

SCHEDULE OF AMENDMENTS TO THE APPROVED KWUN TONG (SOUTH) OUTLINE ZONING PLAN NO. S/K14S/20 MADE BY THE TOWN PLANNING BOARD UNDER THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE (Chapter 131)

I. Amendment to Matters shown on the Plan

Item A — Rezoning of a site at Ting On Street from "Government, Institution or Community" to "Residential (Group A)3" ("R(A)3") and stipulation of building height restriction.

II. Amendments to the Notes of the Plan

- (a) Revision to the Remarks of the "R(A)" zone to stipulate the plot ratio and building height restrictions for the "R(A)3" zone.
- (b) Incorporation of 'Government Refuse Collection Point (on land designated "R(A)3" only)' as a Column 1 use of the "R(A)" zone and corresponding amendment to replace 'Government Refuse Collection Point' under Column 2 by 'Government Refuse Collection Point (not elsewhere specified)'.
- (c) Incorporation of 'Art Studio (excluding those involving direct provision of services or goods)' as a Column 1 use in Schedule II of the "Other Specified Uses" ("OU") annotated "Business" zone, and corresponding amendment to replace 'Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture' under Column 2 by 'Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture (not elsewhere specified)'.
- (d) Revision to the Schedule of Uses of the "OU" annotated "Petrol Filling Station" zone to replace 'Government Use (not elsewhere specified)' under Column 2 by 'Government Use'.

Town Planning Board

3 November 2017

城市規劃委員會文件第 10447 號附件 III

List of Representers and Commenters in respect of Draft Kwun Tong (South) Outline Zoning Plan No. S/K14S/21 《觀塘(南部)分區計劃大綱草圖編號 S/K14S/21》 申述人和提意見人名單

Representers 申述人

Representation No. 申述個案編號	Name of 'Representer' 申述人名稱
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R1	楊文龍
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R2	何愛玲
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R3	高汝生
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R4	李滿昌
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R5	曾德
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R6	黄祝提
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R7	葉少梅
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R8	張金珠
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R9	張彩鳳
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R10	梁潔芝
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R11	吳美芳
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R12	羅琼
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R13	劉玉梅
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R14	羅秀珠
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R15	李燕伶
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R16	曾偉強
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R17	王煥熙
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R18	陳瑞英
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R19	鮑月麗
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R20	何美華
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R21	何祥春
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R22	鄧秀芳
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R23	李麗甜
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R24	李耀安
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R25	李秀蘭
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R26	夏
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R27	羅國雄
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R28	Raymond Lam
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R29	黄德貞
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R30	馬軼超 (觀塘區議員)

Representation No. 申述個案編號	Name of 'Representer' 申述人名稱
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R31	Clara
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R32	Stephanie Chan
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R33	Lam Wing Sai
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R34	蘇諾文
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R35	馬燕雯
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R36	區永昌
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R37	雲梅英
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R38	潘靖邦
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R39	Amy Wong
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R40	柯太
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R41	Ho Ching Yee
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R42	Yui Hang Cheng
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R43	To Siu Ching Bendy
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R44	楊健昌
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R45	羅可琼
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R46	洪捷
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R47	何鳯池
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R48	Joel Tang
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R49	陳華裕 (觀塘區議員)
	Chan Wah Yu (Kwun Tong District Councillor)
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R50	Ms Tang
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R51	Mary Mulvihill
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R52	伍小姐
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R53	Wong Ka Chun
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R54	Yu Mei Fong
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R55	譚祝霞
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R56	張令有
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R57	劉沛英
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R58	方素媖
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R59	余鳥珊
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R60	周婉嫻
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R61	許幼鵬
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R62	黄永富
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R63	潘根生
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R64	盧德賢
	Lo Tak Yin

Representation No. 申述個案編號	Name of 'Representer' 申述人名稱	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R65	洪少華	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R66	Eliza Chan	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R67	麥漢彪	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R68	伍樣優	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R69	張家華	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R70	陳錦意	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R71	程麗蓉	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R72	Lee So Ping Karen	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R73	田冠球	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R74	陳先生	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R75	曾慶明	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R76	馬敏慧	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R77	史達城	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R78	李紹蘭	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R79	鄭清強	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R80	關麗瑩	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R81	陳振彬 (觀塘區議員)	
	Chan Chung Bun Bunny (Kwun Tong District Councillor)	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R82	香港鐵路有限公司	
	MTR Corporation Limited	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R83	宏信物業管理有限公司	
	Winson Property Management Limited	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R84	Lui's Investment Ltd	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R85	Lui Suk Toa	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R86	洪志漢	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R87	嚴雪靜	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R88	徐	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R89	王小玉	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R90	Tse Man Kuen	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R91	林旭珪	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R92	戚雪蘭	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R93	徐燦輝	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R94	雷巧兒	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R95	何穎詩	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R96	Chan Wai Lim	
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R97	李子良	

Representation No. 申述個案編號	Name of 'Representer' 申述人名稱
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R98	Law Kwok Ho
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R99	陳曉東
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R100	魏沐英
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R101	余曼娜
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R102	陳慧儀
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-R103	沒有提供姓名
	Name not provided

Commenters 提意見人

Comment on Representation No. 意見編號	Name of 'Commenter' 提意見人名稱
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-C1	香港房屋協會
	The Hong Kong Housing Society
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-C2	金堅 (觀塘區議員)
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-C3	循道衛理觀塘社會服務處
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-C4	Jason Yeung
TPB/R/S/K14S/21-C5	Mary Mulvihill

Summary of Representations and the Planning Department's Responses in respect of Draft Kwun Tong (South) Outline Zoning Plan No. S/K14S/21

