

**APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION**  
**UNDER SECTION 16 OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE**

**APPLICATION NO. A/YL-HTF/1118**

- Applicant** : Mr. WU Sing-yip represented by Mr. KWOK Chi-man
- Site** : Lot 137 (Part) in D.D.128, Ha Tsuen, Yuen Long, New Territories
- Site Area** : 510 m<sup>2</sup> (about)
- Lease** : Block Government Lease (demised for agricultural use)
- Plan** : Approved Ha Tsuen Fringe Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/YL-HTF/12
- Zoning** : “Residential (Group D)” (“R(D)”)  
[Restricted to a maximum plot ratio of 0.2 and a maximum building height of 2 storeys (6m)]
- Application** : Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials for a Period of 3 Years

**1. The Proposal**

- 1.1 The applicant seeks planning permission to use the application site (the Site) for proposed temporary open storage of construction materials for a period of 3 years. The Site falls within an area zoned “R(D)” on the OZP (**Plan A-1**). According to the covering Notes of the OZP, temporary use or development of any land or buildings not exceeding a period of 3 years requires planning permission from the Town Planning Board (the Board) notwithstanding that the use or development is not provided for under the Notes of the OZP. The Site is currently hard-paved, fenced-off and vacant (**Plans A-2 and A-4**).
- 1.2 The Site is accessible via a local track leading to Kai Pak Ling Road / Fung Kong Tsuen Road (**Plans A-2 and A-3**). As shown on the layout plan at **Drawing A-2**, a loading / unloading space for light goods vehicle and a 10m-diameter manoeuvring circle will be provided. The Site will be for open storage use and no structure will be provided. The operation hours are from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. from Mondays to Saturdays. There is no operation on Sundays and public holidays. The vehicular access plan and layout plan submitted by the applicant are at **Drawings A-1 and A-2** respectively.
- 1.3 In support of the application, the applicant has submitted the Application Form with attachments received on 3.5.2021 (**Appendix I**).

## **2. Justifications from the Applicant**

The justifications put forth by the applicant in support of the application are detailed in the Application Form (**Appendix I**). They can be summarized as follows:

- (a) There are similar planning approvals for open storage use in the surroundings of the Site.
- (b) The proposed development conforms to the Town Planning Board Guidelines for Application for Open Storage and Port Back-up Uses (TPB PG-No. 13F). The Site is situated in Category 2 Areas of TPB PG-No. 13F where the planning permission would normally be sympathetically considered if there is no objection from the public or Government departments.
- (c) The proposed development is not incompatible with the surrounding environment.
- (d) The proposed development is to re-provision open storage uses affected by the Hung Shui Kiu / Ha Tsuen New Development Area.
- (e) The proposed development will not generate adverse traffic, environmental and drainage impacts to the surroundings.
- (f) No workshop activity will be allowed at the Site.
- (g) Only light goods vehicles are allowed to enter the Site.
- (h) The construction materials to be stored at the Site will be metal and wooden materials.

## **3. Compliance with the “Owner’s Consent/Notification” Requirements**

The applicant is not the “current land owner” but has complied with the requirements as set out in the Town Planning Board Guidelines on Satisfying the “Owner’s Consent/Notification” Requirements under Sections 12A and 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (TPB PG-No. 31A) by posting site notice and sending registered post to the Ping Shan Rural Committee. Detailed information would be deposited at the meeting for Members’ inspection.

## **4. Background**

The Site is not subject to any planning enforcement action.

## **5. Town Planning Board Guidelines**

On 27.3.2020, the Board promulgated the revised TPB PG-No. 13F. The Site falls within Category 2 areas under the revised Guidelines. Relevant extracts of the Guidelines are at **Appendix II**.

## **6. Previous Application**

There is no previous application at the Site.

## **7. Similar Applications**

- 7.1 Within the same “R(D)” zone, there are three similar applications (No. A/YL-HTF/1111, 1114 and 1115) for temporary open storage uses, which were approved by the Committee in 2021 on the considerations of not jeopardizing the long-term development of the Site, not incompatible with the surrounding land uses, and no adverse comment from relevant Government departments. Details of the applications are summarized at **Appendix III** and the locations of the sites are shown on **Plan A-1**.
- 7.2 Application No. A/YL-HTF/1117 for temporary open storage and warehouse of construction machinery and materials for a period of 3 years to the west of the Site will be considered in the same meeting.

