

## **Annex F**

---


*Updated Report of the Traffic Impact Assessment*

**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822  
IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST  
OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**

**TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT**



# CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 STUDY OBJECTIVES	1
1.3 REPORT STRUCTURE	1
<b>2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 SITE LOCATION	2
2.2 DEVELOPMENT PARAMETERS	2
2.3 VEHICULAR ACCESS ARRANGEMENT	2
2.4 INTERNAL TRANSPORT FACILITY	3
<b>3. TRAFFIC CONTEXT</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1 SURROUNDING ROAD NETWORK	4
3.2 EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITION	4
3.3 EXISTING PUBLIC TRANSPORT SERVICES	6
<b>4. TRAFFIC FORECASTING</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1 DESIGN YEAR	7
4.2 TRAFFIC FORECAST	7
<b>5. TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>14</b>
5.1 TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT	14
5.2 SENSITIVITY TEST 1	19
5.3 PEDESTRIAN ASSESSMENT	21
<b>6. SUMMARY &amp; CONCLUSION</b>	<b>22</b>
6.1 SUMMARY	22
 CONCLUSION	22

**APPENDIX A – SWEEP PATH ANALYSIS AT THE PROPOSED VEHICULAR ACCESSES AND INTERNAL DRIVEWAY**

**APPENDIX B – POTENTIAL ACCESS ARRANGEMENT AT MAIN ACCESS**

**APPENDIX C – PRELIMINARY PHASING PLAN OF SAN TIN TECHNOPOLE**

**APPENDIX D – PRELIMINARY PHASING PLAN OF NGAU TAU MEI NEW DEVELOPMENT AREA**

**APPENDIX E – ESTIMATED TRIP GENERATIONS OF THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENTS**

**APPENDIX F – POTENTIAL PICK-UP/DROP-OFF LOCATION FOR BUS/SHUTTLE BUS**

**APPENDIX G - SWEEP PATH ANALYSIS AT JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT WORKS AT JUNCTION J6**

**APPENDIX H – DESIGN TRAFFIC FLOWS AT JUNCTION (J1) UNDER THE SCENARIO WITHOUT Y/YL-MP/10 AND SHA PO PUBLIC HOUSING DEVELOPMENT**

**APPENDIX I – JUNCTION CALCULATION SHEETS**

## TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 2.1	Key Development Parameters	2
Table 2.2	Proposed Internal Transport Facility Provisions	3
Table 3.1	Identified Local Key Junctions and Road Links	4
Table 3.2	Current Junction Operational Performance	5
Table 3.3	Current Road Link Operational Performance	5
Table 3.4	Existing Public Transport Services	6
Table 4.1	Estimated Trip Generations of Planned/Committed Developments	8
Table 4.2	Trip Rates of Kindergarten	10
Table 4.3	Adopted Trip Rates	10
Table 4.4	Estimated Trip Generation of Proposed Development	10
Table 4.5	Anticipated Peak-Hour Pedestrian Trips of the Proposed development	11
Table 4.6	Transport Modal Split of Yuen Long District	11
Table 4.7	Transport Modal Split of Proposed Development	12
Table 4.8	Transport Modal Split of Proposed Development	12
Table 5.1	Junction Operational Performance at Year 2034	15
Table 5.2	Year 2034 Road Link Operational Performance for Reference Case and Design Case	15
Table 5.3	Year 2034 Queue Length Assessment for Reference Case and Design Case	16
Table 5.4	Year 2034 Junction Operational Performance with Proposed Improvement Scheme	17
Table 5.5	Year 2034 Queue Length Assessment for Proposed Improvement Scheme at Castle Peak Road / Kam Pok Road (J6)	18
Table 5.6	Year 2034 Junction Operational Performance with Junction Improvement Scheme (under the scenario without Y/YL-MP/10 and Sha Po Public Housing Development)	18
Table 5.7	Estimated Trip Generations of Potential Residential Developments	19
Table 5.8	Junction Operational Performance at Year 2034 under Sensitivity Test 1	19
Table 5.9	Year 2034 Road Link Operational Performance for Design Case under Sensitivity Test 1	20
Table 5.10	Year 2034 Junction Operational Performance with Suggested Improvement Scheme	20
Table 5.11	Observed Pedestrian Flows during Peak Hours	21
Table 5.12	Estimated Pedestrian Trips during Peak Hours	21

## DRAWINGS

Fig. No.	Title	Following Page No.
1.1	Site Location	1
2.1	Master Layout Plan	3
3.1	Major Ingress and Egress Routes	6
3.2	Identified Key Junctions and Links	6
3.3	Existing Junction Layout of Fairview Park Interchange (J1)	6
3.4	Existing Junction Layout of Kam Pok Road / Fairview Park Boulevard (J2)	6
3.5	Existing Junction Layout of Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge – South (J3)	6
3.6	Existing Junction Layout of Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge – North (J4)	6
3.7	Existing Junction Layout of Kam Pok Road / Ha Chuk Yuen Road (J5) and Kam Pok Road / Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (J6)	6
3.8	Existing Junction Layout of Kam Pok Road / Fung Chuk Road (J7)	
3.9	Year 2025 Observed Traffic Flows	6
3.10	Existing Public Transport Services	6
4.1	Locations of the Planned/Committed Developments	13
4.2	Year 2034 Reference Traffic Flows	13
4.3	Development Traffic Flows (net increase)	13
4.4	Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows	13
5.1	Planned Junction Improvement Layout of Fairview Park Interchange (J1)	21
5.2	Planned Junction Improvement Layout of Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge – North / Vehicular Access (J4)	21
5.3	Planned Junction Improvement Layout of Kam Pok Road / Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (J6)	21
5.4	Proposed Junction Improvement Layout of Fairview Park Interchange (J1)	21
5.5	Proposed Junction Improvement Layout of Kam Pok Road / Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (J6)	21
5.6	Proposed Junction Improvement Layout of Fairview Park Interchange (J1) (under the scenario without Y/YL-MP/10 and Sha Po Development)	21
5.7	Locations of Potential Developments	21
5.8	Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows (Sensitivity Test 1)	21
5.9	Suggested Junction Improvement Layout of Fairview Park Interchange (J1)	21
5.10	Identified Footpaths	21

# 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background


- 1.1.1 The application site comprises lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and adjoining government Land, east Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long, as indicated in **Drawing 1.1**. It falls within an area zoned as “Residential (Group D)” on the Approved Mai Po and Fairview Park Outline Zoning Plan No. S/YL-MP/8.
- 1.1.2 The application site is the subject of a previous approved Section 16 planning application (No. A/YL-MP/287) for a residential development of 65 houses (Approved Scheme). The Applicant proposes to increase its domestic plot ratio from 0.2 to 1.5. Under the current scheme, the proposed development will provide 5 medium-rise residential blocks with total 1,303 units, a 6-classroom kindergarten and an Elderly Activity Centre (“EAC”).
- 1.1.3 MVA Hong Kong Limited has been commissioned by the Applicant to carry out a traffic impact assessment to assess the traffic impact for the proposed residential development to support this rezoning application.

## 1.2 Study Objectives

- 1.2.1 The objectives of this study are summarised as follows:
- review the current traffic condition and circulation pattern in the adjacent local road network;
  - review the proposed development schedule;
  - produce future traffic forecasts on the adjacent local road network with considerations of the planned developments in the vicinity; and
  - investigate the traffic impact on the adjacent local road network with operation of the proposed development at Design Year, including the cumulative traffic impact induced by the proposed/potential residential developments in the locality.

## 1.3 Report Structure

- 1.3.1 Following this introductory chapter, there are five further chapters:
- **Chapter 2** – Proposed Development, presents the development parameters and the internal transport provisions of the proposed scheme;
  - **Chapter 3** – Traffic Context, describes the current traffic condition in the vicinity;
  - **Chapter 4** – Traffic Forecasting, describes the methodology of traffic forecasting exercise and presents the results;
  - **Chapter 5** – Traffic Impact Assessment, presents the assessment findings of the anticipated traffic condition upon occupation of the proposed development, and suggests, if necessary, improvement measures to alleviate the foreseeable traffic problem;
  - **Chapter 6** –Conclusion, summarises the study findings and presents the conclusion accordingly.



**LEGEND :**

APPLICATION SITE

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date

**Project Title**  
 PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D.104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG

**Drawing Title**  
**SITE LOCATION**



Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	NTS	Date	JUN 2025	Drawing No.	<b>1.1</b>	Rev.	-
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------------	------	---

## 2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

### 2.1 Site Location

2.1.1 As indicated in **Drawing 1.1**, the application site is bounded by Kam Pok Road to its west, Fung Chuk Road to its north, Ha Chuk Yuen Road to its east and Ha San Wai Road to its south.

### 2.2 Development Parameters

2.2.1 The application site has a total land area of about 37,870m<sup>2</sup>. It will be developed into a medium-rise residential development of about 1,303 units with an average flat size of about 43.6m<sup>2</sup>. Comparison on the key development parameters between the approved and proposed schemes are summarized in **Table 2.1**. The proposed scheme is anticipated to complete in year 2031. The Master Layout Plan (MLP) is illustrated in **Drawing 2.1** respectively.

**Table 2.1 Key Development Parameters**

Item	Approved Scheme (A/YL-MP/287)	Proposed Scheme
Domestic Plot Ratio	0.2	1.5
Domestic GFA	7,540.4m <sup>2</sup>	56,805m <sup>2</sup>
No. of Unit	65 house	1,303 flats
Average flat Size (GFA)	about 116 m <sup>2</sup>	about 43.6 m <sup>2</sup>
Kindergarten	-	a 6-classroom kindergarten
GIC	-	an EAC

### 2.3 Vehicular Access Arrangement

2.3.1 The vehicular access arrangements in the current proposed scheme basically follow the approved scheme and those under the present government lease of the application site. Two vehicular accesses are proposed for the proposed development. The vehicular access at Kam Pok Road is the main access for residential use and kindergarten. Whilst, the second vehicular access at Ha Chuk Yuen Road is reserved for the proposed EAC and other serving vehicle. The locations of these two proposed vehicular accesses are illustrated in **Drawing No. 2.1**.

2.3.2 Currently, vehicles exceeding 7m in length are prohibited from accessing Ha Chuk Yuen Road. Swept path analysis for a 12.8m long vehicle and a 7m long vehicle have been conducted at the main entrance and second entrance respectively in **Appendix A**. The result demonstrated that sufficient manoeuvring space has been provided for vehicles turning into and out from the proposed vehicular accesses.

2.3.3 Considering Fairview Park Boulevard is a private road, appropriate traffic management measure (such as, proposed left-turn ban at the main development egress) would be provided to avoid development traffic using Fairview Park Boulevard to access Fairview Park Interchange. The potential access arrangement (with the left-turn ban) is shown in **Appendix B**, subject to detailed design.

## 2.4 Internal Transport Facility

2.4.1 The proposed development would be provided with internal transport facilities in accordance with the latest Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG) and the comments from Transport Department. The proposed provisions are summarised in **Table 2.2**.

**Table 2.2 Proposed Internal Transport Facility Provisions**

Item	High-end of HKPSG Requirements				Parameters	Provision (nos.)	
<b>Residential Development</b>							
Private Car	GPS <sup>(1)</sup>	R1 <sup>(1)</sup>		R2 <sup>(1)</sup>	R3 <sup>(1)</sup>		
	1 space per 4 units	FS ≤ 40m <sup>2</sup>	0.5	1	1.1	407 units	56
		40m <sup>2</sup> <FS≤70m <sup>2</sup>	1.2			896 units	296
Visitor Parking	5 spaces for each block with more than 75 units				5 blocks	25	
Total						377 <sup>(2)</sup>	
Motorcycle Parking	1 space per 10 residential car parking spaces <sup>(3)</sup>				352 spaces	36	
HGV Loading/ Unloading Bays	1 bay per residential block				5 blocks	5	
Bicycle Parking Space	1 space per 7.5 flats <sup>(3)</sup>				1,303 units	174	
<b>Kindergarten</b>							
Private Car	1 space per 4 classrooms				6 classrooms	2	
Lay-by for taxis and Private Car	1 for every 5 classrooms				6 classrooms	2	
Lay-by for school buses	5 lay-bys of size 3m x 7m for mini-bus/nanny van				-	5 lay-bys of size 3m x 7m	


Remarks:

- (1) Parking Requirement = GPS x R1 x R2 x R3, where GPS = 1 car space per 4 flats, R1=1.2 for flat size 40m<sup>2</sup><FS≤70m<sup>2</sup>, R2=1 for the site outside a 500-radius of rail station, R3=1.1 for domestic plot ratio 1<PR≤2.
- (2) Minimum 5 accessible parking spaces will be reserved for persons with disabilities with reference to HKPSG (at least 1 space for visitor parking).
- (3) Based on the comments from TD.

2.4.2 The proposed EAC (with same nature of neighbourhood elderly centre) would mainly serve local residents. Nil parking provision is proposed for EAC with reference to the recently agreed rezoning application (No. Y/YL-MP/10) nearby.

2.4.3 Under the current MLP, the residential carpark would be located in the basement, whilst the loading/unloading bays would be located on the ground floor level along 7.3m wide main internal driveway. For kindergarten carpark and drop-off area, it would be located on ground floor level at the southern end of the main driveway, which will not cause potential traffic queue back onto Kam Pok Road.

FILENAME: C:\Users\ikwong\OneDrive - SysstraGroup\Working\20260318\CHK50868310\TIAF21-A.dgn  
 PRINTED BY: ikwong DATE: 18-Mar-26 TIME: 9:39:09 AM



- LEGEND:
- APPLICATION BOUNDARY
  - VEHICULAR ACCESS
  - FENCE WALL (2.5mH)
  - SWIMMING POOL
  - LAY-BY

Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-
B	MINOR AMENDMENT	PTC	18MAR26
A	MINOR AMENDMENT	PTC	04DEC25

Project Title  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**

Drawing Title  
**MASTER LAYOUT PLAN**

Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	1:1000(A3)	Date	MAR 2026	Drawing No.	<b>2.1</b>	Rev.	B
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	------------	------	----------	-------------	------------	------	---



### 3. TRAFFIC CONTEXT

#### 3.1 Surrounding Road Network

- 3.1.1 With the traffic management measure as described in **Section 2.3.3**, the development traffic from San Tin Highway would access the site via Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi Section and Kam Pok Road. The major ingress and egress routes of the application site are illustrated in **Drawing 3.1**.
- 3.1.2 Kam Pok Road is a standard single-two lane carriageway of 7.3m wide with a 2m wide footpath along its eastern side. It mainly serves the local developments in the vicinity.
- 3.1.3 Ha Chuk Yuen Road and Fung Chuk Road are single track access roads. Vehicles exceeding 7m in length are prohibited from accessing these roads.
- 3.1.4 Fairview Park Boulevard is a dual 2-lane carriageway. It connects the traffic from San Tin Highway to the local developments including Fairview Park.

#### 3.2 Existing Traffic Condition

- 3.2.1 A total of seven local junctions and six road links have been identified with reference to the major ingress and egress routes of the proposed development for assessment purposes. The key local junctions are listed in **Table 3.1**, whilst their locations are indicated in **Drawing 3.2**.

**Table 3.1 Identified Local Key Junctions and Road Links**

Ref. <sup>(1)</sup>	Junction	Type	Drawing No.
<b>Junction</b>			
J1	Fairview Park Interchange	Roundabout	3.3
J2	Fairview Park Boulevard / Kam Pok Road	Signal	3.4
J3	Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge (South)	Signal	3.5
J4	Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge (North)	Signal	3.6
J5	Kam Pok Road / Ha Chuk Yuen Road	Priority	3.7
J6	Castle Peak Road / Kam Pok Road	Priority	3.7
J7	Kam Pok Road / Fung Chuk Road	Priority	3.8
<b>Road Link</b>			
L1	Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi	Single-2	3.2
L2	Fairview Park Boulevard	Dual-2	3.2
L3	Kam Pok Road	Single 2	3.2
L4	Fung Chuk Road	Single track	3.2
L5	Ha Chuk Yuen Road	Single track	3.2
L6	San Tin Highway	Dual-3	3.2

Remark: (1) Locations refer to **Drawing 3.2**.

#### *Traffic Surveys*

- 3.2.2 A manual classified traffic survey was conducted at the identified junctions and road links to establish the current traffic condition in the vicinity of the site. The survey was carried out during 07:30-09:30 and 17:00-19:00 in the morning and evening peak hour periods on a typical weekday in April 2025.

3.2.3 The results of the survey have indicated that the morning and evening peak hours occur during 08:00 – 09:00 and 17:45 – 18:45 respectively. Based on the survey result, the year 2025 peak-hour traffic flows are shown in **Drawing 3.9**.

### Junction Operational Performance

3.2.4 Junction capacity assessments have been conducted to evaluate the current operational performance of the identified junctions. The assessment results are summarised in **Table 3.2**.

**Table 3.2 Current Junction Operational Performance**

Ref. (1)	Junction	Type	RC/RFC <sup>(2)</sup>	
			AM Peak	PM Peak
J1	Fairview Park Interchange	Roundabout	0.56	0.61
J2	Fairview Park Boulevard / Kam Pok Road	Signal	85%	69%
J3	Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge (South)	Signal	>100%	>100%
J4	Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge (North)	Signal	>100%	>100%
J5	Kam Pok Road / Ha Chuk Yuen Road	Priority	0.05	0.05
J6	Castle Peak Road / Kam Pok Road	Priority	0.13	0.12
J7	Kam Pok Road / Fung Chuk Road	Priority	0.01	0.01

Remarks: (1) Refer to **Drawing 3.2** for junction locations.

(2) RC = reserved capacity for signal junction, RFC = ratio-of-flow to capacity for roundabout/priority junction.

3.2.5 The assessment results in **Table 3.2** indicated that all the identified key junctions are currently operating within capacities during peak hours.

### Road Link Operational Performance

3.2.6 Besides, road link assessments have also been conducted to evaluate the current operational performance of the identified links. The Volume to Capacity (V/C) ratios of each identified road links have been evaluated and the results are summarised in **Table 3.3**.

**Table 3.3 Current Road Link Operational Performance**

Ref. <sup>(1)</sup>	Road Link	Dir	Link Capacity (pcu/hr)	Observed Flows (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio	
				AM	PM	AM	PM
L1	Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi	Two-way	2,125 <sup>(2)</sup>	895	720	0.42	0.34
L2	Fairview Park Boulevard	EB	2,600 <sup>(3)</sup>	870	675	0.33	0.26
		WB	2,600 <sup>(3)</sup>	575	805	0.22	0.31
L3	Kam Pok Road	Two-way	1,800 <sup>(4)</sup>	145	135	0.08	0.08
L4	Fung Chuk Road	Two-way	100 <sup>(5)</sup>	5	10	0.05	0.1
L5	Ha Chuk Yuen Road	Two-way	100 <sup>(5)</sup>	5	10	0.05	0.1
L6	San Tin Highway	NB	6,100 <sup>(6)</sup>	4905	4985	0.80	0.82
		SB	6,100 <sup>(6)</sup>	5080	4595	0.83	0.75

Remarks: (1) Refer to **Drawing 3.2**.

(2) Road capacity for single 2-lane rural road, with consideration of roadside activities.

(3) Road capacity for dual-2 lane local distributor.

(4) Road capacity for single-2 lane local distributor.

(5) Road capacity for single-track access road.

(6) Road capacity for dual-3 lane trunk road.

3.2.7 The assessment results in **Table 3.3** indicated that all the identified sections are currently operating within capacities.

### 3.3 Existing Public Transport Services

3.3.1 Franchised bus and minibus are the major public transport services in the vicinity of the site. The nearby public transport facilities of the site are indicated in **Drawing 3.10**, whilst the details and servicing schedules are summarised in **Table 3.4**.


**Table 3.4 Existing Public Transport Services**

Route	Origin/Destination	Frequency (min.)	Remark
<b>Franchised Bus</b>			
76 <sup>(1)</sup>	Yau Pok Road Public Housing (South) Terminus <--> Sheung Shui Station (Choi Yuen Road)	30	Circular Route
76K	Ching Ho Estate <--> Long Ping Estate	20-30	-
268 <sup>(1)</sup>	Yau Pok Road Public Housing (South) Terminus <--> Pat Heung Road	30-35	
976	Lok Ma Chau (San Tin) <--> Sai Wan Ho	-	Monday to Friday (except public holidays); From Lok Ma Chau (San Tin): 06:25, 07:15, 07:45 From Sai Wan Ho: 18:10, 18:40, 19:10
976A	Lok Ma Chau (San Tin) <--> Siu Sai Wan (Island Resort)	-	Monday to Friday (except public holidays); From Lok Ma Chau (San Tin): 06:55 From Siu Sai Wan (Island Resort): 17:30
<b>Green Minibus</b>			
36	Yuen Long (Fook Hong St) <--> Tai Sang Wai	15-20	-
36A <sup>(1)</sup>	Yuen Long (Fook Hong Street) <--> Yau Pok Road Light Public Housing	20	Evening Departures (omits Kik Yeung Road);
37	Yuen Long (Fook Hong St) <--> Yau Tam Mei	15-20	-
38	Yuen Long (Fook Hong St) <--> Tai Sang Wai (W)	15-20	-
75	Yuen Long (Fook Hong Street) <--> Lok Ma Chau Spur Line Public Transport Interchange	15-30	-
76	Yuen Long (Fook Hong Street) <--> Siu Hum Tsuen	15-20	-

Remark: (1) The routes were introduced for temporary Light Public Housing at Yau Pok Road.

