE	CTIO	N	
pcu factor	=	1.2				DIAGR	A.VI 4.0.10			10111	LLITO	mor	** 122		GDL	c mo.	-	
								and the second second		17.11		12				1		
Qw1	=	276 vph				- 1111	000		a second second second		111			le tiskete		1		
Qnw1	=	2076 vph				1.1	*000	100		101-10-		3			111 22	/		
Qw2	=	293 vph				õ			E	-	A	à 10		-	11			
Qnw2	=	69 vph				STE	2000	/	10		/		1	1	. /	E. marrie		
D	=	1556 vph				22	3000	/		/		610		the b	/	1000		
						U A		11		/		3 0	-	1	1			
Min. Length of Weaving Section	n					5 4		11				200		1	199	1		
Qw1 + Qw2	=	569 vph	(Total	Weaving Flow)		V.P	0005	/	/	-	1	3 1		1		1		
		-				11	1.	/		-			/	100	-	1.12		
From Diagram 4.6.10.1,						M1 CM	B	/				1.13	/			1110		
Lmin (Line C)	=	m	(N/A)			+	1000		1211	31	100		40	200	300	400		
Lmin (Abs.)	=	100 m	(,/.)			8	A-		The second second	al and	a barela	in the second	AS	CLUTE MIN	HUN	hailis		
,,						-						1001010	1.1.1	WEAV	ING LENG	(IN Del)		
Calculations							0 20	0 400	600	800	1000	1200	5	12122	ciann.	bidhs.		
Lmin	=	100 m					MILLION	IN LENGTH	AL LIENA	eren		19 - Y - Y	12210		22			
	=	110 m				0.155	MINIML	IM LENGTH	UF WEAVING	SECTI	UN [[m]	a local line	f-T	1.3	1992	1		
Lact																		
Lact (Onw1 + Onw2 + Ow1)/D	=	1.56																
Lact (Qnw1 + Qnw2 + Qw1)/D $(2 \times Imin/Lact + 1) \times (Qw2/D)$	=	1.56 0.53				Line A should be u	sed for very high	n standard rura	al roads with hi	igh desigr	speeds aro	und 100 km/	h and cor	isequentl	v low de	sign flows	around 1200	v.p.h. per la

Weaving Section Calculation (TPDM Volume 2 Section 4.6	.10)
--------------------------------------------------------	------

Location Southern Section

Design case 2034PM

<u>Table 4.6.8.1</u> Percentage Corrections to Predicted Flow for <u>Non-standard Composition and Gradient</u>

Non-standard Composition and Gradient								
Gradient on Main Line	Percentage of Heavy Vehicles on Link or Main Line - Being Considered							
Gradient on Main Line	5	<u>10</u>	<u>15</u>	20	<u>25</u>	<u>30</u>	35	<u>40</u>
Downhill, Level or 1% Up	-8	-4	0	+4	+8	+12	+16	+20
1% - 2% Uphill	+2	+6	+10	+14	+18	+22	+26	+30
2% - 3% Uphill	+12	+16	+20	+24	+28	+32	+36	+40

<u>Flow Distribu</u>	tion
TO PTI	

From TYST Int

From New Slip Road

	Remarks
352 pcu	(Total Trip Attraction of Housing + Commerical) + Bus attraction

20%	
80%	

From survey

Weaving	and	Design	Flow

Qw1	=	281.2624 pcu
Qnw1	=	2667 pcu
Qw2	=	272 pcu
Qnw2	=	70.31559 pcu
D	=	1867 pcu

=

To PTI flow * split
Baseflow on L10 + L13 (from traffic model)
Baseflow on proposed slip road (from traffic model) + Trip Attraction of proposed school development
To PTI flow * split



Where Qnw = total non weaving flow (adjusted) v.p.h.

Qw1 = major weaving flow (adjusted) v.p.h.

Qw2 = minor weaving flow (adjusted) v.p.h.

- D = design flow per lane in v.p.h. as described in para. 4.6.10.4
- Lmin = minimum weaving length (m)

Lact = actual weaving length (m)



Percentage Correction according to TPDM v2 Table 4.6.8.1 Percentage of HV = 20 %

Gradient		Downhill	
Correction factor		1.04	
pcu factor	=	1.2	
Qw1	=	244 vph	
Qnw1	=	2312 vph	
Qw2	=	236 vph	
Qnw2	=	61 vph	
D	=	1556 vph	
Min. Length of Weaving Sectio	n		
Qw1 + Qw2	=	479 vph	(Total Weaving Flow)
From Diagram 4.6.10.1,			
Lmin (Line C)	=	m	(N/A)
Lmin (Abs.)	=	100 m	
<u>Calculations</u>			
Lmin	=	100 m	
Lact	=	110 m	
(Qnw1 + Qnw2 + Qw1)/D	=	1.68	
(2 x Lmin/Lact + 1) x (Qw2/D)	=	0.43	
N	=	2.11	OK!
No. of lanes provided	=	4	

DIAGRAM 4.6.10.1 : Lmin MINIMUM LENGTH OF WEAVING SECTION



Line A should be used for very high standard rural roads with high design speeds around 100 km/h and consequently low design flows around 1200 v.p.h. per lane. Line B should be adopted for the more normal standard of high capacity road in Hong Kong with a design speed around 80 km/h and a design flow of 1400 v.p.h. per lane. Line C represents the urban condition with low design speed around 50 km/h and consequently higher design flow of 1600 v.p.h. per lane. Appendix E

Proposed Bus Route














Appendix F

Extract of Agreement No. CE26/2015 (CE)



Land use and development intensity based on the provisions in current Outline Zoning Plans

Extract of LegCo Paper No. CB(1)452/15-16(06)

DRAFT RECOMMENDED OUTLINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN 天水圍站 **Tin Shui Wai Station** 元朗站 朗屏站 Yuen Long Station Long Ping Station 屏山 Ping Shan CASTLE PEAK ROAD 元朗新市鎮 疑議公共運輸交匯處 元朗公園 經洪水橋新發展區連接 至西鐵天水圍站的環保 Yuen Long New Town 擬議洪水橋 ed Public Transport Interchar Yuen Long Park 新發展區 運輸服務示 意走線 Proposed ve corridor of EFTS with 唐人新村 e connection to West Ra Hung Shui Kiu Tong Yan San Tsuen TSW Station via the EFTS of the **New Development** ui Kiu ND 土地用途地帶 LAND USE ZONING A FUNG ROA Area AUGH LONG HIGH 公共屋宇 - 租住公屋(包括商業用途) YUEN LONG HIGHWA Special Residential - Public Rental Housing (with Commercial) 欖口村 住宅發展(資助出售房屋包括商業用途) Lam Hau Tsuen Residential (Subsidised Sale Flats with Commercial) 住宅發展(包括商業用途) 田寮村 Residential (with Commercial) Tin Liu Tsue 住宅發展 Residential 山廈村 住宅發展第五區 (現有發展區) Shan Ha Tsuen R5(FDA) Residential - Zone 5 (Existing Development Area) 鄉村式發展 木橋頭村 Village Type Development Muk Kiu Tau 商業 400m米 200 Commercial 北N Tsuen 其他指定用途 Other Specified Uses 圖示 LEGEND 其他指定用途 (貯物用途) RSIEDA າມ(ຈມ) Other Specified Uses (Storage Use) 具發展潛力區 Potential Development Area (PDA) 其他指定用途(露天貯物) 低密度 現有鄉村 ou(os) Other Specified Uses (Open Storage) 鄰近具發展潛力區的認可殯葬區 住宅區 Existing Villages Permitted Burial Ground near the PDA 其他指定用途 (貯物及工場用途) Low-density OU(S+W) Other Specified Uses (Storage and Workshop Uses) **Residential** Area 其他指定用途 (污水處理廠) 白沙村 大棠 郊野公園 OU(STW) Other Specified Uses (Sewage Treatment Works) Pak Sha Tsuen **Country Park** Tai Tong 其他指定用途(混合發展) 河道 Other Specified Uses (Mixed Use) **River Channel** 其他指定用途(山邊河道及觀景單車徑) 主要道路 Other Specified Uses Kev Road (Hillside River Corridor with Scenic Cycle Track) 黃泥墩村 具發展潛力區內具生態價值的天然河溪 政府 Wong Nai Tun Tsuen Natural Stream with Ecological Importance within the PDA Government 鄰近具發展潛力區的天然河溪 大棠村 機構或社區 Natural Stream near the PDA Tai Tong Tsuen Institution or Community 環保運輸服務(有待進一步研究) 教育 Environmentally Friendly Transport Services (EFTS) Education (Subject to Further Studies) ■ 現有輕鐵站 地區休憩用地 **Existing Light Rail Station District Open Space** 現有西鐵站 鄰舍休憩用地 -**Existing West Rail Station** Local Open Space 楊家村 擬議公共運輸交匯處 美化市容地帶 (PTI) Yeung Ka Tsuen Proposed Public Transport Interchange Amenity 蓄洪缸 農業 **Retention Tank** Agriculture 蓄洪池 綠化地帶 Retention Lake Green Belt 大欖郊野公園

Tai Lam Country Park

建議發展大綱草圖



Drawings







































18/5/2021 NGI þ ed



















	LEGE	<u>END</u>				
	Ľ.	. <u> </u>	BUUNDARIES	u+ ihe site		
	R	<u>M1040</u>	PROPOSED N	EW DOUBLE YELLO	DW LIN	E MARKING
\backslash						
	A Rev	REVISED Descripti	on		YKMM By	05/21 Date
	Cons	ultant	4 F		, í	
	Project title Agreement No. CE 75/2017 (CE)					
	Sit We	e Forma orks for	uion and Public H	Intrastruct	ture velo	pment
	at	Long Bi	n, Yuen	Long -		-
	Draw	vestigati	on, Desi	gn and Côi	ISTIU	icuon
	PF	ROPO	SED R		٩R	KING
		CAS	TLE PE	EAK RO	٩D	-
	PI	NG SH	HAN			
	Drawi	ing no.			F	Rev.
	Draw	2610	44/F/0	3/0053	Appr	A
	WSTV Scale	v 1:200	12/20 @ A1	TC Status DRAF	RT F	
			COPYRIGHT	RESERVED		ı
		CEDI	±:	木工程拓加	長署	
			Civ Dev	n ⊏ngineering /elopment De	and partr	ment


