[illegible]

**SCHEDULE OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO  
THE DRAFT POK FU LAM OUTLINE ZONING PLAN NO. S/H10/22  
MADE BY THE TOWN PLANNING BOARD  
UNDER THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE (Chapter 131)**

**I. Proposed Amendment to Matters shown on the Plan**

- Item A – Rezoning of a site between Pok Fu Lam Road and Victoria Road from “Other Specified Uses” annotated “Global Innovation Centre” (“OU(Global Innovation Centre)”) to “Undetermined” (“U”).



**II. Proposed Amendments to the Notes of the Plan**

- (a) Revision to the covering Notes to incorporate development restrictions for “U” zone.
- (b) Revision to the Schedule of Uses and the Remarks of the Notes for the “Other Specified Uses” zone to delete all the provisions related to the “OU(Global Innovation Centre)” zone.

Town Planning Board

13 December 2024





SCALE 1 : 20 000 比例尺

草圖編號 S/H 1 0 / 2 2 的建議修訂  
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO DRAFT PLAN No. S/H10/22

根據城市規劃條例第 6C(2) 條公布的建議修訂  
PROPOSED AMENDMENT PUBLISHED UNDER SECTION 6C(2)  
OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE

A 項 ITEM A

由「其他指定用途」註明「國際创新中心」地帶改劃為「未決定用途」地帶  
REZONING FROM "OTHER SPECIFIED USES" ANNOTATED  
"GLOBAL INNOVATION CENTRE" TO "UNDETERMINED"

夾附的《註釋》屬這份圖則的一部分，  
《註釋》的建議修訂已根據城市規劃條例第 6 C (2) 條公布。  
THE ATTACHED NOTES ALSO FORM PART OF THIS PLAN  
AND THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT THERETO HAS BEEN PUBLISHED  
UNDER SECTION 6C(2) OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE

(參看附表)  
(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

2 0 2 4 年 1 2 月 1 3 日根據城市規劃條例第 6C(2) 條公布  
對草圖編號 S/H 1 0 / 2 2 作出的建議修訂  
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO DRAFT PLAN No. S/H10/22  
PUBLISHED UNDER SECTION 6C(2) OF THE TOWN  
PLANNING ORDINANCE ON 13 DECEMBER 2024

Ms Donna Tam 譚燕萍  
SECRETARY, TOWN PLANNING BOARD 城市規劃委員會秘書

薄扶林分區計劃大綱草圖編號 S/H 1 0 / 2 2 的建議修訂  
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO DRAFT POK FU LAM  
OUTLINE ZONING PLAN No. S/H10/22

SCALE 1 : 5 000 比例尺  
米 METRES 100 0 100 200 300 400 METRES 米

規劃署遵照城市規劃委員會指示擬備  
PREPARED BY THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT UNDER  
THE DIRECTION OF THE TOWN PLANNING BOARD



圖則編號  
PLAN No. R/S/H10/22 - A1

**Proposed Amendments to the Notes of  
The Draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H10/22  
in relation to Amendment Plan No. R/S/H10/22-A1**

The covering Notes and the Schedule of Uses of the Notes for “Other Specified Uses” annotated “Global Innovation Centre” are proposed to be amended to be read:

**NOTES**

(N.B. These form part of the Plan)

- (1) These Notes show the uses or developments on land falling within the boundaries of the Plan which are always permitted and which may be permitted by the Town Planning Board, with or without conditions, on application. Where permission from the Town Planning Board for a use or development is required, the application for such permission should be made in a prescribed form. The application shall be addressed to the Secretary of the Town Planning Board, from whom the prescribed application form may be obtained.
- (2) Any use or development which is always permitted or may be permitted in accordance with these Notes must also conform to any other relevant legislation, the conditions of the Government lease concerned, and any other Government requirements, as may be applicable.
- (3)
  - (a) No action is required to make the existing use of any land or building conform to this Plan until there is a material change of use or the building is redeveloped.
  - (b) Any material change of use or any other development (except minor alteration and/or modification to the development of the land or building in respect of the existing use which is always permitted) or redevelopment must be always permitted in terms of the Plan or, if permission is required, in accordance with the permission granted by the Town Planning Board.
  - (c) For the purposes of subparagraph (a) above, “existing use of any land or building” means-
    - (i) before the publication in the Gazette of the notice of the first statutory plan covering the land or building (hereafter referred as ‘the first plan’),
      - a use in existence before the publication of the first plan which has continued since it came into existence; or
      - a use or a change of use approved under the Buildings Ordinance which relates to an existing building; and

- (ii) after the publication of the first plan,
- a use permitted under a plan which was effected during the effective period of that plan and has continued since it was effected; or
  - a use or a change of use approved under the Buildings Ordinance which relates to an existing building and permitted under a plan prevailing at the time when the use or change of use was approved.
- (4) Except as otherwise specified by the Town Planning Board, when a use or material change of use is effected or a development or redevelopment is undertaken, as always permitted in terms of the Plan or in accordance with a permission granted by the Town Planning Board, all permissions granted by the Town Planning Board in respect of the site of the use or material change of use or development or redevelopment shall lapse.
- (5) Road junctions, alignments of roads and railway tracks, and boundaries between zones may be subject to minor adjustments as detailed planning proceeds.
- (6) Temporary uses (expected to be 5 years or less) of any land or building are always permitted as long as they comply with any other relevant legislation, the conditions of the Government lease concerned, and any other Government requirements, and there is no need for these to conform to the zoned use or these Notes. For temporary uses expected to be over 5 years, the uses must conform to the zoned use or these Notes.
- (7) The following uses or developments are always permitted on land falling within the boundaries of the Plan except where the uses or developments are specified in Column 2 of the Notes of individual zones:
- (a) provision, maintenance or repair of plant nursery, amenity planting, open space, rain shelter, refreshment kiosk, road, bus/public light bus stop or lay-by, cycle track, Mass Transit Railway station entrance, Mass Transit Railway structure below ground level, taxi rank, nullah, public utility pipeline, electricity mast, lamp pole, telephone booth, telecommunications radio base station, automatic teller machine and shrine;
  - (b) geotechnical works, local public works, road works, sewerage works, drainage works, environmental improvement works, marine related facilities, waterworks (excluding works on service reservoir) and such other public works co-ordinated or implemented by Government; and
  - (c) maintenance or repair of watercourse and grave.



- (8) In any area shown as 'Road', all uses or developments except those specified in paragraph (7) above and those specified below require permission from the Town Planning Board:

on-street vehicle park and railway track.

- (9) *In the "Undetermined" zone, all uses or developments except those specified in paragraph (7) above require planning permission from the Town Planning Board.*

- ~~(9)~~(10) Unless otherwise specified, all building, engineering and other operations incidental to and all uses directly related and ancillary to the permitted uses and developments within the same zone are always permitted and no separate permission is required.

- ~~(10)~~(11) In these Notes, "existing building" means a building, including a structure, which is physically existing and is in compliance with any relevant legislation and the conditions of the Government lease concerned.

**OTHER SPECIFIED USES (cont'd)**

Column 1 Uses always permitted	Column 2 Uses that may be permitted with or without conditions on application to the Town Planning Board
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

For “Global Innovation Centre” Only

Eating Place	Flat (not elsewhere specified)
Educational Institution	Hotel
Exhibition or Convention Hall	Place of Entertainment
Flat (staff quarters only)	Radar, Telecommunications Electronic—
Government Refuse Collection Point	Microwave Repeater, Television and/or—
Government Use (not elsewhere specified)	Radio Transmitter Installation
Information Technology and—	
Telecommunications Industries	
Institutional Use (not elsewhere specified)	
Office	
Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture	
Private Club	
Public Clinic	
Public Transport Terminus or Station	
Public Utility Installation	
Public Vehicle Park (excluding container—	
vehicle)	
Research, Design and Development Centre	
Residential Institution	
Shop and Services	
Social Welfare Facility	
Training Centre	
Utility Installation for Private Project	

Planning Intention

This zone is intended primarily to provide land for the development of a Global Innovation Centre by the University of Hong Kong for deep technology research.—

(Please see next page)

**OTHER SPECIFIED USES (cont'd)**

For “Global Innovation Centre” Only (cont'd)

Remarks

- ~~(1) — No new development, or addition, alteration and/or modification to or redevelopment of an existing building shall result in a total development and/or redevelopment in excess of a maximum gross floor area of 222,720m<sup>2</sup> (including not more than 10,620m<sup>2</sup> domestic gross floor area), and a maximum building height of 158mPD, or the gross floor area and height of existing building, whichever is the greater.~~
- ~~(2) — In determining the relevant maximum gross floor areas for the purposes of paragraph (1) above, any floor space that is constructed or intended for use solely as car park, loading/unloading bay, plant room, caretaker's office and caretaker's quarters and utility installation for private project, or recreational facilities for the use and benefit of all the owners or occupiers of the domestic building or domestic part of the building, provided such uses and facilities are ancillary and directly related to the development or redevelopment, may be disregarded.~~
- ~~(3) — Based on the individual merits of a development or redevelopment proposal, minor relaxation of the building height and gross floor area restrictions as stated in paragraph (1) above may be considered by the Town Planning Board on application under section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance.~~

(Please see next page)



**Proposed Amendments to the Explanatory Statement of  
the Draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H10/22  
in relation to Amendment Plan No. R/S/H10/22-A1**

(This does not form part of the proposed amendments to  
the draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H10/22)

Paragraphs 7.8, 7.8.6 to 7.8.8 and 7.9 to 7.10 of the Explanatory Statement are proposed to be amended.

**7. LAND USE ZONINGS**

7.8 Other Specified Uses (“OU”): Total Area 39.3 **34.58** ha

~~7.8.6—On land designated as “OU” annotated “Global Innovation Centre”, it is intended primarily to provide land for development of the proposed Global Innovation Centre by HKU for deep technology research. To cater for the need of the Global Innovation Centre which would be the first deep technology basic research compound in Hong Kong as well as an interdisciplinary endeavour drawing on HKU’s strengths to address some of the most pressing challenges in the world through scientific discoveries and technological development, it provides development space for accommodating a variety of deep technology basic research and supporting facilities, including research, academic, exhibition and conferences, scholar residence/staff quarters, supporting catering, recreational and other facilities. Development within this zone is subject to a maximum gross floor area of 222,720m<sup>2</sup> (including not more than 10,620m<sup>2</sup> domestic gross floor area) and a maximum building height of 158mPD, or the gross floor area and height of the existing building, whichever is the greater.~~

~~7.8.7—The development of the Global Innovation Centre shall strike a balance between functional requirements and responsive building design. Good building designs shall include stepped terrace design, landscape treatment at building edges, building separations of appropriate widths and building voids at various levels to help break up the building mass and maintain visual access to vegetated backdrop and skyview. Specifically, in response to the level difference of the site between Pok Fu Lam Road and Victoria Road, the future podium shall adopt an undulating terraced design with podium levels descending from east to west. Building voids and skylights shall also be suitably placed above the five preserved watercourses with 5m preservation zones offset from the watercourses to maintain sunlight penetration for ecological preservation. Communal open space of not less than 12,000m<sup>2</sup> accessible to the public shall also be provided at approximately the level of Pok Fu Lam Road to preserve public views. Integrated design with the surrounding environment should be adopted for the greening and landscaping of the proposed development.~~

~~7.8.8—Traffic improvement measures are required for the proposed Global Innovation Centre to mitigate the associated traffic impact. The project~~

~~proponent is required to submit updated traffic impact assessment upon confirmation of the design parameters at the detailed design stage, and assessment of the construction traffic impact and traffic review before commissioning of project. Mitigation measures to address the traffic noise impact from Victoria Road and Pok Fu Lam Road, to cater for freshwater, flushing water demand, and sewer upgrading works for the proposed development will be required. The requirement for submission of traffic impact assessment, noise impact assessment, water supply impact assessment and sewerage impact assessment, and implementation of the mitigation measures identified therein will be suitably incorporated into the land document.~~

## 7.9 **“Undetermined” (“U”): Total Area 4.72 ha**

**7.9.1** *To consolidate Hong Kong’s leading position in basic research, the 2021 Policy Address announced that the Government has accepted in principle the proposal from the University of Hong Kong (HKU) to reserve a site in Pok Fu Lam for HKU to construct facilities for deep technology research. Pursuance to this policy initiative, an area largely zoned “Green Belt” and “Residential (Group C)6” between Pok Fu Lam Road and Victoria Road was rezoned to “OU” annotated “Global Innovation Centre”, subject to a maximum gross floor area of 222,720m<sup>2</sup> (including not more than 10,620m<sup>2</sup> domestic gross floor area) and a maximum building height of 158mPD. The planning intention is primarily to provide land for development of the proposed Global Innovation Centre by HKU for deep technology research. It would provide development space for accommodating a variety of deep technology basic research and supporting facilities, including research, academic, exhibition and conferences, scholar residence/staff quarters, supporting catering, recreational and other facilities. The draft Pok Fu Lam OZP No. S/H10/22 incorporating the amendments was exhibited for public inspection under section 5 of the Ordinance on 22 March 2024.*

**7.9.2** *Subsequently, in view of HKU’s decision to take some time to strategically amend the development plan of the Centre, e.g. reducing the density of the proposed development and bulk of the building(s), increasing the setback area from neighbouring buildings, designating more green spaces, etc., to address stakeholders’ opinions as much as practicable, and to step up engagement with the community through various channels so as to improve the development proposal, the land has been rezoned from “OU” annotated “Global Innovation Centre” to “U”. The “U” zone is intended to allow HKU to review its original plan and adjust it in response to stakeholders’ views. The long-term use and development parameters of the site would be determined after HKU’s submission of a revised proposal, which would go through public consultation and the Government’s examination, and would be subject to another round of statutory town planning procedures for proposed amendments to the OZP. As the development parameters are subject to changes pending HKU’s review, an interim zoning*

***arrangement as a stop-gap measure to allow flexibility to take on board the outcome of the review is necessary.***

**7.910 Green Belt (“GB”): Total Area 117.43 ha**

- 7.910.1** The planning intention of this zone is primarily for the conservation of the existing natural environment amid the built-up areas/at the urban fringe, to safeguard it from encroachment by urban type development, and to provide additional outlets for passive recreational activities. There is a general presumption against development within this zone.
- 7.910.2** This zoning comprises about 28% of land in the Area and consists of mainly steep slopes not suitable for development such as the hillside of Mount Davis, the slopes to the east of Pok Fu Lam Village, the valley sides of Cyberport, the slopes to the south of Baguio Villa and the naturally vegetated hillslopes adjoining Chi Fu Fa Yuen. The difficult topography and geotechnical conditions render these areas unsuitable for development. Development within this zone is normally not permitted unless otherwise approved by the Board based on very strong planning grounds.
- 7.910.3** Although there is a general presumption against development in this zoning, passive recreational activities may be possible at suitable locations.

**7.4011 Country Park (“CP”): Total Area 52.48 ha**

Country Park means a country park or special area as designated under the Country Parks Ordinance (Cap. 208). This zoning covers the part of the Pok Fu Lam Country Park and the part of the Lung Fu Shan Country Park which fall within the boundary of the Plan. All uses and developments require consent from the Country and Marine Parks Authority and approval from the Board is not required.



《薄扶林分區計劃大綱草圖編號 S/H10/22》  
Draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H10/22

進一步申述人名單  
Index of Further Representations

申述編號 Representation No.	提交編號 Submission No.	申述人名稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1499	The University of Hong Kong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F2	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1498	Fan Mei Mary
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F3	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1496	The Ebenezer School and Home for the Visually Impaired Limited
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F4	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1362	Ebenezer New Hope School
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F5	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1500	Goreway Limited
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F6	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1905	Island South Property Management Limited
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F7	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1400	Gregory Laurence DE EB
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F8	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S119	Wong Teck Sun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F9	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S41	Joshua Michael Green
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F10	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S56 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S80	Sin Ellen Wai Kam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F11	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S62	Cheung Wai Shing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F12	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S763	Katherine Lai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F13	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S898	Deborah Ng
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F14	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1399 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1830	Hau Timothy Doe Kwong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F15	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1402	Tang Nap Wong Sammy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F16	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1633	Foo Bic Pui Betty
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F17	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1868	Lui Shuk King Louisa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F18	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S74	Liu Long Kit Lambert
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F19	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S75	Liu Wai Hung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F20	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S82	Au Yeung Kwan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F21	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1443	江香城
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F22	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1470	Leung Pui Hang Philip
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F23	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1816	Lily Cheung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F24	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1817	Peter Lok
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F25	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1485	Yeh Erin Jian Yien
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F26	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1488	Trisha Tsui Yeh

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F27	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S112	Fong Kwok Wing Peter
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F28	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S7	Cehng Dien Leung Peter
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F29	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S11 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1132	Chiu Sik Chung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F30	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S12 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1144	Lo Lai Ha
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F31	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S13 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1145	Chiu Cheuk Kei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F32	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S14	Liang William
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F33	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S15	Yan Wing Keung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F34	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S16	Ng Po Yee Jessica
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F35	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S18	Yan Ho Cheong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F36	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S19	Sarce Anayln Colisao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F37	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S22	Stephen John Knight
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F38	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S23	Chau Carmen Sun On Knight
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F39	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S25	Chu Ming Po Shirley
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F40	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S28	Hathiramani Lachman Sobhraj
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F41	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S37	Mak Chi Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F42	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S40	Hong Phu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F43	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S42	Shirley Xie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F44	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S43	Kaitlyn Dawn Phu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F45	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S44	Kieran Phu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F46	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S45	Dorothy Silkstone
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F47	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S46	Wijayanti
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F48	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S50	Hung Yi Shun Ernest
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F49	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S51	Chiu Tuen Han
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F50	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S53	Norman Lai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F51	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S55	James A Smith
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F52	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S63	Vivek Hathiramani
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F53	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S64	Iu Kim Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F54	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S65	Lau Sau Han Cecilia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F55	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S66	Iu Wai Yun Jacqueline
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F56	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S70	洪偉文
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F57	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S78	Yeung Hoo Cheung Martin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F58	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S79 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S780	Li Shu Chuen

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F59	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S81	Marcela C Velasco
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F60	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S83	Yip Kam To
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F61	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S84	Yuen Sun On
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F62	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S85	May Holdsworth
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F63	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S86	David Holdsworth
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F64	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S87	Siu Oi Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F65	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S88	Wu Pui Sze
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F66	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S89	Wu Pui Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F67	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S90	Lau Yue Sum
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F68	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S92	Lau Chung Sui Chun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F69	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S95	Megan Shum
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F70	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S96	Niem An Liang Annette
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F71	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S98	Lau Jeremy Hugh Yen-hey
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F72	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S99	Lo Choi Ha Adeline
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F73	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S101	Audrey Chau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F74	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S102	Li Dominic Kwok Kin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F75	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S104	Chau Shung Ping
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F76	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S105	Ho Ching Mau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F77	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S106	Annakel Chau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F78	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S107	Ling Yun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F79	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S109	Lee Chun Ho Lawrence
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F80	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S110	Nicholas Sebastien Narcel Kelsall
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F81	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S111	Lau Zoe Vivian Haiyen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F82	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S113	Joy C Aqviao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F83	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S115	Lau Benjamin Craig Yenyan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F84	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S116	Cheng Yim Shan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F85	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S125	Cheung Suk Mei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F86	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S126	Cheung Suk Fan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F87	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S127 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S131	Josiah Rees Donaldson
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F88	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S128	Yim Wai Fong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F89	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S129	Chuang James Ho Piao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F90	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S130	Jamie Donaldson
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F91	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S132	Ethnan Soencc Donaldson
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F92	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S133	Lau Sai Yim
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F93	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S134	Liu Cheung Yuen



申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F94	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S135	Chan Wing Fai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F95	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S136	Chung Wai Wah Caroline
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F96	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S137	Chan Jia Jiun Warren
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F97	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S138	Irene Llega Orfinada
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F98	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S142 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S421	Melany Baricawa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F99	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S143	Lau Yim Man Deka
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F100	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S144	Bruno Von Siebenthal
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F101	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S145	Claudia Von Siebenthal
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F102	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S146	Marco Von Siebenthal
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F103	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S147	Eleccion Rolybel Pader
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F104	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S148	Lau Yim Fong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F105	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S149	Lau Mei Fan Belinda
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F106	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S150	Lau Mei Woon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F107	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S151	Lau Tak Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F108	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S202	Chan Ka Wing Kevin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F109	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S208	Chan So Kwan Toby
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F110	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S210	Mo Fangjun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F111	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S211	Zeng Hongxun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F112	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S212	Wu Chi Tak
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F113	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S213	Ng Kam Wah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F114	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S214	Cheng Lai Yin Bonnie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F115	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S215	Lam Ka Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F116	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S216	Wong Ka Cheong Alex
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F117	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S217	Chan Ting
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F118	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S218	Wu Tsz Ching
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F119	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S219	Hui Chun Fung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F120	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S220	Chan Kwan Nga
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F121	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S221	Chan Kwan Nin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F122	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S222	Zheng Xiaona
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F123	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S223	Chan Ka Wo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F124	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S224	Wong Rosemary Man Nea
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F125	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S225	Leung Tsz Kin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F126	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S226	Chan Kam Man Amy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F127	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S227	Chen Shuiqeng
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F128	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S228	Chan Sau Tuen

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F129	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S229	Chen Yu Juan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F130	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S230	Chun Yuet Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F131	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S231	Tang Choi Yuk
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F132	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S232	Tang Ting Chun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F133	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S233	Fan Cui Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F134	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S234	Feng YauPing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F135	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S235	Gao Yu Quan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F136	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S236	Kwok Man Fong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F137	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S237	Kwok Sau Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F138	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S238	Hung Tak Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F139	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S239	Wong Pik Har
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F140	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S240	Kong Lizhen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F141	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S241	Li Meihuan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F142	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S242	Li Xin Rong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F143	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S243	Lee Ka Chun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F144	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S244	Li Ka Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F145	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S245	Lian Suxiang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F146	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S246	Liang Bing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F147	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S247	Liang Hongfang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F148	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S248	Lam Lei Ching
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F149	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S249	Lam Sau Fun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F150	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S250	Lau Siu Pik
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F151	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S251	Lo Xiaolan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F152	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S252	Lo Chu Fai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F153	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S253	Ouyang Qumiao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F154	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S254	Peng Weilian
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F155	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S255	Ou Jin Xue
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F156	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S256	Sheng Meiqiong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F157	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S257	Tan Ganqing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F158	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S258	Ip Mo Sin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F159	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S259	Yip Ming Sang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F160	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S260	Yip Ming Hong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F161	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S261	Yim Yuk Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F162	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S262	Tse Li Mei Carol
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F163	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S263	Ng Ka Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F164	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S264	Wu Caiying

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F165	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S265	Wong Chi On
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F166	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S269	Laarni H Carandang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F167	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S271	Clarence Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F168	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S272	Sri Astutik
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F169	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S274	Ke Wang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F170	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S277	Evan Chao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F171	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S280	Muslimah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F172	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S282	Tsoi Calvin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F173	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S283	Luk Michelle Hilda
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F174	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S285	Robert Chow
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F175	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S286	Christina Lai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F176	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S289	Hermenia L Dragon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F177	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S290	Chitce Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F178	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S291	Nick Pollocle
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F179	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S293	N L Poon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F180	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S319	Hsu Chi Kit Gin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F181	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S320	Wong Chun Kei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F182	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S321	Kwan King Tong Felix
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F183	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S322	Mak Wing Kan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F184	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S323	Cheu Lai Shan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F185	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S324	Chiu Sin Yau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F186	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S325	Law Sui Hing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F187	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S326	Ho Hung Yip
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F188	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S327	Tong Lai Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F189	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S328	Tong Kam Fai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F190	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S329	Ma Wang Yui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F191	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S330	Chan Kwai Fong Mimi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F192	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S331	Mak Tsui Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F193	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S332	Mak Yin Yan Akina
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F194	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S333	Leung Amanda
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F195	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S334	Chu Pui Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F196	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S335	Yeung Sze Nok Marcus
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F197	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S336	Wong Ka Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F198	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S337	Pao Tin Yeung Daniel
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F199	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S338	Tang Yiu Ming Patrick
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F200	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S339	Canja Jenie Cabales

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F201	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S340	Ho On Tak Alvin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F202	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S341	Lau Nga Yan Movie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F203	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S342	Ho Sze Dik Stitch
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F204	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S343	Ho Sze Kan Sicas
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F205	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S344	Tam Ling Fan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F206	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S345	Mak Ying Kit
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F207	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S346	Fung Siu Wing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F208	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S347	Leung Suk Fun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F209	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S348	Fung Siu Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F210	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S349	So Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F211	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S350	Ng Fung Chu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F212	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S351	Leung Wai Yeung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F213	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S352	Tse Fei Cheung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F214	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S353	Lam Kwun Chung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F215	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S354	Shiu Yuk Ming Doris
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F216	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S355	Fong Ka Wai Clara
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F217	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S356	Ong Bee Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F218	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S357	Yeung Pui Fun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F219	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S358	Cheuk Kan Tong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F220	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S359	Chiu Yu Shun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F221	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S360	Chiu Yu Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F222	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S361	Hsu Ming Fen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F223	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S362	Mok Matthew Martin Chi Kit
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F224	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S363	Wong Ching Hoi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F225	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S364	Lee Shing Lai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F226	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S366	Wong Tsz Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F227	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S367	Lee Man Kit
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F228	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S368	Liew Hung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F229	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S369	Yang Siu Nei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F230	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S370	Wu Ching
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F231	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S371	Lam Kam Fai Dick
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F232	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S372	Yip Kar Lun Carlo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F233	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S373	Chan Wing Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F234	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S374	Mak Lai Mei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F235	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S375	Chow Luen Winnie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F236	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S376	Kwok Pui Man

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F237	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S377	Tse Wai Shuen Hayley
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F238	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S378	Tse Yui Chun Dave
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F239	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S379	Lau Hoi Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F240	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S380	Tse Heung Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F241	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S381	Lau Ka Lun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F242	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S382	Lau Hiu Lam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F243	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S383	Lau Hiu Ching
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F244	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S384	Lie Siu Ying Kelvine
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F245	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S385	Leung Hing Yiu Slanki
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F246	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S386	Yeung Sui Fun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F247	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S387	Lai Pui Chee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F248	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S388	Li Yuk Yin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F249	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S389	Lie Yu Chen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F250	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S390	Lie Kam Lung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F251	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S391	Sayaboc Kristel Silario
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F252	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S392	Lie Nathaniel
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F253	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S393	Lie Theodore
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F254	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S394	Lie Hung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F255	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S395	Lai Pui Shan Sandy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F256	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S396	Lam Chi Shan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F257	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S397	Yeung Ying Lau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F258	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S398	Lung Hiu Hong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F259	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S399	Poon Man Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F260	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S400	Yuen Chi Pun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F261	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S401	Tse Siu Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F262	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S402	Fan Wai Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F263	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S403	Hui Yun Yan Cookie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F264	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S404	Ng Hoi Yee Iris
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F265	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S405	Chui Wai Chun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F266	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S406	Au Yeung Sau Ching Alice
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F267	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S407	Nau Kin Shing Billy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F268	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S408	Ip Ho Wing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F269	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S409	Au Ka Chun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F270	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S410	Chan Wong Kau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F271	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S411	Law Frederick Wing Kai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F272	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S412	Law Edwina Chiu