**LEGEND :**

- APPLICATION SITE
- INGRESS ROUTING
- EGRESS ROUTING
- MAIN VEHICULAR ACCESS




-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A	TRAFFIC ROUTE UPDATED	PTC	9DEC25	-	-	-	-
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date

Project Title  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D.104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**

Drawing Title  
**MAJOR INGRESS AND EGRESS ROUTES**



Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	NTS	Date	JUN 2025	Drawing No.	<b>3.1</b>	Rev.	A
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------------	------	---



**LEGEND :**

- APPLICATION SITE
- <sup>J3</sup> KEY JUNCTIONS
- KEY ROAD LINKS

B	MINOR AMENDMENT	PTC	16MAR26	-	-	-	-
A	MINOR AMENDMENT	PTC	19DEC25	-	-	-	-
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date


Project Title  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D.104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**

Drawing Title  
**IDENTIFIED KEY JUNCTIONS AND ROAD LINKS**



Designed	CWH	Checked	PTC	Scale	NTS	Date	JUN 2025	Drawing No.	<b>3.2</b>	Rev.	B
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------------	------	---

FILENAME: E:\OneDrive - SystraGroup\hlocallch\k50868310\ia\F33.dgn  
 PRINTED BY: ihot DATE: 5 Jun 2025 TIME: 16:51:20



Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-


Project Title  
 PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG

Drawing Title  
**EXISTING JUNCTION LAYOUT OF FAIRVIEW PARK INTERCHANGE (J1)**




Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	1:1500(A4)	Date	JUN 2025	Drawing No.	<b>3.3</b>	Rev.	-
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	------------	------	----------	-------------	------------	------	---

FILENAME: E:\OneDrive - SysstraGroup\hlocat\hk50868310\lita\F34.dgn  
 PRINTED BY: lho1  
 DATE: 5 Jun 2025 TIME: 16:51:53



EXISTING METHOD OF CONTROL



Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-


Project Title  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT  
 AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND  
 ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND,  
 EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**

Drawing Title  
**EXISTING JUNCTION LAYOUT OF KAM POK ROAD /  
 FAIRVIEW PARK BOULEVARD (J2)**

Designed HZF    Checked PTC    Scale 1:500(A4)    Date JUN 2025    Drawing No. **3.4**    Rev. -




FILENAME: E:\OneDrive - SysstraGroup\hlocat\chks0868310\lta\F35.dgn  
 PRINTED BY: lho1  
 DATE: 5 Jun 2025  
 TIME: 16:53:40



Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Project Title				Drawing Title							
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG				<b>EXISTING JUNCTION LAYOUT OF                      KAM POK ROAD / VEHICULAR BRIDGE - SOUTH (J3)</b>							
Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	1:500(A4)	Date	JUN 2025				

FILENAME: E:\OneDrive - SysstraGroup\hlocat\chks0868310\lta\F36.dgn  
 PRINTED BY: lho1  
 DATE: 5 Jun 2025 TIME: 16:54:28



Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Project Title				Drawing Title							
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG				<b>EXISTING JUNCTION LAYOUT OF                      KAM PO ROAD / VEHICULAR BRIDGE - NORTH (J4)</b>							
Designed		Checked		Scale		Date		Drawing No.		Rev.	
HZF		PTC		1:500(A4)		JUN 2025		3.6		-	



**General Advice to Public**

- This cycle parking place may be suspended for use from time to time to clear any pedal cycles left.
- A notice regarding suspension of use will be posted at least 14 days prior to the suspension. The public should not leave their pedal cycles in this parking place after the date specified in the notice.
- No parking of pedal cycles is allowed during the suspension period of this parking place.
- The Authority will take possession of and remove all pedal cycles left in this parking place during the period of suspension.

**公眾一般須知**


- 此單車泊車處會不定期被中止使用，以清場存放的單車。
- 中止前最少十四天會張貼有關中止使用的通告，公眾在通告規定的日期後，不要存放單車在泊車處。
- 當泊車處被中止後，單車不准停放。
- 在中止使用期間存放在此泊車處的單車，當局會依例搬走及移走單車。

**Cycle Parking Place - Parking Advice**

- This parking place is for pedal cycles only.
- No parking is allowed for a continuous period of more than 24 hours.
- Pedal cycles must be parked at the parking racks.
- This parking place may be suspended for use from time to time to clear any pedal cycles left.

**單車泊車處 - 停泊須知**

- 此泊車處僅供單車停泊。
- 單車不可連續停泊超過24小時。
- 單車必須停放在停泊架。
- 此泊車處會不定期被中止使用，以清場存放的單車。



FILENAME: E:\OneDrive - SysTraGroup\hlocat\ch450868310\la\F37.dgn  
 PRINTED BY: jho1  
 DATE: 5 Jun 2025  
 TIME: 16:56:35


Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

Project Title  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**


Drawing Title  
**EXISTING JUNCTION LAYOUT OF KAM POK ROAD / HA CHUK YUEN ROAD (J5) AND KAM POK ROAD / CASTLE PEAK ROAD - TAM MI (J6)**

Designed HZF    Checked PTC    Scale 1:500(A3)    Date JUN 2025    Drawing No. **3.7**    Rev. -





Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Project Title				Drawing Title							
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG				<b>EXISTING JUNCTION LAYOUT OF                      KAM POK ROAD / FUNG CHUK ROAD (J7)</b>							
Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	1:500(A4)	Date	DEC 2025				




-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A	MINOR AMENDMENT	PTC	19MAR26	-	-	-	-
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date

Project Title  
 PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D.104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG

Drawing Title  
**YEAR 2025 OBSERVED TRAFFIC FLOWS**



Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	NTS	Date	DEC 2025	Drawing No.	<b>3.9</b>	Rev.	A
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------------	------	---



-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date

Project Title  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D.104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**

Drawing Title  
**EXISTING PUBLIC TRANSPORT SERVICES**



Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	NTS	Date	DEC 2025	Drawing No.	<b>3.10</b>	Rev.	-
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	-----	------	----------	-------------	-------------	------	---

## 4. TRAFFIC FORECASTING

### 4.1 Design Year

- 4.1.1 The tentative completion year of the proposed development is year 2031. Hence, the design year of 2034 three years upon operation of the proposed development, has been adopted for traffic forecast and assessment purposes.

### 4.2 Traffic forecast

There are projects/developments located in the vicinity of the site, which have been considered in the traffic forecast. Details are discussed below:

#### Toll Plans Changes for Tai Lam Tunnel

- 4.2.1 The Government has taken over the Tai Lam Tunnel on 31 May 2025 and implemented new tolls with substantially reduced tolls for all vehicle types. With reference to the preliminary traffic assessment under Legislative Council paper No. CB(1)976/2024(05), it is anticipated that some traffic flows at Tolo Highway (Tai Po Kau section) would be diverted onto Tai Lam Tunnel upon Government's takeover. With reference to the result of the above-mentioned assessment, adjustment due to new tolls has been applied into the traffic forecast to reflect the situation accordingly.

#### Effect of Northern Link (NOL)

- 4.2.2 The planned NOL Main Line will be an railway of about 10.7km long, connecting the existing Kam Sheung Road Station of the Tuen Ma Line and the planned Kwu Tung Station of the East Rail Line, with three intermediate stations at San Tin, Ngau Tam Mei and Au Tau. The NOL Main Line would become the public transportation backbone in the Northern Metropolis (NM) and connect East Rail Line and Tuen Ma Line, forming a railway loop linking the New Territories and the Kowloon urban areas. The NOL Main Line is anticipated for commissioning in Year 2034. The impact of NOL has been taken into account with reference to the Base District Traffic Model (BDTM).

#### Effect of Northern Metropolis (NM) Highway

- 4.2.3 The planned NM Highway is a major east-west corridor of about 24 km long, linking the development nodes in the NM including Ngau Tam Mei, San Tin Technopole, Kwu Tung North/Fanling North and New Territories North New Town. Upon commissioning of the NM Highway, it would divert traffic flows between the New Territories East and West during peak hours, thereby alleviating the traffic pressure on existing major highways, in particular for San Tin Highway.
- 4.2.4 The San Tin Section of NM Highway is expected to be commissioned in Year 2036 while its remaining sections are expected to be commissioned in 2039 and beyond. As the NM Highway will be commissioned after the design year 2034, the impact of NM has not been reflected in the traffic forecast. Nevertheless, the existing road and junction performance is expected to be improved significantly after its commissioning.

#### Adjacent Planned/Committed Developments

- *San Tin Technopole*
- 4.2.5 San Tin Technopole, located at the core of the NM, is planned as a hub for clustered innovation and technology development in the San Tin area. According to the preliminary phasing plan under the relevant government study (**Appendix C**), the project is planned to be implemented

in three phases – Initial Phase, Main Phase and Remaining Phase. The population intakes for Initial Phase, Main Phase and Remaining Phase are anticipated in 2031, 2034 and 2039 respectively. Considering that the developments in Initial Phase and Main Phase would be completed on or before the design year 2034, the Year 2034 traffic forecast has been included the relevant trips of San Tin Technopole.

- *Ngau Tam Mei New Development Area (NTM NDA)*

4.2.6 The NTM NDA is planned for the development of the NM University Town with integrated residential neighbourhood. The planned Ngau Tam Mei Station of NOL Main Line would be located around the integrated residential community to serve the residents in the areas. The NTM NDA is expected to be served by the planned NM Highway, San Tam Road, San Tin Highway and connected to San Tin Technopole. According to the “Land Use Review Study for Ngau Tam Mei Area – Feasibility Study” (**Appendix D**), the project is planned to be implemented in three phases – First Phase, Second Phase and Remaining Phases. The population intakes for First, Second and Remaining Phases are anticipated in 2033, 2034 and 2036 respectively. Considering that the developments in First and Second Phases would be completed on or before the design year 2034, the Year 2034 traffic forecast has been included the relevant trips of NTM NDA.

- *Other Planned/Committed Developments.*

4.2.7 Apart from the above, there are other planned developments located in the vicinity of the site that are expected to be completed by year 2034 according to the latest available information from public domain. The planned/committed developments considered in the traffic forecast are listed in **Table 4.1**. Their locations are indicated in **Drawing 4.1** while the estimated trips of these developments are shown in **Appendix E**.

**Table 4.1 Estimated Trip Generations of Planned/Committed Developments**

Ref.	Planned/Committed Developments	Key Development Parameters
1	Tung Shing Lei Development (A/YL-NSW/274)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1,518 residential units (average flat size = about 46m<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>
2	Sha Po North Phase 2 Residential Development (A/YL-KTN/663)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1,154 residential units (average flat size = about 42.57m<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>
3	Residential Development at west of Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi, Yuen Long (Y/YL-NSW/9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3,115 residential units</li> <li>• 2,900m<sup>2</sup> retail GFA</li> <li>• 1 primary school, 1 kindergarten</li> <li>• Soy Factory</li> </ul>
4	Kam Tin South Priority Sites Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 9,060 units of public housing (average flat size = about 50m<sup>2</sup>)</li> <li>• 2,670 units of private housing (average flat size = about 70m<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>
5	Residential Development at west of Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi, Yuen Long (Y/YL-NSW/8)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6,825 residential units</li> <li>• 3,950m<sup>2</sup> retail GFA</li> <li>• 2 GIC facilities and 1 kindergarten</li> </ul>
6	Tung Shing Lei Land Sharing Pilot Scheme Application No. LSPS/002	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1,261 units of private housing (average flat size = about 40m<sup>2</sup>)</li> <li>• 1,868 units of public housing (average flat size = about 50m<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>
7	Sha Po North Comprehensive Residential Development (A/YL-KTN/604)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3,891 residential units (average flat size = about 49m<sup>2</sup>)</li> <li>• 5,500 m<sup>2</sup> retail GFA</li> </ul>
8	Sha Po Public Housing Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 16,300 Flats</li> <li>• 20,668m<sup>2</sup> retail GFA</li> <li>• 5 Kindergarten and 1 Primary School</li> <li>• 38,384m<sup>2</sup> Welfare Facilities</li> <li>• 19,267m<sup>2</sup> GIC</li> </ul>

Ref.	Planned/Committed Developments	Key Development Parameters
9	Kam Tin North Residential Development (A/YL-KTN/791)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 330 flats and 87 houses (average flat/house size = about 38.73m<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>
10	Residential Development at Sha Po South (A/YL-KTN/964)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 615 residential units (average flat size = about 38m<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>
11	Development at Wing Kei Tsuen, Nam Sang Wai, Yuen Long (Y/YL-NSW/7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1,997 residential units (average flat size = 48.9m<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>
12	Residential Development at Tung Shing Lei, Nam Sang Wai, Yuen Long (A/YL-NSW/293)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3,566 Flats</li> <li>• 9 Houses</li> <li>• 5,358m<sup>2</sup> Non Domestic GFA</li> </ul>
13	Comprehensive Development Scheme at north of Kam Pok Road East, Pok Wai, Yuen Long (A/YL-NSW/314)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 90 residential units</li> </ul>
14	Ngau Tam Mei Area New Development Area <sup>(1)</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Integrated Hospital</li> <li>• UniTown</li> <li>• Residential Developments Sites R3, R4 &amp; RSc1</li> </ul>
15	Social Welfare Facilities at Siu Sheung Road, Nam Sang Wai, Yuen Long (A/YL-NSW/303)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 127 beds for Residential Care Home for the Elderly</li> <li>• 100 beds for senior hostel</li> </ul>
16	Social Welfare Facilities at Siu Sheung Road, Nam Sang Wai, Yuen Long (A/YL-NSW/292)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 9,180m<sup>2</sup> GFA</li> </ul>
17	Residential Care Homes for the Elderly at 81 San Tam Road, Ngau Tam Mei, Yuen Long (Y/YL-NTM/9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 142 beds (5,400m<sup>2</sup> GFA)</li> </ul>
18	Residential Development at Yau Pok Road, Yuen Long (Y/YL-MP/3) <sup>(2)</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 106 houses</li> </ul>
19	Proposed Residential Development at Kam Pok Road, Yuen Long (Y/YL-MP/10)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2,322 residential units (average flat size = about 42.4m<sup>2</sup>)</li> <li>• 2,363m<sup>2</sup> retail GFA</li> <li>• 1 kindergarten and 1 NEC</li> </ul>
20	Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long (Y/YL-MP/9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3,562 residential units (average flat size = about 75m<sup>2</sup>)</li> <li>• a 100-place Residential Care Home for the elderly</li> </ul>
21	San Tin Technopole <sup>(3)</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Information and Technology (I&amp;T) Section of 120,000 employment</li> <li>• ~760,000m<sup>2</sup> Logistics &amp; Storage and Workshop</li> <li>• Public housing of ~25,800 units</li> <li>• Private housing of ~12,200 units</li> </ul>

Remarks:

- (1) The development schedules under First and Second Phases are assumed based on the planning assumptions in the Recommended Outline Development Plan (RODP) from the EIA report of “Land Use Review Study For Ngau Tam Mei Area – Feasibility Study”
- (2) The site is currently occupied by the existing temporary Light Public Housing at Yau Pok Road. The surveyed trip generations of Light Public Housing were separately excluded from the traffic forecast.
- (3) According to the “EIA study of First Phase Development of the New Territories North – San Tin / Lok Ma Chau Development Node – Investigation”, the population intakes for Initial Phase and Main Phase are anticipated on or before 2034. The development schedules under Initial and Main Phases are assumed based on the planning assumptions in the revised RODP.

### Proposed Development Flows

4.2.8 The proposed development will provide 1,303 units with an average flat size of about 43.6m<sup>2</sup> a kindergarten and an EAC. In order to estimate the trips of the proposed development for residential portion, reference has been made to the trip rates in Transport Planning Design Manual (TPDM) published by the TD.

4.2.9 For kindergarten, a trip generation survey has been conducted at the existing similar kindergarten in Yuen Long, Saint Lorraine Kindergarten (Yuen Long) in December 2025. The surveyed trip rates are summarized in **Table 4.2**. The adopted trip rates of kindergarten under the Planning Application Y/YL-MP/10 are also listed below for comparison.

**Table 4.2 Trip Rates of Kindergarten**

	Trip Rate (pcu/hr/100 student)			
	AM Peak		PM Peak	
	Gen	Attr	Gen	Attr
Existing Saint Lorraine Kindergarten (Yuen Long)	8	8	1	1
Trip rates with reference to the TIA report under Planning Application Y/YL-MP/10	26	26	1	1

4.2.10 Based on **Table 4.2**, the trip rates with reference to the planning application Y/YL-MP/10 are higher and has been adopted for conservative approach. The adopted trip rates are presented in **Table 4.3**.

**Table 4.3 Adopted Trip Rates**

Use	Unit	Trip Rate			
		AM Peak		PM Peak	
		Gen	Attr	Gen	Attr
Residential <sup>(1)</sup>	(pcu/hr/flat)	0.0718	0.0425	0.0286	0.037
Kindergarten <sup>(2)</sup>	(pcu/hr/100 student)	26	26	1	1

Remarks: (1) Mean value of trip rates for Private Housing R(A) with average flat size of 60m<sup>2</sup> from TPDM is adopted.

(2) Adopted trip rates with reference to the TIA report under Planning Application Y/YL-MP/10.

4.2.11 For the proposed **EAC**, considering that the target group is mainly the elderly living in the locality and the corresponding peak hour would not overlap with the commuting peak hours, it is anticipated that its trip generations are limited during the commuting peak hours.

4.2.12 Based on the above adopted trips rates, the trip generations of the proposed development is estimated. **Table 4.4** summarises the estimated trip generations of the proposed scheme against the approved scheme.

**Table 4.4 Estimated Trip Generation of Proposed Development**

Scheme	Development Parameter		Estimated Trip Generations (pcu/hr)			
			AM Peak		PM Peak	
			Gen	Attr	Gen	Attr
<b>Approved Scheme</b>	Residential <sup>(1)</sup>	65 houses	15	9	9	11
<b>Proposed Scheme</b>	Residential	1,303 units	94	55	37	48
	Kindergarten	6 classrooms	47	47	5	5
	EAC <sup>(2)</sup>		10	10	10	10
	Shuttle bus Service <sup>(3)</sup>		22	22	22	22
	<b>Total</b>		173	134	74	85
	<b>Difference (Proposed Scheme – Approved Scheme)</b>		+158	+125	+65	+74

Remarks: (1) Trip generation extracted from the TIA report for the approved scheme.

(2) Nominal Trips.

(3) Shuttle bus service is proposed to serve the proposed development. Details of the shuttle bus trips refers to **Section 4.2.24**.

4.2.13 Compared to the approved scheme, the proposed scheme would generate an additional two-way trips of 283 pcu/hr and 139 pcu/hr during the morning and evening peak hour periods respectively as indicated in **Table 4.4**. The net increase in the development flows between the approved and proposed schemes are presented in **Drawing 4.3**.

4.2.14 As shown in **Table 4.4** and **Drawing 4.3**, the estimated traffic flows generated from the proposed development on adjacent strategic road (San Tin Highway) is less than 100 pcu at the critical bound during peak hour. According to the latest “TIA Checklist for Development Project” (February 2026 Edition) published by TD, 2-tier transport models are not required for this project.

#### Trips of Public Transport

4.2.15 With reference to Travel Characteristics Survey 2011 (TCS 2011) published by TD, the pedestrian trips of the proposed development during peak hour is derived as shown in **Table 4.5**.

**Table 4.5 Anticipated Peak-Hour Pedestrian Trips of the Proposed development**

Location	Estimated Population <sup>(1)</sup> [i]	Average daily mechanized trips per person <sup>(2)</sup> [ii]	Peak hour factor <sup>(3)</sup> [iii]	Peak hour Pedestrian Trips (pax/hr) =[i] x [ii] x [iii]
Proposed Development (1,303 units)	3,519	1.83	12%	773

Remarks: (1) Adopting the average domestic household size of 2.7.

(2) Average daily mechanised trips per person as extracted from TCS 2011.

(3) Weekday morning peak hour factor for all merchandised trips of 20% as a conservative approach (with reference to TCS 2011) and peak direction split of 60% assumed (i.e. 1-way Peak hour factor = 20% x 60% = 12%).

4.2.16 Based on the calculation in **Table 4.5**, it is anticipated that the pedestrian trips of the proposed development is 773 pax/hr during peak hour.

4.2.17 To identify the transport mode shares in local area, reference has been made to the Population Census 2021 published by Census and Statistics Department. The transport modal splits of Yuen Long District are reviewed and summarized in **Table 4.6**.

**Table 4.6 Transport Modal Split of Yuen Long District**

Transport Mode	Main Mode of Transport	Modal Split <sup>(1)(2)</sup>
PT Mode (81.7%)	Mass Transit Railway (MTR/Light Rail)	48.3%
	Coach	4.1%
	Franchised Bus	25.8%
	Public light bus	3.5%
Non-PT Mode (18.3%)	Private car / Passenger van/ Taxi	11%
	On foot only	7.3%
	Total	100%

Note : (1) With reference to the data in Population Census 2021.

(2) The transport mode “Ferry/Vessel” and “Others” has been excluded from the data in the Population Census.

4.2.18 As shown in **Table 4.6**, the modal splits for PT mode is 81.7%. Considering the existing available public transport modes in the study area, the PT mode are further split to “short-haul” and “long-haul” services as summarized in **Table 4.7**.