+	LEGEND	
E iv		
	(AFERUAUNING / LEAVING)	
$\langle \cdot \rangle$		
- T		
mi		
1		
<u> </u>		
-		
	A REVISED	YKMM 05/21
m	Consultant	Dure Pare
7	ARUP	
	Project title	
	Site Formation and Information	
	Works for Public Housing De	evelopment
	at Long Bin, Yuen Long -	PVIIV
	Investigation, Design and Co	nstruction
	Drawing title	
	INIGHT TIME PARKING	_
	IFUR PUBLIC TRANSPORT	
	INTERCHANGE WITH	
	I 12.0M LUNG BUS	
X X X		
4	Drawing no. 261044/F/03/00	54 ^{Rev.} A
	Drawn Date Checked WSTW 12/2020 TC	Approved RT
	Scale 1:250@A1 1:500@A3 Status DRAF	T
<u>in</u> 4 — 4 —	COPYRIGHT RESERVED	
↓~ →	▲★工程拓	展署
	CEDD Civil Engineerin	g and
Þ		oparanoni



	Ľ	EGEN	ND						
	-		_	IMPROV	EMENT	SCHEME			
	7								
	\sum								
ilding									
am c-7									
上午 10-7									
N-TS91									
[AL.								
V_Proh									
	-1								
4.3									
+									
		-							
	_] -	-+							
246									
		Rev	Desc tant	ription				Ву	Date
		- - 113U			ΑĪ	RI	Р		
							•		
2	١ſ	Projec	t title	nent N	ה	2 75/2	017.0	CEN	
		Site	e Fo	rmatio	on and	l Infra	struc	ture	
1		Wo	rks	for Pu	blic H	Iousir	ng De	velo	pment
		at L Inv	ong	g Bin, ` pation	Yuen	Long	- d Cor	<u>istri</u>	iction
	_ -		g title	5441011	ופטע ,	6 ¹¹ all		տու	
		IMF	RO	VEME	NT S	SCHEN	ME O	F	
		JUN	ICT	ION	18 -	- MA	MIU	RC	DAD
		CAS	STL	E PE	AK F	ROAD			
		— F	' I N	g SH	AN				
		Decu							2014
		urawing	g no.	<u>261</u> 0)44/	F/03	/005	55	
		Drawn WSTW		Date 05/22	2	Checke TC	ed	Appr RT	oved
	۱Ľ	JUUIE	1:	250 @ A1	рувіон			Γ	
	١ſ			<u> </u>					
		i	CE	DD	± Civ	ホエネ /il Engir	≊拓/ß neerinc	责署 ; and	
				~	De	velopm	ent De	partr	nent
		_						_	



Written Record of Parking Provision

From: Doris SY LEUNG/SWDNET/SWD/HKSARG@SWD - 24/11/2022 10:37 AM

To: Karen KL WONG/HD/HKSARG@HD

 Cc: Chloe SP CHENHD/HKSARG@HD, David CH SIU/HD/HKSARG@HD, Ronnie HY LAW/HD/HKSARG@HD, Ming Kin LIT/HD/HKSARG@HD, King Yin CHOW/HD/HKSARG@HD, Man Him CHAN/HD/HKSARG@HD, Sunny Ho Yin CHAN/HD/HKSARG@HD, Cindy SY LEE/HD/HKSARG@HD, Desmond GC SHE/HD/HKSARG@HD, Kenny MK HO/HD/HKSARG@HD, Chung Him LEUNG/HD/HKSARG@HD, S[RM]3@SWD, S[RM]1@SWD, S[CC]5@SWD, S[RM]4@SWD, S[E]5@SWD, S[E]14@SWD, S[Y]4@SWD, S[E]2@SWD, S[E]15@SWD, S[RM]18@SWD, SS[PS]2@SWD, SS[PS]1@SWD, SS[CC]3@SWD, SS[RM]2@SWD, SS[Y]3@SWD, SS[E]4@SWD, SS[E]8@SWD, SS[RM]4@SWD, EA[P]NT@SWD, SC[P]NT@SWD, SC[P]NT@SWD
 Subject:Long Bin Phase 2 Public Housing Development - Wish List of Welfare Facilities (SoA / Packing and L/UL Requirement)

History:

This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Dear Karen,

I refer to the preceding mail.

Please find the latest SoA as attached for your reference please.

Items	Type of Services	SoA
1	Office Base of On-site Pre-school Rehabilitation Services (OPRS) Office Base 到校學前康復服務 <mark>辦事處</mark> (Please revise the office name of OPRS)	SOA_OPRS_100_(20190918).pdf
2	60-p Special Child Care Centre (SCCC) 特殊幼兒中心	SCCC_60(06112017).pdf
3	100-p Aided Standalone Child Care Centre (CCC) 獨立幼兒中心	SoA for 100-P CCC_GPA_NewSoA.xls
4	50-p Hostel for Moderately Mentally Handicapped Persons (HMMH) 中度弱智人士宿舍	بچو HMMH_40-50(06112017).pdf
5	50-p Hostel for Severely Physically Handicapped Persons (HSPH) 嚴重肢體傷殘人士宿舍	HSPH(50_places)(20181019).pdf
6	120-p Integrated Vocational Rehabilitation on Services Centre (IVRSC) 综合職業康復服務中小	IVRSC(80-160_places)(20141003).pdf
7	40-p Supported Hostel for Mentally Handicapped Persons (SHOS(MH)) 弱智人士輔助宿舍	SoA - SHOS(40)(MH)(10_18 Approved).pdf Specific for 40-p SHOS(MH)
8	200-p Residential Care Home for the Elderly (RCHE) cum 30-p Day Care Unit (DCU) 安老院 / 長者日間護理單位	SoA_DCU_in_RCHE(20&30p)(2020.2.20).pdf SoA for a 30-p DCU SoA for 200-p RCHE_Approval on 13.9.2017.pdf SoA for a 200-p RCHE
9	School Social Work Unit	SoA_ISSWO.pdf
10	Home Care Services (HCS) for Frail Elderly Persons (1-team size non-kitchen based) 體弱長者家居照顧服務隊	SoA for Home-based CCS (1-team size non-kitchen based).pdf
11	Multi-disciplinary Outreaching Support Team for the Elderly (MOSTE) 安老院舍外展專業服務隊 (Please revise the name of MOSTE)	SoA_SWD_MOSTE_New Territories West (2) Cluster.pdf
12	100-p Long Stay Care Home (LSCH) 長期護理院	SoA_LSCH(100_place).pdf

NOFA of 40-p SHOS(MH)

Please note that NOFA of 40-p SHOS(MH) is 443 sq.m. instead of 487 sq.m.. The IFA is 576 sq.m.

To address HD's initial comments expressing its difficulty in providing window frontage for <u>Hostels-type Facilities</u> due to site constraints, SWD's comments on the concern of provision of natural lighting and ventilation for RCHE are provided below. <u>Comments on Natural lighting and ventilation for RCHE</u>.

- For the concern on provision of natural lighting and ventilation for RCHE, please be advised that "Every Room used for habitation or for the purposes of an offence of kitchen in RCHEs shall be provided with adequate natural lighting and ventilation in compliance with sections 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33 of the Building (Planning) Regulations, (Cap. 123 sub. leg. F)...." as set out in para. 4.9.2 of Code of Practice for Residential Care Homes (Elderly Persons) January 2020 (Revised).
- In view of above, would HD please ensure all rooms for habitation (including Dormitories, Isolation Room, End-of-Life Care Room) and other essential functional rooms shall be equipped with openable and prescribed windows for meeting all relevant statutory and licensing requirements. We stand ready to tender our comments upon receipt of the layout design submitted by HD.

Co-location of CCC

For the advance planning of HD, we would like to draw HD's attention on the co-location concern of CCC service. As the parents/carers of the service users of CCC who are at aged below 3, will tend to explore the surrounding environment before they consider placing their children at this fee-charging service for full day care, it is desirable for the co-location of CCC, if required, with the proposed elderly services, OPRS and School Social Work Unit. Otherwise, provision of a separate entrance/access to CCC is

Parking and Loading/Unloading Requirement

SWD's comment on whether the parking and loading/unloading can be shared amongst the proposed 12 facilities is remarked under column O.

Please note SWD's comment as attached also (the changes are highlighted in red).

x

2022-11-17 LB2 Welfare_pps 24112022.xlsx

Thank you.

Regards, Doris Leung EA(P)M Tel: 3691 8500 Fax: 2575 7518

寄件者: Karen KL WONG/HD/HKSARG@HD

收件者:	Neo YH NG/SWDNET/SWD/HKSARG@SWD, Natalie CY LIU/SWDNET/SWD/HKSARG@SWD, Doris SY LEUNG/SWDNET/SWD/HKSARG@SWD
副本抄送:	EA[P]M@SWD, EA[P]NT@SWD, Kevin LY CHU/SWDNET/SWD/HKSARG@SWD, Chloe SP CHEN/HD/HKSARG@HD, David CH SIU/HD/HKSARG@HD,
	Ronnie HY LAW/HD/HKSARG@HD, Ming Kin LIT/HD/HKSARG@HD, King Yin CHOW/HD/HKSARG@HD, Man Him CHAN/HD/HKSARG@HD, Sunny Ho Yin
	CHAN/HD/HKSARG@HD, Cindy SY LEE/HD/HKSARG@HD, Desmond GC SHE/HD/HKSARG@HD, Kenny MK HO/HD/HKSARG@HD, Chung Him
	LEUNG/HD/HKSARG@HD
日期:	17/11/2022 18:45
ナロ・	Long Bin Phase 2 Public Housing Development Wish List of Welfare Eacilities

Dear SWD Team,

Long Bin Phase 2 Public Housing Development Wish List of Welfare Facilities

Thank you for your information on the proposed welfare facilities.