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F273	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S413	Yeung Yin Lam Leonard
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F274	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S414	Law Kwai King Beatrice Virginia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F275	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S422	Tim Borg
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F276	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S423	Yixin Wang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F277	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S424	Jinhe Wang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F278	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S425	Fei Wang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F279	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S426	Poon Jackson J S
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F280	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S427	Law Kwai Fong Jillian May
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F281	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S428	Ansel Chen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F282	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S429	Chen Kin Yu Ernest
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F283	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S430	Wong Oi Chi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F284	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S431	Ambrose Chen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F285	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S432	Sophie Chen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F286	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S433	Sarina Chen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F287	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S434	Susan Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F288	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S435	Jaden Chen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F289	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S436	James Chen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F290	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S437	Rosario D Feniza
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F291	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S438	Isidra
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F292	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S439	Mimpua
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F293	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S440	Ma Lua Li
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F294	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S441	Divima Grain F Santas
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F295	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S442	Balogbis Ma Eunice
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F296	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S443	Virginia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F297	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S444	Luchie D Cebrero
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F298	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S445	Susielyn Loriaga
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F299	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S446	Elizabeth Q Acaranay
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F300	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S447	Cheung Long Ching
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F301	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S448	Chui Fuk Yau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F302	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S449	Kwok Mei Po Mabel
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F303	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S450	Chui Sin Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F304	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S451	Linda Nuryani
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F305	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S452	Fung King Yimj
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F306	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S453	Ng Wah Pik
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F307	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S454	Burt Stephen Richard
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F308	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S455	He Shu Hwa

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F309	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S456	Josephine Brillantes
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F310	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S457	Wong Jasmine
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F311	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S458	Chris Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F312	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S459	Sunshine Grace O Tianzon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F313	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S460	Lam Fu Oi Chu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F314	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S461	Caitlyn Tang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F315	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S462	Lai Cham Kwan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F316	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S479	Sze Ham Ching Maryland
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F317	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S480	Wan Chao Kuan Chi Helen Maria
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F318	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S481	Ophelia Ghong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F319	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S482	Priyanka
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F320	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S483	Chan Clement Kam Wing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F321	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S485	Soo Ying Pooi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F322	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S487	Ricardo Enriquez
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F323	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S488	Brian Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F324	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S489	Andernov De Vera
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F325	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S490	Pranau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F326	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S491	Esla Knowles
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F327	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S493	Norman Melgar
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F328	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S494	Fwr De Luna Adriatico
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F329	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S495	Marissie E Ticman
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F330	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S496	Sulastri
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F331	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S497	Chan Fanny Lai Ping
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F332	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S498	Shuvy Encargues
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F333	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S499	Elsa T Cadiz
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F334	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S500	Lee Sang Kyo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F335	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S504	Leny
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F336	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S505	Yip Mo Tong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F337	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S506	Fung Kim Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F338	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S507	Mary Grace Aller
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F339	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S508	Torlao Arnord Caraval
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F340	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S509	Sunarsih
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F341	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S510	Leung Wan Yin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F342	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S511	Ma Adrienne Waiki
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F343	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S512	Ma Wai Fu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F344	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S513	Quiachow Maria

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F345	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S514	See Geok Lan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F346	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S515	Cheung Shiu Kwok Andy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F347	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S516	Lee Wai Han
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F348	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S517	Oktaviana Listianingrum
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F349	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S518	Wong Sze Chiu Ricky
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F350	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S521	Chan Ho Lam Jackie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F351	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S522	Chan Lee Shing William
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F352	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S523	Chan Ho Fung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F353	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S524	Yuen Hop Lau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F354	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S525	Chung Po Yi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F355	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S526	Chan Ho Yau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F356	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S527	Chiu Albert
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F357	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S528	Maria Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F358	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S532	Ko Yui Chong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F359	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S533	Wan Fung Yi Stevil
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F360	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S534	Karen Cheng
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F361	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S552	Lee Ming Kwai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F362	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S553	徐語蔓
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F363	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S554	Leung Kim Wai Iris
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F364	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S555	Li Sheung Wan Sara
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F365	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S558	Vivian Fan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F366	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S561	Cheung Yui Kwan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F367	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S564	Fan Suk Kam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F368	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S567	Lam Oi Yee Grace
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F369	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S574	Ka Wing Fung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F370	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S575	Deepak Pitu Melwani
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F371	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S576	Jia Prakash Melwani
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F372	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S577	Sheyrel Banwsta
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F373	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S582	Rashio Mahammed
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F374	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S583	Leung Yuk Fun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F375	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S584	Jessele Buca
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F376	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S586	Lok Wai Kiang Paul
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F377	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S587	Lok Zee Pui Sui Teresa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F378	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S588 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1200	Lok Yean Ting Karen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F379	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S589	Sylvia Hoosen



申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F380	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S592	Siu Ngo Tsz
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F381	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S593	Liu Tze Chun Gordon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F382	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S594	Wellanie Valle
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F383	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S599	Shyna Prakash Melwani
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F384	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S600	Shawn Prakash Melwani
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F385	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S601	Prakash Melwani
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F386	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S640	Emma Hurcsen Tsen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F387	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S644	Shen Siu Lin Charles
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F388	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S645	Fortune John Alfred
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F389	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S646	Fortune Peter Jonathan Daniel
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F390	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S647	Cheng Yu Yuk
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F391	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S648	Tang Yee Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F392	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S649	Ng Au Tip Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F393	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S650	Gillian A Choa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F394	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S651	Young Liu Yim Mui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F395	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S652	Jayme Elizabeth Pamittan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F396	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S653	Liu Chuan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F397	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S654	Bei Rui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F398	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S655	Grace D Sulaforio
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F399	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S656	Liezl G Apelo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F400	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S657	Irina Lisov Liang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F401	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S658	Liang Jiang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F402	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S659	Princes Ann Fortunato
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F403	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S661	Su Lin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F404	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S662	Saisichai Naphat
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F405	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S663	Pasaporte Nati
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F406	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S664	Brendan Liang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F407	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S688	Leung Chun Chiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F408	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S689	Wong Kit Shan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F409	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S690	Cledfe J Pabon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F410	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S691	Dominic Chung Kei Chang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F411	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S692	Yu Ka Wing Janet
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F412	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S693	Chang Tsz Kiu Kate
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F413	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S694	June R Carig
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F414	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S695	Dante N Manuez
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F415	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S696	John Lowe

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F416	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S697	Li Xiang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F417	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S698	Iu Kin Loong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F418	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S699	Norlha L Gaugao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F419	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S700	Ma Tim Wai Esperanza
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F420	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S742	Wan Kwok Kan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F421	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S743	Tso Fung Kuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F422	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S744	Sriwartini
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F423	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S745	Sod Seehon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F424	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S747	Kim Yeong Sung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F425	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S748	Choi Weng Chu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F426	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S749	Emelie Pama
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F427	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S750	Miky Ng Kin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F428	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S751	Amy Lyn Guevarva
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F429	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S752	R Chenet
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F430	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S753	Juliana Cheney
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F431	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S754	Olivia Rosuena
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F432	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S755	Rachel Zhao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F433	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S756	Lenaida Sanfae
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F434	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S757	Sena Soo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F435	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S758	Elsa Wool
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F436	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S759	Fei Fei Philip Fan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F437	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S760	Diana Wu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F438	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S761	Liu Hsing Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F439	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S762	Hui Yung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F440	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S764	Mak Chi Shing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F441	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S765	Lam Che Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F442	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S766	Amin Kaserin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F443	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S767	Tang Wai Nam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F444	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S768	Lam Wai Keen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F445	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S770	Celine Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F446	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S771	John Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F447	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S772	Kim Minjeong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F448	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S773	Shirley B Dogy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F449	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S774	Stephen Tsang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F450	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S775	Lee Wai Man Edwin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F451	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S776	Yuliana

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F452	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S777	Lonaolel Ann T Rodriance
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F453	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S778	Billy Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F454	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S779	Novman DeBrackinghe
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F455	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S781	Ma Kwei Wah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F456	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S782	Chu Siu Lan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F457	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S783	Ririn Kultoviyah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F458	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S784	Chu Siu Pang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F459	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S785	Meenanan Chanannat
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F460	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S786	Wu Tak Fat
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F461	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S787	Martin Ganz
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F462	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S788	Edward Jok
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F463	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S789	Carol Adube
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F464	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S790	Yung Siu Lin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F465	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S791	Bouillet Pascial
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F466	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S792	Roresnalimi S Sonio
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F467	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S793	Wu Ting Pong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F468	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S794	Clayton Nicholas
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F469	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S795	James Ho Yan Tang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F470	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S796	Nunung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F471	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S797	Pr John K S Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F472	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S798	Angela C C Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F473	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S800	Clayton David
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F474	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S801	Montero Divine Grace
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F475	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S802	Linawati Jayadi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F476	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S805	Chan Derek Nathan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F477	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S806	Chan Alvin Matthew
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F478	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S807	Leung Lok Sun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F479	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S808	Yeung Ka Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F480	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S809	Nuriten
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F481	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S810 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S857	Cheng Hui Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F482	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S811	Nurynaingish
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F483	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S812 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S856	Bo Sui Mei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F484	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S813	Christine Jane Losmelendrez
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F485	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S814	Lam Choi Fung Vivien

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F486	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S815	Shih Li Chong Rachel
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F487	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S816	Shih Shan Kuang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F488	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S817	Yam Wai Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F489	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S819	Tai Wah Chung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F490	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S820	Ng Ka Po Lucia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F491	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S821	Timothy L Tai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F492	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S825	Kwok Yuen Ying Rike
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F493	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S826	Yeung Sze Wai Shirley
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F494	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S827	Kwok Siu Fung Nicholas
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F495	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S828	Kwok Pak Hou Nathan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F496	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S829	Crystel A Asucelo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F497	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S830	Lusila T Tabenneno
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F498	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S831	Duliba Ada
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F499	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S832	Manilou Balbaboco
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F500	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S833	Ma Thenena D Osita
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F501	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S834	Miave Donozo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F502	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S835	Li Pik Yi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F503	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S837	Ashley H Drpilla
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F504	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S838	Ko Ng Man Kin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F505	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S839	Fong Robert Njoek Mien
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F506	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S844	Angelyn Cherreguine
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F507	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S845	Nenita C Amelanto
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F508	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S846	Judelyn Baltazar Carcia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F509	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S847	Chan Yuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F510	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S848	Palma Marlyn Esteves
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F511	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S849	Scan Matthew Chung Hei Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F512	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S850	Helen Castillo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F513	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S851	Derick Yip
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F514	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S852	Veronica Tse
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F515	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S854	Andrew Wen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F516	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S855	Fnayelelyn Leonardo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F517	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S862	Charity F Gatchalian
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F518	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S863	鍾秀霞
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F519	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S868	Julie Wen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F520	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S869	Paul Wen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F521	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S872	Nunan David Charles

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F522	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S873	Nunan Jennifer Jean
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F523	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S874	Nunan Catherine Jean
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F524	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S875	Nunan Rebecca Louise
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F525	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S876	Li Wing Kit Rebecca
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F526	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S877	Lawrence Lam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F527	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S878	Lam Yuk Ching Carmen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F528	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S882	Tung Yan Kei Elisa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F529	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S883	Astutik
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F530	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S891	Ashley Billington
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F531	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S892	Sarah Kwok
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F532	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S894	Lau Ho Wang Ryan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F533	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S895	Kung Man Piu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F534	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S896	Doo Oi Tak
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F535	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S897	Li Ching Fan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F536	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S899	Maliezl Tumalin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F537	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S903	Skyla Marie Ka Yee Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F538	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S904	Thomas Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F539	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S905	Judy Yip
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F540	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S906	Elizabeth Berry
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F541	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S907	Thomas Berry
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F542	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S908	Natalie Berry
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F543	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S909	Leung Pui Sze
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F544	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S911	Chan Sum Yuen Bailey
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F545	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S912	Chan Chi Yuen Brandon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F546	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S918	Li Chun Tzang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F547	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S919	Li Yuen Wing Giselle
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F548	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S920	Li Cho Ting Cedric
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F549	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S921	Chan Pui Lai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F550	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S922	Ching Maggie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F551	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S923	Lau Fei Choe
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F552	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S924	Janette Caberto Belarmino
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F553	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S925	Cho Yin Chung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F554	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S926	Antolin Necitas Bunagan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F555	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S927	Leung King Yeen Caitlyn
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F556	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S928	Leung Sai Kwan Chris
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F557	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S929	Yung Ho Ying Carmela

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F558	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S930	Yip Pui Ting
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F559	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S931	Lau Siu Tsun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F560	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S932	Lau Ming Hei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F561	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S933	Lau Tze Pok
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F562	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S934	Rianne Lu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F563	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S935	Wong Sze Man Teresa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F564	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S936	Chu Hoi May Jacqueline
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F565	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S937	Lam Oi Kun Vanessa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F566	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S938	Lee Annette Aimee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F567	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S939	Peter Li
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F568	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S940	Lee Kwun Wa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F569	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S941	Hung Kwun Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F570	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S942	Lee Evan Seth
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F571	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S943	Galleto Rona Gajaton
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F572	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S946	Mendoza Monaliza
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F573	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S947	Ng Tze Pang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F574	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S951	Elisha Kei Yan Dhakal
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F575	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S952	Lau Lai Na
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F576	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S953	Viernes Jovielyn Panabang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F577	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S957	Kwok Jasmine Wing Tung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F578	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S961	Mary Ann Belhera
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F579	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S962	Lai Eva
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F580	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S963	Ritahti
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F581	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S964	Lau Chi Wah Kendrew
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F582	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S965	So Yam Tat
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F583	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S966	Tsao Fai Freddy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F584	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S967	Lee Aidan Carter
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F585	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S968	Lee Wai On Warren
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F586	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S969	Ng Hoi Yan Belinda
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F587	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S970	Jonathan See Han Lau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F588	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S971	Maureen Lau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F589	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S972	Ananayo Betty Bucanog
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F590	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S975	Victoria Tiang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F591	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S976	David Tiang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F592	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S977	Genelyn Mondevar
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F593	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S978	William Tiang

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F594	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S983	Tim Lau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F595	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S986	Hui Kei Tat
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F596	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S987	Luk Fong Yuk Yee Pattie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F597	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1001	Barrote Jovelyn Canete
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F598	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1002	Rosexaarie Arcenal
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F599	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1003	Amy Estnada
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F600	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1004	Juliana Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F601	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1005	Mangho Brij
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F602	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1006	呂秀艷
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F603	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1007	Daisy Choi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F604	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1008	Simon Knowle
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F605	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1009	Rosewary Prio
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F606	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1010	Chung Yuk Keung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F607	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1011	Apura Mary Jane
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F608	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1012	Matheresa D Lazard
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F609	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1013	Leung Tze Hong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F610	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1014	Benilda No Catabona
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F611	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1015	Liao Li
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F612	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1016	Joyce Lau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F613	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1017	Dawalen AC
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F614	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1018	Michael Lau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F615	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1019	Sudarmiati
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F616	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1020	Shiming Tan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F617	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1021	Bonifxcio D Duria Jr
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F618	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1022	Arlene Rodriguez
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F619	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1023	Chan Shu Chu Julie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F620	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1024	Li Shin Ming Charmaine
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F621	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1025	Reegan Sunny Liang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F622	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1026	Hon Mee Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F623	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1027	Porteza Maria Elena L
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F624	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1028	Chiu Shun Yee Julia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F625	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1029	Mapiu Cyndi Tolentino
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F626	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1030	Eton Au
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F627	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1031	Tsang Yam Pui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F628	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1033	B Lam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F629	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1035	Juliee Yi

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F630	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1036	Lam Ka Shing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F631	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1037	Md Amunul Hogue
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F632	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1038	James Liang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F633	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1055	Meta Heni Lestari
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F634	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1056	Kasilag Manilyn Alday
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F635	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1057	Boado Koan Laron
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F636	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1058	Andrew So
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F637	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1059	Pang Siew Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F638	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1060	Yeung Ning Patricia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F639	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1061	Paul Kwong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F640	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1062	Giovanna Tso
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F641	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1063	Chan Simson Cheu Wok
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F642	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1064	Albert T Da Rosa Jr
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F643	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1065	Dorothy Tang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F644	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1066	Chang Wan Ki Derek
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F645	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1067	Choi Tik Ka Joanna
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F646	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1068	Tong Lam Claudia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F647	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1069	Yip Kam Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F648	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1070	Kenny Cheung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F649	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1111	Ip Choi Fung Ann
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F650	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1112	Chu Tak Wing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F651	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1113	Poon Tak Chong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F652	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1114	Fong Cheuk Ying Charmaine
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F653	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1115	Fung Yuk Wai Albert
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F654	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1116	Fung Cheuk Wing Charlene
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F655	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1118	Tsui Wai Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F656	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1125	Mak Wah Chi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F657	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1126	Kwok Chung Sum
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F658	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1133	Tung Kwai Pan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F659	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1134	Cheung Hoi Tung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F660	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1135	Cheng Chi Leung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F661	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1136	Chan Lap Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F662	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1137	Chau Kai Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F663	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1138	Chan Wong Koon Min Catherine
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F664	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1150	Wong Law Kwai Wan Karen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F665	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1151	Caroline Q Oliveros



申述編號 Representation No.	提交編號 Submission No.	申述人名稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F666	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1152 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1489	Wong Hon Kit
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F667	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1155	Leung Wai Yin Raymond
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F668	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1156	Lam Hiu Yu Haylie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F669	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1157	Chan Tsz Yin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F670	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1159	Lam Chun Ming Casper
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F671	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1166	Kong Michele
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F672	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1167	Lai Lik Fan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F673	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1168	Sinead Lai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F674	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1169	Glendon Lai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F675	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1170	Rwcyuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F676	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1171	Sunshine Yuen Wen Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F677	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1175	Lam Yuen Chi Frankie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F678	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1181	Chan Wing Yi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F679	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1183	Vivian Luk
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F680	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1184	Jason Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F681	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1185	Kaylen Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F682	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1186	Tse Pik Kei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F683	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1187	Lamport Lawrence Christopher
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F684	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1188	Lam Chock Hing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F685	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1189	Lam Mei Luen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F686	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1190	Lee Yick Wah Arthur
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F687	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1191	Lin JoFan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F688	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1192	Lam Mei Foon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F689	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1196	Eugenia Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F690	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1207	Wu Yu Robin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F691	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1208	Wu Qing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F692	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1209	Zou Yang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F693	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1210	Chan Shirley Suet Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F694	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1211	Chan Kim Sing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F695	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1212	Tang Sio In Janet
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F696	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1213	Hing Chi William Tang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F697	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1214	Poon Tik Fung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F698	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1215	Chu Siu Hung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F699	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1216	Chu Tin Yuet
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F700	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1217	Lee Man Kit Albert

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F701	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1218	Ho Lut Kei Louise
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F702	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1232	Chan Kit Yuen Jessie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F703	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1234	Leong Wing Jann Alvin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F704	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1235	Wun Yuet Fung Jeffery
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F705	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1236	Wun Yuet Yee Hilary
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F706	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1237	Ngai Man Na Helena
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F707	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1238	Tutik Eka Firnayanti
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F708	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1241	Lo Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F709	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1259	Tang Che Cheung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F710	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1260	Angel RNevado
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F711	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1263	Lee Kwok Leung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F712	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1264	Inoka Rahpah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F713	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1267	Yuen Ka Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F714	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1268	Lo Hung Shun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F715	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1270	Yeun Ka Yuk Carol
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F716	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1271	William Ong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F717	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1272	Wong Wai Leung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F718	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1273	Sally Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F719	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1274	Cheung Kim Yen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F720	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1286	Tam Man Tai Victor
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F721	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1289	Lui Shu Tung Tony
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F722	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1290	Yu Sui Ping
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F723	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1291	Lam Yiu Pang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F724	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1292	Lin Ho Yuke
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F725	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1293	Lai Siu Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F726	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1294	Matthew Chow Bo Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F727	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1295	Etienne Andre Nathanael Ferrere
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F728	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1296	Chan Wing Man Maureen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F729	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1297	Gerhard Moeller
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F730	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1300	Edna Beneditto
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F731	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1304	Tang Kit Yi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F732	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1305	Cheng Eleanor
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F733	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1306	Ashley Victoria Yue
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F734	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1307	Yue Chung Ning Dennis
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F735	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1308	Elizabeth Tse
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F736	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1309	Chiu Kai Sun

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F737	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1310	Tong Sou Tao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F738	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1311	Wong Kwok Cheung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F739	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1312	Lin Sui Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F740	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1313	張小葵
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F741	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1314	Lam Hok Chung Rainier
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F742	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1315	Chan Pollyawna Shui Kin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F743	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1318	Law Pui Han Rebecca
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F744	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1319	Lee See Him Nelson
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F745	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1320	Irene Sui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F746	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1321	Yip Man Yiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F747	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1322	Wijayati
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F748	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1324	Katrina Weewkoon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F749	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1325	Pang Suk ching
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F750	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1332	Tao Kar Wan Valerie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F751	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1333	Tao Cheng Kwan Woon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F752	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1334	Tsoi Kwai Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F753	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1335	Sai Yeung Colin Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F754	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1336	Gloria Li
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F755	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1337	Weerakoon Kam Chuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F756	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1339	Wong Kim Ping Rex
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F757	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1345	Chan Yuen Ling Monica
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F758	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1350	Huguet Alexandre
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F759	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1351	Liu Eva
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F760	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1355	Kwok Ka Fai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F761	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1357	Lau Sai Yung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F762	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1358	Fong Shuk Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F763	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1360	Jennifer Earnshaw
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F764	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1361	Lee Siu Wa Ivy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F765	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1363	Xie Pei Xi Phoebe
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F766	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1364	Hau Leo Violet
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F767	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1365	Fan Kit Tau Kitty
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F768	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1366	Lam Sing Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F769	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1367	Wong Po Kit
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F770	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1368	Jeslyn C Bangibang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F771	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1369	Lee Shuit Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F772	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1370	Lee Siu Yuk Hilda

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F773	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1371	Yeung Shun Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F774	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1372	Eric Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F775	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1373	Helen Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F776	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1374	Betty Kwun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F777	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1375	Stephanie Wai Chi Hui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F778	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1376	Catherine Wing Yee Tam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F779	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1377	Wong Kar Lei Vita
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F780	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1378	Kwok Chung Wang Justin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F781	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1379	Kwok Hong Wang Russell
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F782	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1380	Yaziman M
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F783	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1381	Wu Dor Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F784	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1383 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1872	So Suet Lai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F785	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1384	Au Yeung Mei Wah Josephine
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F786	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1385	Tsing Oliver Tseng
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F787	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1386	Jing Toby Tseng
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F788	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1390	Harash Channa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F789	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1395	Ng Kwok Keung Raymond
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F790	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1396	Yan Sau Fong Winnie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F791	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1398	Tan King Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F792	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1401	Wong Chak Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F793	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1403	Cara Mae Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F794	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1404	Chan King Lung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F795	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1405	Olive Palangyo Baguista
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F796	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1406	Connie Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F797	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1407	Cheng Sze Fung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F798	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1408	Yiu Shun Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F799	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1409	Cheng Kit Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F800	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1410	Cheng Chun Fai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F801	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1411	Cheng Sai Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F802	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1412	Cheng Siu Fai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F803	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1413	Wong Shiu Tsung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F804	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1414	Wong Lok Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F805	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1415	Lau Hong Chui Affred
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F806	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1416	Chow Ching Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F807	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1417	Tse Kit Ying

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F808	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1418	Rohayati
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F809	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1419	Safitri
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F810	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1420	Cheng Tsz Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F811	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1421	Cheung Yuen Ching
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F812	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1422	Cheng Yi Tung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F813	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1423	Khoiriyah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F814	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1424	Yiu Tsz Ling Helen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F815	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1425	Sy Lai Li
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F816	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1426	Yiu Ka Wam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F817	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1432	Kung Chau Wah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F818	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1433	Lau Ho Chun Jay
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F819	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1434	Remylyn T Calibuso
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F820	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1435	Cristie P Balanay
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F821	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1436	Lau Kam Yui Samuel
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F822	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1437	Wong Wing Chee Jacqueline
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F823	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1438	Wong Long Hei Adrian
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F824	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1439	Pang Yuk chee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F825	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1474	Ang Irene Li Rong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F826	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1475	Juvy M Elpedes
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F827	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1476	Arnold Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F828	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1477	Mary Ann A Tabance
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F829	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1478	Tseung Yee Paul
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F830	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1479	Chan Chor Kwong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F831	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1480	Yu Christopher Kin Leung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F832	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1481	Yu Katrina Lucy Wing Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F833	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1482	Chan Gilbert Ka Shing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F834	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1484	Chan Wing Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F835	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1487	Eugenia Wan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F836	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1492	So Wing Shing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F837	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1493	Yang Chia Shan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F838	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1494	Lai Chloe Chung Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F839	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1501	Chung Ling Chun Janet
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F840	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1502	Rumeta Diola Palem
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F841	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1503	Yuen Keith Sik Kee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F842	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1508	Mala K' Naunder Barber
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F843	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1509	Y C Chin

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F844	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1510	S S Lai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F845	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1511	W Y Chin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F846	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1512	W T Chin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F847	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1522	Yuen Trevor Cie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F848	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1523	Vanessa E Bernaldez
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F849	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1524	Tsang Yeuk Hang Evelyn
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F850	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1525	Maita Prodenciado Malobo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F851	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1526	Yuen Katrina Cie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F852	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1530	Que Dang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F853	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1535	Mak Pui Chi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F854	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1536	Ng Ka Kuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F855	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1537	Ng Sin Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F856	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1538	Ng Wan Chun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F857	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1539	Fong Sum Wight Geroge
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F858	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1540	Lo Kwai Heng Phyllis
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F859	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1542	E Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F860	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1543	Alan Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F861	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1547	Chow Kin Ming Alvin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F862	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1548	Janine Combalicer Elit
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F863	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1549	Nodelyn Loteno Sayson
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F864	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1550	Jaybie Bardulaga Barangan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F865	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1551	Chow Kak Yee Karly
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F866	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1552	Jessie Nginhena Dulnuan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F867	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1553	Cristina Aresnal Alamil
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F868	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1554	Lee Wing Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F869	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1558	Myrna Chiang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F870	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1559	Mak Po Chi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F871	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1560	Howard Kui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F872	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1561	Debbie Kui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F873	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1562	Cheung Hei Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F874	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1563	Lam Si Wing Kristen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F875	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1564	Wong Pui Yung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F876	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1565	Kui Suk Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F877	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1566	Tam Ka kei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F878	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1567	Candace Lam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F879	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1568	Lam Sing Hin Tony

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F880	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1569	Alicia Taqupa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F881	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1570	Lam Yee Kwan Helen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F882	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1571	Paz Mina
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F883	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1572	Chu Wai Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F884	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1573	Lam Yuk Tong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F885	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1574	Eed Shen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F886	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1575	Maia Tam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F887	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1576	Retebelle Aquelo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F888	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1577	Rebecca R Sanguir
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F889	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1578	Liliboth Gonia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F890	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1579	Annalie Pentocan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F891	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1580	Manical M Mannillo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F892	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1581	Melanic Risos Yn
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F893	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1635	To Kai Tsun Michael
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F894	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1636	Wong Wai Yee Eleanor
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F895	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1637	Erick Joi D Catinody
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F896	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1638	Nina Roan A Suganob
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F897	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1639	Anipah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F898	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1640	Rowena Patiag
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F899	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1641	Analyn Calawigan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F900	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1642	Arini Dwi Mailani
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F901	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1643	E Lacaba
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F902	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1644	Liu Hong Lun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F903	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1645	Haresh Harry Sakhrani
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F904	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1646	Sakhrani Nita Haresh
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F905	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1647	Trishna Haresh Sakhrani
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F906	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1648	Charlet D Penarubia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F907	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1649	Conupcim Lovell P Dy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F908	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1650	Shau Bun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F909	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1651	Rebecca T Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F910	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1652	Fung Yu Sum
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F911	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1653	Canoy Gerardus Maria H A
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F912	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1654	Ng Sau Han Monica
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F913	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1655	Pantoja Rosemarie E
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F914	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1656	Iu Weng Chi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F915	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1657	Linda Ralph

