



**S12A Amendment of Plan Application,  
Approved Ting Kok Outline Zoning Plan No. S/NE-  
TK/19  
Proposed Rezoning from "AGR" & "GB" to "GIC"  
for a Proposed "Residential Care Homes for the  
Elderly (RCHE)"  
At Various Lots in D.D. 23, Tung Tsz Road, Tai Po,  
N.T.**

**Environmental Assessment Report**

30 June 2025

Ref No.: C241003W-03

***Submitted to:***

**R LEE Architects (HK) Ltd**  
Unit 1601, 16/F Stelux House,  
698 Prince Edward Road East,  
San Po Kong, Kowloon


***Prepared By:***

**NOVOX Limited**

Phone: (852) 2690-9881  
Fax: (852) 2600-4286  
Address: Rm L, 7/F, Block II, 14-24 Au Pui Wan St, Fo Tan, N.T.  
Email: [info@novox.com.hk](mailto:info@novox.com.hk)

Project:	Proposed Residential Care Home for Elderly at Tung Tsz Road, Tai Po, N.T.				
Document No.:	C241003W-03				
Revision	Issue Date	Description	Author	Checker	Approver
A	27.12.2024	First Issue	QL	EN	BW
B	03.03.2025	Second Issue	QL	EN	BW
C	12.05.2025	Third Issue	FY	EN	BW
D	30.06.2025	Fourth Issue	FY	EN	BW

Approved by:



Banting Wong  
MSc, CEng, MIOA,  
MHKIQEP, MHKIOA, AFCHKRI, MHKIEIA

#### Disclaimer:

- This report is prepared and submitted by Novox Limited with all reasonable skill to the best of our knowledge, incorporating our Terms and Conditions and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client.
- We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the project scope.
- This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

1	INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1	BACKGROUND .....	1
1.2	THE PROJECT AREA .....	1
1.3	OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT .....	1
2	AIR QUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT .....	2
2.1	AIR QUALITY STANDARDS .....	2
2.2	REPRESENTATIVE AIR QUALITY SENSITIVE RECEIVERS (ASRS).....	7
2.3	CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY IMPACT .....	7
2.4	OPERATIONAL AIR QUALITY IMPACT .....	9
3	NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT .....	12
3.1	NOISE ENVIRONMENT .....	12
3.2	ENVIRONMENTAL LEGISLATION AND STANDARDS .....	12
3.3	ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE ASSESSMENT .....	14
3.4	FIXED SOURCE NOISE ASSESSMENT .....	17
3.5	CONSTRUCTION NOISE IMPACT .....	18
4	WATER QUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT .....	19
4.1	INTRODUCTION .....	19
4.2	LEGISLATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES .....	19
4.3	IDENTIFICATION OF WATER SENSITIVE RECEIVERS .....	19
4.4	WATER QUALITY IMPACTS DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE.....	22
4.5	WATER QUALITY MITIGATIONS DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE .....	23
4.6	WATER QUALITY IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS DURING OPERATION PHASE.....	27
5	WASTE MANAGEMENT .....	31
5.1	INTRODUCTION .....	31
5.2	LEGISLATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES .....	31
5.3	WASTE MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS OF THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE.....	31
5.4	WASTE MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS OF THE OPERATIONAL PHASE .....	34
6	CONCLUSION .....	35
6.1	AIR QUALITY .....	35
6.2	NOISE .....	36
6.3	WATER QUALITY .....	36
6.4	WASTE MANAGEMENT .....	36
APPENDIX 1.1.	SITE LAYOUT PLAN & SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT .....	38
APPENDIX 2.1.	AIR QUALITY SENSITIVE RECEIVERS & EMISSION SOURCES.....	41

APPENDIX 2.2.	BROCHURE OF THE GREASE FILTER .....	49
APPENDIX 3.1.	TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT.....	50
APPENDIX 3.2.	FIXED SOURCE NOISE ASSESSMENT.....	57
APPENDIX 4.1.	WATER SENSITIVE RECEIVERS .....	61
APPENDIX 4.2.	SEWAGE DISCHARGE PATHWAY.....	63

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 BACKGROUND

R Lee Architects (the Applicant) intends to develop a 10-storey Residential Care Home for the Elderly (RCHE) (the Development) Various Lots in D.D. 23, Tung Tsz Road, Tai Po, N.T. (the Site).

For a proposed amendment to the approved Ting Kok Outline Zoning Plan No. S/NE-TK/19, a planning application to the Town Planning Board (TPB) under Section 12A of the Town Planning Ordinance (TPO) is required for rezoning from “AGR” & “GB” zone to “G/IC” zone.

To satisfy the Section 12A planning application, Novox Ltd is commissioned to conduct an environmental assessment to evaluate the potential environmental impact based on the latest master layout plan.

## 1.2 THE PROJECT AREA

The Site area is approximately 1494.67m<sup>2</sup> and it is located at Various Lots in D.D. 23 in Tung Tsz Road, as shown in **Appendix 1.1**. The majority of the Site is zoned “AGR”, and there is a very small portion of the Site encroach into the “GB” zone to the North-West. The site is currently an abandoned farm with concreted ground. The Proposed Development is a 10-storey RCHE which comprises a total of 256 beds. The commencement year of the construction phase is 2027. The anticipated year of construction completion and occupation is 2030.

The floor layout plans, and section diagrams of the Proposed Development are provided in the Planning Statement of the Planning Application.

## 1.3 OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

The key objectives of this EA are to identify environmental key issues and constraints of the project, to identify possible environmental impacts, to propose mitigation measures against any unacceptable environmental impacts during the construction and operation phases of the project, including

- Identify all sensitive receivers of the Proposed Development.
- Assess the potential air quality impact at the Proposed Development due to vehicular and any industrial emissions.
- Carry out a Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) during construction and operation of the RCHE Proposed Development.
- Assess the potential impact of water quality and waste management impact due to the Proposed Development.
- Recommend the necessary mitigation measures to alleviate any unacceptable impacts.

## 2 AIR QUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### 2.1 AIR QUALITY STANDARDS

The Air Pollution Control Ordinance (APCO) provides the statutory authority for controlling air pollutants from a variety of sources. The Hong Kong Air Quality Objectives (AQOs), which stipulate the statutory limits of air pollutants and the maximum allowable numbers of exceedance over specific periods should be met. With passage of Hong Kong's Air Quality Objectives (AQOs) in the Air Pollution Control Ordinance (Cap. 311), the latest AQOs as listed in **Table 2-1** have been in effect.

**Table 2-1 Hong Kong Air Quality Objectives**

Pollutant	Averaging time	Concentration limit <sup>[i]</sup> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Allowable number of exceedances per calendar year
Sulphur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	10-minute	500	3
	24-hour	40	3
Respirable Suspended Particulates (PM <sub>10</sub> ) <sup>[ii]</sup>	24-hour	75	9
	Annual	30	Not Applicable
Fine Suspended Particulates (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) <sup>[iii]</sup>	24-hour	37.5	18
	Annual	15	Not Applicable
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> )	1-hour	200	18
	Annual	40	Not Applicable
Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> )	8-hour	160	9
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	1-hour	30,000	0
	8-hour	10,000	0
Lead (Pb)	Annual	0.5	Not Applicable

*Note: [i] All measurements of the concentration of gaseous air pollutants, i.e., sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone and carbon monoxide, are to be adjusted to a reference temperature of 293 Kelvin and a reference pressure of 101.325 kilopascal.*

*[ii] Respirable suspended particulates means suspended particles in air with a nominal aerodynamic diameter of 10 µm or less.*

*[iii] Fine suspended particulates means suspended particles in air with a nominal aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 µm or less.*

#### 2.1.1 The Site Environment

The existing environment of the proposed development is primarily affected by the local traffic such as Tung Tsz Road. No chimneys were observed within 200m from the Site boundary. The Site is mostly abandoned agricultural land with a small green area in the northwest corner. Groups of Village Houses, the "Treasure Spot Garden II", adjoin closely to the Site to the West and South-West. While similar Village House Developments, the "Jade View Villa" located slightly further away to the East. "Tsz Shan Monastery" is situated to the North-East. A Greenland zone located to the North which serves as a backdrop. As

such, local traffic is considered to be the dominant emission source affecting the ambient air quality in these areas.

There is currently an air quality monitoring station operated by Environmental Protection Department (EPD) located outside the Project Site, namely Tai Po Monitoring Station (situated at Tai Po Government Offices Building, 1 Ting Kok Road). Despite this, in terms of geographical location, this monitoring station is considered the closest to the proposed Project Site. Meanwhile, the nearest CO monitoring station is North Monitoring Station (situated at 19 Pak Wo Road, Sheung Shui, New Territories). The annual average of air pollutants in  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  monitored at these stations for the year 2019-2023 are summarized in **Table 2-2** below. In 2023, all measured parameters complied with the AQO except Ozone recorded non-compliance with the 8-hour AQO ( $160 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  with allowance of 9 exceedances of AQO limit per year).

Apart from the air quality monitoring record, EPD also provides a set of regional background concentrations for key pollutants in the “Pollutants in the Atmosphere and their Transport over Hong Kong” (PATH) model v3.0. Given that the tentative intake year of the Proposed Development would be in Year 2030 the earliest, the background air quality predicted by PATH v3.0 for Year 2030 will be presented as the future background air quality during the operation phase as a worst-case scenario. The Project site is located at the PATH grid (43,50). The predicted Year 2030 background concentrations at this grid are summarized in **Table 2-3** and compared against the prevailing AQOs. The predicted background concentration in Year 2030 is lower than their respective AQOs except for the 8-hour average  $\text{O}_3$  concentrations.