We have prepared a table consolidating previous input from SWD regarding the welfare wish list as below. If you have any comments on the excel table, please feel free to let us know.



1. Latest SOA

Our scheme design consultant for this project has been on board and starts preparing the design for the development. To facilitate the preparation of the scheme design, grateful if SWD could further the latest SOA of the 12 facilities for our design study.

2. Parking and Loading/Unloading Requirement

Previously, each of the 12 proposed welfare facilities provided their own parking and loading/unloading requirements. Considering the limited space available and efficient use of space, appreciate if SWD could review whether the parking and loading/unloading can be shared amongst the proposed 12 facilities, and advise the revised shared requirement for our onward study.

3. Hostels-type Facilities

We noticed there are quite a number of hostel-type facilities in the welfare wish list:

- 3.1 50-p Hostel for Moderately Mentally Handicapped Persons (HMMH)
- 3.2 50-p Hostel for Severely Physically Handicapped Persons (HSPH)
- 3.3 40-p Supported Hostel for Mentally Handicapped Persons (SHOS(MH))
- 3.4 200-p Residential Care Home for the Elderly (RCHE) cum 30-p Day Care Unit (DCU)
- 3.5 100-p Long Stay Care Home (LSCH)

We would like to draw your attention that habitable rooms (e.g. dormitories, sick rooms, etc.) for hostels require natural lighting and ventilation, which would in turn require frontage for windows. With Long Bin's surrounding noise level, we may not be able to provide sufficient window frontage with desirable acoustic level. Our consultant will try to incorporate the proposed welfare facilities as much as possible. However, we may not be able to include all the proposed welfare facilities due to site constraints. We will let you know if there are updates on the feasibility study.

4. Other Observations

We have some minor observations as marked in YELLOW in the attachment. Grateful if you could review and advise.

Grateful if you could reply on Item 1, 2 and 4 above by 23/11/2022. Thank you in advance and apology for the rush.

Thanks & regards, Karen Wong Architect T222 Housing Department Tel. 2129 3297

Long Bin Phases 2 & 3 - Proposed Welfare Facilities

(2022-11-17)

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	К	L	Μ	N	0
Iten	ns Type of Services	Target Group	Hostel?	NOFA (m²)	IFA (m²)	SOA Version	Remarks	Ancillary Facilities (if any)	Parking	Loading/Unloading	Height from Ground	Headroom	Lift Provision	whether the parking and loading/unloading can be shared amongst the proposed 12 facilities, and advise the revised shared requirement
1	<mark>Office Base of</mark> On-site Pre-school Rehabilitation Services (OPRS) Office Base 到校學前康復服務辦事處	Children with mild disabilities aged below 6	No	166	216	2019-09-18	SOA updated per SWD's email 1/9/2022		A designated parking space of 8m L x 3m W x minimum 3.3m headroom for a private light bus as mobile training centre of OPRS is required.	Loading/Unloading is not required for OPRS.	Should be situated at a height not more than 24m from the ground			The parking space should be designated for the exclusive use of OPRS while we have no comment on the Loading/Unloading Bay as the Loading/Unloading Bay is not required for OPRS.
2	60-p Special Child Care Centre (SCCC) 特殊幼兒中心	Children aged from 2 to under 6 assessed to have moderate to severe disabilities Children aged 2 to under 6 and are either. moderately or severely mentally handicapped; moderately or severely physically handicapped; deaf or with severe to profound- hearing impairment; blind or- with severe visual impairment; or autistic.	No	409	532	2017-09-13			Parking space for a 48-seater coach bus (12m x 3.5 m with min headroom of 3.8 m)	A safe and convenient Loading/Unloading Bay in proximity to the entrance of SCCC With easily accessible loading/- unloading bay	No part of any centre premises shall be situated at a height of more than 24 metre above ground level.	As required by Cap 243A Child Care Services Regulations, the ceiling of every room in the centre shall be NOT less than 3 m above the floor level of the room (measured from finished floor level to finished floor level to finished ceiling level). Enough headroom buffer must be provided in the design stage so that the 3m clear headroom requirement can be achieved at the end stage especially when false ceiling is to be installed. The ceiling of every room in the centre shall be not less. than 3 m above the floor- level of the room		The parking space should be designated for the exclusive use of SCCC while the Loading/Unloading Bay (12m x 3.5 m with min headroom of 3.8 m) for the shared use of SCCC and other welfare facilities is considered feasible.
3	100-p Aided Standalone Child Care Centre (CCC) 獨立幼兒中心	Children (78 places for aged 0 to below 2 and 22 places for aged 2 to below 3)	No	530	689	2019-10-21	SOA updated per SWD's email 18/7/2022	The location of CCC should comply with the licensing and operation requirements as laid down in PNAP APP-43 as well as relevant building regulation, FS requirement and ongoing regulatory control under the Child Care Services Ordinance (CCSO), Child Care Services Regulations (CCSR) and Operation Manual for Pre-primary Institutions, i.e. not situating in any part of an industrial building, over any godown, store, shop, cinema, department store, or any premises carrying on trades or facilities which may constitute a danger or may be detrimental to the health or well-being of children such as creating excessive noise, fumes and smells as well as not locating in any premises located vertically above/below a restaurant/club, etc.; Straddling across two floors is not accepted for CCC because of the operational considerations and service concern on the fulfillment of enhanced manning ratio of designated staff to service users; Should co-location of CCC with other welfare facilities is required, elderly service is found suitable.	No parking space is required by CCC	A shared loading/unloading bay or lay- by should be accessible conveniently and close to CCC for the emergency use of ambulances	The location of CCC should be situated at a height of not more than 12 m above ground level. In accordance with para 1.1.1 of the Operation Manual for Pre-primary Institutions (September 2021) (Version 2.2), CCC should, ideally, be located on ground floor or the podium floor for ensuring that children gain easy access.			- A <u>shared</u> loading/unloading bay or lay-by is required for CCC. - It should be accessible conveniently and close to CCC for the emergency use of ambulances.
4	50-p Hostel for Moderately Mentally Handicapped Persons (HMMH) 中度弱智人士宿舍	Mentally handicapped	Yes	617	864	2017-09-13		Should be paired up with IVRSC.			Should be situated at a height not more than 24m from the ground street level.			
5	50-p Hostel for Severely Physically Handicapped Persons (HSPH) 嚴重肢體傷殘人士宿舍	Physically handicapped	Yes	695	1,043	2018-10-19		Should be paired up with IVRSC.	Designated parking space for a 24 seater van with tail-lift	Loading/ unloading area for passengers is required. Shared use of loading/unloading area with other welfare facilities is ageeable.	Should be situated at a height not more than 24m from the ground street level.			Designated parking space measuring 8m(L)x3m(W)x3.3m(H) is required for 24-seater van for HPSH. Shared use of loading/unloading area among other welfare facilities is agreeable.
6	120-p Integrated Vocational Rehabilitation on Services Centre (IVRSC) 綜合職業康復服務中心	Persons with disabilities in need of vocational training or support to take up open employment	No	653	849	2014-10-03		Should be paired up with HMMH and HSPH.	Designated parking space for a 5.5 ton goods vehicle	With easily accessible loading/ unloading bay for goods delivery is required. Shared use of loading/unloading area with other welfare facilities is ageeable.	Should be situated at a height not more than 24m from the ground street level.		To be located on G/F, otherwise a goods lift to be shared with other welfare facilities is required.	Designated parking space measuring 7m(L)x3.5m(W)x3.6m(H) is required for 5.5 ton goods vehicle for IVRSC. Shared use of loading/unloading area among other welfare facilities is agreeable.

Long Bin Phases 2 & 3 - Proposed Welfare Facilities

(2022-11-17)