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F916	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1658	Iu May
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F917	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1659	Benigna H Basilio
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F918	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1660	Ivy R Shbbaluca
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F919	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1661	Maegan Ralph
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F920	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1662	Stephen Ralph
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F921	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1663	Hannah Ralph
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F922	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1664	Iu Weng Shan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F923	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1665	Patricia Tong Hoi Kei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F924	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1666	Koo Sheung Chi Kenneth
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F925	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1667	Refani Alma Domingo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F926	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1668	Charu Mathur
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F927	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1669	Chong Triton Oswin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F928	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1670	Decano Agnes G
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F929	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1671	Cheung Ngai Pui Effie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F930	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1672	Ragos Gemini Navarra
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F931	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1673	Chong Wing To
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F932	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1674	Siu Huen Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F933	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1675	Shayan Puri
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F934	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1676	Sungit Puri
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F935	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1677	Puri Nishka
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F936	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1678	Poon Yuet Mui Vivien
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F937	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1679	Pang Allen Yuk Leung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F938	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1680	Karen Pang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F939	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1681	方許
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F940	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1682	Janet Poh
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F941	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1683	Rachel Choy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F942	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1684	Ethan Choy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F943	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1685	Sham Yen Guo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F944	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1686	Helen Young
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F945	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1687	Alan Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F946	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1688	Pauline Yung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F947	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1689	Chow Kwok Po man Lina
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F948	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1690	Ma Mary Ann
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F949	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1691	Lee Man Yi Vivien
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F950	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1692	Zu Yao Jiang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F951	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1693	Chang Bo Wai Bobby



申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F952	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1694	Alexander Liu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F953	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1695	Jennifer Ambnaao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F954	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1696	Vanessa H Merano
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F955	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1697	Maricis Dian Mareno
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F956	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1698	Mancurn Saballeno
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F957	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1699	Fredo Morales
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F958	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1700	Ma Bella Adolfo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F959	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1701	Lyndie A Roquero
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F960	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1702	Lam Kit Sum Anna
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F961	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1703	Taylor Jyh Chern Tam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F962	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1704	Asawka Samaranavake
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F963	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1705	Kristine Glou P Concepciou
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F964	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1706	Lam Cheuk Hang Sunny
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F965	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1707	Patiag Rowena Ramos
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F966	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1708	Lee Ming Shum Maria
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F967	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1709	Myla Bautista
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F968	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1710	Ngan Toi Yuk
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F969	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1711	Poon Lai King
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F970	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1712	Yousuf Ahmed Awan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F971	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1713	Ismael Ahmed Awan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F972	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1714	Saleem Ayesha
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F973	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1715	Saleem Ahmed Shahzada
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F974	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1716	Khadijah Awan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F975	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1717	Tso Wai Han Grace
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F976	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1718	Sin Suk Fun Fanny
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F977	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1719	Awan Jalal Haider Ali
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F978	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1720	Foo B S
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F979	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1721	C N Monthienvichienchai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F980	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1722	Foo T K
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F981	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1723	Yeo Yee Hau Tristan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F982	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1724	Yeo Yee Keat Callan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F983	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1725	Fabro Annaliza Jogo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F984	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1726	Olores Jonalyn Baculi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F985	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1727	Lee Yau Nang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F986	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1728	Patricia Yeung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F987	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1729	Tam Lok Kwan Daniel

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F988	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1730	Tam Franklin Joseph
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F989	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1731	Tam Sophia Jacqueline
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F990	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1732	Jovelyn L Simsim
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F991	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1733	Man Chiu Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F992	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1734	Wu Yuk Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F993	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1735	Wong Kwai Fun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F994	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1736	Toong Darrel See Jeun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F995	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1737	L P Samaranayake
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F996	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1738	Dilani Samaranayake
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F997	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1739	Yuthika H Samaranayake
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F998	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1740	Samanthi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F999	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1741	Yeo Yee Hong Branoon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1000	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1742	Ng Kwai Foon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1001	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1743	Lee Chee Ling Sharon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1002	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1744	Yeo Keng Swee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1003	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1745	Kristi Ng
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1004	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1746	Austin Au
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1005	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1747	Jos Au
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1006	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1748	Michelle Ng
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1007	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1749	Warniah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1008	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1750	Cheuk Ching Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1009	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1751	Chan Wing Man Bonnie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1010	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1752	Lin Pak Sang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1011	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1753	Lin Ching Pui Kenny
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1012	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1754	Lin Pak Shing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1013	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1755	Suyo Nenifa M
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1014	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1756	A Manglik
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1015	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1757	Wong Ming Wai Mike
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1016	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1758	Liu Frederick Thmoas
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1017	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1759	Lim Ching Yee Julie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1018	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1760	Vina Rojo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1019	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1761	Dliver B Vloria
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1020	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1818	Chan Ning See
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1021	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1819	Lui Wai Hei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1022	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1820	Ngan Sau Wai Elly
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1023	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1821	Fung Ching Yee

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1024	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1822	Hon Wai Man Catherine
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1025	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1823	Lam Suk Yam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1026	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1824	Brillantes Manilyn Blaza
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1027	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1825	Ho Siu Wah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1028	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1826	Rosario D Feniza
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1029	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1827	Isabella Min Yi Tan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1030	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1828	Lui Cheuk Lan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1031	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1829	Lui Siu Fung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1032	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1831	Lam Yuk Lin Eliza
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1033	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1832	Chui Tony Ka Tung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1034	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1833	Gonzaga Vanessa Joy Canicco
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1035	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1834	Leung Wing See Miranda
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1036	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1835	Tang Ji Wei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1037	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1836	Aaron Tang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1038	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1837	Adoracion Eusta
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1039	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1838	Ho Hang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1040	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1839	Ho Shu Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1041	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1840	Siu Wai Kwan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1042	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1841	Mary Ng
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1043	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1842	Tse Chun On
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1044	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1843	Mak Pui Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1045	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1844	Mak Yuk Kwan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1046	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1845	Chan Yuk Sim
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1047	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1846	Lau Mei Sun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1048	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1847	Ingrid Faith Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1049	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1848	Marilun Cormmal
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1050	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1849	Virginia Buen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1051	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1850	Lam Pei Wayne
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1052	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1851	Lau Wing Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1053	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1852	Chow Bick Ha
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1054	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1853	Lau Ming Chiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1055	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1854	Winnie Lau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1056	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1855	Devi Susiani
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1057	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1857	Xamie Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1058	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1858	Lam Yiu Shun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1059	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1859	Lam Kit Ming

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1060	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1861	Zenaida A Alagao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1061	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1862	Wong Shiu Keung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1062	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1863	Wong Wing Sum
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1063	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1864	Jim Sau Har Josephine
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1064	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1865	Ashley Nolan Keung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1065	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1866	Veronica Lee Tan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1066	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1867	Chan Kwok Yin Andrew
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1067	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1871	Yat Quan Tan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1068	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1873	Sandra Collins De Lange
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1069	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1874	De Lange Daniel Donald
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1070	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1875	Philip Saran
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1071	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1880	Kwok Ching Him
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1072	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1881	Yue Chor Man Rhoda
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1073	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1882	Kwok Luk Ting
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1074	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1885	Benosa Jennifer Atupon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1075	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1887	Kwok Tai Shun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1076	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1897	Kwan Yan Lai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1077	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1899	Ip Chi Him Jimmy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1078	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1900	Wong Nga Sze
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1079	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1901	Chung Lan Chun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1080	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1902	Frank H Fu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1081	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S9	Lo Seen Tsing Sue
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1082	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S10	Lui Sing Leung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1083	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S20	楊少雄
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1084	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S21	鄧育昀
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1085	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S35	劉志堅
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1086	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S36	梁傑婷
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1087	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S54	陳秀英
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1088	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S58	Wong Yuk Yin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1089	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S59	Wong Kam Yin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1090	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S60	Wong Yuk Chun Priscilia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1091	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S61	Wong So Kiu Shirley
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1092	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S76	Hu Wing Sze Alice
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1093	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S97	Huen Chun Man Michael
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1094	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S118	Chui Man Wah

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1095	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S139 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S415	王亦康
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1096	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S140 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S416	王若伊
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1097	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S141 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S417	王穎
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1098	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S152	陳瑞濃
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1099	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S153	陳秀琮
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1100	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S154	高月梅
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1101	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S155	何在碧
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1102	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S156	黃艷清
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1103	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S157	江美蘭
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1104	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S158	賴桂珍
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1105	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S159	Li Xian Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1106	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S160	李婉華
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1107	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S161	李香琮
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1108	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S162	梁珍穗
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1109	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S163	Lu Caiye
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1110	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S164	盧鳳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1111	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S165	呂靜菊
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1112	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S166	麥英偉
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1113	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S167	唐美玲
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1114	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S168	葉春杏
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1115	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S169	羅麗芳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1116	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S170	Cheung King Lun Alan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1117	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S171	Wong Suk Han
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1118	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S172	Chan Yuk Yin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1119	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S173	Wong Choi Wah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1120	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S174	Wong Choi Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1121	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S175	Chan Baak Nin Nathan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1122	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S176	Cheung So Fan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1123	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S177	Au Cheuk Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1124	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S178	Tsung Ching Fung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1125	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S179	Tsoi Kam Mun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1126	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S180	Tsang Fat Kuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1127	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S181	Chan Chui Yu Barbar

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1128	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S182	Lian You Leung Hu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1129	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S183	Lian Jie Qun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1130	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S184	Liu Ching Har
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1131	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S185	Leung Yuet Ngan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1132	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S186	Leung Ki Kwai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1133	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S187	Lam Kai Hing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1134	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S188	Liu Jihn Hua
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1135	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S189	Nip Wai Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1136	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S190	Lo Chan Lan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1137	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S191	Luk Hing Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1138	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S192	Ou Yang Yan Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1139	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S193	Chin Yin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1140	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S194	Au Mei Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1141	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S195	Sze To Yuet Sheung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1142	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S196	Qin Jian Lian
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1143	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S197	Yi Jie Zhen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1144	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S198	Chiu Chim Ping
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1145	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S199	覃諫昭
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1146	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S200	譚雪梅
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1147	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S201	田秀莉
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1148	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S203	易潔華
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1149	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S204	葉玉珍
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1150	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S205	葉惠泉
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1151	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S206	楊曉玉
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1152	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S207	閻金玲
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1153	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S209	謝婉霞
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1154	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S266	張碧霞
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1155	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S267 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1223	羅偉健
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1156	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S268	Tak King Sun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1157	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S270	Lam Mun Yee Anita
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1158	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S273	Jenjamin Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1159	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S275	Chiang Bun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1160	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S276	胡兆平
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1161	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S279	徐舒燕
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1162	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S281	黃偉奇

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1163	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S284	司徒達坤
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1164	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S287	范梅英
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1165	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S288	李朽萍
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1166	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S294	Yuen Chak Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1167	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S295	Cindy Leung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1168	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S296	李思明
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1169	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S297	Alex Wan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1170	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S298	Chan Yin Ping
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1171	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S299	Tsz Kit Ting
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1172	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S300	Lam Kit Sum
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1173	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S301	Au Kan Yuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1174	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S302	Ma Shi Long
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1175	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S303	Yuen Wai Chun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1176	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S304	Cheung Ka Lok
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1177	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S305	Fu Siu Kin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1178	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S306	Fu Man Chi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1179	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S307	Wong Chi Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1180	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S308	Chow Kwok Fung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1181	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S309	Lin Hong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1182	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S310	Wong Wai Him
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1183	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S311	Mak Yung Hin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1184	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S312	Nam Sun Cheuk
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1185	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S313	Tong Ho Tang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1186	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S314	Tsang Yuen Pan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1187	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S315	Ho Chi Sum
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1188	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S316	Wong Chun Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1189	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S317	Lau Kwok Hang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1190	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S318	Lam Chi Hin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1191	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S365	Lui Chi Chiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1192	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S463	Kwok Chi Mo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1193	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S464	伍傳琳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1194	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S465	崔潔雯
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1195	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S466	洪啟峰
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1196	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S467	伍世杰
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1197	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S468	伍灝軒
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1198	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S469	伍灝轅

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1199	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S470	Cheung Shi Gaii Sky
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1200	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S471	林國籌
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1201	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S472	林家聰
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1202	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S473	洪筠荇
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1203	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S474	陳堯堃
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1204	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S475	林清秀
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1205	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S476	Ng Kit Heong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1206	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S477	Lam Ying Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1207	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S478	Cheung Keung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1208	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S501	Cheung Yat Kwan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1209	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S502	詹婉嫻
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1210	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S503 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S535	Cheung Wai Han
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1211	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S529	Ken Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1212	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S530	Samson Tse
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1213	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S531	陳苑婷
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1214	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S536	陳炳材
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1215	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S537	Ho Ka Leung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1216	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S538	Ng Kwan Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1217	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S539	王銘澤
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1218	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S540	Wong Cheuk Sin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1219	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S541	Wong Ping Shing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1220	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S542	Mak Lai Yee Kitty
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1221	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S543	施蘊珊
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1222	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S544	Lam N Yat
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1223	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S545	Costales Jona Fe Dilan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1224	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S546	Wong Ying Jeun Emma
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1225	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S547	Wong Yin Nok Eloy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1226	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S548	Chan Yin Ling Anna
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1227	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S549	Chan Kai Yam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1228	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S550	Leung Po Wing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1229	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S551	Leung Yat Yin Ronald
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1230	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S556	Wong Hiu Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1231	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S557	William Kuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1232	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S559	Hsu To Hing Dora
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1233	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S560	Woo Sai Ko



申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1234	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S562	Ma Wai Man Anita
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1235	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S563	Chan Ka Lon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1236	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S565	廖文賓
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1237	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S566	Ma Yu Fai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1238	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S568	李少芬
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1239	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S569	Qian Xiaojun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1240	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S570	曾朝駿
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1241	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S571	Fung Wing Kam Tony
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1242	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S572	馮馬月笑
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1243	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S573	Fund Ka Chung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1244	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S579	Chiu Hui May Lun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1245	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S580	饒乃淞
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1246	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S581	黃業玉
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1247	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S585	甄焯欽
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1248	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S590	Wong Chui Ngor
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1249	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S591	Linda Shui Man Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1250	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S595	林達明
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1251	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S596	June Mauitt
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1252	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S597	K F Lam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1253	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S598	Lam Ging Li
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1254	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S602	Fu Chi Shen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1255	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S603	張玉泉
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1256	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S604	Lai Hin Wing Henry
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1257	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S605	Mok Chi Bui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1258	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S606	Wasila
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1259	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S607	黃銀瑞
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1260	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S608	何衍賜
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1261	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S609	Ding Xiu Min
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1262	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S610	Su Hua Chang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1263	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S611	杜林林
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1264	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S612	杜健
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1265	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S613	邵文波
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1266	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S614	楊子文
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1267	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S615	Li Wai Kam Irene
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1268	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S616	李桂霞
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1269	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S617	Tsang Chang Hawk Shu Betty

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1270	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S618	Lowe Hoh Wai Wan Vivien
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1271	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S619	Chui Kwai Fun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1272	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S620	黃麗珠
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1273	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S621	曾啟欣
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1274	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S622	吳漢文
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1275	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S623	David Mi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1276	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S624	常婷婷
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1277	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S625	何馮燕
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1278	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S626	趙淑明
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1279	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S627	Cheng Kin Chow Tony
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1280	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S628	Lee Kok Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1281	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S629	葉杏茹
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1282	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S630	Lai Shin Joam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1283	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S631	李勞淑君
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1284	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S632	Yu Oi Wun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1285	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S633	李明揚
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1286	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S634	鄭禮倫
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1287	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S635	Yau Tsoi Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1288	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S636	鄧德明
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1289	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S637	梁漢平
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1290	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S638	Lau Hin Sing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1291	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S639	黃德成
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1292	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S641	C W Pang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1293	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S642	Cheng Wai Na
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1294	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S643	Tam Kwong Wang Alan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1295	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S665	Melinda Safitri
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1296	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S666	Leung Chun Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1297	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S667	Racquel Sanchez
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1298	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S668	Lok Lan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1299	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S669	Tsui Chung Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1300	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S670	李文偉
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1301	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S671	Maggie Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1302	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S672	Aaron Lam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1303	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S673	Arran Wai Fung Kwan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1304	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S674	Chan Yuen Mi Nancy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1305	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S675	Chau Stephanie

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1306	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S676	Matthew Lam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1307	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S677	葉康年
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1308	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S678	程長安
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1309	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S679	陳金碧
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1310	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S680	Ma Ching Fung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1311	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S681	Ku Ho Yin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1312	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S682	馮志榮
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1313	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S683	勞永華
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1314	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S684	陳建平
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1315	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S685	徐龍飛
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1316	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S686	Chui Yuk Yi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1317	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S687	Ada Hon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1318	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S701	Lee Ming Hon Edward
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1319	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S702	譚適明
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1320	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S703	Choi Chor Wah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1321	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S704	Wong Tat Chung Matthew
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1322	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S705	Chu Wing Yiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1323	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S706	李翠蓮
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1324	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S707	Cheng Ka Ki
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1325	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S708	柯希正
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1326	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S709	Lam Poon Ro Chu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1327	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S710	Wong Chun Hei Jonathan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1328	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S711	Amdiar
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1329	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S713	林綺華
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1330	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S714	Or Yuk Shing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1331	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S715	Lee Sai Po
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1332	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S716	劉家暄
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1333	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S717	朱賢凱
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1334	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S718	荀永禪
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1335	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S719	刘宝东
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1336	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S720	Dwi Ratna Wati
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1337	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S721	Matthew Tong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1338	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S722	Nicholas Fong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1339	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S723	Siu Wai Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1340	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S724	吳偉俊
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1341	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S725	梁志明

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1342	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S726	Lee Shek Kiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1343	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S727	Sham Chung Ping Alain
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1344	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S728	Cheung Wai Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1345	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S729	Tong Alex Chak On
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1346	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S730	朱雪云
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1347	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S731	梁耀基
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1348	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S732	Donald Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1349	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S733	Hui Ming Fai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1350	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S734	林智毅
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1351	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S735	Ko Chi Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1352	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S736	Cheuk Siu Ling Elsa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1353	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S737	Cheng Yi Yim Amy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1354	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S738	Chan Yum Mou
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1355	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S739	Or Sik Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1356	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S740	Chow Andrew Edward Chun Hong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1357	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S741	Belinda Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1358	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S803	陳錦雄
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1359	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S804	Yu Wing Chung Ringo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1360	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S818	Leung Wing Kee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1361	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S822	林輝耀
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1362	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S823	何偉仁
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1363	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S824	單才
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1364	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S836	Chan Kin Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1365	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S840	Chow She Yuk Francis
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1366	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S841	Chan Hui Loren
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1367	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S842	Tsang Pang Sum Joe
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1368	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S843	曾朝澗
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1369	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S853	葉希瞳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1370	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S858	黎一鳴
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1371	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S859	黎曹京
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1372	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S860	Or Ho Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1373	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S861	黃許韻菁
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1374	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S864	Li Po Wing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1375	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S865	Li Koh Siu Yung Nancy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1376	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S866	Lo Siu Wing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1377	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S867	Chen Wai Kwan

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1378	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S870	Chow Hung Shen Andrew
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1379	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S871	陳錫傳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1380	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S879	Wong Lok Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1381	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S880	Hu Mei Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1382	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S881	Leung Kwo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1383	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S884	Chan Long Yuet
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1384	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S885	Chan Long Yat
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1385	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S886	Chan Sai Chung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1386	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S887	Wong Man Sze
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1387	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S888	Kwong Mee Kuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1388	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S889	Chan Yuen Lung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1389	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S890	Kwong Mei Nor
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1390	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S893	謝紹豪
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1391	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S900	黃燕兒
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1392	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S901	陳永超
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1393	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S902	Chan Sin Wa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1394	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S910	Wong Shuk Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1395	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S913	盧玉珍
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1396	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S914	陳卓倫
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1397	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S915	Cecilia Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1398	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S916	Li Kwok Hung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1399	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S917	Genoguim Masites Gardose
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1400	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S944	Leung Ka Fai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1401	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S945	Leung Suet King
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1402	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S948	Ng Yuet Hang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1403	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S949	Ng Yuet Tin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1404	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S950	Ng Sai Tak
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1405	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S954	Wan Pik Kau Jolly
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1406	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S955	劉惠明
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1407	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S956	尹碧玲
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1408	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S958	Lam Kayla Yi Kiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1409	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S959	Lam Kieran Yi Ching
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1410	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S960	Chan Ming Hung Ben
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1411	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S973	Lee Sze Kit
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1412	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S974	Siti
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1413	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S979	林倪萍

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1414	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S980	黎子傑
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1415	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S981	黎栢鋌
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1416	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S982	歐陽敏儀
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1417	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S984	Jessica Flores
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1418	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S985	陳靜屏
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1419	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S988	Guruneg Maya
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1420	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S989	Yau Ka Yi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1421	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S990	Chan Cui Ho Christopher
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1422	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S991	Sophie Chiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1423	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S992	Benny Chiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1424	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S993	Mimi Cheng Mei Mei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1425	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S994	陳麗琴
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1426	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S995	Robert C Noble
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1427	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S996	Mom Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1428	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S997	Tsang Chung Chuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1429	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S998	林采容
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1430	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S999	張秀芳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1431	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1000	Lee Wing Or
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1432	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1039	李銳培
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1433	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1040	Wong Ingrid Chi Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1434	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1041	Lee Sin Yi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1435	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1042	Lee Patrick Pui Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1436	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1043	Kwan May Yin Jennifer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1437	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1044	Sze So Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1438	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1045	Kwan Kay Ho Kelvin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1439	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1046	Austin Meng Fung Kwan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1440	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1047	Chiu Sin Po Eddie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1441	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1048	Sze Wing Kan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1442	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1049	Lam Ka Chi Samual
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1443	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1050	Nathan Lam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1444	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1051	Chan Wan Shuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1445	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1052	Cheung Ho Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1446	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1053	Wong Lai San Liza
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1447	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1054	呂建威
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1448	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1071	Lau Yi Bing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1449	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1072	Lai Chi Kin

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1450	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1073	張沛豪
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1451	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1074	Lam Lap
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1452	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1075	葉淑芬
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1453	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1076	Chan Kim Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1454	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1077	張一飛
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1455	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1078	何依飛
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1456	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1079	鄭鎮勝
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1457	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1080	張飛杏
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1458	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1081	Gurung Suraj
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1459	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1082	許嘉揚
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1460	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1083	陳俊舜
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1461	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1084	吳冠霆
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1462	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1085	李樂樂
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1463	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1086	鄭志佳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1464	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1087	Chong Cheung Chun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1465	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1088	文艷芳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1466	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1089	張寺丹
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1467	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1090	黃小梅
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1468	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1091	Lai Ka Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1469	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1092	王繼平
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1470	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1093	路來發
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1471	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1094	何錦華
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1472	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1095	江通海
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1473	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1096	鄧國華
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1474	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1097	劉昌華
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1475	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1098	黃照財
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1476	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1099	李廣才
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1477	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1100	孫天保
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1478	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1101	Lam Long Sum Raphael
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1479	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1102	Chan Kui Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1480	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1103	張碩元
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1481	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1104	Wong Yee Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1482	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1105	Ng Mei Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1483	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1106	Chan Sin Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1484	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1107	Kevin Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1485	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1108	Wong Wing Nga

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1486	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1109	Chan Shuk Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1487	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1110	Chan Yuen Sang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1488	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1117	簡錦芳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1489	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1119	Rahayuningsih
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1490	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1120	Chan Kin Sang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1491	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1121	Cheung Ka Yin Chloe
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1492	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1122	Lucas Cheung Tze Wing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1493	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1123	Cheung Ka Ying Christie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1494	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1124	朱秀英
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1495	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1127	Lam Sai Kit
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1496	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1128	Wong Wing Fai Ronnie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1497	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1129	Lau Wing Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1498	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1130	沈振文
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1499	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1131	Tung Mei Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1500	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1139	Wong Tsang Wai Lin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1501	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1140	Isbanan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1502	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1141	Leung Shui Wan Diana
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1503	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1142	Ng Shun Hing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1504	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1143	Lam Hon Keung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1505	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1146	Chow Suk Wah Tina
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1506	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1147	Lee On Nei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1507	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1148	Ho Yau Ting Bennett
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1508	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1149	Perlas Villamer Rodnter
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1509	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1153	Leung Pik Kwan Michelle
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1510	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1154	Samantha Kate Leung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1511	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1158	Ip Kei Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1512	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1160	Hui Sau Kuen Memory
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1513	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1161	Ip Ka Nam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1514	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1162	Ip Kei Yau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1515	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1163	Ho Man Ho Michael
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1516	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1164	Jocelyn Roduta Tiglao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1517	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1165	Ip Kwong Fat Nandi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1518	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1172	袁趙苑玲
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1519	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1173	袁允正
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1520	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1174	張桂芬
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1521	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1176	Kwan Yee Ting Gabriel



申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1522	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1177	Lam Wan Kei Jessamine
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1523	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1178	Lau Yin Hei Jonathan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1524	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1179	Cheung Kam Sou
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1525	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1180	Cheuk Ka Way
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1526	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1182	陳偉江
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1527	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1193	Lau Kwong Wang Joshua
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1528	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1194	Chung On Yee Annie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1529	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1195	Liu Dong Mei Amy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1530	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1197	Poon Leung Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1531	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1198	Lung Ada Lai Qing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1532	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1199	Wong Wing Yin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1533	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1201	Tong Kin Lim
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1534	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1202	Chan Yat Hei Kirean
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1535	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1203	Yau Sheung Kam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1536	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1204	Chan Kwok Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1537	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1205	Chan Man Kiu Rachel
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1538	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1206	Susanna Yu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1539	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1219	Viola Tung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1540	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1220	譚秉輝
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1541	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1221	吳浩然
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1542	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1222	黃瑩
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1543	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1224	Betty Ip
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1544	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1225	Sandra Ip
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1545	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1226	Simon Kwong Cheung Ip
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1546	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1228	Bosco Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1547	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1229	Au Chi Tak
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1548	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1230	Tommy Leung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1549	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1231	Cyrus LK
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1550	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1233	Roldan Maybelaine Marana
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1551	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1239	劉湘
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1552	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1240	盧卓翎
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1553	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1242	孫啟智
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1554	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1243	司婷婷
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1555	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1244	Chow Tak Wah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1556	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1245	Yim Chi Kin Edmund
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1557	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1246	Violet Chin

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1558	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1247	Tkina Rachel Hwang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1559	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1248	Maria Tong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1560	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1249	Dorothy Chin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1561	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1250	葉寶娣
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1562	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1251	Ip Yun Tai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1563	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1252	Yip Yuk Ping
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1564	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1253	Ip Kwong Moon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1565	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1254	Tse Kit Ha
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1566	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1255	葉廣堂
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1567	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1256	Ip Ying Fung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1568	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1257	Ip Hoi Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1569	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1258	Yip Kwong Fuk
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1570	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1261	李慕霞
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1571	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1262	譚婉君
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1572	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1265	Cheung Kit Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1573	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1266	K S Lai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1574	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1269	Chiu Lui Yun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1575	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1275	盧陳慕貞
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1576	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1276	Ng Ching Wa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1577	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1277	Ng Cheuk Wan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1578	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1278	Ng Kwok Kei
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1579	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1279	Maggie Chiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1580	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1280	Lun Yee Kai Angel
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1581	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1281	Cheung Po Kuen Michael
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1582	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1282	Cheung Log G Maegan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1583	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1283	倫伯熙
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1584	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1284	Kong Ming Chui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1585	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1285	Frederick Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1586	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1287	Chan Ping Wing Denis
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1587	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1288	Gurung Dhan Bahadur
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1588	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1298	Leung Yuet Wah
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1589	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1299	Choi Kam Lung Kenneth
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1590	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1301	Man Sing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1591	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1302	Liu Oi To Carmen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1592	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1303	Winnie Pau
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1593	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1316	Wong Tsz Chun Jasper