Major Grounds of Representations		Responses to Representations
Supp	ortive Representation	
S1.	There is a genuine need for redevelopment of Kwun Tong Garden Estate Phase II (KTGE II) in order to improve living environment and building safety of this old-aged housing estate.	Noted.
S2.	Upon KTGE II redevelopment, the tenants could save the maintenance expenses for the existing old estate.	Noted.
S3.	Support the in-situ rehousing arrangement for the tenants affected by KTGE II redevelopment.	Noted.
S4.	There is a genuine need for providing more housing units to cope with the acute demand.	Noted.
S5.	There are concerns about the living environment of the proposed development at the Ting On Street Site (the Site) with respect to the air ventilation and environment aspects.	Similar views are raised in the adverse representations and the responses to ground A2 below are relevant.
S6.	There are law and order concerns about the surrounding uses of the Site.	Similar views are raised in the adverse representations and the responses to ground A4 below are relevant.
S7.	There are concerns about the potential impacts of the proposed development on the surrounding areas, including the uphill areas at Kung Lok Road/Hong Lee Road.	Similar views are raised in the adverse representations and the responses to grounds B1 to B3 below are relevant.
S8.	A footbridge should be provided to connect the Site with KTGE II for better pedestrian connectivity.	There is an at-grade signal-controlled crossing at the junction of NTK Road/On Shin Road between the Site and KTGE II (Plan H-11 of the TPB Paper), and the need to provide a footbridge connecting the Site and KTGE II would be reviewed in detailed design stage in consultation with the Transport Department (TD) from traffic management and road safety perspectives
Adve	rse Representation	
<i>A</i> .	Living environment of the Site	
A1.	The Site is too small for decanting purpose.	Kwun Tong is a densely developed district.

Major Grounds of Representations	Responses to Representations
	Suitable sites in the vicinity have already been occupied or planned for other uses. The Site (which is just 30m away from KTGE II) (Plan H-2 of TPB Paper) is considered as a suitable decanting site to generally address the tenants' aspirations for local/in-situ rehousing.
A2. The living environment of the Site is not desirable for residential development in terms of air ventilation, environment and traffic.	The Site is within a residential neighbourhood with various government, institution or community (GIC) facilities and open spaces. It is close to NTK MTR station, and surrounded by existing residential developments and GIC uses. There are various GIC facilities and open space along TOS and NTK Road to serve the neighbourhood. The Site is generally considered suitable for residential use and the proposed residential development is not incompatible with the surrounding land uses. According to the technical assessments conducted by Hong Kong Housing Society (HKHS), the proposed development should not impose insurmountable adverse impact on the surrounding areas in terms of air ventilation, environment and traffic, and itself should not be subject to unacceptable environmental impacts. The relevant government departments have no adverse comment on the technical assessments as submitted in support of the proposed development.
A3. There are hygiene concerns about the Site for residential development, in particular on the proposal to provide a refuge collection point (RCP) beneath the residential units, and there is an existing public toilet near the Site.	The existing RCP at the Site is sub-standard. It will be reprovisioned on the G/F level of the proposed development and designed and constructed in accordance with the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD)'s requirements. The operation of the permanent RCP would be carried out in indoor environment under proper management of FEHD. With odour control equipment and odour removal ventilation system, it would help improve the hygiene condition in the TOS area as compared to the existing sub-standard RCP. The NTK Public Toilet cum Bathhouse at Ting Fu Street is under proper management by FEHD and should not cause unacceptable nuisances

Major Grounds of Representations		Responses to Representations
		to the neighbourhood.
A4.	There are law and order concerns about the surrounding uses of the Site. Some concerns about the possible nuisance from the methadone clinic at the adjacent NTK Jockey Club Clinic (NTK Clinic).	The NTK Clinic is under proper management by the Department of Health and should not cause unacceptable nuisances to the neighbourhood. Director of Health (DH) attaches importance to the security measures and management at the methadone clinics. Security guards are deployed at the clinics for maintaining order and crowd control. DH has also maintained close liaison with the Police regarding the security issues in the clinic premises and law enforcement operations against potential illegal activities in the vicinity of the clinics.
A5.	There are road safety concerns about the Site for residential development, in particular on the existence of vehicle repair workshops and the traffic accidents occurred in the TOS area.	As for road safety, TD would closely monitor the conditions of the area, and take enforcement and management actions if necessary.
A6.	The disturbance and adverse health impacts to the residents and occupiers in the neighbourhood during construction period. It is also worried that the construction may affect the structural stability of buildings in close proximity.	Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) advises that the air quality and noise impacts arising from the construction are transient impacts under the control of relevant pollution control ordinances and guidelines. The proposed development will adopt pollution control measures as recommended in the Environmental Assessment (EA) report conducted to minimize the construction noise and dust. HKHS will closely monitor the construction works and ensure there would be no adverse impact to the surrounding areas.
A7.	There are concerns about the walkability of the proposed development as the old-aged tenants may have to climb up the steep roads for public transport services.	A barrier-free pedestrian linkage will be provided within the proposed development (open to the general public during 0630-2300) to link up TOS (about 7mPD) and NTK Road (about 17mPD) to improve the walkability of the TOS area where no barrier-free pedestrian passages are currently available (Plan H-11 of the TPB Paper).
В.	Development intensity of the proposed development and its adverse impacts on the surrounding areas	
B1.	The proposed high-rise development at the Site	Various technical assessments conducted by

Maj	or Grounds of Representations	Responses to Representations
	would affect the air ventilation, visual and sunlight penetration of the TOS area.	HKHS demonstrated that the proposed development should not impose insurmountable adverse impact on the surrounding areas in terms of air ventilation, environment and traffic, and itself should not be subject to unacceptable environmental impacts. The relevant government departments have no adverse comment on the technical assessments as submitted in support of the proposed development. The responses to ground B3 below are relevant.
B2.	The proposed high-rise development at the Site would overload the surrounding road/railway network.	According to the traffic impact assessment (TIA) conducted by HKHS, the number of traffic generated by the proposed development is small and given that sufficient parking and loading/unloading facilities be provided within the Site, the TIA concluded that the proposed development would not cause adverse traffic impact to the road network in the surrounding areas. The Commissioner for Transport has no adverse comment on the findings of the TIA.
B3.	The proposed development would have adverse air ventilation and visual impacts on the uphill areas at Kung Lok Road/Hong Lee Road. There are requests for lowering the plot ratio (PR) and/or the building height (BH) of the proposed development.	The Site is zoned "Residential (Group A)3" ("R(A)3"), which is intended for high-density residential development. It is subject to a maximum total/domestic PR of 9/7.5, as similar to other "R(A)" zones on the Outline Zoning Plan (OZP). The proposed development with a PR of 9 complies with the OZP restriction, and is compatible in scale with the permitted PR for surrounding residential developments. The BH restriction (BHR) of 110mPD is considered compatible with the medium- to high-rise residential developments in the surrounding areas (i.e. 25-88mPD at TOS area and 85-143mPD across NTK Road), and can achieve a stepped height profile descending from north to south. The Site is about 300m to 400m away from Kung Lok Road/Hong Lee Road area, which is located at uphill levels of 40-94 mPD. As demonstrated in the Visual Impact