Α	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	К	L	Μ	N	0
Items	Type of Services	Target Group	Hostel?	NOFA (m²)	IFA (m²)	SOA Version	Remarks	Ancillary Facilities (if any)	Parking	Loading/Unloading	Height from Ground	Headroom	Lift Provision	whether the parking and loading/unloading can be shared amongst the proposed 12 facilities, and advise the revised shared requirement
7	40-p Supported Hostel for Mentally Handicapped Persons (SHOS(MH)) 弱智人士輔助宿舍	Mentally handicapped	Yes	443 (SWD please clarify the NOFA & IFA. Per SOA, NOFA should be 487.) SWD : NOFA for 40-p SHOS(MH) is 443 sq.m.	576 (SWD please clarify the NOFA & IFA. Per SOA , NOFA should be 487.) SWD : IFA for 40-p SHOS(MH) at 576 sq.m. is confirmed, please.	2018-10-19					Should be situated at a height not more than 24m from the ground street level.			
8	200-p Residential Care Home for the Elderly (RCHE) cum 30-p Day Care Unit (DCU) 安老院 / 長者日間護理單位	Elderty	Yes	2,565	4,095	RCHE (2017-09-13) DCU (2020-02-20)		 In accordance with Section 20 of Residential Care Homes (Elderly Persons) Regulation (Cap. 459 sub. Leg. A), no part of a RCHE shall be situated at a height more than 24m above the ground floor, measuring vertically from the ground of the building to the floor of the premises in which the RCHE to be situated. That say, the proposed RCHE should be situated at a level not higher than 24m from ground level under statutory requirement. ii) For the part of DCU, while it will be placed not more than 24m above ground level, location at ground floor or a vehicular accessible floor is most preferred as the users are frail elders and most of them are on wheelchair. iii) O parking spaces for private light buses with tail-lift measuring 8m x 3m with minimum headroom of 3.3m for the exclusive use of the RCHE cum DCU. iv) A shared loading / unloading area for the RCHE cum DCU private light buses and ambulance / other vehicles of the development in the close proximity to the entrance of the building where the RCHE cum DCU si located. v) A designated lift for the exclusive use of the RCHE cum DCU which can accommodate a stretcher bed measuring 2050mm x 560mm minimum. vi) If the facility has to be spread across two floors, internal staircases should be considered. 	Two parking spaces for two private light buses with tail-lifts (measurement: 8m x 3m with minimum headroom of 3.3m.) for the exclusive use of the RCHE cun DCU should be provided. [The requirement for two no. of designated parking spaces for private light buses for exclusive use of RCHE cum DCU remain valid.]	A shared loading/ unloading area for use of the two private light buses, and ambulance in the close proximity of the RCHE cum DCU entrance. The dimension of the shared L/UL area is a 11m x 3.5m x 4.7m (minimum headroom).	The RCHE cum DCU should be situated at a level not higher than 24 m from ground level.			For RCHE cum DCU, please be advised that the two no. of designated parking spaces for private light buses of RCHE cum DCU are the standard site requirements for planned RCHE projects as these required parking provisions are essential to ensure the smooth operation of RCHE cum DCU. Hence, the two designated parking spaces for RCHE cum DCU could not be shared among 12 welfare facilities. Whereas, we (RCHE cum DCU) accept the required 1 no. L/UL bay to be used amongst the 12 welfare facilities, ambulance and other vehicles of the development.
9	School Social Work Unit	Students with academic, social or emotional problems	No	36	41	SWD please provide SOA (attached SoA version 2022- 04-22)	New bid from SWD's email 2022-07-18	Nil	Nil	Nil				Yes, it can be shared.
10	Home Care Services (HCS) for Frail Elderly Persons (1-team size non-kitchen based) 體弱長者家居照顧服務隊	Elderly	No	86	115	SWD please provide SOA SWD : Please refer to the SoA attached in the e-mail	New bid from SWD's email 2022-07-18		One designated parking space for one private light bus with tail-lift measuring 8m x 3m with minimum headroom of 3.3m is required.	A shared loading/unloading area for the private light bus of the Home Care Services (HCS) team for Frail Elderly Persons and other welfare facilities in close proximity to the entrance of the HCS team for Frail Elderly Persons is required.	Nil			The designated parking space is required as it plays a very significant role to ensure effective service provision. A shared loading/ unloading area with other welfare facilities in close proximity to the entrance of the HCS team for Frail Elderly Persons is required.
11	Multi-disciplinary Outreaching Support Team for the Elderly (MOSTE) 安老院含外展專業服務隊	Elderly	No	324.36	486.54	2022-05-14	New bid from SWD's email 2022-07-18	Nil	No parking space is required by MOSTE	With easily accessible loading/ unloading bay for goods delivery is required.	Nil			Shared use of loading/unloading area among other welfare facilities is agreeable.
12	100-p Long Stay Care Home (LSCH) 長期護理院	Persons in mental recovery in need of long term residential care and active maintenance services	Yes	1,433	2,150	SWD please provide SOA SWD : Please refer to the SoA attached in the e-mail	New bid from SWD's email 2022-07-18	If the facility has to be spread across two floors, there should be internal staircases.	One parking space (size: 8m x 3m with minimum headroom of 3.3m for a private light bus with tail-lift	Share public loading/unloading bay or lay-by for ambulance are required (size: 8m x 3m with minimum headroom of 3.3m).	LSCH should be located on the ground floor or lower floors (not higher than 24 metres above the street level), and should preferably be accessible by its own lifts.			The parking space should be designated for the exclusive use of LSCH while the Loading/Unloading Bay (12m x 3.5 m with min headroom of 3.8 m) for the shared use of LSCH and other welfare facilities is considered feasible.
			Total	7,957	11,656									

Appendix C

Junction Calculation

Job No. : 5209833 J1 - Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan/ Ma Wang Road Junction : Design Year: 2034 Checked by: Reference Designed by: VAR DH Scheme : [▲] N Traffic Flo (pcu hr) AM(PM) **≜** N 471(525) 55(55) L ↲ 763(766) ____^ 1100(1058) _____ -> 876(964) <u>ر</u> 674(594) -11-IGE STAGE PHASE DIAGRAM TAT ANG CASTLE PEAK ROAD D+C G= G=3 IG=14 G= IG=6 G= IG= G= IG IG: IG= D+C G= IG: G=3 IG=14 G= IG=6 G= G= IG= CAPACITY CALCULATIONS AM Peak PM Peak Phase Stage Lane Nearside Opposed Radius for Gradient Design Proportion Saturation Flow Design Proportion Saturation Flow Width (m) lane turn turning (m) in % Flow **q** turning (%) flow S factor Flow **q** turning (%) flow **S** factor w (Y/N) (Y/N) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) r g у у Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan (E) 100% 0.423 100% 0.424 1805 1805 1 1,3 3.00 Y Ν 25 763 766 11 1,3 3.00 Y Ν 368 1915 0.192 369 1915 0.193 12 1,3 3.00 Ν Ν 395 2055 0.192 397 2055 0.193 3.50 Ν Ν 550 2105 0.261 529 2105 0.251 1 1 3.50 Ν Ν 550 2105 0.261 529 2105 0.251 2 1 H1 1,2 3.50 Ν Ν 550 2105 0.261 529 2105 0.251 Ν Ν 550 2105 0.261 529 2105 0.251 H2 1,2 3.50 Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan (W) D1 1,2 3.20 Y Ν 9 690 98% 1665 0.414 701 85% 1695 0.414 D2 1,2 3.20 Ν Ν 860 2075 0.414 857 2075 0.413 Ma Wang Road (S) 3.50 Y 18/18 32% / 68% 1815 0.096 33% / 67% 1815 0.091 C1 3 Ν 174 166 C2 3 3.50 Ν Ν 30 176 100% 2005 0.088 207 100% 2005 0.103 C3 3 3.50 Ν Ν 30 176 100% 2005 0.088 207 100% 2005 0.103 10GM + 9FG = 19 Ep 3 sec Fp 1,2 5GM + 10FG = 15 sec 5GM + Gp 2 6FG = 11 sec 5GM + 9FG = 3 14 sec р A (LRT) 7GM + 7FG = 14 2 sec L (LRT) 7GM + 7FG = 14 sec

Notes:	AM Peak	DC	PM Peak	DC
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.510	Sum of Critical y Y	0.517
	Lost Time L (sec)	21	Lost Time L (sec)	21
	Cycle Time c (sec)	120	Cycle Time c (sec)	120
	Practical Y Ypr	0.743	Practical Y Ypr	0.743
	Reserve Capacity RC	45	Reserve Capacity RC	44

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J1 - Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan/ Ma Wang Road

Job No. : 5209833 J1 - Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan/ Ma Wang Road Junction : Design Year: 2034 Checked by: DH Design Designed by: VAR Scheme : [↑]N Traffic Flo (pcu hr) AM(PM) ≜ N 55(55) 471(525) L ↲ 763(766) ____^ 1101(1059) _____ -> 876(964) V 675(595) -11-TE STAGE PHASE DIAGRAM TAT ANG CASTLE PEAK ROAD D+C G= G=3 IG=14 G= IG=6 G= IG= G= IG IG: IG= D+C G= IG: G=3 IG=14 G= IG=6 G= G= IG= CAPACITY CALCULATIONS AM Peak PM Peak Phase Stage Lane Nearside Opposed Radius for Gradient Design Proportion Saturation Flow Design Proportion Saturation Flow Width (m) lane turn turning (m) in % Flow **q** turning (%) flow S factor Flow **q** turning (%) flow **S** factor w (Y/N) (Y/N) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) r g у y Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan (E) 100% 0.423 100% 0.424 1805 1805 1 1,3 3.00 Y Ν 25 763 766 11 1,3 3.00 Y Ν 368 1915 0.192 369 1915 0.193 12 1,3 3.00 Ν Ν 395 2055 0.192 397 2055 0.193 3.50 Ν Ν 551 2105 0.262 530 2105 0.252 1 1 3.50 Ν Ν 550 2105 0.261 529 2105 0.251 2 1 H1 1,2 3.50 Ν Ν 551 2105 0.262 530 2105 0.252 Ν Ν 550 2105 0.261 529 2105 0.251 H2 1,2 3.50 Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan (W) D1 1,2 3.20 Y Ν 9 690 98% 1665 0.414 701 85% 1695 0.414 D2 1,2 3.20 Ν Ν 861 2075 0.415 858 2075 0.413 Ma Wang Road (S) 3.50 Y 18/18 32% / 68% 1815 0.096 33% / 67% 1815 0.091 C1 3 Ν 174 166 C2 3 3.50 Ν Ν 30 176 100% 2005 0.088 207 100% 2005 0.103 C3 3 3.50 Ν Ν 30 176 100% 2005 0.088 207 100% 2005 0.103 10GM + 9FG = 19 Ep 3 sec Fp 1,2 5GM + 10FG = 15 sec 5GM + Gp 2 6FG = 11 sec 5GM + 9FG = 3 14 sec р A (LRT) 7GM + 7FG = 14 2 sec L (LRT) 7GM + 7FG = 14 sec

Notes:	AM Peak	DC	PM Peak	DC
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.511	Sum of Critical y Y	0.517
	Lost Time L (sec)	21	Lost Time L (sec)	21
	Cycle Time c (sec)	120	Cycle Time c (sec)	120
	Practical Y Ypr	0.743	Practical Y Ypr	0.743
	Reserve Capacity RC	45	Reserve Capacity RC	44

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J1 - Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan/ Ma Wang Road