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1594	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1317	Wong Ka Lam Ophelia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1595	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1323	Tang W Yuam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1596	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1326	Wun Ho Keung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1597	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1327	Yar Lai Ngor Petty
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1598	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1328	Wong Chun Wing Alfred
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1599	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1329	Wong Shek Pui Steven
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1600	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1330	Chiu Chi Kai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1601	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1331	Adrian
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1602	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1338	Wong Ka Ching Katrina
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1603	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1340	Fung Mei Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1604	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1341	Liu Wai Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1605	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1342	Leung Ka Fai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1606	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1343	葉少佳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1607	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1344	歐志德
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1608	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1346	潘啟龍
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1609	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1347	林明正
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1610	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1348	陳永達
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1611	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1349	Yan Sun Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1612	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1352	Hins Kwok
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1613	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1353	Charmaine Kwok
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1614	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1354	Lai Wing Kam April
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1615	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1356	郭千渝
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1616	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1359	Liu Li Shan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1617	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1427	Wong Sik Wai Joyce
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1618	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1428	Leung Man Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1619	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1429	Wong Sik Yu Marilyn
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1620	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1430	Wong Kwok Kit
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1621	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1431	劉孟璣
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1622	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1440	Lau Yin Yu Lisa
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1623	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1441	Leung Hei Yan Crystal
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1624	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1442	Tang Po Shan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1625	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1445	Yamini
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1626	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1446	Lee Ching Tim
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1627	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1447	林美富
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1628	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1448	Adolf Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1629	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1449	吳靄雯

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1630	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1450	吳靄桑
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1631	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1451	張惠芳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1632	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1452	吳桂東
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1633	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1453	Fung Chun Yu Andy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1634	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1454	區李鳳和
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1635	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1455	Kam Mung Lai Anna
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1636	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1456	Alysse Yiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1637	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1457	Trissie Yiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1638	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1458	Chin Hoi Yun Karen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1639	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1459	Quentin Yiu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1640	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1460	Yeung Yee Ha
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1641	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1461	姚永鍵
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1642	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1462	See Ho Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1643	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1463	Lam Sing Chee Margaret
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1644	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1464	冼太
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1645	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1465	Lau Chiu Ha
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1646	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1466	Ng Yim Ching
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1647	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1467	Ng Yuk Hay
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1648	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1468	姚世明
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1649	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1469	Yeung Sin Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1650	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1471	Leung Chi Ling Tiffany
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1651	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1472	Ng Kar Fai Pamela
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1652	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1473	Leung Chung Yin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1653	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1483	Fok Siu Yin Stella
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1654	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1495	SW Li
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1655	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1504	方文光
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1656	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1505	Chai Lai Ching Angela
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1657	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1506	Lai Queenie Hin Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1658	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1507	Lai Kwok Lam Harold
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1659	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1513	Ng Wing Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1660	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1514	鄭建業
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1661	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1515	Tsang Suk Han
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1662	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1516	Ophelia Chont
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1663	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1518	溫惠媚
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1664	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1519	方俊明
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1665	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1520	Loah Cheng

申述編號 Representation No.	提交編號 Submission No.	申述人名稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1666	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1521	Yuen Melissa Cie
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1667	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1527	To Kwai Fung Anthony
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1668	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1528	To Chak Fai Ambrose
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1669	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1529	To Chak Hei Andrew
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1670	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1531	吳廣林
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1671	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1532	Cheng Kwok Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1672	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1533	Cheng Foot Si
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1673	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1534	Pong Chi Kin Joshua
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1674	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1541	陳用
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1675	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1544	Jacky Chien
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1676	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1545	Lam Yin Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1677	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1546	Sandy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1678	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1555	Lam Nam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1679	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1556	Chan Chung Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1680	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1557	Liu Man Lua
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1681	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1582	Kwok Wai Kwan Amy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1682	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1583	Tang Wai Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1683	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1584	Joanna Gosome
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1684	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1585	Kathleen Mei Tumamao
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1685	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1586	Chan Fuk To
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1686	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1587	杜紫菱
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1687	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1588	Connie Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1688	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1589	Desmond So
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1689	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1590	Darren So
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1690	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1591	Shen Yo Yo
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1691	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1592	Tse Nova Timldtt
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1692	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1593	葉建華
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1693	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1594	連慧嫻
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1694	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1595	葉立橋
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1695	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1596	葉心橋
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1696	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1597	Ngatemi
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1697	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1598	Cara Tam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1698	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1599	鄭劍河
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1699	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1600	Leung Yiu Lam Simon
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1700	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1601	陳春梅
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1701	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1602	Chan Po Ki Annie

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1702	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1603	羅秀芳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1703	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1604	Chiu Cheung Mui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1704	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1605	朱偉亮
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1705	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1606	赵万春
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1706	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1607	Tsang Hing Kai Kidd
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1707	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1608	Wong Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1708	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1609	Ng Cheung Chong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1709	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1610	Ng Ka Fai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1710	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1611	沈鉅騏
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1711	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1612	Wong Wun Lim
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1712	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1613	王海燕
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1713	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1614	Yeung Ka Shing
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1714	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1615	沈永林
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1715	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1616	沈柏豪
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1716	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1617	Ng Wai Kee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1717	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1618	梁德華
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1718	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1619	陳祖傑
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1719	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1620	Ng Tsz On
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1720	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1621	Lau Tat Yuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1721	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1622	Cho Sau Han Betty
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1722	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1623	黃志雄
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1723	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1624	黃天恩
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1724	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1625	黃益樂
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1725	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1626	藍兆慧
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1726	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1627	邵鍾杰
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1727	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1628	Yeung Sum
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1728	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1629	王騰聰
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1729	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1630	鍾芷穎
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1730	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1631	鍾永期
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1731	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1632	許韻玲
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1732	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1634	Hui Tung Hing Jasper
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1733	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1762	Chan Keung Lai Jonathan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1734	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1763	方惠玲
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1735	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1764	Teresa Libalib
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1736	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1765	Yeung Yin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1737	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1766	Ko Kam

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1738	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1767	羅世源
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1739	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1768	武翠華
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1740	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1769	何沛欣
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1741	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1770	何達明
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1742	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1771	何琪欣
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1743	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1772	Law Kar Na Elizabeth
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1744	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1773	Cheung Wan Ho
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1745	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1774	陸晞晴
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1746	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1775	陸晞瞳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1747	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1776	何家瑜
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1748	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1777	何家琪
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1749	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1778	鄧慧齡
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1750	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1779	Yau Wai Yee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1751	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1780	馬志明
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1752	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1781	譚宇嫻
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1753	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1782	譚克競
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1754	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1783	譚宇信
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1755	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1784	譚宇謙
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1756	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1785	譚宇妍
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1757	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1786	黃淑貞
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1758	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1787	Cheung Kam Mei Helen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1759	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1788	Maria Chan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1760	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1789	Joseph Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1761	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1790	Eric Wong
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1762	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1791	Liu Ching Han Rosannia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1763	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1792 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1803 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1805	Benjamin Tang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1764	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1793	Kwan Wan Yeung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1765	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1794	胡傑盈
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1766	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1795	Mandel Tang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1767	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1796	宋鳳嬌
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1768	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1797	容志成
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1769	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1798	陳敏儀
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1770	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1799	Hui Hoi Kiu Jasmine
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1771	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1800	Morillo Mavcelina Andaya

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1772	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1801	Chan Ka Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1773	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1802	Jason Hu Su To
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1774	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1804	穆美瓊
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1775	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1806	林書言
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1776	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1807	林子淳
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1777	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1808	張嘉玫
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1778	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1809	Tang Siu Man Benjamin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1779	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1810 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1860	Muk Mei King Christina
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1780	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1811	謝文華
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1781	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1812	Ho Koon Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1782	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1813	鄺芍樺
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1783	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1814	Tsang Skui Bun
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1784	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1815	Yik Kwan Wai
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1785	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1883	Kwok Yu Chin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1786	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1884	Lam Yeung Pui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1787	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1886	Ho Mei Wai Wen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1788	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1895	Tang Yuk Heng
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1789	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1896	鄧鴻生
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1790	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1898	Mok Yee Ling
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1791	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S34	Patrick Shum
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1792	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S38	Cynthia Fung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1793	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S52	Budge John Robertson
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1794	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S93	Shum Hiu Kei Darren
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1795	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S77	Chan Hoi Dick
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1796	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S100	Chung Yuk Chen Betty
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1797	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S519	Li Tak Ching Horace
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1798	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1387	Khoo Cheng Kwee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1799	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1486	Wong Koot Yin Ernest
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1800	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S67	Lo Lok Ha Ophelia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1801	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S68	Chang King Pan Benjamin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1802	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S69	Wong Chi Fai Nelson
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1803	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S122 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S578	Guenther Rittner
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1804	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S3	Michel Colomba Sealy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1805	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S4	Jonathan Jack Sealy



申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1806	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S5	Anthony John Sealy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1807	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S27	Michael Anthony Sealy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1808	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1877	Misi Tang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1809	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1878	Joanne Emily Tang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1810	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1879	Rayyun Stanley Knapp
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1811	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S29	Hui Chi Sang Anthony
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1812	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S30	Yeung Fung Lee Rebecca
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1813	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S31	Konan Hui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1814	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S32	Konrad Hui
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1815	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S47	Cheng Lai Ting
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1816	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S48	Ivan Au
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1817	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1392	Gary Lam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1818	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1393	Lam Chun Yee Johnny
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1819	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1394	Lam Sau Yee Jenny
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1820	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1869	Lam Chi Kuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1821	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1870	Chan Cheuk Yu Vivian
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1822	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S26	Leung Kam Ming
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1823	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S71	Choi Kwok Cheung Vincent
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1824	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S117	Michael Anatol Olesnický
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1825	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S108 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S120	Tong Wai Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1826	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S124	Kok E Ling Lilian
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1827	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1388	Lau Yuen Chong Patricia
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1828	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S418	Au Tak Fai Albert
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1829	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S419	Ma Chung Man
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1830	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S420	Jamie Liu
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1831	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1	Loke Han Pin
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1832	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S17	Wu Kit Ying
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1833	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S39	Loke Wen Huey Ashley
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1834	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S57	Gregory Robert Scott Crichton
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1835	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S73	Yan Oi Wah Peggy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1836	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1490	Ronald Duxbury Taylor
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1837	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1876	Donald Edward Knapp
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1838	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S2	Roger Anthony Nissim
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1839	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S6	Loke Jay Fung Lucas
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1840	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S24	Mila Rance Ramos

申 述 編 號 Representation No.	提 交 編 號 Submission No.	申 述 人 名 稱 Name of Representer
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1841	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S33 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1382	Wong Tak Lee
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1842	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S49	林志誠
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1843	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S72	Chan Kai Yu Rudy
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1844	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S91	Edward Lam
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1845	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S94	So Ho Yee Sirina
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1846	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S103	Li Lai Kuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1847	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S114	Lucy Joan Taylor
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1848	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S121 TPB/R/S/H10/22-S520	Silvia Carius
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1849	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S123	Yip Sze Chung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1850	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1389	Kwok Tai Yuen
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1851	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1391	Lau Lai Sze
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1852	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1397	Siu Hong Helen Hung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1853	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1491	Shum Hau Yan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1854	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1497	Mary Mulvihill
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1855	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1890	Tan Nicholas Tsung Yuan
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1856	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1891	Derek Chung
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1857	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1892	Isabella Juliette Jacqueline DE EB
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1858	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1893	Cecilia Xiu Ying The
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1859	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1894	Thierry Georges DE EB
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1860	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1903	Xu Zhi Gang
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F1861	TPB/R/S/H10/22-S1904	Shen Tong

公眾可於規劃署的規劃資料查詢處及城市規劃委員會網頁  
[https://www.tpb.gov.hk/tc/plan\\_making/S\\_H10\\_22.html](https://www.tpb.gov.hk/tc/plan_making/S_H10_22.html) 查閱就《薄扶林分區計劃大綱草圖編號 S/H10/22》提出的進一步申述。

The further representations in respect of the Draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H10/22 are available for public inspection at the Planning Enquiry Counters of the Planning Department and on the Town Planning Board's website at [https://www.tpb.gov.hk/en/plan\\_making/S\\_H10\\_22.html](https://www.tpb.gov.hk/en/plan_making/S_H10_22.html).

《薄扶林分區計劃大綱草圖編號 S/H10/22》建議修訂  
主要進一步申述理由／意見索引

Proposed Amendments to Draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H10/22

Index of Major Grounds / Views of Further Representations

主要進一步申述理由／意見

Major Grounds / Views of Respective Further Representations

進一步申述 Further Representation (編號 No. TPB/R/S/H10/22-)	
<b>F1</b>	FS1, FS2, FS3, FS4
<b>F2</b>	FS4
<b>F3</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FC3, FJ1
<b>F4</b>	FA1, FA4, FB3, FC1, FC3
<b>F5</b>	FA2, FA5, FB1, FB2, FC1, FC2
<b>F6</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FE1, FJ1
<b>F7</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FH2, FJ1
<b>F8</b>	FA4, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F9</b>	FA3, FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE2, FE3, FH1
<b>F10 to F19</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F20 to F21</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH1, FH2
<b>F22 to F67</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F68</b>	FA1, FA2, FA3, FA4, FB1, FB3, FC1, FD1, FE1, FE2, FE3, FH1, FH2, FH3, FJ1
<b>F69 to F665</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F666</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH1, FH2
<b>F667 to F783</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F784</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB3, FC1, FD1, FD2, FE1, FE2, FE3, FE4, FF1, FF2, FG1, FH1, FH2, FH3, FI1, FI2, FJ1
<b>F785 to F1794</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F1795</b>	FE1
<b>F1796</b>	FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F1797, F1798</b>	FE1
<b>F1799, F1800</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FH2
<b>F1801 to F1803</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F1804 to F1807</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FH2

<b>F1808 to F1810</b>	FA1, FA2, FA4, FB1, FB2, FB3, FC1, FE3, FH3, FJ1
<b>F1811 to F1814</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FC1, FE3, FF2, FJ1
<b>F1815 to F1821</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FH2
<b>F1822, F1823</b>	FA3, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FE2, FE3, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1824</b>	FA1, FA3, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FE2, FE3, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1825, F1826</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FE3, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1827</b>	FA1, FA3, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FE2, FE3, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1828, F1829</b>	FC1, FD1, FE1, FH1, FH2
<b>F1830</b>	FC1, FE1, FH1, FH2
<b>F1831</b>	FB1, FB3, FC1, FJ1
<b>F1832</b>	FC1, FD1, FD2, FE1
<b>F1833</b>	FB1, FC1, FJ1
<b>F1834</b>	FA1, FB1, FC1, FE3, FH3
<b>F1835</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB2, FB3, FC1, FE3, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1836</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB2, FB3, FC1, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1837</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB2, FB3, FC1, FD1, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1838</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB3, FC1, FD1, FH3
<b>F1839</b>	FB1, FC1
<b>F1840</b>	FD1
<b>F1841</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB3, FC1, FD1, FD2, FE1, FE2, FE3, FE4, FF1, FF2, FG1, FH1, FH2, FH3, FI1, FI2, FJ1
<b>F1842</b>	FA1, FH1
<b>F1843</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FC1, FE1, FH3
<b>F1844</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FF1, FH2
<b>F1845</b>	FA4, FC1, FD1, FD2
<b>F1846</b>	FC1, FE1, FJ1
<b>F1847</b>	FB1, FC1
<b>F1848</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F1849</b>	FA4, FC1, FD1, FD2, FE1, FH2
<b>F1850</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FC3, FD1, FE1, FE3, FH1
<b>F1851</b>	FA1, FB1, FE3, FE4, FH3
<b>F1852</b>	FA1, FA3, FA4, FB1, FC1, FE3, FF2
<b>F1853</b>	FC1, FD1, FD2, FE1
<b>F1854</b>	FA1, FB2, FC1
<b>F1855</b>	FB1
<b>F1856</b>	FA4, FC1, FD1
<b>F1857</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FH1, FH2
<b>F1858, F1859</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FH2
<b>F1860, F1861</b>	FC1, FD1

**Summary of Further Representations (FRs) and the Planning Department's (PlanD's) Detailed Responses  
in respect of the Proposed Amendments to the Draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/H10/22 (the draft OZP)**

(1) The grounds and views of the 2 supportive FRs and the responses as below:

Grounds and Views of FRs		PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/ Departments (B/Ds) Concerned
(FS1)	The proposed Global Innovation Centre of the University of Hong Kong (the Centre), which will attract talents and researchers from various fields worldwide to share their knowledge, will be the first research facility in Hong Kong dedicated to upstream deep technology. It aligns with the local and national policy goals to develop Hong Kong into an international Innovation and Technology (I&T) hub while consolidating its strength in upstream basic research. The Centre will complement industry-oriented activities in other I&T hubs in Hong Kong and the Greater Bay Area.	The supportive views, consistent with those stated in the supportive representations related to the Original Amendment Item A and expressed by HKU's representatives at the representation hearing, are noted.
(FS2)	The Centre aims to provide an enabling environment for scholars and academics to engage in transdisciplinary frontier research, such as sustainable energy, quantum technology, and artificial intelligence. Its strategic location near the University of Hong Kong (HKU) campuses, Queen Mary Hospital (QMH) and Cyberport will foster synergies amongst these institutions and create a self-sustainable research and development ecosystem in the area. Given the urgency to fostering I&T development, it is more reasonable to develop the Centre close to the HKU campuses, ensuring that its operations and research are well-supported by the scholars already working at HKU, thereby generating prompt, tangible and transferrable research results. HKU has conducted technical assessments for the Centre, demonstrating that there are no	

	insurmountable technical problems or impacts arising from the proposed development at the Further Amendment Item A Site (the Site).	
(FS3)	HKU has received valuable feedback on the Centre's development from various stakeholders during the representation hearing in November 2024 and has taken note of concerns regarding environmental impact or other technical aspects of the project. HKU is currently assessing the feasibility of the suggestions and proposals received and will step up efforts to engage with stakeholders. The proposed scheme will be strategically amended, such as reducing the density of the development, increasing the setback area from neighbouring buildings, designating more green space, etc., to minimise adverse impacts on the surroundings and the community. Technical assessments will be conducted again as necessary. Additionally, HKU will pay special attention to construction planning to further minimise impacts on the neighbourhood.	
(FS4)	Support rezoning of the Site to an "Undetermined" ("U") zone, which could allow time for HKU to review the development plan and consider the comments and suggestions made by Members of the Town Planning Board (Members) and the public.	

(2) The grounds and views of the 1,859 opposing FRs and the responses as below:

Grounds and Views of FRs		PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government B/Ds Concerned
<b>A. Strategic Planning, Site Selection and Alternative Locations</b>		
(FA1)	Although the development of the Centre was announced in the 2021 Policy Address (PA), the Centre (currently being planned and developed in the Pok Fu Lam area) does not align	The grounds and views regarding strategic planning, site selection and alternative locations were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and

	<p>with national, regional and territorial planning and development strategies (particularly the Northern Metropolis (NM) Development Strategy) and the subsequent PAs which strongly advocate for establishing Hong Kong's future international I&amp;T hub in the NM.</p> <p>Placing the Centre outside this I&amp;T hub is inconsistent with Town Planning Board's (the Board) decision to overrule objections to the San Tin Technopole OZP, which aims to create a critical mass to foster I&amp;T development, meet the increasing demand of land for I&amp;T development, and deepen collaboration with the Mainland and the world.</p>	<p>Government's responses to these issues have been provided in the TPB Paper No. 10987 and recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>According to the Innovation, Technology and Industry Bureau (ITIB), I&amp;T is a major driver that can spur economic development and new quality productive forces. The Government promulgated the I&amp;T Blueprint in late 2022, setting out four development directions and eight major strategies, which include enhancement to the I&amp;T ecosystem and promotion of interactive development across the upstream, midstream and downstream sectors.</p> <p>The strategic directions emphasized in the I&amp;T Blueprint can be realized in various forms, locations, and projects by different stakeholders. While the San Tin Technopole (including the Loop in the NM), being Government-initiated and funded infrastructural facilities and I&amp;T projects, can provide new land for building a hub for clustered I&amp;T development, they are by no means the only suitable and/or available platforms for achieving the Government's I&amp;T development goals.</p>
(FA2)	<p>The policy direction to reserve a site in Pok Fu Lam for constructing deep technology research facilities by HKU has unnecessarily influenced the Board's statutory function to consider the siting of the Centre independently and professionally.</p>	
(FA3)	<p>The proximity of the Centre to HKU's existing campus is not essential in this advanced technology era of 5G and 6G. There are many successful examples of satellite campuses of top universities around the world. The convenience of HKU's location should not override the 'Town Planning Board Guidelines for Applications for Development within</p>	<p>To position Hong Kong as an international hub for I&amp;T, the 2021 PA has indicated the Government's in-principle acceptance of HKU's proposal to reserve a site in Pok Fu Lam for the construction of facilities dedicated to deep technology research.</p>

	the “Green Belt” Zone under section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance’ (TPB PG-No.10) and should not be at the expense of the Pok Fu Lam community.	ITIB affirms that the Centre aligns with the policy goals to enhance Hong Kong's status as an international I&T hub while consolidating its strength in upstream basic research. ITIB also takes the view that the Centre is a distinct initiative pursued by HKU concerning mainly basic research in the upstream and related teaching/academic facilities near its existing campus, while government-initiated initiatives such as San Tin Technopole in the NM have different foci and functions in the I&T ecosystem and that the latter is not meant to supersede or substitute the former. <i>[Above extracted from response (a) of paragraph 6.2.6 and paragraph 9.3(a) of TPB paper no. 10987]</i>
(FA4)	There are alternative locations to consider, such as San Tin Technopole and the Loop, the “Residential (Group C) 6” (“R(C)6”) site adjacent to the “U” zone, Cyberport, land next to the International School Foundation, and the Stanley Ho Sports Centre. HKU should also explore better utilization of its premises with low occupancy rates. However, HKU did not adequately evaluate these alternative locations and premises.	According to HKU, while the Site was considered the most suitable location, it would still consider alternative locations such as San Tin Technopole and the adjacent “R(C)6” site. Besides, HKU would review the necessity and floorspace requirements of various components of the Centre and explore the potential for shared facilities
(FA5)	A FR (F5) strongly objects to the Board’s conclusion that HKU should consider whether the “R(C)6” site adjoining the “U” zone would be more suitable for the Centre. There is insufficient justification for locating the Centre in a residential area. The Centre would negatively impact the visual landscape of Pok Fu Lam Road (PFLR). Relocating the Centre to the “R(C)6” site is unlikely to mitigate the impacts on neighbouring communities, accommodate setbacks for road improvement to enhance traffic flow, reduce building bulk, or provide opportunities for more compensatory planting.	In response to a Member’s question on whether the Board was obliged to follow the policy direction of the 2021 PA and accept HKU’s proposal, the Chairperson said that even though the proposed development originated from the 2021 PA, the Executive Council’s subsequent agreement-in-principle for the land grant to HKU was conditional upon HKU being able to secure the Board’s approval for the rezoning proposal amongst other things. Hence the Board with its statutory functions was fully entitled to consider the rezoning proposal independently and professionally. While PlanD would adopt a



		<p>facilitating role in taking forward the rezoning proposal if such was given policy support and found technically feasible by B/Ds, it would be incumbent upon HKU as the project proponent to resolve all technical issues to the satisfaction of relevant government departments. The Board, as a statutory body, would exercise its independent judgement to consider the amendments to the OZP and the representations in the interest of society as a whole. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 6(l) and 29 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Majority of Members shared similar views regarding site selection for the Centre. Their views were that (a) not all representers who raised objections were against the Site or the development of the Centre in Pok Fu Lam. The crux of the matter was whether the development proposal was acceptable. HKU should provide strong justifications for choosing the preferred site and conduct adequate technical assessments on the revised development proposal to address local concerns; (b) there was a genuine need for Hong Kong to develop deep technology research facilities, and it was logical for HKU to develop the Centre near its Main Campus in Pok Fu Lam, where the research atmosphere was well-established with the presence of QMH and Cyberport, creating clustering and synergy effects and facilitating collaboration across the research and academic sectors. In particular, the advancement of financial technology often leveraged its proximity to universities; (c) HKU should consider alternative locations in Pok Fu Lam and other areas such as NM. If HKU concluded after review that the Centre should be in Pok Fu Lam, it should provide more justifications for why other locations were not</p>
--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>ideal for the development of the Centre; (d) further clarification from HKU was required regarding the idea of establishing a self-contained facility while also promoting a synergy effect with the surrounding developments; (e) HKU should consider whether the Site or other sites in Pok Fu Lam, including but not limited to the adjoining undeveloped “R(C)6” site, were more suitable for achieving HKU’s objective while minimising impacts on neighbouring communities. From the planning perspective, it was desirable for HKU to integrate the Site with the adjoining “R(C)6” site to offer greater design flexibility, accommodate setbacks for road improvement/ widening to improve traffic flow, and allow room for future expansion. This could reduce the site area and building bulk at the Site, particularly when viewed from Victoria Road, and provide opportunity for more compensatory planting. While developing the Centre at the “R(C)6” site with a building height (BH) restriction of 137mPD might be less controversial, it was still crucial to strictly control the plot ratio and BH to avoid adverse impacts on the surrounding area. Besides, HKU should fully address the noise impact of the Centre, in particular during the construction stage, on students with visual impairment at Ebenezer School. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 9(a) to (d) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Regarding TPB PG-No.10, responses for (FC1) below is also relevant.</p>
<b>B. The “U” zoning</b>		
(FB1)	<u>No Legal Basis</u>	The grounds and views regarding the designation of “U” zoning at the Site were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of