4.2.19 By applying the derived modal splits to the estimated peak-hour pedestrian trips of the proposed development in **Table 4.5**, the pedestrian trips of the proposed development by transport mode are shown in **Table 4.7**.

**Table 4.7 Transport Modal Split of Proposed Development**

Transport Mode		Modal Split <sup>(1)</sup>	Peak-hour Pedestrian Trip of the Proposed Development
PT Mode (81.7%)	Short-Haul Service	52.4% <sup>(2)</sup>	405
	Long-Haul Service	29.3% <sup>(3)</sup>	227
Non-PT Mode		18.3%	141
Total		100%	773

Remarks:

(1) Refer to **Table 4.6**.

(2) Split of short-haul service refer to the shuttle bus to the nearby railway station, which includes the modal splits of railway and coach services.

(3) Split of long-haul service includes the modal splits of franchise bus and public light bus.

4.2.20 Based on the calculation in **Table 4.7**, it is anticipated that the PT demand of the proposed development is 632 pax/hr during peak hour.

4.2.21 According to the recently agreed rezoning application Y/YL-MP/10 nearby, a new transport layby is planned to be provided within the applicant site of Y/YL-MP/10. Under its TIA report, a long-haul bus service to/from urban areas (such as, Hong Kong Island, Kowloon and Kowloon East) and a short-haul bus service to/from Yuen Long Station PTI are proposed to serve the future public transport demand in the area. The proposed bus frequency for both short-haul and long-haul bus services are 15 veh/hr and 8 veh/hr respectively, which has taken into account of the future transport demand arising from the 3 application sites of Y/YL-MP/7, Y/YL-MP/MP/8 and Y/YL-MP/MP/10 nearby.

4.2.22 By taking into account the bus demand arising from the nearby 3 application sites, the anticipated peak-hour bus demand in the area is calculated and summarized in **Table 4.8**.

**Table 4.8 Transport Modal Split of Proposed Development**

Bus Service	Anticipated Peak-hour Bus Demand arising from the nearby application sites <sup>(1)</sup> (pax/hr)	Anticipated Peak-hour Bus Demand arising from the proposed development <sup>(2)</sup>	Total Peak-hour Bus Demand
Short-Haul Bus Service to/from Yuen Long Station PTI	1350	405	1755
Long-Haul Bus Service to/from Urban Areas	727	227	954

Remarks:

(1) Extracted from the TIA report of the approved application site Y/YL-MP/10. The nearby application sites include Y/YL-MP/7, Y/YL-MP/8 and Y/YL-MP/10.

(2) Refer to **Table 4.7**.


4.2.23 To cater the future public transport demand of the proposed development, enhancement of the above-mentioned short-haul and long-haul bus services are suggested. According to assessment result in **Table 4.8**, it is suggested to increase the bus trip of short-haul and long-haul bus service to 20 veh/hr and 11 veh/hr based on a bus capacity of 90 pax/hr. The actual frequency of bus services is subject to the Transport Department's review at a later stage.

- 4.2.24 The application site will be located about 1 km radius of future Ngau Tam Mei Station upon completion of Northern Link (NOL) project in year 2034. Apart from the above-mentioned bus services, a circular shuttle bus route travelling between the application site and the future public transport interchange near Ngau Tam Mei Station is also suggested for further enhancement. A layby for residents' shuttle bus service/potential bus service will be reserved within the site for pick-up/drop-off, as illustrated in **Appendix F**. The arrangement of the shuttle bus service is subject to the future application to TD and the future bus route plan in the area.
- 4.2.25 Under the traffic forecast, the trips of the shuttle bus service has been included in the traffic forecast to present the conservative approach. By adopting the occupancy of 60 persons/coach, an additional trip of 11 coach/hr during peak hours will be included.

Year 2034 Traffic Forecast

- 4.2.26 In order to produce the traffic forecast at the design year of 2034, reference has been made to the TD's Base District Traffic Model (BDTM) "NTW1" covering Tuen Man and Yuen Long Area. The basic road network, planning assumptions, traffic circulation patterns and etc. have all been reviewed and considered in the exercise. The traffic trips induced from the committed project/developments as discussed in above have been included in the traffic forecasts. The traffic forecasts have also checked with reference to traffic forecast flows under the TIA of the recently agreed rezoning application Y/YL-MP/10 nearby.
- 4.2.27 Besides, the trips of the approved scheme of the application site have been included in the traffic forecast. The 2034 reference traffic flows (with approved scheme) are shown in **Drawing 4.2**.
- 4.2.28 The net increase of the development trips due to proposed scheme as derived in **Table 4.2** and shown in **Drawing 4.3** are superimposed onto the year 2034 reference traffic flows, to produce the anticipated year 2034 design traffic flows. The year 2034 design traffic flows (with proposed scheme) are shown in **Drawing 4.4**.

FILENAME: C:\Users\lkwo\OneDrive - SysstraGroup\Working\20230323\CHK508683\10\TIAF4-1-B.dgn  
 PRINTED BY: lkwo  
 DATE: 23-Mar-26  
 TIME: 4:50:57 PM



LOCAL PLANNED / COMMITTED DEVELOPMENTS:


1. LOTS 592, S.C ss.1 S.A, 592 S.C ss.4 AND 1252 S.C IN D.D. 115, NAM SANG WAI, YUEN LONG, A/YL-NSW/274.
2. PHASE 2 DEVELOPMENT OF LOTS 1783 (PART), 1784 RP, 1788 RP, 1789 RP, 1790 RP (PART), 1791 RP, 1795 (PART), 1796 (PART), 1797 (PART), 1836 (PART), 1927 S.A AND 1927 RP (PART) IN D.D. 107 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, KAM TIN, YUEN LONG, A-YL-KTN/663.
3. LOTS 1910 RP (PART) AND 1743 S.C RP (PART) IN D.D.107 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, WEST OF CASTLE PEAK ROAD - TAM MI, YUEN LONG, Y/YL-NSW/9
4. KAM TIN SOUTH PRIORITY SITES DEVELOPMENT
5. LOTS 8 RP (PART), 8 S.A RP, 12, 13, 14 S.B ss.2, 14 S.B RP, 14 S.C RP, 16, 17, 31 S.B RP, 33 RP, 36 RP, 45, 55 S.A AND 1740 S.A RP IN D.D.107 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, WEST OF CASTLE PEAK ROAD - TAM MI, YUEN LONG, Y/YL-NSW/8.
6. HO CHAU ROAD, YUEN LONG, NEW TERRITORIES (NEAR TUNG SHING LEI) (VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D. 115 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND), LSPS/002.
7. VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D. 107 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, CHEUNG CHUN SAN TSUEN, KAM TIN, YUEN LONG, A/YL-KTN/604.
8. SHA PO PUBLIC HOUSING DEVELOPMENT.
9. LOT 2206 IN D.D. 109, KAM TAI ROAD, KAM TIN, YUEN LONG, A/YL-KTN/791.
10. LOT 1071 IN D.D. 103, HA KO PO TSUEN, KAM TIN, YUEN LONG, A/YL-KTN/964.
11. VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, WING KEI TSUEN, NAM SANG WAI, YUEN LONG, Y/YL-NSW/7.
12. VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D. 103 AND D.D. 115, TUNG SHING LEI, NAM SANG WAI, YUEN LONG, A/YL-NSW/293.
13. PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT SCHEME TO INCLUDE WETLAND RESTORATION PROPOSAL AND PROPOSED FILLING OF PONDS/LAND AND EXCAVATION OF LAND IN "OU(CDWRA)" ZONE AT VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D. 104, NORTH OF KAM POK ROAD EAST, POK WAI, YUEN LONG, NEW TERRITORIES, A/YL-NSW/314.
14. NGAU TAM MEI NEW DEVELOPMENT AREA.
15. LOTS 870 S.A, 870 RP, 877 RP, 878 S.A, 878 S.B, 878 S.C, 878 S.D, 878 S.E, 878 S.F, 878 RP AND 892 IN D.D. 115 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, TUNG SHING LEI, NAM SANG WAI, YUEN LONG, A/YL-NSW/303.
16. LOTS 879, 880 S.A SS.1, 880 S.B SS.1, 881 TO 885, 889 RP (PART), 891 (PART), 1318, 1326 AND 1344 IN D.D. 115 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, AU TAU, NAM SANG WAI, YUEN LONG, A/YL-NSW/292.
17. LOT 4823 IN D.D. 104, NGAU TAM MEI, YUEN LONG, Y/YL-NTM/9.
18. LOTS 3054 S.A RP (PART), 3200 RP (PART), 3200 S.A RP, 3201 RP (PART), 3202 (PART), 3203 RP, 3204 RP, 3205 RP, 3156 RP, 3211 RP, 3212 RP, 3213 RP, 3214 S.A, 3 214 S.B, 3215, 3216, 3217, 3218 RP (PART), 3250 S.B SS.23 RP (PART), 3250 S.B SS.33 RP (PART) IN D.D. 104, AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, YUEN LONG, Y/YL-MP/3.
19. LOTS 3152, 3153 RP, 3156 S.B AND 4805 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND (GL), KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG, Y/YL-MP/10.
20. PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT LOTS 77 AND 50 S.A IN D.D. 101, WO SHANG WAI, YUEN LONG, Y/YL-MP/9.
21. SAN TIN TECHNOPOLE.

Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-
B	REVISION OF DRAWING NUMBER	PTC	02JAN26
A	MINOR AMENDMENT	PTC	11DEC25

Project Title  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**

Drawing Title <b>LOCATIONS OF PLANNED / COMMITTED DEVELOPMENTS</b>							
Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	1:25000(A3)	Date	JUN 2025
Drawing No.	<b>4.1</b>			Rev.	B		






B	TRAFFIC FLOWS UPDATED	PTC	9JAN26	-	-	-	-
A	TRAFFIC FLOWS UPDATED	PTC	11DEC25	-	-	-	-
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date

Project Title  
 PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D.104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG

Drawing Title  
**YEAR 2034 REFERENCE TRAFFIC FLOWS**



Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	NTS	Date	JUL 2025	Drawing No.	<b>4.2</b>	Rev.	B
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------------	------	---




B	TRAFFIC FLOWS UPDATED	PTC	9JAN26	-	-	-	-
A	TRAFFIC FLOWS UPDATED	PTC	11DEC25	C	TRAFFIC FLOWS UPDATED	PTC	27MAR26
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date

Project Title  
 PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D.104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG

Drawing Title  
**DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC FLOWS (NET INCREASE)**



Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	NTS	Date	JUL 2025	Drawing No.	<b>4.3</b>	Rev.	B
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------------	------	---



**LEGEND :**  
 20(20) AM(PM) PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC FLOWS (PCU/HR)

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A	TRAFFIC FLOWS UPDATED	PTC	27MAR26	-	-	-	-
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date

Project Title  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D.104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**

Drawing Title  
**YEAR 2034 DESIGN TRAFFIC FLOWS**



Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	NTS	Date	JAN 2026	Drawing No.	<b>4.4</b>	Rev.	-
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------------	------	---

## 5. TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### 5.1 Traffic Impact Assessment

5.1.1 To investigate the traffic impact of the proposed development on the surrounding road network at the design year 2034, operational performance of the identified key local junctions and critical links have been assessed for both reference and design scenarios.

#### **Planned Improvement at Fairview Park Interchange (J1)**

5.1.2 According to RNTPC Paper No. 10/22 dated 9 December 2022 for the CEDD's project "Agreement No. CE 10/2020 (CE)- Site Formation and Infrastructure Works for Proposed Public Housing Developments at Sha Po, Shap Pat Heung and Tai Kei Leng, Yuen Long – Feasibility Study", an improvement works has been planned to Fairview Park Interchange (J1) as illustrated in **Drawing 5.1**. Under the improvement scheme, the southern arm of San Tam Road and the approach arms of Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (northbound) and San Tin Highway Slip Road (southbound) would be widened. An additional exclusive left-turn lane at San Tin Highway Slip Road (northbound) would also be provided.

5.1.3 Besides, further improvement to this planned junction (J1) has been proposed under the recently agreed rezoning application no. Y/YL-MP/10 as illustrated in **Drawing 5.1**. This improvement works is expected to be completed prior to its commissioning (i.e. before the year 2034). Thus, this planned improvement layout has been adopted in the assessment.

#### **Planned Improvements at junction Kam Pok Road/Vehicular Bridge (North) (J4) and junction Castle Peak Road/Kam Pok Road (J6)**

5.1.4 Apart from junction J1, two additional junction improvements for Kam Pok Road/Vehicular Bridge (North) (J4) and Castle Peak Road/Kam Pok Road (J6) have also been proposed under the agreed rezoning application no. Y/YL-MP/10.

5.1.5 For junction Kam Pok Road/Vehicular Bridge (North) (J4), the existing 3-arm signal-controlled junction is planned to be converted to 4-arm junction, and an additional exclusive left-turn lane would be provided at the approach arm of Kam Pok Road westbound. The planned junction improvement layout is illustrated in **Drawing 5.2**. For junction Castle Peak Road/Kam Pok Road (J6), separate turning would be allowed at the approach arm of Yau Pok Road as illustrated in **Drawing 5.3**. These planned junction improvement works have been adopted in the assessment.

#### **Junction Operational Performance**

5.1.6 Based on the existing/planned layouts, the junction assessment results for the 2034 reference and design scenarios are summarized in **Table 5.1**. The junction calculation sheets are attached in **Appendix I**.

**Table 5.1 Junction Operational Performance at Year 2034**

Ref (2)	Junction	Junction Type	2034 RC/RFC <sup>(1)</sup>			
			Reference (with approved Scheme)		Design (with Proposed Scheme)	
			AM Peak	PM Peak	AM Peak	PM Peak
J1	Fairview Park Interchange <sup>(3)</sup>	Roundabout	0.87	0.89	0.91	0.92
J2	Fairview Park Boulevard / Kam Pok Road	Signal	61%	55%	61%	54%
J3	Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge (South)	Signal	>100%	>100%	88%	>100%
J4	Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge (North) <sup>(4)</sup>	Signal	>100%	>100%	56%	>100%
J5	Kam Pok Road / Ha Chuk Yuen Road	Priority	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06
J6	Castle Peak Road / Kam Pok Road <sup>(5)</sup>	Priority	0.71	0.34	1.15	0.50
J7	Kam Pok Road / Fung Chuk Road	Priority	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.04

Remarks: (1) RC = reserve capacity, RFC = ratio of flow to capacity.

(2) Locations refer to **Drawing 3.2**.

(3) Based on the planned junction layout as illustrated in **Drawing 5.1**.

(4) Based on the planned junction layout as illustrated in **Drawing 5.2**.

(5) Based on the planned junction layout as illustrated in **Drawing 5.3**.

5.1.7 The results of the assessment as shown in **Table 5.1** indicated that all identified key junctions would operate within capacities under reference case (with approved scheme), except the junction Fairview Park Interchange (J1). Whilst, for the design case (with proposed scheme), all identified key junctions would operate within capacities, except the junction Fairview Park Interchange (J1) and Castle Peak Road/Kam Pok Road (J6).

Road Link Performance

5.1.8 Apart from junction capacity assessment, the road link operation performance was also undertaken for both reference and design scenarios. Based on the existing road layouts with traffic forecast, the results of the assessment are summarized in **Tables 5.2**.

**Table 5.2 Year 2034 Road Link Operational Performance for Reference Case and Design Case**

Ref. (1)	Road Link	Dir	Link Capacity (pcu/hr)	Reference Case (with Approved Scheme)				Design Case (with Current Scheme)			
				Traffic Flows (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio		Traffic Flows (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio	
				AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
L1	Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi	2-way	2,125 <sup>(2)</sup>	1,500	1,175	0.71	0.55	1,710	1,295	0.80	0.61
L2	Fairview Park Boulevard	EB	2,600 <sup>(3)</sup>	1,020	830	0.39	0.32	1,020	830	0.39	0.32
		WB	2,600 <sup>(3)</sup>	670	955	0.26	0.37	670	955	0.26	0.37
L3	Kam Pok Road	2-way	1,800 <sup>(4)</sup>	615	380	0.34	0.21	890	515	0.49	0.29
L4	Fung Chuk Road	2-way	100 <sup>(5)</sup>	5	10	0.05	0.1	25	30	0.25	0.30
L5	Ha Chuk Yuen Road	2-way	100 <sup>(5)</sup>	5	10	0.05	0.1	25	30	0.25	0.30

Ref. (1)	Road Link	Dir	Link Capacity (pcu/hr)	Reference Case (with Approved Scheme)				Design Case (with Current Scheme)			
				Traffic Flows (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio		Traffic Flows (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio	
				AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
L6	San Tin Highway	NB	6,100 <sup>(6)</sup>	7,015	6,415	1.15	1.05	7,065	6,445	1.16	1.06
		SB	6,100 <sup>(6)</sup>	6,130	5,635	1.00	0.92	6,210	5,660	1.02	0.93

Remarks: (1) Refer to **Drawing 3.2**.

(2) Road capacity for single 2-lane rural road, with consideration of roadside activities.

(3) Road capacity for dual-2 lane local distributor.

(4) Road capacity for single-2 lane local distributor.

(5) Road capacity for single-track access road.

(6) Road capacity for dual-3 lane trunk road.

5.1.9 The results of the assessment as shown in **Table 5.2** indicated that all identified road links, except San Tin Highway (L1) would operate within capacities under reference case (with approved scheme) and design case (with current scheme).

5.1.10 Under the design year 2034, the v/c ratio of San Tin Highway would reach between 1.0 and 1.2, meaning that the traffic speed would be reduced, but would still be manageable. As mentioned in **Section 4.2**, a NM Highway has been planned by the government, and the traffic pressure at the existing San Tin Highway would be alleviated upon commissioning of NM Highway.

#### Queue Length Assessment

5.1.11 Queue length assessment at the approach arms of the identified junctions has been conducted for both reference and designs scenarios. The results are summarized in **Table 5.3**.

**Table 5.3 Year 2034 Queue Length Assessment for Reference Case and Design Case**

Ref. (1)	Approach Arm	Road Length of each lane	Year 2034 Average Queue Length (m)			
			Reference Case (with Approved Scheme)		Design Case (with Current Scheme)	
			AM	PM	AM	PM
J1	Fairview Park Boulevard	391	168	8	221	9
	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (SB)	590	26	2	96	3
	San Tin Highway Slip Road (SB)	329	53	18	76	21
	San Tam Road (SB)	563	95	8	139	9
	San Tam Road (NB)	202	15	4	17	4
	San Tin Highway Slip Road (NB)	410	17	132	23	195
	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (NB)	840	51	10	82	11
J2	Fairview Park Boulevard (EB)	40	54	35	54	35
	Fairview Park Boulevard (WB)	252	43	54	43	54
	Kam Pok Road (SB)	39	22	20	21	20
	Kam Pok Road (NB)	160	25	30	26	31
J3	Vehicular Bridge (EB)	55	1	1	1	1
	Kam Pok Rd (SB)	35	9	7	18	12
	Kam Pok Rd (NB)	78	5	4	16	9

Ref. (1)	Approach Arm	Road Length of each lane	Year 2034 Average Queue Length (m)			
			Reference Case (with Approved Scheme)		Design Case (with Current Scheme)	
			AM	PM	AM	PM
J4	Vehicular Bridge (SB)	54	2	1	2	1
	Kam Pok Road (EB)	334	13	10	29	16
	R(D) Site Access	27	13	3	15	7
	Kam Pok Road (WB)	242	17	12	25	16
J5	Ha Chuk Yuen Road	226	0	0	0	0
J6	Kam Pok Road	59	13	2	195	4
J7	Fung Chuk Road	192	0	0	0	0

5.1.12 The results of the assessment as shown in **Table 5.3** indicated that no traffic queue back to the adjacent junction is anticipated during peak hour under reference case (with approved scheme) and design case (with current scheme), except the approach arm of Fairview Park Boulevard (EB) at J2 and the minor arm of Kam Pok Road at J6. For the approach arm of Fairview Park Boulevard (EB), no development trip is anticipated to pass through. Thus, the anticipated average queue lengths are the same under both reference and design cases, as shown in **Table 5.3**.

Proposed Junction Improvements for Fairview Park Interchange (J1) and Castle Peak Road/Kam Pok Road (J6)

5.1.13 To resolve the foreseeable traffic problems, local junction improvement measures have been proposed for the planned junctions Fairview Park Interchange (J1) and Castle Peak Road / Kam Pok Road (J6).

5.1.14 For the planned junction Fairview Park Interchange (J1), it is proposed to widen the approach arms of Fairview Park Boulevard, San Tin Highway Slip Road northbound and San Tam Road southbound, and also provide an exclusive left-turn for San Tin Highway Slip Road southbound to enhance the junction capacity. The indicative junction improvement scheme is shown in **Drawing 5.4**. For Castle Peak Road/Kam Pok Road (J6), it is proposed to convert the junction from priority-controlled to mini roundabout. The indicative junction improvement scheme is shown in **Drawing 5.5** and the relevant swept path analysis, which demonstrates the adequacy of turning areas provided, is presented in **Appendix G**. The proposed junction improvements will be implemented by the proposed development.

5.1.15 The operational performances of the junction Fairview Park Interchange (J1) and Castle Peak Road/Kam Pok Road (J6) were re-assessed based on the proposed improvement schemes. The results are summarized in **Table 5.4**.