AtkinsRéalis

Job No. : 5209833 J2 - Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Design Year: 2034 Junction : Checked by: DH Reference VAR Scheme : Designed by: ✓ N Traffic Flo (pcu hr) AM(PM) **≜** N 34(43) 249(204) 88(93) L ↲ \downarrow The state of the s Å 116(101) ____^ 1455(1502) - \rightarrow 302(347) CASTLE PEAK ROAD - PINE SHA ▲ 1188(1315) <u>ر</u> 375(296) ightarrow4 \uparrow 48(61) 137(104) 75(91) STAGE PHASE DIAGRAM **┥**┥╷ Jp ∢+++ A ++> L► H Е F 0 Ν Ν E ккк Î • **≜**⊂Lp **▲** Lp --> Мр IG=5 D+O+I+K G= IG=10 G= IG=5 G= G= IG=7 G= IG D+O+I+K G= IG=10 G= IG=5 G= IG=5 G= IG=7 G= IG= PM Peak CAPACITY CALCULATIONS AM Peak Phase Stage Lane Nearside Opposed Radius for Gradient Design Proportion Saturation Flow Design Proportion Saturation Flow Width (m) lane turn turning (m) in % Flow **q** turning (%) flow S factor Flow **q** turning (%) flow **S** factor w (Y/N) (Y/N) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) r g у y Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan (E) 100% 0.064 100% 0.056 γ Ν 18 1815 1815 E1 3 3.50 116 101 D1 1 3.00 Ν Ν 485 2055 0.236 501 2055 0.244 D2 1 3.00 Ν Ν 485 2055 0.236 500 2055 0.243 501 2055 2055 D3 0.244 3.00 Ν Ν 485 0.236 1 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan (W) N1 1,4 3.50 Y Ν 14 375 100% 1775 0.211 296 100% 1775 0.167 F1 3.50 Ν Ν 594 2105 0.282 658 2105 0.313 1,2 F2 1,2 3.50 Ν Ν 594 2105 0.282 657 2105 0.312 02 2 3.50 Ν Ν 30 302 100% 2005 0.151 347 100% 2005 0.173 Ping Ha Road (S) 3.70 Y 249 100% 1815 0.137 204 100% 1815 0.112 H1 2,3 Ν 16 11 3 3.40 Ν Ν 30 122 72% 2020 0.060 136 68% 2025 0.067 Tong Yan San Tsuen Road (N) 0.026 0.033 Y Ν 100% 1855 100% 1855 1 4 3.50 25 48 61 2 4 3.30 Ν Ν 33 108 31% 2055 0.053 100 9% 2075 0.048 3 4 3.30 Ν Ν 27 104 100% 1975 0.053 95 100% 1975 0.048 (LRT) 10FG = 10GM + 20 1 sec 7GM + 8FG = р 1 15 sec 5GM + 5FG = 10 Lp 1 sec 5GM + 10FG = 15 Mp sec

Notes:	AM Peak	DOI	PM Peak	DOI
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.500	Sum of Critical y Y	0.532
	Lost Time L (sec)	23	Lost Time L (sec)	23
	Cycle Time c (sec)	120	Cycle Time c (sec)	120
	Practical Y Ypr	0.728	Practical Y Ypr	0.728
	Reserve Capacity RC	46	Reserve Capacity RC	37

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J2 - Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen

AtkinsRéalis

Job No. : 5209833 J2 - Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen Design Year: 2034 Junction : Checked by: DH Design VAR Scheme : Designed by: [★] N Traffic Flo (pcu hr) AM(PM) ≜ N 34(43) 249(204) 88(93) ↲ L \downarrow The state of the s Å 116(101) ____^ 1456(1503) - \rightarrow 302(347) CASTLE PEAK ROAD - PINE SHA 乀 1188(1315) VZ 376(297) 5 \uparrow 48(61) 137(104) 75(91) STAGE PHASE DIAGRAM **┥**┥╷ Jp ∢+++ A ++> L► H Е F 0 Ν Ν E ккк Î • **≜**⊂Lp **▲** Lp --> Мр IG=5 D+O+I+K G= IG=10 G= IG=5 G= G= IG=7 G= IG D+O+I+K G= IG=10 G= IG=5 G= IG=5 G= IG=7 G= IG= PM Peak CAPACITY CALCULATIONS AM Peak Phase Stage Lane Nearside Opposed Radius for Gradient Design Proportion Saturation Flow Design Proportion Saturation Flow Width (m) lane turn turning (m) in % Flow **q** turning (%) flow S factor Flow **q** turning (%) flow **S** factor w (Y/N) (Y/N) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) r g у y Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan (E) 100% 0.064 100% 0.056 γ Ν 18 1815 1815 E1 3 3.50 116 101 D1 1 3.00 Ν Ν 485 2055 0.236 501 2055 0.244 D2 1 3.00 Ν Ν 486 2055 0.236 501 2055 0.244 501 2055 2055 0.244 D3 3.00 Ν Ν 485 0.236 1 Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan (W) N1 1,4 3.50 Y Ν 14 376 100% 1775 0.212 297 100% 1775 0.167 F1 3.50 Ν Ν 594 2105 0.282 658 2105 0.313 1,2 F2 1,2 3.50 Ν Ν 594 2105 0.282 657 2105 0.312 02 2 3.50 Ν Ν 30 302 100% 2005 0.151 347 100% 2005 0.173 Ping Ha Road (S) 3.70 Y 249 100% 1815 0.137 204 100% 1815 0.112 H1 2,3 Ν 16 11 3 3.40 Ν Ν 30 122 72% 2020 0.060 136 68% 2025 0.067 Tong Yan San Tsuen Road (N) 0.026 0.033 Y Ν 100% 1855 100% 1855 1 4 3.50 25 48 61 2 4 3.30 Ν Ν 33 108 31% 2055 0.053 100 9% 2075 0.048 3 4 3.30 Ν Ν 27 104 100% 1975 0.053 95 100% 1975 0.048 (LRT) 10FG = 10GM + 20 1 sec 7GM + 8FG = р 1 15 sec 5GM + 5FG = 10 Lp 1 sec 5GM + 10FG = 15 Mp sec

Notes:	AM Peak	DOI	PM Peak	DOI
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.500	Sum of Critical y Y	0.532
	Lost Time L (sec)	23	Lost Time L (sec)	23
	Cycle Time c (sec)	120	Cycle Time c (sec)	120
	Practical Y Ypr	0.728	Practical Y Ypr	0.728
	Reserve Capacity RC	46	Reserve Capacity RC	37

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J2 - Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan/ Tong Yan San Tsuen

5209833 Job No. :

Junction :		J3 - Castle	Peak Road-	Ping Shan/ F	Ping Kwai Ro	ad/ San Hi T	suen Street		Design Year: 2034					
Scheme :			Refe	rence				Designed by:	V	AR		Checked by:	D	н
			Tower 9			122		× N	Traffic Flo AM(PM)	(pcu hr)	69(57)	47(54) ↓	79(112)	∱ N
		CASTLE PEAK ROAD							29(35) 1428(1447) 249(146)				<u>∧</u> √	114(153) 1204(1288) 5(7)
	-	EP C		HI ISUEN STREET						282(165)	10(16)	64(45)		
STAGE PHASE DIAGRAM														
1 C CASTLE PEAK RO	IAD - PING SHAN		2 ATT THE B CASTLE PEAK R			3 CASTLE PEAK R PING SHA E	ROAD DAO -	F	4 CASTLE PEAK ROAD PING SHAN			5		
STREET	San Jp-Jp-		STREET	SAW HI	0	STREET	SAN HI TSUEN	· · · ·	STREET	San H				
B+E+G C+D+F+G	G=13 G=12	IG IG	=6 =6	G= G=	IG IG	=7 =7	G= G=	10	6 6	G= G=	IG	3=9 3=9	G= G=	IG= IG=
CAPACITY C	ALCULATIO	NS						AM	Peak			PM P	eak	
Phase	Stage	Lane	Nearside	Opposed	Radius for	Gradient	Design	Proportion	Saturation	Flow	Design	Proportion	Saturation	Flow
		width (m)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	rurning (m)	in % g	(pcu/hr)	f	(pcu/hr)	Jactor J	(pcu/hr)	turning (%)	(pcu/hr)	ractor y
Castle Peak C1	Road-Ping	Shan (E) 3.40	Y	N	20		29	100%	1820	0.016	35	100%	1820	0.019
1	1,2	3.40 3.40	N	N			476		2095	0.227	482		2095	0.230
3	1,2	3.40	N	N	20		476	100%	2095	0.227	482	100%	2095	0.230
E1	3	3.40	IN				249	100%	1995	0.125	140	100 %	1995	0.073
Castle Peak D1	Road-Ping	Shan (W) 3.30	Y	N	20		384	1%	1945	0.197	412	2%	1945	0.212
D2	2	3.30	N	N			413		2085	0.198	442		2085	0.212
D3 F1	2	3.30 3.15	N	N	30		412 114	100%	2085 1970	0.198	441	100%	2085	0.212
Ping air H1	oad (S) 4	3.10	Y	N	20		126	63%	1840	0.068	166	67%	1830	0.091
H2	4	3.10	N	Y	45		69	100%	1775	0.039	57	100%	1775	0.032
San Hi Tsue	en Street (N)												
G1	4	3.40	Y	N	20		292	97%	1825	0.160	181	91%	1830	0.099
G2	4	3.40	N	Y	25		64	100%	1760	0.036	45	100%	1760	0.026
lp	2		7GM +	6FG =	13	sec								
A (LRT) p	2		7GM + 12GM +	6FG = 11FG =	13 23	sec sec								
Notes:							AM	Peak	6	G	PM	Peak	СD	FG
							Sum of Crit	tical y Y	0.5	i12	Sum of Crit	tical y Y	0.4	108

otes:	AM Peak	EG	PM Peak	CDFG
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.512	Sum of Critical y Y	0.408
	Lost Time L (sec)	38	Lost Time L (sec)	36
	Cycle Time c (sec)	120	Cycle Time c (sec)	120
	Practical Y Ypr	0.615	Practical Y Ypr	0.630
	Reserve Capacity RC	20	Reserve Capacity RC	54