**Table 2-2 EPD Air Quality Monitoring Record at Tai Po Monitoring Station & North Monitoring Station in 2019-2023**

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Conc. Limits ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	No. of Exceedances Allowed per calendar year	Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) [1]					Remarks
				2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	
PM10	24-hour	75	9	65	58	60	48	53	10th highest conc.
	Annual	30	Not Applicable	31	24	26	21	25	/
PM2.5	24-hour	37.5	18	41	32	32	30	30	19th highest conc.
	Annual	15	Not Applicable	20	15	16	14	15	/
NO2	1-hour	200	18	142	106	115	93	95	19th highest conc.
	Annual	40	Not Applicable	36	30	32	27	27	/
SO2	10-minute	500	3	20	19	15	12	27	4th highest conc.
	24-hour	40	3	10	7	8	5	4	4th highest conc.
CO	1-hour	30,000	0	/	1830	2150	1710	2390	North Monitoring Station
	8-hour	10,000	0	/	1238	1550	1304	1231	North Monitoring Station

O3	8-hour	160	9	197	165	168	188	163	10th highest conc.
<p>Note:</p> <p>[1] <b>Bolded</b> concentrations indicate exceedance of the air quality objectives</p>									

**Table 2-3 EPD PATH Data (43,50) in 2030**

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Conc. Limits (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	No. of Exceedances Allowed per calendar year	Concentrations (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) [1]		Remarks
				2030 <sup>[2]</sup>	No. of Exceedances	
PM10	24-hour	75	9	51	0	10th highest conc.
	Annual	30	Not Applicable	20	/	/
PM2.5	24-hour	37.5	18	26	4	36th highest conc.
	Annual	15	Not Applicable	12	/	/
NO2	1-hour	200	18	38	0	19th highest conc.
	Annual	40	Not Applicable	9	/	/
SO2	10-minute	500	3	24	0	4th highest conc.
	24-hour	40	3	7	0	4th highest conc.
CO	1-hour	30,000	0	522	0	1 <sup>st</sup> highest conc.
	8-hour	10,000	0	477	0	1 <sup>st</sup> highest conc.
O3	8-hour	160	9	170	18	10th highest conc.
<p>Note:</p> <p>[1] <b>Bolded</b> concentrations indicate exceedance of the air quality objectives</p> <p>[2] Extracted from EPD PATH v3.0 at level 1 (0m – 17m)</p>						



### 2.1.2 Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG)

According to Chapter 9, Environment of the Hong Kong Planning Standard and Guidelines (HKPSG), adequate buffer distance or screening should be provided between sensitive receptors and potential air pollution emitters. For roads that are distinguished as local distributor and trunk road for active and passive recreational uses, the buffer distance must be greater than 5m and 20m respectively as shown in **Table 2-4** below.

**Table 2-4 Guidelines on Usage of Open Space Site**

Pollution	Parameter	Buffer Distance	Permitted Uses
Road and Highways	<i>Type of Road</i>		
	Trunk Road and Primary Distributor	>20m	Active and passive recreation uses
		3 - 20m	Passive recreational uses
		<3m	Amenity areas
	District Distributor	>10m	Active and passive recreational uses
		<10m	Passive recreational uses
	Local Distributor	>5m	Active and passive recreational uses
		<5m	Passive recreational uses
	Under Flyovers	N/A	Passive recreational uses
Industrial Areas	<i>Difference in height between Industrial Chimney Exit and the Site</i>		
	<20m	>200m	Active and passive recreational uses
		5 – 200m	Passive recreational uses
	20 – 30m (*)	>100m	Active and passive recreational uses
		5 – 100m	Passive recreational uses
	30 – 40m	>50m	Active and passive recreational uses
		5 – 50m	Passive recreational uses
	>40m	>10m	Active and passive recreational uses
Construction and Earth Moving	-	<50m	Passive recreational uses
		>50m	Active and passive recreational uses
Odour Sources	-	>200m	Sensitive uses
<b>Remarks:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) In situations where the height of chimneys is not known, use the set of guidelines marked with an asterisk for preliminary planning purpose and refine as and when more information is available.</li> <li>b) The buffer distance is the horizontal, shortest distance from the boundary of the industrial lot, the position of existing chimneys or the edge of road kerb, to the boundary of open space sites.</li> <li>c) The guidelines are generally applicable to major industrial areas but not individual large industrial establishments which are likely to be significant air pollution sources. Consult EPD when planning open space sites close to such establishments.</li> <li>d) Amenity areas are permitted in any situation.</li> </ul>			

## 2.2 REPRESENTATIVE AIR QUALITY SENSITIVE RECEIVERS (ASRS)

### Construction Phase ASRs

Within the 500m assessment area, Representative air sensitive receivers (ASRs) that are closest to the Project Site are anticipated to be the most affected and therefore considered the most representative ASRs for the worst-case scenario air quality impact assessment, whilst other ASRs located further away from these first-tier representative ASRs are expected to be less impacted. **Appendix 1.1** shows the locations of Representative ASRs from the proposed RCHE development and details of the identified representative ASRs are summarized in **Table 2-5** below.

**Table 2-5 Representative Air Sensitive Receivers (ASRs) from the Proposed Development**

ASR ID	Description	Use	No. of Storeys	Assessment Heights of ASRs (mAG) [1]	Approximate Distance to Project Boundary (m)
ASR1	Treasure Spot Garden II Block 3A	Residential	3	1.5, 4.3, 7.1	13
ASR2	Jade View Villa No. 190	Residential	3	1.5, 4.3, 7.1	90
ASR3	Wai Ha No.85	Residential	3	1.5, 4.3, 7.1	23
ASR4	Wai Ha No.86	Residential	3	1.5, 4.3, 7.1	15
Note: [1] Assuming floor to floor height is 2.8m.					

### Operational Phase ASRs

All openable windows of any premises, office, multi-function room, library and gym of the Proposed Development are identified as air sensitive uses during operational phase. Air sensitive uses with nearest distance to the air pollution sources are identified as representative ASRs of operational phase and shown in **Appendix 2.1**.

## 2.3 CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY IMPACT

The potential air quality impacts include the dust and exhaust emissions arising from the construction (e.g., demolition, site formation, foundation and formworks etc.). The nearest ASR is Treasure Spot Garden II Block 3A (i.e., 13m between the Site and ASR). The

constructional works of the proposed project will impose potential air quality impacts on the nearby ASRs during the constructional stage (Figure 2.1.1 refers).

Given that the distance between the Site boundary and Treasure Spot Garden II Block 3A is close (i.e., about 13m distance), the Site should erect of higher hoarding (e.g., at least 3m high at the near side facing Treasure Spot Garden II) to minimise the construction dust impact.

Considering the size of site formation and excavation is in a small scale, the amount of excavated material and number of dump truck would be limited. No significant dust impact from the construction works is anticipated.

In order to further minimize the potential dust emissions and for good site practice, relevant mitigation measures under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be incorporated in the relevant works contracts.

All of the Non-road Mobile Machinery (NRMMs) used for construction shall comply with the prescribed emission standard as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation (Cap. 311Z).

Liquid fuel with a sulphur content not exceeding 0.001% by weight and a viscosity not more than 6 centistokes at 40°C, such as ultra-low sulphur diesel (ULSD), should be used as fuel as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Fuel Restriction) Regulations (Cap. 311I).

Good practice and mitigation measures to be implemented during the construction phase are as follows:

- Regular watering to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved roads, particularly during dry weather.
- Frequent watering for particularly dusty areas and areas close to ASRs.
- Open stockpiles shall be avoided or covered. Where possible, prevent placing dusty material storage piles near ASRs.
- Side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or dusty material storage piles to reduce emissions. Where this is not practicable owing to frequent usage, watering shall be applied to aggregate fines.
- Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to and from the Site.
- Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the Site.
- Use of water sprinklers at the loading area where dust generation is likely during the loading process of loose material, particularly in dry weather.
- Provision of not less than 2.4m high hoarding from ground level along site boundary where adjoins a road, streets or other accessible to the public except for a site entrance or exit.

- Imposition of speed controls for vehicles within the Site.
- Where possible, routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from off-site ASRs.
- Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry Pulverised Fuel Ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides.
- Electric power supply shall be provided for on-site machinery as far as practicable to minimize aerial emissions.

It is also suggested that the contractor should set up a communication channel (e.g. regular meeting) with the management office of Treasure Spot Garden II and Wai Ha to have a better dust control management, if necessary. With implementation of the recommended mitigation measures, no adverse air quality impacts during construction are anticipated.

No concurrent project in the surrounding area. Given that there is no adverse air quality impact during the construction, no cumulative air quality impact due to the project thus be anticipated.