**Table 5.4 Year 2034 Junction Operational Performance with Proposed Improvement Scheme**

Ref.	Junction	Type	2034 Design Case	
			Reserve Capacity (RC)	
			AM Peak	PM Peak
J1	Fairview Park Interchange <sup>(1)</sup>	Roundabout	0.84	0.80
J6	Castle Peak Road / Kam Pok Road <sup>(2)</sup>	Roundabout	0.42	0.44

Remarks: (1) Based on the proposed junction improvement works on **Drawing 5.4**.

(2) Based on the proposed junction improvement works on **Drawing 5.5**.

5.1.16 Besides, queue length assessment at the approach arms of the proposed improvement works at Castle Peak Road / Kam Pok Road (J6) has also been conducted. The results are summarized in **Table 5.5**.

**Table 5.5 Year 2034 Queue Length Assessment for Proposed Improvement Scheme at Castle Peak Road / Kam Pok Road (J6)**

Ref.	Approach Arm	Road Length of each lane	2034 Design Case	
			Year 2034 Average Queue Length (m)	
			AM Peak	PM Peak
J6 <sup>(1)</sup>	Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (NB)	80	2	2
	Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (SB)	50	5	5
	Kam Pok Road	59	8	3

Remark: (1) Based on the proposed junction improvement works on **Drawing 5.5**.

5.1.17 The results of the junction assessments as shown in **Table 5.4** and **Table 5.5** indicated that the junction Fairview Park Interchange (J1) and Castle Peak Road/Kam Pok Road (J6) could be alleviated with the proposed improvement schemes at the design year 2034.

5.1.18 The above assessment has taken into account of the planned junction improvement works proposed by Y/YL-MP/10 and Sha Po Public Housing Development. In case there is a programme mismatch between the subject site and these two planned developments, an alternative junction improvement works at Fairview Park Interchange (J1) is proposed by the subject application. It is proposed to widen the approach arms of Castle Peak Road-Tam Mei northbound, San Tin Highway Slip Road southbound and provide an additional exclusive left-turn lane at San Ting Highway Slip Road northbound. The indicative junction improvement works is illustrated in **Drawing 5.6**. The junction design/arrangement would be submitted to the satisfaction of the relevant departments during detailed design stage as well as possibly covered in the land transaction.

5.1.19 The design flows at Fairview Park Interchange (J1) excluding these two planned developments are presented in **Appendix H**. The operational performance of the junction Fairview Park Interchange (J1) was re-assessed based on the improvement scheme in **Drawing 5.6** and the design flows in **Appendix H**. The result is summarized in **Table 5.6**.

**Table 5.6 Year 2034 Junction Operational Performance with Junction Improvement Scheme (under the scenario without Y/YL-MP/10 and Sha Po Public Housing Development)**

Ref.	Junction	Type	2034 Design Case	
			Ratio of flow to capacity	
			AM Peak	PM Peak
J1	Fairview Park Interchange <sup>(1)</sup>	Roundabout	0.79	0.74

Remarks: (1) Based on the proposed junction improvement works on **Drawing 5.6**.

5.1.20 The results of the junction assessment as shown in **Table 5.6** indicated that the junction Fairview Park Interchange (J1) could be alleviated with the improvement scheme at the design year 2034 (under the scenario without Y/YL-MP/10 and Sha Po Public Housing Development).

## 5.2 Sensitivity Test 1

- 5.2.1 It is noted that the rezoning applications under nos. Y/YL-MP/7, Y/YL-MP/8 in close vicinity to the site are currently under processing for increasing their development densities. Thus, a sensitivity test was conducted to assess the traffic impact by assuming these potential residential developments will be completed before the design year 2034. The locations of the potential sites are illustrated in **Drawing 5.7**.
- 5.2.2 The development schedules and estimated trip generations of these planning applications are summarized in **Table 5.7**. The estimated trip generations were included in the traffic forecast for Sensitivity Test 1. The year 2034 design traffic flows under Sensitivity Test 1 is shown in **Drawing 5.8**.

**Table 5.7 Estimated Trip Generations of Potential Residential Developments**

Planning Application	Current Zoning	Proposed Domestic Plot Ratio	No. of Units.	Trip Generations (pcu/hr) <sup>(1)</sup>			
				AM Peak		PM Peak	
				GEN	ATTR	GEN	ATTR
Proposed Residential Development at west of Yau Pok Road (Y/YL-MP/7 & MP/8) <sup>(2)</sup>	REC & R(C)	about 1.2	2,477	246	174	109	131

Remarks: (1) Trip Generations extracted from the latest submitted TIA report of the planning application.

(2) The site is the subject of the previous approved planning application Y/YL-MP/3. Trip generations of the previous approved applications were excluded separately from the traffic forecast.

### Junction Operational Performance for Sensitivity Test 1

- 5.2.3 Based on the existing/planned layouts, the results of the junction assessment for the design case under Sensitivity Test 1 are summarized in **Table 5.8**. The junction calculation sheets are attached in **Appendix I**.

**Table 5.8 Junction Operational Performance at Year 2034 under Sensitivity Test 1**

Ref <sup>(2)</sup>	Junction	2034 RC/RFC <sup>(1)</sup>	
		Design Case under Sensitivity Test 1	
		AM Peak	PM Peak
J1	Fairview Park Interchange <sup>(3)</sup>	0.97	0.83
J2	Fairview Park Boulevard / Kam Pok Road	46%	46%
J3	Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge (South)	36%	>100%
J4	Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge (North) <sup>(4)</sup>	15%	99%
J5	Kam Pok Road / Ha Chuk Yuen Road	0.08	0.06
J6	Castle Peak Road / Kam Pok Road <sup>(5)</sup>	0.48	0.49
J7	Kam Pok Road / Fung Chuk Road	0.04	0.04

Remarks:

(1) RC = reserve capacity, RFC = ratio of flow to capacity.

(2) Locations refer to **Drawing 3.2**.

(3) Based on the proposed junction layout as illustrated in **Drawing 5.4**.

(4) Based on the planned junction layout as illustrated in **Drawing 5.2**.

(5) Based on the proposed junction layout as illustrated in **Drawing 5.5**.

- 5.2.4 The results of the assessment as shown in **Table 5.8** indicated that all identified key junctions would operate within capacities under the design case in Sensitivity Test 1, except Fairview Park Interchange (J1).

Road Link Performance for Sensitivity Test 1

5.2.5 Apart from junction capacity assessment, the road link operation performance was also undertaken for both reference and design scenarios. Based on the existing road layouts with traffic forecast, the results of the assessment are summarized in **Table 5.9**.

**Table 5.9 Year 2034 Road Link Operational Performance for Design Case under Sensitivity Test 1**

Ref. (1)	Road Link	Dir	Link Capacity (pcu/hr)	Design Case under Sensitivity Test 1			
				Traffic Flows (pcu/hr)		V/C Ratio	
				AM	PM	AM	PM
L1	Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi	2-way	2,125 <sup>(2)</sup>	1,915	1,420	0.90	0.67
L2	Fairview Park Boulevard	EB	2,600 <sup>(3)</sup>	1,020	830	0.39	0.32
		WB	2,600 <sup>(3)</sup>	670	955	0.26	0.37
L3	Kam Pok Road	2-way	1,800 <sup>(4)</sup>	1,180	675	0.66	0.38
L4	Fung Chuk Road	2-way	100 <sup>(5)</sup>	25	30	0.25	0.30
L5	Ha Chuk Yuen Road	2-way	100 <sup>(5)</sup>	25	30	0.25	0.30
L6	San Tin Highway	NB	6,100 <sup>(6)</sup>	7,145	6,510	1.17	1.07
		SB	6,100 <sup>(6)</sup>	6,335	5,710	1.04	0.94

Remarks: (1) Refer to **Drawing 3.2**.

(2) Road capacity for single 2-lane rural road, with consideration of roadside activities.

(3) Road capacity for dual-2 lane local distributor.

(4) Road capacity for single-2 lane local distributor.

(5) Road capacity for single-track access road.

(6) Road capacity for dual-3 lane trunk road.

5.2.6 The assessment results in **Table 5.9** indicated that all identified road links except San Tin Highway (L6) would operate within capacities under the design case in Sensitivity Test 1. Similar to the design case, the v/c ratio of San Tin Highway would reach between 1.0 and 1.2 under the design 2034 meaning that the traffic speed would be reduced, but would still be manageable. The traffic pressure at the existing San Tin Highway would be alleviated upon commissioning of NM Highway.

Suggested Junction Improvement for Fairview Park Interchange (J1)

5.2.7 To resolve the foreseeable traffic problem under the sensitivity test, a local junction improvement measure has been suggested for the proposed junction Fairview Park Interchange (J1). It is further proposed to widen the approach arms of Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi northbound and provide an exclusive left-turn for Castle Peak Road – Tam Mei southbound to enhance the junction capacity. Details of junction improvement scheme are shown in **Drawing 5.9**.

5.2.8 The operational performance of the junction Fairview Park Interchange (J1) was re-assessed based on the suggested improvement scheme. The result is summarized in **Table 5.10**.

**Table 5.10 Year 2034 Junction Operational Performance with Suggested Improvement Scheme**

Ref.	Junction	Type	2034 Design Case	
			Ratio of flow to capacity	
			AM Peak	PM Peak
J1	Fairview Park Interchange <sup>(1)</sup>	Roundabout	0.84	0.83

Remarks: (1) Based on the suggested junction improvement works on **Drawing 5.9**.

5.2.9 The results of the junction assessment as shown in **Table 5.10** indicated that the junction Fairview Park Interchange (J1) could be alleviated with the suggested improvement scheme at the design year 2034.

### 5.3 Pedestrian Assessment

5.3.1 The footpaths at Kam Pok Road and Fairview Park Boulevard would be the main pedestrian route to/from the application site. Two sections of the concerned footpaths have been identified for assessment and the location of the two sections are shown in **Drawing 5.10**. Based on a pedestrian head count survey on a typical weekday in April 2025, the observed two-way pedestrian flows at the concerned footpaths during the critical AM peak hour are summarized in **Table 5.11**. The observed pedestrian flows are found to be minimal.

**Table 5.11 Observed Pedestrian Flows during Peak Hours**

Ref <sup>(1)</sup>	Section	Existing Footpath Width	Observed Two-way Pedestrian Flows during AM Peak (ped/hr)
P1	Kam Pok Road	1.5m	75
P2	Fairview Park Boulevard	2m	45

Remark: (1) Locations refer to **Drawing 5.10**.

5.3.2 The proposed development will provide 1,303 units with about 3,519 population. There is a planned residential development at Kam Pok Road (i.e. approved planning application Y/YL-MP/10) to the north of the application site. Two other application sites under rezoning applications nos. Y/YL-MP/7 & Y/YL-MP/8 are also located in the close proximity to the site. The estimated pedestrian trips of the proposed development together with these planned/potential developments are summarised in **Table 5.12**.


**Table 5.12 Estimated Pedestrian Trips during Peak Hours**

Development	No. of Units	Estimated Population	Estimated Pedestrian Trips during peak hours (ped/hr)
Proposed Development	1,303	3,519 <sup>(1)</sup>	773 <sup>(1)</sup>
Planned Residential Development at Kam Pok Road with Transport Layby (Approved planning application Y/YL-MP/10)	2,322	6,270 <sup>(2)</sup>	1,005 for PT trips and 372 non-PT trips <sup>(2)</sup>
Potential Residential Development at Yau Pok Road with Transport Laybys under planning applications Y/YL-MP/7 & Y/YL-MP/8	2,477	6,688 <sup>(2)</sup>	1,072 for PT trips and 397 for non-PT trips <sup>(2)</sup>

Remark: (1) Refer to **Table 4.5**.

(2) Information extracted from its planning application.

5.3.3 As discussed in **Section 4.2.21**, the public transport demands of the planned/potential developments (i.e. Y/YL-MP/7, Y/YL-MP/8 & Y/YL-MP/10) would be served by the future bus services in their proposed Transport Layby within their sites. By assuming that all the pedestrian trips of the proposed development and the non-PT trips of the adjacent developments would all be loaded into the two concerned footpaths for a worst-case scenario, the pedestrian trips generated from all the proposed/planned/potential developments to the nearby footpaths are 1542 ped/hr (i.e. 773 + 372 + 397 = 1,542) during peak hours. The 1.5m wide footpaths at Kam Pok Road, which can serve at a capacity of 2970 ped/hr based on satisfactory LOS C at flow rate of 33 ped/min/m, and the 2m wide footpath at Fairview Park Boulevard, which can serve at a capacity of 3,960 based on satisfactory LOS C at flow rate of 33 ped/min/m, should have sufficient capacities to cater for the future pedestrian demands in the area.



Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-


Project Title  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT  
 LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND,  
 EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**

Drawing Title  
**PLANNED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT LAYOUT OF  
 FAIRVIEW PARK INTERCHANGE (J1)**


Designed HZF    Checked PTC    Scale 1:1000(A3)    Date JUN 2025    Drawing No. **5.1**    Rev. -



FILENAME: E:\OneDrive - SysstraGroup\hocalch\k50868310\lta\F52.dgn  
 PRINTED BY: lho1  
 DATE: 11 Jul 2025  
 TIME: 10:06:00



Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Project Title				Drawing Title							
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG				<b>PLANNED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT LAYOUT OF                      KAM POK ROAD / VEHICULAR                      BRIDGE - NORTH / VEHICULAR ACCESS (J4)</b>							
Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	1:500(A4)	Date	JUN 2025				



**公眾一般須知**  
 In this parking place during the period of suspension.


1. 此單車泊車處會不定期被中止使用，以清理存放的單車。
2. 中止前最少十四天會張貼有關中止使用的通告，公眾在通告指明的日期後，不要存放單車在泊車處。
3. 當泊車處被中止後，單車不准停泊。
4. 在中止使用期間存放在此泊車處的單車，當期會依例變賣及移走單車。


**Cycle Parking Place - Parking Advice**

1. This parking place is for pedal cycles only.
2. No parking is allowed for a continuous period of more than 24 hours.
3. Pedal cycles must be parked at the parking racks.
4. This parking place may be suspended for use from time to time to clear any pedal cycles left.


**單車泊車處 - 停泊須知**

1. 此泊車處僅供單車停泊。
2. 單車不可連續停泊超過24小時。
3. 單車必須停放於停泊架。
4. 此泊車處會不定期被中止使用，以清理存放的單車。

**LEGEND:**  
 PLANNED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT UNDER PLANNING APPLICATION Y/YL-MP/10

Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Project Title				Drawing Title							
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4882 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG				<b>PLANNED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT LAYOUT OF KAM POK ROAD / CASTLE PEAK ROAD - TAM MI (J6)</b>							
Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	1:500(A4)	Date	JUN 2025				

FILENAME: C:\Users\ikwong\OneDrive - SysstraGroup\Working\20260116\CHK50868310\TAIF54-A.dgn  
 PRINTED BY: ikwong DATE: 16-Jan-26 TIME: 5:37:45 PM



- LEGEND:**
- PLANNED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT UNDER SHA PO PUBLIC HOUSING DEVELOPMENT
  - PLANNED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT UNDER PLANNING APPLICATION Y/YL-MP/10
  - PROPOSED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT

Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
A	MINOR AMENDMENT	PTC	16JAN26

**Project Title**


PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG

**Drawing Title**

**PROPOSED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT LAYOUT OF FAIRVIEW PARK INTERCHANGE (J1)**

Designed HZF Checked PTC Scale 1:1000(A3) Date JUN 2025 Drawing No. 5.4 Rev. A





**General Advice to Public**

1. This cycle parking place may be superseded for use from time to time to clear any pedd cycles left.
2. A sign regarding suspension of use will be posted at least 14 days prior to the suspension. The public shall not leave their pedd cycles in this parking place after the date specified in the notice.
3. No parking of pedd cycles is allowed during the suspension period of this parking place.
4. The Authority will take possession of and remove all pedd cycles left in this parking place during the period of suspension.


**公眾一般須知**

1. 此單車泊車處會不定期被中止使用，以清理存放的單車。
2. 中止前最少十四天會張貼有關中止使用的通告，公眾在通告指明的日期後，不要存放單車在泊車處。
3. 當泊車處被中止後，單車不准停放。
4. 在中止使用期間存放在此泊車處的單車，當局會依例接管及移走單車。

**Cycle P**

1. This p
2. No po
- 24 ho
3. Pedd
4. This p
- to cle

1. 此泊  
2. 單車  
3. 單車  
4. 此泊



FILENAME: D:\OneDrive - SystraGroup\JOBS\NEW\CHK50868310\TAIF55-A.dgn  
 PRINTED BY: cchan DATE: 12-Mar-26 TIME: 6:37:39 PM


-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A	MINOR AMENDMENT	PTC	12MAR26	-	-	-	-
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date

Project Title  
 PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4882 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG

Drawing Title  
**PROPOSED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT LAYOUT OF KAM POK ROAD / CASTLE PEAK ROAD - TAM MI (J6)**



Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	1:500(A4)	Date	MAR 2026	Drawing No.	<b>5.5</b>	Rev.	A
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	-----------	------	----------	-------------	------------	------	---



LEGEND:  
 PROPOSED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT


Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

Project Title  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**

Drawing Title  
**PROPOSED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT LAYOUT OF FAIRVIEW PARK INTERCHANGE (J1) (UNDER THE SCENARIO WITHOUT Y/YL-MP/10 AND SHA PO DEVELOPMENT)**

Designed HZF Checked PTC Scale 1:1000(A3) Date MAR 2026 Drawing No. **5.6** Rev. -





LEGEND:

- APPLICATION SITE
- POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS:

A) PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS IN REC & R(C) ZONES AT YAU POK ROAD (Y/MLP/7 & MP/8).


A	MINOR AMENDMENT	PTC	08DEC25	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date

Project Title  
 PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG

Drawing Title  
**LOCATIONS OF POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS**



Designed	HZF	Checked	PTC	Scale	N.T.S.(A4)	Date	JUN 2025	Drawing No.	<b>5.7</b>	Rev.	A
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	------------	------	----------	-------------	------------	------	---



B	TRAFFIC FLOWS UPDATED	PTC	16MAR26	-	-	-	-
A	TRAFFIC FLOWS UPDATED	PTC	11DEC25				
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date


Project Title  
 PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D.104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG

Drawing Title  
**YEAR 2034 DESIGN TRAFFIC FLOWS (SENSITIVITY TEST 1)**



Designed	CWH	Checked	PTC	Scale	NTS	Date	JUL 2025	Drawing No.	5.8	Rev.	B
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	-----	------	----------	-------------	-----	------	---

FILENAME: C:\Users\ikwong\OneDrive - SysstraGroup\Working\20260317\CHK50868310\TIAF59-A.dgn  
 PRINTED BY: ikwong DATE: 17-Mar-26 TIME: 4:21:29 PM



- LEGEND:**
- PLANNED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT UNDER SHA PO PUBLIC HOUSING DEVELOPMENT
  - PLANNED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT UNDER PLANNING APPLICATION Y/YL-MP/10
  - SUGGESTED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT
  - PROPOSED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT


Rev.	Description	Checked	Date
A	MINOR AMENDMENT	PTC	16JAN26

**Project Title**  
 PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D. 104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG

**Drawing Title**  
**SUGGESTED JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT LAYOUT OF FAIRVIEW PARK INTERCHANGE (J1)**

Designed **HZF** Checked **PTC** Scale **1:1000(A3)** Date **JUN 2025** Drawing No. **5.9** Rev. **A**





-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rev.	Description	Checked	Date	Rev.	Description	Checked	Date

Project Title  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AT LOT NO. 4822 IN D.D.104 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, EAST OF KAM POK ROAD, MAI PO, YUEN LONG**

Drawing Title  
**IDENTIFIED FOOTPATHS**



Designed	CWH	Checked	PTC	Scale	NTS	Date	MAR 2026	Drawing No.	5.10	Rev.	-
----------	-----	---------	-----	-------	-----	------	----------	-------------	------	------	---

## 6. SUMMARY & CONCLUSION

### 6.1 Summary


- 6.1.1 The application site comprises lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and adjoining government Land, east Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long, as indicated in **Drawing 1.1**. The Applicant proposes to increase its domestic plot ratio from 0.2 to 1.5. Under the current scheme, the proposed development will provide 5 medium-rise residential blocks of total 1,303 units, one 6-classroom kindergarten and one **Elderly Activity Centre**.
- 6.1.2 The main development vehicular access will be located at Kam Pok Road. The internal transport facilities provisions will be provided in accordance with the relevant guidelines stipulated in the latest HKPSG and the comments from TD.
- 6.1.3 Traffic surveys have been conducted to establish the current traffic condition in the vicinity of the site. The results of the junction and link capacity assessments have revealed that all the identified local junctions and road links are currently operating within capacities during peak hours.
- 6.1.4 The tentative operation year of proposed development is 2031. Thus, the design year of 2034 is adopted for traffic forecast and assessment purposes.
- 6.1.5 Operational performance of all identified local junctions and road links have been assessed based on the anticipated year 2034 traffic flows and the existing/planned layouts. The results of the assessment as shown in **Table 5.1** and **Table 5.2** revealed that all identified key junctions and road links will operate within capacities except the planned junctions Fairview Park Interchange (J1) and Castle Peak Road / Kam Pok Road (J6).
- 6.1.6 To resolve the foreseeable traffic problem, local junction improvement measures have been proposed for Fairview Park Interchange (J1) and Castle Peak Road/Kam Pok Road (J6). According to the results of the junction assessment as shown in **Table 5.4**, the junctions J1 and J6 can operate within capacity under the proposed improvement schemes at the design year 2034.
- 6.1.7 It is noted that two rezoning applications in the vicinity of the application site have been submitted for increasing their development densities. A sensitivity test (i.e. Sensitivity Test 1) has conducted to assess the traffic impact by assuming that these potential residential developments will be completed before the design year 2034. The results of the junction and link assessment under Sensitivity Test 1 revealed that all identified key junctions and road links will operate within capacities except Fairview Park Interchange (J1). A junction improvement scheme has been suggested for Fairview Park Interchange (J1).

