城市規劃委員會文件第10612號附件I Annex I of TPB Paper No. 10612



		LEAR RATER BAY ROAD	CA G/IC Pik Shi Sun Tsuen						子尾海 PORT SHELTER (NGAU MEI HOI)		
Received and a second and a sec				for the Hong Kong University for Science and Technology						847500E	822000N
		例 ATION			土地用 SCHEDULE		積一覽 ES AND /			屬這份圖則的一部分 市規劃條例第 5 條展:	
ZONES	地 帶	COMMUNICATIONS		交通 -	USES	HECTARES	E AREA & % % 百分率	用途	式程序司並按照城 THE ATTACHED NOTES A AND HAVE BEEN AMEN SECTION 5 OF THE TO	LSO FORM PART OF T DED FOR EXHIBITION	THIS PLAN UNDER
COMMERCIAL C RESIDENTIAL (GROUP C) R(C)	商 業 住宅(丙類)	MAJOR ROAD AND JUNCTION	〕	要道路及路口	COMMERCIAL RESIDENTIAL (GROUP C) RESIDENTIAL (GROUP D)	0.34 7.16 0.92	0.09 1.94 0.25	商業 住宅(丙類) 住宅(丁類)			
RESIDENTIAL (GROUP D)R(D)VILLAGE TYPE DEVELOPMENTVGOVERNMENT, INSTITUTION OR COMMUNITYG/IC	住宅(丁類) <i>鄉</i> 村式發展 政府、機構或社區	MISCELLANEOUS BOUNDARY OF PLANNING SCHEME	 → ₹	其 他 規劃範圍界線	VILLAGE TYPE DEVELOPMENT GOVERNMENT, INSTITUTION OR COMMUNITY OPEN SPACE RECREATION	23.73 2.39 0.27 4.90	6.42 0.65 0.07 1.33	鄉村式發展 政府、機構或社區 休憩用地 康樂	核准圖編號 S / AMENDMENTS TO APP	SK-HH/6 的修言 PROVED PLAN No. S/S	
OPEN SPACE O RECREATION REC	休憩用地康樂				OTHER SPECIFIED USES GREEN BELT	25.68 20.45	6.95 5.53	其他指定用途 綠化地帶	AMENDMENTS EXHIBITED UNDER SECTION 5 OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE		按照城市規劃條例第5條 展示的修訂
OTHER SPECIFIED USES OU GREEN BELT GB CONSERVATION AREA CA	其他 指 定 用 途 緣 化 地 帶 自然 保 育 區				CONSERVATION AREA COASTAL PROTECTION AREA COUNTRY PARK MAJOR ROAD ETC.	113.67 36.69 127.53 5.91	30.75 9.93 34.50 1.59	自然保育區 海岸保護區 郊野公園 主要道路等	AMENDMENT ITEM A AMENDMENT ITEM B AMENDMENT ITEM C		修訂 項 目 A 項 修訂 項 目 B 項 修訂 項 目 C 項
COASTAL PROTECTION AREA CPA COUNTRY PARK CP	海岸保護區 郊野公園				TOTAL PLANNING SCHEME AREA	369.64	100.00	規劃範圍總面積	AMENDMENT ITEM D		修訂項目D項
										陰看附表) CHED SCHEDULE)	



Annex II of <u>TPB Paper No. 10612</u>

SCHEDULE OF AMENDMENTS TO THE APPROVED HEBE HAVEN OUTLINE ZONING PLAN NO. S/SK-HH/6 MADE BY THE TOWN PLANNING BOARD UNDER THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE (Chapter 131)

I. <u>Amendments to Matters shown on the Plan</u>

Item A	-	Rezoning of a site near the junction of Hiram's Highway and Heung Chung Road from "Government, Institution or Community" ("G/IC") to "Residential (Group C)4" ("R(C)4").
Item B	-	Rezoning of a strip of land at Heung Chung Road from "G/IC" to area shown as 'Road'.
Item C	—	Rezoning of a site to the south of Nam Wai from "Green Belt" ("GB") to "R(C)5".
Item D	-	Rezoning of a site occupied by Colour of The River to the south of Nam Wai from "GB" to " $R(C)6$ ".

Showing the road scheme of the Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung authorized by the Chief Executive in Council under the Roads (Works, Use and Compensation) Ordinance (Chapter 370) on the Plan for information. The authorized road scheme shall be deemed to be approved pursuant to section 13A of the Town Planning Ordinance.

II. <u>Amendments to the Notes of the Plan</u>

- (a) Revision to the Remarks of the Notes for the "R(C)" zone to incorporate development restrictions for the "R(C)4", "R(C)5" and "R(C)6" sub-areas.
- (b) Deletion of 'Market' from Column 1 of the Notes for the "Commercial" zone and from Column 2 of the Notes for the "Residential (Group D)" and "Village Type Development" zones, and revision of 'Shop and Services' to 'Shop and Services (not elsewhere specified)' in Column 2 of the Notes for the "G/IC" zone.

Town Planning Board

21 June 2019

Annex III of

TPB Paper No. 10612

城市規劃委員會文件 第10612號附件 III

List of Representers in respect of 第 10612 号 Draft Hebe Haven Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/SK-HH/7

resentation No. 個案編號 /R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱	Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱
	西貢區議會	R26	Yuen Shuk Ling
2	邱戊秀 (西貢區議員)	R27	Lo Nim Chi Bernie
.3	陳權軍	R28	Yau Nga Fong Carol
24	Li Ka Leung Philip (Sai	R29	Yu Hon Bill
R4	Kung District Councilor)	R30	Lau Tsz Wan
25	Sai Kung Planning Concern	R31	Ng Yin Sun
R5	Front	R32	Ling Ho Fai
R6	Mary Mulvihill	R33	Mak Tsz Wub
R7	陳嘉琳 (Sai Kung	R34	Fung Chi Wah Stephen
Χ/	Commons)	R35	Pui Shan Candy Chow
R8	Lam Ho Ching	R36	Lee Siu Tung
R9	Ma Chun Ming	R37	Renee Shek
R10	Ng Sin Na	R38	Ngai Mei Chung
R11	Cheng Yuen Yi	R39	Kwan Chun Hang
R12	Leung Yu Pong	R40	Hung Sui Ling
R13	Hung Wing See Cecilia	R41	Tsang Tsz Yeung
R14	KY Wan	R42	Shek Wing Chi
R15	John E. Chu	R43	Kelvin Cheung
R16	Ho Sze Wai Mabel	R44	Wong Man Chi
R17	Kwok Sze Chung Benjamin	R45	Lao Mui Kwong
R18	Yeung Wing Ying	R46	Chan Chun Wai
R19	邵智恒	R47	Wong Ming
R20	Lee Siu Kwan April	R48	Mia Rasborn
R21	Melody Chan Sui Lin	R49	Hung Po Chu
R22	Deancika Lau	R50	Luk Kai Yin
R23	Ho Sze Long	R51	Cheng Yuen Yan
R24	Sum Cho Yi	R52	Chan Pui Hang
R25	Veronica Da Rosa	R53	Ko Kam Ho