## 2.4 OPERATIONAL AIR QUALITY IMPACT

### 2.4.1 Vehicular Air Quality Impact

The development may be subject to vehicular emission impact from roads nearby during the operational phase of the project. According to the Annual Traffic Census 2023 published by the Transport Department (TD), Tung Tsz Road has no classification and the road on the west of the Site has no name. Considering the Road is similar to a local distributor, 5m buffer distance thus be recommended as reference to HKPSG. No air-sensitive uses including openable window, fresh air intake and recreational use in the open space is located within the said buffer distances, no adverse air quality impact is anticipated. The buffer distance between the said roads and the proposed RCHE development is shown in **Appendix 2.1**. In order to avoid adverse air quality impact from the traffic emission, a buffer zone is recommended for the Proposed Development with the following requirements:

- No air-sensitive uses including openable window, fresh air intake and recreational uses in open space is allowed within buffer zones.
- With the provision of the buffer zone, the buffer distances recommended in HKPSG will be satisfied. Therefore, no adverse air quality impact on the Site from traffic emission is anticipated.

For areas lay within the buffer zone as shown in **Appendix 2.1** (i.e. Salon at UG and Multi-Function Room at 1/F to 6/F, Suite 11 at 7/F, Staff Quarter 5 and 6 at 8/F), these areas will be provided with air-conditioning system and no rely on openable windows for ventilation. The air quality buffer zone and air-sensitive use shall be further reviewed at the detailed design stage to confirm no air-sensitive uses within the buffer zone.

## 2.4.2 Industrial Air Quality Impact

As discussed in Section 2.1.1, no chimneys were observed within 200m from the Site boundary. It is confirmed that there is no chimney and odour emission sources in 200m from the Site boundary by desktop review and site survey on 26 February 2025. Thus, no adverse air quality impact to the proposed RCHE development due to industrial source emissions is anticipated.

**The Project will not contain a chimney and is not a polluting source.** As such, no adverse air quality impact to the surrounding ASRs due to industrial source emissions from the Proposed Development is anticipated.

## 2.4.3 Air Quality Impact from Proposed Kitchen

Cooking Fume/odour from the proposed kitchen.

Kitchen will be provided at the Proposed Project. Oily fume and cooking odour emissions will potentially arising from the kitchen. In order to minimise the potential oily fume and odour emissions from the canteen/kitchen, the following considerations of positioning the exhaust outlets of the kitchen as recommended in the Control of Oil Fume and Cooling Odour from Restaurants and Food Business published by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) shall be considered during the detailed design stage:

- locate the outlets at such a place where the ventilation is good and the emissions from them can be adequately dispersed without hindrance.
- provide sufficient separate distance from any sensitive receptor in the vicinity so that the emissions will not cause, or contribute to, an odour nuisance or other type of air pollution to the public.
- ensure the emission from the exhaust system will be directed vertically upwards, unless it can be demonstrated by an environmental professional that other direction is more advantageous in preventing the emission from causing air pollution problems.
- ensure the emission from the exhaust system will not be restricted or deflected by, for example, the use of plates or caps.

In order to minimise the impact of oily fume and cooling odour, the Applicant is committed to install a grease filter (as shown in **Appendix 2.2**) to control oily fume and cooking odour. Operation and maintenance of the exhaust system as well as the air pollution control equipment should be carried out by competent staff with sufficient training and relevant skills, and should be done in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and specified procedures. To ensure proper performance, qualified professionals should be employed to undertake regular monitoring, inspection, cleaning and maintenance of components.

The tentative location of the Kitchen exhaust has been designed as far as possible all nearby ASRs. Considering that at source mitigation measure (e.g. grease filter) would be applied, no adverse odour impact from the proposed kitchen is anticipated.

#### **2.4.4 Air Quality Impact from Proposed Carpark**

There will be a semi-open carpark on the LG/F of the Proposed Development at the entrance which is the sample level of the access road. The proposed carpark will be designed in accordance with EPD's Practice Note for Professional Persons ProPECC PN 2/96 "Control of Air Pollution in Car Parks" in order to ensure the exhaust air discharged to the atmosphere from the proposed carpark would not cause excessive impact to neighbouring air sensitive uses. Given that the small scale of the carpark and sufficient ventilation will be provided, no adverse air quality impact from the proposed carpark is anticipated.

### 3 NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

#### 3.1 NOISE ENVIRONMENT

##### 3.1.1 The Site Environment

The Subject Site is surrounded by mainly low-rise residential development, including San Tau Kok Tsuen and Wai Ha Village. Tung Tsz Road is located near the southern side of the development nearby which will generate road traffic noise impact. No existing fixed noise sources are operating within 300m from the development site.

##### 3.1.2 Representative Noise Sensitive Receivers (NSRs)

All the residential units within the proposed development are identified as sensitive receivers for noise impact assessment. Representative Noise Sensitive Receivers (NSRs) at each flat was selected for the quantitative traffic noise impact assessment, their locations and room sizes are shown in **Appendix 3.1**. The assessment points include all openable windows in habitable rooms such as living rooms and bedrooms. Windows in noise tolerance spaces such as toilets, bathroom and staircases are excluded.

There are no diagnostic rooms / wards in the proposed RCHE development. The Multi-Function Areas will not rely on operable window for ventilation.

The assessment points have been taken to be situated at 1.2 m above floor slabs and at 1 m away from the external facade of openable windows of habitable room of the flats. The openable window shall be well-gasketed with at least 6mm thick window pane.

#### 3.2 ENVIRONMENTAL LEGISLATION AND STANDARDS

##### 3.2.1 Road Traffic Noise Assessment Criteria

Noise standards are recommended in the *Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines* (HKPSG) for planning against noise impact from road traffic. As stated in Table 4.1 of Chapter 9 of HKPSG, the criterion for road traffic noise impact on domestic premises (habitable rooms) is  $L_{10}(1\text{-hour})$  70dB(A). This criterion applies to uses which rely on openable windows for ventilation.

##### 3.2.2 Fixed Noise Sources Assessment Criteria

Impacts of fixed noise sources within the Proposed Development on nearby noise sensitive buildings is governed by the *Technical Memorandum for the Assessment of Noise from Places other than Domestic Premises, Public Places or Construction Sites* (IND-TM) issued under the Noise Control Ordinance (“NCO”) and sections of Chapter 9 of HKPSG.

In setting the ANL, reference has to be made to the Area Sensitive Rating (“ASR”) in Table 1 of IND-TM reflecting the type of area where the noise sensitive receivers (“NSRs”) are situated. The proposed development and surrounding existing residential developments are considered low density residential area. The proposed development is expected to be in absence of influencing factor (IF). An ASR of “A” is considered representative of the noise



sensitive uses. ANL and operation noise criteria for different Area Sensitivity Ratings (ASRs) are summarized in **Table 3-1** and **Table 3-2**.

According to the HKPSG, the level of the intruding noise at the façade of the nearest sensitive use should be at least 5 dB(A) below the appropriate ANL shown in the IND-TM or, in the case of the background being 5 dB(A) lower than the Acceptable Noise Level (ANL), the predicted noise level should not exceed the background.

Background noise level in terms of  $L_{90}(1\text{-hr})$  will be measured onsite by future contractor so that it can be adopted for determining necessary noise mitigation measures to meet the requirement.

**Table 3-1** Area Sensitivity Rating (ASR)

Type of Area Containing NSR \ Degree to which NSR is affected by IF	Not Affected	Indirectly Affected	Directly Affected
(i) Rural area, including country parks or village type developments	A	B	B
(ii) Low density residential area consisting of low-rise or isolated high-rise developments	<u>A</u>	B	C
(iii) Urban area	B	C	C
(iv) Area other than those above	B	B	C

**Table 3-2** Acceptable Noise Levels (ANLs)

Time Period \ ASR	<u>A</u>	B	C
Day (0700 to 1900 hours)	<u>60</u>	65	70
Evening (1900 to 2300 hours)			
Night (2300 to 0700 hours)	<u>50</u>	55	60

Remarks:

- 1) Prevailing background noise level to be measured by future contractor. Prevailing background noise level or ANL-5, whichever lower, will be finally adopted.

### 3.2.3 Construction Noise Assessment Criteria

The main piece of legislation controlling environmental noise nuisance impact is the *Noise Control Ordinance* (NCO). The NCO enables regulations and Technical Memoranda (TM) to be made, which introduce detailed control criteria, measurement procedures and other technical matters.

Construction noise is governed under the following TMs:

- Technical Memorandum on Noise from Percussive Piling (PP-TM).

- Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling (GW-TM).
- Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work in Designated Areas (DA-TM).
- Minimizing noise from Construction Activities (ProPECC PN 1/24)

During “Restricted Hours”, defined as 7pm to 7am from Monday to Saturday and all day on public holidays, the construction contractor must apply for and receive a Construction Noise Permit (CNP) from EPD for percussive piling (at any time) or any other construction activities conducted. While there is no planned construction works to be carried out during the restricted hours, the relevant TMs should be followed in case there is any need to carry out works in such time period in future.

### 3.3 ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE ASSESSMENT

#### 3.3.1 Assessment Model

The U.K. Department of Transport’s procedure “*Calculation of Road Traffic Noise*” (CRTN) is used to predict the hourly  $L_{10}(1\text{-hour})$  noise levels generated from road traffic at selected representative NSRs using proprietary noise prediction software CadnaA. Road traffic noise impacts on various floor levels on the respective residential blocks/houses have been predicted. Practicable environmental mitigation measures will be recommended where necessary. The predicted noise levels are compared with the relevant HKPSG noise standards (i.e.  $L_{10}(1\text{-hour})$  70dB(A)).

The assessment methodology was implemented using noise prediction software CadnaA, which is a graphically based computer programs in full compliance with the noise prediction methodologies as set out in CRTN.