### Conclusion

- 6.2.1 In conclusion, the results of the traffic impact assessment have demonstrated that the development traffic generation by the subject site can be absorbed by the nearby road network (with implementation of junction improvement works at Fairview Park Interchange J1 and Castle Peak Road/Kam Pok Road J6) and would not cause any adverse traffic impact. Hence it can be concluded that the proposed development is considered acceptable in traffic engineering perspective.


## Appendix A

# Swept Path Analysis at the Proposed Vehicular Accesses and Internal Driveway




Remark: The internal road layout is subject to change in the detailed design

**Swept Path Analysis for 12.8m Bus at the Main Entrance**  
**1:500 in A4 Size**



**Swept Path Analysis for 7m Vehicle at the Second Entrance**  
**1:500 in A4 Size**




Remark: The internal road layout is subject to change in the detailed design

**Swept Path Analysis for 7m Vehicles at Internal Road  
1:250 in A4 size**

**Drawing No. A3**

## Appendix B

# Potential Access Arrangement at Main Access



Remark: The access arrangement is subject to change in the detailed design

**Potential Access Arrangement at Main Access**  
**1:800 in A4 Size**


## Appendix C

# Preliminary Phasing Plan of San Tin Technopole

(Extracted from EIA study of First Phase Development of the New Territories North – San Tin / Lok Ma Chau Development Node – Investigation)

\* Extracted from EIA study of First Phase Development of the New Territories North – San Tin / Lok Ma Chau Development Node – Investigation

ISO A1 594mm x 841mm  
Approved:  
Checked: 841500 N  
Designer:  
Project Management Initials: 840000 N  
838500 N  
Plot File by: RevS 2023/11/14  
PATH P:\PROJECTS\60670882\DRAWING\REPORT\A34\A34\_371.dgn



**LEGEND:**

- NOL2 ALIGNMENT
- INITIAL PHASE COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS IN END 2024  
FIRST INTAKE IN 2031
- MAIN PHASE COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS IN 2026  
MASS INTAKE IN 2034
- REMAINING PHASE COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS IN 2032  
MASS INTAKE IN 2039
- NON-DEVELOPMENT AREA OF THE PROJECT
- ZONING OF THE PROJECT

**AECOM**

**PROJECT**  
項目

FIRST PHASE DEVELOPMENT OF THE NEW TERRITORIES NORTH – SAN TIN / LOK MA CHAU DEVELOPMENT NODE – INVESTIGATION

**CLIENT**  
業主

**CEDD** 土木工程拓展署  
Civil Engineering and Development Department

**規劃署**  
Planning Department

**CONSULTANT**  
顧問公司

AECOM Asia Company Ltd.

**SUB-CONSULTANTS**  
分判工程師/顧問公司

**ISSUE/REVISION**  
修訂

IR	DATE	DESCRIPTION	CHK.

**STATUS**  
階段

**SCALE**  
比例

**DIMENSION UNIT**  
尺寸單位

A3 1 : 16000 METRES

**KEY PLAN**  
索引圖

**PROJECT NO.**  
項目編號

**AGREEMENT NO.**  
協議編號

60670882 CE 20/2021

**SHEET TITLE**  
圖紙名稱

PRELIMINARY CONSTRUCTION AND POPULATION INTAKE SCHEDULE

**SHEET NUMBER**  
圖紙編號

60670882/A34/APPENDIX 2.1

This drawing has been prepared for the use of AECOM's client. It may not be used, modified, reproduced or relied upon by third parties, except as agreed by AECOM or as required by law. AECOM accepts no responsibility, and denies any liability whatsoever, for any part, that uses or relies on this drawing without AECOM's express written consent. All measurements must be obtained from the stated dimensions.


## **Appendix D**

# **Preliminary Phasing Plan of Ngau Tam Mei New Development Area**

(Extracted from EIA study of Land Use Review Study For Ngau Tam  
Mei Area – Feasibility Study)

# \* Extracted from EIA study of Land Use Review Study For Ngau Tam Mei Area – Feasibility Study

ISO A1 594mm x 841mm  
 Approved:  
 Checked:  
 Designer:  
 Project Management Initials:  
 V:\BAK\US55-BAK\CSWP\p1\draw1\Create Plot\files\new\CSWP-75\color1.plt  
 Plot File by: iu.yang  
 2025/08/27  
 PATH: P:\PROJECTS\60672559\DRAWING\SCHEMATIC\USK7008.dgn



**LEGEND:**

- DEVELOPMENT AREA BOUNDARY
- PROJECT SITE BOUNDARY
- LAND USE BOUNDARY
- PHASE 1 - COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS IN YEAR 2027  
POPULATION INTAKE FOR DRE SITE IN YEAR 2033
- PHASE 2 - COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS IN YEAR 2028  
POPULATION INTAKE IN YEAR 2034
- PHASE 3 - COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS IN YEAR 2030  
POPULATION INTAKE IN YEAR 2036
- RAILWAY TOPSIDE DEVELOPMENT AND RAILWAY DEPOT WITH PUBLIC OPEN SPACE (BY OTHERS)  
POPULATION INTAKE IN YEAR 2036

**AECOM**

PROJECT  
LAND USE REVIEW STUDY FOR NGAU TAM MEI AREA - FEASIBILITY STUDY

CLIENT  
 土木工程拓展署  
 Civil Engineering and Development Department  
 規劃署  
 Planning Department

CONSULTANT  
 AECOM Asia Company Ltd.

SUB-CONSULTANTS

**ISSUE/REVISION**

IR	DATE	DESCRIPTION	CHK.

**STATUS**

**SCALE**                      **DIMENSION UNIT**

A3 1: 12000                      METRES

**KEY PLAN**

**PROJECT NO.**                      **AGREEMENT NO.**

60672559                              CE 33/2021

**SHEET TITLE**

PRELIMINARY CONSTRUCTION PHASING AND POPULATION INTAKE SCHEDULE

**SHEET NUMBER**

60672559/APPENDIX 2.1

This drawing has been prepared for the use of AECOM's client. It may not be used, modified, reproduced or relied upon by third parties, except as agreed by AECOM or as required by law. AECOM accepts no responsibility, liability, whatsoever, to any party, that uses or relies on this drawing without AECOM's express written consent. All measurements must be obtained from the stated dimensions.

## Appendix E - Estimated Trip Generations of the Planned development

**Table E1 Estimated Trip Generations of Planned/Committed Developments**

Ref.	Planned/Committed Developments	Key Development Parameters	Estimated Two-way Trips Generations (pcu/hr)			
			AM Peak		PM Peak	
			Gen	Att	Gen	Att
1	Tung Shing Lei Development (A/YL-NSW/274)	• 1,518 residential units <sup>(1)</sup> (average flat size = about 46 m <sup>2</sup> )	109	65	43	56
		• 1800 m <sup>2</sup> retail GFA <sup>(1)</sup>	4	4	6	6
		Wellness Centre and Special Child Care Centre <sup>(2)</sup>	20	20	20	20
		Total	133	89	69	82
2	Sha Po North Phase 2 Residential Development <sup>(1)</sup> (A/YL-KTN/663)	• 1,154 residential units (average flat size = ~42.57m <sup>2</sup> )	83	49	33	43
3	Residential Development at west of Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi, Yuen Long (Y/YL-NSW/9) <sup>(3)</sup>	• 3,115 residential units • 2900m <sup>2</sup> retail GFA • 1 primary school, 1 kindergarten • Soy Factory	265	202	115	134
4	Kam Tin South Priority Sites Development <sup>(1)</sup>	• 9,060 units of public housing (average flat size = about 50 m <sup>2</sup> )	564	386	269	363
		• 2670 units of private housing (average flat size = about 70 m <sup>2</sup> )	238	138	95	128
		Total	802	524	364	491
5	Residential Development at west of Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi, Yuen Long (Y/YL-NSW/8) <sup>(3)</sup>	• 6,825 residential units • 3950m <sup>2</sup> retail GFA • 2 GIC facilities and 1 kindergarten	536	336	220	279
6	Tung Shing Lei Land Sharing Pilot Scheme Application No. LSPS/002 <sup>(1)</sup>	• 1,261 units of private housing (average flat size = about 40 m <sup>2</sup> )	91	54	37	47
		• 1,868 units of public housing (average flat size = about 50 m <sup>2</sup> )	117	80	56	75
		• 3045m <sup>2</sup> retail GFA	7	8	10	11
		Total	215	142	103	133
7	Sha Po North Comprehensive Residential Development (A/YL-KTN/604)	• 3,891 residential units <sup>(1)</sup> (average flat size = about 49 m <sup>2</sup> )	279	166	111	144
		• 5,500m <sup>2</sup> retail GFA <sup>(1)</sup>	13	13	17	20
		• 788m <sup>2</sup> social welfare facility <sup>(2)</sup>	10	10	10	10
		Total	302	189	138	174
8	Sha Po Public Housing Development	• 17,930 Flats <sup>(1)</sup> (including 10% allowance)	1155	764	533	719
		• 20,668 m <sup>2</sup> retail GFA <sup>(1)</sup>	47	50	64	74
		• GIC facilities <sup>(4)</sup>	130	125	60	60
		Total	1292	974	657	853
9	Kam Tin North Residential Development (A/YL-KTN/791) <sup>(1)</sup>	• 330 flats (average flat/house size = about 38.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	24	14	9	12
10	Residential Development at Sha Po South (A/YL-KTN/964) <sup>(1)</sup>	• 615 residential units (average flat size = about 38 m <sup>2</sup> )	45	27	18	23
		• 1,165 m <sup>2</sup> retail GFA	3	3	4	5
		Total	48	30	22	28
11	Development at Wing Kei Tsuen, Nam Sang Wai, Yuen Long (Y/YL-NSW/7)	• 1,997 residential units <sup>(1)</sup> (average flat size = 48.9 m <sup>2</sup> )	143	85	57	74
		• 900 m <sup>2</sup> retail GFA <sup>(1)</sup>	2	2	3	3
		• 2000 m <sup>2</sup> Kindergarten/Child Care Centre <sup>(2)</sup>	10	10	10	10
		Total	155	97	70	87
12	Residential Development at Tung Shing Lei, Nam Sang Wai, Yuen Long (A/YL-NSW/293) <sup>(1)</sup>	• 3,566 Flats (average flat size = 44 m <sup>2</sup> ) and 9 Houses (average flat size = 120 m <sup>2</sup> )	257	152	103	133
		• 5,358 Non Domestic GFA	12	13	17	19
		Total	269	165	120	152


Ref.	Planned/Committed Developments	Key Development Parameters	Estimated Two-way Trips Generations (pcu/hr)			
			AM Peak		PM Peak	
			Gen	Att	Gen	Att
13	Comprehensive Development Scheme at north of Kam Pok Road East, Pok Wai, Yuen Long (A/YL-NSW/314) <sup>(3)</sup>	• 90 residential units	28	21	21	31
14	Ngau Tam Mei Area New Development Area <sup>(5)</sup>	• Integrated Hospital, UniTown, Residential Developments Sites R3, R4 & RSc1	726	859	762	723
15	Social Welfare Facilities at Siu Sheung Road, Nam Sang Wai, Yuen Long (A/YL-NSW/303)	• 90 units <sup>(1)</sup> (senior hostel, average flat size of about 66m <sup>2</sup> )	7	4	3	4
		• 127 beds for Residential Care Home for the Elderly <sup>(2)</sup>	10	10	10	10
		Total	17	14	13	14
16	Social Welfare Facilities at Siu Sheung Road, Nam Sang Wai, Yuen Long (A/YL-NSW/292) <sup>(3)</sup>	• 9,180 m <sup>2</sup> GFA	23	27	21	27
17	Residential Care Homes for the Elderly at 81 San Tam Road, Ngau Tam Mei, Yuen Long (Y/YL-NTM/9)	• 142 beds (5,400 m <sup>2</sup> GFA) <sup>(2)</sup>	10	10	10	10
18	Residential Development at Yau Pok Road, Yuen Long (Y/YL-MP/3)	• 106 houses (average house size of about 163m <sup>2</sup> )	30	19	18	26
		• 607 m <sup>2</sup> retail GFA	2	2	2	3
		Total	32	21	20	29
19	Proposed Residential Development at Kam Pok Road, Yuen Long (Y/YL-MP/10) <sup>(3)</sup>	• 2,322 residential units (average flat size = about 42.4 m <sup>2</sup> ) • 2,363m <sup>2</sup> retail GFA • 1 kindergarten and 1 NEC	258	190	115	135
20	Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long (Y/YL-MP/9) <sup>(3)</sup>	• 3,562 residential units (average flat size = about 75 m <sup>2</sup> ) • a 100-place Residential Care Home for the elderly	396	248	196	251
21	San Tin Technopole <sup>(7)</sup>	• Information and Technology (I&T) Section of 120,000 employment <sup>(6)</sup>	2140	3080	1980	1480
		• ~760,000m <sup>2</sup> Logistics & Storage and Workshop <sup>(1)</sup>	700	1050	1020	800
		• Public housing of ~25,800 units <sup>(1)</sup> (average size of 50 m <sup>2</sup> )	1420	900	700	970
		• Private housing of ~12,200 units <sup>(1)</sup> (average size of 60 m <sup>2</sup> )	610	270	240	370
		Total	4870	5300	3940	3620

Remarks:

- (1) Trip generation estimated by the industrial, residential & retail trip rates extracted from TPDM.
- (2) Assumed nominal trips.
- (3) Trip generation from project's TIA report.
- (4) Trip generation estimated by the trip rates from the in-house survey.
- (5) Trip generations with reference to the EIA report of "Land Use Review Study For Ngau Tam Mei Area – Feasibility Study"
- (6) Trip generations for I&T Park are determined with reference to TD's TCS 2011.
- (7) Trip generations with reference to the "EIA study of First Phase Development of the New Territories North – San Tin / Lok Ma Chau Development Node – Investigation"

## **Appendix F**

# **Potential Pick-Up/Drop-Off Location For Bus/Shuttle Bus**




Remark: The layout is subject to change in the detailed design

### Potential Pick-up/drop-off Location for Bus/Shuttle Bus

Scale: 1:500 (A4)

## Appendix G


# Swept Path Analysis at Junction Improvement Works at Junction J6



**Swept Path Analysis for 12.8m bus on Proposed Improvement Scheme at Junction J6**

1:500 (A4)

**Drawing No. J6-1**



4. 凡泊車處之日期後，不要存放單車在泊車處。  
 3. 當泊車處被中止後，單車不准停泊。  
 4. 在中止使用期間存放在此泊車處的單車，當局會依例接管及移走單車。


**Cycle Parking Place-Parking Adv**  
 1. This parking place is for pedd cycles only.  
 2. No parking is allowed for a continuous period 24 hours.  
 3. Pedd cycles must be parked at the parking place.  
 4. This parking place may be suspended for use if to clear any pedd cycles etc.

**單車泊車處-停泊須知**  
 1. 此泊車處僅供單車停泊。  
 2. 單車不可連續停泊超過24小時。  
 3. 單車必須停放於停泊架。  
 4. 此泊車處會不定期被中止使用，以清：

**Swept Path Analysis for 12.8m bus on Proposed Improvement Scheme at Junction J6**

1:500 (A4)


**Drawing No. J6-2**



Swept Path Analysis for 12.8m bus on Proposed Improvement Scheme at Junction J6

1:500 (A4)


Drawing No. J6-3



**Swept Path Analysis for 12.8m bus on Proposed Improvement Scheme at Junction J6**

1:500 (A4)

**Drawing No. J6-4**



2. 在公佈的日期後，不要存放單車在泊車處。  
 3. 當泊車處被中止後，單車不准停泊。  
 4. 在中止使用期間存放在此泊車處的單車，當局會依例接管及移走單車。


**Cycle Parking Place-Parking Adv**  
 1. This parking place is for pedd cycles only.  
 2. No parking is allowed for a continuous period of 24 hours.  
 3. Pedd cycles must be parked at the parking place.  
 4. This parking place may be suspended for use if to clear any pedd cycles left.

**單車泊車處-停泊須知**  
 1. 此泊車處僅供單車停泊。  
 2. 單車不可連續停泊超過24小時。  
 3. 單車必須停放於停泊架。  
 4. 此泊車處會不定期被中止使用，以清：

**Swept Path Analysis for 12.8m bus on Proposed Improvement Scheme at Junction J6**

1:500 (A4)

**Drawing No. J6-5**




2. 在停止使用日期後，不要存放單車在泊車處。  
 3. 當泊車處被中止後，單車不准停泊。  
 4. 在停止使用期間存放在此泊車處的單車，當局會依例接管及移走單車。

**Cycle Parking Place-Parking Adv**  
 1. This parking place is for pedd cycles only.  
 2. No parking is allowed for a continuous period 24 hours.  
 3. Pedd cycles must be parked at the parking place.  
 4. This parking place may be suspended for use if to clear any pedd cycles left.

**單車泊車處-停泊須知**  
 1. 此泊車處僅供單車停泊。  
 2. 單車不可連續停泊超過24小時。  
 3. 單車必須停放於停泊架。  
 4. 此泊車處會不定期被中止使用，以清：

**Swept Path Analysis for 12.8m bus on Proposed Improvement Scheme at Junction J6**

1:500 (A4)



4. 凡在預先通告日期後，不要存放車輛在泊車處。  
 3. 當泊車處被中止後，車輛不准停泊。  
 4. 在中止使用期間存放在此泊車處的車輛，當局會依例接管及移走車輛。


**Cycle Parking Place-Parking Adv**  
 1. This parking place is for pedd cycles only.  
 2. No parking is allowed for a continuous period 24 hours.  
 3. Pedd cycles must be parked at the parking place.  
 4. This parking place may be suspended for use if to clear any pedd cycles left.

**單車泊車處-停泊須知**  
 1. 此泊車處僅供單車停泊。  
 2. 單車不可連續停泊超過24小時。  
 3. 單車必須停放於停泊架。  
 4. 此泊車處會不定期被中止使用，以清

**Swept Path Analysis for 12.8m bus on Proposed Improvement Scheme at Junction J6**

1:500 (A4)

**Drawing No. J6-7**



Swept Path Analysis for 12.8m bus on Proposed Improvement Scheme at Junction J6

1:500 (A4)


Drawing No. J6-8

Appendix H –

Design Traffic Flows at Junction (J1) under the scenario without Y/YL-MP/10 and Sha Po Public Housing Development

LEGEND :


20(20) AM(PM) PEAK HOUR  
TRAFFIC FLOWS (PCU/HR)



## Appendix I

# Junction Calculation Sheets

# Roundabout Capacity Calculation

Job Title: Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long													
Junction: Fairview Park Interchange (J1)							Ref. No.:						
Scheme: Observed Case													
Year: 2025			Job No.: CHK50868310			Rev.: -							
AM		PM											
ARM A:		Fairview Park Boulevard											
ARM B:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (N)											
ARM C:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (N)											
ARM D:		San Tam Road (N)											
ARM E:		San Tam Road (S)											
ARM F:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (S)											
ARM G:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (S)											
													
<b>GEOMETRY</b>													
ARM	v	e	L	r	D	Phi	S						
A	7.00	11.00	14	22	142	35	0.46						
B	5.50	10.50	15	20	142	35	0.53						
C	5.50	8.50	7.5	23	142	30	0.64						
D	6.75	8.50	10	20	142	25	0.28						
E	6.00	8.00	9.5	20	142	35	0.34						
F	6.00	9.00	10	25	142	40	0.48						
G	5.50	6.00	7	22	142	30	0.11						
<b>AM FLOWS</b>													
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit			
A	55	5	215	35	145	395	15	1265	865	580			
B	15	25	60	5	125	270	5	1735	505	395			
C	150	60	10	105	230	20	125	1660	700	580			
D	40	10	45	10	225	210	10	1985	550	375			
E	50	65	130	50	5	35	5	1650	340	885			
F	240	135	15	130	95	25	110	895	750	1095			
G	30	95	105	40	60	140	15	1360	485	285			
<b>PM FLOWS</b>													
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit			
A	55	20	145	40	145	250	20	1275	675	800			
B	15	25	45	15	115	100	10	1555	325	395			
C	175	45	20	100	265	5	100	1305	710	575			
D	20	20	70	20	140	165	10	1590	445	425			
E	50	35	155	35	10	35	5	1255	325	780			
F	450	150	25	160	90	20	175	905	1070	675			
G	35	100	115	55	15	100	10	1645	430	330			
<b>CALCULATIONS</b>													
ARM	K	X <sub>2</sub>	M	F	t <sub>D</sub>	f <sub>c</sub>	Q <sub>E</sub>	AM	PM	RFC	AM	PM	
A	0.99	9.09	3640.95	2754	1.00	0.59		1980	1974		0.44	0.34	
B	0.98	7.92	3640.95	2400	1.00	0.54		1433	1529		0.35	0.21	
C	1.01	6.82	3640.95	2065	1.00	0.50		1249	1427		0.56	0.50	
D	1.02	7.87	3640.95	2385	1.00	0.54		1335	1552		0.41	0.29	
E	0.98	7.19	3640.95	2180	1.00	0.51		1312	1511		0.26	0.22	
F	0.98	7.53	3640.95	2282	1.00	0.53		1766	1760		0.42	0.61	
G	1.00	5.91	3640.95	1790	1.00	0.46		1172	1041		0.41	0.41	
										<b>Critical Arm:</b>		<b>C</b>	<b>F</b>
										<b>RFC:</b>		<b>0.56</b>	<b>0.61</b>
												<b>AM</b>	<b>PM</b>
- In accordance with TPDM V2.4													
Calculated by: HZF			Date: Mar-26			Checked by: PTC							

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Fairview Park Boulevard (J2)

Design Year: 2034

Description: Year 2025 Observed Traffic Flows

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Fairview Park Boulevard EB	↖	A	1	3.4	15			8%	10%	1940	1935	389	0.201	0.201	254	0.131	
	→	A	1	3.4				1955	1955	391	0.200		256	0.131			
Fairview Park Boulevard WB	↖	A	1	3.5	17			24%	26%	1925	1920	307	0.159		381	0.198	0.198
	←	A	1	3.5				1965	1965	313	0.159		389	0.198			
Kam Pok Road SB	↔	B	2	5.5	18	18		30% / 50%	33% / 43%	2030	2035	100	0.049	0.049	105	0.052	0.052
Kam Pok Road NB	↔	C	3	3.8	13	20		9% / 50%	16% / 75%	1905	1855	110	0.058	0.058	160	0.086	0.086
Pedestrian Crossing		Dp	4	MIN GREEN + FLASH =		10	+	9	=	19				*			*

Notes:	Flow: (pcu/hr)	Group	A,B,C,Dp	Group	A,B,C,Dp
		<b>y</b>	0.308	<b>y</b>	0.336
		<b>L (sec)</b>	44	<b>L (sec)</b>	44
		<b>C (sec)</b>	120	<b>C (sec)</b>	120
		<b>y pract.</b>	0.570	<b>y pract.</b>	0.570
		<b>R.C. (%)</b>	85%	<b>R.C. (%)</b>	69%

Stage / Phase Diagrams				
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.