<p>The Board does not have the legal authority under section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance (the Ordinance) to propose an amendment to the plan that only “partially” meets a representation. The Ordinance clearly states that the Board must decide whether or not to propose amendment to the plan in the manner proposed in the representation, or to propose amendment to the plan in any other manner that, in the Board’s opinion, will meet the representation. Since no representer proposed that the plan be amended to include an “U” zoning for the Site and PlanD’s proposal of rezoning the Site to “U” was not a representation, there was no representation which could be considered as being met by the proposed “U” zoning. The decision to rezone the Site to “U” therefore has no legal basis under section 6B(8) of the Ordinance.</p> <p>The agreement between the HKU and the Hong Kong Government, as suggested by the two press releases on 3.10.2024, raises concerns about the Board’s ability to exercise independent planning judgement.</p> <p>Additionally, the minutes of the meetings do not adequately describe the Board’s decision-making process or explain how the representations had been “partially met” by the proposed amendment. The Board must clearly demonstrate that it has</p>	<p>representations by the Board. Detailed HKU’s and Government’s responses to these issues have been provided in the TPB Paper No. 10987 and recorded in the minutes of the Board’s meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>A Member sought clarification on the Board’s options for decision-making under the Ordinance. PlanD replied that in accordance with section 6B(8) of the Ordinance, <i>“after considering any representation under this section, the Board must decide whether or not to propose amendment to the plan in the manner proposed by the representation; or to propose amendment to the plan in any other manner that, in the opinion of the Board, will meet the representation”</i>. HKU issued a press release to announce its decision to take some time to strategically review and amend the development plan to address stakeholders’ opinions as much as practicable. HKU also endeavoured to step up engagement with the community through various channels to improve the proposal and provide timely project updates. In light of the above, PlanD proposed to rezone the Site to “U” in this interim period to serve as a stopgap arrangement pending completion of the review and further community engagement by HKU. PlanD further supplemented that the Board, after considering the representations, could decide whether to amend the zoning of the Site on the OZP in accordance with the Ordinance. If the decision is to amend the OZP, the Board could follow the proposals of the representers. Alternatively, the Board could amend the OZP in a way as it think fit that will meet the representations. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 36 and 37 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>considered all relevant submissions and provide adequate reasons for not accepting the submissions made. The decision also erroneously states that some representations had been “partially met” by rezoning the Site to “U”, even though the representers had clearly requested to retain the “GB” and “R(C)6” zones and made no reference to the “U” zoning in their representations. In fact, the representers had stated that they were against the “U” zoning during the representation hearing.</p> <p>The Board’s statutory duties include designating an appropriate zoning and setting development parameters for a site. By deciding on an “U” zoning, the Board failed to fulfil this duty, as the “U” zoning does not set appropriate development parameters. As per the recent High Court Judgment (HCAL 1258/2023), “traditional administrative law principles include that a decision-maker exercising a statutory power must ask himself the right question and take reasonable steps to acquaint himself with the relevant information to enable him to answer it correctly”. If the Board did not feel it could set appropriate development parameters for the Site, its only option was to decide not to propose an amendment to the plan.</p> <p>The High Court’s recent decision to overturn development at</p>	<p>Members acknowledged during the meetings that most representers supported the development of the Centre by HKU to consolidate Hong Kong’s leading position in deep technology research, while their objections/concerns were mainly related to site selection and hence land use compatibility, development intensity, impacts on traffic, visual, landscape, ecological, environmental, geotechnical, public health and safety aspects, as well as the lack of proper consultation. HKU has committed in its press release and at the representation hearing to consulting relevant stakeholders in strategically reviewing and amending its development plan to address their opinions as much as practicable. HKU will also explore the possibility of identifying alternative sites for the development of the Centre. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 8 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>PlanD supplemented that pending HKU’s review and further consultation, it is premature for the Board at this juncture to decide to adopt other zonings or impose any specific planning restrictions in the absence of a revised scheme. It was not the first time for the Board to adopt “U” zone as an interim zoning. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 37 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i> Designating a site as “U” zone on OZPs was not uncommon when the planning intention for a site was uncertain or while awaiting completion of a study or infrastructure facilities. It is considered prudent to rezone the Site to “U” in the interim period, allowing time for HKU to review its development plan and make amendments based on stakeholders’ feedback. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 45(a) of the</i></p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>the Fanling Golf Course established a critical legal precedent for safeguarding land with ecological interest. The court ruled that rezoning decisions must adhere to stringent environmental assessments and comprehensive public consultation processes. Rezoning the Site to “U” without addressing environmental risks or community objections exposes the project to judicial review, which could result in costly litigation, further delaying development programme and wasting public resources.</p> <p>Given the strong views of the representers and Members on the suitability of the Site for development of the Centre, it is highly unlikely that the Centre would be redesigned to be acceptable at the Site. It is therefore premature to rezone the Site to “U”. The way the relevant parts of the Explanatory Statement (ES) on the “U” zone are written is considered inappropriate, as it implies and determines the use of the Site to be for the Centre, even though the final site location is still subject to HKU’s review and assessment of alternative sites. The Site should therefore maintain its original “GB” and “R(C)6” zones, as this would better reflect the representers’ and Members’ concerns. This course of action does not preclude HKU from seeking a change to the plan when it has completed its reassessment of the proposal and conducted consultations with the community. If, after HKU’s review,</p>	<p><i>minutes of the meeting on 1.11.2024]</i></p> <p>During the deliberation session, Members generally supported the proposed amendment of the Site from “OU(Global Innovation Centre)” to “U”, and expressed that the interim “U” zoning was appropriate to allow time for HKU to review the development proposal, conduct relevant technical assessments, further consult the local community and submit the revised proposal for consideration by the Government and the Board. The development of the Centre could facilitate the provision of deep technology research facilities in Hong Kong. The proposal of some representers to revert the Site to “GB” and “R(C)6” was not a viable solution as such an arrangement would only shift the problem elsewhere. The “U” zoning would provide an opportunity for HKU to strategically review the development proposal including exploring the feasibility of integrating the Site with the adjoining “R(C)6” site and retaining some areas within the original “GB” site. Regarding the planning control under the “U” zone, Members expressed that there would be adequate planning control under the “U” zoning any development would be require planning permission from the Board. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 25 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>The “U” zoning is appropriate as an interim measure to allow time for HKU to review and adjust the development proposal for the Centre and consult the local community in response to the views expressed by the Representers. As part of the review, HKU should consider alternative sites in Pok Fu Lam and other areas. If HKU concludes after review that the Centre should be in</p>
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>this site is still deemed the most suitable for the development of the Centre, the revised proposal would be required to undergo statutory town planning procedures for amendments to the OZP.</p> <p>There is no explanation in the minutes why an interim “U” zoning for ‘stopgap’ is required, and why the “U” zoning is preferable in case HKU is now reviewing other alternative sites.</p> <p>It is misleading to say that designating a site as “U” zone on OZPs is not uncommon when the planning intention for a site is uncertain or while awaiting completion of a study or infrastructure facilities. In fact, this “U” zone is neither situated in an area where there is no current zoning, nor its current land use does not comply with the current zoning. On the Pok Fu Lam OZP, the current approved “GB” zoning is totally compatible and appropriate to the Site’s current use. Therefore, rezoning the Site to “U” is considered unnecessary, and the Site should revert to its original “GB” and “R(C)6” zones until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.</p>	<p>Pok Fu Lam, it should consider whether the Site or other sites, is more suitable for achieving its objectives. HKU should also submit a revised development proposal supported by technical assessments to demonstrate the feasibility of the proposal for consideration by relevant B/Ds. Should the revised proposal be found acceptable by the Government, PlanD would propose appropriate zoning amendment(s) to the OZP. Subject to the Board’s agreement, the rezoning would then undergo another round of statutory planning procedures in accordance with the Ordinance, during which members of the public would have the opportunity to submit written representations and attend representation hearing to express their views to the Board directly. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 6(xx) and 33 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i> The ES for the “U” zone already reflects the above intention and situation.</p> <p>For the concerns about TPB’s ability to exercise independent planning judgment, the Chairperson of the Board has explained in the meeting, regarding the question on whether the Board was obliged to follow the policy direction of the 2021 PA and accept HKU’s proposal, that the Board with its statutory functions was fully entitled to consider the rezoning proposal independently and professionally. HKU, as the project proponent of the Centre, is obligated to resolve all technical issues to the satisfaction of relevant government departments and address public concerns. The Board, as a statutory body, would exercise its independent judgement to consider the amendments to the OZP and the representations in the interest of society as a whole. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 29 of the minutes of the</i></p>
(FB2)	<p><u>Inadequate Development Control</u></p> <p>Under the covering Notes of the draft OZP, all uses or developments except some public works coordinated or</p>	

	implemented by Government require planning permission from the Board. While other uses, such as the proposed Centre, would require permission from the Board, this could be obtained through a section 16 application, rather than through sections 5 and 6 of the Ordinance.	<i>meeting on 29.11.2024]</i>
(FB3)	<p><u>Setting Adverse Precedent</u></p> <p>The “U” zoning for the Site may send the wrong impression that all trees in this zone are already slated for removal, and it sets a dangerous precedent. It may also undermine public involvement in the planning process, conveying the message that inadequate engagement with the Pok Fu Lam community will still result in a zonal change favourable to HKU. Moreover, the “U” zone risks signalling to developers and institutions that protected green spaces can be rezoned arbitrarily, creating piecemeal urban expansion into the green belt.</p>	<p>Regarding the further representers’ proposal, HKU has committed at the representation hearing to consult relevant stakeholders in strategically reviewing and amending its development plan, including reducing the density of the proposed development and bulk of the building(s), increasing the setback area from neighbouring buildings, designating more green spaces, etc. to address stakeholders’ opinions as much as practicable. If the Government accepted HKU’s revised proposal, another round of statutory planning procedures would be required to rezone the site to an appropriate zoning. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 6(pp) and 39(c) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>For the proposal of reverting the Site back to the original “GB” zoning, as explained by the Chairperson at the meeting, although the general presumption against development was applicable to all “GB” zones across all OZPs, with the objective of discouraging development in “GB” zones which had the planning function of serving as buffer between built-up areas and non-built up areas, there was no lack of examples in the past where “GB” zones were rezoned for appropriate uses, such as housing, and strong planning grounds were required to justify such land use changes, particularly the public interests that the rezoning proposals intended to achieve. Any development within a “GB” zone that would have environmental impacts would need to be supported by relevant technical assessments with adequate and effective mitigation measures, and whether the environmental trade-offs</p>
	<u>Proposals</u>	
(FB4)	<b>F3 to F5, F7 to F1794, F1799 to F1810, F1815 to F1845, F1848 to F1851, F1853 to F1855 and F1857 to F1859</b> propose to revert the Site back to the original “GB” and “R(C)6” zones.	
(FB5)	Should the Board consider “U” zoning appropriate for the Site, <b>F3</b> proposes to revert a small portion of the Site directly	

	<p>adjoining and in front of the Ebenezer School and the ENHS to the “GB” zone. The remaining portion of the Site can be retained as the proposed “U” zone, and a 35m set-back from the boundaries of the Ebenezer School and ENHS, along with a maximum BH of 130mPD in front of the two schools, are proposed to be included in the revised ES.</p>	<p>were justified in meeting the needs of society. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 62 of the minutes of the meeting on 4.11.2024]</i></p>
(FB6)	<p>If the proposed amendment to revert to the original zoning is not supported by the Board, <b>F1808</b> to <b>F1810</b> and <b>F1835</b> to <b>F1837</b> suggest amending the covering Notes to stipulate that permission sought from the Board for the development at the Site should be by means of OZP amendment via section 5 of the Ordinance. <b>F5</b> also proposes to delete the provision in the covering Notes that permits development in the “U” zone through section 16 application to the Board. The ES is proposed to be amended to indicate that no development is permissible without another round of OZP amendment as a precondition, except with respect to Columns 1 and 2 of the “GB” zoning. If the Board does not support the above proposal, <b>F5</b> further proposes to impose a BH restriction of 137mPD (including roof top structures and without a minor relaxation clause) and introduce the requirement for a Layout Plan and Visual Impact Assessment submission under the section 16 application.</p>	
C. Land Uses Compatibility, Development Intensity, Visual Impact and Interface with Nearby Schools		



(FC1)	<p><u>Land Uses Compatibility and Development Intensity</u></p> <p>Pok Fu Lam is a low-density, green residential area on Hong Kong Island. Defined by tranquil surroundings and extensive greenery, it represents a rare and valuable urban landscape. This setting offers residents a peaceful, community-focused living environment. The Centre is a high-density, large-scale development which is incompatible with the area’s existing residential character. Protecting the existing green belt is crucial for preserving the hallmark of Pok Fu Lam.</p> <p>The surrounding educational, institutional, hospital, and residential uses do not justify the development of “GB”. The Board should follow the directive in the 2023 PA that no more “GB” areas would be used for large-scale development.</p> <p>According to the TPB PG-No.10, there is a general presumption against development in a “GB” zone, which should be justified by very strong planning grounds and subject to other criteria. HKU's Centre at the original “GB” site has to meet the stringent criteria set forth in the Guidelines. The general presumption against development that applies to all “GB” zones across all OZPs has also been confirmed by the Chairperson of the Board at the</p>	<p>The grounds and views regarding land use compatibility and development intensity were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU’s and Government’s responses to these issues have been provided in the TPB Paper No. 10987 and recorded in the minutes of the Board’s meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>The Board agreed that, in planning terms, the proposed use at the Site is not incompatible with the surrounding educational, institutional, hospital and residential uses. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 39(b) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>According to HKU, the main research uses should be complemented by supporting facilities (e.g. scholars’ residences) to attract talents. That said, HKU will strategically review and amend the development plan, e.g. making better use of the Site, reducing density and bulk, lowering BH, increasing setback from neighbouring buildings, etc. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 6(s) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>The TPB PG-No.10 outlines the assessment criteria for considering section 16 planning applications for developments within “GB” zones, which is not applicable to the subject proposed amendments to the OZP. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 6.2.7(b) of the TPB Paper No. 10987]</i></p> <p>The Chairperson of the Board explained that the general presumption against</p>
-------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>representation hearing. By zoning the Site to “U” in the interim, the Board effectively allows HKU to bypass the Guidelines. The rezoning of the Site to “U” undermines the integrity of the “GB” zone and opens the door to speculative development that prioritizes institutional convenience over environmental preservation. This shift represents a dangerous precedent, weakening the presumption against development.</p> <p>The Site is characterized by a rich and dense presence of trees and should be accurately classified as “GB”. As no cogent planning justifications have been presented for the removal of the “GB” zone as stipulated in TPB PG-No. 10 (e.g. essential need and no alternative site), the legitimate expectation for the continuance of the “GB” zoning remains.</p> <p>The bulk of the proposed Centre could be significantly reduced by removing unnecessary uses such as residential buildings which HKU has surplus staff quarters.</p>	<p>development is applicable to all “GB” zones across all OZPs, with the objective of discouraging development in “GB” zones which have the planning function of serving as buffer between built-up areas and non-built up areas. That said, there was no lack of examples in the past where “GB” zones were rezoned for appropriate uses, such as housing, and strong planning grounds were required to justify such land use changes, particularly the public interests that the rezoning proposals intended to achieve. Any development within a “GB” zone that would have environmental impacts would need to be supported by relevant technical assessments with adequate and effective mitigation measures, and whether the environmental trade-offs were justified in meeting the needs of society. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 62 of the minutes of the meeting on 4.11.2024]</i></p> <p>HKU should critically review the necessity and floorspace requirements for various components of the Centre, including accommodation and conference facilities. Consideration should also be given to optimising the utilisation of the HKU’s existing premises/facilities to meet such needs. Noting the availability of vacant residential premises managed by HKU in Pok Fu Lam, the need for the accommodation component in the Centre should be justified. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 12(b), 33 and 34 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
(FC2)	<p><u>Visual Impact</u></p> <p>It is important to preserve the public views and visual amenity</p>	<p>The grounds regarding visual impact of the Centre were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed responses to these issues have been recorded in the minutes of the</p>

	<p>obtained from PFLR as stipulated in paragraph 5.2 of the ES of the OZP. There are legitimate expectations on the protection of public views from PFLR. Therefore, any future development should not adversely affect the existing public views obtained from PFLR, with distanced open views across the Site, and across the adjoining “R(C)6” Site where the 137mPD BH restriction should remain unchanged.</p>	<p>Board’s meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>Some Members expressed the following views for HKU’s consideration when reviewing its proposal:</p> <p>HKU should enhance the design including reducing building density and bulk, lowering BH and providing building gaps from neighbouring buildings.</p> <p>Given the elongated configuration and steep terrain of the Site, HKU should take into account the topographical context to protect the natural environment and minimise adverse visual impacts in the revised proposal. The revised design should take into consideration public views from PFLR towards the sea as indicated by a representer (<b>R260</b>).</p> <p>As there would be substantial building bulk when viewed from Victoria Road, considerations should be given to reducing the building bulk along the Victoria Road frontage to avoid adverse visual impacts on the surrounding developments. Besides, the revised scheme should minimise the adverse impacts on the Ebenezer.</p> <p>HKU should enhance the design of the Centre, including reducing density and bulk, lowering BH and increasing setback from neighbouring buildings.  <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 15 and 33 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

(FC3)	<p><u>The Ebenezer School and the Ebenezer New Hope School (ENHS) (the Ebenezer)</u></p> <p>The relocation of the Ebenezer School was discussed at the deliberation session. It should be noted that the timeline for the relocation of Ebenezer School to Tung Chung is uncertain. The services for the visually impaired will continue to be provided at the Ebenezer School and the ENHS. They would be subject to significant adverse noise and vibration impact for the whole of the site formation and construction period of the Centre.</p> <p>The Centre is less than 15m from the boundary of the ENHS and would be detrimental to the safety and quality of the learning environment for the students and boarders with visual impairment, intellectual and physical disabilities due to the development of the Centre. There is no plan for the relocation and change of use for the ENHS site (which is currently zoned “G/IC”), while it will remain under Ebenezer’s ownership and will continue to serve the visually impaired.</p>	<p>Members discussed the possible impact of the Centre on the Ebenezer at the representation hearing and the deliberation session, which are extracted below:</p> <p>The Board expressed that HKU should fully address the noise impact of the Centre, in particular during the construction stage, on students with visual impairment at the Ebenezer. The revised scheme should minimise the adverse impacts on the Ebenezer.</p> <p>HKU should engage more proactively with the Ebenezer at the early design stage to better understand their needs and address their concerns. HKU should also engage in continuous discussions with the Ebenezer regarding the design constraints and approaches to minimise noise impacts on its students with visual impairment. There was a need for HKU to conduct bottom-up and two-way communication with the stakeholders including local residents, the Ebenezer and green groups at the next round of public engagement. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 9(d), 15(c), 17, 26 and 33(h) of the minutes of the meetings on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
D. Tree Preservation, Landscape and Ecology		
(FD1)	Many further representers disagree with the assertion that the	The grounds and views regarding tree preservation, landscape and ecology

	<p>2,250 trees within the Site have no value simply because they are common species. It is important to recognize their value, regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered. The removal of over 2,250 mature trees to accommodate the Centre would result in irreversible environmental degradation and destruction of significant natural habitats.</p>	<p>were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>Representative of HKU explained that owing to site constraints and conflicts with the development layout, it was anticipated that about 2,000 trees would inevitably be felled. Although only about 850 new trees would be planted, HKU put much emphasis on the quality of the compensatory trees. For example, more heavy standard trees with larger diameter at breast height rather than light standard trees would be planted, and the possibility of planting new trees in appropriate locations instead of simply putting them on the roof had been duly considered. Compensatory trees would also be planted in clusters to form natural habitats for birds/butterflies' foraging. Off-site tree planting had been explored but no suitable sites could be identified yet. Nevertheless, when revising the development proposal for the Centre, HKU would critically review the tree preservation and compensation proposals, and liaise with the concerned government departments to explore off-site tree planting options. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 69 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Members generally agreed that HKU should minimise tree felling and disturbance to the natural habitats, enhance tree compensation and provide more green spaces. The proposed tree compensation ratio of 1:0.48 was relatively low as compared to the 1:1 ratio generally adopted in development</p>
(FD2)	<p>Mature trees take decades to grow, and newly planted saplings lack the ecological complexity required to support native fauna.</p>	

		<p>proposals previously considered by the Board. Noting that about 2,000 trees would be felled, a Member opined that the revised proposal should strike a balance between environmental protection and development. Noting that the trees at the Site were common species, two Members considered the proposed tree felling not unacceptable. Regarding the ecological impacts of the Centre, a Member said that according to the Ecological Impact Assessment, the ecological value of the woodland habitat at the Site was relatively low. Another Member considered that HKU should address the impact of the proposed development on yellow-crested cockatoos (<i>Cacatua sulphurea</i>). Tree felling and disturbance to natural habitats should be properly addressed by HKU. Tree compensation should be enhanced and more green spaces should be provided. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 18, 19 and 33 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
<b>E. Traffic and Transport</b>		
(FE1)	Residents in the Pok Fu Lam area are already facing daily congested traffic conditions because of the developments in Wah Fu, QMH and the Cyberport. The Centre would cause further adverse traffic impact to the surrounding areas.	<p>The grounds and views regarding traffic and transport impacts were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>Representative of HKU explained that:</p> <p>(1) the TIA was conducted based on assumed development parameters, including the assumption that the proposed development would accommodate 7,000 employees including about 1,500 research teams.</p>
(FE2)	Although the relevant government departments had no adverse comments on the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) submitted by HKU for the Centre, it cannot be taken for granted that the TIA and its assumptions would not be inaccurate or over optimistic. There was also no construction	

	TIA conducted for the Centre.	Besides, the TIA had taken into account major planned and committed developments in the vicinity such as the redevelopment of Wah Fu Estate and the Cyberport Expansion. The TIA did not factor in the SIL(W) for assessment under the conservative approach and hence had assumed no modal shift resulting from any new non-road public transport systems;
(FE3)	The Centre involves residential buildings and an excessive overall plot ratio of 4.72, which violates the purpose of the Pok Fu Lam Moratorium (PFLM). This is inconsistent with approving the Centre but rejecting the redevelopment proposal of the Ebenezer School.	(2) the locations of the vehicular ingress/egress points and the capacity of the concerned road links and junctions in the vicinity were assessed. The TIA concluded that all assessed roads links and junctions, except for four junctions (J1, J8, J16 and J17), would operate satisfactorily during peak hours under the scenario with the proposed development. Junction improvements for J1 (i.e. increasing the cycle time of traffic signals) and J8 (i.e. provision of staggered pedestrian crossing) were proposed in the TIA to ensure adequate junction capacity. Although junctions J16 and J17 were located further from the proposed development and the traffic generated/attracted by the proposed development at those two junctions was considered negligible, HKU had proposed junction improvement works. HKU had been liaising with Transport Department (TD) on the need for the proposed improvement measures such as setback of the development site and road and pavement widening, and would coordinate with TD on the future improvement works if necessary;
(FE4)	The proposed South Island Line (West) (SIL(W)), intended to alleviate congestion in the Southern District, will not be operational until at least 2034. Approving the Centre before its operation risks locking the area into years of excessive congestion and strain on existing infrastructure, resulting in increasing traffic bottlenecks, noise pollution, deteriorating road and pedestrian safety conditions, and affecting ambulance services.	(3) the development programme of the Centre outlined in the TIA extended only to 2029 with a design year of 2032. In view of the considerable long timeframe for the implementation of the Centre, HKU had committed to

		<p>undertaking an updated TIA at the detailed design stage, a construction TIA, and a traffic review prior to project commissioning. The requirement for HKU to submit these additional assessments had been incorporated into the ES of the OZP; and</p> <p>(4) PFLR was a primary distributor road with two lanes in each direction, connecting the Western District and the Aberdeen areas. HKU had implemented a number of projects in the area and was familiar with the traffic pressure of the local road network including PFLR, and HKU would continue to make every attempt to mitigate the any adverse traffic impact on the local road network. For example, to avoid congestion from buses queuing at the bus stop on PFLR, setback would be proposed to provide space for extension of bus lay-by. All loading/unloading activities for the Centre would be conducted on-site to avoid tailbacks/blockages at the vehicular ingress/egress. Widening of the footpath and pedestrian crossing at PFLR near the vehicular ingress/egress of the Centre was proposed. By adopting an open campus policy and facilitating pedestrian connectivity between PFLR and Victoria Road, HKU would provide vertical pedestrian connection routes via lifts and escalators within the Centre, which would be open for public use. An internal walkway would also be provided to connect the proposed Centre with the HKU Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine (HKUMed) and its expansion at Sassoon Road.</p> <p>HKU, as the project proponent, would be responsible for designing and implementing, at its own cost, any traffic improvement measures or works</p>
--	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



		<p>identified in the TIA and any follow-up assessments/reviews as necessary to address traffic impacts related to the Centre.</p> <p><i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 26 and 27 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>PlanD explained at the meeting that PFLM is an administrative measure aimed at limiting excessive development in the Pok Fu Lam area for traffic management reasons. Any lease modification for redevelopment of sites with higher intensity in the area should be approved by the Executive Council, subject to fulfilling two conditions that (i) redevelopment would not result in insurmountable traffic impacts with proposed traffic improvement measures; and (ii) the proposal served the public interest. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 67 of the minutes of the meeting on 4.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Below are the responses from government departments on the traffic and transport issues:</p> <p>(1) the TIA confirmed that the proposed development would not create adverse traffic impacts on the local road network. Except four junctions (J1, J8, J16, and J17) where HKU had proposed junction improvement measures, all other junctions in the TIA would operate satisfactorily in the design year of 2032;</p> <p>(2) the traffic survey had taken the existing ambulance traffic into account and additional verification survey was conducted in September 2023 after the epidemic. The Commissioner for Transport had no adverse comments</p>
--	--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>on the TIA and its assumptions. According to HKU, an updated TIA covering the full completion year of the Centre would be undertaken at the detailed design stage and a construction TIA and a traffic review would be conducted prior to the project commissioning; and</p> <p>(3) there were precedent cases for partial lifting of PFLM. Any lease modification for higher development intensity within area covered by PFLM would be subject to approval by the Executive Council.</p> <p><i>[Above extracted from paragraph 6 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Majority of Members agreed that HKU should spend more efforts to address the representers' concerns on traffic and transport, and their major views and suggestions are as follows:</p> <p>(1) HKU should address the traffic impacts of the Centre comprehensively with a view to minimising impacts on the neighbouring community and residential developments during both construction and operation phases. The traffic impact during the construction phase could be substantial due to the challenges associated with site formation on slope and the extended construction timeline for the three-phased development. HKU might consider advancing the construction TIA and some of the traffic studies so that it could provide more information on the findings and mitigation measures to Southern District Council (SDC) and local residents in the next round of public engagement to address local concerns at an early stage;</p>
--	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>(2) PFLM was in place due to traffic concerns. Currently, there were problems of traffic congestion on PFLR and Victoria Road. Under the current indicative scheme, two vehicular accesses were located on Victoria Road and there was no internal vehicular connection between PFLR and Victoria Road to allow traffic diversion between the two roads under emergency situations. The Centre would generate additional traffic burden on Victoria Road, which was a two-lane single carriageway without much capacity for further improvement. Vehicular accesses on PFLR and Victoria Road and possible connection between the two roads should be carefully considered in the revised scheme with a view to minimising adverse traffic impact on the surrounding area;</p> <p>(3) regarding the TIA in support of the revised development proposal, HKU might adopt the worst case scenario with more detailed information in the assessment to identify potential problems and propose mitigation measures to address traffic impact in a wider context. The TIA should take into account all known major planned and committed developments in the surroundings, address the traffic demand for daily commuting trips during peak hours, and propose traffic measures to cater for special events at the conference/exhibition facilities (about 40,000m<sup>2</sup>); and</p> <p>(4) the Centre would generate additional burden on existing public transport facilities and exacerbate traffic problems of the local road network. To cater for anticipated increase in demand for transport services for researchers,</p>
--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>staff, visitors and students in the Centre and the medical campus of HKU, HKU might make reference to the arrangement of Cyberport and Hong Kong Science and Technology Parks by providing shuttle bus services during peak hours to mitigate traffic impact. On-site bus parking spaces were required for such arrangement. Besides, in the section 12A application to facilitate residential development at the Ebenezer site, the TD requested site boundary setback to facilitate the conversion of the existing bus stop on PFLR to a bus lay-by and footpath widening. Similar arrangement might be considered in the revised scheme for the Centre.</p> <p><i>[Above extracted from paragraph 13 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
<b>F. Environmental and Safety Concerns</b>		
(FF1)	<p>Hong Kong's climate strategy emphasizes carbon neutrality by 2050 and enhancement of urban greenery as key pillars of resilience against climate change. The development of the Centre on "GB" land contradicts these objectives by promoting deforestation, increasing carbon emissions, and degrading air quality.</p>	<p>The grounds and views regarding environmental and climate change were raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>Although the submission from HKU does not provide specific information regarding the carbon impact of the proposed development, HKU has committed to ensuring a minimum of 30% overall greenery coverage and communal open space of at least 12,000m<sup>2</sup> within the Site to enhance landscaping and greening of the proposed development. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 24(a) of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p>