申述人名單 白沙灣分區計劃大綱草圖編號 S/SK-HH/7

Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱	Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱
R54	Lo Siu Kei	R89	陳佩琼
R55	徐頌欣	R90	Ma Siu Yan
R56	Lau Ching Yee	R91	Yeung Siu Mui
R57	Wong Mei Sze	R92	Lai Hoi Tung Jovia
R58	Tsang Yuk Lung	R93	李信樂
R59	Chung Lai Hing	R94	Wong Ho Chun
R60	Lee Kam Ting	R95	Li Chun Ting
R61	Leung Siu Mei	R96	Ms Yeung
R62	Shing Carrie Hoi Lam	R97	Tso Kwok Ming
R63	何偉航	R98	Chan Siu To Kelvin
R64	Tsang Yick Tat	R99	李家榮
R65	Hui Ming Yan	R100	Chan Hon Kuen
R66	Hiew Kin Moi	R101	Tse Ka Ho
R67	So Wing Laam	R102	Shing Ka Ki
R68	Shek Kwo Fung	R103	Tam Cheuk Yi
R69	Chan Suk Yee	R104	Chan Yu Ching
R70	Yu Chi Kit Patrick	R105	Chan Hiu Wa
R71	Yau Po Ki	R106	張家豪
R72	Chung Chui Shan	R107	Tse Ngai Tung
R73	Leung Yat Hei Dorcas	R108	Li Ce Art Cecilia
R74	Kong Fung Ying	R109	Ma Ka Fai
R75	謝妙儀	R110	Shek Chun Kei
R76	Julyanna Hui	R111	Cheung Kwan Nok
R77	Tsang Kim Kei	R112	Wing Man Lee
R78	Chan Size Nga	R113	Li Ho Chun
R79	Joyce Shek	R114	Tse Kin Wai
R80	陳家展	R115	Ruth Chan
R81	Kelsey Lau	R116	Cheng Ya Wei Alice
R82	Lo Chi Shing Joseph	R117	Flora Lee
R83	Ho Yu Fan	R118	Wong Hok Sau
R84	Yeung Kin Sang	R119	Lam Lai Ngor
R85	Hi Yuk Ming	R120	Daniel Lau
R86	Lam King Tong	R121	Chow Oi Ting Lora
R87	宋慧玲	R122	Ho Chung Ming Suzie
R88	蔡欣盈 Choi Yan Ying	R123	Lam Cheuk Fai Arthur
	Daphne	R124	Yeung Siu Uan

Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱	Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱
R125	Ng Ming Ho	R161	Luk Wai Lun
R126	Jane Wong	R162	KK Kwok
R127	Tse Wen Chee Anns	R163	Miki Ma
R128	Ip Ming Yin	R164	Candice Lee
R129	Wan Wing Keung	R165	Lau Tsan Fung
R130	Sara Cheng	R166	Law On Ying
R131	Chan Kwok Fung Jackson	R167	Fan Chi Yan
R132	Chan Ping Hoi Sam	R168	Leung Tim Lung
R133	Mok Cheuk Wai	R169	Yeung Miu Chun
R134	Pau Wing Ka	R170	Simon Damodaran
R135	Wong Wai Kin	R171	Chung Shui Sun
R136	Lau Ka Leong	R172	Lee Kin Lung
R137	Chan Cyrus Ho Hong	R173	Joe Lee
R138	Pamela Sin	R174	Michelle Wong
R139	陳俊彥	R175	Ma Kwai Fan
R140	Helen Louise Norton	R176	Katie Chan
R141	甄桑港	R177	Heung Ka Wai
R142	Gregory Perilleux	R178	Tam Kam Ngor
R143	Tong Siu Lan Christina	R179	Tse Wong
R144	Tang Yuen Mei	R180	Law Po Fai
R145	Lai Kit Ting	R181	黃瑞敏
R146	Tong Hok Yin	R182	Yeung Ka Man
R147	Wu Ka Yan	R183	Li On Ki
R148	鍾南智	R184	Lui Chi Man
R149	Yau Kwok Fung	R185	許瑩瑩
R150	Lian Jones	R186	Leung Wai Yung
R151	Tong Hok Lam	R187	Yip Shui Mei
R152	Yuen Kam Wah	R188	Karrie ho
R153	Mok Kit Tie	R189	Yip Man Chi
R154	Wong Kam Man	R190	Alvin Cheung
R155	Lam Shing Wai Gordon	R191	Victor Chu
R156	Ka Yan Christine Cheng	R192	許小儉
R157	Tong Chung Yee	R193	Alex Broggi
R158	Ng Hoi Yan	R194	Kwok Wai Yin
R159	Tsz Ching Tsui	R195	Lam Wing Mui Flora
R160	Janice Lin	R196	Betty Lee

resentation No. 個案編號 //R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱	Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱
97	Lee Siu Wah	R233	Chan Chun Kit
198	林玉群	R234	Wong Chi Kwong
R199	李煒堅	R235	Queenie Law
R200	李晉偉	R236	Chan Wai Yee
R201	Bob Barrell	R237	Stella Ho
R202	Chow Wai Shan	R238	Ng Sai Ho
R203	曾子祺	R239	Chan Yuk Kwan
R204	Cheung Chak Man	R240	Tan Wai Kiu
R205	Wan Ka Hing	R241	Becky Ip
R206	Ki Christine	R242	Tsui Lok
R207	Chak Wan Chi	R243	Yuen Chungsze
R208	Chan Wan Nga	R244	Wan Siu Wing
R209	Ng Hiu Fung	R245	Cheung Ming Tak
R210	Yu Hon Man	R246	Lee Norman Chun Kee
R211	Jerry Siu	R247	Li Oi Yu Soul
R212	Cheung Man Yee Leslie	R248	Debra Chow
R213	Fung Ho Him Wilsa	R249	Chan Man Kwong
R214	Anna Tse	R250	Koolem Chu
R215	So Po Ling Pauline	R251	Kwok Wai Ting
R216	Keung S	R252	Luk Siu Ching
R217	Ng Chi Yuen	R253	Yung Po Wan Kat
R218	Ng Ka Yan Mary	R254	Chan Wui Yu
R219	Helen Rigby	R255	Law Ka Wing
R220	Connie So	R256	Wong Chi Shing
R221	Yuen Na Leung	R257	Chan Wai Hang
R222	Sean Barry	R258	Nic Parker
R223	Kwok Wing Ting	R259	Joey Leung
R224	Lee Wai Sang Wilson	R260	霍漢橋
R225	HO Yuen Man	R261	Tse Ho Ting
R226	Kayomarz N Palkhiwalla	R262	陳澤皓
R227	Li Ka Kan Candy	R263	Wong Yuet Yi
R228	Cecilia Yeung	R264	Lau Hoi Man Jan
R229	Sherrin Loh	R265	Ho Pui Shan
R230	Tse Viola	R266	Kit Ho
R231	張秀虹	R267	Chan Sing Yu
R232	Blair Dunton	R268	PP Yee Lilian Wan

Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱	Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱
R269	Osawa Makiko	R305	Hui Pui Ling Annie
R270	Ho Yin Wan	R306	Leung Ka Sai
R271	Lai Chun Hong	R307	Tsang Yik Yu
R272	Kwok Yee Lee	R308	Tang Chi Ying
R273	Kakarla Krishna Kumar	R309	Hugh Chiverton
R274	Robert James Lewington	R310	邱麗瑤
R275	Vincent Wong, KiSun	R311	Hung Shui Yee
R276	Kwong Wing Kwan	R312	Cheung Wai Ling
R277	Ma Wing Yan	R313	Shiny Wing Yan
R278	石英雅	R314	Ng Yin Ping
R279	Amanda Cheng	R315	Cheung Kwai Fan
R280	Chow Shuk Han	R316	何嘉碧
R281	Sara Fong	R317	Law Wing Tung
R282	Isabella Tam	R318	Marina Wang
R283	Shek Shui Ping Kitty	R319	Lam Wing Tung Rainbo
R284	王志業	R320	Mak Wai Tung Lori
R285	Chan Cheuk Yiu	R321	Cheng Chok Kong Luk
R286	Chan Cho Man	R322	Chan Shu Leung
R287	Gaspar Cecilia	R323	Sze Ching Ling
R288	Lai Pak Kin	R324	Jean Yu
R289	So Kar Lok Gabriel	R325	Chow Lai Yee
R290	Chan Ka Wai	R326	沈靜南
R291	Fung Lai Ming	R327	Yeung Shung Sang
R292	Cheung Yuen Yee Tracy	R328	Lee Tsz Chung
R293	Mak Hei Man	R329	Loong Kwong Shing
R294	Ng Yuk Choi	R330	Louis Broggi
R295	Lam Yik Oi	R331	Hugo Broggi
R296	Po Hon Sum	R332	Lo Kar Lai
R297	N.A.	R333	Stephan Ortmann
R298	James Parsons	R334	Li Chi Keung
R299	Elisa Tsang	R335	Ki Hoi Hung
R300	Chan Wai Kai	R336	Yuen Nga Lam
R301	Cheung Kam Mui	R337	Lui Wing Yee
R302	Tong Po Lin	R338	Lo Shuk May
R303	Ryan Ying	R339	Lai Kam Hei
R304	Annie Hui	R340	Shing Kwok Nung

Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱	Represent 申述個案 TPB/R/S/
R341	Ho Lai Ha	R376
R342	Tam Cheuk Hung	R377
R343	Ma Yuk Lin	R378
R344	Chau Hung	R379
R345	Tam Suk Kuen Grace	R380
R346	Lo Ki Yuen	R381
R347	Jim Chui Yung Winnie	R382
R348	Leung Janice	R383
R349	Amanda Pang	R384
R350	Choi Chi Kit	R385
R351	Young Lai Yin	R386
R352	Yeung Hok Leung	R387
R353	Lucia Leung	R388
R354	Lau Kit Yee Kitty	R389
R355	N.A.	R390
R356	Pun Suk Mei Stella	R391
R357	Fuh Chui Fan	R392
R358	Emma Lo	R393
R359	Leung Sze Ngan	R394
R360	Neo Cheung	R395
R361	蔡良發	R396
R362	Joanne Yu	R397
R363	黃志強	R398
R364	Fendi Cheung	R399
R365	Joyce Chan Yuk Yu	R400
R366	Cheng Pak Hung	R401
R367	So Man Wai	R402
R368	N.A.	R403
R369	Lau Sin Yan	R404
R370	Chan Yuk Sim	R405
R371	Tse Cheuk Yu	R406
R372	Leung Pak Yue	R407
R373	Kwong Siu Lun	R408
R374	Chow Chung Yiu	R409
	Christopher	R410
R375	Ashley Pang	R411

Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱
R376	April Wong
R377	Joshua Nan
R378	Chung wong ting
R379	Mak Wai Man
R380	Chung Wing Yi
R381	Chan Ka Wang
R382	Cheuk Ming Kei
R383	Tse Wai Chun
R384	Yau Lai Hung
R385	Chan Fuk Hong Thomas
R386	Ching Lam Cheuk
R387	蔡慧瑩
R388	Chiu Christy Sze Chai
R389	Li Wing Kar
R390	温寶愉
R391	Steven Molyneux-Webb
R392	Cherries Kwok
R393	Yip Hon
R394	Cheng Sin Ting
R395	Candice Wai Man To
R396	Yue Chi Pang
R397	Pang Ting Yuen
R398	Chan Yee Lai
R399	Yeung Ka Yan
R400	周志和
R401	Winnie Chan
R402	Tiffany Cheng
R403	Shek Wing Lee
R404	Leung Hoi Sing
R405	Lam Yun Fong
R406	Leung Ching Yin
R407	Leung Ho Ying
R408	Leung Kin Kwong
R409	Lam Yun Wah
R410	Ho Keung Fai
R411	Lee Lai Yan

Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱	Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Represente 申述人名稱
R412	Leung Kit Yee	R448	Adams Wong
R413	Leung Hoi Leung	R449	Tang Kam Yin
R414	Siu Man Fung	R450	Cheng Yee Ying
R415	Jor Chi Keung	R451	George Wong
R416	N.A.	R452	Li Wai Lam
R417	Boman Ho	R453	Carlos Tang
R418	Stefan Kirschner	R454	Chan wing to
R419	Kong Yee Lok	R455	Chim Hoi Kiu
R420	Lee Win Yee	R456	Benny
R421	So Man Wai	R457	Tang YY Carlos
R422	Kong Wing Yi	R458	Tang Yiu Ying
R423	Cheryl Lau	R459	Chan wing sze
R424	Christin Pennacchini	R460	Miao Yali
R425	Leung Hong Ken	R461	Chan Man Bun
R426 -	Hui Kwong Shun	R462	Lam Chi Lung
R427	方子華	R463	Kwok Man Ka
R428	Ellen Fong	R464	羅景文
R429	Chan Yiu Chor Andrew	R465	Jess Wong
R430	Wong Ka Man	R466	Fu Heung Leung Ber
R431	Janice Chu	R467	Dorothy Kai
R432	Ricky Suen	R468	Joseph Chan
R433	Yuen Chi Wai Henry	R469	丘洛翹
R434	Mok Tsz Kin	R470	Tracy Fung
R435	Queenie Ng	R471	Chu Keng Hong
R436	陳楚思	R472	Nikita Lee
R437	Wu King Chung	R473	Lai Kin Wah Agnes
R438	Ho Wing Han	R474	Leung Hin Yan
R439	Chiu Chung Hang	R475	Tam Suk Han
R440	Chris Chan	R476	Cheung On Man
R441	Iris Chu	R477	Wong Man Kit
R442	Shek Wai Chun	R478	Calvin Law
R443	KM Wong	R479	N.A.
R444	Lee Shui Ming	R480	Sum Kit Yee
R445	Wan Lok Man	R481	Cheung Yuen Tung
R446	Karin Chai	R482	Kevin Pui
R447	Yip Wai Lun	R483	Chan Cheong Sang

Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱
R484	Cheng Mei Kiu
R485	Tse Wen Chee Anna
R486	方國珊 (西貢區議員)
R487	匡湖居業主立案法團
R488	Yau Hon See
R489	楊永康
R490	陸秀貞
R491	Woo Wai Yi
R492	Cheng Dicky
R493	李思朗
R494	Lau Tsz Kwan
R495	黄向賢
R496	成元嬌 (夾附 2,666 個簽名 with 2,666 signatures enclosed)
R497	Wong Lai Ping (西貢專線小巴經營者) (夾附 9 個簽名 with 9 signatures enclosed)
R498	Sing Ching On (西貢南圍居民)

Representation No. 申述個案編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Representer 申述人名稱
	(夾附 368 個簽名 with 368
	signatures enclosed)
R499	Yau Keung Hing
	(西貢專線小巴司機及從業
	員)
	(夾附 60 個簽名 with 60
	signatures enclosed)
R500	鍾艾薇
R501	Daniel Mcloughlin
R502	Green Sense
R503	Derek Roy Crane
R504	Hureau Sameul Marie Velery
	Raymond & Dupas
	Frederique Marie Helene
R505	新界郷議局
R506	楊學良
R507	楊丘春喜
R508	一群關心環保熱心人士
R509	余國威
R510	The Hong Kong and China
	Gas Co Ltd.