I/G= 3		I/G= 7		I/G= 7		I/G= 11	19	I/G=	
I/G= 3		I/G= 7		I/G= 7		I/G= 11	19	I/G=	
Date: Jan, 2026								Junction: 2	

J2

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge – South (J3)

Design Year: 2034

Description: Year 2025 Observed Traffic Flows

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Vehicular Bridge (EB)	↑↑	A	1	3.600	10	10		80% / 20%	57% / 43%	1715	1715	25	0.015	0.015	35	0.020	0.020
Kam Pok Rd (SB)	↑→	B	2	3.600		10		20%	21%	1915	1915	100	0.052	0.052	95	0.050	0.050
Kam Pok Rd (NB)	↑	C	3	3.600	10			20%	20%	1915	1915	25	0.013		25	0.013	
Pedestrian Crossing		Dp	4	MIN GREEN + FLASH =		7	+	7	=	14				*			*

<b>Notes:</b>	<b>Flow: (pcu/hr)</b>		<b>Group</b>		A,B,C,Dp	<b>Group</b>		A,B,C,Dp
	<b>y</b>			0.067	<b>y</b>		0.070	
	<b>L (sec)</b>			32	<b>L (sec)</b>		32	
	<b>C (sec)</b>			60	<b>C (sec)</b>		60	
	<b>y pract.</b>			0.420	<b>y pract.</b>		0.420	
			<b>R.C. (%)</b>		529%	<b>R.C. (%)</b>		500%

Stage / Phase Diagrams				
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.

I/G= 2		I/G= 5		I/G= 5	5	I/G= 3	14	I/G=	
I/G= 2		I/G= 5		I/G= 5	5	I/G= 3	14	I/G=	

Date: Jan, 2026 Junction: 3 J3

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge – North (J4)

Design Year: 2034


Description: Year 2025 Observed Traffic Flows

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Kam Pok Road WB	↑→	C	1	3.650		12		5%	5%	1970	1965	100	0.051	0.051	95	0.048	0.048
Kam Pok Road EB	↖↑	B	3	3.650	10			11%	13%	1950	1945	45	0.023	0.023	40	0.021	0.021
Vehicular Bridge SB	↖↑	A	2	3.650	10	12		50% / 50%	50% / 50%	1740	1740	10	0.006		10	0.006	
Pedestrian Crossing		Dp	4	MIN GREEN + FLASH =		7	+	7	=	14					*		*

Notes:	Flow: (pcu/hr)	Group	A,B,C,Dp	Group	A,B,C,Dp
		y	0.074	y	0.069
		L (sec)	34	L (sec)	34
		C (sec)	60	C (sec)	60
		y pract.	0.390	y pract.	0.390
		R.C. (%)	428%	R.C. (%)	466%




I/G= 2		I/G= 5	5	I/G= 5		I/G= 5	14	I/G=	
I/G= 2		I/G= 5	5	I/G= 5		I/G= 5	14	I/G=	

Date: Jan, 2026 Junction: 4 J4

### Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Ha Chuk Yuen Road (J5)	Ref. No.:	
Scheme:	Observed Case		
Year:	2025	Job No.:	CHK50868310
Rev.:			
ARM A:	Kam Pok Road WB		
ARM B:	Ha Chuk Yuen Road		
ARM C:	Kam Pok Road EB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	9.30	Lane widths	w(b-a)	2.05
Central Reserve width	Wcr	0.00		w(b-c)	2.05
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)		N		w(c-b)	3.50
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	50	Calculated	D	0.75
	VI(b-a)	50		E	0.80
	Vr(b-c)	50		F	0.92
	Vr(c-b)	50		Y	0.68

ANALYSIS					
			AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK	
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)		45	40	
	q(c-b)		0	0	
	q(a-b)		15	25	
	q(a-c)		95	85	
	q(b-a)		20	20	
	q(b-c)		5	5	
	f		0.20	0.20	
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	Factor	445	447	
	Q(b-c)	1	573	574	
	Q(c-b)	1	663	663	
	Q(b-ac)	1	466	468	
RFC's	b-a		0.045	0.045	
	b-c		0.009	0.009	
	c-b		0.000	0.000	
	b-ac		0.054	0.053	
Worst RFC			<b>0.054</b>	<b>0.053</b>	

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1 + 0.094(w(b-a) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(b-a) - 120))(1 + 0.0006(VI(b-a) - 150))$$

$$E = (1 + 0.094(w(b-c) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(b-c) - 120))$$

$$F = (1 + 0.094(w(c-b) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(c-b) - 120))$$

$$Y = 1 - 0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c) \cdot Q(b-a) / (1-f) \cdot Q(b-c) + f \cdot Q(b-a)$$

Capacity of combined streams

- in accordance with TPDM V2.4


T.P.D.M.V.2.4

Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Jan, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

### Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (J6)		Ref. No.:
Scheme:	Observed Case		
Year:	2025	Job No.:	CHK50868310
Rev.:			
ARM A:	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi NB		
ARM B:	Kam Pok Road EB		
ARM C:	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi SB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	6.90	Lane widths	w(b-a)	4.50
Central Reserve width	Wcr	0.00		w(b-c)	4.50
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)		N		w(c-b)	2.05
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	53	Calculated	D	0.97
	VI(b-a)	70		E	1.03
	Vr(b-c)	70		F	0.78
	Vr(c-b)	30		Y	0.76

ANALYSIS					
			AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK	
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)		390	285	
	q(c-b)		60	45	
	q(a-b)		50	65	
	q(a-c)		285	350	
	q(b-a)		40	40	
	q(b-c)		25	20	
	f		0.38	0.33	
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	Factor	435	440	
	Q(b-c)	1	681	661	
	Q(c-b)	1	509	492	
	Q(b-ac)	1	505	495	
	RFC's	b-a		0.092	0.091
	b-c		0.037	0.030	
	c-b		0.118	0.091	
	b-ac		0.129	0.121	
Worst RFC			<b>0.129</b>	<b>0.121</b>	

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1 + 0.094(w(b-a) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(b-a) - 120))(1 + 0.0006(VI(b-a) - 150))$$

$$E = (1 + 0.094(w(b-c) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(b-c) - 120))$$

$$F = (1 + 0.094(w(c-b) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(c-b) - 120))$$

$$Y = 1 - 0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c) \cdot Q(b-a) / (1 - f) \cdot Q(b-c) + f \cdot Q(b-a)$$

Capacity of combined streams


- in accordance with TPDM V2.4

T.P.D.M.V.2.4  
Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Jan, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

### Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Fung Chuk Road (J7)		Ref. No.:
Scheme:	Observed Case		
Year:	2025	Job No.:	CHK50868310
Rev.:			
ARM A:	Kam Pok Road SB		
ARM B:	Fung Chuk Road		
ARM C:	Kam Pok Road NB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	7.30	Lane widths	w(b-a)	2.00
Central Reserve width	Wcr	0.00		w(b-c)	2.00
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)		N		w(c-b)	3.50
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	12	Calculated	D	0.70
	VI(b-a)	8.5		E	0.76
	Vr(b-c)	12		F	0.90
	Vr(c-b)	21		Y	0.75

ANALYSIS					
			AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK	
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)		45	35	
	q(c-b)		0	5	
	q(a-b)		0	0	
	q(a-c)		95	85	
	q(b-a)		0	0	
	q(b-c)		5	5	
f		1.00	1.00		
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	Factor	414	416	
	Q(b-c)	1	549	551	
	Q(c-b)	1	646	648	
	Q(b-ac)	1	549	551	
RFC's	b-a		0.000	0.000	
	b-c		0.009	0.009	
	c-b		0.000	0.008	
	b-ac		0.009	0.009	
Worst RFC			<b>0.009</b>	<b>0.009</b>	

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1+0.094(w(b-a)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-a)-120))(1+0.0006(VI(b-a)-150))$$

$$E = (1+0.094(w(b-c)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-c)-120))$$

$$F = (1+0.094(w(c-b)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(c-b)-120))$$

$$Y = 1-0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c) \cdot Q(b-a) / (1-f) \cdot Q(b-c) + f \cdot Q(b-a)$$

Capacity of combined streams

- in accordance with TPDM V2.4

T.P.D.M.V.2.4

Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Jan, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

# Roundabout Capacity Calculation

Job Title: Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long										
Junction: Fairview Park Interchange (J1)							Ref. No.:			
Scheme: Reference Case (with Planned Junction Layout)										
Year: 2034		Job No.: CHK50868310			Rev.: -					
AM	PM									
ARM A:	Fairview Park Boulevard									
ARM B:	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (N)									
ARM C:	San Tin Highway Slip Road (N)									
ARM D:	San Tam Road (N)									
ARM E:	San Tam Road (S)									
ARM F:	San Tin Highway Slip Road (S)									
ARM G:	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (S)									
<b>GEOMETRY</b>										
ARM	v	e	L	r	D	Phi	S			
A	7.00	11.80	20	25	140	35	0.38			
B	7.30	12.00	36	30	140	25	0.21			
C	7.30	13.00	13	45	140	30	0.70			
D	7.30	11.00	23	25	140	35	0.26			
E	7.30	12.00	27	25	140	45	0.28			
F	6.00	12.50	20	25	140	40	0.52			
G	6.50	11.20	22	25	140	35	0.34			
<b>AM FLOWS</b>										
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit
A	10	20	210	40	230	480	30	2840	1020	670
B	10	10	145	10	250	390	30	3210	845	650
C	95	75	10	100	485	10	225	2800	1000	1255
D	65	20	45	10	280	210	95	3325	725	475
E	40	35	465	120	10	535	20	2155	1225	1895
F	335	330	10	110	630	10	Free Flow	1420	1425	1960
G	115	160	370	85	10	325	0	2445	1065	400
<b>PM FLOWS</b>										
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit
A	10	10	170	55	210	345	30	2540	830	955
B	35	10	95	10	170	190	30	2740	540	630
C	190	125	20	85	425	20	210	2390	1075	890
D	80	20	90	20	250	95	75	2950	630	515
E	100	30	305	115	10	295	20	1845	875	1735
F	445	310	10	175	660	20	Free Flow	1535	1620	1185
G	95	125	200	55	10	220	10	2780	715	375
								Q <sub>E</sub>	RFC	
<b>CALCULATIONS</b>										
ARM	K	X <sub>2</sub>	M	F	t <sub>D</sub>	f <sub>c</sub>	AM	PM	AM	PM
A	0.99	9.71	2980.96	2944	1.00	0.62	1179	1363	0.87	0.61
B	1.03	10.62	2980.96	3216	1.00	0.66	1148	1467	0.74	0.37
C	1.03	9.67	2980.96	2931	1.00	0.62	1238	1497	0.81	0.72
D	0.99	9.74	2980.96	2952	1.00	0.62	886	1117	0.82	0.56
E	0.96	10.32	2980.96	3127	1.00	0.64	1666	1857	0.74	0.47
F	0.98	9.19	2980.96	2783	1.00	0.60	1889	1822	0.75	0.89
G	0.99	9.29	2980.96	2815	1.00	0.60	1337	1138	0.80	0.63
								Critical Arm:	A	F
								RFC:	0.87	0.89
								AM	PM	
- In accordance with TPDM V2.4										
Calculated by: HZF		Date: Jan-26			Checked by: PTC					

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Fairview Park Boulevard (J2)

Design Year: 2034

Description: Year 2034 Reference Traffic Flows

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Fairview Park Boulevard EB	↖	A	1	3.4	15			8%	9%	1940	1935	428	0.221		276	0.143	
	→	A	1	3.4						1955	1955	432	0.221	0.221	279	0.143	
Fairview Park Boulevard WB	↗	A	1	3.5	17			24%	26%	1925	1920	336	0.175		418	0.218	0.218
	←	A	1	3.5						1965	1965	344	0.175		427	0.217	
Kam Pok Road SB	↔	B	2	5.5	18	18		16% / 44%	18% / 45%	2060	2055	125	0.061	0.061	110	0.054	0.054
Kam Pok Road NB	↔	C	3	3.8	13	20		7% / 43%	14% / 72%	1920	1865	140	0.073	0.073	180	0.097	0.097
Pedestrian Crossing		Dp	4	MIN GREEN + FLASH =			10	+	9	=	19			*			*

Notes:	Flow: (pcu/hr)	Group	A,B,C,Dp	Group	A,B,C,Dp
		<b>y</b>	0.355	<b>y</b>	0.368
		<b>L (sec)</b>	44	<b>L (sec)</b>	44
		<b>C (sec)</b>	120	<b>C (sec)</b>	120
		<b>y pract.</b>	0.570	<b>y pract.</b>	0.570
		<b>R.C. (%)</b>	61%	<b>R.C. (%)</b>	55%

Stage / Phase Diagrams				
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.

I/G= 3		I/G= 7		I/G= 7		I/G= 11	19	I/G=	
I/G= 3		I/G= 7		I/G= 7		I/G= 11	19	I/G=	
Date: Jan, 2026								Junction: 2	

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge – South (J3)

Design Year: 2034


Description: Year 2034 Reference Traffic Flows

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Vehicular Bridge (EB)	↑↑	A	1	3.600	10	10		100% / 0%	100% / 0%	1715	1715	15	0.009		10	0.006	
Kam Pok Rd (SB)	↑→	B	2	3.600		10		8%	9%	1950	1950	130	0.067	0.067	110	0.056	0.056
Kam Pok Rd (NB)	↑	C	3	3.600	10			8%	11%	1950	1945	60	0.031	0.031	45	0.023	0.023
Pedestrian Crossing		Dp	4	MIN GREEN + FLASH =		7	+	7	=	14					*		*

Notes:	Flow: (pcu/hr)			Group	A,B,C,Dp	Group	A,B,C,Dp
				y	0.097	y	0.080
L (sec)	32	L (sec)	32				
C (sec)	60	C (sec)	60				
y pract.	0.420	y pract.	0.420				
R.C. (%)	331%	R.C. (%)	428%				



I/G= 2	5	I/G= 5		I/G= 5		I/G= 3	14	I/G=	
I/G= 2	5	I/G= 5		I/G= 5		I/G= 3	14	I/G=	

Date: Jan, 2026 Junction: 3 J3

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge – North / Vehicular Access (J4)

Design Year: 2034


Description: Year 2034 Reference Traffic Flows (with Planned Junction Layout)

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Vehicular Bridge SB	↕	A	1	3.500	10	12		100% / 0%	100% / 0%	1710	1710	15	0.009		10	0.006	
Kam Pok Road EB	↔	D	2	3.650	10	12		0% / 22%	0% / 33%	1925	1900	90	0.047	0.047	75	0.039	0.039
R(D) Site Access	↕	C	3	5.500	20					2015	2015	25	0.012		10	0.005	
	↔	C	3	4.000		12		100%	100%	1790	1790	230	0.128	0.128	95	0.053	0.053
Kam Pok Road WB	↕	B	4	3.650	15					1800	1800	170	0.094	0.094	105	0.058	
	↔	B	4	3.650		12		8%	8%	1960	1960	125	0.064		125	0.064	0.064
Pedestrian Crossing	Ep	3		MIN GREEN + FLASH =		10	+	10	=	20							
	Fp	1		MIN GREEN + FLASH =		11	+	10	=	21							
	Gp	1,2,4		MIN GREEN + FLASH =		5	+	5	=	10							

Notes:	Flow: (pcu/hr)	Group	Fp,D,C,B	A,D,C,B	Group	Fp,D,C,B	A,D,C,B
		<b>y</b>	0.270	0.270	<b>y</b>	0.156	0.156
		<b>L (sec)</b>	18	31	<b>L (sec)</b>	18	31
		<b>C (sec)</b>	90	90	<b>C (sec)</b>	90	90
		<b>y pract.</b>	0.720	0.590	<b>y pract.</b>	0.720	0.590
		<b>R.C. (%)</b>	167%	119%	<b>R.C. (%)</b>	361%	277%




I/G= 7	5	I/G= 12		I/G= 5		I/G= 5		I/G=	
I/G= 7	5	I/G= 12		I/G= 5		I/G= 5		I/G=	

Date: Jan, 2026 Junction: 4 J4

### Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Ha Chuk Yuen Road (J5)		Ref. No.:
Scheme:	Reference Case		
Year:	2034	Job No.:	CHK50868310
Rev.:			
ARM A:	Kam Pok Road WB		
ARM B:	Ha Chuk Yuen Road		
ARM C:	Kam Pok Road EB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	9.30	Lane widths	w(b-a)	2.05
Central Reserve width	Wcr	0.00		w(b-c)	2.05
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)		N		w(c-b)	3.50
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	50	Calculated	D	0.75
	VI(b-a)	50		E	0.80
	Vr(b-c)	50		F	0.92
	Vr(c-b)	50		Y	0.68

ANALYSIS					
			AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK	
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)		320	150	
	q(c-b)		0	0	
	q(a-b)		15	25	
	q(a-c)		290	220	
	q(b-a)		20	20	
	q(b-c)		5	5	
	f		0.20	0.20	
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	Factor	377	409	
	Q(b-c)	1	535	548	
	Q(c-b)	1	619	632	
	Q(b-ac)	1	401	431	
RFC's	b-a		0.053	0.049	
	b-c		0.009	0.009	
	c-b		0.000	0.000	
	b-ac		0.062	0.058	
Worst RFC			<b>0.062</b>	<b>0.058</b>	

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1 + 0.094(w(b-a) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(b-a) - 120))(1 + 0.0006(VI(b-a) - 150))$$

$$E = (1 + 0.094(w(b-c) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(b-c) - 120))$$

$$F = (1 + 0.094(w(c-b) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(c-b) - 120))$$

$$Y = 1 - 0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c) \cdot Q(b-a) / (1-f) \cdot Q(b-c) + f \cdot Q(b-a)$$

Capacity of combined streams

- in accordance with TPDM V2.4


T.P.D.M.V.2.4

Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Jan, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

### Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (J6)		Ref. No.:
Scheme:	Reference Case (with Planned Junction Layout)		
Year:	2034	Job No.:	CHK50868310
Rev.:			
ARM A:	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi NB		
ARM B:	Kam Pok Road EB		
ARM C:	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi SB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	6.90	Lane widths	w(b-a)	4.30
Central Reserve width	Wcr	1.50		w(b-c)	4.30
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)		Y		w(c-b)	2.05
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	53	Calculated	D	0.95
	VI(b-a)	70		E	1.01
	Vr(b-c)	70		F	0.78
	Vr(c-b)	30		Y	0.76

ANALYSIS					
			AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK	
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)		555	395	
	q(c-b)		135	75	
	q(a-b)		170	170	
	q(a-c)		385	465	
	q(b-a)		250	130	
	q(b-c)		90	45	
	f		0.26	0.26	
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	Factor	353	381	
	Q(b-c)	1	628	605	
	Q(c-b)	1	461	444	
	Q(b-ac)	1	399	421	
RFC's	b-a		0.708	0.341	
	b-c		0.143	0.074	
	c-b		0.293	0.169	
	b-ac		0.000	0.000	
Worst RFC			<b>0.708</b>	<b>0.341</b>	