## **8. The Site and Its Surrounding Areas (Plans A-1 to A-4)**

- 8.1 The Site is:
- (a) currently vacant, hard-paved and fenced-off; and
  - (b) accessible via a local track from Kai Pak Ling Road (**Plans A-2 and A-3**).
- 8.2 The surrounding areas have the following characteristics:
- (a) to its east are open storage yards for containers which is a suspected unauthorized development (UD), a warehouse and shrubland;
  - (b) to its south are graves, an open storage yard for recycling materials which is a suspected UD and residential structures;
  - (c) to its west are an open storage yard and a logistics centre covered by valid planning permission and graves; and
  - (d) to its north are an open storage yard, a recyclable collection centre and a warehouse covered by valid planning permissions; to the further north are a warehouse and a workshop which are suspected UD.

## **9. Planning Intention**

The “R(D)” zone is intended primarily for improvement and upgrading of existing temporary structures within the rural areas through redevelopment of existing temporary structures into permanent buildings. It is also intended for low-rise, low-density residential developments subject to planning permission from the Board.

## **10. Comments from Relevant Government Departments**

- 10.1 The following Government departments have been consulted and their views on the application are summarized as follows:

### **Land Administration**

#### 10.1.1 Comments of the District Lands Officer/Yuen Long, Lands Department (DLO/YL, LandsD):

- (a) The Site comprises Old Schedule Agricultural Lot held under the Block Government Lease which contains the restriction that no structures are allowed to be erected without the prior approval of the Government.
- (b) It is noted that no structure is proposed in the application.

### **Environment**

#### 10.1.2 Comments of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP):

- (a) He has no adverse comment on the application.
- (b) Should the application be approved, the applicant is advised to follow the relevant mitigation measures and requirements in the latest “Code of Practice (COP) on Handling the Environmental Aspects of Temporary Uses and Open Storage Sites”.
- (c) There is no substantiated complaint pertaining to the Site received in the past 3 years.

### **Traffic**

#### 10.1.3 Comments of the Commissioner for Transport (C for T):

- (a) He has no adverse comment on the application from traffic engineering point of view.
- (b) Sufficient manoeuvring space shall be provided within the Site. No vehicles are allowed to queue back to public roads or reverse onto/from public roads.
- (c) The local track leading to the Site is not under Transport Department’s (TD) purview. The applicant shall obtain consent of the owners/managing departments of the local track for using it as the vehicular access to the Site.

#### 10.1.4 Comments of the Chief Highway Engineer/New Territories West, Highways Department (CHE/NTW, HyD):

- (a) The access arrangement should be commented by TD.
- (b) Adequate drainage measures should be provided at the site access to prevent surface water flowing from the Site to nearby public roads/drains.
- (c) HyD shall not be responsible for the maintenance of any access connecting the Site and Kai Pak Ling Road.

### **Drainage**

#### 10.1.5 Comments of the Chief Engineer/Mainland North, Drainage Services Department (CE/MN, DSD):

- (a) He has no objection in principle to the application from drainage point of view.
- (b) Should the Board consider that the application is acceptable from planning point of view, a condition should be stipulated in the approval letter requiring the applicant to submit a drainage proposal, to implement and to maintain the proposed drainage facilities to the satisfaction of the Director of Drainage Services or of the Board.

### **Fire Safety**

#### 10.1.6 Comments of the Director of Fire Services (D of FS):

- (a) He has no objection in principle to the proposal subject to fire service installations (FSIs) being provided to his satisfaction.
- (b) The applicant is required to provide fire extinguisher(s). In consideration of the design/nature of the proposal, FSIs are anticipated to be required. Therefore, the applicant is advised to submit relevant layout plans incorporated with the proposed FSIs to his department for approval. The applicant should note his detailed comments on the submission of FSIs proposal at **Appendix V**.
- (c) The applicant should adhere to the Good Practice Guidelines for Open Storage Sites at **Appendix VI**.