List of Commenters in respect of Draft Hebe Haven Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/SK-HH/7

提意見人名單 白沙灣分區計劃大綱草圖編號 S/SK-HH/7

Comment No. 意見編號 TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-	Name of Commenter 提意見人名稱	
C1	Mary Mulvihill	
C2	Tse Wen Chee Anna	
C3	西貢居民 (成元嬌)	
C4	西貢專線小巴經營者 (Wong Lai Ping)	
C5	西貢南圍居民 (Sing Ching On)	
C6	西貢專線小巴司機及從業員 (Yau Keung Hing)	

Annex V of <u>TPB Paper No. 10612</u>

Summary of Representations and Comments and the Planning Department's Responses

in respect of the Draft Hebe Haven Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/SK-HH/7

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
R1	西貢區議會	Oppose all Amendment Items. The	Housing Supply
to	(Sai Kung District	grounds of representations can be	• The major rural areas of Sai Kung are covered by respective OZPs, with
R4	Council (SKDC))	 summarized below: Housing Supply The government rezones "Government, Institution or Community" ("G/IC") and "Green Belt" ("GB") sites for development including sites in Sai Kung for low density residential development. This is not fair to villagers who hold Small House leases but not granted approvals for development. Rural areas should be developed including deserted villages in order to balance conservation and development, and address the housing needs of indigenous villagers. Public Consultation There is a lack of consultation in respect of the rezoning. Despite the opposition and concerns from SKDC and Sai Kung residents, the Government failed to consider the comprehensive development of Sai Kung and the long term effect the 	 appropriate land use zonings to reflect their existing conditions and planning intentions, including "CA" and "GB" zonings on areas with conservation value and "Residential" and "V" zones for residential and village developments. Sites occupied for GIC uses or reserved for GIC developments are also zoned "G/IC" to reflect the planning intention. Land use reviews may be considered according to the area's changing needs. Appropriate areas around existing villages have been zoned as "Village Type Development" ("V") on the OZP to cater for Small House demand while Small House grant is under Government's established procedures. <i>Public Consultation</i> The statutory and administrative procedures in consulting the public on the OZP amendments have been duly followed. The exhibition of the OZP for public inspection and the provisions for submission of representations and comments also form part of the statutory consultation process under the Ordinance. Sai Kung Rural Committee (SKRC), Village Representatives and villagers of Nam Wai and Wo Mei Villages and SKDC were consulted on 1.3.2019, 8.4.2019 and 7.5.2019 respectively. In considering the proposed amendments to the OZP on 31.5.2019, the RNTPC had taken into account concerns and objections raised by locals and SKDC, as well as comments and advice by relevant government departments. Upon gazettal of the draft Hebe Haven OZP No. <i>S</i>/SK-HH/7, the proposed amendments were circulated to SKDC on 5.7.2019.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 proposed amendments have on Sai Kung residents and still proceeded with presenting the proposed amendments to the Board for consideration. <i>Traffic and Transport</i> There is no completed set of road traffic data and traffic impact assessment available. As road improvement works under Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 are yet to complete and Stage 2 is not confirmed, no significant improvement to the current traffic condition is anticipated in the near future. There is serious traffic congestion problems at Clear Water Bay Road, New Clear Water Bay Road as well as the Nam Pin Wai roundabout. With the completion of other private housing residential developments in the Sai Kung and Hang Hau area, the proposed developments would add further burden to the traffic conditions in the area. The road network in the area is narrow. The high-end residential developments and additional parking demand and 	 <i>Traffic and Transport</i> According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 is expected to complete by end of 2020, which is earlier than the planned completion year of the proposed developments. The additional peak hour traffic generated from the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network. In addition to Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1, there are rural road network improvements at the rezoning sites and surrounding areas, such as junction improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai Road and at Clear Water Bay Road and Ngan Ying Road, as part of the development of the former Shaw Studio site. In the long run, the Government is implementing Route 6 which includes Tseung Kwan O (TKO)-Lam Tin Tunnel, Central Kowloon Route and Trunk Road T2 which will alleviate the busy road network at Central and East Kowloon. Parking facilities will be provided in accordance with the requirement specified in the Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG). In respect of car parking provision in village housing, it is generally provided through communal parking areas within respective villagers and the Amendment Item B site is one of them. The sites are served by a number of road-based public transport services. Locations of the existing franchised bus and GMB stops are less than 200m walking distance from the Amendment Item C site. Transport Department (TD)

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 sufficient parking facilities should be provided in the area before rezoning should be considered. Traffic issues in Sai Kung cannot be addressed by the road network alone. An extension of the MTR line to the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology should also be considered. 	advises that, according to observation, the existing bus services could cater for the passenger demand. Besides, the GMB operators will gradually replace the 16-seater vehicles with 19-seater vehicles so as to increase the carrying capacity. TD will continue to closely liaise with the public transport service operators, and monitor the public transport service arrangements of the entire Hebe Haven area. Appropriate adjustments will be made in accordance with future community needs. <i>Provision of GIC Facilities</i>
		 Provision of GIC Facilities Due to the aging rural population, there may be a shortage of GIC facilities in Sai Kung District in the next 10 years. The social welfare facilities in Hebe Haven are insufficient and the additional population will worsen the current situation and increase the burden on the existing social facilities as well as the road network that is required to transport the elderly to the facilities. The elderly facilities in TKO District has been overloaded, which could not support the rural area of Sai Kung District. Environmental and Ecological Aspects The stream at Nam Wai has been subject to dumping and blockage problems. The proposed development 	 Based on the requirements in HKPSG and the planned population, as shown in Annex VIII, the planned provision for government, institution and community (GIC) facilities in the Hebe Haven area is generally adequate to meet the demand of the overall planned population (including the increase in population from the proposed housing developments) except for primary school (-9 classrooms) and hospital (-39 beds). Provision of hospital beds and primary schools are on a wider district basis and can be addressed by the provision in the adjoining area. The Government has been closely monitoring the development and service demand in Sai Kung and TKO districts, and is devoted to set up suitable community and public facilities therein. Currently, there are various types of welfare facilities, etc. providing suitable services for people at different ages with different needs. SWD will continue to adopt a multi-pronged approach to identify suitable accommodation (including private and public housing developments, "G/IC" premises, etc.) for the provision of welfare facilities. In view of the keen demand for elderly and rehabilitation services in the community, SWD will convert the ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School at Ho Chung, Sai Kung into an elderly and rehabilitation service facilities complex comprising a 60-place day activity centre (DAC) cum hostel for severely mentally handicapped persons (HSMH) (including 49 reprovisioned service places and 11 additional service

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
No.	Representer	 at Nam Wai will further pollute the adjoining stream in Nam Wai Village and create adverse environmental impact to the area. There is a serious flooding risk along Nam Wai Road in adverse weather situations and the proposed development at Nam Wai would worsen the flooding and endanger the lives of local residents. The inclusion of drainage impact assessment (DIA) to ensure that the Amendment Item C site would pose no addition risk to upstream or downstream as part of the lease conditions would not allay local residents' fears of flooding in the area. The "GB" zone in Amendment Item C site serves as a buffer for the adjacent "Conservation Area" ("CA") zone. The site is near a stream and Pak Sha Wan, there is likely to be some ecological value at the site. The proposed development would damage 	 places) and a contract residential care home for the elderly (RCHE) cum day care unit (DCU), providing a total of 100 residential care places and 30 day care places (all are new service places). <i>Environmental and Ecological Aspects</i> The Amendment Item C site is located at the fringe of "GB" and in close proximity to the developed area of Nam Wai Village. The site is also close to supporting infrastructure facilities (e.g. those related to transport, water supply and sewerage, etc.), it is considered having good potential to be rezoned for residential development. In addition, there is no recognized site of conservation importance nor ecologically important stream within the site. A 10m wide buffer zone is provided between the site and the stream to the west of it. Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department (AFCD) advises that the buffer is adequate to protect the stream with natural stream-bed and strips of wild-grown vegetation on both sides from any impact of the future development. Based on Environmental Protection Department's (EPD) records, EPD has not received any water pollution complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the
		 Proposed development would damage the ecology and affect the flora and fauna. Proposal The Amendment Item A site is ideal for health care, social welfare facility 	 Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west. AFCD advises that the existing residential development (Colour By The River, ie. Amendment Item D site) located across the stream should not cause significant additional ecological impacts as the site is already occupied by an existing residential development and the rezoning of the site will preserve the existing characteristic and development intensity.
		or community centre use. It could also be used as a small sports centre or	• Considering the general topography of Nam Wai, it is a low-lying area in proximity to the sea. The general area of Nam Wai is being monitored as