Major Grounds of Representations	Responses to Representations
	development is not incompatible with the townscape when viewed from NTK Road and Kung Lok Road Children's Playground at uphill area (Plans H-9a to H-9b of the TPB Paper), and should not cause significant visual impacts on the surrounding areas. According to the Air Ventilation Assessment (AVA) conducted by HKHS, the proposed development would not induce a significant adverse air ventilation impact on the surrounding areas when compared with the existing condition. In order to minimize the adverse air ventilation impact, good design features (stepped terrace design to minimise the blockage effect along NTK Road, and minimized podium design with maximal provision of landscape areas) will be adopted in the proposed development. Further quantitative AVA would also be carried out by HKHS in later design stage to explore opportunities for further improvement.
C. Provision of GIC facilities and open space	
C1. There is a general deficiency in the provision of GIC facilities and open space in the neighbourhood and the Kwun Tong District Rezoning of the Site for residential use would further increase the demand.	(including the increase in population upon redevelopment of the Site and KTGE II) in
C2. There is a deficit in basketball court on district basis in accordance with the requirement under Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG); thus rezoning of the existing	outdoor basketball court under management of Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Maj	or Grounds of Representations	Responses to Representations
	basketball court for housing development is not appropriate.	estates (including KTGE II), there is sufficient provision of existing and planned basketball court in the Kwun Tong (South) OZP area and on a wider Kwun Tong District in accordance with the HKPSG requirement. The responses to ground C3 below are relevant.
		Rezoning of the Site is essential for facilitating the KTGE II redevelopment for the reasons given in responses to grounds A1 above and H2 below are relevant.
C3.	The temporary reprovisioning of basketball court at KTGE II and the permanent half-sized basketball court at the proposed development would cause inconvenience to the residents in the area and fail to meet the need of the residents in TOS area.	HKHS would modify the existing basketball court next to Hung Cheuk Lau of KTGE II (within walkable distance of 250m from the Site) into one and a half basketball courts, a Tai Chi court and seating area. Upon completion of the proposed development, covered activities area and a half-sized basketball court/multi-purpose playground would be provided. The temporary and permanent facilities would be open for enjoyment by the community as similar to existing temporary playground at TOS (during 6:30 am to 11:00 pm). HKHS will also consider providing more basketball court and other recreational facilities in the redevelopment of KTGE II. Apart from the basketball courts at KTGE II, courts are available in the vicinity such as On Tak Road Playground (one full-sized court) and Lok Wah Playground (two full-sized courts) (Plan H-10 of the TPB Paper). As advised by LCSD, competitions are not keen for uses of these courts. They can generally meet the local demand.
C4.	The proposed landscaped area of 1,090m ² on the podium of the proposed development with small- to medium-sized plantings is not desirable as compared to the vegetated slope with natural habitat on the slope of the existing playground at TOS which is more accessible to the general public. Felling of existing tree at the slope would destroy the amenity value of the Site.	A landscaped area of about 1,090m ² at the level of NTR Road and podium of the proposed development would be provided and managed by HKHS and is open to the local residents and the general public. Appropriate seating areas and activities area with tree planting will be provided. The existing vegetated area in the existing temporary playground at the Site is merely slope area without footpath or seating areas

Ma	jor Grounds of Representations	Responses to Representations
	-	for resting of the public.
		According to the Tree Survey Report (TSR), there are 37 trees in the Site, which are mostly along the slope where public enjoyment is limited. Existing trees are mainly common species with fair to poor form, amenity value, health and structural conditions. Compensatory planting of 39 trees within the landscaped areas at the public accessible podium of future development will be provided. The relevant government departments have no adverse comment on the TSR.
D.	Public consultation	
	Only two briefing sessions were held by HKHS and not all the affected tenants were consulted. The affected tenants have not been informed on the issues on rehousing arrangement (e.g. the flat size and rental levels). There shall be extensive public consultation with the concerned parties, particularly before the commencement of KTGE II redevelopment.	In taking forward the OZP amendment, the statutory and administrative procedures in consulting the public have been duly followed by the Planning Department, including the consultation with Kwun Tong District Council (KTDC) before and after exhibition of the OZP amendments. HKHS also held two local forums to consult the local residents and briefed KTDC on the redevelopment of KTGE II. The views of KTDC and local stakeholders, the minutes of the concerned meeting/forums, submissions from the stakeholders and the responses of Government departments were relayed to Metro Planning Committee of Town Planning Board for consideration. HKHS will continue to engage and liaise closely with KTDC, the local stakeholders throughout the development process of the Site and KTGE II redevelopment.
Pro	viding Views on Amendment Item A	
E.	HKHS should set up a working group to consult the KTDC members in concerned, the affected residents and the local stakeholders on the GIC facilities to be provided as well as the mitigation measures to minimise disturbance to the affected residents during construction/redevelopment.	The responses to ground D above are relevant.
F.	As the Site is close to the Kwun Tong MTR railway line, HKHS should implement adequate	DEP advised that with implementation of the recommended noise mitigation measures e.g.

