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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

## Background

1.1 The Subject Site is located at Various Lots, i.e. Lot Nos. 755, 756, 782 S.A, 789 S.A, 789 RP, 790 S.A ss.1, 790 S.A RP, 791 S.A ss.1, 791 S.A ss.2, 791 S.A ss.3, 791 S.A RP, 791 RP, 792 S.A RP, 792 RP, 793, 794 S.A, 794 RP, 800 S.A RP, 801 S.A, 803 RP, 835 S.B ss. 1 S.A, 835 S.B ss. 1 RP, 836 S.A, 836 RP, 837,838 S.A, 838 RP, $839,840,841$ S.A, 841 S.B, 841 RP, 842 S.A, 842 S.B, 842 RP, 843, 844 S.A, 844 RP and 854, in D.D. 83 and Adjoining Government Land, in Lung Yeuk Tau, Fanling, New Territories. Figure 1.1 shows the location of the Subject Site.
1.2 At present, the Subject Site is occupied by several open storages, which are accessed from either Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau or Hai Wing Road / Dao Yang Road.
1.3 The Owner intends to develop the Subject Site into a development with 5 residential blocks comprising of 3,305 flats with average flat size of $44 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA, and $5,570 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ retail GFA (hereinafter "the Proposed Development").
1.4 Against this background, CKM Asia Limited, a traffic and transportation planning consultancy firm, was commissioned to conduct a Traffic Impact Assessment ("TIA") for the Proposed Development for the Section 12A Rezoning Application from "Residential (Group C)" zone and "Agriculture" zone to "Residential (Group A) 2". This revised TIA report has incorporated the comments provided by Transport Department in May 2023 on the earlier submitted TIA report.

## Structure of Report

1.5 The report is structured as follows:

Chapter 1 - Gives the background of the project;
Chapter 2 - Describes the existing situation;
Chapter 3 - Provides details on the Proposed Development, and presents the internal transport facilities provided;
Chapter 4 - Describes the traffic impact analysis; and
Chapter 5 - Gives the overall conclusion.

### 2.0 EXISTING SITUATION

## The Subject Site

2.1 The Subject Site is located in Ma Liu Shui San Tsuen, Lung Yeuk Tau in Fanling, New Territories. Its site area is approximately $22,500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, and is now being occupied by several open storage areas. Access to the Subject Site is from either Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau, or Dao Yang Road / Hai Wing Road.

## The Road Network

2.2 Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau is a Rural Road which runs between San Wan Road, Fanling in the west and Ping Che Road in the east. East of Ping Che Road, Sha Tau Kok Road continues towards Sha Tau Kok and the Sha Tau Kok Boundary Control Point. The Sha Tau Kok Interchange with Heung Yuen Wai Highway is located 3 km to the east. In the vicinity of the Subject Site between Lau Shui Heung Road and Jockey Club Road, Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau is of dual-2 carriageway standard.
2.3 Jockey Club Road is a Primary Distributor running between Man Kam To Road to the north and Pak Wo Road to the south, where it connects with the Wo Hop Shek Interchange of Fanling Highway. It is of a dual-2 carriageway standard.
2.4 So Kwun Po Road is a Primary Distributor running between Pak Wo Road and Jockey Club Road. It connects with Fanling Highway via the So Kwun Po Interchange. So Kwun Po Road is generally of a dual-2/3 carriageway standard. To the east of Jockey Club Road, it continues as Ma Sik Road towards Luen Wo Hui and intersects with Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau.

## Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities

2.5 Footpaths and at-grade signalized pedestrian crossings are provided along Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau in the vicinity of the Subject Site. A footbridge is also provided at the Sha Tau Kok Road / Lung Ma Road Roundabout.
2.6 Cycle track is provided west of Lung Ma Road along the south side of Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau, i.e. westbound side towards Fanling.

## Existing Traffic Flows

2.7 To quantify the existing traffic flows in the vicinity, updated manual classified counts were conducted on weekdays, i.e. Thursday, $11^{\text {th }}$ May 2023 and Friday, $12^{\text {th }}$ May 2023, during the AM peak period between 0700 and 0900 hours, and during the PM peak periods between 1700 and 1900 hours at the following junctions:

J01 - Junction of Sha Tau Kok Road / Lau Shui Heung Road
J02- Junction of Sha Tau Kok Road / Lung Ma Road
J03 - Junction of Sha Tau Kok Road / Ma Sik Road
J04 - Junction of Sha Tau Kok Road / Jockey Club Road
J05 - Junction of So Kwun Po Road / Jockey Club Road / Ma Sik Road
J06-Roundabout of So Kwun Po Interchange
J07- Junction of Jockey Club Road / Lok Yip Road / San Wan Road
J08- Junction of Fanling Station Road / San Wan Road
J09 - Roundabout of Sha Tau Kok Road / San Wan Road
2.8 Figure 2.1 shows the Area of Influence ("AOI") and the locations of the surveyed junctions; whereas Figures 2.2 - 2.10 show their existing layouts.
2.9 The traffic counts are classified by vehicle type to enable traffic flows in passenger car units ("pcu") to be calculated. The AM and PM peak hours identified from the surveys are found to be between 0800 and 0900 and 1800 1900 hours respectively, and the traffic flows in are shown in Figure 2.11.
2.10 The results from the above surveys are adopted in this revised TIA report.

## Performance of the Surveyed Junctions

2.11 The existing performance of the surveyed junctions is calculated based on the observed traffic flows, and the analyses were undertaken using the methods outlined in Volume 2 of Transport Planning and Design Manual ("TPDM"). Table 2.1 summarises the analysis results and the detailed calculations are found in Appendix A.

TABLE 2.1 EXISTING JUNCTION PERFORMANCE

| Ref. | Junctions | Type of <br> Junction | Parameter | AM Peak <br> Hour | PM Peak <br> Hour |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J01 | Sha Tau Kok Road / Lau Shui Heung Road | Roundabout | RFC | 0.406 | 0.446 |
| J02 | Sha Tau Kok Road / Lung Ma Road | Roundabout | RFC | 0.500 | 0.572 |
| J03 | Sha Tau Kok Road / Ma Sik Road | Signal | RC | $74 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| J04 | Sha Tau Kok Road / Jockey Club Road | Roundabout | RFC | 0.528 | 0.474 |
| J05 | So Kwun Po Road / Jockey Club Road / Ma <br> Sik Road | Signal | RC | $34 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| J06 | So Kwun Po Interchange | Roundabout | RFC | 0.849 | 0.849 |
| J07 | Jockey Club Road / Lok Yip Road / San <br> Wan Road | Signal | RFC | $57 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| J08 | Fanling Station Road / San Wan Road | Signal | RFC | $54 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| J09 | Sha Tau Kok Road / San Wan Road | Roundabout | RFC | 0.476 | 0.514 |

Note: RFC - Ratio of Flow to Capacity $\quad$ RC - Reserve Capacity
2.12 The above results indicate the surveyed junctions operate with capacities.

## Performance of the Surveyed Road Links

2.13 The existing performance, in terms of Peak Hourly Flows / Design Flow Ratio ("P/Df") of the surveyed road links shown in Figure 2.1, is calculated based on the observed traffic flows, and the analysis results are summarized in Table 2.2.

TABLE 2.2 EXISTING P/Df OF SURVEYED ROAD LINKS

| Ref. | Road Link | Section |  | Type (Note 1) | Config. |  | Peak Hour Flows / Design Flow Ratio (P/Df) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | From | To |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| L01 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Lung Ma Road | Lau Shui Heung Road | RR | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.280 | 0.307 |
| L02 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Lau Shui Heung Road | Lung Ma Road | RR | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.311 | 0.354 |
| L03 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Ma Sik Road | Lung Ma Road | RR | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.411 | 0.396 |
| Note 1): | RR - Rura Config. | Road onfiguration | - District Distribut |  | PD | Primary D | istributor |  |

TABLE 2.2 EXISTING P/Df OF SURVEYED ROAD LINKS (CONT’D)

| Ref. | Road <br> Link | Section |  | Type <br> (Note 1) | Config. | Design Flow (pcu/hr) | Peak Hour Flows / Design Flow Ratio (P/Df) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | From | To |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| L04 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Lung Ma Road | Ma Sik Road | RR | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.575 | 0.598 |
| L05 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Jockey Club Road | Ma Sik Road | RR | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.413 | 0.430 |
| L06 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Ma Sik Road | Jockey Club Road | RR | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.363 | 0.320 |
| L07 | Ma Sik Road | Jockey Club Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | DD | Dual-2 | 3,050 | 0.364 | 0.350 |
| L08 | Ma Sik Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | Jockey Club Road | DD | Dual-2 | 3,050 | 0.340 | 0.285 |
| L09 | Jockey Club Road | Ma Sik Road / So Kwun Po Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | PD | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.155 | 0.136 |
| L10 | Jockey Club Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | Ma Sik Road / So Kwun Po Road | PD | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.168 | 0.140 |
| L11 | So Kwun Po Road | Jockey Club Road | So Kwun Po Interchange | PD | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.368 | 0.296 |
| L12 | So Kwun Po Road | So Kwun Po Interchange | Jockey Club Road | PD | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.439 | 0.448 |
| L13 | Jockey Club Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | Lok Yip Street / San Wan Road | PD | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.114 | 0.135 |
| L14 | Jockey Club Road | Lok Yip Street / San Wan Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | PD | Dual-2 | 3,400 | 0.217 | 0.206 |
| L15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { San Wan } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | Fanling Station Road | Lok Yip Street / San Wan Road | DD | Dual-2 | 3,050 | 0.158 | 0.130 |
| L16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { San Wan } \\ & \text { Road } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Lok Yip Street / San Wan Road | Fanling Station Road | DD | Dual-2 | 3,050 | 0.189 | 0.203 |
| RR - Rural RoadConfig. Configuration DD - District Distributor $\quad$ PD - Primary Distributo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2.14 The above results indicate the surveyed road links operate with capacities.

## Historic Traffic Growth

2.15 The annual average daily traffic ("AADT") of roads located in the vicinity of the Subject Site was obtained from the Annual Traffic Census ("ATC") published by Transport Department, and Table 2.3 summarises the AADT between 2015 and 2021, i.e. the latest 7 years.

TABLE 2.3 HISTORIC TRAFFIC INFORMATION FROM THE ATC

| Station | 5453 | 5824 | 5622 | 5623 | 5660 | 5860 | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road | Sha Tau Kok Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From | Jockey Club <br> Road | Jockey Club Road | Lok Yip Road | Luen Shing Street | On Kui <br> Street | Ping Che Road |  |
| To | San Wan Road | Lok Yip Road | Luen Shing St | On Kui Street | Ping Che Road | Shun Lung Street |  |
| Year | Average Annual Daily Traffic ("AADT") |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 18,750 | 29,240* | 17,300* | 17,780 | 30,380 | 6,320* | 113,450 |
| 2016 | 19,530* | 29,270 | 21,540 | 20,840 | 33,580 | 6,550 | 124,760 |
| 2017 | 19,230* | 27,180 | 21,390* | 20,700* | 33,050* | 6,460 | 121,550 |
| 2018 | 19,700* | 28,050* | 22,070* | 21,350* | 33,870* | 6,620 | 125,040 |
| 2019 | 20,320 | 29,170* | 22,950* | 22,200 | 33,630* | 6,570* | 128,270 |
| 2020 | 17,680 | 27,760* | 18,260 | 17,080 | 23,740 | 6,300* | 104,520 |
| 2021 | 18,380* | 30,230 | 19,410 | 18,530 | 22,980 | 5,970 | 109,530 |
| Average Annual Growth (2015-2019) | 2.0\% | -0.1\% | 7.3\% | 5.7\% | 2.6\% | 3.1\% | 3.1 \% |

Note: * - Estimated by Growth Factor
2.16 It should be noted that AADT for 2020 and 2021 are presented for reference only, which have been excluded due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.
2.17 Table 2.3 shows that the overall traffic growth in the vicinity of the Subject Site is $3.1 \%$ per annum between 2015 and 2019.

## Existing Pedestrian Flows

2.18 Pedestrian counts were conducted at footpaths and the signalised crossing on Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau between the Subject Site and the nearby bus stops, namely San Wai Barracks, during the AM peak period between 0700 and 0900 hours, and during the PM peak periods between 1700 and 1900 hours on Friday, $12^{\text {th }}$ May 2023. Figure 2.12 shows the surveyed locations.
2.19 The AM and PM peak hours identified from the surveys are found to be between 0745 and 0845, and 1800-1900 hours respectively.

## Performance of the Pedestrian Facilities

2.20 Based on the surveyed pedestrian flows, the peak hour operational performance of the surveyed footpaths in terms on Levels of Service ("LOS"), and the surveyed signalised crossing in terms on volume-to-capacity (" $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ") ratios are calculated, and summarised in Tables 2.4 and 2.5 respectively.