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		community hall.	 Netpended Proposed Proposed Proposed The ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School premises, located to the swD for conversion into a social welfare services complex. There will be adequate provision of major GIC facilities to ensure the released to the SWD for conversion into a social welfare services complex. There will be adequate provision of major GIC facilities to ensure there is no supported to the swpt for the stream services on facilities to ensure there is no support of GIC facilities to ensure there is no systement to the stream concernet is no support of the existing the ensurement to the stream concerned. The ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School premises, located to the swpt for the stream concernet the services complex. There will be adequate provision of major GIC facilities to ensure there is no sonother the services complex. There will be adequate provision of major GIC facilities to ensure there is no shortfall in the area.
R5	關注西貢規劃陣線	Oppose all Amendment Items. The	Housing Supply
	(Sai Kung Planning	grounds of representations can be summarized below:	• Over the years, the Government has adopted a multi-pronged approach for increasing land supply. To meet the acute housing need of the

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
No.	Representer Concern Front)	 Grounds and Proposals Housing Supply Sai Kung has the highest vacancy rates for residential flats in Hong Kong. Traffic and Transport The traffic flow data and calculations for Hiram's Highway and surrounding areas are not reflective of the actual traffic flow in the area. No actual figures have been released for the volume/capacity ratio for current weekday morning peak hours on Hiram's Highway. There is an increasing number of vehicles in Sai Kung rural areas and many private agricultural lots have been converted into brownfield car parks. The traffic impact associated with the developments is underestimated, as the assessment has not taken into account the approved Small Houses and private residential developments in Sai Kung Town to Ho Chung area. 	 community, various measures in short, medium and long terms will be considered and explored concurrently. Among other measures, an immediate and effective way to augment housing land supply in the short to medium terms is to optimize the use of developable areas with available infrastructure. The two potential housing sites (Amendment Items A and C) identified are sites of sufficient size (about 4,668m² and 6,990m² respectively) with infrastructure support and is in close proximity to major road networks and other developed sites. The sites and the scale of proposed developments are generally compatible with the surrounding areas comprising mainly residential developments and GIC uses. <i>Traffic and Transport</i> According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvements. The additional peak hour traffic generated from the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network.
		1 I I	road network improvements at the rezoning sites and surrounding areas, such as junction improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai Road and at Clear Water Bay Road and Ngan Ying Road, as part of the development of the former Shaw Studio site.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 handicapped persons, there may be a shortage of GIC facilities in Sai Kung District. <i>Proposal</i> Instead of acquiring private property for provision of social welfare and health care facilities, the Government should retain the Amendment Item A site for such provisions to serve public interest. 	• Parking facilities will be provided in accordance with the requirement specified in the HKPSG. In respect of car parking provision in village housing, it is generally provided through communal parking areas within respective villages and other designated areas. There are existing road side car parks (both metered and unmetered) which serve the needs of local villagers.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			 places) and a contract RCHE cum DCU, providing a total of 100 residential care places and 30 day care places (all are new service places). <i>Proposal</i> The ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School premises, located to the immediate northwest of the Amendment Item A site, has been allocated to the SWD for conversion into a social welfare services complex. There will be adequate provision of major GIC facilities to ensure there is no
R6	Mary Mulvihill	Oppose all Amendment Items. The grounds of representations can be summarized below: Housing Supply • Rezoning of "G/IC" sites for private residential developments bring no benefit to the general community. • There are many privately owned brownfield sites which can be used for private developments. Traffic and Transport • Additional vehicles brought upon by the proposed developments would impact the narrow country roads. Provision of GIC Facilities • Amendment Item A site is conveniently located next to the	 shortfall in the area. Housing Supply Over the years, the Government has adopted a multi-pronged approach for increasing land supply. To meet the acute housing need of the community, various measures in short, medium and long terms will be considered and explored concurrently. Among other measures, an immediate and effective way to augment housing land supply in the short to medium terms is to optimize the use of developable areas with available infrastructure. The two potential housing sites (Amendment Items A and C) identified are sites of sufficient size with infrastructure support and is in close proximity to major road networks and other developed sites. The sites and the scale of proposed developments are generally compatible with the surrounding areas comprising mainly residential developments to meet the short to medium-term housing needs. The Government is currently undertaking a Planning and Engineering study for Re-planning of Tseung Kwan O Area 137 for residential, commercial and other development uses. Technical feasibility study is being conducted for the land to the east of Fan Kam Road at the Fanling Golf Course. As for the brownfield sites, the Government has completed the Study on the Existing Profile and Operations of Brownfield Sites in the New Territories. Among the sites identified with relatively higher

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposalsplanned social welfare complex on the old school site, hence it provides synergy. Provision of GIC facilities is a community wide issue and spare "G/IC" sites should be used in a holistic manner, even if local needs are being addressed. <i>Environmental and Ecological Aspects</i> • Rezoning of "GB" site to residential use would also greatly impact the current green panorama. <i>Other Aspects</i> • Amendment Item B site should be a buffer lane between any development and the parking facilities.• Amendment Item D site was already developed while the site was zoned "GB".	 potential for development, assessment will soon commence to identify suitable sites for public housing development. Notwithstanding the above, there is still a need to develop the Amendment Items A and C sites to meet the short to medium-term housing needs <i>Traffic and Transport</i> According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 is expected to complete by end of 2020, which is earlier than the planned completion year of the proposed developments. The additional peak hour traffic generated from the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network. In addition to Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1, there are rural road network improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai
		"GB".	such as junction improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai Road and at Clear Water Bay Road and Ngan Ying Road, as part of the development of the former Shaw Studio site.
			 In the long run, the Government is implementing Route 6 which includes TKO-Lam Tin Tunnel, Central Kowloon Route and Trunk Road T2 which will alleviate the busy road network at Central and East Kowloon.
			Provision of GIC Facilities
			 The Government has been closely monitoring the development and service demand in Sai Kung and TKO districts, and is devoted to set up suitable community and public facilities therein. Currently, there are various types of welfare facilities in Sai Kung, including integrated

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			family service centre, integrated children and youth services centre, elderly and rehabilitation facilities, etc. providing suitable services for people at different ages with different needs.
			• SWD will continue to adopt a multi-pronged approach to identify suitable accommodation (including private and public housing developments, "G/IC" premises, etc.) for the provision of welfare facilities. In view of the keen demand for elderly and rehabilitation services in the community, SWD will convert the ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School at Ho Chung, Sai Kung into an elderly and rehabilitation service facilities complex comprising a 60-place DAC cum HSMH (including 49 reprovisioned service places and 11 additional service places) and a contract RCHE cum DCU, providing a total of 100 residential care places and 30 day care places (all are new service places).
			Environmental and Ecological Aspects
			• The Amendment Item C site is located at the fringe of "GB" and in close proximity to the developed area of Nam Wai Village. The site is also close to supporting infrastructure facilities (e.g. those related to transport, water supply and sewerage, etc.), it is considered having good potential to be rezoned for residential development. In addition, there is no recognized site of conservation importance nor ecologically important stream within the site. A 10m wide buffer zone is provided between the site and the stream to the west of it. AFCD advises that the buffer is adequate to protect the stream with natural stream-bed and strips of wild-grown vegetation on both sides from any impact of the future development.
			• AFCD advises that there are no significant faunal or floral record within the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items A and C sites concludes that there are no rare, protected or endangered species and Registered Old and Valuable Trees within the boundaries of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			 are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be addressed at land disposal stage. Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas. Other Aspects The Amendment Item B site is part of an existing road side car park serving the car parking needs of local villagers. Amendment Item D reflects an existing residential development (Colour By The River).
R7	西貢鄉民 Sai Kung Commons (陳嘉琳)	 Opposes all Amendment Items. The grounds of representations can be summarized below: <i>Housing Supply</i> The Government should review the current rezoning proposal and assess the impact on Sai Kung area. <i>Traffic and Transport</i> Rezoning to build for 230 housing units would only worsen the existing traffic congestion and cause serious negative impacts to Sai Kung residents' daily lives. 	 Housing Supply Over the years, the Government has adopted a multi-pronged approach for increasing land supply. To meet the acute housing need of the community, various measures in short, medium and long terms will be considered and explored concurrently. Among other measures, an immediate and effective way to augment housing land supply in the short to medium terms is to optimize the use of developable areas with available infrastructure. The two potential housing sites (Amendment Items A and C) identified are sites of sufficient size with infrastructure support and is in close proximity to major road networks and other developed sites. The sites and the scale of proposed developments are generally compatible with the surrounding areas comprising mainly residential developments to meet the short to medium-term housing needs. The major rural areas of Sai Kung are covered by respective OZPs, with