This proprietary modelling software is capable of simulating various road traffic conditions, road conditions and the form of noise mitigation measures. All the topographic effect, distance information, view angle information, shielding effects, ground absorption and façade reflection can be accurately illustrated and computed.

Topographic barrier including surrounding building structures, retaining walls, and natural terrains etc. all provide screening or reflection effect to the noise source. This information is retrieved from the latest digital map data provided by Lands Department and digitized in the road traffic noise model.

For the propagation of noise, a worst-case hard ground as defined in CRTN was assumed throughout the Study Area.

A +2.5dB(A) correction for façade reflection was applied at receptor locations in accordance with CRTN.

### 3.3.2 Traffic Flow Data

The road layout defines the road width, opposing traffic lane separation, road surface type, traffic mix, traffic flow and design speed. For the purpose of this road traffic noise impact assessment, traffic flows have been forecasted for all major roads within 300m of the proposed development. The road network was divided into discrete segments, each of which was assigned a segment number.

The proposed development is scheduled for construction completion and operation in year 2030. Traffic forecast for year 2045 representing the worst situation within 15 years from the operation of the residential care home is provided by project traffic consultant and included in **Table 3-3** and **Appendix 1.1**.

**Table 3-3** Year 2045 Traffic Forecast for Noise Impact Assessment

Road ID.	Road Name	Direction	Road Surface	Road Speed [km/h]	AM Peak		PM Peak	
					Traffic Flows [veh/hr]	% of HV *1	Traffic Flows [veh/hr]	% of HV *1
01	Tung Tsz Road	EB	Impervious	50	90	37%	70	36%
02	Tung Tsz Road	WB	Impervious	50	60	34%	70	47%
03	Tung Tsz Shan Road	NB	Impervious	50	40	37%	20	39%
04	Tung Tsz Shan Road	SB	Impervious	50	20	51%	40	39%
05	Tung Tsz Road	EB	Impervious	50	130	37%	90	39%
06	Tung Tsz Road	WB	Impervious	50	90	51%	110	39%
07	Access Road	WB	Impervious	50	40	37%	30	39%
08	Access Road	EB	Impervious	50	50	51%	50	39%
09	Universal Gate Road	SB	Impervious	50	20	10%	20	10%
10	Universal Gate Road	NB	Impervious	50	10	37%	20	39%
11	Tung Tsz Road	WB	Impervious	50	180	51%	140	39%
12	Tung Tsz Road	EB	Impervious	50	130	20%	180	14%

Remarks:

- 1) HV includes Light Van, Public Light Bus, Light Goods Vehicle, Medium Goods Vehicle, Heavy Goods Vehicle and Container/Tractor, Coach and Bus.

### 3.3.3 Road Surface Conditions

The CRTN modelling method uses emission level adjustments to take into account the influence of various road surfaces and gradients on noise emission level. A -1dB correction to the basic road source noise level is applied to impervious road surface with traffic speed below 75km/hr, and -3.5dB correction to the basic road source noise level for pervious road surface.

### 3.3.4 Road Traffic Noise Impact for Baseline Scenario

Quantitative road traffic noise impact assessment has been carried out and compared against the criterion. Noise levels were calculated for the baseline scenario without noise mitigation in place. Predicted maximum traffic noise levels for each assessment point are shown in **Table 3-4** below. The detailed noise model and contour map are shown in **Appendix 3.1** for reference. The assessment is based on conservation assumption of hard reflecting ground surface over the entire Study Area.

The predicted noise levels at all residential units comply with HKPSG  $L_{10(1 \text{ hour})}$  70dB(A) noise criterion. Noise mitigation measures are not necessary.

**Table 3-4** Predicted Road Traffic Noise Impact for Unmitigated Scenario

Window ID	Predicted Noise Level $L_{10, 1 \text{ hour}}$ , dBA								Noise Criteria, dBA
	1/F	2/F	3/F	4/F	5/F	6/F	7/F	8/F	
W01	59	59	59	59	60	60	56	59	70
W02	59	59	60	60	60	60	56	59	70
W03	60	60	60	60	60	60	56	59	70
W04	60	60	60	60	60	60	56	59	70
W05	61	61	61	61	61	61	57	60	70
W06	61	61	61	61	61	61	57	60	70
W07	62	62	62	61	61	61	57		70
W08	62	62	62	62	62	62	57		70
W09	63	63	62	62	62	62	58		70
W10	63	63	63	63	63	63	60		70
W11	59	59	59	59	60	59	60		70
W12	56	56	56	56	57	56	58		70
W13	55	55	55	55	56	55	57		70
W14	54	54	54	55	56	54	56		70
W15							47		70
W16							51		70
W17	50	50	51	51	52	53	53		70
W18	50	51	51	51	52	53	53		70
W19	50	51	51	51	52	53	53		70
W20	50	51	51	51	52	53	53		70
W21	36	38	42	42	43	44	45		70
W22	41	42	44	45	45	46	47		70

Remarks:

	South-West Façade
	North-East Façade

### 3.4 FIXED SOURCE NOISE ASSESSMENT

#### 3.4.1 Fixed Noise Source of the Proposed Development

Planned fixed noise sources within the Proposed RCHE Development are identified as shown in **Appendix 3.2**.

Among the identified sources, the dominate sources are four (4) nos. of cooling towers located on the open rooftop having direct line of sight to NSRs. The noise may potentially affect Treasure Spot Garden and Wai Ha Village in the close proximity. The representative NSRs of fixed noise assessment is given in **Table 3-5** and **Appendix 3.2**.

**Table 3-5 Representative Fixed Noise Sensitive Receivers (NSRs) from the Proposed Development**

ASR ID	Description	Use	No. of Storeys	Assessment Heights of ASRs (mAG) [1]	Approximate Distance to Fixed Noise Sources (m)
N01	190 San Tau Kok	Residential	3	1.2, 4, 6.8	111
N02	86 Wai Ha	Residential	3	1.2, 4, 6.8	28.8
N03	99 Wai Ha	Residential	3	1.2, 4, 6.8	31.6
Note: [1] Assuming floor to floor height is 2.8m.					

Most of the Mechanical and Electrical (M&E) equipment, such as chiller, water pumps, lift machines, etc. will be installed in enclosed plant rooms of the Proposed RCHE Development. Transformers will be located in the basement level and placed inside enclosed structure. The guidance of “Good Practices on Ventilation System Noise Control” and “Good Practices on Pumping System Noise Control” issued from EPD shall be referred to. Appropriate mitigation measures, where necessary, shall be provided to comply with the noise criteria.

Small power rating split type air conditioning systems will be installed for individual room. However, the noise impact of those small power rating outdoor units shall be minimal, and adverse noise impact from these outdoor units is not anticipated.

#### 3.4.2 Allowable Sound Power Level

At this stage the cooling towers for the project had not been confirmed as which shall be

designed in future by the design and build contractor. Background noise measurement should be conducted in due course to define the noise standards for assessing the planned fixed noise sources for the proposed development. The sound power level and noise mitigation requirements such as the use of silencer, noise enclosure and acoustic lining will be stipulated in the contract specification governing the equipment selection by the design and build contractor. The Contractor should ensure the fixed noise criteria under Section 3.2.2 is fulfilled.

### 3.5 CONSTRUCTION NOISE IMPACT

Various construction activities will be the key noise sources generated during the construction phase. In particular, the use of PME and the vehicle movement within the Site are the major potential noise sources. Construction shall be carried out during non-restricted hours as far as practicable. The mitigation measures recommended in ProPECC PN1/24 should be implemented where applicable. In addition, the following measures and on-site practice are recommended in order to minimize the potential construction noise impacts during daytime:

- Quiet PME and construction method should be adopted if possible.
- The Contractor shall devise and execute working methods to minimise the noise impacts on the surrounding sensitive uses, and provide experienced personnel with suitable training to ensure that those methods are implemented.
- Switch off idling equipment.
- Regular maintenance of equipment.
- Fit muffler or silencer for equipment.
- Noisy equipment and noisy activities should be located as far away from the NSRs as is practical.
- Use quiet construction method, e.g. use saw-cut or hydraulic crusher instead of excavator mounted percussive breaker.
- PME should be kept to a minimum and the parallel use of noisy equipment / machineries should be avoided.
- Erect noise barriers or noise enclosure for the PME if appropriate.
- Implement good house-keeping and provide regular maintenance to the PME.
- Spot check resultant noise levels at nearby NSRs.

If construction work involving use of PME will be required during restricted hours, a CNP shall be applied for under the NCO. The noise criteria and assessment procedures for obtaining a CNP are specified in GW-TM.

With the implementation of the abovementioned mitigation measures, adverse construction noise impact is not anticipated.

## 4 WATER QUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

This section reviews the water quality impacts from the Project. The potential environmental impacts from construction effluent generated by the proposed works and operation of the proposed residential home for elderly are assessed. Standards, guidelines and legislation, recommended mitigation measures and the disposal strategy are reviewed.