TABLE 2.4 EXISTING OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCES OF SURVEYED FOOTPATHS

| Section |  | Measured Width (m) | Effective Width (m) | 2-Way Flow (ped/hr) |  | 2-Way Flow Rate (ped/m/min) [LOS] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| FP01 | Sha Tau Kok Road Southern Footpath |  | 4.5 m | 4.0m | 10 | 30 | 0.1 [A] | 0.2 [A] |
| FP02 | Sha Tau Kok Road Southern Footpath | 4.5 m | 4.0m | 17 | 27 | 0.1 [A] | 0.2 [A] |
| FP03 | Sha Tau Kok Road Northern Footpath | 1.5m | 1.0m | 21 | 36 | 0.4 [A] | 0.6 [A] |

FP01 - Sha Tau Kok Road - Southern footpath between San Wai Barrack Bus Stop and Signalised Crossing
FP02 - Sha Tau Kok Road - Southern footpath between Subject Site and Signalised Crossing
FP03 - Sha Tau Kok Road - Northern footpath between San Wai Barrack Bus Stop and Signalised Crossing
TABLE 2.5 EXISTING OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCES OF SIGNALISED CROSSING

| Pedestrian Crossing | Width <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Type / <br> Capacity <br> (ped/hour) | 2-way Pedestrian Flow <br> (ped/hour) and v/c Ratio] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |  |
| XING01 | Sha Tau Kok Road | 5 m | Signalised / 3,800 ${ }^{\text {(Note 1) }}$ | $11[0.003]$ |

XING01 - Signalised Crossing at Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau near San Wai Barrack
Note 1: Calculated based on TPDM Vol. 4 Chapter 3 with an assumption of a 65 -second cycle including 26 seconds pedestrian green + flashing green time.
2.21 Tables 2.4 and 2.5 show the surveyed pedestrian facilities operate with capacity.

## Population Projection

2.22 Reference is made to the "2019-based Territorial Population and Employment Data Matrix" for Northeast New Territories published by the Planning Department, and the detail is presented in Table 2.6.

TABLE 2.6 PROJECTED POPULATION AND EMPLOYMENT FOR NORTHEAST NEW TERRITORIES

| Item | Year |  |  | Average Annual Growth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2026 | 2031 | $2019-2026$ | $2026-2031$ |
| Population | $1,316,700$ | $1,431,950$ | $1,547,650$ | $+1.2 \%$ | $+1.6 \%$ |
| Employment | 421,000 | 411,500 | 438,000 | $-0.3 \%$ | $+1.3 \%$ |
| Total | $1,737,700$ | $1,843,450$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 8 5}, 650$ | $+0.9 \%$ | $+1.5 \%$ |

2.23 Table 2.6 shows that the total population and employment in the Northeast New Territories is projected to increase by $0.9 \%$ per annum from 2019 to 2026, and $1.5 \%$ per annum from 2026 to 2031.

## Existing Public Transport Services

2.24 At present, multiple franchised bus and green minibus ("GMB") routes are available within 500m-radius from the Subject Site, and the details are presented in Table 2.7 and Figure 2.13.

TABLE 2.7 ROAD-BASED PUBLIC TRANSPORT SERVICES OPERATING WITHIN 500M OF THE SUBJECT SITE

| Route | Routing | Frequency (minutes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KMB 78A | Queen's Hill $\leftrightarrow$ Fanling Station (Circular) | 6-30 |
| KMB 78B | Queen's Hill $\rightarrow$ Sheung Shui (Choi Yuen) | 4 trips per day ${ }^{(1)(4)}$ |
| KMB 78K | Sheung Shui / Sheung Shui (Tai Ping) $\leftrightarrow$ Sha Tau Kok | 10-30 |
|  | Sha Tau Kok $\rightarrow$ Wah Ming | $5-12^{(1)(4)}$ |
| KMB 79K | Sheung Shui $\uparrow$ Ta Kwu Ling (Tsung Yuen Ha) | 15-30 |
| KMB 277A | Sha Tau Kok $\rightarrow$ Lam Tin Station | $60^{(1)}$ |
|  | Lam Tin Station $\rightarrow$ Sha Tau Kok | $60^{(2)}$ |
| KMB 278A | Queen's Hill $\leftrightarrow$ Tsuen Wan (Nina Tower) | 15-40 |
| KMB N78 | Sheung Shui $\rightarrow$ Sha Tau Kok | 4 trips per day ${ }^{(3)}$ |
|  | Sha Tau Kok $\rightarrow$ Sheung Shui | 4 trips per day ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| CTB 56A | Queen's Hill Estate $\rightarrow$ Tuen Mun (Ching Tin and Wo Tin) | 1 trip per AM, and 1 trip per PM ${ }^{(4)}$ |
|  | Tuen Mun (Ching Tin and Wo Tin) $\rightarrow$ Queen's Hill Estate | 2 trips per AM, and 1 trip per PM ${ }^{(4)}$ |
| CTB 78X | Queen's Hill Estate $\uparrow$ Kai Tak | 30-60 |
| CTB 79X | Queen's Hill Estate $\leftrightarrow$ Cheung Sha Wan (Hoi Tat) | 20-45 |
| CTB 679 | Queen's Hill Estate $\rightarrow$ Central (Hong Kong Station) | 2 trips per AM ${ }^{(1)(4)}$ |
|  | Central (Hong Kong Station) $\rightarrow$ Queen's Hill Estate | 1 trip per PM ${ }^{(2)(4)}$ |
| СТВ 979 | Queen's Hill Estate $\rightarrow$ Central (Hong Kong Station) | 1 trip per $\mathrm{AM}^{(1)}$ |
|  | Central (Hong Kong Station) $\rightarrow$ Queen's Hill Estate | 1 trip per $\mathrm{PM}^{(2)}$ |
| GMB 52B | Fanling Station $\leftrightarrow$ Hok Tau | 8-25 |
| GMB 52K | Fanling Station $\uparrow$ Ping Che | 4-10 |
| GMB 55K | Sheung Shui Station $\leftrightarrow$ Sha Tau Kok | 4-10 |
| GMB 56B | Fanling Station $\leftrightarrow$ Tan Chuk Hang | 15-30 |
| GMB 56K | Fanling Station $\leftrightarrow$ Luk Keng | 10-30 |
| GMB 503 | Queen's Hill $\leftrightarrow$ North District Hospital | 10-20 |
| GMB 503K | Queen's Hill $\leftrightarrow$ Sheung Shui Station | 8-15 |
| RMB | Sheung Shui (Fu Hing Street) $\leftrightarrow$ Ping Che / Ping Yeung | - |

Note

[^0]
## Occupancy Survey of Existing Public Transport Services

## Franchised Bus and Green Minibus

2.25 An occupancy survey of public transport services was conducted at the bus stops, namely the San Wai Barracks, at Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau on Friday, $12^{\text {th }}$ May 2023 during the AM and PM peak periods between 0700 and 0900 hours, and 1700 and 1900 hours respectively. The San Wai Barracks bus stops are located some 200m west of the Subject Site. The AM and PM peak hours identified from the surveys are found to be between 0700 and 0800, and 1800-1900 hours respectively.
2.26 Table 2.8 summaries the results for local services to and from the MTR Fanling / Sheung Shui Stations, and Table 2.9 summaries the results for regional services to and from the urban area.

TABLE 2.8 RESULTS OF PEAK HOUR OCCUPANCY SURVEY FOR LOCAL SERVICES TO/FROM MTR FANLING / SHEUNG SHUI STATIONS

| Peak <br> Hour | Number of Trips Observed | Average Headway Observed (min) | Number of Passenger Observed (passenger/hour) | Total Hourly Capacity (passenger/hour) (Note 1) | Observed Occupancy | Surplus Capacity (passenger /hour) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To MTR Fanling / Sheung Shui Station (KMB 78K and 79K, and GMB 52B, 52K, 55K, 56B and 56K) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | KMB: 18 | 3.3 | 1,915 | 2,712 | 71\% | 797 |
|  | GMB: 48 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| PM | $\begin{gathered} \text { KMB: } 4 \\ \text { GMB: } 40 \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 895 | 1,160 | 77\% | 265 |
|  |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| From MTR Fanling / Sheung Shui Station (KMB 78 K and 79 K , and GMB 52B, $52 \mathrm{~K}, 55 \mathrm{~K}, 56 \mathrm{~B}$ and 56 K ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KMB: } 4 \\ & \text { GMB: } 51 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 964 | 1,369 | 70\% | 405 |
|  |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| PM | $\begin{gathered} \text { KMB: } 6 \\ \text { GMB: } 37 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | 1,080 | 1,303 | 83\% | 223 |
|  |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |

Note 1: According to the Annual Transport Digest 2022 published by Transport Department, the average capacity of a double-decker bus is 124 passengers. To be conservative, an $80 \%$ load capacity is assumed, hence, a capacity of 100 passengers per vehicle is adopted. For GMB, a seating capacity of 19 passengers per vehicle is adopted.

TABLE 2.9 RESULTS OF PEAK HOUR OCCUPANCY SURVEY FOR REGIONAL SERVICES TO/FROM URABN AREA

| Peak Hour | Number of Trips Observed | Average Headway Observed (min) | Number of Passenger Observed (passenger/hour) | Total Hourly Capacity ${ }^{(1)}$ (passenger/hour) | Observed Occupancy | Surplus Capacity (passenger /hour) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To Urban Area (KMB 277A, CTB 78X, 79X, 679 and 979) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | 8 | 7.5 | 279 | 800 | 35\% | 521 |
| PM | 1 | 60 | 10 | 100 | 10\% | 90 |
| From Urban Area (KMB 277A, CTB 78X, 79X, 679 and 979) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | No inbound service to Fanling during the AM peak hour. |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM | 6 | 10 | 335 | 600 | 56\% | 265 |

According to the Annual Transport Digest 2022 published by Transport Department, the average capacity of a double-decker bus is 124 passengers. To be conservative, an $80 \%$ load capacity is assumed, hence, a capacity of 100 passengers per vehicle is adopted.
2.27 Table 2.8 shows the local services to and from the MTR Fanling / Sheung Shui Station is frequent, and are around $70 \%$ to $80 \%$ utilized. Table 2.9 shows the regional services to and from the urban area is no more than $60 \%$, and the service frequency is considerably lower.

## MTR East Rail Line

2.28 Based on the information obtained from the Legislative Council, the operational performance for MTR East Rail Line in 2022 is summarized in Table 2.10.

## TABLE 2.10 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE OF MTR EAST RAIL LINE

| Item | Parameters |
| :--- | :--- |
| Maximum carrying capacity when train frequency is <br> maximized [a] | 82,500 passengers / hour |
| Existing carrying capacity [b] | 62,500 passengers / hour ${ }^{\text {(Note 1) }}$ (Note 2) |
| Current Patronage [c] | 37,700 passengers / hour |
| Current Loading [c]/[b] \{Critical Link\} | $60 \%$ \{Tai Wai to Kowloon Tong\} |
| Loading in comparison with the maximum carrying capacity <br> [c]/[a] | $46 \%$ \{Tai Wai to Kowloon Tong \} |

Source: Reply Serial No. TLB168 for Question Serial No. 1237, Controlling Officer's Reply, Examination of Estimates of Expenditure 2023-24. Finance Committee. Legislative Council. 14 April 2023. [https://www.legco.gov.hk/yr2023/english/fc/fc/w_q/tlb-e.pdf](https://www.legco.gov.hk/yr2023/english/fc/fc/w_q/tlb-e.pdf)
Note 1: According to the Reply Serial No. TLB168, existing service frequency has not yet increased to the maximum level and capacity as permitted by the signaling system.
Note 2: According to the Reply Serial No. TLB168, in view of the impact of COVID-2019, patronage shown is based on those months in 2022 when the pandemic situation was relatively eased.
2.29 Table 2.10 shows that the MTR East Rail Line operates at $60 \%$ of its current capacity, or $46 \%$ of its maximum carrying capacity during the peak hour.

### 3.0 THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

## Proposed Development

3.1 Table 3.1 summarises the parameters of the Proposed Development.

TABLE 3.1 PARAMETERS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

| Use | Development Parameters |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Domestic Plot Ratio: | 6.5 | Flat Mix: |  |
|  | Number of blocks: | 5 |  |  |
|  | Total number of flats: | 3,305 | $40 \mathrm{~m}^{2}<40 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 2,991 units |
|  | Average Flat Size: | $44 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{GFA}$ |  | 314 units |
|  | Estimated Population: | 9,915 |  |  |
| Retail | $5,570 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA |  |  |  |

## Proposed Internal Transport Facilities

## Provision of Internal Transport Facilities for the Residential Flats

3.2 The internal transport facilities for the residential flats are provided based on the recommendation of the Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines ("HKPSG"). Table 3.2 compares the HKPSG recommendation and the proposed provision.