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 <i>Provision of GIC Facilities</i> Sai Kung is facing an acute shortage in community facilities. 	appropriate land use zonings to reflect their existing conditions and planning intentions, including "CA" and "GB" zonings on areas with conservation value and "Residential" and "V" zones for residential and village developments. Sites occupied for GIC uses or reserved for GIC developments are also zoned "G/IC" to reflect the planning intention. Land use reviews may be considered according to the area's changing
		Environmental and Ecological Aspect	needs.
		• Rezoning "GB" sites to low-density residential uses would further destroy Sai Kung's rural landscape and create an undesirable precedent.	 Traffic and Transport According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway
		• Ecological surveys conducted at territorial and coastal area of Nam Wai and Heung Chung by green group show that the area is recorded with species of conservation value including birds, butterflies, insects and trees. These ecosystems can easily be damaged by human disturbance, resulting in pollution to nearby streams and river.	between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 is expected to complete by end of 2020, which is earlier than the planned completion year of the proposed developments. The additional peak hour traffic generated from the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network.
		• With the rezoning of "GB" to "R(C)5", it would vague the boundary between the urban and sub-urban area that may promote further development of sub-urban or even natural area in the future, which would clearly violate the original planning intention.	 In addition to Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1, there are rural road network improvements at the rezoning sites and surrounding areas, such as junction improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai Road and at Clear Water Bay Road and Ngan Ying Road, as part of the development of the former Shaw Studio site. <i>Provision of GIC Facilities</i>
		• The "GB" zone also functions as the buffer area between the residential area and the ecologically sensitive area i.e. "CA". The rezoning means	• Based on the requirements in HKPSG and the planned population, as shown in Annex VIII , the planned provision for GIC facilities in the Hebe Haven area is generally adequate to meet the demand of the overall planned population (including the increase in population from the

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 there would be no buffer zone between the residential area and "CA" zone and any impacts generated from the construction and operation of the residential area would directly affect the "CA" zone. <i>Proposal</i> GIC sites should be reserved for the construction of facilities that would benefit the locals, including medical facilities and elderly care centres. 	 proposed housing developments) except for primary school (-9 classrooms) and hospital (-39 beds). Provision of hospital beds and primary schools are on a wider district basis and can be addressed by the provision in the adjoining area. The Government has been closely monitoring the development and service demand in Sai Kung and TKO districts, and is devoted to set up suitable community and public facilities therein. Currently, there are various types of welfare facilities in Sai Kung, including integrated family service centre, integrated children and youth services centre, elderly and rehabilitation facilities, etc. providing suitable services for people at different ages with different needs. SWD will continue to adopt a multi-pronged approach to identify suitable accommodation (including private and public housing developments, "G/IC" premises, etc.) for the provision of welfare facilities. In view of the keen demand for elderly and rehabilitation services in the community, SWD will convert the ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School at Ho Chung, Sai Kung into an elderly and rehabilitation service facilities complex comprising a 60-place DAC cum HSMH (including 49 reprovisioned service places and 11 additional service places).
			Environmental and Ecological Aspects
			• The Amendment Item C site is located at the fringe of "GB" and in close proximity to the developed area of Nam Wai Village. The site is also close to supporting infrastructure facilities (e.g. those related to transport, water supply and sewerage, etc.), it is considered having good potential to be rezoned for residential development. In addition, there is no recognized site of conservation importance nor ecologically important stream within the site. A 10m wide buffer zone is provided between the site and the stream to the west of it. AFCD advises that the buffer is adequate to protect the stream with natural stream-bed and strips of

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			wild-grown vegetation on both sides from any impact of the future development.
			• Based on EPD's records, EPD has not received any water pollution complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west.
			• AFCD also advises that the existing residential development (Colour By The River, ie. Amendment Item D site) located across the stream should not cause significant additional ecological impacts as the site is already occupied by an existing residential development and the rezoning of the site will preserve the existing characteristic and development intensity.
			• Considering the general topography of Nam Wai, it is a low-lying area in proximity to the sea. The general area of Nam Wai is being monitored as one of the storm surge spots. An early alert system for predicted storm surges has been implemented with HAD and HKO since 2010. Besides, DSD would conduct routine site inspections for the natural stream at Nam Wai in particular before the typhoon. After the inspections, DSD would carry out the clearance works for the debris found, cutting of vegetation and desilting works at the stream concerned, if necessary.
			• AFCD advises that there are no significant faunal or floral record within the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items A and C sites concludes that there are no rare, protected or endangered species and Registered Old and Valuable Trees within the boundaries of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香 港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			 addressed at land disposal stage. Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas. <i>Proposal</i> The ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School premises, located to the immediate northwest of the Amendment Item A site, has been allocated to the SWD for conversion into a social welfare services complex. There will be adequate provision of major GIC facilities to ensure there is no shortfall in the area.
R8 to R484 ¹	(Individuals) For names of Representers, please see Annex III	 Opposes all Amendment Items. The grounds of representations can be summarized below: <i>Housing Supply</i> The Government should review the current rezoning proposal and assess the impact on Sai Kung area. Oppose the rezoning for luxury residential developments. The Small House policy should be reviewed. Planning should prioritise the use of brownfield sites and golf courses with cheap rents for development. 	 Housing Supply The views are noted. Over the years, the Government has adopted a multi-pronged approach for increasing land supply. To meet the acute housing need of the community, various measures in short, medium and long terms will be considered and explored concurrently. Among other measures, an immediate and effective way to augment housing land supply in the short to medium terms is to optimize the use of developable areas with available infrastructure. The two potential housing sites (Amendment Items A and C) identified are sites of sufficient size with infrastructure support and is in close proximity to major road networks and other developed sites. The sites and the scale of proposed developments are generally compatible with the surrounding areas comprising mainly residential developments to meet the short to medium-term housing needs. The major rural areas of Sai Kung are covered by respective OZPs, with