Major Grounds of Representations	Responses to Representations
noise mitigation measures to ensure the future residents of the proposed development would not be exposed to the railway noise.	acoustic fins and top-hung acoustic windows, as recommended in the EA, adverse traffic and railway noise impacts on the proposed development is not anticipated.
G. Concerns about the potential adverse visual, air ventilation and health impacts on the residents at Kung Lok Road and Hong Lee Road areas.	Similar views are raised in the adverse representations and the responses to ground B3 above are relevant.
H. Others Views (not related to the OZP Amendments but related to KTGE II)	
H1. The redevelopment programme of KTGE II is too long. More suitable housing sites should be identified for expediting the redevelopment of KTGE II.	There are about 2,300 units affected by the redevelopment project. There is a need for redevelopment by phases. Apart from the Site at TOS, there is no suitable site can be identified for decanting in the vicinity. With its proximity to KTGE II (about 30m away across NTK Road (Plan H-2 of the TPB Paper), HKHS considers that the Site is a suitable decanting site to kick-start the KTGE II redevelopment that meets tenants' aspirations for rehousing in the local area. The responses to grounds A1 above and H2 below are relevant.
H2. Alternative decanting sites	
H2(a) To make use of the vacant units under HKHS or the Hong Kong Housing Authority (HKHA) for decanting the affected tenants so as to speed up the redevelopment programme.	There is no sufficient vacant unit under HKHS in the vicinity for decanting purposes. Also Housing Department advises that the public demand is acute and the average waiting time for general applicants is 5.1 years; and there is no sufficient public rental housing units in the area under HKHA to facilitate the redevelopment.
H2(b) While HKHS proposes to develop the existing basketball court in the KTGE II into one and a half basketball courts, a Tai Chi court and seating area for public enjoyment as an interim proposal during construction of the proposed development, the said basketball court in KTGE II should be used as a decanting site instead.	HKHS points out that the existing basketball court (Plan H-3 of the TPB Paper) (about 715m ²) within KTGE II is too small for providing sufficient decanting units for kicking-start the redevelopment.
H2(c) Other locations such as Kai Tak and Hoi Bun Road Park should be more appropriate for	The housing sites in Kai Tak, which is within Kowloon City district, are not appropriate as

Major	Grounds of Representations	Responses to Representations
	decanting purpose.	it fails to meet the affected tenants' aspiration for in-situ/local rehousing.
		Hoi Bun Road Park, zoned "Open Space" on the OZP, is a local open space to serve the Kwun Tong Business Area. Construction works for improvement of Hoi Bun Road Park and adjacent area would be commenced in Q4/2018 for completion tentatively by 2021. As such, this site is considered not suitable for decanting the affected tenants of KTGE II.
Н3.	Adverse impact during construction stage	
H3(a)	There are concerns about the adverse air, noise and health impacts on the tenants during construction stage of the phased-redevelopment of KTGE II.	During construction stage, HKHS advises that appropriate mitigation measures and arrangement would be adopted to minimize disturbance to the tenants. The construction works are under the control of relevant pollution control ordinances and guidelines.
H3(b)	There are requests for HKHS to provide temporary decanting units to the affected tenants in the vicinity during the construction stage.	HKHS advises that they have no sufficient vacant unit in the vicinity for temporary decanting purposes.
H4.	Decanting arrangement	
H4(a)	Redevelopment would cause inconvenience to the elderly tenants, regarding the rehousing expenses, the concerns about the need to relocation twice, the difficulties in adapting to the new living environment, and that the affected tenants with allocated units at TOS Site would not be rehoused at KTGE II upon redevelopment. There are requests for HKHS to provide proper decanting arrangement and rehousing assistance/allowance to the affected tenants.	HKHS advises that removal allowance would be released to the affected tenants, and a social services team will be set up to assist those tenants who have hardship in removal. Aged-friendly design and barrier-free pedestrian facilities would be adopted to address the needs of the elderly tenants. TOS Site is about 30m away from the existing KTGE II (Plan H-2 of the TPB Paper) and the current decanting arrangement would generally meet the aspiration for in-situ/local rehousing. All affected tenants would only have to rehouse once.
H4(b)	There are concerns about the possible increase in rent level and reduction in flat size upon redevelopment. There are requests for maintaining the rent level/size of future unit as current tenancy.	HKHS advises that prevailing allocation standard for public rental housing (i.e. not less than $7m^2$ of Internal Floor Area per person) by HKHA would be adopted. On rental level, being an independent,

Major	Grounds of Representations	Responses to Representations
		financially autonomous, self-financing and not-for-profit organisation, HKHS has been endeavoured to provide affordable housing for Hong Kong citizens, and an appropriate rent is levied.
H4(c)	The additional housing units at the Phase III of KTGE II redevelopment (near MTR NTK Station) should be allocated as public rental units. Should this prime site be used for subsidised sale flats, it would be unfair to the affected existing tenants.	The redevelopment of KTGE II is subject to detailed design and HKHS will work out redevelopment proposal in liaison with relevant parties.
H4(d)	Individuals made specific requests for future flat allocation upon redevelopment (e.g. new flat to be provided at the same location of current unit, larger unit size, addition units be allocated for splitting of household, new flat with balcony, and same floor height of existing unit etc.)	The specific requests should be handled at later stage based on HKHS's established mechanism and/or other arrangement devised for KTGE II redevelopment project, and specific conditions of individual cases.
H5.	Redevelopment proposal	
H5(a)	The redevelopment will result in increase in PR and BH, bringing about potential visual and air ventilation impacts on the surrounding areas, particularly Kung Lok Road/Hong Lee Road area. The PR/BH of KTGE II should be reduced/maintained upon redevelopment.	KTGE II is zoned "R(A)" which is intended for high-density residential development. It is subject to a maximum PR of 9 (which is similar to other "R(A)" zones on the OZP) and there is no BHR for this site. HKHS advises that they would conduct relevant technical assessments to confirm the technical feasibility and to ensure that the proposed development would not cause unacceptable impacts on the surrounding areas. A planning brief will be prepared by HKHS, in consultation with the relevant departments, to guide the redevelopment, including provision of adequate GIC and open space. HKHS will consult the affected residents and KTDC on the proposal as and when appropriate.
H5(b)	As for the redevelopment proposal for KTGE II, variation in BH should be adopted with portions near NTK Road and the uphill areas (i.e. areas currently occupied by Hung Cheuk Lau/Pak Ling Lau/Hay Cheuk Lau and Wah Mei Lau) should maintain its existing BH or below 60mPD; while a higher BH for area currently occupied by Yin Chee Lau.	The responses to ground H5(a) above are relevant.