### 4.2 LEGISLATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

The following relevant Hong Kong legislations/guidelines governing water pollution control have been referenced in carrying out the assessment:

- Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance and EIAO-TM (Annex 6 and 14);
- Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) (Cap. 358) (as amended by the Water Pollution Control (Amendment) Ordinance 1990 and 1993);
- Water Pollution Control (General) Regulations (as amended by the Water Pollution Control (General) (Amendment) Regulations 1990 and 1994);
- Water Pollution Control (Sewerage) Regulation;
- Water Quality Objectives (WQOs) for relevant Water Control Zones (WCZs);
- Practice Note for Professional Persons ProPECC Note PN 2/24, Construction Site Drainage; and
- Practice Note for Professional Persons ProPECC Note PN 1/23, Drainage Plans subject to Comment by the Environmental Protection Department.
- ETWB TC(W) No. 5/2005 “Protection of Natural Streams/Rivers from Adverse Impacts Arising from Construction Works
- Technical Memorandum Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS)

### 4.3 IDENTIFICATION OF WATER SENSITIVE RECEIVERS

The project site is located within the Northeast of New Territories and within the catchment of the Tolo Harbour and Channel Water Control Zone.

A nearby existing Foul Manhole is available for the project area.

The quality of effluent during the construction and operation phase of the projects will be bounded by the discharge standard of Tolo Harbour and Channel Water Control Zone, subject to the estimated discharge quantity. Standards for effluents discharged into the coastal waters of Tolo Harbour and Channel Water Control Zone is annexed in TM-DSS.

For the marine environment, the nearest EPD Water Quality Monitoring Station (WQMS) to Tung Tsz Road is TM5. The latest summary of baseline condition of subject WQMS in 2023 is extracted, reference from “Marine Water Quality in Hong Kong in 2023” by EPD.

The Tolo Harbour and Channel WCZ is highly land-locked, and hence its water body is generally subject to a natural hydrological phenomenon of water column stratification and associated formation of bottom layer water masses with relatively low DO level in summer period due to restricted water exchange with the open waters. In 2023, the overall marine WQO compliance rate for this WCZ was 71%, mainly ascribed to the influence on the DO WQO compliance rate caused by the aforesaid natural hydrological phenomenon. On the other hand, the bacteriological WQO for secondary contact recreational uses in the WCZ has been consistently achieved, indicating a good water quality suitable for the beneficial uses.

Upon the implementation of the Tolo Harbour Action Plan since the mid-1980s, there has been substantial improvement in the water quality in Tolo Harbour in the past three decades.

**Table 4-1 Summary of Marine and River Water Quality in 2023**

Parameter	TM5 (Marine)	TR6 (River)
Temperature (°C)	25.1 (17.5 - 30.4)	NA
Salinity	30.2 (18.7 – 32.7)	NA
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	6.7 (5.0 – 7.8)	5.6 (4.3 - 8.0)
Dissolved Oxy gen (% Saturation)	96 (79 - 122)	NA
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	NA	15 (9 - 36)
Oil & Grease (mg/L)	NA	<0.5 (<0.5 - <0.5)
pH	7.8 (7.3 - 8.3)	7.5 (7.0 - 7.9)
Secchi Disc Depth (m)	2.7 (1.5 – 3.8)	NA
Turbidity (NTU)	5.5 (0.4 – 48.8)	NA
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	4.0 (1.6 – 11.6)	7.8 (2.5 - 16.0)
5-day Biochemical Ox y gen Demand (mg/L)	1.3 (0.4 – 3.4)	1.5 (0.2 - 3.6)



Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.023 (<0.005 – 0.082)	0.345 (0.060 - 1.500)
Unionised Ammonia (mg/L)	0.001 (<0.001 - 0.005)	NA
Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.003 (<0.002 - 0.005)	NA
Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.011 (<0.002 – 0.074)	0.340 (0.082 - 0.860)
Total Inorganic Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.04 (0.01 – 0.16)	NA
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.43 (0.20 – 0.74)	0.52 (0.24 - 1.60)
Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.44 (0.21 – 0.74)	NA
Orthophosphate Phosphorus (mg/L)	0.004 (<0.002 - 0.010)	0.042 (<0.002 – 0.100)
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	0.05 (0.04 - 0.07)	0.11 (0.06 - 0.17)
Silica (as SiO <sub>2</sub> ) (mg/L)	0.95 (<0.05 – 2.80)	NA
Chlorophyll-a (µg/L)	4.6 (1.0 - 15.0)	NA
E.coli (count/100mL)	2 (<1 - 190)	4 549 (500 - 24 000)
Faecal Coliforms (count/100mL)	8 (<1 - 500)	10 445 (2 800 - 36 000)
Sulphide (mg/L)	NA	<0.02 (<0.02 - <0.02)
Aluminium (µg/L)	NA	<50 (<50 - <50)
Cadmium (µg/L)	NA	<0.1 (<0.1 - <0.1)
Chromium (µg/L)	NA	<1 (<1 – 3)
Copper (µg/L)	NA	2 (1 – 7)
Lead (µg/L)	NA	<1

		(<1 - <1)
Zinc (µg/L)	NA	<10 (<10 – 10)

Water Sensitive Receivers (WSRs) are defined as those users of the aquatic/marine environment whose use of the environment could be impaired as a result of the proposed project. When WSRs that are potentially affected by the construction and operation of the Project are identified, further study will be conducted. The Water Sensitive Receivers (WSRs) identified within 500m of the Project boundary that may potentially be affected are shown in **Appendix 4.1** and the representative WSRs are listed in **Table 4-2** below.

**Table 4-2 Representative Water Sensitive Receivers (WSRs)**

WSR ID	Description	Status	Approximate Distance from The Project Boundary (m)
WSR1	Drainage Channel	Active	<5
WSR2	Nullah	Active	172
WSR3	Swamp	Active	184
WSR4	Fishpond	Active	373
WSR5	Conservation Area	Active	106

#### 4.4 WATER QUALITY IMPACTS DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE

Proposed construction works mainly involve excavation of soil, piling and building construction works. Key water pollution sources include:

##### 4.4.1 General Construction Activities

General construction activities, including wheel washing, dust suppression from excavation and pilling works, concrete casting and utility installation, may generate wastewater which would contain high concentration of SS. Various construction works may also generate debris and waste such as packaging, construction materials and general refuse. Uncontrolled discharge of site effluents and waste generated from the construction works would lead to deterioration in water quality. Adoption of the guidelines and good site practices for handling and disposal of construction discharges as specified in below mitigation section would minimize the potential impacts.

##### 4.4.2 Sediment Laden Runoff from Rainfall and Wind Erosion

In particular, sediment laden runoff into receiving water courses during and immediate after rainstorm events is of major concern. During rainstorms, surface runoff would wash away

the soil particles on unpaved lands and areas with exposed topsoil. Sediment laden runoff and wind-blown dust would result in deteriorating water quality with increase of SS levels and turbidity and may result in induced effects on aquatic ecological resources. It is important that proper site practice and good site management (as specified in the ProPECC PN 2/24 “Construction Site Drainage”) to be followed to prevent site runoff with high level of SS from entering the surrounding waters. With the implementation of appropriate measures to control runoff and drainage from the construction site, disturbance of water bodies would be avoided and deterioration in water quality would be minimal.

#### **4.4.3 Spillage of Chemicals**

Accidental spillage and the storage of chemicals used on-site, such as petroleum products, surplus adhesives, spent lubrication oil, grease and mineral oil, spent acid and alkaline solutions/solvent and other chemicals, may contaminate the surface soils. The contaminated soil particles may be washed away by construction site runoff or stormwater drainage and eventually may affect nearby water bodies. The potential impacts could however be mitigated by practical mitigation measures and good site practices as given in below mitigation section.

#### **4.4.4 Sewage from the Construction Workforce**

Sewage effluents will arise from the sanitary facilities provided for the on-site construction workforce. The characteristics of sewage would include high levels of BOD5, Ammonia and E. coli counts. This temporary sewage can be handled by providing adequate portable chemical toilets. Provided that sewage is not discharged directly into storm drains or inland waters adjacent to the construction site, and temporary sanitary facilities are used and properly maintained, it is unlikely that sewage generated from the sites would have a significant water quality impact.

#### **4.4.5 Construction Works in Close Proximity of Nearby Water Bodies**

Construction activities within or in close vicinity to nearby water bodies may affect the water quality due to potential release of wastewater which is generally with high concentration of SS and elevated pH. Mitigation measures shall be implemented to control the release of wastewater into the adjacent water environment. With proper implementation of appropriate construction runoff control practices as referred to ProPECC PN 2/24 “Construction Site Drainage” and the provision of mitigation measures as described in the ETWB TC (Works) No. 5/2005 “Protection of natural streams/rivers from adverse impacts arising from construction works”, it is anticipated that no adverse water quality impacts would be arising from the construction works nearby the water bodies.

### **4.5 WATER QUALITY MITIGATIONS DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE**

#### **4.5.1 Dust Suppression**

Water used in dust suppression should as far as practicable be re-circulated after sedimentation. When there is a need for final disposal, the wastewater should be led to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain.

#### **4.5.2 Wheel Washing Water**

All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before they leave a construction site to minimize the deposition of earth, mud, debris on roads. A wheel washing facility should be provided at every site exit if practicable and wheel-wash overflow shall be directed to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain. The site boundary between the wheel washing facility and the public road should be placed with sand bunds to prevent wheel-wash overflow from entering public road drains.

#### **4.5.3 Wastewater from Concrete Casting**

Wastewater generated from the washing down of mixing trucks and drum mixers and similar equipment should whenever practicable be recycled. The discharge of wastewater should be kept to a minimum. To prevent pollution from wastewater overflow, the pump sump of any water recycling system should be provided with an on-line standby pump of adequate capacity and with automatic alternating devices. Under normal circumstances, surplus wastewater may be discharged into foul sewers after treatment in silt removal.