		<p>The environmental impacts and tree felling should be properly addressed by HKU. Tree compensation should be enhanced and more green spaces should be provided. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 33 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
(FF2)	<p>The proposed Biosafety Level 3 laboratory of the Centre raises significant public health concerns. High-risk pathogen research in close proximity to residential areas poses unacceptable biohazard risks. Such facilities should be located in industrial zones or purpose-built I&amp;T hubs like the NM, away from dense residential populations.</p> <p>HKU's proposal is inherently fraught with issues that are a far cry from public expectations, as demonstrated by their insensitivity in planning for a nitrogen tank right behind a residential block.</p>	<p>The grounds regarding health and safety concerns were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>HKU's representative explained that the research activities to be carried out in the Centre would be mainly computer operations (e.g. Fintech research) in dry laboratory facilities. The nitrogen tank which was of concern to some representers was not inflammable, usually used for cooling purpose. All those facilities in the Centre would comply with relevant government legislation, regulations, and international environmental and safety standards. In the HKU and HKUMed campuses, there were some existing similar laboratories, located near the residential neighbourhoods, operating under stringent safety regulations for many years, without major incidents of lab leaks/risks according to HKU's records. HKU was extremely responsible and had a good track record in responsible building design and risk management. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 41 of the minutes of the meeting on 4.11.2024]</i></p>

		<p>HKU's representative also explained that the Safety Office of HKU was responsible for ensuring a safe and healthy environment for the University Community. There were clear safety guidelines, including dangerous goods storage and handling of incidents. HKU would follow the relevant regulations and requirements stipulated by the Fire Services Department for the storage of dangerous goods. Reference would be made to the top-class international and national research facilities in respect of stringent safety management. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 77 of the minutes of the meeting on 1.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Nonetheless, in view of the residents' concerns, HKU committed to revisiting the location of the nitrogen tank and to further assessing the potential risk of the nitrogen tank when revising the development proposal for the Centre. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 65 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>The Board expressed that as the types of laboratories in the Centre were an area of public concern about safety, careful consideration should be given to the location and risk management of those facilities. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 12(c) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
<b>G. Drainage and Utility</b>		
(FG1)	The Centre would involve large-scale excavation and construction works, removal of existing vegetation, leading to slope failures during heavy rainfall which would lead to	The grounds and views regarding potential flooding was raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed responses to this issue have been set out in response (a) of paragraph

	potential downstream flooding along PFLR.	<p>6.2.12 of the TPB Paper No. 10987, which are extracted below:</p> <p>HKU has conducted a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) for the proposed development. The DIA concludes that the existing drainage infrastructure is adequate to handle the anticipated water flow resulting from the proposed development, and no upgrading works are required.</p>
<b>H. Geotechnical and Development Costs</b>		
(FH1)	<p>It will take over 10 years to complete the Centre and the slopes would be disturbed and become unstable during the construction period. The long construction period, extensive slope stabilization, excavation and building of retaining structures exponentially increase development costs and risk of landslides upon the neighbourhood, including Baguio Villa. The steep slopes and narrow access roads will not allow multiple construction works to be carried out simultaneously at the Site.</p>	<p>The grounds and views regarding geotechnical and slope safety were raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been set out in the TPB Paper No. 10987, and recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>The elevation of the Site ranges from approximately +67 to +133mPD, featuring sloping natural terrain. According to the submitted Geotechnical Planning Review Report (GPRR), the proposed site formation works are considered geotechnically feasible, and no insurmountable issues are anticipated from the geotechnical perspective. Ground investigation works will be conducted within the Site. Stability of all slopes (both man-made and natural terrains) and retaining walls within or near the site affecting or being affected by the proposed development will be assessed. Any necessary remedial or upgrading works will be proposed and carried out as necessary during the detailed design stage. The Head (Geotechnical Engineering Office), Civil Engineering and Development Department has no</p>

		<p>objection to the proposed development. <i>[Above extract from paragraph 6.2.11, Responses (a) of the TPB Paper No. 10987]</i></p> <p>Representatives of HKU explained that some bored piles would indeed be required for slope cutting according to the preliminary design in the GPRR. About one-third of all the bored piles along the whole site would be used for Phase 1. HKU acknowledged the time and impact associated with large-scale excavation. While adopting a terraced building design, they would improve the design and layout and adjust the bulk of the development when more detailed ground investigation information was available. As Ebenezer School expressed concerns on the impact of low-frequency vibration, the use of bored piles would be avoided as far as possible. In view of the time, cost and impact, the extent of rock excavation would be minimised. To address the anticipated delays often associated with construction on slopes, HKU would allow additional buffer time when planning the construction works at the detailed design stage. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 32 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Necessary remedial or upgrading slope works would be proposed during the detailed design stage. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 6(11) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
(FH2)	Given Hong Kong's ongoing structural deficit of HK\$100 billion, it is unacceptable for a publicly owned educational facility to pursue unnecessary, extravagant construction in an	The grounds and views regarding development costs and financial viability were raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's



	unsuitable and costly location.	responses to these issues have been set out in the TPB Paper No. 10987, and recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:
(FH3)	HKU did not provide development costs and the financial viability of the project is doubtful. HKU should look for an alternative, more appropriate site which can save the construction costs, which are likely to be funded by public money. The ballpark costs and construction programme have not been undertaken, nor was the required consultation undertaken.	<p>According to ITIB, the Centre is a self-financing project initiated by HKU rather than a government-led/financed I&amp;T infrastructure or public works item. HKU has indicated that the Centre will operate as a non-profit, multi-disciplinary research entity, supervised by a Board of Directors and an Executive Committee. HKU is working out the detailed capital and recurrent costs associated with the proposed development, as well as identifying potential funding sources (such as private donations and internal resources). At the previous MPC meeting held on 1.3.2024, HKU's representatives also assured that funding would be secured from both private and public sectors domestically and overseas. The proposed development would also be financed through research grants awarded to future users of the Centre. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 6.2.11, Responses (b) of the TPB Paper No. 10987]</i></p> <p>While a Member was concerned about the financial viability of the proposed development and queried whether the project was cost-effective, another Member remarked that financial viability was not a planning consideration of the Board. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 24 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
<b>I. Other Matters</b>		
(FI1)	The development of the Centre would lead to property	Property price is not a relevant planning consideration and falls outside the

	devaluation by compromising privacy, increasing noise pollution, and diminishing the overall quality of life.	scope of the OZP. [Above extracted from paragraph 6.2.15, Responses (d) of the TPB Paper No. 10987]
(FI2)	While the Centre may contribute to academic research and innovation development, the tangible benefits to the Pok Fu Lam community remain unclear and unquantified. The project primarily serves HKU's institutional interests and convenience rather than addressing pressing community needs.	<p>The grounds and views regarding whether the Centre would bring any benefits to the community was raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's responses to this issue have been recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>Representative of HKU expressed that the Centre would bring planning gains to the community. The design of the Centre sought to balance the operational requirements for accommodating research facilities that required expansive floor plates while achieving responsive building design and visual openness. Building separation and layout had been meticulously oriented to maximise air and visual permeability, while the height and bulk of the buildings would be compatible with the surrounding environment, creating a stepping height profile in the area. On the traffic aspect, on-site drop-offs and setback for providing space for bus lay-by extension were proposed. Upgrading of the concerned road junctions would be conducted if necessary. To enhance the landscaping and greening of the proposed development, HKU had committed to providing a minimum of 30% overall greenery coverage and communal open space of not less than 12,000m<sup>2</sup>, thereby contributing to a quality landscape setting for use by the general public that benefited both the environment and the community. In addition to the intention to retain existing trees as much as practicable, new tree planting in</p>

		<p>clusters to recreate the habitat, and vertical greening or edge planting to soften the building form would be planned. Newly planted tree species would be carefully selected to sustain and attract biodiversity. Similar to the main campus of HKU, landscape plaza and courtyard were proposed at the podium level for events and leisure activities for public use. Members of the public could also pass through the Centre via lifts and escalators between PFLR and Victoria Road. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 24 of minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Members expressed that additional planning and design merits and facilities that might benefit the local community should be incorporated into the revised development proposal. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 15(a) and 33(g) of minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
<b>J. Public Consultation</b>		
(FJ1)	<p>HKU has a poor reputation for engaging with the public. This provides no confidence that HKU would, or even could, undertake the necessary meaningful community engagement as required by the planning procedures. It has made no attempt or effort to contact the residents of Baguio Villa, the Ebenezer School, or other members of the community to consult the views of affected stakeholders.</p> <p>The technical studies for the Centre were not professionally conducted and failed to consider the concerns of local</p>	<p>The grounds and views regarding insufficient public consultation were raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to this issue have been set out in the TPB Paper No. 10987 and recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>HKU explained that it consulted the Development Planning Committee of the SDC on 17.1.2024 and briefed SDC members on the development parameters of the Centre. To engage stakeholders and local community, two briefing sessions were conducted in Cyberport on 13 and 14.5.2024.</p>

	<p>residents.</p>	<p>Besides, a dedicated website had been set up to provide the public with the most up-to-date information and news of the Centre. During the review process of the development plan of the Centre, consideration would be given to disseminate information related to the proposal via a single channel/platform. A proactive approach would also be adopted to engage local residents and stakeholders in the community with a view to addressing their needs and concerns. HKU will also strengthen liaison with the SDC and explore options to establish direct contact with local residents. HKU would endeavour to enhance engagement with the community, including not only neighbourhood stakeholders but also green groups, through a comprehensive public engagement exercise so as to improve the development proposal for the Centre. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 47 and 78 of the minutes of the meeting on 1.11.2024, paragraph 42 of the minutes of the meeting on 4.11.2024, and paragraph 74 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Members generally considered that there was room for improvement in HKU's public consultation and community engagement efforts. Since many representers had expressed dissatisfaction with the lack of communication and respect by HKU during the previous project planning process, HKU should engage the local community more proactively in revising the development proposal. The consultation exercise should commence at an early stage and adopt a two-way and bottom-up approach to address various concerns raised by stakeholders, including local residents, the Ebenezer and green groups. The focus should be on the design of the</p>
--	-------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>Centre and mitigation measures to alleviate potential adverse impacts, e.g. provision of more communal open space and addressing construction traffic. HKU should also engage in continuous discussions with the Ebenezer regarding the design constraints and approaches to minimise noise impacts on its students with visual impairment. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 26 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
--	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Proposed Explanatory Statement Submitted by Further Representer No. F3**

**Appendix 3 : Proposed Alternative Wording of the Explanatory Statement**

**7.9 Undetermined "U"**

- 7.9.1 In early 2024 the University of Hong Kong (HKU) submitted to government a proposal to develop a portion of government land between Pok Fu Lam Road and Victoria Road for a deep technology research centre. After consideration of the HKU proposal and government departmental comments, on the 22 March 2024 the TPB amended the draft Pok Fu Lam OZP No. S/H10/22 incorporating the proposals for public inspection under section 5 of the Ordinance. 3,677 valid representations were received and 3 days of hearing were held in early November 2024.
- 7.9.2 After consideration of the representations the TPB decided not to accept the proposal by HKU, but to amend the zoning to "U". It was concluded that there was inadequate consideration of alternative sites, that consideration of land use compatibility, development intensity, impacts on traffic, visual, landscape, ecological, environmental, geotechnical, public health and safety aspects, as well as the public consultation was inadequate. HKU should consider alternative locations in other areas such as the Northern Metropolis. If HKU concluded after review that the Centre should be in Pok Fu Lam, it should provide more justifications for why other locations were not ideal for the development of the Centre. Besides, further clarification from HKU was required regarding the idea of establishing a self-contained facility while also promoting a synergy effect with the surrounding developments. In undertaking the further study no development shall be proposed within 35m of the Ebenezer school boundaries in the R(C)zone or GIC zone, and no building built in front of Ebenezer shall have a height greater than 130mPD
- 7.9.3 No application under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance for a similar proposal would be processed by the TPB under the "U" zoning. If, on conclusion of the review by HKU the "U" site was considered to be the most suitable site, then the proposal would need to be resubmitted to the TPB with supporting technical information. Should the proposal be accepted by the TPB the "U" zone would need to be amendment to a suitable alternative zone to allow the development to proceed.

城 市 規 劃 委 員 會

**TOWN PLANNING BOARD**

香港北角渣華道三百三十三號  
北角政府合署十五樓

15/F, North Point Government Offices  
333 Java Road, North Point,  
Hong Kong

傳 真 Fax: 2877 0245 / 2522 8426

電 話 Tel: 2231 4810

來函檔號 Your Reference:

覆函請註明本會檔號  
In reply please quote this ref.: TPB/R/S/H10/22-«Ref»«REF\_NO»

14 February 2025

«Address\_Agent\_Name»

Dear Sir/Madam,

**Further Representations in respect of the Proposed Amendments to the  
Draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H10/22  
(Further Representation No. «Ref»«REF\_NO»)**

I refer to your further representation which was received by the Town Planning Board (TPB) on «接獲日期\_R\_Date» in respect of the proposed amendments to the draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H10/22. All valid further representations, including yours, had been circulated to the relevant government bureaux/departments (B/Ds) for comment. Relevant B/Ds have made no new comment on the further representations, and their comments on the further representations are recapitulated from TPB Paper No. 10987 and relevant minutes of meeting as set out in **Annex I**.

All valid further representations, including yours, together with the abovementioned departmental comments and your responses to the departmental comments, if any, will be submitted to the TPB for consideration. There will be no hearing for further representations as stated in paragraph 2.5 of the Town Planning Board Guidelines No. 29C on “Submission and Processing of Representations and Further Representations under the Town Planning Ordinance” (the Guidelines). The details of the meeting including the meeting date and the TPB Paper will be made available on TPB’s website at a dedicated link ([https://www.tpb.gov.hk/en/plan\\_making/S\\_H10\\_22.html](https://www.tpb.gov.hk/en/plan_making/S_H10_22.html)). A Gist of Decision will be uploaded to TPB’s website after the meeting. After confirmation of the minutes of TPB’s deliberation, the further representers will be notified of the TPB’s decision in writing. The confirmed minutes will also be available at TPB’s website.

Please complete and return the attached form (**Annex II**) to us by post or e-mail **on or before 23 February 2025**. If you do not return the attached form by the aforesaid date, the TPB will proceed to consider the further representations on the basis that you have no further responses on the captioned matter.

For future correspondence, please quote the above further representation number.

Yours faithfully,



( Leticia LEUNG )

for Secretary, Town Planning Board

with encl.

**Summary of Further Representations (FRs) and the Planning Department's (PlanD's) Detailed Responses  
in respect of the Proposed Amendments to the Draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/H10/22 (the draft OZP)**

(1) The grounds and views of the 2 supportive further representations (FRs) and the responses as below:

Grounds and Views of Further Representations		PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/ Departments (B/Ds) Concerned
(FS1)	The proposed Global Innovation Centre of the University of Hong Kong (the Centre), which will attract talents and researchers from various fields worldwide to share their knowledge, will be the first research facility in Hong Kong dedicated to upstream deep technology. It aligns with the local and national policy goals to develop Hong Kong into an international Innovation and Technology (I&T) hub while consolidating its strength in upstream basic research. The Centre will complement industry-oriented activities in other I&T hubs in Hong Kong and the Greater Bay Area.	The supportive views, consistent with those stated in the supportive representations related to the Original Amendment Item A and expressed by HKU's representatives at the hearings, are noted.
(FS2)	The Centre aims to provide an enabling environment for scholars and academics to engage in transdisciplinary frontier research, such as sustainable energy, quantum technology, and artificial intelligence. Its strategic location near the University of Hong Kong (HKU) campuses, Queen Mary Hospital (QMH) and Cyberport will foster synergies amongst these institutions and create a self-sustainable research and development ecosystem in the area. Given the urgency to fostering I&T development, it is more reasonable to develop the Centre close to the HKU campuses, ensuring that its operations and research are well-supported by the scholars already working at HKU, thereby generating prompt, tangible and transferrable research results. HKU has conducted technical assessments for the Centre, demonstrating that there are no insurmountable technical problems or impacts arising from the proposed development at the Further Amendment Item A Site (the Site).	



(FS3)	HKU has received valuable feedback on the Centre's development from various stakeholders during the Town Planning Board's (the Board) hearing in November 2024 and has taken note of concerns regarding environmental impact or other technical aspects of the project. HKU is currently assessing the feasibility of the suggestions and proposals received and will step up efforts to engage with stakeholders. The proposed scheme will be strategically amended, such as reducing the density of the development, increasing the setback area from neighbouring buildings, designating more green space, etc., to minimise adverse impacts on the surroundings and the community. Technical assessments will be conducted again as necessary. Additionally, HKU will pay special attention to construction planning to further minimise impacts on the neighbourhood.	
(FS4)	Support rezoning of the Site to an "Undetermined" ("U") zone, which could allow time for HKU to review the development plan and consider the comments and suggestions made by Members of the Town Planning Board (TPB Members) and the public.	

(2) The grounds and views of the 1,859 opposing further representations (FRs) and the responses as below:

Grounds and Views of Further Representations		PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government B/Ds Concerned
<b>A. Strategic Planning, Site Selection and Alternative Locations</b>		
(FA1)	Although the development of the Centre was announced in the 2021 Policy Address (PA), the Centre (currently being planned and developed in the Pok Fu Lam area) does not align with national, regional and territorial planning and development	The grounds and views regarding strategic planning, site selection and alternative locations were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been provided in the TPB Paper No. 10987 and recorded in the

	<p>strategies (particularly the Northern Metropolis (NM) Development Strategy) and the subsequent PAs which strongly advocate for establishing Hong Kong's future international I&amp;T hub in the NM.</p> <p>Placing the Centre outside this I&amp;T hub is inconsistent with the Board's decision to overrule objections to the San Tin Technopole OZP, which aims to create a critical mass to foster I&amp;T development, meet the increasing demand of land for I&amp;T development, and deepen collaboration with the Mainland and the world.</p>	<p>minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>According to the Innovation, Technology and Industry Bureau (ITIB), I&amp;T is a major driver that can spur economic development and new quality productive forces. The Government promulgated the I&amp;T Blueprint in late 2022, setting out four development directions and eight major strategies, which include enhancement to the I&amp;T ecosystem and promotion of interactive development across the upstream, midstream and downstream sectors.</p> <p>The strategic directions emphasized in the I&amp;T Blueprint can be realized in various forms, locations, and projects by different stakeholders. While the San Tin Technopole (including the Loop in the NM), being Government-initiated and funded infrastructural facilities and I&amp;T projects, can provide new land for building a hub for clustered I&amp;T development, they are by no means the only suitable and/or available platforms for achieving the Government's I&amp;T development goals.</p> <p>To position Hong Kong as an international hub for I&amp;T, the 2021 PA has indicated the Government's in-principle acceptance of HKU's proposal to reserve a site in Pok Fu Lam for the construction of facilities dedicated to deep technology research.</p> <p>ITIB affirms that the Centre aligns with the policy goals to enhance Hong Kong's status as an international I&amp;T hub while consolidating its strength in upstream basic research. ITIB also takes the view that the Centre is a distinct initiative pursued by HKU concerning mainly basic research in the upstream and related</p>
(FA2)	<p>The policy direction to reserve a site in Pok Fu Lam for constructing deep technology research facilities by HKU has unnecessarily influenced the Board's statutory function to consider the siting of the Centre independently and professionally.</p>	
(FA3)	<p>The proximity of the Center to HKU's existing campus is not essential in this advanced technology era of 5G and 6G. There are many successful examples of satellite campuses of top universities around the world. The convenience of HKU's location should not override the 'Town Planning Board Guidelines for Applications for Development within the "Green Belt" Zone under section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance' (TPB PG-No.10) and at the expense of the Pok Fu Lam</p>	

	community.	teaching/academic facilities near its existing campus, while government-initiated initiatives such as San Tin Technopole in the NM have different foci and functions in the I&T ecosystem and that the latter is not meant to supersede or substitute the former. <i>[Above extracted from response (a) of paragraph 6.2.6 and paragraph 9.3(a) of TPB paper no. 10987]</i>
(FA4)	There are alternative locations to consider, such as San Tin Technopole and the Loop, the “Residential (Group C) 6” (“R(C)6”) site adjacent to the “U” zone, Cyberport, land next to International School Foundation, and the Stanley Ho Sports Centre. HKU should also explore better utilization of its premises with low occupancy rates. However, HKU did not adequately evaluate these alternative locations and premises.	According to HKU, while the Site was considered the most suitable location, it would still consider alternative locations such as San Tin Technopole and the adjacent “R(C)6” site. Besides, HKU would review the necessity and floorspace requirements of various components of the Centre and explore the potential for shared facilities
(FA5)	A FR (F5) strongly objects to the Board’s conclusion that HKU should consider whether the “R(C)6” site adjoining the “U” zone would be more suitable for the Centre. There is insufficient justification for locating the Centre in a residential area. The Centre would negatively impact the visual landscape of Pok Fu Lam Road (PFLR). Relocating the Centre to the “R(C)6” site is unlikely to mitigate the impacts on neighbouring communities, accommodate setbacks for road improvement to enhance traffic flow, reduce building bulk, or provide opportunities for more compensatory planting.	In response to a Member’s question on whether the Board was obliged to follow the policy direction of the 2021 PA and accept HKU’s proposal, the Chairperson said that even though the proposed development originated from the 2021 PA, the Executive Council’s subsequent agreement-in-principle for the land grant to HKU was conditional upon HKU being able to secure the Board’s approval for the rezoning proposal amongst other things. Hence the Board with its statutory functions was fully entitled to consider the rezoning proposal independently and professionally. While PlanD would adopt a facilitating role in taking forward the rezoning proposal if such was given policy support and found technically feasible by B/Ds, it would be incumbent upon HKU as the project proponent to resolve all technical issues to the satisfaction of relevant government departments. The Board, as a statutory body, would exercise its independent judgement to consider the amendments to the OZP and the representations in the interest of society as a whole. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 6(l) and 29 of the minutes of the meeting]</i>

on 29.11.2024]

Majority of TPB Members shared similar views regarding site selection for the Centre. Their views were that (a) not all representers who raised objections were against the Site or the development of the Centre in Pok Fu Lam. The crux of the matter was whether the development proposal was acceptable. HKU should provide strong justifications for choosing the preferred site and conduct adequate technical assessments on the revised development proposal to address local concerns; (b) there was a genuine need for Hong Kong to develop deep technology research facilities, and it was logical for HKU to develop the Centre near its Main Campus in Pok Fu Lam, where the research atmosphere was well-established with the presence of QMH and Cyberport, creating clustering and synergy effects and facilitating collaboration across the research and academic sectors. In particular, the advancement of financial technology often leveraged its proximity to universities; (c) HKU should consider alternative locations in Pok Fu Lam and other areas such as NM. If HKU concluded after review that the Centre should be in Pok Fu Lam, it should provide more justifications for why other locations were not ideal for the development of the Centre; (d) further clarification from HKU was required regarding the idea of establishing a self-contained facility while also promoting a synergy effect with the surrounding developments; (e) HKU should consider whether the Site or other sites in Pok Fu Lam, including but not limited to the adjoining undeveloped “R(C)6” site, were more suitable for achieving HKU’s objective while minimising impacts on neighbouring communities. From the planning perspective, it was desirable for HKU to integrate the Site with the adjoining “R(C)6” site to offer greater design flexibility, accommodate setbacks for road improvement/ widening to improve traffic flow,

		<p>and allow room for future expansion. This could reduce the site area and building bulk at the Site, particularly when viewed from Victoria Road, and provide opportunity for more compensatory planting. While developing the Centre at the “R(C)6” site with a building height (BH) restriction of 137mPD might be less controversial, it was still crucial to strictly control the plot ratio and BH to avoid adverse impacts on the surrounding area. Besides, HKU should fully address the noise impact of the Centre, in particular during the construction stage, on students with visual impairment at Ebenezer School. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 9(a) to (d) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Regarding TPB PG-No.10, responses for (FC1) below is also relevant.</p>
<b>B. The “U” zoning</b>		
(FB1)	<p><u>No Legal Basis</u></p> <p>The Board does not have the legal authority under section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance (the Ordinance) to propose an amendment to the plan that only “partially” meets a representation. The Ordinance clearly states that the Board must decide whether or not to propose amendment to the plan in the manner proposed in the representation, or to propose amendment to the plan in any other manner that, in the Board’s opinion, will meet the representation. Since no representer proposed that the plan be amended to include a “U” zoning for the Site, there was no representation which could be considered as being met by the proposed “U” zoning. The decision to</p>	<p>The grounds and views regarding the designation of “U” zoning at the Site were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU’s and Government’s responses to these issues have been provided in the TPB Paper No. 10987 and recorded in the minutes of the Board’s meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>A Member sought clarification on the Board’s options for decision-making under the Ordinance. PlanD replied that in accordance with section 6B(8) of the Ordinance, “<i>after considering any representation under this section, the Board must decide whether or not to propose amendment to the plan in the manner proposed by the representation; or to propose amendment to the plan in any other manner that, in the opinion of the Board, will meet the representation</i>”. HKU issued a press release to announce its decision to take some time to strategically</p>