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J3 - Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan/ Ping Kwai Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street

C AtkinsRéalis

Job No. : 5209833 J3 - Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan/ Ping Kwai Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street Design Year: 2034 Junction : Checked by: DH Design VAR Scheme : Designed by: K[™] N **Traffic Flo** (pcu hr) AM(PM) Tower 9 1 PINCK 118 12.2 ≜ N 79(112) 69(57) 47(54) DITITIVALE CABINET L لے \downarrow 700000 29(35) _ ♪ 1428(1447) \rightarrow 114(153) ▲ 249(146) 1204(1288) 20 min 8 PEAK ROAD - PIN 資山公路 - 屏山段 5(7) 4 ↑ 10(16) 65(46) 282(165) STAGE PHASE DIAGRAM ROAD ROAD ROAD PINC A.+ CASTLE PEAK ROAD -PING SHAN PING SHAN CASTLE PEAK ROAD - PING SHAN E-B CASTLE PEAK ROAD - PING SHAN ____ - \square \bigcirc -SAN HI TSUEN STREET SAN HI TSUEN STREET SAN HI TSUEN STREET +(STREET SAN H IG=6 B+E+G G=13 G= IG=7 G= IG=6 G= IG=9 G= IG C+D+F+G G=12 IG=6 G= IG=7 G= IG=6 G= IG=9 G= IG= PM Peak CAPACITY CALCULATIONS AM Peak Phase Stage Lane Nearside Opposed Radius for Gradient Design Proportion Saturation Flow Design Proportion Saturation Flow Width (m) lane turn turning (m) in % Flow **q** turning (%) flow S factor Flow **q** turning (%) flow **S** factor w (Y/N) (Y/N) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) r g у у Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan (E) 0.016 100% 0.019 20 100% 1820 C1 1 3.40 Y Ν 29 1820 35 1 1.2 3.40 Ν Ν 476 2095 0.227 482 2095 0.230 0.227 2 1,2 3.40 Ν Ν 476 2095 483 2095 0.231 1,2 0.230 3 476 2095 3.40 Ν Ν 482 2095 Ν 30 100% 0.125 146 100% E1 3 3.40 Ν 249 1995 1995 0.073 Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan (W) Y Ν 20 384 1% 1945 0.197 412 2% 1945 0.212 D1 3.30 2 D2 2 3.30 Ν Ν 413 2085 0.198 442 2085 0.212 D3 2 3.30 Ν Ν 412 2085 0.198 441 2085 0.212 100% F1 3 3.15 Ν Ν 30 114 100% 1970 0.058 153 1970 0.078 Ping ai road (S) 3.10 Y Ν 63% 1840 0.068 166 67% 1830 0.091 H1 4 20 126 H2 4 3.10 Ν Υ 45 69 100% 1775 0.039 57 100% 1775 0.032 San Hi Tsuen Street (N) Y 97% 1825 91% 1830 0.099 3.40 Ν 20 292 0.160 181 G1 4 Y 100% 1760 0.037 46 100% 1760 0.026 G2 4 3.40 Ν 25 65 7GM + 6FG = 13 2 lp sec A (LRT) 2 7GM + 6FG = 13 sec 12GM + 11FG = 23 sec р

Notes:	AM Peak	EG	PM Peak	CDFG
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.512	Sum of Critical y Y	0.408
	Lost Time L (sec)	38	Lost Time L (sec)	36
	Cycle Time c (sec)	120	Cycle Time c (sec)	120
	Practical Y Ypr	0.615	Practical Y Ypr	0.630
	Reserve Capacity RC	20	Reserve Capacity RC	54

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction

Junction : J3 - Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan/ Ping Kwai Road/ San Hi Tsuen Street

Job No. : 5209833

Junction :			J4 - Town Pa	ark Road Sou	ith/ Lam Hau	Tsuen Road	ł			Design Year:		20	34	
Scheme :			Refe	rence				Designed by:	- R'	W		Checked by:	D	н
		足球機 Football Field				n college		≜ N	Traffic Flo AM(PM) 372(331) 113(109)	(pcu hr)			<	↑ N 306(298) 52(52)
				- K						46(40)		54(55)		
		MA TIN ROAD	2 2 TONNI PARK ROAD SOUTH		WITH ROAD	3 3 TONN PASK ROAD SOUTH		MATRA ROAD	4 town PARK ROAD SOUT	рани (р. с.	MA THI ROAD	5		
A+B+C+D A+B+C+D	G= G=	IG	i=5 i=5	G= G=	IG	=8 =8	G= G=	IG IG	i=8 i=8	G=14 G=14	10	6=3 6=3	G= G=	IG= IG=
CAPACITY C	CALCULATIO	NS	-					AM I	Peak			PM F	Peak	
Phase	Stage	Lane Width (m)	Nearside	Opposed turn	Radius for turning (m)	Gradient in %	Design Flow a	Proportion	Saturation flow S	Flow	Design Flow a	Proportion	Saturation	Flow
To n Bork	Pood South	w (E.)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	r r	g	(pcu/hr)	f	(pcu/hr)	y	(pcu/hr)	f	(pcu/hr)	y
A1	1	5.00	Y	N	15		485	23%	2065	0.235	440	25%	2065	0.213
Ma Tin Roa 1	d (W) 2	5.50	Y	N	13		358	15%	2130	0.168	350	15%	2130	0.164
Lam Hau T	suen Road (N)	~	N	15/15		100	46% / 54%	1060	0.051	05	42% / 58%	1060	0.048
		5.40	T	IN	15/15		100	40 % / 34 %	1900	0.051	90	42 76 7 36 76	1900	0.040
Dp	4		5GM +	4FG =	9	sec								
Ep Fp	4		5GM + 5GM +	4FG = 8FG =	9 13	sec sec								
-														
Notes:							AM	Peak	A	CD	PM	Peak	А	СД

Notes:	AM Peak	A C D	PM Peak	A C D
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.454	Sum of Critical y Y	0.426
	Lost Time L (sec)	35	Lost Time L (sec)	35
	Cycle Time c (sec)	90	Cycle Time c (sec)	90
	Practical Y Ypr	0.550	Practical Y Ypr	0.550
	Reserve Capacity RC	21	Reserve Capacity RC	29

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J4 - Town Park Road South/ Lam Hau Tsuen Road

CAtkinsRéalis

Job No. : 5209833

1				wk Daad Ca	uth/Lenglisu	Tauan Daa			-	S	JOD NO		3209033	
Scheme :				sian	uiii/ Laiii Hau	TSUEIT ROAD		Designed by:	- ^L R	w		Checked by:	<u>34</u> Г	н
Scheme .			Dea	sign					Traffic Elo	(neu hr)	-	Checked by.		
		Etys Footboll Field				College		‡ ^N	372(331) 113(109)	→ →			< V	↑ N 306(298) 52(52)
	ســــ کــــ		A.C.							آ 46(40)		54(55)		
STAGE PH	ASE DIAGRA	M				•						1-		
1 TONNI PARK ROAD SOUTH		MA TIN ROAD	2 TONN PARK ROAD SOUTH		MA TIM ROAD	3 TOWN PARK ROAD SOUT	M D D C D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	MATTAROAD	4 1 TOINN PARK ROAD BOU	100 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	M TH ROAD	5		
A+B+C+D A+B+C+D	G= G=	IG IG	i=5 i=5	G= G=	IG IG	=8	G= G=	IG IG	i=8 i=8	G=14 G=14	10	6=3 6=3	G= G=	IG= IG=
CAPACITY C	CALCULATIO	NS						AM	Peak			PMI	Peak	
Phase	Stage	Lane Width (m)	Nearside lane	Opposed turn	Radius for turning (m)	Gradient in %	Design Flow a	Proportion turning (%)	Saturation flow S	Flow factor	Design Flow a	Proportion turning (%)	Saturation flow S	Flow factor
To n Park	Pood South	w (E)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	r	g	(pcu/hr)	f	(pcu/hr)	у	(pcu/hr)	f	(pcu/hr)	У
A1	1	5.00	Y	N	15		485	23%	2065	0.235	440	25%	2065	0.213
Ma Tin Roa														
1	2	5.50	Y	N	13		358	15%	2130	0.168	350	15%	2130	0.164
Lam Hau Ts C1	3 3	(N) 5.40	Y	N	15/15		100	46% / 54%	1960	0.051	95	42% / 58%	1960	0.048
Dp Ep	4		5GM + 5GM +	4FG = 4FG =	9	sec sec								
Fp	4		5GM +	8FG =	13	sec								
Notes:							AM Sum of Crit	Peak ical y Y	A 0.4	C D	PM Sum of Crit	Peak tical y Y	A 0.4	C D

Notes:	AM Peak	A C D	PM Peak	A C D
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.454	Sum of Critical y Y	0.426
	Lost Time L (sec)	35	Lost Time L (sec)	35
	Cycle Time c (sec)	90	Cycle Time c (sec)	90
	Practical Y Ypr	0.550	Practical Y Ypr	0.550
	Reserve Capacity RC	21	Reserve Capacity RC	29

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J4 - Town Park Road South/ Lam Hau Tsuen Road

(Priority T-Junction - Minor Arm B)



C AtkinsRéalis

(Priority T-Junction - Minor Arm B)