#### **4.5.4 Rubbish and Litter**

Good site practices should be adopted to remove rubbish and litter from construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from spreading from the works area. It is recommended to clean the construction sites on a regular basis. Adequate refuse collection points shall be provided on-site.

#### **4.5.5 Construction Site Runoff**

The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 2/24 “Construction Site Drainage” should be followed as far as practicable to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. It is expected that the following measures recommended will effectively control runoff from the works sites and avoid water pollution downstream and shall be implemented during construction phase.

Surface runoff from construction sites should be discharged into storm drains via sand/silt removal facilities such as sedimentation basin/tank. The treated effluent discharge from construction stages should be sited away from natural water course. Earth bunds or waterfilled barriers with geotextile sheet should be provided on site boundaries to intercept surface runoff from outside the site so that it will not wash across the site and to prevent surface runoff flowing out of the site. Bunds or sandbags should also be used within the site to direct surface runoff into the silt removal facilities. Stagnant surface runoff should be pumped to the silt removal facilities before discharged into storm drains.

Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system without having previously passed through sedimentation tank, and to prevent storm runoff from getting into foul sewers. Discharge of surface runoff into foul sewers must always be prevented in order not to unduly overload the foul sewerage system.

Silt removal facilities and manholes should be maintained and the deposited silt and grit

should be removed regularly, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to prevent local flooding.

Surface excavation should be carefully programmed to avoid wet-season operation. If it is unavoidable, any exposed top soils should be covered with a tarpaulin or other means. For the purpose of preventing soil erosion, temporary exposed slope surfaces should be covered e.g. by tarpaulin, as excavation proceeds. Earthworks final surfaces should be well compacted and the subsequent permanent work or surface protection should be carried out immediately after the final surfaces are formed to prevent erosion caused by rainstorms.

Open stockpiles (e.g. aggregates, sand and fill material) should also be covered with a tarpaulin to avoid erosion during rainstorms. The washing of material from the stockpiles directly into the storm drains should be prevented by passing the runoff through sedimentation tank. Arrangements should always be in place in such a way that adequate surface protection measures can be safely carried out well before the arrival of a rainstorm.

#### 4.5.6 Spillage of Chemicals

Chemical waste, as defined under the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, includes any substance being scrap material, or unwanted substances specified under Schedule 1 of the Regulation. Substances likely to be generated by construction activities arise from the maintenance of construction plant and equipment of the Project. These include, but not limited to the following:

- Lubricating oil and waste fuel (diesel) from construction plant with improper maintenance;
- Spent solvents from equipment cleaning activities.

Due to the scale of an active work front of the Project, it is anticipated that no maintenance shop for construction plant and equipment would be operated on-site and storage fuel on-site is minimal. Drainage traps such as grease traps and petrol interceptors will be installed at each of the drainage outlets to filter out chemical pollutants from surface runoff.

Mitigation such as providing drip tray/proper storage of chemical containers will be strictly implemented during the construction works. In case of any leakage on bare ground, oil and grease decontamination kit will be available on-site for clean-up of oil leakage. Any fuels should be stored in bunded areas such that spillage can be easily collected. The contractor shall prepare an oil / chemical clean-up plan in the Waste Management Plan before the commencement of construction works. It should ensure that leakages or spillages are contained and cleaned up immediately. Once spillage is identified on-site, the clean-up procedures should be carried out as below:

- Contact the site agent and/or foreman immediately and report the spillage;
- Identify the source of spillage and determine nature of the material;
- Stop leakage immediately where possible;
- Identify all current and potential affected areas according to the flow of spillage and

stop the spillage from flowing to other works areas;

- Contain the surface runoff of spillage by using bunds made from available materials;
- After the surface runoff of spillage is contained, remove the materials (including contaminated soil where necessary) using pumps and/or absorbent materials; and
- Dispose of the materials, including the contaminated soil, as chemical waste

Waste oil should be collected and stored for recycling or disposal, in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354). The contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be observed and complied with for disposal of chemical wastes. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354) details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows:

- Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport;
- Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled, to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes, to avoid accidents; and
- Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area

#### 4.5.7 Sewage Effluent from Construction Workforce

Portable chemical toilets would be provided for handling the sewage effluent generated by the workforce. The number of the chemical toilets required for the construction sites would be subject to later detailed design, the capacity of the chemical toilets, and contractor's site practices. A licensed contractor would be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.

Domestic sewage generated by the construction workforce should be appropriately managed to avoid potential adverse impacts of uncontrolled sewage discharge into nearby water courses. Portable chemical toilets shall be appropriately located on-site in proximity to all major works areas where they shall remain and be maintained in good working order for the convenience of the workforce during the construction phase.

**As a minimum requirement, temporary toilet facilities must be located more than 30m from any watercourse.**

Notices would be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the nearby environment during the construction phase of the Project. Regular environmental audit on the construction site would be conducted in order to provide an effective control of any malpractices and achieve continual improvement of environmental performance on site.

#### 4.5.8 Construction Works in Close Proximity of Nearby Water Bodies

The practices outlined in ETWB TC (Works) No. 5/2005 “Protection of natural streams/ rivers from adverse impacts arising from construction works” should also be adopted where applicable to minimize the water quality impacts upon any natural streams or surface water systems. Relevant mitigation measures from the ETWB TC (Works) No. 5/2005 should be followed. Examples are shown below -

- Construction works close to the inland waters should be carried out in dry season as far as practicable where the flow in the surface channel or stream is low.
- The use of less or smaller construction plants may be specified in areas close to the water courses to reduce the disturbance to the surface water.
- Temporary storage of materials (e.g. equipment, chemicals and fuel) and temporary stockpile of construction materials should be located well away from any water courses during carrying out of the construction works.
- Stockpiling of construction materials and dusty materials should be covered and located away from any water courses.
- Construction debris and spoil should be covered up and/or disposed of as soon as possible to avoid being washed into the nearby water receivers.
- Proper shoring may need to be erected in order to prevent soil or mud from slipping into the watercourses.
- Fencing should be erected on the sides facing the nearest stream course to trap all wind-blown litters such as paper, plastic bags, bottles and boxes within the site from entering the nearby water bodies.

#### 4.6 WATER QUALITY IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS DURING OPERATION PHASE

##### 4.6.1 Sewage Generation from the Residents of RCHE

###### Potential Impact

The Project is to build a residential care home for elderly, accommodating at most 256 nos. of bedspaces. Sewage from the residents as well as staff and visitors will be generated from bathing and showers, toilet flushing, pantry, toilet basins, etc. A summary table of sewage impact assessment is given in

**Table 4-3** below. Proposed sewage discharge pathway is shown in **Appendix 4.2**. Detailed sewerage impact assessment please refer to SIA Report in other submission.



**Table 4-3 Summary of Sewage Impact Assessment**

Site	Use	Global Unit Flow Factor (m3/person/day)	No. of Residents/Employees	ADWF (m3/day)
Tung Tsz, Tai Po	RCHE (Institutional and special class)	0.19	256 residents	48.64
	RCHE J11 (Community, Social & Personal Service)	0.28	80 staff	22.4
	Visitor	0.08	152 per day	12.16
Total			410	83.20

### Mitigation Measures

The *ProPECC Note PN 1/23* provides guidelines and practices for handling, treatment, and disposal of various effluent discharges to stormwater drains and foul sewers. The design of site drainage and disposal of site effluents generated within the proposed development area should follow the relevant guidelines and practices as given in the *ProPECC Note PN 1/23*.

The disposal of the treated effluent shall comply with relevant statutory requirements and guidelines such as Water Pollution Control Ordinance (Cap. 358), etc. All discharges during the operation phase of the proposed development are required to comply with the Technical Memorandum for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS) issued under Section 21 of the Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO). The TM-DSS defines acceptable discharge limits to different types of receiving waters. Under the TM-DSS, effluents discharged into the drainage and sewerage systems, inland and coastal waters of the Water Control Zones (WCZs) are subject to pollutant concentration standards for specified discharge volumes. These are defined by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) and are specified in licence conditions for any new discharge within a WCZ. Therefore, no adverse water quality impact from sewage generation of the Proposed Development is anticipated.

## 4.6.2 Surface Runoff from Roads, Paved Areas and Landscaped Areas

### Potential Impact

During operation phase, stormwater runoff from paved surfaces within the Project Sites will be directed to a managed stormwater drainage system. Runoff from the roofs of buildings and road surfaces within the Sites may carry suspended solids and other pollutants such as fuel, oils and heavy metals that could enter nearby surface water bodies or storm drains if uncontrolled.

### **Mitigation Measures**

All storm water/rainwater from open paved and developed areas of the site will be conveyed to the storm water drain via properly designed surface drainage. Facilities such as standard gully grating, with spacing which is capable of screening off large substances such as fallen leaves and rubbish should be provided at the inlet of drainage system.

Good management measures such as regular cleaning and sweeping open paved area of the site is suggested during operational phase to reduce the suspended solid or other unwanted pollutants or waste fall into the stormwater drain.

Fertilizer and pesticide would not be used for landscaping to avoid adverse water quality impact.

With implementation of stormwater best management practices including provision of trapped gullies and catch-pits, adverse impacts to the water quality is not anticipated.