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1+0.094(w(b-a)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-a)-120))(1+0.0006(VI(b-a)-150))$$

$$E = (1+0.094(w(b-c)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-c)-120))$$

$$F = (1+0.094(w(c-b)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(c-b)-120))$$

$$Y = 1-0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c) \cdot Q(b-a) / ((1-f) \cdot Q(b-c) + f \cdot Q(b-a))$$

Capacity of combined streams

- in accordance with TPDM V2.4


T.P.D.M.V.2.4

Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Jan, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

### Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Fung Chuk Road (J7)		Ref. No.:
Scheme:	Reference Case		
Year:	2025	Job No.:	CHK50868310
Rev.:			
ARM A:	Kam Pok Road SB		
ARM B:	Fung Chuk Road		
ARM C:	Kam Pok Road NB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	7.30	Lane widths	w(b-a)	2.00
Central Reserve width	Wcr	0.00		w(b-c)	2.00
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)		N		w(c-b)	3.50
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	12	Calculated	D	0.70
	VI(b-a)	8.5		E	0.76
	Vr(b-c)	12		F	0.90
	Vr(c-b)	21		Y	0.75

ANALYSIS					
			AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK	
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)		70	50	
	q(c-b)		0	5	
	q(a-b)		0	0	
	q(a-c)		115	115	
	q(b-a)		0	0	
	q(b-c)		5	5	
	f		1.00	1.00	
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	Factor	407	408	
	Q(b-c)	1	544	544	
	Q(c-b)	1	641	641	
	Q(b-ac)	1	544	544	
RFC's	b-a		0.000	0.000	
	b-c		0.009	0.009	
	c-b		0.000	0.008	
	b-ac		0.009	0.009	
Worst RFC			<b>0.009</b>	<b>0.009</b>	

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1 + 0.094(w(b-a) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(b-a) - 120))(1 + 0.0006(VI(b-a) - 150))$$

$$E = (1 + 0.094(w(b-c) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(b-c) - 120))$$

$$F = (1 + 0.094(w(c-b) - 3.65))(1 + 0.0009(Vr(c-b) - 120))$$

$$Y = 1 - 0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c) * Q(b-a) / (1 - f) * Q(b-c) + f * Q(b-a)$$

Capacity of combined streams

- in accordance with TPDM V2.4

T.P.D.M.V.2.4  
Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Jan, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

# Roundabout Capacity Calculation

<b>Job Title:</b> Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long											
<b>Junction:</b> Fairview Park Interchange (J1)								<b>Ref. No.:</b>			
<b>Scheme:</b> Design Case (with Planned Junction Layout)											
<b>Year:</b> 2034				<b>Job No.:</b> CHK50868310				<b>Rev.:</b> -			
AM		PM									
ARM A:		Fairview Park Boulevard									
ARM B:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (N)									
ARM C:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (N)									
ARM D:		San Tam Road (N)									
ARM E:		San Tam Road (S)									
ARM F:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (S)									
ARM G:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (S)									
<b>GEOMETRY</b>											
ARM	v	e	L	r	D	Phi	S				
A	7.00	11.80	20	25	140	35	0.38				
B	7.30	12.00	36	30	140	25	0.21				
C	7.30	13.00	13	45	140	30	0.70				
D	7.30	11.00	23	25	140	35	0.26				
E	7.30	12.00	27	25	140	45	0.28				
F	6.00	12.50	20	25	140	40	0.52				
G	6.50	11.20	22	25	140	35	0.34				
<b>AM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit	
A	10	20	210	40	230	480	30	2925	1020	670	
B	10	10	170	35	250	465	35	3210	975	735	
C	95	80	10	100	485	10	225	2905	1005	1280	
D	65	45	45	10	280	210	95	3410	750	500	
E	40	35	465	120	10	535	20	2265	1225	1895	
F	335	385	10	110	630	10	Free Flow	1455	1480	2035	
G	115	160	370	85	10	325	0	2530	1065	405	
<b>PM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit	
A	10	10	170	55	210	345	30	2605	830	955	
B	35	10	105	35	170	215	35	2740	605	695	
C	190	135	20	85	425	20	210	2445	1085	900	
D	80	45	90	20	250	95	75	2990	655	540	
E	100	30	305	115	10	295	20	1910	875	1735	
F	445	340	10	175	660	20	Free Flow	1575	1650	1210	
G	95	125	200	55	10	220	10	2845	715	380	
<b>CALCULATIONS</b>											
ARM	K	X <sub>2</sub>	M	F	t <sub>D</sub>	f <sub>c</sub>	Q <sub>E</sub>		RFC		
A	0.99	9.71	2980.96	2944	1.00	0.62	AM	PM	AM	PM	
B	1.03	10.62	2980.96	3216	1.00	0.66	1127	1323	0.91	0.63	
C	1.03	9.67	2980.96	2931	1.00	0.62	1148	1467	0.85	0.41	
D	0.99	9.74	2980.96	2952	1.00	0.62	1171	1462	0.86	0.74	
E	0.96	10.32	2980.96	3127	1.00	0.64	834	1092	0.90	0.60	
F	0.98	9.19	2980.96	2783	1.00	0.60	1598	1817	0.77	0.48	
G	0.99	9.29	2980.96	2815	1.00	0.60	1869	1799	0.79	0.92	
								Critical Arm:		A	F
								RFC:		0.91	0.92
										AM	PM
<i>- In accordance with TPDM V2.4</i>											
<b>Calculated by:</b> HZF				<b>Date:</b> Mar-26				<b>Checked by:</b> PTC			

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Fairview Park Boulevard (J2)

Design Year: 2034


Description: Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Fairview Park Boulevard EB	↗ →	A A	1 1	3.4 3.4	15			8% 9%		1940 1955	1935 1955	428 432	0.221 0.221	0.221	276 279	0.143 0.143	
Fairview Park Boulevard WB	↖ ←	A A	1 1	3.5 3.5	17			24% 26%		1925 1965	1920 1965	336 344	0.175 0.175		418 427	0.218 0.217	0.218
Kam Pok Road SB	↔	B	2	5.5	18	18		17% / 46% 18% / 45%		2060	2055	120	0.058	0.058	110	0.054	0.054
Kam Pok Road NB	↔	C	3	3.8	13	20		7% / 41% 14% / 70%		1920	1865	145	0.076	0.076	185	0.099	0.099
Pedestrian Crossing		Dp	4	MIN GREEN + FLASH =			10	+	9	=	19				*		*

<b>Notes:</b>		<b>Group</b>	A,B,C,Dp	<b>Group</b>	A,B,C,Dp
		<b>y</b>	0.355	<b>y</b>	0.370
		<b>L (sec)</b>	44	<b>L (sec)</b>	44
		<b>C (sec)</b>	120	<b>C (sec)</b>	120
		<b>y pract.</b>	0.570	<b>y pract.</b>	0.570
		<b>R.C. (%)</b>	61%	<b>R.C. (%)</b>	54%



I/G= 3	I/G= 7	I/G= 7	I/G= 7	I/G= 11	19	I/G=
I/G= 3	I/G= 7	I/G= 7	I/G= 7	I/G= 11	19	I/G=
<b>Date:</b> Mar, 2026					<b>Junction:</b> 2	

J2

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge – South (J3)

Design Year: 2034

Description: Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Vehicular Bridge (EB) ↔		A	1	3.600	10	10		100% / 0%	100% / 0%	1715	1715	15	0.009		10	0.006	
Kam Pok Rd (SB) ↑		B	2	3.600		10		4%	6%	1960	1960	235	0.120	0.120	170	0.087	0.087
Kam Pok Rd (NB) ↓		C	3	3.600	10			2%	5%	1970	1960	205	0.104	0.104	100	0.051	0.051
Pedestrian Crossing		Dp	4	MIN GREEN + FLASH =		7	+	7	=	14					*		*

<b>Notes:</b>		<b>Group</b>	A,B,C,Dp	<b>Group</b>	A,B,C,Dp
		<b>y</b>	0.224	<b>y</b>	0.138
		<b>L (sec)</b>	32	<b>L (sec)</b>	32
		<b>C (sec)</b>	60	<b>C (sec)</b>	60
		<b>y pract.</b>	0.420	<b>y pract.</b>	0.420
		<b>R.C. (%)</b>	88%	<b>R.C. (%)</b>	205%

Stage / Phase Diagrams				
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.

I/G= 2	5	I/G= 5	I/G= 5	I/G= 3	14	I/G=
I/G= 2	5	I/G= 5	I/G= 5	I/G= 3	14	I/G=
<b>Date:</b> Mar, 2026					<b>Junction:</b> 3	

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge – North / Vehicular Access (J4)

Design Year: 2034


Description: Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows (with Planned Junction Layout)

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Vehicular Bridge SB	↕	A	1	3.500	10	12		100% / 0%	100% / 0%	1710	1710	15	0.009		10	0.006	
Kam Pok Road EB	↔	D	2	3.650	10	12		0% / 8%	0% / 19%	1960	1935	245	0.125	0.125	135	0.070	0.070
R(D) Site Access	↕	C	3	5.500	20					2015	2015	25	0.012		10	0.005	
	↔	C	3	4.000		12		100%	100%	1790	1790	230	0.128	0.128	95	0.053	0.053
Kam Pok Road WB	↕	B	4	3.650	15					1800	1800	170	0.094		105	0.058	
	↔	B	4	3.650		12		4%	5%	1970	1965	245	0.124	0.124	195	0.099	0.099
Pedestrian Crossing	Ep		3	MIN GREEN + FLASH =			10	+	10	=	20						
	Fp		1	MIN GREEN + FLASH =			11	+	10	=	21						
	Gp		1,2,4	MIN GREEN + FLASH =			5	+	5	=	10						


Notes:	Flow: (pcu/hr)	Group		Group			
		Fp,D,C,B	A,D,C,B	Fp,D,C,B	A,D,C,B		
		<b>y</b>	0.378	0.378	<b>y</b>	0.222	0.222
		<b>L (sec)</b>	18	31	<b>L (sec)</b>	18	31
		<b>C (sec)</b>	90	90	<b>C (sec)</b>	90	90
		<b>y pract.</b>	0.720	0.590	<b>y pract.</b>	0.720	0.590
		<b>R.C. (%)</b>	91%	56%	<b>R.C. (%)</b>	224%	166%



I/G= 7	5	I/G= 12		I/G= 5		I/G= 5		I/G=	
I/G= 7	5	I/G= 12		I/G= 5		I/G= 5		I/G=	
Date: Mar, 2026							Junction: 4		

## Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Ha Chuk Yuen Road (J5)	Ref. No.:	
Scheme:	Design Case		
Year:	2034	Job No.:	CHK50868310
Rev.:			
ARM A:	Kam Pok Road WB		
ARM B:	Ha Chuk Yuen Road		
ARM C:	Kam Pok Road EB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	9.30	Lane widths		
Central Reserve width	Wcr	0.00	w(b-a)	2.05	
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)		N	w(b-c)	2.05	
			w(c-b)	3.50	
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	50	Calculated	D	0.75
	VI(b-a)	50		E	0.80
	Vr(b-c)	50		F	0.92
	Vr(c-b)	50		Y	0.68

ANALYSIS			
		AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)	475	215
	q(c-b)	0	0
	q(a-b)	15	25
	q(a-c)	410	290
	q(b-a)	20	20
	q(b-c)	5	5
	f	0.20	0.20
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	337	389
	Q(b-c)	511	534
	Q(c-b)	591	616
	Q(b-ac)	362	411
RFC's	b-a	0.059	0.051
	b-c	0.010	0.009
	c-b	0.000	0.000
	b-ac	0.069	0.061
Worst RFC		<b>0.069</b>	<b>0.061</b>

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1+0.094(w(b-a)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-a)-120))(1+0.0006(VI(b-a)-150))$$

$$E = (1+0.094(w(b-c)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-c)-120))$$

$$F = (1+0.094(w(c-b)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(c-b)-120))$$

$$Y = 1-0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c)*Q(b-a)/(1-f)*Q(b-c)+f*Q(b-a)$$

Capacity of combined streams

- in accordance with TPDM V2.4


T.P.D.M.V.2.4

Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Mar, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

## Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (J6)	Ref. No.:	
Scheme:	Design Case (with Planned Junction Layout)		
Year:	2034	Job No.:	CHK50868310
		Rev.:	
ARM A:	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi NB		
ARM B:	Kam Pok Road EB		
ARM C:	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi SB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	6.90	Lane widths	w(b-a)	4.30
Central Reserve width	Wcr	1.50		w(b-c)	4.30
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)		Y		w(c-b)	2.05
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	53	Calculated	D	0.95
	VI(b-a)	70		E	1.01
	Vr(b-c)	70		F	0.78
	Vr(c-b)	30		Y	0.76

ANALYSIS				AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)			555	395
	q(c-b)			175	85
	q(a-b)			250	235
	q(a-c)			385	465
	q(b-a)			380	185
	q(b-c)			115	55
	f			0.23	0.23
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	Factor		330	371
	Q(b-c)	1		619	598
	Q(c-b)	1		444	430
	Q(b-ac)	1		370	406
RFC's	b-a			1.152	0.499
	b-c			0.186	0.092
	c-b			0.394	0.198
	b-ac			0.000	0.000
Worst RFC				<b>1.152</b>	<b>0.499</b>

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1+0.094(w(b-a)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-a)-120))(1+0.0006(VI(b-a)-150))$$

$$E = (1+0.094(w(b-c)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-c)-120))$$

$$F = (1+0.094(w(c-b)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(c-b)-120))$$

$$Y = 1-0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c)*Q(b-a)/(1-f)*Q(b-c)+f*Q(b-a)$$

Capacity of combined streams

- in accordance with TPDM V2.4


T.P.D.M.V.2.4

Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Mar, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

## Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Fung Chuk Road (J7)	Ref. No.:	
Scheme:	Design Case		
Year:	2025	Job No.:	CHK50868310
Rev.:			
ARM A:	Kam Pok Road SB		
ARM B:	Fung Chuk Road		
ARM C:	Kam Pok Road NB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	7.30	Lane widths		
Central Reserve width	Wcr	0.00	w(b-a)	2.00	
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)	N		w(b-c)	2.00	
			w(c-b)	3.50	
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	12	Calculated	D	0.70
	VI(b-a)	8.5		E	0.76
	Vr(b-c)	12		F	0.90
	Vr(c-b)	21		Y	0.75

ANALYSIS			
		AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)	215	105
	q(c-b)	0	5
	q(a-b)	10	10
	q(a-c)	225	175
	q(b-a)	10	10
	q(b-c)	5	5
	f	0.33	0.33
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	368	390
	Q(b-c)	521	531
	Q(c-b)	612	624
	Q(b-ac)	408	428
RFC's	b-a	0.027	0.026
	b-c	0.010	0.009
	c-b	0.000	0.008
	b-ac	0.037	0.035
Worst RFC		<b>0.037</b>	<b>0.035</b>

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1+0.094(w(b-a)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-a)-120))(1+0.0006(VI(b-a)-150))$$

$$E = (1+0.094(w(b-c)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-c)-120))$$

$$F = (1+0.094(w(c-b)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(c-b)-120))$$

$$Y = 1-0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c)*Q(b-a)/(1-f)*Q(b-c)+f*Q(b-a)$$

Capacity of combined streams


- in accordance with TPDM V2.4

T.P.D.M.V.2.4


Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Mar, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

# Roundabout Capacity Calculation

<b>Job Title:</b> Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long											
<b>Junction:</b> Fairview Park Interchange (J1)								<b>Ref. No.:</b>			
<b>Scheme:</b> Design Case (with Proposed Junction Layout)											
<b>Year:</b> 2034				<b>Job No.:</b> CHK50868310				<b>Rev.:</b> -			
AM		PM									
ARM A:		Fairview Park Boulevard									
ARM B:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (N)									
ARM C:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (N)									
ARM D:		San Tam Road (N)									
ARM E:		San Tam Road (S)									
ARM F:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (S)									
ARM G:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (S)									
											
<b>GEOMETRY</b>											
ARM	v	e	L	r	D	Phi	S				
A	7.00	12.20	34	25	140	35	0.24				
B	7.30	12.00	36	30	140	25	0.21				
C	7.30	13.00	13	45	140	30	0.70				
D	7.30	13.00	40	25	140	45	0.23				
E	7.30	12.00	27	25	140	45	0.28				
F	6.00	13.00	36	25	140	40	0.31				
G	6.50	11.20	22	25	140	35	0.34				
<b>AM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit	
A	10	20	210	40	230	480	30	2925	1020	670	
B	10	10	170	35	250	465	35	3210	975	735	
C	95	80	10	Free Flow	485	10	225	2905	905	1280	
D	65	45	45	10	280	210	95	3410	750	400	
E	40	35	465	120	10	535	20	2265	1225	1895	
F	335	385	10	110	630	10	Free Flow	1455	1480	2035	
G	115	160	370	85	10	325	0	2530	1065	405	
<b>PM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit	
A	10	10	170	55	210	345	30	2605	830	955	
B	35	10	105	35	170	215	35	2740	605	695	
C	190	135	20	Free Flow	425	20	210	2445	1000	900	
D	80	45	90	20	250	95	75	2990	655	455	
E	100	30	305	115	10	295	20	1910	875	1735	
F	445	340	10	175	660	20	Free Flow	1575	1650	1210	
G	95	125	200	55	10	220	10	2845	715	380	
<b>CALCULATIONS</b>											
ARM	K	X <sub>2</sub>	M	F	t <sub>D</sub>	f <sub>c</sub>	Q <sub>E</sub>		RFC		
A	0.99	10.49	2980.96	3179	1.00	0.65	AM	PM	AM	PM	
B	1.03	10.62	2980.96	3216	1.00	0.66	1266	1472	0.81	0.56	
C	1.03	9.67	2980.96	2931	1.00	0.62	1148	1467	0.84	0.41	
D	0.96	11.21	2980.96	3398	1.00	0.68	1171	1462	0.77	0.68	
E	0.96	10.32	2980.96	3127	1.00	0.64	1030	1304	0.73	0.50	
F	0.98	10.32	2980.96	3125	1.00	0.64	1598	1817	0.77	0.48	
G	0.99	9.29	2980.96	2815	1.00	0.60	2135	2060	0.69	0.80	
								Critical Arm:		B	F
								RFC:		0.84	0.80
										AM	PM
<i>- In accordance with TPDM V2.4</i>											
<b>Calculated by:</b> HZF				<b>Date:</b> Mar-26				<b>Checked by:</b> PTC			

# Roundabout Capacity Calculation

<b>Job Title:</b> Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long											
<b>Junction:</b> Kam Pok Road / Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (J6)						<b>Ref. No.:</b>					
<b>Scheme:</b> Design Case (with Junction Improvement Layout)											
<b>Year:</b> 2034			<b>Job No.:</b> CHK50868310			<b>Rev.:</b> -					
AM		PM									
ARM A:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi NB									
ARM B:		Kam Pok Road EB									
ARM C:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi SB									
											
<b>GEOMETRY</b>											
ARM	v	e	L	r	D	Phi	S				
A	3.50	5.30	22	50	26	11.5	0.13				
B	4.60	6.00	5	17.5	26	23	0.45				
C	3.30	5.50	5	80	26	4.5	0.70				
<b>AM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C				Circ	Entry	Exit		
A	0	250	385				175	635	380		
B	380	0	115				385	495	425		
C	FF	175	0				380	175	500		
<b>PM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C				Circ	Entry	Exit		
A	0	235	465				85	700	185		
B	185	0	55				465	240	320		
C	FF	85	0				185	85	520		
<b>CALCULATIONS</b>											
ARM	K	X <sub>2</sub>	M	F	t <sub>D</sub>	f <sub>c</sub>	Q <sub>E</sub>	RFC			
							AM	PM	AM	PM	
A	1.09	4.93	0.03	1493	1.48	0.62	1514	1575	0.42	0.44	
B	1.02	5.34	0.03	1618	1.48	0.64	1393	1341	0.36	0.18	
C	1.13	4.21	0.03	1277	1.48	0.57	1191	1317	0.15	0.06	
									<b>Critical Arm:</b>	A	A
									<b>RFC:</b>	0.42	0.44
										AM	PM
<i>- In accordance with TPDM V2.4</i>											
<b>Calculated by:</b> HZF			<b>Date:</b> Mar-26			<b>Checked by:</b> PTC					