C AtkinsRéalis

CAtkinsRéalis

Job No. : 5209833

Junction :			J6 - Yuer	n Long Tai Y	uk Road/ Ma	Tin Road			. D	esign Year:		20	34	
Scheme :			Refe	rence				Designed by:	R\	N		Checked by:	D	Н
					ng ChiMorningjoy S Yuen Long 匡智元朗晨樂學校 MA TIN	ROAD		∳ N	Traffic Flo AM(PM)	(pcu hr)	172(181)	198(180)	172(202)	≜ N
						235			319(324) 473(251)	∕ → 99(92)	↑ 395(457)	→ 95(69)	<u>∧</u> √	137(196) 136(121) 31(19)
STAGE PHA	ASE DIAGRA	М												
1 MA TIN ROAD A			2 MA TIN R0.00 K01	0.0.7	In the second se	3 MA 11N ROAD K01		E Contraction and the Contraction of the Contractio	4 MA TIN ROAD KD I		141 Tax about			
A+B+C+D A+B+C+D	G= G=	IG IG	=5 =5	G= G=	IG= IG=	:10 :10	G= G=	IG: IG:	=11 =11	G= G=	IG IG	=5 =5	G= G=	IG= IG=
CAPACITY C	ALCULATIO	NS						AM	Peak			PM F	Peak	
Phase	Stage	Lane	Nearside	Opposed	Radius for	Gradient	Design	Proportion	Saturation	Flow	Design	Proportion	Saturation	Flow
		Width (m) w	lane (Y/N)	turn (Y/N)	turning (m) r	in % g	Flow q (pcu/hr)	turning (%) f	flow S (pcu/hr)	factor y	Flow q (pcu/hr)	turning (%) f	flow S (pcu/hr)	factor <i>y</i>
Ma Tin Road A1 A2	d (E) 1 1	3.50 3.50	Y	N N	15		319 473	100%	1785 2105	0.179 0.225	324 251	100%	1785 2105	0.182 0.119
Ma Tin Road	d (W)													
C1	3	3.00	Y	N	15		167	19%	1880	0.089	140	14%	1890	0.074
C2	3	3.00	N	N	20		137	100%	1910	0.072	196	100%	1910	0.103
Yuen Long	Tai Yuk Roa	ad (S)												
D1 D2	4	3.50 3.50	Y N	N N	15 25		258 284	67% 61%	1840 2030	0.140 0.140	267 296	76% 61%	1825 2030	0.146 0.146
Yuen Lona [·]	Tai Yuk Roa	ad (N)												
1	2	3.80	Y	N	15 30		282	35% 31%	1925	0.146	295 323	31%	1935 2110	0.152
	2	0.00						0170	2100	0.140	020	2170	2110	0.100
Ер	1,3,4 1,2 1,2,4 3 1,2,3 4 2,3,4 1		5GM + 5GM + 5GM + 5GM + 5GM + 5GM + 5GM + 5GM +	8FG = 7FG = 7FG = 8FG = 7FG = 7FG = 11FG =	13 12 12 13 13 12 12 12 16	Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec								

Notes:	AM Peak	A C D	PM Peak	A C D
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.600	Sum of Critical y Y	0.584
	Lost Time L (sec)	27	Lost Time L (sec)	27
	Cycle Time c (sec)	120	Cycle Time c (sec)	120
	Practical Y Ypr	0.698	Practical Y Ypr	0.698
	Reserve Capacity RC	16	Reserve Capacity RC	20

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J6 - Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road

CAtkinsRéalis

											Job No. :		5209833	
Junction :			J6 - Yuer	n Long Tai Y	uk Road/ Ma	Tin Road				esign Year:		20	34	
Scheme :			De	sign				Designed by:	R	W		Checked by:	D	Н
								A N	Traffic Flo	(pcu hr)				A
		御庭居 			ong Chi Morningjoy S Yuen Long 王智元胡晨樂學校 MA TIN	ROAD		Ψ.N	AM(PM) 319(324) 473(251)	<u>_∧</u>	172(181)	198(180)	172(202) ↓→ ↓	[⊥] N 137(196) 136(121) 31(19)
		7				1 235				<٦ 99(92)		→ 95(69)		
STAGE PH	ASE DIAGRA	M	2			•						-		
1 MA TIN ROAD			2 MA TIN ROAD Kp I		Table 1 day	3 MA TIN RDAD KOL		De Comparada in the comparador	4 MA TIN ROAD Kp 1			5 		
A+B+C+D	G=	IG	=5	G=	IG=	-10	G=	IG:	-11	G=	10	9=5 -F	G=	IG=
ATBTCTD	G-	16	-5	6-	16-	-10	G-	16-	-11	G-	10	-0	G-	IG-
CAPACITY	CALCULATIO	NS						AMI	Peak			PM F	Peak	
Phase	Stage	Lane Width (m) <i>w</i>	Nearside lane (Y/N)	Opposed turn (Y/N)	Radius for turning (m) r	Gradient in % g	Design Flow q (pcu/hr)	Proportion turning (%) f	Saturation flow S (pcu/hr)	Flow factor y	Design Flow q (pcu/hr)	Proportion turning (%) <i>f</i>	Saturation flow S (pcu/hr)	Flow factor <i>y</i>
Ma Tin Roa	ad (E)	0.50	X	N	45		010	4000/	4705	0.470	004	4000/	1705	0.400
A1 A2	1	3.50	n N	N	15		473	100 %	2105	0.179	251	100 %	2105	0.112
Ma Tin Roa C1	ad (W) 3	3.00	Y	N	15		167	19%	1880	0.089	140	14%	1890	0.074
		0.00						1070					1000	0.011
C2	3	3.00	N	N	20		137	100%	1910	0.072	196	100%	1910	0.103
Yuen Long	Tai Yuk Roa	ad (S)												
D1	4	3.50	Y	N	15		258	67%	1840	0.140	267	76%	1825	0.146
D2	4	3.50	N	N	25		284	61%	2030	0.140	296	61%	2030	0.146
Yuen Long	Tai Yuk Roa	ad (N)	X	N	45		000	05%	4005	0.440	005	040/	1005	0.450
1	2	3.80	Y N	N	30		282	35%	2100	0.146	295	21%	2110	0.152
	_	0.00						0170	2.00	0.110	620	2170	2110	0.100
Ep Fp	1,3,4 1,2		5GM + 5GM +	8FG = 7FG =	13 12 12	sec sec								
Нр	3		5GM +	8FG =	13	Sec								
Ip	1,2,3		5GM +	8FG =	13	sec								
р	4		5GM +	7FG =	12	sec								
p Lp	2,3,4		5GM + 5GM +	7FG = 11FG =	12	sec								
	1		0.0111				1	-						
	1													
	1													

Notes:	AM Peak	A C D	PM Peak	A C D
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.600	Sum of Critical y Y	0.584
	Lost Time L (sec)	27	Lost Time L (sec)	27
	Cycle Time c (sec)	120	Cycle Time c (sec)	120
	Practical Y Ypr	0.698	Practical Y Ypr	0.698
	Reserve Capacity RC	16	Reserve Capacity RC	20

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J6 - Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road/ Ma Tin Road

C AtkinsRéalis

											Job No. :		5209833	
Junction :			J7 - Shui P	in Wai Interd	hange/ Long	Ping Road			. [Design Year:		203	34	
Scheme :			Refe	rence			. C	esigned by:	R	W		Checked by:	D	Н
								×N	Traffic Flo AM(PM)	(pcu hr)		426(345) ↓	658(475) └→ ✓	♠ N 680(928) 543(334)
												→ 434(431)	522(517)	
STAGE PH	ASE DIAGRA	м	0			•						e.		
		LUNG PING	2		Lung PING Lung PING			LONG PING			LUNG PING	5	6-	10-
B+A+D+C B+A+D+C	G= G=	IG	=7 =7	G= G=	IG=	:12	G=	IG:	=12 =12	G=	IG	=6 =6	G= G=	IG=
CAPACITY C	ACITY CALCULATIONS							AM	Peak	ak PM Peak				
Phase	Stage	Lane Width (m) <i>w</i>	Nearside lane (Y/N)	Opposed turn (Y/N)	Radius for turning (m) <i>r</i>	Gradient in % g	Design Flow q (pcu/hr)	Proportion turning (%) <i>f</i>	Saturation flow S (pcu/hr)	Flow factor <i>y</i>	Design Flow q (pcu/hr)	Proportion turning (%) <i>f</i>	Saturation flow S (pcu/hr)	Flow factor <i>y</i>
D1	3	3.20	Y	N	25		543	100%	2190	0.248	334	100%	2190	0.153
E1 E2	3,4 3,5	3.50 3.50	Y N	N N	70 70		328 352	100% 100%	1925 2060	0.170	448 480	100% 100%	1925 2060	0.233 0.233
Long Tin Re	oad (S)													
F1	2,3,4	3.50	Y	N			318		1965	0.162	229		1965	0.117
F2 A1	2,3,4	3.50	N Y	N N			340 136		2105 1965	0.162	246 110		1965	0.056
A2 A3	2	3.50	N	N			145 145		2105 2105	0.069	117		2105	0.056
		0.00							2100	0.000			2100	0.000
Long Tin Ro 1	oad (N) 1	3.80	Y	N			365		1995	0.183	327		1995	0.164
2	1	3.80	Y	N	30		360	24%	1970	0.183	321	37%	1960	0.164
C1	4	4.50	Y	N	30		252	100%	1965	0.128	250	100%	1965	0.127
C2	4	4.50	N	N	30		270	100%	2100	0.129	267	100%	2100	0.127
							-							
Notes:							AM	Peak	A	DC	PM	Peak	A	DC
							Sum of Crit Lost Time	ical y Y (sec)	0.6 3	3 3	Sum of Crit Lost Time	ical y Y (sec)	0.8	500 33
							Cycle Time	c (sec) Ypr	1:	20	Cycle Time Practical V	c (sec)	1	20
							Reserve Ca	apacity RC	4		Reserve Ca	apacity RC	31	

Date : _____17/5/2024 Junction : _____J7 - Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road