¹ Excluding **R297**, **R355**, **R368**, **R416** and **R479** as they were subsequently found to be duplicated.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 <i>Traffic and Transport</i> Rezoning to build for 230 housing units would only worsen the existing traffic congestion and cause serious negative impacts to Sai Kung residents' daily lives. Traffic congestion issues in the Sai Kung area should be resolved before new developments are considered. The proposed developments would create adverse impacts on traffic and pedestrians. 	 appropriate land use zonings to reflect their existing conditions and planning intentions, including "CA" and "GB" zonings on areas with conservation value and "Residential" and "V" zones for residential and village developments. Sites occupied for GIC uses or reserved for GIC developments are also zoned "G/IC" to reflect the planning intention. Land use reviews may be considered according to the area's changing needs. Technical feasibility study is being conducted for the land to the east of Fan Kam Road at the Fanling Golf Course. As for the brownfield sites, the Government has completed the Study on the Existing Profile and Operations of Brownfield Sites in the New Territories. Among the sites identified with relatively higher potential for development, assessment will soon commence to identify suitable sites for public housing development. Notwithstanding the above, there is still a need to develop the Amendment Items A and C sites to meet the short to medium-term housing needs.
		• There is an acute shortage of GIC facilities in Sai Kung.	Traffic and Transport
		 GIC sites should be retained for facilities that are beneficial to Sai Kung residents such as hospitals, residential care services and elderly homes. The sites should be used to provide green areas and open spaces, playgrounds and parks for recreational purposes instead of residential buildings. 	• According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 is expected to complete by end of 2020, which is earlier than the planned completion year of the proposed developments. The additional peak hour traffic generated from the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network.
		 <i>Environmental and Ecological Aspects</i> Rezoning "GB" sites to low density residential uses would further destroy 	 In addition to Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1, there are rural road network improvements in surrounding areas, such as junction improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai Road and at

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		Sai Kung's rural landscape and create an undesirable precedent.	Clear Water Bay Road and Ngan Ying Road, as part of the development of the former Shaw Studio site.
		• Ecological surveys conducted at territorial and coastal area of Nam Wai and Heung Chung by green group show that the area is recorded	• In the long run, the Government is implementing Route 6 which includes TKO-Lam Tin Tunnel, Central Kowloon Route and Trunk Road T2 which will alleviate the busy road network at Central and East Kowloon.
		 with species of conservation value including birds, butterflies, insects and trees. These ecosystems can easily be damaged by human disturbance, resulting in pollution to nearby streams and river. With the rezoning of "GB" to "R(C)5", it would vague the boundary between the urban and sub-urban area that may promote further development of sub-urban or even natural area in the future, which would clearly violate the original planning intention. The "GB" zone also functions as the buffer area between the residential area and the ecologically sensitive area i.e. "CA". The rezoning means there would be no buffer zone between the residential area and "CA" zone and any impacts generated from 	 Provision of GIC Facilities Based on the requirements in HKPSG and the planned population, as shown in Annex VIII, the planned provision for GIC facilities in the Hebe Haven area is generally adequate to meet the demand of the overall planned population (including the increase in population from the proposed housing developments) except for primary school (-9 classrooms) and hospital (-39 beds). Provision of hospital beds and primary schools are on a wider district basis and can be addressed by the provision in the adjoining area. The Government has been closely monitoring the development and service demand in Sai Kung and TKO districts, and is devoted to set up suitable community and public facilities therein. Currently, there are various types of welfare facilities in Sai Kung, including integrated family service centre, integrated children and youth services for people at different ages with different needs. SWD will continue to adopt a multi-pronged approach to identify suitable accommodation (including private and public housing developments, "G/IC" premises, etc.) for the provision of welfare facilities. In view of the keen demand for elderly and rehabilitation private with the provision of welfare facilities. In view of the keen demand for elderly and rehabilitation private with the provision of welfare facilities. Suitable accommodation (including private and public housing developments, "G/IC" premises, etc.) for the provision of welfare facilities. In view of the keen demand for elderly and rehabilitation private with the provision of the provision of welfare facilities.
		the construction and operation of the residential area would directly affect the "CA" zone.	services in the community, SWD will convert the ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School at Ho Chung, Sai Kung into an elderly and rehabilitation service facilities complex comprising a 60-place DAC cum HSMH (including 49 reprovisioned service places and 11 additional service
		• Nam Wai Road is a coastal road and there is frequent flooding during the rainy season.	places) and a contract RCHE cum DCU, providing a total of 100 residential care places and 30 day care places (all are new service

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 The devastating effects to Sai Kung's natural vicinity will be irreversible if the rezoning goes ahead. There is high ecological and conservation value in Sai Kung. The proposed developments will destroy wetlands and bird havens. Other Aspects Government should deal with illegal occupation of land in villages. The proposed developments would create adverse impacts on electricity supply. Proposal GIC sites should be reserved for the construction of facilities that would benefit the locals, including medical facilities and elderly care centres. 	 places). Environmental and Ecological Aspects The Amendment Item C site is located at the fringe of "GB" and in close proximity to the developed area of Nam Wai Village. The site is also close to supporting infrastructure facilities (e.g. those related to transport, water supply and sewerage, etc.), it is considered having good potential to be rezoned for residential development. In addition, there is no recognized site of conservation importance nor ecologically important stream within the site. A 10m wide buffer zone is provided between the site and the stream to the west of it. AFCD advises that the buffer is adequate to protect the stream with natural stream-bed and strips of wild-grown vegetation on both sides from any impact of the future development Based on EPD's records, EPD has not received any water pollution complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west. AFCD advises that the existing residential development (Colour By The River, ie. Amendment Item D site) located across the stream should not cause significant additional ecological impacts as the site is already occupied by an existing residential development and the rezoning of the site will preserve the existing characteristic and development intensity. Considering the general topography of Nam Wai, it is a low-lying area in proximity to the sea. The general area of Nam Wai site is being monitored as one of the storm surge spots. An early alert system for predicted storm surges has been implemented with HAD and HKO since 2010. Bes

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			 DSD would conduct routine site inspections for the natural stream at Nam Wai in particular before the typhoon. After the inspections, DSD would carry out the clearance works for the debris found, cutting of vegetation and desilting works at the stream concerned, if necessary. AFCD advises that there are no significant faunal or floral record within the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items A and C sites concludes that there are no rare, protected or endangered species and Registered Old and Valuable Trees within the boundaries of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be addressed at land disposal stage. Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas.
			 Other Aspects In ensuring continuity of electricity for Nam Wai Village, the future developer/project proponent of the proposed developments should liaise with the electricity supplier (i.e. China Light and Power Hong Kong Limited) to ensure that the electricity network is sufficient to support the proposed developments.
			 Proposal The ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School premises, located to the immediate northwest of the Amendment Item A site, has been allocated to the SWD for conversion into a social welfare services complex. There will be adequate provision of major GIC facilities to ensure there is no

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			shortfall in the area.
R485	Tse Wen Chee Anna	 Opposes all Amendment Items. The grounds of representations can be summarized below: <i>Traffic and Transport</i> Rezoning to build for 230 housing units would only worsen the existing congestion in traffic and cause serious negative impacts to Sai Kung residents' daily lives. <i>Provision of GIC Facilities</i> Sai Kung is facing an acute shortage in community facilities. 	 Traffic and Transport According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 is expected to complete by end of 2020, which is earlier than the planned completion year of the proposed developments. The additional peak hour traffic generated from the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network. In addition to Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1, there are rural road network improvements at the rezoning sites and surrounding areas, such as junction improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai
		 Environmental and Ecological Aspect Rezoning "GB" sites to low-density residential uses would further destroy Sai Kung's rural landscape and create an undesirable precedent. Proposal GIC sites should be reserved for the 	 Road and at Clear Water Bay Road and Ngan Ying Road, as part of the development of the former Shaw Studio site. In the long run, the Government is implementing Route 6 which includes TKO-Lam Tin Tunnel, Central Kowloon Route and Trunk Road T2 which will alleviate the busy road network at Central and East Kowloon. <i>Provision of GIC Facilities</i> Based on the requirements in HKPSG and the planned population, as shown in Annex VIII, the planned provision for GIC facilities in the
		construction of facilities that would benefit the locals, including medical facilities and elderly care centres.	shown in Annex VIII , the planned provision for GIC facilities in the Hebe Haven area is generally adequate to meet the demand of the overall planned population (including the increase in population from the proposed housing developments) except for primary school (-9 classrooms) and hospital (-39 beds). Provision of hospital beds and primary schools are on a wider district basis and can be addressed by the