<p>rezone the Site to “U” therefore has no legal basis under section 6B(8) of the Ordinance.</p> <p>The agreement between the HKU and the Hong Kong Government, as suggested by the two press releases on 3.10.2024, raises concerns about the Board’s ability to exercise independent planning judgement.</p> <p>Additionally, the minutes of the meetings do not adequately describe the Board’s decision-making process or explain how the representations had been “partially met” by the proposed amendment. The Board must clearly demonstrate that it has considered all relevant submissions and provide adequate reasons for not accepting the submissions made. The decision also erroneously states that some representations had been “partially met” by rezoning the Site to “U”, even though the representers had clearly requested to retain the “GB” and “R(C)6” zones and made no reference to the “U” zoning in their representations. In fact, the representers had stated that they were against the “U” zoning during the hearings.</p> <p>The Board's statutory duties include designating an appropriate zoning and setting development parameters for a site. By deciding on a “U” zoning, the Board failed to fulfill this duty, as the “U” zoning does not set appropriate development parameters. As per the recent High Court Judgment (HCAL</p>	<p>review and amend the development plan to address stakeholders’ opinions as much as practicable. HKU also endeavoured to step up engagement with the community through various channels to improve the proposal and provide timely project updates. In light of the above, PlanD proposed to rezone the Site to “U” in this interim period to serve as a stopgap arrangement pending completion of the review and further community engagement by HKU. PlanD further supplemented that the Board, after considering the representations, could decide whether to amend the zoning of the Site on the OZP in accordance with the Ordinance. If the decision was to amend the OZP, the Board could follow the proposals of the representers. Alternatively, the Board could amend the OZP in a way as it thought fit that would meet the representations. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 36 and 37 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>TPB Members acknowledged during the meetings that most representers supported the development of the Centre by HKU to consolidate Hong Kong’s leading position in deep technology research, while their objections/concerns were mainly related to site selection and hence land use compatibility, development intensity, impacts on traffic, visual, landscape, ecological, environmental, geotechnical, public health and safety aspects, as well as the lack of proper consultation. HKU has committed in its press release and at the hearings to consulting relevant stakeholders in strategically reviewing and amending its development plan to address their opinions as much as practicable. HKU would also explore the possibility of identifying alternative sites for the development of the Centre. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 8 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>PlanD supplemented that pending HKU’s review and further consultation, it was</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>1258/2023), “traditional administrative law principles include that a decision-maker exercising a statutory power must ask himself the right question and take reasonable steps to acquaint himself with the relevant information to enable him to answer it correctly”. If the Board did not feel it could set appropriate development parameters for the Site, its only option was to decide not to propose an amendment to the plan.</p> <p>The High Court’s recent decision to overturn development at the Fanling Golf Course established a critical legal precedent for safeguarding land with ecological interest. The court ruled that rezoning decisions must adhere to stringent environmental assessments and comprehensive public consultation processes. Rezoning the Site to “U” without addressing environmental risks or community objections exposes the project to judicial review, which could result in costly litigation, further delaying development programme and wasting public resources.</p> <p>Given the strong views of the representers and TPB Members on the suitability of the Site for development of the Centre, it is highly unlikely that the Centre would be redesigned to be acceptable at the Site. It is therefore premature to rezone the Site to “U”. The way the relevant parts of the Explanatory Statement (ES) on the “U” zone are written is considered inappropriate, as it implies and determines the use of the Site to be for the Centre, even though the final site location is still</p>	<p>premature for the Board at this juncture to decide to adopt other zonings or impose any specific planning restrictions in the absence of a revised scheme. It was not the first time for the Board to adopt “U” zone as an interim zoning. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 37 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i> Designating a site as “U” zone on OZPs was not uncommon when the planning intention for a site was uncertain or while awaiting completion of a study or infrastructure facilities. It was considered prudent to rezone the Site to “U” in the interim period, allowing time for HKU to review its development plan and make amendments based on stakeholders’ feedback. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 45(a) of the minutes of the meeting on 1.11.2024]</i></p> <p>During the deliberation session, TPB Members generally supported the proposed amendment of the Site from “OU(Global Innovation Centre)” to “U”, and expressed that the interim “U” zoning was appropriate to allow time for HKU to review the development proposal, conduct relevant technical assessments, further consult the local community and submit the revised proposal for consideration by the Government and the Board. The development of the Centre could facilitate the provision of deep technology research facilities in Hong Kong. The proposal of some representers to revert the Site to “GB” and “R(C)6” was not a viable solution as such an arrangement would only shift the problem elsewhere. The “U” zoning would provide an opportunity for HKU to strategically review the development proposal including exploring the feasibility of integrating the Site with the adjoining “R(C)6” site and retaining some areas within the original “GB” site. Regarding the planning control under the “U” zone, TPB Members expressed that there would be adequate planning control under the “U” zoning any development would be require planning permission from the Board. <i>[Above</i></p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>subject to HKU's review and assessment of alternative sites. The Site should therefore maintain its original “GB” zone and “R(C)6” zones, as this would better reflect the representers’ and TPB Members' concerns. This course of action does not preclude HKU from seeking a change to the plan when it has completed its reassessment of the proposal and conducted consultations with the community. If, after HKU’s review, this site is still deemed the most suitable for the development of the Centre, the revised proposal would be required to undergo statutory town planning procedures for amendments to the OZP.</p> <p>There is no explanation in the minutes why an interim “U” zoning for ‘stopgap’ is required, and why the “U” zoning is preferable in case HKU is now reviewing other alternative sites.</p> <p>It is misleading to say that designating a site as “U” zone on OZPs is not uncommon when the planning intention for a site is uncertain or while awaiting completion of a study or infrastructure facilities. In fact, this “U” zone is neither situated in an area where there was no current zoning, nor its current land use does not comply with the current zoning. On the Pok Fu Lam OZP, the current approved “GB” zoning is totally compatible and appropriate to the Site’s current use. Therefore, rezoning the Site to “U” is considered unnecessary, and the Site should revert to its original “GB” and “R(C)6” zones.</p>	<p><i>extracted from paragraph 25 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>The “U” zoning is appropriate as an interim measure to allow time for HKU to review and adjust the development proposal for the Centre and consult the local community in response to the views expressed by the Representers. As part of the review, HKU should consider alternative sites in Pok Fu Lam and other areas. If HKU concludes after review that the Centre should be in Pok Fu Lam, it should consider whether the Site or other sites, is more suitable for achieving its objectives. HKU should also submit a revised development proposal supported by technical assessments to demonstrate the feasibility of the proposal for consideration by relevant B/Ds. Should the revised proposal be found acceptable by the Government, PlanD would propose appropriate zoning amendment(s) to the OZP. Subject to the Board’s agreement, the rezoning would then undergo another round of statutory planning procedures in accordance with the Ordinance, during which members of the public would have the opportunity to submit written representations and attend hearings to express their views to the Board directly.</p> <p><i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 6(xx) and 33 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i> The ES for the “U” zone already reflects the above intention and situation.</p> <p>For the concerns about TPB’s ability to exercise independent planning judgment, the Chairperson of the Board has explained in the meetings, regarding the question on whether the Board was obliged to follow the policy direction of the 2021 PA and accept HKU’s proposal, that the Board with its statutory functions was fully entitled to consider the rezoning proposal independently and professionally. HKU, as the project proponent of the Centre, is obligated to resolve all technical</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



		issues to the satisfaction of relevant government departments and address public concerns. The Board, as a statutory body, would exercise its independent judgement to consider the amendments to the OZP and the representations in the interest of society as a whole. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 29 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i>
(FB2)	<p><u>Inadequate Development Control</u></p> <p>Under the covering Notes of the draft OZP, all uses or developments except some public works coordinated or implemented by Government require planning permission from the Board. While other uses, such as the proposed Centre, would require permission from the Board, this could be obtained through a section 16 application, rather than through sections 5 and 6 of the Ordinance.</p>	<p>Regarding the further representers' proposal, HKU has committed at the hearings to consult relevant stakeholders in strategically reviewing and amending its development plan, including reducing the density of the proposed development and bulk of the building(s), increasing the setback area from neighbouring buildings, designating more green spaces, etc. to address stakeholders' opinions as much as practicable. If the Government accepted HKU's revised proposal, another round of statutory planning procedures would be required to rezone the site to an appropriate zoning. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 6(pp) and 39(c) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
(FB3)	<p><u>Setting Adverse Precedent</u></p> <p>The "U" zoning for the Site may send the wrong impression that all trees in this zone are already slated for removal, and it sets a dangerous precedent. It may also undermine public involvement in the planning process, conveying the message that inadequate engagement with the Pok Fu Lam community will still result in a zonal change favourable to HKU. Moreover, the "U" zone risks signaling to developers and institutions that protected green spaces can be rezoned arbitrarily, creating piecemeal urban expansion into the green belt.</p>	<p>For the proposal of reverting the Site back to the original "GB" zoning, as explained by the Chairperson at the meeting, although the general presumption against development was applicable to all "GB" zones across all OZPs, with the objective of discouraging development in "GB" zones which had the planning function of serving as buffer between built-up areas and non-built up areas, there was no lack of examples in the past where "GB" zones were rezoned for appropriate uses, such as housing, and strong planning grounds were required to justify such land use changes, particularly the public interests that the rezoning proposals intended to achieve. Any development within a "GB" zone that would</p>
	<u>Proposals</u>	

(FB4)	<p><b>F3 to F5, F7 to F1794, F1799 to F1810, F1815 to F1845, F1848 to F1851, F1853 to F1855 and F1857 to F1859</b> propose to revert the Site back to the original “GB” and “R(C)6” zones.</p>	<p>have environmental impacts would need to be supported by relevant technical assessments with adequate and effective mitigation measures, and whether the environmental trade-offs were justified in meeting the needs of society. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 62 of the minutes of the meeting on 4.11.2024]</i></p>
(FB5)	<p>Should the Board consider “U” zoning appropriate for the Site, <b>F3</b> proposes to revert a small portion of the Site directly adjoining and in front of the Ebenezer School and the ENHS to the “GB” zone. The remaining portion of the Site can be retained as the proposed “U” zone, and a 35m set-back from the boundaries of the Ebenezer School and ENHS, along with a maximum BH of 130mPD in front of the two schools, are proposed to be included in the revised ES.</p>	
(FB6)	<p>If the proposed amendment to revert to the original zoning is not supported by the Board, <b>F1808 to F1810 and F1835 to F1837</b> suggest that the covering Notes to be amended to stipulate that permission sought from the Board for the development at the Site should be by means of OZP amendment via section 5 of the Ordinance. <b>F5</b> also proposes to delete the provision in the covering Notes that permits development in the “U” zone through section 16 application to the Board. The ES is proposed to be amended to indicate that no development is permissible without another round of OZP amendment as a precondition, except with respect to Column 1 and 2 of the “GB” zoning. If the Board does not support the above proposal, <b>F5</b> further proposes to impose a BH restriction of</p>	

	137mPD (including roof top structures and without a minor relaxation clause) and introduce the requirement for a Layout Plan and Visual Impact Assessment submission under the section 16 application.	
<b>C. Land Uses Compatibility, Development Intensity, Visual Impact and Interface with Nearby Schools</b>		
(FC1)	<p><u>Land Uses Compatibility and Development Intensity</u></p> <p>Pok Fu Lam is a low-density, green residential area on Hong Kong Island. Defined by tranquil surroundings and extensive greenery, it represents a rare and valuable urban landscape. This setting offers residents a peaceful, community-focused living environment. The Centre is a high-density, large-scale development which is incompatible with the area's existing residential character. Protecting the existing green belt is crucial for preserving the hallmark of Pok Fu Lam.</p> <p>The surrounding educational, institutional, hospital, and residential uses do not justify the development of "GB". The Board should follow the directive in the 2023 PA that no more "GB" areas would be used for large-scale development.</p> <p>According to the TPB PG-No.10, there is a general presumption against development in a "GB" zone, which should be justified by very strong planning grounds and subject to other criteria. HKU's Centre at the original "GB" site has to meet the stringent</p>	<p>The grounds and views regarding land use compatibility and development intensity were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been provided in the TPB Paper No. 10987 and recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>The Board agreed that, in planning terms, the proposed use at the Site is not incompatible with the surrounding educational, institutional, hospital and residential uses. [<i>Above extracted from paragraph 39(b) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024</i>]</p> <p>According to HKU, the main research uses should be complemented by supporting facilities (e.g. scholars' residences) to attract talents. That said, HKU would strategically review and amend the development plan, e.g. making better use of the Site, reducing density and bulk, lowering BH, increasing setback from neighbouring buildings, etc. [<i>Above extracted from paragraph 6(s) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024</i>]</p> <p>The TPB PG-No.10 outlines the assessment criteria for considering section 16 planning applications for developments within "GB" zones, which is not</p>

	<p>criteria set forth in the Guidelines. The general presumption against development applies to all “GB” zones across all OZPs has also been confirmed by the Chairperson of the Board at the hearings. By zoning the Site to “U” in the interim, the Board effectively allows HKU to bypass the Guidelines. The rezoning of the Site to “U” undermines the integrity of the “GB” zone and opens the door to speculative development that prioritizes institutional convenience over environmental preservation. This shift represents a dangerous precedent, weakening the presumption against development.</p> <p>The Site is characterized by a rich and dense presence of trees and should be accurately classified as “GB”. As no cogent planning justifications have been presented for the removal of the “GB” zone as stipulated in TPB PG-No. 10 (e.g. essential need and no alternative site), the legitimate expectation for the continuance of the “GB” zoning remains.</p> <p>The bulk of the proposed Centre could be significantly reduced by removing unnecessary uses such as residential buildings which HKU has surplus staff quarters.</p>	<p>applicable to the subject proposed amendments to the OZP. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 6.2.7(b) of the TPB Paper No. 10987]</i></p> <p>The Chairperson of the Board explained that the general presumption against development is applicable to all “GB” zones across all OZPs, with the objective of discouraging development in “GB” zones which have the planning function of serving as buffer between built-up areas and non-built up areas. That said, there was no lack of examples in the past where “GB” zones were rezoned for appropriate uses, such as housing, and strong planning grounds were required to justify such land use changes, particularly the public interests that the rezoning proposals intended to achieve. Any development within a “GB” zone that would have environmental impacts would need to be supported by relevant technical assessments with adequate and effective mitigation measures, and whether the environmental trade-offs were justified in meeting the needs of society. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 62 of the minutes of the meeting on 4.11.2024]</i></p> <p>HKU should critically review the necessity and floorspace requirements for various components of the Centre, including accommodation and conference facilities. Consideration should also be given to optimising the utilisation of the HKU’s existing premises/facilities to meet such needs. Noting the availability of vacant residential premises managed by HKU in Pok Fu Lam, the need for the accommodation component in the Centre should be justified. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 12(b), 33 and 34 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
(FC2)	<u>Visual Impact</u>	The grounds regarding visual impact of the Centre were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed

	<p>It is important to preserve the public views and visual amenity obtained from PFLR as stipulated in paragraph 5.2 of the ES of the OZP. There are legitimate expectations on the protection of public views from PFLR. Therefore, any future development should not adversely affect the existing public views obtained from PFLR, with distanced open views across the Site, and across the adjoining “R(C)6” Site where the 137mPD BH restriction should remain unchanged.</p>	<p>responses to these issues have been recorded in the minutes of the Board’s meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>Some TPB Members expressed the following views for HKU’s consideration when reviewing its proposal:</p> <p>HKU should enhance the design including reducing building density and bulk, lowering BH and providing building gaps from neighbouring buildings.</p> <p>Given the elongated configuration and steep terrain of the Site, HKU should take into account the topographical context to protect the natural environment and minimise adverse visual impacts in the revised proposal. The revised design should take into consideration public views from PFLR towards the sea as indicated by a representer (<b>R260</b>).</p> <p>As there would be substantial building bulk when viewed from Victoria Road, considerations should be given to reducing the building bulk along the Victoria Road frontage to avoid adverse visual impacts on the surrounding developments. Besides, the revised scheme should minimise the adverse impacts on the Ebenezer.</p> <p>HKU should enhance the design of the Centre, including reducing density and bulk, lowering BH and increasing setback from neighbouring buildings. [<i>Above extracted from paragraphs 15 and 33 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024</i>]</p>
(FC3)	<p><u>The Ebenezer School and the Ebenezer New Hope School (ENHS) (the Ebenezer)</u></p>	<p>TPB Members discussed the possible impact of the Centre on the Ebenezer at the hearings and the deliberation session, which are extracted below:</p>

	<p>The relocation of the Ebenezer School was discussed at the deliberation session. It should be noted that the timeline for the relocation of Ebenezer School to Tung Chung is uncertain. The services for the visually impaired will continue to be provided at the Ebenezer School and the ENHS. They would be subject to significant adverse noise and vibration impact for the whole of the site formation and construction period of the Centre.</p> <p>The Centre is less than 15m from the boundary of the ENHS and would be detrimental to the safety and quality of the learning environment for the students and boarders with visual impairment, intellectual and physical disabilities due to the development of the Centre. There is no plan for the relocation and change of use for the ENHS site (which is currently zoned “G/IC”), while it will remain under Ebenezer’s ownership and will continue to serve the visually impaired.</p>	<p>The Board expressed that HKU should fully address the noise impact of the Centre, in particular during the construction stage, on students with visual impairment at the Ebenezer. The revised scheme should minimise the adverse impacts on the Ebenezer.</p> <p>HKU should engage more proactively with the Ebenezer at the early design stage to better understand their needs and address their concerns. HKU should also engage in continuous discussions with the Ebenezer regarding the design constraints and approaches to minimise noise impacts on its students with visual impairment. There was a need for HKU to conduct bottom-up and two-way communication with the stakeholders including local residents, the Ebenezer and green groups at the next round of public engagement. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 9(d), 15(c), 17, 26 and 33(h) of the minutes of the meetings on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
<b>D. Tree Preservation, Landscape and Ecology</b>		
(FD1)	<p>It is important to recognize the value of the 2,250 trees within the Site, regardless of the species. The removal of over 2,250 mature trees to accommodate the Centre would result in irreversible environmental degradation and destruction of significant natural habitats.</p>	<p>The grounds and views regarding tree preservation, landscape and ecology were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU’s and Government’s responses to these issues have been recorded in the minutes of the Board’s meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>Representative of HKU explained that owing to site constraints and conflicts with</p>

(FD2)	<p>Mature trees take decades to regrow, and newly planted saplings lack the ecological complexity required to support native fauna.</p>	<p>the development layout, it was anticipated that about 2,000 trees would inevitably be felled. Although only about 850 new trees would be planted, HKU put much emphasis on the quality of the compensatory trees. For example, more heavy standard trees with larger diameter at breast height rather than light standard trees would be planted, and the possibility of planting new trees in appropriate locations instead of simply putting them on the roof had been duly considered. Compensatory trees would also be planted in clusters to form natural habitats for birds/butterflies' foraging. Off-site tree planting had been explored but no suitable sites could be identified yet. Nevertheless, when revising the development proposal for the Centre, HKU would critically review the tree preservation and compensation proposals, and liaise with the concerned government departments to explore off-site tree planting options. [<i>Above extracted from paragraphs 69 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024</i>]</p> <p>TPB Members generally agreed that HKU should minimise tree felling and disturbance to the natural habitats, enhance tree compensation and provide more green spaces. The proposed tree compensation ratio of 1:0.48 was relatively low as compared to the 1:1 ratio generally adopted in development proposals previously considered by the Board. Noting that about 2,000 trees would be felled, a TPB Member opined that the revised proposal should strike a balance between environmental protection and development. Noting that the trees at the Site were common species, two TPB Members considered the proposed tree felling not unacceptable. Regarding the ecological impacts of the Centre, a Member said that according to the Ecological Impact Assessment, the ecological value of the woodland habitat at the Site was relatively low. Another Member considered that HKU should address the impact of the proposed development on yellow-crested</p>
-------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		cockatoos ( <i>Cacatua sulphurea</i> ) (小葵花鳳頭鸚鵡). Tree felling and disturbance to natural habitats should be properly addressed by HKU. Tree compensation should be enhanced and more green spaces should be provided. [Above extracted from paragraphs 18, 19 and 33 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]
<b>E. Traffic and Transport</b>		
(FE1)	Residents in Pok Fu Lam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, QMH and the Cyberport. The Centre would cause further adverse traffic impact to the surrounding areas.	The grounds and views regarding traffic and transport impacts were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:
(FE2)	Although the relevant government departments had no adverse comments on the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) submitted by HKU for the Centre, it cannot be taken for granted that the TIA and its assumptions would not be inaccurate or over optimistic. There was also no construction traffic impact assessment conducted for the Centre.	Representative of HKU explained that: (1) the TIA was conducted based on assumed development parameters, including the assumption that the proposed development would accommodate 7,000 employees including about 1,500 research teams. Besides, the TIA had taken into account major planned and committed developments in the vicinity such as the redevelopment of Wah Fu Estate and the Cyberport Expansion. The TIA did not factor in the SIL(W) for assessment under the conservative approach and hence had assumed no modal shift resulting from any new non-road public transport systems;
(FE3)	The Centre involves residential buildings and an excessive overall PR of 4.72, which violates the purpose of the Pok Fu Lam Moratorium (PFLM). This is inconsistent with approving the Centre but rejecting the redevelopment proposal of the Ebenezer School.	(2) the locations of the vehicular ingress/egress points and the capacity of the concerned road links and junctions in the vicinity were assessed. The TIA concluded that all assessed roads links and junctions, except for four junctions (J1, J8, J16 and J17), would operate satisfactorily during peak hours under the scenario with the proposed development. Junction improvements for J1 (i.e. increasing the
(FE4)	The proposed South Island Line (West) (SIL(W)), intended to alleviate congestion of the Southern District, will not be	



<p>operational until at least 2034. Approving the Centre before its operation risks locking the area into years of excessive congestion and strain on existing infrastructure, resulting in increasing traffic bottlenecks, noise pollution, deteriorating road and pedestrian safety conditions, and affecting ambulance services.</p>	<p>cycle time of traffic signals) and J8 (i.e. provision of staggered pedestrian crossing) were proposed in the TIA to ensure adequate junction capacity. Although junctions J16 and J17 were located further from the proposed development and the traffic generated/attracted by the proposed development at those two junctions was considered negligible, HKU had proposed junction improvement works. HKU had been liaising with Transport Department (TD) on the need for the proposed improvement measures such as setback of the development site and road and pavement widening, and would coordinate with TD on the future improvement works if necessary;</p> <p>(3) the development programme of the Centre outlined in the TIA extended only to 2029 with a design year of 2032. In view of the considerable long timeframe for the implementation of the Centre, HKU had committed to undertaking an updated TIA at the detailed design stage, a construction TIA, and a traffic review prior to project commissioning. The requirement for HKU to submit these additional assessments had been incorporated into the ES of the OZP; and</p> <p>(4) PFLR was a primary distributor road with two lanes in each direction, connecting the Western District and the Aberdeen areas. HKU had implemented a number of projects in the area and was familiar with the traffic pressure of the local road network including PFLR, and HKU would continue to make every attempt to mitigate the any adverse traffic impact on the local road network. For example, to avoid congestion from buses queuing at the bus stop on PFLR, setback would be proposed to provide space for extension of bus lay-by. All loading/unloading activities for the Centre would be conducted on-site to avoid tailbacks/blockages at the vehicular ingress/egress. Widening of the footpath and pedestrian crossing</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>at PFLR near the vehicular ingress/egress of the Centre was proposed. By adopting an open campus policy and facilitating pedestrian connectivity between PFLR and Victoria Road, HKU would provide vertical pedestrian connection routes via lifts and escalators within the Centre, which would be open for public use. An internal walkway would also be provided to connect the proposed Centre with the HKU Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine (HKUMed) and its expansion at Sassoon Road.</p> <p>HKU, as the project proponent, would be responsible for designing and implementing, at its own cost, any traffic improvement measures or works identified in the TIA and any follow-up assessments/reviews as necessary to address traffic impacts related to the Centre.</p> <p><i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 26 and 27 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>PlanD explained at the meeting that PFLM is an administrative measure aimed at limiting excessive development in the Pok Fu Lam area for traffic management reasons. Any lease modification for redevelopment of sites with higher intensity in the area should be approved by the Executive Council, subject to fulfilling two conditions that (i) redevelopment would not result in insurmountable traffic impacts with proposed traffic improvement measures; and (ii) the proposal served the public interest. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 67 of the minutes of the meeting on 4.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Below are the responses from government departments on the traffic and transport issues:</p> <p>(1) the TIA confirmed that the proposed development would not create adverse</p>
--	--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>traffic impact on the local road network. Except four junctions (J1, J8, J16, and J17) where HKU had proposed junction improvement measures, all other junctions in the TIA would operate satisfactorily in the design year of 2032;</p> <p>(2) the traffic survey had taken the existing ambulance traffic into account and additional verification survey was conducted in September 2023 after the epidemic. The Commissioner for Transport had no adverse comments on the TIA and its assumptions. According to HKU, an updated TIA covering the full completion year of the Centre would be undertaken at the detailed design stage and a construction TIA and a traffic review would be conducted prior to the project commissioning; and</p> <p>(3) there were precedent cases for partial lifting of PFLM. Any lease modification for higher development intensity within area covered by PFLM would be subject to approval by the Executive Council.</p> <p><i>[Above extracted from paragraph 6 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Majority of TPB Members agreed that HKU should spend more efforts to address the representers' concerns on traffic and transport, and their major views and suggestions are as follows:</p> <p>(1) HKU should address the traffic impacts of the Centre comprehensively with a view to minimising impacts on the neighbouring community and residential developments during both construction and operation phases. The traffic impact during the construction phase could be substantial due to the challenges associated with site formation on slope and the extended construction timeline for the three-</p>
--	--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>phased development. HKU might consider advancing the construction TIA and some of the traffic studies so that it could provide more information on the findings and mitigation measures to Southern District Council (SDC) and local residents in the next round of public engagement to address local concerns at an early stage;</p> <p>(2) PFLM was in place due to traffic concerns. Currently, there were problems of traffic congestion on PFLR and Victoria Road. Under the current indicative scheme, two vehicular accesses were located on Victoria Road and there was no internal vehicular connection between PFLR and Victoria Road to allow traffic diversion between the two roads under emergency situations. The Centre would generate additional traffic burden on Victoria Road, which was a two-lane single carriageway without much capacity for further improvement. Vehicular accesses on PFLR and Victoria Road and possible connection between the two roads should be carefully considered in the revised scheme with a view to minimising adverse traffic impact on the surrounding area;</p> <p>(3) regarding the TIA in support of the revised development proposal, HKU might adopt the worst case scenario with more detailed information in the assessment to identify potential problems and propose mitigation measures to address traffic impact in a wider context. The TIA should take into account all known major planned and committed developments in the surroundings, address the traffic demand for daily commuting trips during peak hours, and propose traffic measures to cater for special events at the conference/exhibition facilities (about 40,000m<sup>2</sup>); and</p> <p>(4) the Centre would generate additional burden on existing public transport</p>
--	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>facilities and exacerbate traffic problems of the local road network. To cater for anticipated increase in demand for transport services for researchers, staff, visitors and students in the Centre and the medical campus of HKU, HKU might make reference to the arrangement of Cyberport and Hong Kong Science and Technology Parks by providing shuttle bus services during peak hours to mitigate traffic impact. On-site bus parking spaces were required for such arrangement. Besides, in the section 12A application to facilitate residential development at the Ebenezer site, the TD requested site boundary setback to facilitate the conversion of the existing bus stop on PFLR to a bus lay-by and footpath widening. Similar arrangement might be considered in the revised scheme for the Centre.</p> <p><i>[Above extracted from paragraph 13 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
<b>F. Environmental and Safety Concerns</b>		
(FF1)	<p>Hong Kong's climate strategy emphasizes carbon neutrality by 2050 and enhancement of urban greenery as key pillars of resilience against climate change. The development of the Centre on "GB" land contradicts these objectives by promoting deforestation, increasing carbon emissions, and degrading air quality.</p>	<p>The grounds and views regarding environmental and climate change were raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>Although the submission from HKU does not provide specific information regarding the carbon impact of the proposed development, HKU has committed to ensuring a minimum of 30% overall greenery coverage and communal open space of at least 12,000m<sup>2</sup> within the Site to enhance landscaping and greening of the proposed development.<i>[Above extracted from paragraph 24(a) of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>The environmental impacts and tree felling should be properly addressed by HKU.</p>

		<p>Tree compensation should be enhanced and more green spaces should be provided.</p> <p><i>[Above extracted from paragraph 33 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
(FF2)	<p>The proposed Biosafety Level 3 laboratory of the Centre raises significant public health concerns. High-risk pathogen research in close proximity to residential areas poses unacceptable biohazard risks. Such facilities should be located in industrial zones or purpose-built I&amp;T hubs like the NM, away from dense residential populations.</p> <p>HKU's proposal is inherently fraught with issues that are a far cry from public expectations, as demonstrated by their insensitivity in planning for a nitrogen tank right behind a residential block.</p>	<p>The grounds regarding health and safety concerns were raised, responded to, and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>HKU's representative explained that the research activities to be carried out in the Centre would be mainly computer operations (e.g. Fintech research) in dry laboratory facilities. The nitrogen tank which was of concern to some representers was not inflammable, usually used for cooling purpose. All those facilities in the Centre would comply with relevant government legislation, regulations, and international environmental and safety standards. In the HKU and HKUMed campuses, there were some existing similar laboratories, located near the residential neighbourhoods, operating under stringent safety regulations for many years, without major incidents of lab leaks/risks according to HKU's records. HKU was extremely responsible and had a good track record in responsible building design and risk management. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 41 of the minutes of the meeting on 4.11.2024]</i></p> <p>HKU's representative also explained that the Safety Office of HKU was responsible for ensuring a safe and healthy environment for the University Community. There were clear safety guidelines, including dangerous goods storage and handling of incidents. HKU would follow the relevant regulations and requirements stipulated by the Fire Services Department for the storage of</p>