### **Landscape**

#### 10.1.7 Comments of the Chief Town Planner/Urban Design and Landscape, Planning Department (CTP/UD&L, PlanD):

Having conducted a site visit on 13.5.2021 and with reference to aerial photo of 2020, the Site is hard paved and without any vegetation. A wooded knoll is observed to the south of the Site. The Site is situated in an area of rural landscape character predominated by temporary structures, open storage yards, and tree cluster. Significant change to the landscape character arising from the application is not envisaged.

### **Building Matters**

#### 10.1.8 Comments of the Chief Building Surveyor/New Territories West, Buildings Department (CBS/NTW, BD):

The applicant should be reminded of the detailed comments at **Appendix V**.

### **Others**

#### 10.1.9 Comments of the Antiquities and Monuments Office, Development Bureau (AMO, DevB):

He has no objection to the application from built heritage and archaeological conservation perspective. The applicant should be reminded of the detailed comments at **Appendix V**.

### **District Officer's Comments**

10.1.10 Comments of the District Officer (Yuen Long), Home Affairs Department (DO/YL, HAD):

His office has not received any comment from the locals on the application and he has no comment on the application.

10.2 The following Government departments have no comment on the application:

- (a) Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation (DAFC);
- (b) Chief Engineer/Land Works, Civil Engineering and Development Department (CE/LW, CEDD);
- (c) Project Manager(West), CEDD (PM/W, CEDD);
- (d) Chief Engineer/Construction, Water Supplies Department (CE/C, WSD); and
- (e) Commissioner of Police (C of P).

## **11. Public Comments Received During Statutory Publication Period**

On 11.5.2021, the application was published for public inspection. During the statutory public inspection period, two public comments from individuals were received (**Appendices IV-1 and IV-2**) objecting to the application on the grounds that there is no previous approval for open storage use at the Site and the proposed use will cause adverse traffic and environmental impacts to the surrounding areas.

## **12. Planning Considerations and Assessments**

- 12.1 The application is for proposed temporary open storage of construction materials for a period of 3 years at the Site zoned “R(D)” on the OZP. The planning intention of “R(D)” zone is primarily for improvement and upgrading of existing temporary structures within the rural areas through redevelopment of existing temporary structures into permanent buildings. It is also intended for low-rise, low-density residential developments subject to planning permission from the Board. Whilst the proposed use is not in line with the planning intention of the “R(D)” zone, there is no known development for the Site in the subject “R(D)” zone. In this regard, approval of the application on a temporary basis of 3 years would not jeopardize the long-term development of the Site.
- 12.2 The Site is situated in an area of rural landscape character predominated by temporary structures, open storage yards, and tree cluster. The proposed use is considered not incompatible with the surrounding land uses which mainly comprise open storage yards and warehouses (**Plans A-2 and A-3**).
- 12.3 Relevant Government departments including C for T, DEP, CE/MN of DSD and CTP/UD&L, PlanD have no adverse comment on/objection to the application. The proposed use would not cause significant adverse traffic, environmental, drainage and landscape impacts on the surrounding areas. To address the concerns on the possible environmental nuisances generated by the temporary use and the technical

requirements of concerned Government departments, relevant approval conditions have been recommended in paragraph 13.2 below. Any non-compliance with these approval conditions would result in revocation of the planning permission and unauthorized development on-site would be subject to enforcement action by the Planning Authority. Besides, the applicant will also be advised to follow the 'Code of Practice on Handling Environment Aspects of Temporary Uses and Open Storage Sites' to minimize the possible environmental impacts on the adjacent areas.

- 12.4 The Site falls within Category 2 areas under the TPB PG-No. 13F. The following considerations in the Guidelines are relevant:

Category 2 areas: planning permission could be granted on a temporary basis up to a maximum period of 3 years, subject to no adverse departmental comments and local objections, or the concerns of the departments and local residents can be addressed through the implementation of approval conditions. Technical assessments, where appropriate, should be submitted to demonstrate that the proposed uses would not have adverse drainage, traffic, visual, landscaping and environmental impacts on the surrounding areas.

- 12.5 The application is considered in line with the TPB PG-No. 13F in that the proposed use would not generate adverse impacts and the technical concerns of relevant Government departments could be addressed through the implementation of approval conditions.
- 12.6 There is no previous application at the Site. Within the same "R(D)" zone, there are three approved similar applications for open storage use. Approval of the application is in line with the previous decisions of the Committee.
- 12.7 There are two public comments received objecting to the application on the grounds as summarized in paragraph 11 above. The planning considerations and assessments in paragraphs 12.1 to 12.6 above are relevant.