TABLE 3.2 COMPARISON OF INTERNAL TRANSPORT FACILITIES FOR RESIDENTIAL USE

|  | HKPSG Recommendation | Proposed Provision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private Car Parking Spaces |  |  |
| (i) | Residential: <br> Parking Requirement $=G P S \times R 1 \times R 2 \times R 3$ <br> ```Global Parking Standard (GPS): \\ Min: 1 space per 7 flats \\ Max: 1 space per 4 flats \\ Demand Adjustment Ratio (R1): \\ - Flat Size \(<40 m^{2}=0.5\) \\ - 40 < Flat Size \(\leq 70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\) \(=1.2\)``` <br> Accessibility Adjustment Ratio (R2): <br> - Outside a 500m-radius of rail station $=1.0$ <br> Development Intensity Adjustment Ratio (R3) <br> - $5.0<$ Domestic Plot Ratio $\leq 8.0=0.9$ <br> For Flat Size $<40 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad(2,991$ flats $)$ <br> Min: $\quad(2,991 / 7 \times \overline{0.5 \times 1.0 \times 0.9)=192.3}$, say 193 nos. <br> Max: $\quad(2,991 / 4 \times 0.5 \times 1.0 \times 0.9)=336.5$, say 337 nos. <br> For $40<$ Flat Size $\leq 70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ : $\quad$ (314 flats) <br> Min: $\quad(314 / 7 \times 1.2 \times 1.0 \times 0.9)=48.4$, say 49 nos. <br> Max: $\quad(314 / 4 \times 1.2 \times 1.0 \times 0.9)=84.8$, say 85 nos. <br> Overall  <br> Min: $193+49 \quad=242$ nos. <br> Max: $337+85$ | 422 nos. <br> (=HKPSG Max., OK) |

TABLE 3.2 COMPARISON OF PROVISION ON INTERNAL TRANSPORT FACILITIES FOR RESIDENTIAL USE (CONT'D)

|  | HKPSG Recommendation | Proposed Provision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private Car Parking Spaces |  |  |
| (ii) | Visitor Car Parking Spaces <br> - 1-5 no. per residential block with more than 75 units, or as determined by the Authority <br> - At least 1 no. visitor car parking space shall be accessible peaking space. <br> For 5 blocks with 3,305 flats: <br> Min: $1 \times 5=5$ nos. <br> Max: $\quad 5 \times 5=\mathbf{2 5}$ nos. | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \text { nos. } \\ & (=\text { HKPSG Max., OK) } \end{aligned}$ |
| (iii) | (i) + (ii)  <br> Min: $242+5=\quad 247$ nos., <br> (including 243 nos. regular, and 4 nos. accessible) <br> Max: $422+25=\quad 447$ nos., <br> (including 442 nos. regular, and 5 nos. accessible) | 447 nos., including: <br> - 442 nos. regular, and <br> - 5 nos. accessible <br> (= HKPSG Max., OK) |
| Motorcycle Parking Spaces |  |  |
| (iv) | At the rate of 1 motorcycle parking space per 100-150 flats <br> Min: 3,305 / $150=22.03$, say 23 nos. <br> Max: 3,305 / $100=33.05$, say 34 nos. | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \text { nos. } \\ & (=\text { HKPSG Max., OK) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Goods Vehicle Loading / Unloading ("LUL") Bay |  |  |
| (v) | 1 bay per residential block <br> For 5 residential blocks: $5 \times 1=5$ nos. | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { nos. HGV } \\ & (=\text { HKPSG, OK) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces |  |  |
| (vi) | At the rate of 1 cycle parking space for every 30 flats smaller than $70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA for outside 2 km radius of a rail station. $3,305 / 30=110.2, \text { says } 111 \text { nos }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111 \text { nos. } \\ & (=\mathrm{HKPSG}, \mathrm{OK}) \end{aligned}$ |

## Provision of Internal Transport Facilities for Retail

3.4 The internal transport facilities for the retail use are provided based on the recommendation of the HKPSG, and Table 3.3 compares the HKPSG recommendation and the proposed provision.

TABLE 3.3 COMPARISON OF INTERNAL TRANSPORT FACILITIES FOR RETAIL USE

| HKPSG Recommendation |  |  | Proposed Provision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private Car Parking Spaces |  |  |  |
| (vii) |   <br> For $5,570 m^{2}$ GFA  <br> Min.: $5,570 / 300$ <br> Max.: $5,570 / 150$ | $\begin{aligned} & =18.6 \text {, say } 19 \text { nos. } \\ & =37.1 \text {, say } 38 \text { nos. } \end{aligned}$ | 38 nos., including: <br> - 37 nos. regular, and <br> - 1 no. accessible <br> (=HKPSG Max., OK) |
| Motorcycle Parking Spaces |  |  |  |
| (viii) | At $5 \%$ to $10 \%$ of car <br> Min.: $19 \times 5 \%$ <br> Max.: $38 \times 10 \%$ | king spaces provided $=1.0$, say 1 nos. $=3.8$, say 4 nos. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \text { nos. } \\ & \text { (=HKPSG Max., OK) } \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 3.3 COMPARISON OF INTERNAL TRANSPORT FACILITIES FOR RETAIL USE (CONT'D)

|  | HKPSG Recommendation | Proposed Provision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goods Vehicle Loading / Unloading ("U/UL") Bay |  |  |
| (ix) | 1 L/UL bay per $800-1,200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA $65 \%$ LGV and $35 \%$ HGV | 7 nos., including: <br> - 3 nos. HGV, and <br> - 4 nos. LGV <br> (= HKPSG Max., OK) |

## Overall Provision of Internal Transport

3.5 Table 3.4 summarises the overall provision of internal transport, which meets the high-end recommendation of the HKPSG.

TABLE 3.4 OVERALL PROVISION OF INTERNAL TRANSPORT FACILITIES

| Type | Proposed Provision |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential | Retail | Total |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Car Parking Spaces } \\ @ 5.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 2.5 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W}) \times 2.4 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{H}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 442 | 37 | 479 |
| Accessible Car Parking Spaces @ $5.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W}) \times 2.4 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{H})$ | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Motorcycle Parking Spaces <br> @ $2.4 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W}) \times 2.4 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{H})$ | 34 | 4 | 38 |
| LGV Loading / Unloading Bays $@ 7.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W}) \times 3.6 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{H})$ | - | 4 | 4 |
| HGV Loading / Unloading Bays @ $11.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W}) \times 4.7 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{H})$ | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces <br> @ $1.65 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W})$ or with parking racks | 111 | - | 111 |

## Internal Transport Layout

3.6 The proposed internal transport layouts are shown in Figures 3.1 and 3.2.

## Proposed Vehicular Access

3.7 The proposed vehicular access is located on Sha Tau Kok Road westbound, and is detailed in Figure 3.3. Visibility at the proposed vehicular access meets the requirements as stipulated in the TPDM.

### 4.0 TRAFFIC IMPACT

## Design Year

4.1 The Proposed Development is to be completed in 2031. Hence, the design year adopted is 2034, i.e. 3 years after completion.

## Traffic Generation of the Proposed Development

4.2 To estimate the traffic generation associated with the Proposed Development, the TPDM trip rates are adopted, and are summarized in Table 4.1.

TABLE 4.1 TRIP RATES ADOPTED FROM THE TPDM

| Item |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  | Generation | Attraction | Generation | Attraction |
| Private Housing: High-Density / R(A) <br> $60 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{GFA}(\mathrm{pcu} / \mathrm{hour} / f l a t)$ | 0.0718 | 0.0425 | 0.0286 | 0.037 |
| Retail (pcu/100m ${ }^{2}$ GFA/hour) | 0.2296 | 0.2434 | 0.3100 | 0.3563 |

4.3 Trip rates for "Private Housing" provided in the TPDM is only available for unit with average flat size $60 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or larger; whereas the average flat size for the Proposed Development is only $44 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, which is $27 \%$ smaller. Hence, it is opined the use of mean rates for larger flat size provides a more conservative, i.e. higher, estimation on traffic generation. Table 4.2 presents the traffic generation for the Proposed Development.

TABLE 4.2 TRAFFIC GENERATION FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

| Item |  | AM Peak Hour (pcu/hour) |  | PM Peak Hour (pcu/hour) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Generation | Attraction | Generation | Attraction |
| Residential (3,305 flats) |  | 238 | 141 | 95 | 123 |
| Retail ( $5,570 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{GFA}$ ) |  | 13 | 14 | 18 | 20 |
| TOTAL |  | 251 | 155 | 113 | 143 |
|  |  | 406 (2-Way) |  | 256 (2-Way) |  |

4.4 Table 4.2 shows that the Proposed Development is expected to generate some 406 and 256 pcu (2-way) during the AM and PM peak hours respectively.

## Traffic Forecasting

4.5 Year 2034 traffic flows used for the capacity analysis are derived based on the following:
(i) the 2023 existing traffic flow,
(ii) with reference to the 2026 traffic flows from the NTE1 Base District Traffic Model ("BDTM") which is produced by Transport Department,
(iii) the estimated traffic growths from 2026 to 2034,
(iv) the expected traffic generation associated with other known planned / committed major developments,
(v) the planned traffic improvement works to be carried by other projects, and
(vi) the expected traffic generation associated to the Proposed Development
4.6 The traffic growth from 2026 to 2034 are calculated using the following equations, with $X_{1}$ being the annual population growth of $1.1 \%$ per annum obtained from the "2019-based Territorial Population and Employment Data Matrix" published by Planning Department rates for 2026-2034.

$$
2026 \text { to } 2034 \text { traffic growth factor }=\left(1+X_{1}\right)^{8}
$$

4.7 The total growths were then applied to the trips ends of the 2026 NTE1 BDTM model to develop the 2034 traffic model for producing the 2034 traffic flows.

## Other Known Planned / Committed Major Developments in the Vicinity

4.8 Traffic generations associated with the other known planned / committed major developments located in the vicinity summarised in Table 4.3 were considered and included in the 2034 traffic forecast. The locations of these other developments are shown in Figure 4.1.

TABLE 4.3 LIST OF OTHER KNOWN PLANNED / COMMITTED MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS

| Ref. | Developments | Development Parameters (Approx.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | Fanling North New Development Area (including Proposed Minor Relaxation of Plot Ratio and Building Height approved under TPB No. A/KTN/54, A/FLN/28, \& A/FLN/30) ${ }^{(1)}$ | Public Housing: 15,939 flats <br> Private Housing: 8,990 flats <br> G/IC: $32,837 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA <br> Other non-domestic use (e.g. retail,  <br> kindergarten etc.): $129,657 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA <br> Multiple Primary and Secondary Schools  <br>   |
| B. | Private Residential Development at Sheung Shui Town Lot 262,8 Ma Sik Road, Fanling, (namely "One Innovale") ${ }^{(2)}$ | Private Housing: 1,576 flats |
| C. | Proposed Public Housing Development at Queen's Hill Extension ${ }^{(3)}$ | Public Housing: $\quad 4,000$ flats G/IC |
| D. | New Territories East Cultural Centre in Area 11, Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau, Fanling ${ }^{(4)}$ | $67,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ CFA with 2,500 seats Public Vehicle Park |
| E. | Public Housing Development at San Wan Road ${ }^{(5)}$ | Public Housing: 450 flats G/IC, Kindergarten, Primary School, and Secondary School |
| F. | Mixed Housing Development Project at Pak Wo Road (TPB No. A/FSS/254) ${ }^{(6)}{ }^{(9)}$ | Public Housing: 510 flats <br> Subsidized Sale Flat: 696 flats <br> Elderly Housing: 261 flats <br> RCHE: 210 beds <br> Retail: $6,500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA <br> Public Vehicle Park  |
| G. | Public Housing Development at Fanling Area $17^{(7)}$ | Public Housing: $\quad 8,300$ flats G/IC, Social Welfare Facilities, Kindergarten, Community Hall, Retail, Market |
| H. | Subsidized Sale Flats at Jockey Club Road (6)(8) | Subsidized Sale Flat: 644 flats <br> Retail: $3,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ CFA <br> Public Vehicle Park with  |
| I. | Public Housing Development at Sheung Shui Areas 4 and 30 Site $1 \& 2$ (including Proposed Minor Relaxation of Plot Ratio and Building Height approved under TPB No. A/FSS/280) ${ }^{(8)(9)}$ | Public Housing: 3,644 flats <br> Retail: $1,100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ CFA <br> G/IC  <br> Public Vehicle Park  |
| J. | Public Housing Development at Po Shek Wu Road ${ }^{(8)}$ | Public Housing: 1,800 flats <br> Retail: $3,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ CFA <br> Kindergarten  |