#### **4.6.3 Water Quality Impact from the Kitchen of RCHE**

Effluent discharge from the kitchen of the Proposed Development during operational phase should comply with the WPCO. Grease traps are required to be installed for any restaurants to separate greasy materials from the effluent before entering the communal sewers in accordance to “Grease Traps for Restaurants and Food Processors” published by EPD. The operator should ensure the grease traps are properly designed, installed and maintained in order to ensure the greasy materials are removed effectively before discharging to the sewerage system. Materials filtered out from the grease trap should be handled and disposed of properly to ensure the kitchen hygiene and environmental quality.

## 5 WASTE MANAGEMENT

### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

This section reveals and discusses types of wastes generated from the Project during construction and operation phases. Hence, proper waste management strategies are recommended to reduce, reuse, recycle and dispose of wastes.

### 5.2 LEGISLATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

The following relevant Hong Kong legislations and guidelines governing waste disposal and management have been referenced in carrying out the assessment:

- Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354);
- *A Guide to the Chemical Waste Control Scheme*;
- *A Guide to the Registration of Chemical Waste Producers*;
- Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes; and
- Code of Practice for the Management of Clinical Waste – Small Clinical Waste Producers.

### 5.3 WASTE MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS OF THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE

Major construction activities for the Project include demolition of existing property, site clearance, piling, construction of substructure and superstructure. Deep excavation is not anticipated for basement construction. Considering the small scale of the Project, it is anticipated not much waste would be generated though the exact quantity will be subject to detailed construction methods.

Wastes generated from the Project during the construction phase generally consist of:

- Construction and demolition (C&D) waste;
- General refuse; and
- Chemical waste.

Possible wastes generated from the Project are detailed in Table 5-1.

**Table 5-1 Possible Waste Generated During the Construction Phase**

WASTE TYPE	POSSIBLE WASTE GENERATED FROM THE PROJECT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY OF WASTE GENERATION
INERT C&D WASTE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CONCRETE FROM DEMOLITION OF EXISTING PROPERTY</li> <li>• EXCAVATED MATERIALS (EXCLUDING TOPSOIL)</li> </ul>	~17,000 m <sup>3</sup>

WASTE TYPE	POSSIBLE WASTE GENERATED FROM THE PROJECT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY OF WASTE GENERATION
NON-INERT C&D WASTE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FELLED TREES</li> <li>REMOVED PLANT</li> <li>TOPSOIL</li> <li>DISCARDED FURNITURE</li> <li>DAMAGED SCAFFOLDING</li> <li>BAMBOO</li> <li>WOOD FORMWORK</li> <li>USED PACKAGING MATERIALS</li> </ul>	~1,700 m <sup>3</sup>
GENERAL REFUSE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WASTEPAPER</li> <li>FOOD DEBRIS</li> <li>PACKAGING MATERIAL</li> </ul>	~33 kg per day
CHEMICAL WASTE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SPENT LUBRICATING OIL</li> <li>PAINT</li> </ul>	Anticipated to be limited

A Waste Management Plan (WMP) will be prepared to outline the estimated types and quantities of waste generated in the Project and formulate the approaches in dealing with them. Typical hierarchy of waste management, i.e., avoid, minimize, recycle and disposal as the last resort, will be adopted for the Project. The aims of the WMP are to:

- improve the resource efficiency;
- increase the waste and materials awareness of staff;
- help to discharge duty of care obligations; and
- propose measures for implementing the typical hierarchy of waste management.

### 5.3.1 Waste Avoidance

To avoid generation of waste during the construction phase, good and detailed planning and smart procurement is crucial. The following approaches are suggested:

- avoid excess order;
- arrange delivery of goods according to construction progress;
- reject and return damaged goods;
- keep protective packaging on and ensure storage areas are secure and weatherproofs;
- minimize movement of goods to lower the chance of damage to goods; and
- eliminate over packaging and liaise with suppliers to return packaging materials to them.

### 5.3.2 Construction and Demolition Materials

Excavated materials, such as soil and rock, and demolition concrete should be reused for backfilling on site as far as practicable. On-site sorting of construction waste is preferred. Construction waste delivery to Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) managed public fill reception points and/or sorting facilities should be the last resort of waste management. Prior licensing is required from the CEDD.

Non-inert C&D wastes, in particular steel bars and used cables from demolition works of this project, are recyclables and should be delivered to proper outlets for recycling. Topsoil are normally not reusable and should be delivered to the landfill for disposal.

Considering that there are many types of wastes generated, proper sorting and segregation of various C&D wastes could minimize cross contamination and enhance waste recovery quantity.

Recycling of any tree and vegetation waste generated from the construction works in Y. Park should be considered to enhance waste recovery quantity.

A trip ticket system will be implemented for any wastes disposal to the public fill reception points, sorting facilities and landfills. All the disposal records should be properly maintained.

### 5.3.3 Chemical Waste

Chemicals, including lubricating oil, paint, thinner, etc. will be used in the Project. Should there be any chemical wastes generated in the Project, the Contractor is required to register as chemical waste producer pursuant to the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. Proper containers, labels and storage areas must be provided in accordance with the aforesaid regulation.

All the chemical waste should be collected by licensed chemical waste collector for disposal at the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre (CWTC) at Tsing Yi or other licensed chemical waste treatment/disposal facilities.

### 5.3.4 General Refuse

General refuse includes wastepaper, packaging materials and food debris generated by the workforce on site. No canteen will be provided on site during the construction phase. The quantity of general waste is anticipated minimal in view of the small scale of the construction works. Nonetheless, before offsite disposal, they should be segregated into recyclable and non-recyclable wastes and kept in different covered storage areas/bins, where all of them should be sufficiently maintained and cleaned, to avoid attracting vermin and pests. All the general refuse will be collected on-site, separately from C&D materials by an appropriate waste collector employed by the contractor to the landfill.

Training should be provided for all site workers about the concepts of site cleanliness and appropriate waste management procedure, including waste reduction, reuse and recycling. The training is expected to ensure their awareness of good waste management and the specific measures used at the site.

## 5.4 WASTE MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS OF THE OPERATIONAL PHASE

The project site will be converted into a residential care home for elderly. Wastes generated during operation phase includes:

- General refuse; and
- Clinical waste.

### 5.4.1 General Refuse

General refuse during the operation phase mainly comes from daily living of residents in the care home, e.g., food waste, packaging of goods, used plastic and glass bottles, bedding and blankets, etc., which are similar to those from general households. Considering the number of residents is low, the quantity of general waste should not be significant.

Solid waste should be properly kept in covered containers/storage areas to avoid attracting of vermin or pests. Recycling containers are recommended to be provided at suitable locations to encourage recycling in the care home.

### 5.4.2 Clinical Waste

Residential care home for elderly is considered as a small clinical waste producer. It is likely that some types of clinical wastes, particularly needles and sharps, would be generated from its operation. As such, the Operator of the care home should complete the “*Clinical Waste Producer Premises Code Request Form*” and manage the clinical waste in accordance with the *Code of Practice for the Management of Clinical Waste – Small Clinical Waste Producers*.

Clinical waste should be segregated from other wastes. Used needles and sharps are classified as Group 1 clinical waste and should be stored safely in sharps box, before transferring to a disposal site. Colour of the sharps box should be either in yellow or a combination of yellow and white and sealed with proprietary closure.

The care home operator shall engage the service of licensed collectors to collect and transport clinical waste to the CWTC for proper disposal. Alternatively, the clinical waste may also be delivered by a health professional under the clinical waste producer, if there is any, and subject to compliance of additional requirements as stipulated in the *Code of Practice for the Management of Clinical Waste – Small Clinical Waste Producers*.

The care home operator must also keep all the records of the clinical waste consigned to a licensed collector or delivered to a collection point or licensed disposal facility. To achieve it, it is suggested to retain the Waste Producer Copy of the Clinical Waste Trip Tickets of each delivery.

## 6 CONCLUSION

This Environmental Assessment presents the findings from assessing the potential impacts associated with the construction and operation of the proposed RCHE development to confirm its environmental suitability. Key environmental concerns have been addressed and potential impacts assessed covering the following:

- Air Quality
- Noise
- Water Quality
- Waste Management

Overall, it would be environmentally acceptable with no adverse impacts on the identified sensitive uses. Suitable noise mitigation measures are recommended to minimize noise impacts to meet the specified noise standard.

### 6.1 AIR QUALITY

#### Construction Phase

Potential air quality impact during construction phase would be fugitive dust generated from wind erosion of the excavated areas and stockpiles, and dusty construction activities. Given the nature and limited scale of the proposed construction works, potential air quality impact would be minor and localised. With the implementation of regular site watering and good construction practices for dust minimization, construction dust impacts are not expected to be significant on the surrounding sensitive receivers. Requirements of *Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation* and *EPD's Recommended Pollution Control Clauses for Construction Contracts* are proposed to be incorporated into the contract.

#### Operation Phase

The development may be subject to vehicular emission impact from roads nearby during the operation of the project. However, no adverse vehicular emission impact is anticipated upon incorporation of the relevant buffer distance stipulated under the HKPSG into the layout design.

There is no chimney within 200m from site boundary, i.e., complying the buffer distance for chimney emissions under the HKPSG. Thus, no adverse air quality impact to the proposed residential development due to industrial chimney emissions is anticipated.

The Project will not contain a chimney and hence no adverse air quality impact from the proposed development is anticipated.

Oily fume and cooking emissions from the kitchen of the Proposed Project will potentially arise. No adverse odour impact is anticipated after consideration of positioning the exhaust outlets and installation of a grease filter.