Major	Grounds of Representations	Responses to Representations
H5(c)	There are concerns about the rodent problem upon redevelopment.	Upon redevelopment, the living environment of KTGE II would be enhanced. HKHS would take appropriate management measures for minimizing the rodent problem.
H5(d)	In view of the close proximity to the NTK Clinic, NTK Road Children's Playground and Ting Fu Street sitting out area, the site currently occupied by Pak Ling Lau and Hay Cheuk Lau should be used for providing GIC facilities, especially those for the old-aged tenants. Also, sufficient GIC facilities should be provided in KTGE II upon redevelopment.	A planning brief will be prepared by HKHS, in consultation with the relevant departments, to guide the redevelopment, including provision of adequate GIC and open space. Existing facilities (including premises-based NGOs and social welfare facilities) would be reprovisioned upon redevelopment. The location for such facilities would be examined at the later design stage.
H5(e)	Sufficient retail facilities should be provided.	Provision of retail facilities would be reviewed at the later design stage.
Н6.	Preservation of KTGE II	
H6(a)	This old public housing estate should be preserved.	KTGE II is a public housing development completed in 1965/1967. The Antiquities and Monuments Office, LCSD, advised that KTGE II is neither a declared or proposed monument/graded historic building, nor a new item pending assessment by the Antiquities Advisory Board. The estate is subject to poor building conditions and redevelopment would offer more units to help meet the pressing public housing demand.
H6(b)	Renovation, instead of redevelopment, should be sufficient.	The estate is in poor building conditions and with sub-standard facilities (e.g. no barrier-free pedestrian facilities serving the blocks at upper platform), and there is a genuine need for redevelopment. Upon redevelopment of KTGE II, HKHS advises that there will be about 3,000 additional flats that would help meet the pressing housing demand.
H7.	Enhancement to pedestrian accessibility	
H7(a)	It is proposed to provide a lift connecting KTGE with Kung Lok Road Children's	Feasibility of the suggested footbridge connection between KTGE II and Kung Lok

Maio	or Grounds of Representations	Responses to Representations	
Playground in the uphill area.		Road area could be considered by HKHS in the detailed study for redevelopment.	
H7(b)	To further enhance the pedestrian connectivity/walking environment of the uphill area by providing appropriate amenity facilities to the lift tower at Luen On Street under planning.	The Highways Department is conducting a consultancy study on providing a lift tower and pedestrian walkway at Luen On Street to connect Kung Lok Road with NTK Road, where only a staircase is currently available. Upon completion of this system, pedestrian connectivity of the uphill area would be improved (Plan H-11 of the TPB Paper).	
Repre	esenters' Proposed Amendments/Proposals		
I1	To reduce the PR/BH of the proposed development at the Site.	The responses to grounds B1 to B3 above are relevant.	
12	To develop the Site together with its surroundings developments along Ting On, Ting Yip and Ting Fu Streets as a whole.	While the TOS site is readily available for housing development, the adjoining sites have no prospect of redevelopment since they are occupied by various existing private residential developments under multiple ownerships and some GIC/open space uses including NTK clinic, a public toilet, and NTK Road Children's Playground, which are all under high utilization. The Department of Health, FEHD and LCSD have no redevelopment plan for these facilities, and request for suitable reprovisioning sites if these sites are taken up for development. It is considered not appropriate to consolidate the Site and adjacent land parcels and designate as a single "CDA", which is not conducive to early redevelopment of KTGE II as aspired by the tenants.	
13	For the benefit of future tenants of the proposed development, the Site and its neighbouring sites (including the NTK Clinic, and the NTK Road Children's Playground) to be rezoned as a "Comprehensive Development Area" ("CDA") for developing a public housing complex with accessible public open space and the provision of a new clinic with modernised facilities.	The responses to proposal I2 above are relevant.	

Major Grounds and Proposal of Respective Representations

Representers	Major Representation Grounds/Proposals
R1	S1, H1, H4(d)
R2	HÍ
R3	H1
R4	S1, H1
R5	S1, H1
R6	S1, H1
R7	H4(a)
R8	S1, H3(b), H4(d)
R9	S2, H3(b)
R10	S1, H3(b)
R11	H4(a)
R12	S1, H4(a)
R13	H4(b), H4(d)
R14	S1, H4(b), H4(c)
R15	H4(a)
R16	H4(a)
R17	S1, H4(b)
R18	S5
R19	S1, S5, S6
R20	S1, H1, H5(c)
R21	S8
R22	H4(a)
R23	S3
R24	S1
R25	S4
R26	S1
R27	<u>I2</u>
R28	S1, S3
R29	S4
R30	S1, S7, B3, H5(a)
R31	H5(a), H5(b), H5(d), H7(a)
R32	S1, H1
R33	S1, H1, H4(a), H4(b)
R34	S1, D, H1
R35	S1, H1, H2(a)
R36	S1, H1
R37	H1, H4(b), H4(d)
R38	S1, H4(b)
R39	S1, H1, H4(a)
R40	S1, H4(b)
R41	S1, A2, H4(b)
R42	I3
R43	B3, H5(a), I1
R44	B3, H5(a), H7(b), I1
R45	B3, H5(a), I1
R46	B3, H5(a), I1
R47	A3, A4, H1, H4(a)
R48	A3, D, H4(b)
R49	B1, C3, H2(b)
R50	D, H5(a)
R51	A2, A6, B1, B2, C2, C3, C4, D, H2(a), H2(c)
R52	B2, B3, C1, C3, H5(a)