### **13. Planning Department's Views**

- 13.1 Based on the assessments made in paragraph 12 and having taken into account the public comments mentioned in paragraph 11 above, the Planning Department considers that the proposed temporary open storage of construction materials could be tolerated for a period of 3 years.
- 13.2 Should the Committee decide to approve the application, it is suggested that the permission shall be valid on a temporary basis for a period of 3 years until 25.6.2024. The following conditions of approval and advisory clauses are also suggested for Members' reference:

#### **Approval Conditions**

- (a) no operation from 8:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m., as proposed by the applicant, is allowed on the Site during the planning approval period;
- (b) no operation on Sundays and public holidays, as proposed by the applicant, is allowed on the Site during the planning approval period;

- (c) no workshop activity, as proposed by the applicant, is allowed on the Site at all times during the planning approval period;
- (d) no medium or heavy goods vehicles exceeding 5.5 tonnes, as defined under the Road Traffic Ordinance, are allowed to enter/be parked on the Site, as proposed by the applicant, at all times during the planning approval period;
- (e) the submission of a drainage proposal within **6 months** from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Drainage Services or of the Town Planning Board by **25.12.2021**;
- (f) in relation to (e) above, the implementation of the drainage proposal within **9 months** from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Drainage Services or of the Town Planning Board by **25.3.2022**;
- (g) in relation to (f) above, the implemented drainage facilities shall be maintained at all times during the planning approval period;
- (h) the boundary fencing shall be maintained at all times during the planning approval period;
- (i) the provision of fire extinguisher(s) within **6 weeks** from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Fire Services or of the Town Planning Board by **6.8.2021**;
- (j) the submission of a fire service installations proposal within **6 months** from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Fire Services or of the Town Planning Board by **25.12.2021**;
- (k) in relation to (j) above, the implementation of the fire service installations proposal within **9 months** from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Fire Services or of the Town Planning Board by **25.3.2022**;
- (l) if any of the above planning conditions (a), (b), (c), (d), (g) or (h) is not complied with during the approval period, the approval hereby given shall cease to have effect and shall be revoked immediately without further notice; and
- (m) if any of the above planning conditions (e), (f), (i), (j) or (k) is not complied with by the above specified date, the approval hereby given shall cease to have effect and shall on the same date be revoked without further notice.

#### Advisory Clauses

The recommended advisory clauses are attached at **Appendix V**.

- 13.3 Alternatively, should the Committee decide to reject the application, the following reason for rejection is suggested for Members' reference:

the proposed use is not in line with the planning intention of the "R(D)" zone, which is primarily for improvement and upgrading of existing temporary structures within the rural areas through redevelopment of existing temporary structures into permanent buildings. There is no strong planning justification in the submission for a departure from such planning intention, even on a temporary basis.



#### **14. Decision Sought**

- 14.1 The Committee is invited to consider the application and decide whether to grant or refuse to grant permission.
- 14.2 Should the Committee decide to approve the application, Members are invited to consider the approval condition(s) and advisory clause(s), if any, to be attached to the permission, and the period of which the permission should be valid on a temporary basis.
- 14.3 Alternatively, should the Committee decide to reject the application, Members are invited to advise what reason(s) for rejection should be given to the applicant.

#### **15. Attachments**

<b>Appendix I</b>	Application Form received on 3.5.2021
<b>Appendix II</b>	Extract of TPB PG-No.13F
<b>Appendix III</b>	Similar s.16 Applications within the same “R(D)” zone
<b>Appendices IV-1 and IV-2</b>	Public Comments
<b>Appendix V</b>	Advisory Clauses
<b>Appendix VI</b>	Good Practice Guidelines for Open Storage Sites issued by the Fire Services Department
<b>Drawing A-1</b>	Vehicular Access Plan
<b>Drawing A-2</b>	Layout Plan
<b>Plan A-1</b>	Location Plan
<b>Plan A-2</b>	Site Plan
<b>Plan A-3</b>	Aerial Photo
<b>Plan A-4</b>	Site Photos

**PLANNING DEPARTMENT  
JUNE 2021**