TABLE 4.3 LIST OF OTHER KNOWN PLANNED／COMMITTED MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS（CONT＇D）

| Ref． | Developments | Development Parameters（Approx．） |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K． | Proposed House and Social Welfare Facility（Residential Care Home for the Elderly）at Ma Sik Road，Fanling（TPB No． A／FSS／276）${ }^{(9)}$ | RCHE： 60 beds ${ }^{(9)}$ Private Housing： | 50 houses |
| L． | Proposed Social Welfare Facility （Residential Care Home for the Elderly） and Flat at Tin Ping Road，Sheung Shui （TPB No．A／FSS／279）${ }^{(9)}$ | RCHE： 143 beds Private Housing： | 28 flats |
| M． | Proposed Minor Relaxation of Domestic PR Restriction for Permitted Residential Development with Commercial Uses at 1 Luen Fat Street，Fanling（TPB No． A／FSS／282）${ }^{(9)}$ | Private Housing： Commercial： | $\begin{aligned} & 119 \text { flats } \\ & 161 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \text { GFA } \end{aligned}$ |
| N． | Proposed Shop and Services（Showroom） and Office（Wholesale Conversion of an Existing Industrial Building）at 5 Lok Yip Road，Fanling（TPB No．A／FSS／283）${ }^{(9)}$ | Retail：4，075 m ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| O． | Proposed Shop and Services，Eating Place and Other Uses at 33 On Lok Mun Street， Fanling（TPB No．A／FSS／284）${ }^{(9)}$ | Retail：2，392 m² |  |
| P． | Public Housing Development at Ching Hiu Road ${ }^{(10)}$ | Private Housing： G／IC，\＆Social We | 620 flats Facilities |

Source：
（1）Rural and New Town Planning Committee（＂RNTPC＂）Paper No．A／FLN／30
（2）One Innovale．＜http：／／www．oneinnovale．com．hk＞
（3）North Committees Meetings Discussion Paper 9／2022．＂Proposed Public Housing Development at Queen＇s Hill Extension＂．Dated 15 May 2022．North District Council．
（4）LC Paper No．CB（2）614／2022（01）．Legislative Council．
（5）Planning Brief．Hong Kong Housing Authority． ＜https：／／www．pland．gov．hk／pland＿en／access／pec／planning＿brief／San\％20Wan\％20Road\％20PB．pdf＞
（6）HKHS Annual Report 2022．Hong $\bar{K}$ ong Housing Society．
（7）Paper 2／2023．＂粉嶺第 17 區公營房屋發展計劃＂．Dated 16 January 2023．North District Council．
（8）North Committees Meetings Discussion Paper 5／2019．＂Public Housing Development Programmes at Sites 1 and 2 in Sheung Shui Areas 4 and 30，a Site to the North of Po Shek Wu Road and a Site on Jockey Club Road，Fanling，and Proposed Amendments to the Approved Fanling／Sheung Shui Outline Zoning Plan No．S／FSS／22．＂Dated 21 January 2019．North District Council．
（9）Statutory Planning Portal 2．Town Planning Board．
（10）Paper 4／2023．＂上水清曉路公營房屋發展之工地平整及基礎設施工程．＂Dated 16 January 2023．North District Council．

## Future Road Network

4．9 Various traffic improvement works have been planned for implementation within the AOI，and the details are summarized in Table 4．4．

## TABLE 4．4 PLANNED TRAFFIC IMPROVEMENT WORKS WITHIN THE AOI

| Planned Traffic Improvements | Completion Year |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fanling Bypass Eastern Section ${ }^{(1)}$ | By 2025 |
| Lung Yeuk Tau Interchange of the Fanling Bypass Eastern Section ${ }^{(1)}$ | By 2025 |
| Fanling Bypass Western Section ${ }^{(2)}$ | By 2031 |
| Improvement of So Kwun Po Interchange ${ }^{(3)}$ | By 2030 |
| Local improvements at various <br> Road，and Jockey Club Road ${ }^{(4)(5)}$ |  |

（1）Project Number 7747CL．＂Advance Site Formation and Engineering Infrastructure Works at Kwu Tung North and Fanling North New Development Areas．＂Civil Engineering and Development Department． ＜https：／／www．cedd．gov．hk／eng／our－projects／major－projects／index－id－36．html＞
（2）Project Number 7835CL．＂Remaining Phase of Site Formation and Engineering Infrastructure Works at Kwu Tung North and Fanling North New Development Area－Detailed Design and Site Investigation＂ Civil Engineering and Development Department．＜https：／／www．cedd．gov．hk／eng／our－projects／major－ projects／index－id－87．html＞
（3）PP－616／2021 Improvement to So Kwun Po Interchange．Environmental Protection Department． ＜https：／／www．epd．gov．hk／eia／register／profile／latest／esb338／esb338．pdf＞
（4）A／FLN／30．＂Proposed Minor Relaxation of PR and BH Restrictions for Permitted Public and Private Housing Developments；and Proposed Social Welfare Facilities，Shop and Services and Eating Place within Public Housing Developments．＂Town Planning Board．
（5）Paper 2／2023．＂粉嶺第 17 區公營房屋發展計劃＂．Dated 16 January 2023．North District Council．
4．10 The above listed traffic improvements will be implemented by 2031，i．e．prior to the completion of the Proposed Development and an overview of the road network adopted in the 2034 traffic model is also shown in Figure 4．1．

## 2034 Traffic Flows

4．11 Year 2034 traffic flows with the Proposed Development are derived as follows：

$$
\begin{aligned}
2034 \text { Traffic Flows with the }= & 2034 \text { Traffic Flows without the Proposed } \\
\text { Proposed Development } & \begin{aligned}
& \text { Development }+ \text { Traffic Generated by the } \\
& \text { Proposed Development }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

4．12 Figures 4.2 and 4.3 show the 2034 AM and PM peak hour traffic flows without and with the Proposed Development respectively．

## 2034 Junction Capacity Analysis

4．13 Year 2034 junction capacity analysis for the case without and with the Proposed Development are summarised in Table 4.5 and detailed calculations are found in the Appendix A．

TABLE $4.5 \quad 2034$ JUNCTION PERFORMANCE

| Ref． | Junction | Type of Junction | Parameter | Without the Proposed Development |  | With the Proposed Development |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { PM Peak } \\ \text { Hour } \end{array}$ | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| J01 | Sha Tau Kok Road／Lau Shui Heung Road | Roundabout | RFC | 0.453 | 0.514 | 0.519 | 0.578 |
| J02 | Sha Tau Kok Road／Lung Ma Road | Roundabout | RFC | 0.606 | 0.649 | 0.734 | 0.705 |
| J03 | Sha Tau Kok Road／Ma Sik Road $^{\text {（Note 1）}}$ | Priority | RFC | 0.638 | 0.632 | 0.652 | 0.638 |
| J04 | Sha Tau Kok Road／Jockey Club Road（Note 1） | Roundabout | RFC | 0.686 | 0.623 | 0.713 | 0.624 |
| J05 | So Kwun Po Road／Jockey Club Road／Ma Sik Road ${ }^{\text {（Note 1）}}$ | Signal | RC | 21\％ | 46\％ | 20\％ | 44\％ |
| J06 | So Kwun Po Interchange ${ }^{\text {（Note 1）}}$ | Roundabout | RFC | 0.796 | 0.784 | 0.826 | 0.794 |
| J07 | Jockey Club Road／Lok Yip Road ／San Wan Road | Signal | RFC | 18\％ | 25\％ | 18\％ | 25\％ |
| J08 | Fanling Station Road／San Wan Road | Signal | RFC | 18\％ | 18\％ | 18\％ | 18\％ |
| J09 | Sha Tau Kok Road／San Wan Road | Roundabout | RFC | 0.580 | 0.599 | 0.593 | 0.603 |
| J10 | Lung Yeuk Tau Interchange ${ }^{\text {（Note 1）}}$ | Roundabout | RFC | 0.663 | 0.671 | 0.702 | 0.743 |
| J11 | Proposed Vehicular Access／Sha Tau Kok Road | Priority | RFC | n／a | n／a | 0.372 | 0.176 |

With planned traffic improvement works to be implemented by Others．
RFC－Ratio of Flow to Capacity RC－Reserve Capacity
4.14 Table 4.5 shows that the junctions analyzed have capacity to accommodate the expected traffic growth to 2034, and the traffic generated by the Proposed Development.

## 2034 Road Link Capacity Analysis

4.15 Year 2034 road link capacity analysis for the cases without and with the Proposed Development are summarised in Table 4.6.

TABLE 4.6 2034 P/Df OF ROAD LINKS

| Ref. | Road Link | Section |  | Type <br> (Note 1) | Design Flow (pcu/hr) | Peak Hourly Flows / Design Flow Ratio (P/Df) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | From | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Without the Proposed Development |  | With the Proposed Development |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| L01 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Lung Ma Road | Lau Shui Heung Road | RR | 3,400 | 0.315 | 0.354 | 0.361 | 0.398 |
| L02 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Lau Shui Heung Road | Lung Ma Road | RR | 3,400 | 0.352 | 0.381 | 0.426 | 0.414 |
| L03 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Ma Sik Road | Lung Ma Road | RR | 3,400 | 0.505 | 0.472 | 0.547 | 0.512 |
| L04 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Lung Ma Road | Ma Sik Road | RR | 3,400 | 0.724 | 0.658 | 0.795 | 0.687 |
| L05 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Jockey Club Road | Ma Sik Road | RR | 3,400 | 0.421 | 0.438 | 0.421 | 0.438 |
| L06 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Ma Sik Road | Jockey Club Road | RR | 3,400 | 0.457 | 0.398 | 0.477 | 0.406 |
| L07 | Ma Sik Road | Jockey Club Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | DD | 3,050 | 0.482 | 0.479 | 0.493 | 0.494 |
| L08 | Ma Sik Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | Jockey Club Road | DD | 3,050 | 0.479 | 0.354 | 0.479 | 0.354 |
| L09 | Jockey Club Road | Ma Sik Road / So Kwun Po Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | PD | 3,400 | 0.198 | 0.167 | 0.198 | 0.167 |
| L10 | Jockey Club Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | Ma Sik Road / So Kwun Po Road | PD | 3,400 | 0.227 | 0.192 | 0.228 | 0.193 |
| L11 | So Kwun Po | Jockey Club Road | So Kwun Po Interchange | PD | 3,400 | 0.523 | 0.380 | 0.523 | 0.380 |
| L12 | So Kwun Po Road | So Kwun Po Interchange | Jockey Club Road | PD | 3,400 | 0.569 | 0.588 | 0.577 | 0.599 |
| L13 | Jockey Club Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | Lok Yip Street / San Wan Road | PD | 3,400 | 0.172 | 0.191 | 0.172 | 0.191 |
| L14 | Jockey Club Road | Lok Yip Street / San Wan Road | Sha Tau Kok Road | PD | 3,400 | 0.265 | 0.222 | 0.265 | 0.222 |
| L15 | San Wan Road | Fanling Station Road | Lok Yip Street / San Wan Road | DD | 3,050 | 0.184 | 0.159 | 0.184 | 0.159 |
| L16 | San Wan Road | Lok Yip Street / San Wan Road | Fanling Station Road | DD | 3,050 | 0.229 | 0.238 | 0.229 | 0.238 |

4.16 Table 4.6 shows that, the road links analyzed have capacity to accommodate the expected traffic growth to 2034 and the traffic generated by the Proposed Development will have no adverse negative impact to the surrounding road network.

## Mode of Transport and Pedestrian Generation of the Proposed Development

4.17 Reference is made to the "Travel Characteristics Survey 2011 - Final Report" published by the Transport Department and the "Population By-census 2016" published by the Census and Statistics Department. The passenger demand on public transport services and pedestrian generation associated with the Proposed Development are estimated and presented in Table 4.7.