No adverse air quality impact from the proposed semi-open carpark is anticipated given that

the small scale of the carpark and sufficient ventilation will be provided.

## 6.2 NOISE

### Construction Phase

Various construction activities will be the key noise sources generated during the construction phase. No adverse construction noise impact is anticipated with the implementation of mitigation measures such as recommendations in ProPECC PN1/24 and on-site practice mentioned in Section 3.5.

### Operation Phase

Road traffic would be the major source of noise nuisance during the Project operation. The predicted noise levels at all residential units comply with HKPSG L<sub>10(1 hour)</sub> 70dB(A) noise criterion. As such, noise mitigation measures are considered not necessary.

Cooling towers located on the open rooftop of the Proposed Development are considered as the dominated fixed noise sources during the operation phase of the Project. As the specification of the cooling towers will be designed by the design and build contractor in the future, background noise measurement should be conducted in due course to define the noise standards for assessing the planned fixed noise sources. The sound power level and noise mitigation requirements such as the use of silencer, noise enclosure and acoustic lining will be stipulated in the contract specification governing the equipment selection by the design and build contractor.

## 6.3 WATER QUALITY

### Construction Phase

Major construction activities such as excavation of soil, piling and building works will be the key water pollution sources generated during the construction phase. No adverse construction water quality impact is anticipated with the implementation of mitigation measures such as implementation of the site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 2/24 “Construction Site Drainage” and ETWB TC (Works) No.5/2005 “Protection of natural streams/rivers from adverse impacts arising from construction works”, provision of silt removal facilities, proper collection and storage of chemicals and provision of portable chemical toilets.

### Operation Phase

Sewage generation, surface runoff from roads, paved area and landscape areas will be the key water pollution sources generated during the operation phase. With a properly designed sewerage and drainage system, no insurmountable water quality impacts would be generated from the operation phase of the Proposed Development.

## 6.4 WASTE MANAGEMENT

### Construction Phase

The quantity of waste to be generated from the Project is anticipated not significant, considering the small project scale. Through proper project planning and execution, waste could be further avoided while useful materials could be reused or recycled. With






implementation of the statutory procedures and recommended mitigation measures for offsite disposal of surplus excavated material, non-inert wastes, general refuse, chemical and clinical wastes, there should not be any insurmountable waste impact.

**Operation Phase**


General refuse and clinical waste will be the major wastes generated during operation phase. With a properly designed waste collection and disposal system, no adverse waste impact is anticipated.



## **APPENDIX 1.1.SITE LAYOUT PLAN & SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT**



PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING No.: C241003W-03    Figure 1.1.1		LEAD ARCHITECT: 		PREPARED BY Qingqing Li	
DRAWING TITLE: SITE LAYOUT PLAN AND SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT	SCALE: N.T.S.	REV: A	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT: 		CHECKED BY Eddy Ng	APPROVED BY Banting Wong







PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING No.: C241003W-03      Figure 1.1.2		<div>LEAD ARCHITECT: </div> <div>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT: </div>	PREPARED BY	Phoenix Lee	
	DRAWING TITLE: LOCATION OF ADJACENT ROADS	SCALE: N.T.S.		REV: A	CHECKED BY	Eddy Ng
					APPROVED BY	Banting Wong



## **APPENDIX 2.1.AIR QUALITY SENSITIVE RECEIVERS & EMISSION SOURCES**








LEGEND

SITE BOUNDARY

REPRESENTATIVE AIR SENSITIVE RECEIVER

PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING No.: C241003W-03      Figure 2.1.1b		<div>LEAD ARCHITECT:</div> <div></div> <div>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT:</div> <div></div>	PREPARED BY	Frankie Yuen	
	DRAWING TITLE: REPRESENTATIVE ASRs FOR CONSTRUCTION PHASE	SCALE: N.T.S.		REV: A	CHECKED BY	Eddy Ng
				APPROVED BY	Banting Wong	



LEGEND:


--- Project Site

Yellow box Required Buffer Distance

5m buffer distance is required between any air-sensitive uses and the access road (local distributor), no air-sensitive use is allowed within the buffer zone highlighted in yellow.


PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING No.: C241003W-03      Figure 2.1.2		LEAD ARCHITECT: 	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT: 	PREPARED BY	Frankie Yuen	
	DRAWING TITLE: REPRESENTATIVE ASRs FOR OPERATIONAL PHASE (LG/F)	SCALE: N.T.S.			REV: A	CHECKED BY	Eddy Ng
						APPROVED BY	Banting Wong






PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING No.: C241003W-03      Figure 2.1.3		LEAD ARCHITECT: 	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT: 	PREPARED BY	Frankie Yuen	
	DRAWING TITLE: REPRESENTATIVE ASRs FOR OPERATIONAL PHASE (UG/F)	SCALE: N.T.S.			REV: A	CHECKED BY	Eddy Ng
						APPROVED BY	Banting Wong








## **APPENDIX 2.2.BROCHURE OF THE GREASE FILTER**

## **APPENDIX 3.1. TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT**







S.A. =	1494.67	SQ.M.
RCHE =	815.95	SQ.M.
PLANT =	-29.35	SQ.M.
FLAT ROOF =	30.75	SQ.M.
G.F.A =	815.95	SQ.M.
S.C. =	817.35	SQ.M.

PROJECT:  
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY  
AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.

DRAWING NO.:  
C241003W-03     Figure 3.1.2

DRAWING TITLE:  
REPRESENTATIVE NOISE SENSITIVE RECEIVERS FOR TRAFFIC NOISE  
IMPACT ASSESSMENT (6/F)

SCALE:  
N.T.S.

REV:  
A

LEAD ARCHITECT:



ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT:



PREPARED BY

Phoenix Lee


CHECKED BY

Eddy Ng


APPROVED BY

Banting Wong





PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING No.: C241003W-03      Figure 3.1.3		LEAD ARCHITECT: 	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT: 	PREPARED BY	Phoenix Lee
DRAWING TITLE: REPRESENTATIVE NOISE SENSITIVE RECEIVERS FOR TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT (7/F)	SCALE: N.T.S.	REV: A			CHECKED BY	Eddy Ng
					APPROVED BY	Banting Wong






PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING NO.: C241003W-03    Figure 3.1.4	LEAD ARCHITECT: 	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT: 	PREPARED BY Phoenix Lee
DRAWING TITLE: REPRESENTATIVE NOISE SENSITIVE RECEIVERS FOR TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT (8/F)	SCALE: N.T.S.	REV: A		CHECKED BY Eddy Ng
				APPROVED BY Banting Wong




PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING NO.: C241003W-03      Figure 3.1.5		LEAD ARCHITECT: 	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT: 	PREPARED BY	Phoenix Lee	
	DRAWING TITLE: 3D VIEW OF NOISE MODEL, NOISE SOURCES AND REPRESENTATIVE NSRS	SCALE: N.T.S.			REV: A	CHECKED BY	Eddy Ng
						APPROVED BY	Banting Wong






PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING NO.: C241003W-03     Figure 3.1.6		LEAD ARCHITECT: 		PREPARED BY	Phoenix Lee
DRAWING TITLE: NOISE CONTOUR OF ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACT (UNMITIGATED)	SCALE: N.T.S.	REV: A	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT: 		CHECKED BY	Eddy Ng
					APPROVED BY	Banting Wong

## **Appendix 3.2.FIXED SOURCE NOISE ASSESSMENT**




PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING No.: C241003W-03     Figure 3.2.1		<div>LEAD ARCHITECT:</div> <div></div> <div>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT:</div> <div></div>	PREPARED BY	Frankie Yuen
				CHECKED BY	Eddy Ng
	DRAWING TITLE: FIXED NOISE SOURCES LOCATIONS & MITIGATION TREATMENT (UG/F)	SCALE: N.T.S.		REV: A	APPROVED BY






PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING No.: C241003W-03      Figure 3.2.2		<div>LEAD ARCHITECT:</div> <div></div> <div>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT:</div> <div></div>	PREPARED BY	Frankie Yuen
	DRAWING TITLE: FIXED NOISE SOURCES LOCATIONS & MITIGATION TREATMENT (R/F)			CHECKED BY	Eddy Ng
				APPROVED BY	Banting Wong
SCALE: N.T.S.		REV: A			



PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING NO.: C241003W-03      Figure 3.2.3		<div>LEAD ARCHITECT:</div> <div></div> <div>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT:</div> <div></div>	PREPARED BY	Frankie Yuen
				CHECKED BY	Eddy Ng
	DRAWING TITLE: LOCATION OF FIXED NOISE SOURCES & REPRESENTATIVE NSRs	SCALE: N.T.S.		REV: A	APPROVED BY






## **Appendix 4.1. WATER SENSITIVE RECEIVERS**



## **Appendix 4.2. SEWAGE DISCHARGE PATHWAY**





PROJECT: PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME FOR ELDERLY AT TUNG TSZ ROAD, TAI PO, N.T.	DRAWING NO.: C241003W-03    Figure 4.2.1	<div> <div>           LEAD ARCHITECT:   </div> <div>           ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT:   </div> </div>	PREPARED BY Frankie Yuen	
DRAWING TITLE: PROPOSED SEWAGE DISCHARGE PATHWAY	SCALE: N.T.S.	REV: A	CHECKED BY Eddy Ng	
			APPROVED BY Banting Wong	