Representers Major Representation Grounds/Proposals R53 B1, C1, C3, D, H1, H4(a), H4(b) R54 A4, A7 R55 H4(a), H6(b) R56 H4(a), H4(b) R57 H4(a) R58 A3, A4, H3(b) R59 A3, A4, C2, H4(c) R60 A3, A5 R61 H3(a) R62 C3, H5(e) R63 H4(a)	
R54 A4, A7 R55 H4(a), H6(b) R56 H4(a), H4(b) R57 H4(a) R58 A3, A4, H3(b) R59 A3, A4, C2, H4(c) R60 A3, A5 R61 H3(a) R62 C3, H5(e) R63 H4(a)	
R55 H4(a), H6(b) R56 H4(a), H4(b) R57 H4(a) R58 A3, A4, H3(b) R59 A3, A4, C2, H4(c) R60 A3, A5 R61 H3(a) R62 C3, H5(e) R63 H4(a)	
R56 H4(a), H4(b) R57 H4(a) R58 A3, A4, H3(b) R59 A3, A4, C2, H4(c) R60 A3, A5 R61 H3(a) R62 C3, H5(e) R63 H4(a)	
R57 H4(a) R58 A3, A4, H3(b) R59 A3, A4, C2, H4(c) R60 A3, A5 R61 H3(a) R62 C3, H5(e) R63 H4(a)	
R58 A3, A4, H3(b) R59 A3, A4, C2, H4(c) R60 A3, A5 R61 H3(a) R62 C3, H5(e) R63 H4(a)	
R59 A3, A4, C2, H4(c) R60 A3, A5 R61 H3(a) R62 C3, H5(e) R63 H4(a)	
R60 A3, A5 R61 H3(a) R62 C3, H5(e) R63 H4(a)	
R61 H3(a) R62 C3, H5(e) R63 H4(a)	
R62 C3, H5(e) R63 H4(a)	
R63 H4(a)	
R03 H4(a)	
D/A A1 III	
R64 A1, H1	
R65 H1	
R66 H4(a)	
R67 H6(a)	
R68 H1, H3(a), H4(a)	
R69 H1, H3(a), H4(a)	
R70 A1, A2	
R71 H4(a)	
R72 C1, D	
R73 C1, C3	
R74 H4(a), H4(b)	
R75 H1	
R76 H1, H3(a)	
R77 H4(a), H4(b)	
R78 H4(a), H4(b)	
R79 H1, H2(a)	
R80 A4, H4(a), H4(b)	
R81 E	
R82 F	
R83 H5(a), G	
R84 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R85 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R86 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R87 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R88 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R89 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R90 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R91 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R92 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R93 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R94 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R95 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R96 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R97 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R98 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R99 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R100 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R101 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R102 B3, H5(a), G, I1	
R103 B3, H5(a), H7(a), G, I1	

Summary of Comments to Representations and the Planning Department's Responses in respect of Draft Kwun Tong (South) Outline Zoning Plan No. S/K14S/21

Maj	or Grounds of Comments	Response to Comments		
1.	On the Proposed Development at Ting On			
	Street (TOS) Site (the Site)			
1.1	There are concerns about the living environment of the TOS Site in terms of railway noise and hygiene concerns with respect to the refuge collection point (RCP) and the NTK Jockey Club Clinic (NTK Clinic).	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to grounds A2 to A4 and F as given in Annex Va are relevant.		
1.2	A proposal to relocate the RCP at TOS and the adjoining NTK Clinic for improving the living environment of the Site.	The NTK clinic (including Chinese Medicine Centre, Community Nuring Service, General Out-patient Clinics, Education and Training Centre in Family Medicine, Child Assessment Centre, Methadone Clinic etc) is under high utilization and the Director of Health (DH) advises that he has no redevelopment plan for these facilities. The existing RCP at TOS is in operation and there is a need to provide this facility to serve the neighbouring community. In view of the above, there is no plan for relocation of these facilities.		
1.3	Other suitable decanting site/housing site should be identified.	Similar views are raised in the representations and please refer to responses to grounds A1, A2 and H2 as given in Annex Va.		
1.4	A proposal to reduce the plot ratio (PR) of the proposed development.	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to grounds B1 and B3 as given in Annex Va are relevant.		
1.5	Rezoning of the Site for residential use would lead to further reduction in government, institution or community (GIC)/recreational facilities provided in the area.	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to grounds C1 to C3 as given in Annex Va are relevant.		
1.6	A covered footbridge with elevator(s) should be provided to connect the Site with Kwun Tong Garden Estate Phase II (KTGE II).	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to ground S8 as given in Annex Va are relevant.		
2.	On KTGE II Redevelopment			
2.1	Support the redevelopment of KTGE II for improving the living environment of this old-aged public housing.	Noted.		

Maj	or Grounds of Comments	Response to Comments		
2.2	The redevelopment programme of KTGE II of over 20 years is too long.	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to grounds A1, H1 and H2 as given in Annex Va are relevant.		
2.3	There are concerns about the adverse air and noise impacts on the tenants and the rodent problem during construction stage of the phased-redevelopment of KTGE II.	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to ground H3(a) as given in Annex Va are relevant.		
2.4	The affected KTGE II tenants should be informed of the proposed use for the Phase III of KTGE II redevelopment (i.e. sites currently occupied by Hung Cheuk Lau/Pak Ling Lau/Wah Mei Lau), as well as the details on the decanting arrangement/ allowance/flat allocation mechanism, the future rental level and flat size, and the overall planning of the KTGE II upon redevelopment.	The redevelopment of KTGE II is subject to detailed design. HKHS will continue to engage and liaise closely with KTDC and the affected tenants throughout the development process of the KTGE II redevelopment, as and when appropriate.		
2.5	Redevelopment would cause inconvenience to the elderly tenants, regarding the rehousing expenses, difficulties in adapting to the new living environment, and that the affected tenants with allocated units at TOS Site would not be rehoused at KTGE II upon redevelopment. There are requests for HKHS to provide proper decanting arrangement and rehousing assistance/allowance to the affected tenants.	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to ground H4(a) as given in Annex Va are relevant.		
2.6	There are concerns about the rent level and flat size upon redevelopment of KTGE II.	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to ground H4(b) as given in Annex Va are relevant.		
2.7	As for the redevelopment proposal for KTGE II, variation in BH should be adopted with portions near NTK Road and the uphill areas (i.e. areas currently occupied by Hung Cheuk Lau/Pak Ling Lau/Hay Cheuk Lau and Wah Mei Lau) should maintain its existing BH or below 60mPD; while a higher BH for area currently occupied by Yin Chee Lau.	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to ground H5(a) as given in Annex Va are relevant.		
2.8	The redevelopment will result in increase in PR and BH, bringing about potential visual and air ventilation impacts on the surrounding areas, particularly Kung Lok Road/Hong Lee	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to ground H5(a) as given in Annex Va are relevant.		