TABLE 4.7 ESTIMATED PASSENGER DEMAND ON PUBLIC TRANSPORT AND PEDESTRIAN GENERATION ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

| Item |  | Parameters |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Peak Hour Mechanised Trips |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated Population [a] |  | 9,915 |  |  |  |
| Mechanised Trips Rate per Person [b] ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 1.83 |  |  |  |
| Daily Trips generated by the Proposed Development [c] = [a] $\times[b]$ |  | $9,915 \times 1.83=18,145$ |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Daily Trip during Peak Hours ${ }^{(2)}$ [d] |  | 12\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Trips (2-way) [e] = [c]x[d] |  | $18,145 \times 12 \%=2,178$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Generation | Attraction | Generation | Attraction |
| Peak Hour Trip Distribution ${ }^{(3)}$ [f] (Based on in-house survey data) |  | 80\% | 20\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Peak Hour Trip Generation / Attraction | $[\mathrm{g}]=[\mathrm{e}] \times[\mathrm{f}]$ | 1,525 | 653 | 1,089 | 1,089 |
| Passenger Demand on Main Mode of Transport |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main Mode of Transport | Percentage | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Generation | Attraction | Generation | Attraction |
| Private Car \& Taxi | 15\% ${ }^{(4)}$ | 262 | 66 | 164 | 164 |
| Rail-based Public Transport [h] (MTR and Local Road-Based Services) | $42 \%{ }^{(4)}$ | 733 | 183 | 458 | 458 |
| Road-based Public Transport [i] (Regional Road-Based Services) [i] | $43 \%{ }^{(4)}$ | 750 | 188 | 469 | 469 |
| Peak Hour Pedestrian Generation [h] + [i] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Generation |  | 1,483 | 371 | 927 | 927 |

(1) Table 3.3, "Travel Characteristics Survey 2011 - Final Report", Transport Department
(2) Paragraph 3.3.7, "Travel Characteristics Survey 2011 - Final Report", Transport Department
(3) Based on CKM in-house database with surveys carried at various residential developments.
(4) Combined Percentage on Main Mode of Transport to Place of Work for North - Luen Wo Hui, North - Sha Ta, and North - Queen's Hill. "Population By-census 2016", Census and Statistics Department.
4.18 Table 4.7 shows the Proposed Development is estimated to generate 1,854 pedestrian trips (2-way) during the AM and PM peak hour respectively.

## Review on Public Transport Services

## Local Road-Based Public Transport Services to/from MTR Stations

4.19 Based on the estimated mode of transport presented in Table 4.7, the analyses on local road-based public transport services, i.e. franchised buses and GMB, between the Proposed Development and the MTR Fanling / Sheung Shui Stations, are presented in Table 4.8.

TABLE 4.8 ANALYSES ON LOCAL ROAD-BASED PUBLIC TRANSPORT SERVICES TO/FROM MTR STATIONS

| Item | Number of Passengers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  | To MTR Stations | From MTR Stations | To MTR Stations | From MTR Stations |
| Existing Surplus Capacity [a] (From Table 2.8) | 797 | 405 | 265 | 223 |
| Passenger Demand associated with the Proposed Development [b] (From Table 4.6) | 733 | 183 | 458 | 458 |
| Surplus or Deficit [c] = [a]-[b] | +64 | + 222 | -193 | -235 |
| Additional Services by Double Decker Buses required | Not Required | Not Required | 2 nos. | 3 nos. |

Note 1: To be conservative, a capacity of 100 passengers per vehicle is adopted.
4.20 Table 4.8 shows that the existing local road-based public transport services from MTR Fanling / Sheung Shui Stations have capacity to serve the additional passenger demand associated with the Proposed Development during the AM peak hour. Whereas, additional 2 to 3 services shall be required during the PM peak hour.
4.21 Table 2.6 shows that KMB 78 K and 79 K operate with a total of 4 services only during the PM peak hour, i.e. 2 services/route/hour with an average headway of 30 minutes. Hence, it is suggested to add, say, 2 to 3 additional services between the 2 existing KMB routes, shortening the headway from 30 minutes to 15 to 20 minutes for the 2 existing routes.

Rail-Based Public Transport Services (MTR East Rail)
4.22 Table 4.7 shows that the additional demand on rail-based public transport services, i.e. MTR East Rail, associated with the Proposed Development is no more than 733 passengers during the peak hour. Whereas, as shown in Table 2.10, the MTR East Rail has a maximum carrying capacity of 82,500 passenger/hour/direction. Hence, the additional passenger demand is only $0.9 \%$ of the maximum carrying capacity [Calculation: $733 \div 82,500=0.9 \%$ ], which is negligible and will not result in adverse impact on the MTR East Rail.

## Regional Road-Based Public Transport Services to/from Urban Area

4.23 Table 4.9 summarises the analyses on regional road-based public transport services, i.e. franchised buses, directly between the Proposed Development and the urban area.

TABLE 4.9 ANALYSES ON REGIONAL ROAD-BASED PUBLIC TRANSPORT SERVICES TO/FROM URBAN AREA

| Item | Number of Passengers |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  | To <br> Urban Area | From <br> Urban Area | To <br> Urban Area | From <br> Urban Area |  |
| Existing Surplus Capacity [a] <br> (From Table 2.8) | 521 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 90 | 265 |  |
| Passenger Demand associated with the <br> Proposed Development [b] <br> (From Table 4.6) | 750 | 188 | 469 | 469 |  |
| Surplus or Deficit [c] = [a]-[b] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional Services by Double Decker <br> Buses required | $\mathbf{3}$ nos. | $\mathbf{2}$ nos. | $\mathbf{4}$ nos. | 3 nos. |  |

Note 1: To be conservative, a capacity of 100 passengers per vehicle is adopted.
4.24 Table 4.9 shows that additional 2 to 4 regional road-based public transport services to/from the urban area during the AM and PM peak hour shall be required to serve the additional passenger demand associated with the Proposed Development.
4.25 Table 2.9 show that the existing services to and from the urban area is limited during the AM and PM peak hour. Hence, it is suggested to add 3 to 4 additional services in either direction amongst the 5 existing KMB and CTB routes.

## Pedestrian Forecasting

4.26 Year 2034 AM and PM peak hour pedestrian flows are estimated based on the existing pedestrian flow, and the estimated pedestrian growth from 2023 to 2024. With reference to Table 2.6, a growth rate of $1.1 \%$ per annum is adopted to derive the 2034 pedestrian flows as follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2034 \text { Pedestrian Flows without }= & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Existing Pedestrian Flows + Estimated } \\
\text { the Proposed Development [a] }
\end{array} \\
& \text { Pedestrian Growth to } 2034
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2034 \text { Pedestrian Flows without }= & {[a]+\text { Pedestrian Generation associated with } } \\
\text { the Proposed Development } & \text { the Proposed Development [Table 4.6] }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2034 Pedestrian Facilities Operational Performance

4.27 Operational performance of the selected pedestrian facilities are calculated, and summarized in Tables 4.10 and 4.11.

TABLE 4.10 YEAR 2034 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCES OF FOOTPATH

| Section |  | Measured Width (m) | Effective Width (m) | 2-Way Flow (ped/hr) / Flow Rate (ped/m/min) [LOS] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2034 Without Proposed Development |  | 2034 With Proposed Development |  |
|  |  | AM <br> Peak Hour |  | PM <br> Peak Hour | AM <br> Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| FP01 | Sha Tau Kok Road Southern Footpath |  | 4.5m | 4.0m | 12 | 35 | 933 | 962 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 [A] | 0.2 [A] | 3.9 [A] | 4.1 [A] |
| FP02 | Sha Tau Kok Road Southern Footpath | 4.5 m | 4.0m | 20 | 32 | 1,874 | 1,886 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.1 [A] | 0.2 [B] | 7.9 [A] | 7.9 [A] |
| FP03 | Sha Tau Kok Road Northern Footpath | 1.5m | 1.0m | 25 | 42 | 958 | 969 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.5 [B] | 0.7 [B] | 16.0 [B] | 16.2 [B] |

FP01 - Sha Tau Kok Road - Southern footpath between San Wai Barrack Bus Stop and Signalised Crossing
FP02 - Sha Tau Kok Road - Southern footpath between Subject Site and Signalised Crossing
FP03 - Sha Tau Kok Road - Northern footpath between San Wai Barrack Bus Stop
TABLE 4.11 YEAR 2034 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCES OF SURVEYED SIGNALISED CROSSING

| Pedestrian Crossing |  | Width <br> (m) | Type / Capacity (ped/hour) | 2-way Pedestrian Flow (ped/hour) [v/c Ratio] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2034 Without <br> Proposed Development |  | 2034 With Proposed Development |  |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| XING01 | Sha Tau Kok Road |  | 5 m | Signalised / 3,800 ${ }^{\text {(Note 1) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ {[0.003]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ {[0.006]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 946 \\ {[0.249]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 943 \\ {[0.248]} \end{gathered}$ |

XING01 - Sha Tau Kok Road - Signalised Crossing
Note 1: Calculated based on TPDM Vol. 4 Chapter 3 with an assumption of a 65 -second cycle including 26 seconds pedestrian green + flashing green time.
4.28 The above results indicate that pedestrian facilities assessed will operate with capacities during the AM and PM peak hour.

### 5.0 SUMMARY

5.1 The Proposed Development in various lots in D.D. 83, Lung Yeuk Tau in Fanling has 5 residential blocks including 3,305 flats with average flat size of $44 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA, and $5,570 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ retail GFA.
5.2 The proposed internal transport facilities meet the high-end recommendation of the HKPSG, and include the following:
i) 479 car parking spaces @ $5.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 2.5 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W}) \times \operatorname{Min} .2 .4 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{H})$,
ii) 6 accessible car parking spaces @ $5.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W}) \times \mathrm{Min} .2 .4(\mathrm{H})$,
iii) 38 motorcycle parking spaces @ $2.4 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W}) \times \operatorname{Min} .2 .4 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{H})$,
iv) 4 LGV loading / unloading bays @ $7.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W}) \times \mathrm{Min} .3 .6 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{H})$,
v) 8 HGV loading / unloading bays @ $11.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W}) \times \operatorname{Min} .4 .7 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{H})$,
vi) 111 bicycle parking spaces @ $1.65 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L}) \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W})$ or with parking rack.
5.3 Updated manual classified counts were conducted in May 2023 at selected junctions located in the AOI to establish the existing traffic flows during the AM and PM peak hours. The design year 2034 traffic flows were derived with reference to the BDTM, and have also taken into account the traffic generation and planned traffic improvement works associated with other known planned / committed major developments located in the vicinity.
5.4 Traffic generation for the Proposed Development is calculated based on the trip rates adopted from the TPDM, and conservatively, it is expected to generate some 406 and 256 pcu (2-way) during the AM and PM peak hours respectively.
5.5 The traffic analysis found that the surveyed junctions and road links analyzed currently operate with capacity. With the planned traffic improvement works to be implemented by others, the analyzed junction will have sufficient capacity to accommodate the expected traffic growth to 2034 and the traffic generated by the Proposed Development. Hence, traffic generated by the Proposed Development will result in no adverse impact to the surrounding road network.
5.6 Passenger demand on public transport services associated with the Proposed Development was estimated for local and regional road-based public transport services. During the AM peak hour, the local services are expected to have surplus capacity to accommodate the additional passenger demand, and additional 2 to 3 services by double decker buses are suggested to enhance the regional services. During the PM peak hour, additional 2 to 4 services by double decker buses are suggested for both local and regional services. Whereas, the MTR East Rail shall have capacity to accommodate the additional passenger demand associated with the Proposed Development.
5.7 Analyses for nearby pedestrian facilities on Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau were also reviewed, and these facilities shall have capacity to accommodate the additional pedestrian flows associated with the Proposed Development during the $A M$ and PM peak hour.
5.8 In view of the above, it is concluded that the Proposed Development is acceptable from traffic engineering viewpoint.





















Roundabout Analysis

| Junction: | Sha Tau Kok Road / Lau Shui Heung Road |  |  |  |  |  | Job Number: J7204 <br> J1-P <br> 1 J1-P. 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: | Existi |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design Year: | 2023 | Designed By: | NCL | Checked By: | WCH | Date: | 23 June 2023 |

AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A |  | 18 | 836 |  |  |  |  |  | 853 | 193 |
| From B | 34 |  | 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 95 | 918 |
| From C | 760 | 111 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 954 | 34 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 794 | 128 | 979 |  |  |  |  |  | 1902 |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 6 | 7 | 942 |  |  |  |  |  | 955 |
| From B | 40 |  | 89 |  |  |  |  |  | 128 |
| From C | 807 | 100 | 135 |  |  |  |  |  | 1083 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 853 | 108 | 1166 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Legend
Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Sha Tau Kok Road - East |
| B | Lau Shui Heung Road |
| C | Sha Tau Kok Road - West |
| D |  |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+M)$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters
Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 7.3 | 7.3 | 55.0 | 100.0 | 55 | 15 | 0.0 |
| From B | 6.0 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 25 | 0.1 |
| From C | 7.3 | 7.3 | 80.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 15 | 0.0 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | M | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | K | F |  $Q_{E}$ <br> $f_{c}$ AM |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 7.300 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.083 | 2212 | 0.677 | 2254 | 2223 | 853 | 955 | 0.379 | 0.430 |
| From B | 5.655 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.056 | 1714 | 0.587 | 1241 | 1139 | 95 | 128 | 0.077 | 0.113 |
| From C | 7.300 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.089 | 2212 | 0.677 | 2383 | 2374 | 954 | 1042 | 0.400 | 0.439 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Roundabout Analysis

| Junction: | Sha Tau Kok Road / Lau Shui Heung Road |  |  | Job Number: J7204 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scenario: | Without Proposed Development |  | J1-P. 2 |  |  |
| Design Year: | $\underline{2034}$ | Designed By: $\quad$ NCL | Checked By: $\quad$ WCH | Date: | 23 June 2023 |

AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 1 | 21 | 896 |  |  |  |  |  | 917 |
| From B | 9 |  | 99 |  |  |  |  |  | 108 |
| From C | 831 | 117 | 125 |  |  |  |  |  | 1022 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 841 | 137 | 1120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 6 | 9 | 1002 |  |  |  |  |  | 1018 | 314 |
| From B | 40 |  | 122 |  |  |  |  |  | 162 | 1188 |
| From C | 888 | 136 | 179 |  |  |  |  |  | 1202 | 47 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 935 | 145 | 1303 |  |  |  |  |  | 2383 |  |

Legend
Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Sha Tau Kok Road - East |
| B | Lau Shui Heung Road |
| C | Sha Tau Kok Road - West |
| D |  |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| K | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / \mathrm{r})-0.05]$ |
| F | $=303 \mathrm{x}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $=0.210 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(1+0.2 \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| M | $=\exp [(\mathrm{D}-60) / 10]$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $=\mathrm{v}+(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{v}) /(1+2 \mathrm{~S})$ |
| S | $=1.6(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{v}) / \mathrm{L}$ |

Geometric Parameters
Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 7.3 | 7.3 | 55.0 | 100.0 | 55 | 15 | 0.0 |
| From B | 6.0 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 25 | 0.1 |
| From C | 7.3 | 7.3 | 80.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 15 | 0.0 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | M | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | K | F |  $Q_{E}$ <br> $f_{c}$ AM |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 7.300 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.083 | 2212 | 0.677 | 2219 | 2165 | 917 | 1018 | 0.413 | 0.470 |
| From B | 5.655 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.056 | 1714 | 0.587 | 1177 | 1074 | 108 | 162 | 0.092 | 0.151 |
| From C | 7.300 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.089 | 2212 | 0.677 | 2401 | 2374 | 1072 | 1202 | 0.447 | 0.507 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Roundabout Analysis

| Junction: | Sha Tau Kok Road / Lau Shui Heung Road |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Job Number: } \frac{\mathrm{J} 7204}{\text { J1 - P. }} 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: | With | velopment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design Year: | 2034 | Designed By: | NCL | Checked By: | WCH | Date: | 23 June 2023 |

AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 1 | 21 | 908 |  |  |  |  |  | 929 | 384 |
| From B | 9 |  | 99 |  |  |  |  |  | 108 | 1177 |
| From C | 843 | 117 | 268 |  |  |  |  |  | 1227 | 10 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 853 | 137 | 1275 |  |  |  |  |  | 2265 |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 6 | 9 | 1009 |  |  |  |  |  | 1025 | 450 |
| From B | 40 |  | 122 |  |  |  |  |  | 162 | 1331 |
| From C | 902 | 136 | 315 |  |  |  |  |  | 1352 | 47 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 949 | 145 | 1446 |  |  |  |  |  | 2540 |  |

Legend
Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Sha Tau Kok Road - East |
| B | Lau Shui Heung Road |
| C | Sha Tau Kok Road - West |
| D |  |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters
Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 7.3 | 7.3 | 55.0 | 100.0 | 55 | 15 | 0.0 |
| From B | 6.0 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 25 | 0.1 |
| From C | 7.3 | 7.3 | 80.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 15 | 0.0 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | M | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | K | F | $\begin{array}{ll} & \\ f_{c} & \\ Q_{E} \\ & \\ \text { AM }\end{array}$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 7.300 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.083 | 2212 | 0.677 | 2114 | 2065 | 929 | 1025 | 0.440 | 0.496 |
| From B | 5.655 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.056 | 1714 | 0.587 | 1081 | 985 | 108 | 162 | 0.100 | 0.165 |
| From C | 7.300 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.089 | 2212 | 0.677 | 2401 | 2374 | 1227 | 1352 | 0.511 | 0.570 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A |  | 66 | 990 |  |  | To H | Total |
| From B | 65 |  |  |  |  | 1056 | 452 |
| From C | 945 | 414 | 39 |  |  | 65 | 1028 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1009 | 480 | 1028 |  |  |  |  |

Free flow bypass from $B$ to $C=464$
PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A |  | 87 | 1118 | To H | Total | $q_{c}$ |  |
| From B | 98 |  |  |  |  | 1204 | 461 |
| From C | 886 | 374 | 87 |  | 98 | 1205 |  |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 984 | 461 | 1205 |  |  |  |  |

Free flow bypass from B to $C=\quad 413$

## Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Sha Tau Kok Road - East |
| B | Lung Ma Road |
| C | Sha Tau Kok Road - West |
| D | San Wai Barracks |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

## Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 7.5 | 7.5 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 55 | 15 | 0.1 |
| From B | 4.0 | 3.7 | 70.0 | 15.0 | 55 | 10 | 0.0 |
| From C | 9.5 | 9.5 | 35.0 | 100.0 | 55 | 10 | 0.1 |
| From D | 5.5 | 4.5 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 55 | 10 | 0.2 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{F}-\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$

| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| K | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / \mathrm{r})-0.05]$ |
| F | $=303 \mathrm{x}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $=0.210 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(1+0.2 \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| M | $=\exp [(\mathrm{D}-60) / 10]$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $=\mathrm{v}+(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{v}) /(1+2 \mathrm{~S})$ |
| S | $=1.6(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{v}) / \mathrm{L}$ |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | M | $t_{\text {b }}$ | K | F | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline Q_{E} \\ & A M \end{aligned}$ | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 7.500 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.077 | 2273 | 0.688 | 2111 | 2104 | 1056 | 1204 | 0.500 | 0.572 |
| From B | 3.976 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.104 | 1205 | 0.494 | 769 | 673 | 65 | 98 | 0.084 | 0.146 |
| From C | 9.500 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.090 | 2879 | 0.799 | 3082 | 3053 | 1397 | 1348 | 0.453 | 0.441 |
| From D | 5.258 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.069 | 1593 | 0.565 | 821 | 830 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 1 | 192 | 1004 |  |  | To H | Total |
| From B | 83 |  |  |  |  | 1197 | 638 |
| From C | 1079 | 638 |  |  |  | 83 | 1005 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1162 | 830 | 1004 |  |  |  |  |

Free flow bypass from B to $C=729$

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 1 | 134 | 1162 |  | To H | Total | $q_{c}$ |
| From B | 135 |  |  |  |  | 1296 | 605 |
| From C | 998 | 602 | 3 |  | 135 | 1166 |  |
| From D |  |  |  |  | 1603 | 135 |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1133 | 736 | 1165 |  |  |  |  |

Free flow bypass from $B$ to $C=\quad 535$

## Legend

Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Sha Tau Kok Road - East |
| B | Lung Ma Road |
| C | Sha Tau Kok Road - West |
| D | San Wai Barracks |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| K | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / \mathrm{r})-0.05]$ |
| F | $=303 \mathrm{x}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $=0.210 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(1+0.2 \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| M | $=\exp [(\mathrm{D}-60) / 10]$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $=\mathrm{v}+(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{v}) /(1+2 \mathrm{~S})$ |
| S | $=1.6(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{v}) / \mathrm{L}$ |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | M | $t_{\text {D }}$ | K | F | $\begin{array}{cc} & \\ f_{c} & Q_{E} \\ & A M\end{array}$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 7.500 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.077 | 2273 | 0.688 | 1974 | 1998 | 1197 | 1296 | 0.606 | 0.649 |
| From B | 3.976 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.104 | 1205 | 0.494 | 782 | 694 | 83 | 135 | 0.106 | 0.194 |
| From C | 9.500 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.090 | 2879 | 0.799 | 3066 | 3021 | 1717 | 1603 | 0.560 | 0.531 |
| From D | 5.258 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.069 | 1593 | 0.565 | 616 | 653 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Analysis

| Junction: | Sha Tau Kok Road / Lung Ma Road |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Job Number: J7204 } \\ \text { J2 - P. } 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: | With P | elopment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design Year: | 2034 | Designed By: | NCL | Checked By: | WCH | Date: | 23 June 2023 |

## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 13 | 192 | 1243 |  |  |  |  |  | 1448 | 638 |
| From B | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83 | 1256 |
| From C | 1222 | 638 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1860 | 95 |
| From D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1955 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1317 | 830 | 1243 |  |  |  |  |  | 3390 |  |

Free flow bypass from $B$ to $C=729$

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 15 | 134 | 1261 |  | To H | Total | $q_{c}$ |
| From B | 135 |  |  |  |  | 1409 | 605 |
| From C | 1134 | 602 | 3 |  | 135 | 1279 |  |
| From D |  |  |  |  | 1739 | 149 |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1283 | 736 | 1264 |  |  |  |  |

Free flow bypass from $B$ to $C=\quad 535$

## Legend

Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Sha Tau Kok Road - East |
| B | Lung Ma Road |
| C | Sha Tau Kok Road - West |
| D | San Wai Barracks |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| K | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / \mathrm{r})-0.05]$ |
| F | $=303 \mathrm{x}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $=0.210 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(1+0.2 \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| M | $=\exp [(\mathrm{D}-60) / 10]$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $=\mathrm{v}+(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{v}) /(1+2 \mathrm{~S})$ |
| S | $=1.6(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{v}) / \mathrm{L}$ |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | M | $t_{D}$ | K | F | $\begin{array}{ll} & \\ f_{c} & Q_{E} \\ & \\ \text { AM }\end{array}$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 7.500 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.077 | 2273 | 0.688 | 1974 | 1998 | 1448 | 1409 | 0.734 | 0.705 |
| From B | 3.976 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.104 | 1205 | 0.494 | 645 | 632 | 83 | 135 | 0.128 | 0.213 |
| From C | 9.500 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.090 | 2879 | 0.799 | 3056 | 3009 | 1860 | 1739 | 0.609 | 0.578 |
| From D | 5.258 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.069 | 1593 | 0.565 | 523 | 563 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Signal Junction Analysis




## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 14 | 127 | 814 | 262 |  |  |  |  | 1217 | 404 |
| From B | 384 | 26 | 68 | 258 |  |  |  |  | 736 | 1233 |
| From C | 743 | 121 | 40 | 131 |  |  |  |  | 1034 | 1011 |
| From D | 264 | 113 | 37 | 67 |  |  |  |  | 481 | 1328 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3468 |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1404 | 388 | 959 | 717 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A |  | 138 | 680 | 256 |  |  |  |  | 1074 | 472 |
| From B | 498 | 46 | 78 | 79 |  |  |  |  | 702 | 1085 |
| From C | 700 | 145 | 34 | 67 |  |  |  |  | 945 | 919 |
| From D | 263 | 131 | 76 | 39 |  |  |  |  | 510 | 1423 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1462 | 461 | 868 | 441 |  |  |  |  | 3231 |  |

## Legend

Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Sha Tau Kok Road - East |
| B | Jockey Club Road - South |
| C | Sha Tau Kok Road - West |
| D | Jockey Club Road - North |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters
Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 8.0 | 7.5 | 35.0 | 4.0 | 65 | 10 | 0.2 |
| From B | 7.5 | 7.0 | 25.0 | 1.0 | 65 | 10 | 0.8 |
| From C | 10.0 | 7.0 | 40.0 | 15.0 | 65 | 40 | 0.3 |
| From D | 8.5 | 8.0 | 60.0 | 2.0 | 65 | 20 | 0.4 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | M | $t_{\text {b }}$ | K | F | $\begin{array}{ll} & \\ f_{c} & Q_{E} \\ \text { AM }\end{array}$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 7.857 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.090 | 2381 | 0.642 | 2313 | 2266 | 1217 | 1074 | 0.526 | 0.474 |
| From B | 7.192 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.079 | 2179 | 0.609 | 1542 | 1639 | 736 | 702 | 0.477 | 0.428 |
| From C | 8.829 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 0.990 | 2675 | 0.690 | 1957 | 2020 | 1034 | 945 | 0.528 | 0.468 |
| From D | 8.278 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.067 | 2508 | 0.663 | 1737 | 1670 | 481 | 510 | 0.277 | 0.305 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 21 | 148 | 940 | 366 |  |  |  |  | 1475 | 638 |
| From B | 313 | 34 | 90 | 465 |  |  |  |  | 901 | 1527 |
| From C | 822 | 136 | 49 | 144 |  |  |  |  | 1150 | 1275 |
| From D | 278 | 269 | 74 | 77 |  |  |  |  | 698 | 1373 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4224 |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1433 | 586 | 1153 | 1052 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 34 | 141 | 712 | 383 |  |  |  |  | 1270 |
| From B | 368 | 55 | 75 | 258 |  |  |  |  | 756 |
| From C | 806 | 209 | 58 | 87 |  |  |  |  | 1325 |
| From D | 282 | 245 | 85 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 664 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1151 |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1490 | 650 | 930 | 781 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Legend

Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Sha Tau Kok Road - East |
| B | Jockey Club Road - South |
| C | Sha Tau Kok Road - West |
| D | Jockey Club Road - North |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 7.3 | 7.3 | 35.0 | 100.0 | 65 | 10 | 0.0 |
| From B | 7.3 | 7.3 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 65 | 10 | 0.0 |
| From C | 10.0 | 7.0 | 40.0 | 15.0 | 65 | 40 | 0.3 |
| From D | 7.3 | 7.3 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 65 | 20 | 0.0 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | M | $t_{\text {D }}$ | K | F |  $Q_{E}$ <br> $f_{c}$ $A M$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 7.300 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.090 | 2212 | 0.614 | 1985 | 1939 | 1475 | 1270 | 0.743 | 0.655 |
| From B | 7.300 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.079 | 2212 | 0.614 | 1375 | 1509 | 901 | 756 | 0.655 | 0.501 |
| From C | 8.829 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 0.990 | 2675 | 0.690 | 1776 | 1861 | 1150 | 1160 | 0.647 | 0.623 |
| From D | 7.300 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.067 | 2212 | 0.614 | 1461 | 1357 | 698 | 664 | 0.478 | 0.489 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Analysis

| Junction: | Jockey Club Road / Sha Tau Kok Road |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Job Number: } \frac{\mathrm{J} 7204}{\text { J4 - P. } 3} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: | With P | elopment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design Year: | 2034 | Designed By: | NCL | Checked By: | WCH | Date: | 23 June 2023 |

## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 21 | 148 | 993 | 370 |  |  |  |  | 1532 | 638 |
| From B | 313 | 34 | 90 | 465 |  |  |  |  | 901 | 1584 |
| From C | 822 | 136 | 49 | 144 |  |  |  |  | 1150 | 1279 |
| From D | 278 | 269 | 74 | 77 |  |  |  |  | 698 | 1373 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4281 |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1433 | 586 | 1206 | 1056 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 34 | 141 | 731 | 386 |  |  |  |  | 1292 |
| From B | 368 | 55 | 75 | 258 |  |  |  |  | 756 |
| From C | 806 | 209 | 58 | 87 |  |  |  |  | 1347 |
| From D | 282 | 245 | 85 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 664 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1490 | 650 | 949 | 784 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Legend

Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Sha Tau Kok Road - East |
| B | Jockey Club Road - South |
| C | Sha Tau Kok Road - West |
| D | Jockey Club Road - North |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 7.3 | 7.3 | 35.0 | 100.0 | 65 | 10 | 0.0 |
| From B | 7.3 | 7.3 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 65 | 10 | 0.0 |
| From C | 10.0 | 7.0 | 40.0 | 15.0 | 65 | 40 | 0.3 |
| From D | 7.3 | 7.3 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 65 | 20 | 0.0 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | M | $t_{\text {D }}$ | K | F |  $Q_{E}$ <br> $f_{c}$ $A M$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 7.300 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.090 | 2212 | 0.614 | 1985 | 1939 | 1532 | 1292 | 0.772 | 0.666 |
| From B | 7.300 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.079 | 2212 | 0.614 | 1337 | 1494 | 901 | 756 | 0.674 | 0.506 |
| From C | 8.829 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 0.990 | 2675 | 0.690 | 1774 | 1859 | 1150 | 1160 | 0.648 | 0.624 |
| From D | 7.300 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.067 | 2212 | 0.614 | 1461 | 1357 | 698 | 664 | 0.478 | 0.489 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Signal Junction Analysis

| Junction: Jockey | oad / Ma | Sik Ro | / So | Kwun Po | Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Job N | mber: | J7204 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: Existing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | J5-P. |  |
| Design Year: 2023 | Designe | By: |  | NCL |  |  | Checke | d By: |  | WCH |  |  | Date: |  | June 20 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak |  |  |  |  | PMPe |  |  |
| Approach |  | Phase | Stage | Width (m) | Radius (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Up-piill } \\ \text { Gradient } \end{gathered}$ | Turning \% | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Sat. Flow } \\ \text { (pouhr) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flow } \\ \text { (pouhr) } \end{gathered}$ | y value | Criticaly | Turning \% | Sat. Flow (pouhr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fow } \\ \text { (powhr) } \end{gathered}$ | y value | Critical y |
| Jockey Club Road EB | LT | A1 | 2 | 3.30 | 12.5 |  | 100 | 1737 | 90 | 0.052 |  | 100 | 1737 | 87 | 0.050 |  |
| Jockey Club Road EB | LT+SA | A2 | 2 | 3.30 | 15.0 |  | 59 | 1969 | 103 | 0.052 |  | 87 | 1919 | 97 | 0.051 | 0.051 |
| Jockey Club Road EB | SA | A3 | 2 | 3.30 |  |  |  | 2085 | 108 | 0.052 |  |  | 2085 | 104 | 0.050 |  |
| Jockey Club Road EB | RT | A4 | 2 | 3.30 | 27.5 |  | 100 | 1977 | 116 | 0.059 | 0.059 | 100 | 1977 | 92 | 0.046 |  |
| Jockey Club Road EB | RT | A5 | 2 | 3.30 | 25.0 |  | 100 | 1967 | 115 | 0.059 |  | 100 | 1967 | 91 | 0.046 |  |
| So Kwun Po Road NB | LT | B1 | 1,2 | 4.60 | 45.0 |  | 100 | 2008 | 347 | 0.173 |  | 100 | 2008 | 417 | 0.208 |  |
| So Kwun Po Road NB | SA | B2 | 1 | 3.30 |  |  |  | 2085 | 419 | 0.201 | 0.201 |  | 2085 | 408 | 0.195 | 0.195 |
| So Kwun Po Road NB | SA+RT | B3 | 1 | 3.30 | 27.5 |  | 0 | 2085 | 419 | 0.201 |  | 0 | 2085 | 407 | 0.195 |  |
| So Kwun Po Road NB | RT | B4 | 1 | 3.30 | 25.0 |  | 100 | 1967 | 310 | 0.157 |  | 100 | 1967 | 291 | 0.148 |  |
| Jockey Club Road WB | LT | C1 | 3 | 3.30 | 25.0 |  | 100 | 1967 | 146 | 0.074 |  | 100 | 1967 | 128 | 0.065 | 0.065 |
| Jockey Club Road WB | LT+SA | C2 | 3 | 3.30 | 27.5 |  | 64 | 2015 | 150 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 56 | 2023 | 131 | 0.065 |  |
| Jockey Club Road WB | SA | C3 | 3 | 3.30 |  |  |  | 2085 | 155 | 0.074 |  |  | 2085 | 136 | 0.065 |  |
| Jockey Club Road WB | RT | C4 | 3 | 3.60 | 25.0 |  | 100 | 1995 | 121 | 0.060 |  | 100 | 1995 | 82 | 0.041 |  |
| Ma Sik Road SB | LT | D1 | 4 | 3.70 | 12.5 |  | 100 | 1772 | 68 | 0.039 |  | 100 | 1772 | 56 | 0.031 |  |
| Ma Sik Road SB | SA | D2 | 4 | 3.80 | 15.0 |  |  | 2135 | 388 | 0.182 | 0.182 |  | 2135 | 311 | 0.146 |  |
| Ma Sik Road SB | SA | D3 | 4 | 3.80 |  |  |  | 2135 | 389 | 0.182 |  |  | 2135 | 311 | 0.146 |  |
| Ma Sik Road SB | RT | D4 | 4 | 3.50 | 25.0 |  | 100 | 1986 | 191 | 0.096 |  | 100 | 1986 | 193 | 0.097 | 0.097 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pedestrian phase |  | $\mathrm{E}_{(\mathrm{p})}$ | 1 |  | min cr | rossing | time $=$ | 5 | sec | GM + | 10 | $\sec \mathrm{F}$ | GM = | 15 | sec |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{p})}$ | 2,3,4 |  | min cr | rossing | time $=$ | 7 | sec G | GM + | 9 | $\sec$ F | GM = | 16 | sec |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{p})}$ | 1 |  | min cr | ossing | time $=$ | 13 | sec | GM + | 14 | $\sec$ F | GM = | 27 | sec |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{p})}$ | 3,4 |  | min cr | ossing | time $=$ | 7 | sec G | GM + | 7 | sec F | GM = | 14 | sec |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10$ |  |  | PM Traffic Flow (pcu/hr) |  |  |  |  | $N^{N}$ | $\mathrm{S}=1940+100(\mathrm{~W}-3.25)$ $\mathrm{S}=2080+100(\mathrm{~W}-3.25)$ <br> $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{S}+(1+1.5 f / r)$ $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}=(\mathrm{S}-230) \div(1+1.5 / r)$ |  |  |  |  | Note: |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $1+2+3+4$ | $1,2+3+4$ | $1+2+3+4$ | $1,2+3+4$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.516 | 0.429 | 0.457 | 0.418 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | L(s) | 27 | 21 | 27 | 21 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | C (s) | 116 | 116 | 116 | 116 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | practicaly | 0.691 | 0.737 | 0.691 | 0.737 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | R.C. $(\%)$ $34 \%$ $72 \%$ $51 \%$ $76 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM $\quad G=$ |  | G = |  | $\text { UG }=8$ |  | G = |  |  |  | $\mathrm{G}=$ |  | UG $=8$ |  | G = |  | IG = |
| G= |  | G = |  | UG $=8$ |  | $\mathrm{G}=$ |  | IVG $=7$ |  | G = |  | $V G=8$ |  | G = |  | $1 / \mathrm{G}=$ |
| PM G = |  | G = |  | IVG $=8$ |  | G = |  | $1 / G=7$ |  | G = |  | IVG $=8$ |  | G = |  | $1 / 6=$ |
| G = |  | G = |  | IVG $=8$ |  | G = |  | I/G $=7$ |  | G = |  | VG $=8$ |  | G = |  | IG $=$ |

Signal Junction Analysis


Signal Junction Analysis


## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 59 |  | 359 | 1144 |  |  |  |  | 1561 | 550 |
| From B | 427 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 427 | 1812 |
| From C | 396 | 299 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 695 | 1630 |
| From D |  |  | 251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 882 | 299 | 609 | 1144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 3 |  | 567 | 981 |  |  |  |  | 1550 | 575 |
| From B | 572 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 572 | 1863 |
| From C | 476 | 261 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From D |  |  | 313 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1051 | 261 | 880 | 981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Legend

Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | So Kwun Po Road - North |
| B | Fanling Highway - East |
| C | So Kwun Po Road - South |
| D | Fanling Highway - West |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 9.5 | 6.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 55 | 0.1 |
| From B | 7.0 | 3.5 | 90.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 25 | 0.1 |
| From C | 9.0 | 6.9 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 35 | 0.1 |
| From D | 7.5 | 3.5 | 55.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 30 | 0.1 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | M | $t_{D}$ | K | F |  $Q_{E}$ <br> $f_{c}$ $A M$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 8.859 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 0.913 | 2684 | 0.692 | 2104 | 2088 | 1561 | 1550 | 0.742 | 0.742 |
| From B | 6.359 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.055 | 1927 | 0.567 | 949 | 918 | 427 | 572 | 0.450 | 0.623 |
| From C | 8.751 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 0.999 | 2652 | 0.687 | 1531 | 1582 | 695 | 738 | 0.454 | 0.466 |
| From D | 6.685 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.031 | 2025 | 0.583 | 1378 | 1299 | 251 | 313 | 0.182 | 0.241 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 24 |  | 128 | 1275 |  |  |  |  | 1427 | 631 |
| From B | 491 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 491 | 1740 |
| From C | 172 | 318 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 490 | 1790 |
| From D |  |  | 313 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 687 | 318 | 441 | 1275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 27 |  | 273 | 1102 |  |  |  |  | 1401 | 638 |
| From B | 654 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 654 | 1771 |
| From C | 207 | 268 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 475 | 1782 |
| From D |  |  | 370 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 887 | 268 | 643 | 1102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Legend

Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | So Kwun Po Road - North |
| B | Fanling Highway - East |
| C | So Kwun Po Road - South |
| D | Fanling Highway - West |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 9.5 | 6.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 55 | 0.1 |
| From B | 7.0 | 3.5 | 90.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 25 | 0.1 |
| From C | 9.0 | 6.9 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 35 | 0.1 |
| From D | 7.5 | 3.5 | 55.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 30 | 0.1 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | M | $t_{\text {D }}$ | K | F |  $Q_{E}$ <br> $f_{c}$ $A M$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 8.859 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 0.913 | 2684 | 0.692 | 2053 | 2048 | 1427 | 1401 | 0.695 | 0.684 |
| From B | 6.359 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.055 | 1927 | 0.567 | 992 | 973 | 491 | 654 | 0.495 | 0.671 |
| From C | 8.751 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 0.999 | 2652 | 0.687 | 1421 | 1426 | 490 | 475 | 0.345 | 0.333 |
| From D | 6.685 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.031 | 2025 | 0.583 | 1484 | 1394 | 313 | 370 | 0.211 | 0.265 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 24 |  | 128 | 1328 |  |  |  |  | 1480 | 631 |
| From B | 491 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 491 | 1793 |
| From C | 172 | 318 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 490 | 1843 |
| From D |  |  | 313 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 687 | 318 | 441 | 1328 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 27 |  | 273 | 1121 |  |  |  |  | 1420 |
| From B | 654 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 638 |  |
| From C | 207 | 268 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From D |  |  | 370 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 887 | 268 | 643 | 1121 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Legend

Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | So Kwun Po Road - North |
| B | Fanling Highway - East |
| C | So Kwun Po Road - South |
| D | Fanling Highway - West |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+M)$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 9.5 | 6.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 55 | 0.1 |
| From B | 7.0 | 3.5 | 90.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 25 | 0.1 |
| From C | 9.0 | 6.9 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 35 | 0.1 |
| From D | 7.5 | 3.5 | 55.0 | 50.0 | 65 | 30 | 0.1 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | M | $t_{\text {D }}$ | K | F |  $Q_{E}$ <br> $f_{c}$ $A M$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 8.859 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 0.913 | 2684 | 0.692 | 2053 | 2048 | 1480 | 1420 | 0.721 | 0.693 |
| From B | 6.359 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.055 | 1927 | 0.567 | 960 | 962 | 491 | 654 | 0.511 | 0.679 |
| From C | 8.751 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 0.999 | 2652 | 0.687 | 1385 | 1413 | 490 | 475 | 0.354 | 0.336 |
| From D | 6.685 | 1.649 | 1.189 | 1.031 | 2025 | 0.583 | 1484 | 1394 | 313 | 370 | 0.211 | 0.265 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Signal Junction Analysis


Signal Junction Analysis


Signal Junction Analysis


Signal Junction Analysis


Signal Junction Analysis


Signal Junction Analysis


## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A |  | 456 | 163 |  |  |  |  |  | 618 | 870 |
| From B | 343 |  | 615 |  |  |  |  |  | 959 | 454 |
| From C | 211 | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 358 | 343 |
| From D | 89 | 432 | 291 |  |  |  |  |  | 812 | 701 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 643 | 1034 | 1070 |  |  |  |  |  | 2747 |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A |  | 269 | 143 |  |  |  |  |  | 412 | 931 |
| From B | 231 |  | 637 |  |  |  |  |  | 868 | 397 |
| From C | 190 | 152 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 341 | 231 |
| From D | 141 | 525 | 254 |  |  |  |  |  | 920 | 572 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 561 | 945 | 1035 |  |  |  |  |  | 2541 |  |

## Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | San Wan Road - West |
| B | Sha Tau Kok Road - North |
| C | San Wan Road - East |
| D | Fanling Station Road - South |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 9.0 | 7.5 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 30 | 0.0 |
| From B | 9.5 | 6.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 25 | 0.1 |
| From C | 9.5 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 30 | 0.1 |
| From D | 8.5 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 55 | 60 | 0.4 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | M | $t_{\text {D }}$ | K | F |  $Q_{E}$ <br> $f_{c}$ $A M$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 8.869 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.027 | 2687 | 0.764 | 2078 | 2030 | 618 | 412 | 0.298 | 0.203 |
| From B | 8.859 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.047 | 2684 | 0.763 | 2447 | 2492 | 959 | 868 | 0.392 | 0.348 |
| From C | 9.273 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.039 | 2810 | 0.786 | 2639 | 2731 | 358 | 341 | 0.135 | 0.125 |
| From D | 7.004 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 0.896 | 2122 | 0.661 | 1486 | 1563 | 812 | 920 | 0.546 | 0.589 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 5 | 552 | 207 |  |  |  |  |  | 765 | 924 |
| From B | 486 | 0 | 667 |  |  |  |  |  | 1153 | 539 |
| From C | 304 | 139 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 450 | 491 |
| From D | 122 | 458 | 320 |  |  |  |  |  | 901 | 941 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3268 |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 917 | 1150 | 1201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 6 | 438 | 277 |  |  |  |  |  | 721 | 1007 |
| From B | 315 |  | 616 |  |  |  |  |  | 930 | 568 |
| From C | 248 | 168 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 422 | 320 |
| From D | 173 | 555 | 278 |  |  |  |  |  | 1006 | 743 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 742 | 1160 | 1178 |  |  |  |  |  | 3080 |  |

## Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | San Wan Road - West |
| B | Sha Tau Kok Road - North |
| C | San Wan Road - East |
| D | Fanling Station Road - South |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 9.0 | 7.5 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 30 | 0.0 |
| From B | 9.5 | 6.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 25 | 0.1 |
| From C | 9.5 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 30 | 0.1 |
| From D | 8.5 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 55 | 60 | 0.4 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | M | $t_{\text {D }}$ | K | F |  $Q_{E}$ <br> $f_{c}$ $A M$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 8.869 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.027 | 2687 | 0.764 | 2035 | 1970 | 765 | 721 | 0.376 | 0.366 |
| From B | 8.859 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.047 | 2684 | 0.763 | 2379 | 2356 | 1153 | 930 | 0.485 | 0.395 |
| From C | 9.273 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.039 | 2810 | 0.786 | 2518 | 2658 | 450 | 422 | 0.179 | 0.159 |
| From D | 7.004 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 0.896 | 2122 | 0.661 | 1344 | 1461 | 901 | 1006 | 0.670 | 0.689 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 5 | 552 | 207 |  |  |  |  |  | 765 | 924 |
| From B | 539 | 0 | 667 |  |  |  |  |  | 1206 | 539 |
| From C | 304 | 139 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 450 | 544 |
| From D | 122 | 458 | 320 |  |  |  |  | 901 | 994 |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3321 |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 970 | 1150 | 1201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 6 | 438 | 277 |  |  |  |  |  | 721 | 1007 |
| From B | 334 |  | 616 |  |  |  |  |  | 949 | 568 |
| From C | 248 | 168 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 422 | 339 |
| From D | 173 | 555 | 278 |  |  |  |  |  | 1006 | 762 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 761 | 1160 | 1178 |  |  |  |  |  | 3099 |  |

## Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | San Wan Road - West |
| B | Sha Tau Kok Road - North |
| C | San Wan Road - East |
| D | Fanling Station Road - South |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+M)$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 9.0 | 7.5 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 30 | 0.0 |
| From B | 9.5 | 6.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 25 | 0.1 |
| From C | 9.5 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 55 | 30 | 0.1 |
| From D | 8.5 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 55 | 60 | 0.4 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | M | $t_{\text {D }}$ | K | F |  $Q_{E}$ <br> $f_{c}$ $A M$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 8.869 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.027 | 2687 | 0.764 | 2035 | 1970 | 765 | 721 | 0.376 | 0.366 |
| From B | 8.859 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.047 | 2684 | 0.763 | 2379 | 2356 | 1206 | 949 | 0.507 | 0.403 |
| From C | 9.273 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 1.039 | 2810 | 0.786 | 2475 | 2642 | 450 | 422 | 0.182 | 0.160 |
| From D | 7.004 | 0.607 | 1.311 | 0.896 | 2122 | 0.661 | 1313 | 1450 | 901 | 1006 | 0.686 | 0.694 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A |  |  | 937 | 139 |  |  |  |  | 1076 | 841 |
| From B | 659 |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  | 714 | 1270 |
| From C | 807 | 647 | 93 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1551 | 857 |
| From D | 180 |  | 97 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 281 | 2206 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3622 |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1646 | 647 | 1127 | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A |  |  | 789 | 120 |  |  |  |  | 910 |
| From B | 850 |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  | 910 |
| From C | 622 | 622 | 68 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1019 |
| From D | 197 |  | 38 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1669 | 622 | 896 | 186 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Legend

Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Sha Tau Kok Road - North |
| B | Fanling Bypass - East |
| C | Sha Tau Kok Road - South |
| D | Fanling Bypass - West |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 9.0 | 7.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 75 | 40 | 0.1 |
| From B | 6.0 | 5.5 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 75 | 25 | 0.0 |
| From C | 9.5 | 9.0 | 35.0 | 50.0 | 75 | 30 | 0.0 |
| From D | 8.5 | 4.5 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 75 | 45 | 0.1 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | M | $t_{\text {b }}$ | K | F | $\begin{array}{ll} & \\ f_{c} & Q_{E} \\ & A M\end{array}$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 8.773 | 4.482 | 1.091 | 0.975 | 2658 | 0.631 | 2075 | 2142 | 1076 | 910 | 0.519 | 0.425 |
| From B | 5.984 | 4.482 | 1.091 | 1.045 | 1813 | 0.503 | 1226 | 1358 | 714 | 910 | 0.582 | 0.670 |
| From C | 9.484 | 4.482 | 1.091 | 1.021 | 2874 | 0.664 | 2354 | 2234 | 1551 | 1313 | 0.659 | 0.588 |
| From D | 7.685 | 4.482 | 1.091 | 0.972 | 2328 | 0.581 | 1017 | 1043 | 281 | 239 | 0.276 | 0.229 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roundabout Analysis



## AM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A |  |  | 1003 | 139 |  |  |  |  | 1142 | 841 |
| From B | 759 |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  | 814 | 1336 |
| From C | 850 | 647 | 93 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1594 | 957 |
| From D | 180 |  | 97 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 281 | 2349 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3831 |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1789 | 647 | 1193 | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PM Peak

| Arm | To A | To B | To C | To D | To E | To F | To G | To H | Total | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A |  |  | 817 | 120 |  |  |  |  | 938 | 731 |
| From B | 937 |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  | 997 | 1047 |
| From C | 671 | 622 | 68 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1362 | 1120 |
| From D | 197 |  | 38 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1805 | 622 | 924 | 186 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Legend

Legend

| Arm | Road (in clockwise order) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Sha Tau Kok Road - North |
| B | Fanling Bypass - East |
| C | Sha Tau Kok Road - South |
| D | Fanling Bypass - West |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |
| H |  |

Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$
Predictive Equation $Q_{E}=K\left(F-f_{c} q_{c}\right)$

| $Q_{E}$ | Entry Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $q_{c}$ | Circulating Flow across the Entry |
| $K$ | $=1-0.00347(\varnothing-30)-0.978[(1 / r)-0.05]$ |
| $F$ | $=303 x_{2}$ |
| $f_{c}$ | $=0.210 t_{D}\left(1+0.2 x_{2}\right)$ |
| $t_{D}$ | $=1+0.5 /(1+\mathrm{M})$ |
| $M$ | $=\exp [(D-60) / 10]$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $=v+(e-v) /(1+2 S)$ |
| $S$ | $=1.6(e-v) / L$ |

Geometric Parameters

| Arm | $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\varnothing\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From A | 9.0 | 7.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 75 | 40 | 0.1 |
| From B | 6.0 | 5.5 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 75 | 25 | 0.0 |
| From C | 9.5 | 9.0 | 35.0 | 50.0 | 75 | 30 | 0.0 |
| From D | 8.5 | 4.5 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 75 | 45 | 0.1 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Limitation

| e | Entry Width | $4.0-15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v | Approach Half Width | $2.0-7.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| r | Entry Radius | $6.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| L | Effective Length of Flare | $1.0-100.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| D | Inscribed Circle Diameter | $15-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\varnothing$ | Entry Angle | $10^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ |
| S | Sharpness of Flare | $0.0-3.0$ |

Ratio-of-Flow to Capacity (RFC)

| Arm | $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | M | $t_{\text {b }}$ | K | F | $\begin{array}{ll} & \\ f_{c} & Q_{E} \\ & A M\end{array}$ |  | Entry Flow |  |  | RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| From A | 8.773 | 4.482 | 1.091 | 0.975 | 2658 | 0.631 | 2075 | 2142 | 1142 | 938 | 0.551 | 0.438 |
| From B | 5.984 | 4.482 | 1.091 | 1.045 | 1813 | 0.503 | 1192 | 1344 | 814 | 997 | 0.683 | 0.742 |
| From C | 9.484 | 4.482 | 1.091 | 1.021 | 2874 | 0.664 | 2286 | 2175 | 1594 | 1362 | 0.697 | 0.626 |
| From D | 7.685 | 4.482 | 1.091 | 0.972 | 2328 | 0.581 | 936 | 966 | 281 | 239 | 0.300 | 0.247 |
| From E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From G <br> From H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




[^0]:    KMB - Kowloon Motor Bus
    CTB - Citybus
    ${ }^{(2)}$ PM Peak hour service only $\quad{ }^{(3)}$ Overnight service only
    ${ }^{(4)}$ No service on Saturdays, Sundays and public holidays
    ${ }^{(2)}$ PM Peak hour service only $\quad{ }^{(3)}$ Overnight service only