		<p>dangerous goods. Reference would be made to the top-class international and national research facilities in respect of stringent safety management. [<i>Above extracted from paragraph 77 of the minutes of the meeting on 1.11.2024</i>]</p> <p>Nonetheless, in view of the residents' concerns, HKU committed to revisiting the location of the nitrogen tank and to further assessing the potential risk of the nitrogen tank when revising the development proposal for the Centre. [<i>Above extracted from paragraph 65 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024</i>]</p> <p>The Board expressed that as the types of laboratories in the Centre were an area of public concern about safety, careful consideration should be given to the location and risk management of those facilities. [<i>Above extracted from paragraph 12(c) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024</i>]</p>
G. Drainage and Utility		
(FG1)	The Centre would involve large-scale excavation and construction works, removal of existing vegetation, leading to slope failures during heavy rainfall which would lead to potential downstream flooding along PFLR.	<p>The grounds and views regarding potential flooding was raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed responses to this issue have been set out in response (a) of paragraph 6.2.12 of the TPB Paper No. 10987, which are extracted below:</p> <p>HKU has conducted a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) for the proposed development. The DIA concludes that the existing drainage infrastructure is adequate to handle the anticipated water flow resulting from the proposed development, and no upgrading works are required.</p>
H. Geotechnical and Development Costs		

(FH1)	<p>It will take over 10 years to complete the Centre and the slopes would be disturbed and become unstable during the construction period. The long construction period, extensive slope stabilization, excavation and building of retaining structures exponentially increase development costs and risk of landslides upon the neighbourhood, including Baguio Villa. The steep slopes and narrow access roads will not allow multiple construction works to be carried out simultaneously at the Site.</p>	<p>The grounds and views regarding geotechnical and slope safety were raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been set out in the TPB Paper No. 10987, and recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>The elevation of the Site ranges from approximately +67 to +133mPD, featuring sloping natural terrain. According to the submitted Geotechnical Planning Review Report (GPRR), the proposed site formation works are considered geotechnically feasible, and no insurmountable issues are anticipated from the geotechnical perspective. Ground investigation works will be conducted within the Site. Stability of all slopes (both man-made and natural terrains) and retaining walls within or near the site affecting or being affected by the proposed development will be assessed. Any necessary remedial or upgrading works will be proposed and carried out as necessary during the detailed design stage. The Head (Geotechnical Engineering Office), Civil Engineering and Development Department has no objection to the proposed development. <i>[Above extract from paragraph 6.2.11, Responses (a) of the TPB Paper No. 10987]</i></p> <p>Representatives of HKU explained that some bored piles would indeed be required for slope cutting according to the preliminary design in the GPRR. About one-third of all the bored piles along the whole site would be used for Phase 1. HKU acknowledged the time and impact associated with large-scale excavation. While adopting a terraced building design, they would improve the design and layout and adjust the bulk of the development when more detailed ground investigation information was available. As Ebenezer School expressed concerns on the impact</p>
-------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



		<p>of low-frequency vibration, the use of bored piles would be avoided as far as possible. In view of the time, cost and impact, the extent of rock excavation would be minimised. To address the anticipated delays often associated with construction on slopes, HKU would allow additional buffer time when planning the construction works at the detailed design stage. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 32 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>Necessary remedial or upgrading slope works would be proposed during the detailed design stage. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 6(ll) of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
(FH2)	Given Hong Kong's ongoing structural deficit of HK\$100 billion, it is unacceptable for a publicly owned educational facility to pursue unnecessary, extravagant construction in an unsuitable and costly location.	The grounds and views regarding development costs and financial viability were raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to these issues have been set out in the TPB Paper No. 10987, and recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:
(FH3)	HKU did not provide development costs and the financial viability of the project is doubtful. HKU should look for an alternative, more appropriate site which can save the construction costs, which are likely to be funded by public money. The ball park costs and construction programme have not been undertaken, nor was the required consultation undertaken.	According to ITIB, the Centre is a self-financing project initiated by HKU rather than a government-led/financed I&T infrastructure or public works item. HKU has indicated that the Centre will operate as a non-profit, multi-disciplinary research entity, supervised by a Board of Directors and an Executive Committee. HKU is working out the detailed capital and recurrent costs associated with the proposed development, as well as identifying potential funding sources (such as private donations and internal resources). At the previous MPC meeting held on 1.3.2024, HKU's representatives also assured that funding would be secured from both private and public sectors domestically and overseas. The proposed

		<p>development would also be financed through research grants awarded to future users of the Centre. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 6.2.11, Responses (b) of the TPB Paper No. 10987]</i></p> <p>While a Member was concerned about the financial viability of the proposed development and queried whether the project was cost-effective, another Member remarked that financial viability was not a planning consideration of the Board. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 24 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
<b>I. Other Matters</b>		
(FI1)	The development of the Centre would lead to property devaluation by compromising privacy, increasing noise pollution, and diminishing the overall quality of life.	Property price is not a relevant planning consideration and falls outside the scope of the OZP. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 6.2.15, Responses (d) of the TPB Paper No. 10987]</i>
(FI2)	While the Centre may contribute to academic research and innovation development, the tangible benefits to the Pok Fu Lam community remain unclear and unquantified. The project primarily serves HKU's institutional interests and convenience rather than addressing pressing community needs.	<p>The grounds and views regarding whether the Centre would bring any benefits to the community was raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's responses to this issue have been recorded in the minutes of the Board's meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>Representative of HKU expressed that the Centre would bring planning gains to the community. The design of the Centre sought to balance the operational requirements for accommodating research facilities that required expansive floor plates while achieving responsive building design and visual openness. Building separation and layout had been meticulously oriented to maximise air and visual permeability, while the height and bulk of the buildings would be compatible with the surrounding environment, creating a stepping height profile in the area. On the</p>

		<p>traffic aspect, on-site drop-offs and setback for providing space for bus lay-by extension were proposed. Upgrading of the concerned road junctions would be conducted if necessary. To enhance the landscaping and greening of the proposed development, HKU had committed to providing a minimum of 30% overall greenery coverage and communal open space of not less than 12,000m<sup>2</sup>, thereby contributing to a quality landscape setting for use by the general public that benefited both the environment and the community. In addition to the intention to retain existing trees as much as practicable, new tree planting in clusters to recreate the habitat, and vertical greening or edge planting to soften the building form would be planned. Newly planted tree species would be carefully selected to sustain and attract biodiversity. Similar to the main campus of HKU, landscape plaza and courtyard were proposed at the podium level for events and leisure activities for public use. Members of the public could also pass through the Centre via lifts and escalators between PFLR and Victoria Road. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 24 of minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>TPB Members expressed that additional planning and design merits and facilities that might benefit the local community should be incorporated into the revised development proposal. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 15(a) and 33(g) of minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
<b>J. Public Consultation</b>		
(FJ1)	HKU has a poor reputation for engaging with the public. This provides no confidence that HKU would, or even could, undertake the necessary meaningful community engagement as required by the planning procedures. It has made no attempt	The grounds and views regarding insufficient public consultation were raised, responded to and considered during the consideration of representations by the Board. Detailed HKU's and Government's responses to this issue have been set out in the TPB Paper No. 10987 and recorded in the minutes of the Board's

<p>or effort to contact the residents of Baguio Villa, the Ebenezer School, or other members of the community to consult the views of affected stakeholders.</p> <p>The technical studies for the Centre were not professionally conducted and failed to consider the concerns of local residents.</p>	<p>meetings, which are extracted below:</p> <p>HKU explained that it consulted the Development Planning Committee of the SDC on 17.1.2024 and briefed SDC members on the development parameters of the Centre. To engage stakeholders and local community, two briefing sessions were conducted in Cyberport on 13 and 14.5.2024. Besides, a dedicated website had been set up to provide the public with the most up-to-date information and news of the Centre. During the review process of the development plan of the Centre, consideration would be given to disseminate information related to the proposal via a single channel/platform. A proactive approach would also be adopted to engage local residents and stakeholders in the community with a view to addressing their needs and concerns. HKU would also strengthen liaison with the SDC and explore options to establish direct contact with local residents. HKU would endeavour to enhance engagement with the community, including not only neighbourhood stakeholders but also green groups, through a comprehensive public engagement exercise so as to improve the development proposal for the Centre. <i>[Above extracted from paragraphs 47 and 78 of the minutes of the meeting on 1.11.2024, paragraph 42 of the minutes of the meeting on 4.11.2024, and paragraph 74 of the minutes of the meeting on 5.11.2024]</i></p> <p>TPB Members generally considered that there was room for improvement in HKU's public consultation and community engagement efforts. Since many representers had expressed dissatisfaction with the lack of communication and respect by HKU during the previous project planning process, HKU should engage the local community more proactively in revising the development proposal. The consultation exercise should commence at an early stage and adopt a two-way</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>and bottom-up approach to address various concerns raised by stakeholders, including local residents, the Ebenezer and green groups. The focus should be on the design of the Centre and mitigation measures to alleviate potential adverse impacts, e.g. provision of more communal open space and addressing construction traffic. HKU should also engage in continuous discussions with the Ebenezer regarding the design constraints and approaches to minimise noise impacts on its students with visual impairment. <i>[Above extracted from paragraph 26 of the minutes of the meeting on 29.11.2024]</i></p>
--	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

(3) The major grounds and views of the further representations are indexed at **Annex Ia**.

《薄扶林分區計劃大綱草圖編號 S/H10/22》建議修訂  
主要進一步申述理由／意見索引

Proposed Amendments to the Draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H10/22  
Index of Major Grounds / Views of Respective Further Representations

主要進一步申述理由／意見

Major Grounds / Views of Respective Further Representations

進一步申述 Further Representation (編號 No. TPB/R/S/H10/22-)	
<b>F1</b>	FS1, FS2, FS3, FS4
<b>F2</b>	FS4
<b>F3</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FC3, FJ1
<b>F4</b>	FA1, FA4, FB3, FC1, FC3
<b>F5</b>	FA2, FA5, FB1, FB2, FC1, FC2
<b>F6</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FE1, FJ1
<b>F7</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FH2, FJ1
<b>F8</b>	FA4, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F9</b>	FA3, FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE2, FE3, FH1
<b>F10 to F20</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F21</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH1, FH2
<b>F22 to F67</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F68</b>	FA1, FA2, FA3, FA4, FB1, FB3, FC1, FD1, FE1, FE2, FE3, FH1, FH2, FH3, FJ1
<b>F69 to F665</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F666</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH1, FH2
<b>F667 to F783</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F784</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB3, FC1, FD1, FD2, FE1, FE2, FE3, FE4, FF1, FF2, FG1, FH1, FH2, FH3, FI1, FI2, FJ1
<b>F785 to F1794</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F1795</b>	FE1
<b>F1796</b>	FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F1797, F1798</b>	FE1
<b>F1799, F1800</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FH2
<b>F1801 to F1803</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F1804 to F1807</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FH2
<b>F1808 to F1810</b>	FA1, FA2, FA4, FB1, FB2, FB3, FC1, FE3, FH3, FJ1

<b>F1811 to F1814</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FC1, FE3, FF2, FJ1
<b>F1815 to F1821</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FH2
<b>F1822, F1823</b>	FA3, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FE2, FE3, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1824</b>	FA1, FA3, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FE2, FE3, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1825, F1826</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FE3, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1827</b>	FA1, FA3, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FE2, FE3, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1828, F1829</b>	FC1, FD1, FE1, FH1, FH2
<b>F1830</b>	FC1, FE1, FH1, FH2
<b>F1831</b>	FB1, FB3, FC1, FJ1
<b>F1832</b>	FC1, FD1, FD2, FE1
<b>F1833</b>	FB1, FC1, FJ1
<b>F1834</b>	FA1, FB1, FC1, FE3, FH3
<b>F1835</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB2, FB3, FC1, FE3, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1836</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB2, FB3, FC1, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1837</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB2, FB3, FC1, FD1, FH1, FJ1
<b>F1838</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB3, FC1, FD1, FH3
<b>F1839</b>	FB1, FC1
<b>F1840</b>	FD1
<b>F1841</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FB3, FC1, FD1, FD2, FE1, FE2, FE3, FE4, FF1, FF2, FG1, FH1, FH2, FH3, FI1, FI2, FJ1
<b>F1842</b>	FA1, FH1
<b>F1843</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FC1, FE1, FH3
<b>F1844</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FF1, FH2
<b>F1845</b>	FA4, FC1, FD1, FD2
<b>F1846</b>	FC1, FE1, FJ1
<b>F1847</b>	FB1, FC1
<b>F1848</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FE1, FH2
<b>F1849</b>	FA4, FC1, FD1, FD2, FE1, FH2
<b>F1850</b>	FA1, FA4, FB1, FC3, FD1, FE1, FE3, FH1
<b>F1851</b>	FA1, FB1, FE3, FE4, FH3
<b>F1852</b>	FA1, FA3, FA4, FB1, FC1, FE3, FF2
<b>F1853</b>	FC1, FD1, FD2, FE1
<b>F1854</b>	FA1, FB2, FC1
<b>F1855</b>	FB1
<b>F1856</b>	FA4, FC1, FD1
<b>F1857</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FD1, FH1, FH2
<b>F1858, F1859</b>	FA4, FB1, FC1, FH2
<b>F1860, F1861</b>	FC1, FD1

To: **Town Planning Board Secretariat**

Email: [tpbpd@pland.gov.hk](mailto:tpbpd@pland.gov.hk)

Address: 15/F, North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong

**Further Representations in respect of the Proposed Amendments to the  
Draft Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H10/22**

**FURTHER REPRESENTER'S VIEWS/RESPONSES**

I have received the letter from the TPB dated 14 February 2025 conveying the relevant departmental comments on my further representation, and I hereby provide my latest responses as follows:

*(Please put a tick ☒ in **one** of the boxes provided below)*

- ☐ I would maintain my further representation as previously submitted.
- ☐ I would like to withdraw my further representation under section 6E(2) of the Town Planning Ordinance.
- ☐ My responses to the departmental comments set out below (if applicable):

---

**SIGNATURE**

Name of further representer (as shown on the further representation): \_\_\_\_\_

Further Representation No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Full Name: \_\_\_\_\_ (identical with the name shown on HKID Card/Passport)

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**STATEMENT ON COLLECTION OF PERSONAL DATA**

- (a) The personal data made in this form will be used by the Secretariat of the Town Planning Board for the purposes of processing the further representation, verifying the identity of the further representers and facilitating communication between the further representers and the Secretariat of the Town Planning Board. Personal data that is no longer required to fulfill the purpose for which it was collected will be erased.
- (b) The personal data provided by the further representers in this form may also be disclosed to other persons for the purposes mentioned in paragraph (a) above.
- (c) Further representers have a right of access and correction with respect to their personal data as provided under the Personal Data (Privacy) Ordinance (Cap. 486). Request for personal data access and correction should be addressed to the Town Planning Board Secretariat at 15/F., North Point, Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



### Summary of Further Representers' Further Views and Responses

FR No.	<u>Summary of Further Representers' Further Views and Responses</u>
<b>F3</b>	<p>(a) The Explanatory Statement (ES) does not duly reflect the Town Planning Board Members' (Members) considerations, e.g. the consideration of alternative sites.</p> <p>(b) It is inconceivable that all responsible Bureaux/Departments (B/Ds) had no comments on any of the further representations (FRs), which were new submissions related to Further Amendment Item A (Item A).</p> <p>(c) Recapitulation from the previous Town Planning Board Paper and Meeting is irrational and illogical, as the FRs were made after the Town Planning Board (the Board) meeting and relate to Item A. The FRs are different from the representations, given that the proposed amendments relating to the "Undetermined" ("U") zone were not previously part of the draft Outline Zoning Plan (OZP). It is impossible that the Board had considered Ebenezer's proposals when these were only submitted after the Board's gazetting of Item A. The responses provided by the government departments are therefore outdated and do not adequately address the FRs.</p> <p>(d) The summary of "FRs and the Planning Department's (PlanD) Detailed Responses" given by the Board's letter of 14 February 2025 (the summary) does not capture all the views, concerns and suggestions raised in the FRs. It greatly diminishes the content of Ebenezer's FR and the proposals included within. Members should consider all FRs in full and from a fresh perspective, without distractions of the Board's previous considerations as referenced in the PlanD's responses.</p> <p>(e) There is no provision in the Town Planning Ordinance (the Ordinance) allowing the Board to provide written comments on the FRs to the Further Representers at any time. The preparation and circulation of the letter and its attachments may therefore be <i>ultra vires</i> and should not have been made.</p> <p>(f) There is no legal provision for actively seeking the withdrawal of representations from Further Representers. Section 6E(2) of the Ordinance states that "The person may, by written notice to the Board, withdraw the representation or further representation (as the case requires)". The withdrawal process should be done at the Further Representer's own discretion. The Board's provision of a reply slip with the option to withdraw a FR in this context is seen as misleading.</p>

FR No.	<u>Summary of Further Representers' Further Views and Responses</u>
<b>F5</b>	<p>(a) The covering Notes of the OZP, which enable all uses and developments under planning permission via Section 16 application in the “U” zone, are considered a side step of the Board in properly responding to the concerns raised in the representations/FRs during the plan making procedure. The potential visual impact of the University of Hong Kong’s (HKU) development proposal is problematic.</p> <p>(b) The development of the Global Innovation Centre (the Centre) at the “Residential (Group C)6” (“R(C)6”) site might also adversely impact the surrounding area visually. HKU should consider other sites in PFL.</p> <p>(c) The proposed “U” pre-empts the findings of HKU’s site search for alternative locations, which is required by the Board. The proposed “U” is considered premature in the absence of a revised scheme.</p> <p>(d) It is considered prudent and more reasonable to revert the Further Amendment Item A site (the Site) to “Green Belt” (“GB”), which would also allow time for HKU to review its development plan and make amendments based on stakeholders’ feedback.</p> <p>(e) The proposed “U” is considered premature in the absence of a revised scheme.</p>
<b>F7</b>	<p>(a) The Board could not approve a proposal from PlanD because PlanD did not make any representation. The Board’s authority under Section 6B(8) of the Ordinance only allows them to propose an amendment “that will meet a representation”, not just to “partially” meet a representation. Had this been the intention, the wording of Section 6B(8) would have stated as such. PlanD’s responses fail to address whether it was possible for the Board to approve the “U” zone proposed by PlanD and not by any of the representers.</p> <p>(b) Given that HKU has undertaken to review and revise its proposals for the Centre, including examining alternative locations, there is no logic or purpose in supporting an interim zoning for Item A, as opposed to maintaining the current zoning until HKU has completed its review.</p> <p>(c) No reasons, advantages, or justifications have been provided by the Chairperson of the Board or PlanD for an interim zoning. Should any alternative site be ultimately considered more suitable, the interim zoning will be abortive, resulting in additional procedures to restore the current zoning.</p> <p>(d) The summary omits numerous valid and pertinent points while skimming over or neutralising many others. These omissions and neutralising language remove the ability of the Members to make a fully informed decision.</p> <p>(e) There is a very strong indication that PlanD did not seek thorough, impartial and competent legal advice when proposing the “U” zoning. They may have incorrectly offered the Board a proposal that led to a decision contrary to Section 6B(8) of the Ordinance.</p>

FR No.	<u>Summary of Further Representatives' Further Views and Responses</u>
<b>F8,</b> <b>F784,</b> <b>F1835,</b> <b>F1841</b>	<p>(a) Objection to the proposed rezoning from “GB” to “U” as the proposal is fraught with legal, environmental, strategic, and procedural deficiencies; deviates from established statutory mandates, disrupts the integrity of the planning process, and contravenes the national ecological commitment to sustainable development and environmental stewardship.</p> <p>(b) The decision must be supported by a meticulous, site-specific analysis that rigorously evaluates all viable alternatives. The lack of a comprehensive evaluation undermines the integrity of the planning process and ultimately renders the rezoning legally indefensible. The directive from the 2021 Policy Address has unduly preempted the Board’s independent statutory duty to evaluate site suitability on its merits.</p> <p>(c) The proposal violates the statutory framework established by the Ordinance, notably Section 6B(8). The Ordinance mandates that each representation be either accepted in its entirety or rejected in its entirety, thereby obliging the Board to propose an amendment that addresses the representation. Given the absence of a statutory provision for an intermediary solution to partially satisfy the representation, introducing a “U” zone in the absence of any representational basis not only breaches the statutory requirement but also undermines the principle of legal certainty. The “U” zone fails to establish clear and enforceable development parameters, thus undermining effective planning control. Without such enforceability, the “U” zone becomes a legal vacuum where arbitrary development could occur, effectively nullifying the protective function of the existing zoning system.</p> <p>(d) The proposal is inconsistent with national and regional strategic objectives, including those encapsulated in the Northern Metropolis Strategy.</p> <p>(e) It falls short of essential environmental, traffic, fiscal, and public consultation standards.</p> <p>(f) The failure to engage affected parties in a substantive manner at the time of the decision constitutes a serious breach of procedural fairness.</p> <p>(g) Without clear, measurable outcomes that benefit the public, the justification for rezoning remains legally unpersuasive and exposes the decision to judicial review.</p> <p>(h) Urge the Board to reject the rezoning proposal, maintain the “GB” designation, and require that any future planning decisions adhere strictly to the statutory framework, robust environmental safeguards, and effective public consultation mechanisms.</p> <p>(i) The government’s responses, predicated largely on deferred reviews, design modifications, and promises of future assessments, do not</p>

FR No.	<u>Summary of Further Representers' Further Views and Responses</u>
	<p>meet the immediate, detailed statutory justification required under Hong Kong's planning and environmental law. Each category of objection, from procedural overreach to fiscal irresponsibility, reveals fundamental legal vulnerabilities in the rezoning proposal. Absence of immediate, enforceable measures that address these deficiencies, the proposal remains exposed to successful legal challenges on grounds of arbitrariness, procedural unfairness, and non-compliance with established statutory obligations.</p>
<p><b>F1490</b> <b>F1836</b></p>	<p>(a) The proposal by PlanD was not a representation under the Ordinance. The Board only has the authority to propose an amendment to the plan concerning a representation. A representation must be fully met, not only partially met, to enable the Board to propose an amendment to the plan. Since no representation was met by the "U" zoning of Item A, the Board did not have the authority to propose that Item A be zoned as "U". Therefore, the Board must amend its decision under the Ordinance Section 6F.</p> <p>(b) Given that HKU has undertaken to review and revise its proposals for the Centre, including examining alternative locations, there is no logical reason to support an interim zoning for Item A instead of maintaining the current zoning until HKU has completed its review.</p> <p>(c) No reasons, advantages or justifications have been provided by the Chairperson or PlanD for an interim zoning. Should any alternative site be ultimately considered more suitable, the interim zoning will be abortive, resulting in additional procedures to restore the current zoning.</p> <p>(d) The summary is only a selection of items and omits several grounds and views expressed in the FR, some of which are highly relevant to the validity of the decision taken by the Board on 29 November 2024. To ensure that members of the Board can give due and proper consideration to the submitted FRs, they should be asked to confirm that they have read all of the submitted FRs.</p> <p>(e) There is no indication of how the Board considered that the representation could have been "partially met". One gains the impression that this was not discussed by the Board, and that paragraph 38 of the minutes was inserted after the meeting by the minute writers.</p> <p>(f) The Board should have recognised that impartial and competent legal advice was not within the authority of PlanD, as they had proposed the "U" zoning. They thus had an interest in the Board making a decision favouring their proposal, which, as explained by the representer, would be contrary to Section 6B(8) of the Ordinance.</p> <p>(g) The Board confused support for HKU to develop the Centre (elsewhere than at Item A) with partial support for the zoning of Item A as "U".</p> <p>(h) Suggest the west side of Mount Davis, which offers all the advantages stated by HKU for the use of the Pok Fu Lam site, while not</p>

FR No.	<u>Summary of Further Representers' Further Views and Responses</u>
	suffering from the key disadvantages of the Pok Fu Lam site. Construction would also be quicker and cheaper.
<b>F1803,</b> <b>F1848</b>	<p>(a) The proposal of “U” zoning, which was introduced long after the representation period, raises legal concerns.</p> <p>(b) If HKU proposes another location, there will be a need to amend the plan to revert it back to “GB” zone.</p> <p>(c) The deliberation following the Board meetings included verbatim parts of the HKU announcement about its strategic rethink. This indicates that the Board did not deliberate and reach its own conclusions but simply approved what was presented.</p> <p>(d) Since October 2024, HKU has not stepped up its communication with the community.</p>
<b>F1831</b>	<p>(a) Disagree with the Board’s action to assert its discretion to “partially meet” representations. No representation has proposed the adoption of a “U” zone, hence the Board’s action to come up with this new proposal puts the impartiality of the review process into question.</p> <p>(b) The comments suggesting that this rezoning is temporary to allow further consultation and review are difficult to comprehend, as further consultation and review can happen even if the zone remains “GB”. Given that “Members generally considered that there was room for improvement in HKU’s public consultation and community engagement efforts”, there is no justification for the Board to persist with rezoning the Site to “U”, nor does it enhance HKU’s public consultation initiatives.</p>
<b>F1837</b>	<p>(a) According to the Ordinance, the Board must consider all collected representations and propose amendments either provided in the representations or in any way meet those representations. No representation was made advocating for “U” zoning.</p> <p>(b) Propose to rezone Item A back to “GB”.</p> <p>(c) There is no provision in the Ordinance for Further Representers to provide additional views and responses to B/D’s comments on the FRs.</p> <p>(d) The Chinese translation of the meeting minutes was published only a few days before the submission deadline for FR, putting those not proficient in English at a disadvantage.</p>
<b>F1838</b>	<p>(a) Given the linear nature of the Site, it will be virtually impossible to retain any of the existing mature trees during site formation.</p> <p>(b) Any development would have a significant visual impact on the neighbourhood, as all the natural existing “GB” buffer will be destroyed and replaced with concrete structures, accompanied by some sapling planting. The responses have downplayed this impact.</p>

FR No.	<u>Summary of Further Representers' Further Views and Responses</u>
<b>F1843</b>	(a) Object to the rezoning from “GB” to “U”.
<b>F1854</b>	<p>(a) The overwhelming objections from the community should be given serious consideration, as the Board should act in the interest of society as a whole.</p> <p>(b) There are no strong justifications for rezoning the Site to the “U” zoning, as there are no differences in the procedure and time saved for rezoning the Site back to the “GB” zone versus rezoning it to an interim “U” zone.</p> <p>(c) Should reject the OZP amendment, as HKU is strategically reviewing the development proposal and considering alternative locations. The Site should revert back to the “GB” zoning.</p> <p>(d) Should thoroughly consider alternative sites for the proposed development.</p> <p>(e) The consultation process was insufficient.</p> <p>(f) There may be a hidden agenda to rezone all “GB” sites with development potential by rezoning them into the “U” zone.</p> <p>(g) The indefinite reservation of a large tract of public land is unfair.</p> <p>(h) The reservation of two Members regarding the development of the Centre at the “R(C)6” site and their opinion to retain the Site for land disposal are irrelevant consideration.</p>