Major Grounds of Comments	Response to Comments			
Road area.				
Pak Ling Lau and Hay Cheuk Lau should be	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to ground H5(d) as given in Annex Va are relevant.			
KTGE II with Kung Lok Road Children's	Similar views are raised in the representations and the responses to ground H7(a) as given in Annex Va are relevant.			

Major Grounds and Proposal of Respective Commenters

Commenter	Major Grounds/Proposals
C1	Comments from C1, the project proponent for the proposed development at the representation site and KTGE II redevelopment, are mainly in responses to various views/comments raised in the representations, and have been suitably incorporated in main text of TPB Paper and Annexes Va and Vb .
C2	1.2, 1.3, 1.6, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4,
C3	1.1, 1.5, 2.1 to 2.6, 2.8
C4	1.4, 2.7, 2.9 to 2.10
C5	1.3, 1.5

第五屆觀塘區議會 第十二次全會會議記錄

日期: 2017年11月7日(星期二) 時間: 下午 2 時 30 分至 7 時 20 分

地點: 九龍觀塘觀塘道 392號創紀之城 6期 20樓 05-07室

觀塘民政事務處會議室

主席

陳振彬太平紳士, GBS

副主席

洪錦鉉先生, MH

議員

歐陽均諾先生 簡銘東先生

黎樹濠太平紳士, BBS, MH 畢東尼先生

陳俊傑先生 呂東孩先生 陳國華先生, BBS, MH 馬軼超先生

陳汶堅先生 莫建成先生 陳華裕太平紳士, MH 顏汶羽先生

陳耀雄先生 柯 創 盛 先 生, MH

鄭景陽先生 潘任惠珍女士, MH 鄭強峰先生 蘇冠聰先生

張琪騰先生 蘇麗珍太平紳士, MH

張培剛先生 譚肇卓先生

張順華先生, MH 鄧咏駿先生 張姚彬先生 謝淑珍女士

蔡澤鴻先生 黄子健先生 符碧珍女士 黄春平先生

葉興國太平紳士, MH 何啟明先生

徐海山先生 姚柏良先生, MH

金 堅女士

出席會議的政府部門/機構代表

謝凌駿先生,JP 觀塘民政事務專員

陳碧琪女士觀塘民政事務助理專員(1)趙廣堅先生觀塘民政事務助理專員(2)黃廣興總警司警務處秀茂坪區指揮官

陳國基總警司警務處觀塘區指揮官

譚汝禧先生警務處署理警民關係主任吳良瑞先生運輸署總運輸主任/房屋計劃

陸子慧先生 房屋署物業管理總經理(東九龍)

徐仕基先生 土木工程拓展署總工程師/九龍 1(九龍)

葉小明女士社會福利署觀塘區福利專員

唐嘉鴻先生, JP 渠務署署長 議項 II

葉子季先生 規劃署九龍規劃專員 議項 III

關嘉佩女士 規劃署高級城市規劃師/九龍 5

曾德明先生 香港房屋協會總經理(物業策劃及發展) 彭卓恒先生 香港房屋協會高級經理(物業策劃及發展)

何劉淑如女士 香港房屋協會經理(物業策劃及發展)

韋志成先生, GBS, JP, 市區重建局行政總監 議項 IV

FHKEng

馬昭智先生 市區重建局執行董事(商務) 區俊豪先生 市區重建局規劃及設計總監 駱慧敏女士 市區重建局企業傳訊總監

李衍均先生 香港鐵路有限公司車站事務經理-觀塘綫及議項 XI

荃灣綫

蘇玉燕女士 香港鐵路有限公司助理公共關係經理-對外

事務

秘書

李賢斌先生 觀塘民政事務處高級行政主任(區議會)

列席者:

甘遠清女士 觀塘民政事務處高級聯絡主任(1)

梁燕屏女士 觀塘民政事務處高級聯絡主任(2)

蕭潔芝女士 高楚翹先生 觀塘民政事務處高級行政主任(地區管理) 觀塘民政事務處一級行政主任(區議會)

議項 III - 《觀塘(南部)分區計劃大綱草圖編號 S/K14S/21》所收納的修訂項 目 (觀塘區議會文件第 49/2017號)

- 8. <u>主席</u>歡迎規劃署九龍規劃專員葉子季先生和高級城市規劃師/九龍 5 關嘉佩女士,以及香港房屋協會總經理(物業策劃及發展)曾德明先生、高級 經理(物業策劃及發展)彭卓恒先生和經理(物業策劃及發展)何劉淑如女士參 與討論。
- 9. <u>葉專員</u>介紹文件,並補充由於文件的附件二至四的新大綱圖必須在 2017年11月3日刊憲後方可公開,故較文件較遲提交,但於刊憲當日已隨 即經秘書處將文件透過電郵分發予議員。