C AtkinsRéalis

											Job No. :		5209833	
Junction :			J7 - Shui P	in Wai Interd	hange/ Long	Ping Road				esign Year:		20	34	
Scheme :			De	sign				Designed by:	R	N		Checked by:	C	Н
	1/ 1			MCII /				×	Traffic Flo	(pcu hr)				A N
						Plo Ga		Ň	AM(PM)	. ,		426(345) ↓	658(475)	Ψ×
													^ √	680(928) 543(334)
			G	<u>a</u> 11							638(528)	→ 434(431)	522(517)	
STAGE PH	ASE DIAGRA	M	0			2			4			le.		
			2		F F	3		LONE PING			LONG PING	5		
B+A+D+C B+A+D+C	G= G=	IG IG	=7 =7	G= G=	IG= IG=	=12 =12	G= G=	IG: IG:	=12 =12	G= G=	IG	i=6 i=6	G= G=	IG= IG=
CAPACITY C	CAPACITY CALCULATIONS							AM	Peak		PM Peak			
Phase	Stage	Lane	Nearside	Opposed	Radius for	Gradient	Design	Proportion	Saturation	Flow	Design	Proportion	Saturation	Flow
		Width (m) w	lane (Y/N)	turn (Y/N)	turning (m) r	in % <i>g</i>	Flow q (pcu/hr)	turning (%) f	flow S (pcu/hr)	factor y	Flow q (pcu/hr)	turning (%) f	flow S (pcu/hr)	factor y
Long Ping I	Road (W)	3 20	Y	N	25		543	100%	2190	0 248	334	100%	2190	0 153
E1	3,4	3.50	Y	N	70		328	100%	1925	0.170	448	100%	1925	0.233
E2	3,5	3.50	N	N	70		352	100%	2060	0.171	480	100%	2060	0.233
Long Tin R	oad (S)													
F1 F2	2,3,4	3.50	Y N	N			318 340		1965 2105	0.162	229		1965 2105	0.117
A1	2,0,4	3.50	Y	N			136		1965	0.069	110		1965	0.056
A2	2	3.50	N	N			145		2105	0.069	117		2105	0.056
A3	2	3.50	N	N			145		2105	0.069	118		2105	0.056
Long Tin R	oad (N)													
1	1	3.80	Y	N	30		365	24%	1995	0.183	327	37%	1995	0.164
3	1	3.80	Y	N	30		347	100%	1970	0.183	311	100%	1900	0.164
C1	4	4.50	Y	N	30		252	100%	1965	0.128	250	100%	1965	0.127
C2	4	4.50	N	N	30		270	100%	2100	0.129	267	100%	2100	0.127
Notes:							AM Sum of Crit	Peak ical v Y	A	D C	PM Sum of Crit	ical v V	A	D C
							Lost Time	L (sec)	3	3	Lost Time	L (sec)	3	3
							Cycle Time	c (sec)	12	20	Cycle Time	c (sec)	1	20
							Practical Y		0.6	003		rpr	0.0	ມບວ
1							Reserve Ca	apacity RC	4		Reserve Ca	apacity RC	5	

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J7 - Shui Pin Wai Interchange/ Long Ping Road

C AtkinsRéalis

Job No. : 5209833 J8 - Ma Miu Road/ Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan Junction : Design Year: 2034 Checked by: Scheme : Reference With Improvements Designed by: VAR DH [▲] N Traffic Flo (pcu hr) AM(PM) **≜** N 668(674) 254(224) 17(17) 闇けい L لے ↓ CASTLE PEAK ROAD CASTLE PEAK ROAD 304(291) ____^ 972(948) _____ \rightarrow 1023(1025) <u>ر</u> 35(34) ightarrow $\overline{}$ ↑ 511(516) 650(668) 166(193) STAGE PHASE DIAGRAM 2 CASTLE PEAK ROAD - PING Ĩ CASTLE PEAP CASTLE PEAK ROAD - PING CASTLE PE A DUNC ļ \times N DNC K+L+O IG=17 G IG=5 G= IG=11 G=5 IG=9 G= IG IG=5 IG=11 K+L+O IG=17 G= G= G=5 IG=9 G= IG= CAPACITY CALCULATIONS AM Peak PM Peak Phase Stage Lane Nearside Opposed Radius for Gradient Design Proportion Saturation Flow Design Proportion Saturation Flow Width (m) lane turn turning (m) in % Flow **q** turning (%) flow S factor Flow **q** turning (%) flow **S** factor w (Y/N) (Y/N) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) (pcu/hr) f (pcu/hr) r g y y Castle Peak Road-Ping Shan (E) 100% 0.159 100% 1910 0.152 Y 50 1910 1 1 3.50 Ν 304 291 2 1 3.50 Ν Ν 486 2105 0.231 474 2105 0.225 3 1 3.50 Ν Ν 486 2105 0.231 474 2105 0.225 Castle Peak Road-Yuen Long (W Ν Ν 50 35 100% 2120 0.017 34 100% 2120 0.016 1 1 4.30 2 3.30 Ν Ν 512 2085 0.245 513 2085 0.246 1 3.30 Ν Ν 511 2085 0.245 512 2085 0.245 3 1 Ma Miu Road (S) D1 2,4 5.00 Ν Ν 45 254 100% 2180 0.117 224 100% 2180 0.103 D2 2,4 3.30 Ν Ν 334 2085 0.160 337 2085 0.162 D3 2,4 3.30 Ν Ν 334 2085 0.160 337 2085 0.162 100% 100% 04 4 3.30 Υ Ν 30 17 1850 0.009 17 1850 0.009 Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road (N) Y 50 511 100% 0.261 100% 1955 0.264 L1 2,3 4.00 Ν 1955 516 0.159 C1 2,3 3.50 Ν Ν 325 2105 0.154 334 2105 C2 0.154 2,3 3.50 Ν Ν 325 2105 334 2105 0.159 N1 15 100% 100% 3 3.50 Ν Ν 166 1915 0.087 193 1915 0.101

Notes:	AM Peak	LO	PM Peak	LO
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.516	Sum of Critical y Y	0.519
	Lost Time L (sec)	44	Lost Time L (sec)	44
	Cycle Time c (sec)	120	Cycle Time c (sec)	120
	Practical Y Ypr	0.570	Practical Y Ypr	0.570
	Reserve Capacity RC	11	Reserve Capacity RC	10

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J8 - Ma Miu Road/ Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan

C AtkinsRéalis



Notes:	AM Peak	LO	PM Peak	LO
	Sum of Critical y Y	0.516	Sum of Critical y Y	0.519
	Lost Time L (sec)	44	Lost Time L (sec)	44
	Cycle Time c (sec)	120	Cycle Time c (sec)	120
	Practical Y Ypr	0.570	Practical Y Ypr	0.570
	Reserve Capacity RC	11	Reserve Capacity RC	10

Date : 17/5/2024 Junction : J8 - Ma Miu Road/ Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan

(Priority T-Junction - Minor Arm B)



C AtkinsRéalis

(Priority T-Junction - Minor Arm B)



C AtkinsRéalis

(Priority T-Junction - Minor Arm B)



Job Title:	Review of Long Bin TTIA			Job No.: 5209833				
Junction:	J11 - Ma Fung	Ling Road / Road L1		Designed by:				
Scheme:	Reference			Checked by:	DH			
Design Year:	2034							
Arm A:	Ma Fung Ling Road SB							
Arm B:	Road L1 WB							
Arm C:	Ma Fung Ling	Road NB						
_	am prr 32 24 253 224				N			
Arm C			→		Arm A			
Ma Fung Ling K	am pr 5 5 5 5	Arm Road L1	•[↓	am pm 5 5 109 70				
GEOMETRY								
Major road width	ו (m)	W 7.50	Lane widths (m)	w(b-a)	3.65			
Central Reserve	width (m)	Wcr 0.00		w(b-c)	3.65			
Residual width (I	m)	Wr(c-a) 0.00		w(c-b)	3.65			
Visibilities (m) (*	1)	VI(D-a) 150	Calculated Paramete	F	1.03			
		Vr(b-c) 150		F	1.03			
		Vr(c-b) 150		Y	0.74			
	1							
TRAFFIC FLOW	IS	g(c-a)		32	24			
(pcu/hr)	-	q(c-b)		253	225			
. ,		q(a-b)		109	70			
		q(a-c)		5	5			
		q(b-a)		5	5 F			
		f = a(b-c) / (a(b-c)+a(b-a))		5 0 50	5 0.50			
		$-q(D^{-}C) / (q(D^{-}C) / q(D^{-}A))$		0.00	0.00			
CAPACITIES		Q(b-a)		525	542			
(pcu/hr)		Q(b-c)		752	756			
		Q(c-b)		/34	744			
		Q(D-aC) = (3) Q(c-a) = 1800(1-a(c-b)/Q(c-b))		010 1179	1256			
DFC's		b-a =g(b-a)/Q(b-a)		0.01	0.01			
-		b-c = q(b-c)/Q(b-c)		0.01	0.01			
		c-b =q(c-b)/Q(c-b)		0.34	0.30			
		b-ac =q(b-ac)/Q(b-ac)		0.02	0.02			
		c-a		0.03	0.02			
Critical DFC				0.34	0.30			
(*1) Where VI ar (*2) D = (1+0.09) E = (1+0.09) F = (1+0.09) Y = 1-0.034 f = proportic (*3) Q (b-ac) = C	nd Vr are visibility d 4(w(b-a)-3.65))(1+(4(w(b-c)-3.65))(1+(4(w(c-b)-3.65))(1+(5W on of minor traffic tu 2(b-c)*Q(b-a)/(1-f)*(listances to the left or right of the n 0.0009(Vr(b-a)-120))(1+0.0006(Vl(0.0009(Vr(b-c)-120)) 0.0009(Vr(c-b)-120)) urning left Q(b-c)+f*Q(b-a) Capacity of comb	espective streams b-a)-150)) queue b-a queue b-c queue c-b ined streams	Queue Length (m) (*4 0 0 9 - In accordance wi) 0 8 th TPDM V2.4 Appendix 1			

(Priority T-Junction - Minor Arm B)



C AtkinsRéalis

AtkinsRéalis

AtkinsRéalis 13/F Wharf T&T Centre Harbour City Tsim Sha Tsui Kowloon Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2972 1000 Fax: +852 2890 6343

© AtkinsRéalis except where stated otherwise