# Roundabout Capacity Calculation

<b>Job Title:</b> Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long										
<b>Junction:</b> Fairview Park Interchange (J1)								<b>Ref. No.:</b>		
<b>Scheme:</b> Design Case under Sensitivity Test 1 (with Proposed Junction Layout)										
<b>Year:</b> 2034				<b>Job No.:</b> CHK50868310				<b>Rev.:</b> -		
AM		PM								
ARM A:		Fairview Park Boulevard								
ARM B:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (N)								
ARM C:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (N)								
ARM D:		San Tam Road (N)								
ARM E:		San Tam Road (S)								
ARM F:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (S)								
ARM G:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (S)								
<b>GEOMETRY</b>										
ARM	v	e	L	r	D	Phi	S			
A	7.00	12.20	34	25	140	35	0.24			
B	7.30	12.00	36	30	140	25	0.21			
C	7.30	13.00	13	45	140	30	0.70			
D	7.30	13.00	34	25	140	35	0.27			
E	7.30	12.00	27	25	140	45	0.28			
F	6.00	13.00	36	25	140	40	0.31			
G	6.50	11.20	22	25	140	35	0.34			
<b>AM FLOWS</b>										
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit
A	10	20	210	40	230	480	30	2995	1020	670
B	10	10	200	35	250	570	35	3210	1110	805
C	95	85	10	Free Flow	485	10	225	3010	910	1310
D	65	45	45	10	280	210	95	3520	750	400
E	40	35	465	120	10	535	20	2375	1225	1895
F	335	450	10	110	630	10	Free Flow	1460	1545	2140
G	115	160	370	85	10	325	0	2600	1065	405
<b>PM FLOWS</b>										
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit
A	10	10	170	55	210	345	30	2675	830	955
B	35	10	115	35	170	260	35	2740	660	765
C	190	155	20	Free Flow	425	20	210	2490	1020	910
D	80	45	90	20	250	95	75	3055	655	455
E	100	30	305	115	10	295	20	1975	875	1735
F	445	390	10	175	660	20	Free Flow	1595	1700	1255
G	95	125	200	55	10	220	10	2915	715	380
<b>CALCULATIONS</b>								$Q_E$	RFC	
ARM	K	$X_2$	M	F	$t_D$	$f_c$	AM	PM	AM	PM
A	0.99	10.49	2980.96	3179	1.00	0.65	1221	1427	0.84	0.58
B	1.03	10.62	2980.96	3216	1.00	0.66	1148	1467	0.97	0.45
C	1.03	9.67	2980.96	2931	1.00	0.62	1105	1434	0.82	0.71
D	0.99	11.01	2980.96	3336	1.00	0.67	961	1272	0.78	0.52
E	0.96	10.32	2980.96	3127	1.00	0.64	1531	1777	0.80	0.49
F	0.98	10.32	2980.96	3125	1.00	0.64	2132	2047	0.72	0.83
G	0.99	9.29	2980.96	2815	1.00	0.60	1245	1057	0.86	0.68
<b>Critical Arm:</b>									<b>B</b>	<b>F</b>
<b>RFC:</b>									<b>0.97</b>	<b>0.83</b>
									<b>AM</b>	<b>PM</b>
<i>- In accordance with TPDM V2.4</i>										
<b>Calculated by:</b> HZF				<b>Date:</b> Mar-26				<b>Checked by:</b> PTC		

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Fairview Park Boulevard (J2)

Design Year: 2034


Description: Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows (Sensitivity Test 1)

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Fairview Park Boulevard EB	↗ →	A	1	3.4	15			8%	9%	1940	1935	428	0.221		276	0.143	
Fairview Park Boulevard WB	↖ ←	A	1	3.5	17			24%	26%	1925	1920	336	0.175		418	0.218	0.218
Kam Pok Road SB	↕	B	2	5.5	18	18		12% / 33%	15% / 38%	2085	2070	165	0.079	0.079	130	0.063	0.063
Kam Pok Road NB	↕	C	3	3.8	13	20		6% / 34%	12% / 63%	1935	1880	175	0.090	0.090	205	0.109	0.109
Pedestrian Crossing		Dp	4	MIN GREEN + FLASH =		10	+	9	=	19					*		*

Notes:	Flow: (pcu/hr)	Group	A,B,C,Dp	Group	A,B,C,Dp
		<b>y</b>	0.391	<b>y</b>	0.390
		<b>L (sec)</b>	44	<b>L (sec)</b>	44
		<b>C (sec)</b>	120	<b>C (sec)</b>	120
		<b>y pract.</b>	0.570	<b>y pract.</b>	0.570
		<b>R.C. (%)</b>	46%	<b>R.C. (%)</b>	46%



I/G= 3	I/G= 7	I/G= 7	I/G= 7	I/G= 11	19	I/G=
I/G= 3	I/G= 7	I/G= 7	I/G= 7	I/G= 11	19	I/G=
Date: Mar, 2026					Junction: 2	

J2

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge – South (J3)

Design Year: 2034

Description: Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows (Sensitivity Test 1)

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Vehicular Bridge (EB) ↔		A	1	3.600	10	10		82% / 18%	83% / 17%	1715	1715	140	0.082	0.082	60	0.035	
Kam Pok Rd (SB) ↑		B	2	3.600		10		26%	26%	1900	1900	330	0.174	0.174	230	0.121	0.121
Kam Pok Rd (NB) ↓		C	3	3.600	10			11%	16%	1945	1930	235	0.121	0.121	125	0.065	0.065
Pedestrian Crossing		Dp	4	MIN GREEN + FLASH =		7	+	7	=	14					*		*

<b>Notes:</b>			<b>Group</b>		A,B,C,Dp	<b>Group</b>		A,B,C,Dp
			<b>y</b>		0.376	<b>y</b>		0.186
			<b>L (sec)</b>		26	<b>L (sec)</b>		32
			<b>C (sec)</b>		60	<b>C (sec)</b>		60
			<b>y pract.</b>		0.510	<b>y pract.</b>		0.420
			<b>R.C. (%)</b>		36%	<b>R.C. (%)</b>		126%

Stage / Phase Diagrams				
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.

I/G= 2		I/G= 5		I/G= 5		I/G= 3	14	I/G=	
I/G= 2	5	I/G= 5		I/G= 5		I/G= 3	14	I/G=	
<b>Date:</b> Mar, 2026							<b>Junction:</b> 3		

**TRAFFIC SIGNALS CALCULATION**

Job No.: **CHK50868310**

**MVA HONG KONG LIMITED**

Junction: Kam Pok Road / Vehicular Bridge – North / Vehicular Access (J4)

Design Year: 2034


Description: Year 2034 Design Traffic Flows (Sensitivity Test 1) (with Planned Junction Layout)

Designed By: HZF

Checked By: PTC

Approach	Movements	Phase	Stage	Width (m)	Radius (m)		Gradient (%)	Pro. Turning (%)		Revised Saturation Flow (pcu/hr)		AM Peak			PM Peak		
					Left	Right		AM	PM	AM	PM	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y	Flow (pcu/hr)	y Value	Critical y
Vehicular Bridge SB	↕	A	1	3.500	10	12		81% / 19%	80% / 20%	1715	1715	105	0.061	0.061	50	0.029	
Kam Pok Road EB	↔	D	2	3.650	10	12		4% / 5%	5% / 14%	1955	1930	365	0.187	0.187	185	0.096	0.096
R(D) Site Access	↕	C	3	5.500	20					2015	2015	25	0.012		10	0.005	
	↔	C	3	4.000		12		100%	100%	1790	1790	230	0.128	0.128	95	0.053	0.053
Kam Pok Road WB	↕	B	4	3.650	15					1800	1800	170	0.094		105	0.058	
	↔	B	4	3.650		12		15%	18%	1945	1940	365	0.188	0.188	285	0.147	0.147
Pedestrian Crossing	Ep		3	MIN GREEN + FLASH =		10	+	10	=	20							
	Fp		1	MIN GREEN + FLASH =		11	+	10	=	21							
	Gp		1,2,4	MIN GREEN + FLASH =		5	+	5	=	10							


Notes:	Flow: (pcu/hr)	Group	Fp,D,C,B	A,D,C,B	Group	Fp,D,C,B	A,D,C,B
		<b>y</b>	0.503	0.564	<b>y</b>	0.296	0.296
		<b>L (sec)</b>	18	25	<b>L (sec)</b>	18	31
		<b>C (sec)</b>	90	90	<b>C (sec)</b>	90	90
		<b>y pract.</b>	0.720	0.650	<b>y pract.</b>	0.720	0.590
		<b>R.C. (%)</b>	43%	15%	<b>R.C. (%)</b>	143%	99%



I/G= 7	I/G= 12	I/G= 5	I/G= 5	I/G= 5	I/G=
I/G= 7	5	I/G= 12	I/G= 5	I/G= 5	I/G=
Date: Mar, 2026				Junction: 4	

## Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Ha Chuk Yuen Road (J5)	Ref. No.:	
Scheme:	Design Case under Sensitivity Test 1		
Year:	2034	Job No.:	CHK50868310
Rev.:			
ARM A:	Kam Pok Road WB		
ARM B:	Ha Chuk Yuen Road		
ARM C:	Kam Pok Road EB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	9.30	Lane widths	w(b-a)	2.05
Central Reserve width	Wcr	0.00		w(b-c)	2.05
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)		N		w(c-b)	3.50
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	50	Calculated	D	0.75
	VI(b-a)	50		E	0.80
	Vr(b-c)	50		F	0.92
	Vr(c-b)	50		Y	0.68

ANALYSIS				AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)			645	285
	q(c-b)			0	0
	q(a-b)			15	25
	q(a-c)			530	380
	q(b-a)			20	20
	q(b-c)			5	5
	f			0.20	0.20
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	Factor		295	364
	Q(b-c)	1		488	516
	Q(c-b)	1		564	596
	Q(b-ac)	1		320	387
RFC's	b-a			0.068	0.055
	b-c			0.010	0.010
	c-b			0.000	0.000
	b-ac			0.078	0.065
Worst RFC				<b>0.078</b>	<b>0.065</b>

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1+0.094(w(b-a)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-a)-120))(1+0.0006(VI(b-a)-150))$$

$$E = (1+0.094(w(b-c)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-c)-120))$$

$$F = (1+0.094(w(c-b)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(c-b)-120))$$

$$Y = 1-0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c)*Q(b-a)/(1-f)*Q(b-c)+f*Q(b-a)$$

Capacity of combined streams

- in accordance with TPDM V2.4


T.P.D.M.V.2.4

Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Mar, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

## Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (J6)	Ref. No.:	
Scheme:	Design Case under Sensitivity Test 1 (with Planned Junction Layout)		
Year:	2034	Job No.:	CHK50868310
Rev.:			
ARM A:	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi NB		
ARM B:	Kam Pok Road EB		
ARM C:	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi SB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	6.90	Lane widths	w(b-a)	4.30
Central Reserve width	Wcr	1.50		w(b-c)	4.30
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)		Y		w(c-b)	2.05
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	53	Calculated	D	0.95
	VI(b-a)	70		E	1.01
	Vr(b-c)	70		F	0.78
	Vr(c-b)	30		Y	0.76

ANALYSIS		AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)	555	395
	q(c-b)	225	100
	q(a-b)	325	305
	q(a-c)	385	465
	q(b-a)	515	240
	q(b-c)	155	70
	f	0.23	0.23
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	303	358
	Q(b-c)	611	590
	Q(c-b)	428	415
	Q(b-ac)	343	393
RFC's	b-a	1.700	0.670
	b-c	0.254	0.119
	c-b	0.526	0.241
	b-ac	0.000	0.000
Worst RFC		<b>1.700</b>	<b>0.670</b>

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1+0.094(w(b-a)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-a)-120))(1+0.0006(VI(b-a)-150))$$

$$E = (1+0.094(w(b-c)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-c)-120))$$

$$F = (1+0.094(w(c-b)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(c-b)-120))$$

$$Y = 1-0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c)*Q(b-a)/(1-f)*Q(b-c)+f*Q(b-a)$$

Capacity of combined streams

- in accordance with TPDM V2.4


T.P.D.M.V.2.4

Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Mar, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

## Simplified Priority Junction Capacity Calculation

Job Title:	Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long		
Junction:	Kam Pok Road / Fung Chuk Road (J7)	Ref. No.:	
Scheme:	Design Case under Sensitivity Test 1		
Year:	2025	Job No.:	CHK50868310
Rev.:			
ARM A:	Kam Pok Road SB		
ARM B:	Fung Chuk Road		
ARM C:	Kam Pok Road NB		



GEOMETRY					
Major road width	W	7.30	Lane widths		
Central Reserve width	Wcr	0.00	w(b-a)	2.00	
2 Lane Minor Arm (Y/N)	N		w(b-c)	2.00	
			w(c-b)	3.50	
Visibilities	Vr(b-a)	12	Calculated	D	0.70
	VI(b-a)	8.5		E	0.76
	Vr(b-c)	12		F	0.90
	Vr(c-b)	21		Y	0.75

ANALYSIS			
		AM PEAK	(PM) PEAK
TRAFFIC FLOWS	q(c-a)	325	155
	q(c-b)	0	5
	q(a-b)	10	10
	q(a-c)	320	235
	q(b-a)	10	10
	q(b-c)	5	5
	f	0.33	0.33
CAPACITIES	Q(b-a)	337	372
	Q(b-c)	501	519
	Q(c-b)	588	609
	Q(b-ac)	378	410
RFC's	b-a	0.030	0.027
	b-c	0.010	0.010
	c-b	0.000	0.008
	b-ac	0.040	0.037
Worst RFC		<b>0.040</b>	<b>0.037</b>

Where VI and Vr are visibility distances to the left or right of the respective streams

$$D = (1+0.094(w(b-a)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-a)-120))(1+0.0006(VI(b-a)-150))$$

$$E = (1+0.094(w(b-c)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(b-c)-120))$$

$$F = (1+0.094(w(c-b)-3.65))(1+0.0009(Vr(c-b)-120))$$

$$Y = 1-0.0345W$$

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

$$Q(b-ac) = Q(b-c)*Q(b-a)/(1-f)*Q(b-c)+f*Q(b-a)$$

Capacity of combined streams


- in accordance with TPDM V2.4

T.P.D.M.V.2.4


Appendix 1

Calculated by:	HZF	Date:	Mar, 2026	Checked by:	PTC
----------------	-----	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

# Roundabout Capacity Calculation

<b>Job Title:</b> Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long											
<b>Junction:</b> Fairview Park Interchange (J1)								<b>Ref. No.:</b>			
<b>Scheme:</b> Design Case under Sensitivity Test 1 (with Suggested Junction Improvement Layout)											
<b>Year:</b> 2034				<b>Job No.:</b> CHK50868310				<b>Rev.:</b> -			
AM		PM									
ARM A:		Fairview Park Boulevard									
ARM B:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (N)									
ARM C:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (N)									
ARM D:		San Tam Road (N)									
ARM E:		San Tam Road (S)									
ARM F:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (S)									
ARM G:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (S)									
											
<b>GEOMETRY</b>											
ARM	v	e	L	r	D	Phi	S				
A	7.00	12.20	34	25	140	35	0.24				
B	7.30	12.00	36	30	140	25	0.21				
C	7.30	13.00	13	45	140	30	0.70				
D	7.30	13.00	40	25	140	45	0.23				
E	7.30	12.00	27	25	140	45	0.28				
F	6.00	13.00	36	25	140	40	0.31				
G	6.50	12.00	25	25	140	35	0.35				
<b>AM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit	
A	10	20	210	40	230	480	30	2995	1020	670	
B	10	10	Free Flow	35	250	570	35	3210	910	805	
C	95	85	10	Free Flow	485	10	225	3010	910	1110	
D	65	45	45	10	280	210	95	3520	750	400	
E	40	35	465	120	10	535	20	2375	1225	1895	
F	335	450	10	110	630	10	Free Flow	1460	1545	2140	
G	115	160	370	85	10	325	0	2600	1065	405	
<b>PM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit	
A	10	10	170	55	210	345	30	2675	830	955	
B	35	10	Free Flow	35	170	260	35	2740	545	765	
C	190	155	20	Free Flow	425	20	210	2490	1020	795	
D	80	45	90	20	250	95	75	3055	655	455	
E	100	30	305	115	10	295	20	1975	875	1735	
F	445	390	10	175	660	20	Free Flow	1595	1700	1255	
G	95	125	200	55	10	220	10	2915	715	380	
<b>CALCULATIONS</b>											
ARM	K	X <sub>2</sub>	M	F	t <sub>D</sub>	f <sub>c</sub>	Q <sub>E</sub>	AM	PM	RFC	
A	0.99	10.49	2980.96	3179	1.00	0.65		1221	1427	0.84	
B	1.03	10.62	2980.96	3216	1.00	0.66		1148	1467	0.79	
C	1.03	9.67	2980.96	2931	1.00	0.62		1105	1434	0.82	
D	0.96	11.21	2980.96	3398	1.00	0.68		958	1262	0.78	
E	0.96	10.32	2980.96	3127	1.00	0.64		1531	1777	0.80	
F	0.98	10.32	2980.96	3125	1.00	0.64		2132	2047	0.72	
G	0.99	9.73	2980.96	2947	1.00	0.62		1329	1135	0.80	
									<b>Critical Arm:</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>F</b>
									<b>RFC:</b>	<b>0.84</b>	<b>0.83</b>
									<b>AM</b>	<b>PM</b>	
<i>- In accordance with TPDM V2.4</i>											
<b>Calculated by:</b> HZF				<b>Date:</b> Mar-26				<b>Checked by:</b> PTC			

# Roundabout Capacity Calculation

<b>Job Title:</b> Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long											
<b>Junction:</b> Kam Pok Road / Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi (J6)					<b>Ref. No.:</b>						
<b>Scheme:</b> Design Case under Sensitivity Test 1 (with Junction Improvement Layout)											
<b>Year:</b> 2034			<b>Job No.:</b> CHK50868310			<b>Rev.:</b> -					
AM		PM									
ARM A:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi NB									
ARM B:		Kam Pok Road EB									
ARM C:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi SB									
											
<b>GEOMETRY</b>											
ARM	v	e	L	r	D	Phi	S				
A	3.50	5.30	22	50	26	11.5	0.13				
B	4.60	6.00	5	17.5	26	23	0.45				
C	3.30	5.50	5	80	26	4.5	0.70				
<b>AM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C				Circ	Entry	Exit		
A	0	325	385				225	710	515		
B	515	0	155				385	670	550		
C	FF	225	0				515	225	540		
<b>PM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C				Circ	Entry	Exit		
A	0	305	465				100	770	240		
B	240	0	70				465	310	405		
C	FF	100	0				240	100	535		
<b>CALCULATIONS</b>											
ARM	K	X <sub>2</sub>	M	F	t <sub>D</sub>	f <sub>c</sub>	AM	PM	RFC	AM	PM
A	1.09	4.93	0.03	1493	1.48	0.62	1480	1565	0.48	0.49	
B	1.02	5.34	0.03	1618	1.48	0.64	1393	1341	0.48	0.23	
C	1.13	4.21	0.03	1277	1.48	0.57	1104	1281	0.20	0.08	
<b>Critical Arm:</b>										A	A
<b>RFC:</b>										0.48	0.49
										AM	PM
<i>- In accordance with TPDM V2.4</i>											
<b>Calculated by:</b> HZF			<b>Date:</b> Mar-26			<b>Checked by:</b> PTC					

# Roundabout Capacity Calculation

Job Title: Proposed Residential Development at Lot No. 4822 in D.D. 104 and Adjoining Government Land, East of Kam Pok Road, Mai Po, Yuen Long											
Junction: Fairview Park Interchange (J1)								Ref. No.:			
Scheme: Design Case under Sensitivity Test 2 (with Suggested Junction Improvement Layout)											
Year: 2034				Job No.: CHK50868310				Rev.: -			
AM		PM									
ARM A:		Fairview Park Boulevard									
ARM B:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (N)									
ARM C:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (N)									
ARM D:		San Tam Road (N)									
ARM E:		San Tam Road (S)									
ARM F:		San Tin Highway Slip Road (S)									
ARM G:		Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi (S)									
<b>GEOMETRY</b>											
ARM	v	e	L	r	D	Phi	S				
A	7.00	11.00	14	22	142	35	0.46				
B	5.50	10.50	15	20	142	35	0.53				
C	5.50	10.00	30	23	142	30	0.24				
D	6.75	8.50	10	20	142	25	0.28				
E	6.00	8.00	9.5	20	142	35	0.34				
F	6.00	9.00	10	25	142	40	0.48				
G	5.50	8.00	25	22	142	30	0.16				
<b>AM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit	
A	10	20	210	40	230	480	30	2105	1020	670	
B	10	10	130	35	240	335	35	2500	795	625	
C	95	70	10	100	360	10	225	2250	870	1045	
D	65	45	45	10	280	210	95	2620	750	500	
E	40	25	270	120	10	165	20	2125	650	1245	
F	335	295	10	110	115	10	FF	1240	875	1535	
G	115	160	370	85	10	325	0	1710	1065	405	
<b>PM FLOWS</b>											
from \ to	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Circ	Entry	Exit	
A	10	10	170	55	210	345	30	1920	830	955	
B	35	10	95	35	160	155	35	2145	525	605	
C	190	115	20	85	310	20	210	1880	950	790	
D	80	45	90	20	250	95	75	2290	655	540	
E	100	20	205	115	10	110	20	1830	580	1115	
F	445	280	10	175	165	20	FF	1445	1095	965	
G	95	125	200	55	10	220	10	2160	715	380	
								Q <sub>E</sub>	RFC		
<b>CALCULATIONS</b>											
ARM	K	X <sub>2</sub>	M	F	t <sub>D</sub>	f <sub>c</sub>	AM	PM	AM	PM	
A	0.99	9.09	3640.95	2754	1.00	0.59	1489	1597	0.69	0.52	
B	0.98	7.92	3640.95	2400	1.00	0.54	1025	1214	0.78	0.43	
C	1.01	8.54	3640.95	2588	1.00	0.57	1316	1528	0.66	0.62	
D	1.02	7.87	3640.95	2385	1.00	0.54	985	1167	0.76	0.56	
E	0.98	7.19	3640.95	2180	1.00	0.51	1073	1221	0.61	0.47	
F	0.98	7.53	3640.95	2282	1.00	0.53	1588	1483	0.55	0.74	
G	1.00	7.39	3640.95	2240	1.00	0.52	1356	1121	0.79	0.64	
								Critical Arm:	G	F	
								RFC:	0.79	0.74	
								AM	PM	AM	PM
- In accordance with TPDM V2.4											
Calculated by: HZF			Date: Mar-26			Checked by: PTC					