- 10. <u>主席</u>指是次修訂主要為花園大廈重建計劃的項目。議員提出查詢及意 見如下:
 - 10.1 金堅議員建議署方考慮: (i)就花園大廈二期樓齡老化和日久失修的情況早日進行重建; (ii)另覓面積較大的選址進行重建,例如市建局所收購的恒安街用地; (iii)提供無障礙行人設施連接定安街與牛頭角道方便居民,避免將定安街居民邊緣化; (iv)為花園大廈二期的長者住戶提供長者單位及設施; (v)提供低租金保障;以及(vi)就重建計劃加強與當區區議員溝通,了解居民的需要。
 - 10.2 <u>陳華裕議員</u>建議署方考慮就定安街一帶作出長遠而全面的規劃,顧及交通負荷、噪音、樓宇景觀及通風等方面。 他認為重建應達致互利,若未能達到提供一個完整籃球 場予附近居民享用的最基本要求,他對計劃表示反對。
 - 10.3 <u>馬軼超議員</u>建議署方考慮: (i)降低有關用地的地積比率,避免重建項目對功樂道及康利道的樓宇造成屏風效應,影響通風及景觀;以及(ii)興建一組升降機連接功樂道兒童遊樂場與花園大廈,以方便功樂道、康利道、樂華邨一帶居民來往港鐵牛頭角站和轉乘其他公共交通工具,同時方便花園大廈居民前往功樂道兒童遊樂場、功樂道單車公園及樂華遊樂場等公共設施。
 - 10.4 <u>譚肇卓議員</u>建議署方考慮在有關土地改劃用途後,早日 展開重建規劃工作。
 - 10.5 <u>何啟明議員</u>建議署方考慮縮短花園大廈重建計劃的時間,認為20年的重建期過長。他提出可利用宜安街用地 作調遷,使居民可獲原區安置。
 - 10.6 <u>蘇冠聰議員</u>建議署方考慮藉重建計劃一併改善: (i)港鐵牛頭角站的連接; (ii)牛頭角道一帶的交通設施及擠塞問題; 以及(iii)定安街及花園大廈一帶的休憩設施。
 - 10.7 <u>洪錦鉉議員</u>建議署方考慮檢討重建範圍,包括項目附近 一間診所及一所小學,既可加快重建時間,也可改善環

11. 署方就議員的意見回應如下:

- 11.1 <u>選址</u>:署方明白議員期望花園大廈重建計劃能盡快及更大規模地展開。若把現時運作中的診所及小學一併列入項目範圍內,則先要分階段重置上述設施,未必能加快重建時間。署方會因應居民的意見,與房協研究如何盡快啟動重建計劃及進一步優化計劃。
- 11.2 <u>重建計劃的全面性</u>:署方表示在進行重建計劃時,並非 只考慮單一地盤,而是整體地作出規劃。在啟動花園大 厦二期重建後,可提供發展空間作整體規劃,例如進一 步優化行人連接設施,適切地提供升降機。署方會與房 協繼續跟進這方面的工作。
- 11.3 <u>對景觀及通風的影響</u>:項目在設計上已加入不同元素, 以減低對上述方面的影響,包括建築物由定安街及牛頭 角道後移,並採用梯級式的設計以盡量縮減平台結構, 以利通風。房協會研究如何再優化建築物的設計。
- 11.4 <u>其他選址建議</u>:署方表示其他選址建議有待研究,例如 市建局正根據相關條例處理宜安街地盤的項目,當中涉 及複雜的法律問題。
- 11.5 <u>長者單位及設施</u>:房協表示會就花園大廈重建的整體規劃與當區居民加強溝通,並會與署方緊密合作。房協會提供適量長者單位予長者居住,亦會提供長者設施予居民使用。
- 11.6 <u>重建計劃對功樂道一帶樓宇的景觀影響</u>:署方表示土地 資源彌足珍貴,應予以善用,並表示項目整體高度與附 近發展並非不協調,項目在詳細設計階段會採納措施, 盡可能將景觀影響減至最低。
- 12. <u>主席</u>呼籲房協就整項重建計劃成立一個工作小組,與所有當區及周邊受影響地區的區議員、居民及其他持份者就以下事宜繼續溝通:(i)如何減少重建期間對周邊的滋擾;(ii)如何在重建後提供利便附近居民使用的設施;以

及(iii)如何同時提供設合當區需要的社區設施。他表示有關大綱草圖修訂仍在公眾諮詢階段,議員可向城規會反映意見。

13. 大會備悉文件。

Provision of Major Government, Institution or Community Facilities and Open Space in Kwun Tong (South) OZP Area (as at July 2018)

Type of Facilities	Hong Kong	HKPSG	Existing	Existing	Surplus/Deficit
	Planning	Requirement	Provision	and	(against
	Standards and	Based on		Planned	existing and
	Guidelines	Planned		Provision	planned
	(HKPSG)	Population ^a			provision)
Local Open Space	10 ha per 100,000 persons ^b	30.79	57.19	57.71 ^c	27.08
District Open Space	10 ha per 100,000 persons ^b	30.79	17.78	29.18	-1.45 ^d
Secondary School	1 whole-day classroom per 40 persons aged 12-17	289	588	588	+299
Primary School	1 whole-day classroom per 25.5 persons aged 6-11	455	496	496	+41
Nursery Classes and Kindergartens	26 classrooms per 1,000 children aged 3 to under 6	155	155	155	0
District Police Station	1 per 200,000 to 500,000 persons	0	2	2	+2
Divisional Police Station	1 per 100,000 to 200,000 persons	1	2	2	+1
Hospital	5.5 beds per 1,000 persons	1,797	1,403	1,963	+166
Clinics/ Health Centres	1 per 100,000 persons	3	3	3	0
Magistracies (8 Courtrooms)	1 per 660,000 persons	0	0	0	0

-

^a The planned population of the Area would be about 326,790 persons (taken into account KTGE II redevelopment).

b Based on the usual residents in the planned population.

Taken into account the net change of open space provision in TOS Site.

There would be a surplus of about 22.09 ha of existing and planned District Open Space in entire Kwun Tong District.

Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning	HKPSG Requirement Based on	Existing Provision	Existing and	Surplus/Deficit (against
	Standards and Guidelines	Planned		Planned Provision	existing and planned
	(HKPSG)	Population ^a			provision)
Integrated Children	1 per 12,000	3	7	7	+4
and Youth Services	persons aged 6-24				
Centre					
Integrated Family	1 per 100,000 to	2	3	3	+1
Services Centres	150,000 persons				
District Library	1 per 200,000	1	4	4	+3
	persons				
Sports Centre	1 per 50,000 to	4	4	4	0
	65,000 persons				
Sports Ground/	1 per 200,000 to	1	0	0	-1
Sports Complex	250,000 persons				
Swimming Pool	1 per 287,000	1	1	1	0
Complex – standard	persons				