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			provision in the adjoining area.
			 The Government has been closely monitoring the development and service demand in Sai Kung and TKO districts, and is devoted to set up suitable community and public facilities therein. Currently, there are various types of welfare facilities in Sai Kung, including integrated family service centre, integrated children and youth services centre, elderly and rehabilitation facilities, etc. providing suitable services for people at different ages with different needs. SWD will continue to adopt a multi-pronged approach to identify suitable accommodation (including private and public housing developments, "G/IC" premises, etc.) for the provision of welfare facilities. In view of the keen demand for elderly and rehabilitation services in the community, SWD will convert the ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School at Ho Chung, Sai Kung into an elderly and rehabilitation service facilities complex comprising a 60-place DAC cum HSMH (including 49 reprovisioned service places and 11 additional service places) and a contract RCHE cum DCU, providing a total of 100 residential care places and 30 day care places (all are new service places).
			Environmental and Ecological Aspects
			 The Amendment Item C site is located at the fringe of "GB" and in close proximity to the developed area of Nam Wai Village. The site is also close to supporting infrastructure facilities (e.g. those related to transport, water supply and sewerage, etc.), it is considered having good potential to be rezoned for residential development. In addition, there is no recognized site of conservation importance nor ecologically important stream within the site. A 10m wide buffer zone is provided between the site and the stream to the west of it. AFCD advises that the buffer is adequate to protect the stream with natural stream-bed and strips of wild-grown vegetation on both sides from any impact of the future development.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			• Based on EPD's records, EPD has not received any water pollution complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west.
			• AFCD also advises that the existing residential development (Colour By The River, ie. Amendment Item D site) located across the stream should not cause significant additional ecological impacts as the site is already occupied by an existing residential development and the rezoning of the site will preserve the existing characteristic and development intensity.
			• AFCD advises that there are no significant faunal or floral record within the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items A and C sites concludes that there are no rare, protected or endangered species and Registered Old and Valuable Trees within the boundaries of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香 港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be addressed at land disposal stage.
			• Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas.
			Proposal
			• The ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School premises, located to the

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			immediate northwest of the Amendment Item A site, has been allocated to the SWD for conversion into a social welfare services complex. There will be adequate provision of major GIC facilities to ensure there is no shortfall in the area.
R486 to R495	to R495 西貢區區議員 (Ms. FONG Kwok-shan Christine, SKDC mambar) Housing Supply • The TKO Area 137 should be use	summarized below:	 Housing Supply The Government is currently undertaking a Planning and Engineering study for Re-planning of TKO Area 137 for residential, commercial and other development uses. Notwithstanding the above, there is still a need to develop the Amendment Items A and C sites to meet the short to medium-term housing needs.
	匡湖居業主立案法 團 (Incorporated Owners of Marina Cove) Yau Hon See 楊永康 陸秀貞	 Traffic and Transport The proposed development at Nam Wai would create a bottleneck for the already narrow junction to Nam Wai Village, which is the sole access road in and out of the village. This bottleneck and the large scale residential developments currently under construction would add to the already overloaded traffic flow that Hiram's Highway, Clear Water Bay Road and Ying Yip Road is sustaining. 	 <i>Traffic and Transport</i> According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 is expected to complete by end of 2020, which is earlier than the planned completion year of the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network. In addition to Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1, there are rural road network improvements at the rezoning sites and surrounding areas, such as junction improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai
	Woo Wai Yi Cheng Dicky	 Provision of GIC Facilities The social welfare facilities in rural areas of Sai Kung are insufficient. The elderly facilities in TKO District has been overloaded, which could not 	Road and at Clear Water Bay Road and Ngan Ying Road, as part of the development of the former Shaw Studio site. A widened access road (subject to detailed design) will also be provided to improve traffic from Nam Wai Village and provide access to the existing residential development (Colour By The River) and the proposed development at

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
	李思朗	support the rural area of Sai Kung District. The traffic congestion along	Nam Wai.
	Lau Tsz Kwan	Hiram's Highway and Clear Water Bay Road also makes it difficult for	<i>Provision of GIC Facilities</i>Based on the requirements in HKPSG and the planned population, as
	黄向賢	residents to commute across the district to use social welfare facilities.	shown in Annex VIII , the planned provision for GIC facilities in the Hebe Haven area is generally adequate to meet the demand of the overall
		 Environmental and Ecological Aspects The proposed development in Nam Wai will further pollute the adjoining river in Nam Wai Village, create 	planned population (including the increase in population from the proposed housing developments) except for primary school (-9 classrooms) and hospital (-39 beds). Provision of hospital beds and primary schools are on a wider district basis and can be addressed by the provision in the adjoining area.
		adverse environmental impact and increase the area's flooding risk.	• The Government has been closely monitoring the development and service demand in Sai Kung and TKO districts, and is devoted to set up suitable community and public facilities therein. Currently, there are
		 Other Aspects Locals were surprised to learn from the Government that the Amendment Item C site falls outside of Nam Wai's 	various types of welfare facilities in Sai Kung, including integrated family service centre, integrated children and youth services centre, elderly and rehabilitation facilities, etc. providing suitable services for people at different ages with different needs.
		'Village Environ' ('VE'), despite the residents being eligible to vote in Rural Representative Elections.	• SWD will continue to adopt a multi-pronged approach to identify suitable accommodation (including private and public housing developments, "G/IC" premises, etc.) for the provision of welfare
		• The site of the proposed development in Nam Wai has ancestral graves and ancestral houses belonging to indigenous Nam Wai residents.	facilities. In view of the keen demand for elderly and rehabilitation services in the community, SWD will convert the ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School at Ho Chung, Sai Kung into an elderly and rehabilitation service facilities complex comprising a 60-place DAC cum HSMH (including 49 reprovisioned service places and 11 additional service
		Proposal	places) and a contract RCHE cum DCU, providing a total of 100 residential care places and 30 day care places (all are new service
		• The "G/IC" site should be retained and used for social welfare facilities	places).
		first before acquiring private property	Environmental and Ecological Aspects
		for such provisions.	• Based on EPD's records, EPD has not received any water pollution

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west.
			• AFCD also advises that the existing residential development (Colour By The River, ie. Amendment Item D site) located across the stream should not cause significant additional ecological impacts as the site is already occupied by an existing residential development and the rezoning of the site will preserve the existing characteristic and development intensity.
			• AFCD advises that there are no significant faunal or floral record within the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items A and C sites concludes that there are no rare, protected or endangered species and Registered Old and Valuable Trees within the boundaries of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be addressed at land disposal stage.
			• Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas.
			Other Aspects
			• According to LandsD, the Amendment Item C site did not and does not fall within the 'VE' of Nam Wai.
No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
------	-----------------------	---	---
			• Relevant departments would make suitable arrangements according to established procedures if existing ancestral graves are affected.
			Proposal
			• The ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School premises, located to the immediate northwest of the Amendment Item A site, has been allocated to the SWD for conversion into a social welfare services complex. There will be adequate provision of major GIC facilities to ensure there is no shortfall in the area.
R496	西貢居民 (成元嬌)	Opposes Amendment Items A and C. The	Public Consultation
	(夾附 2,666 個簽名	grounds of representations can be	• The statutory and administrative procedures in consulting the public on
	with 2,666 signatures	summarized below:	the OZP amendments have been duly followed. The exhibition of the
	enclosed)	 <i>Public Consultation</i> There was no consultation when the 'VE' for Nam Wai was amended to exclude the Amendment Item C site. Villagers were not aware of the proposed amendments until they were informed about the scheme by SKRC. Despite the opposition and concerns from SKDC, the Government provided no reasonable responses and still proceeded with presenting the proposed amendments to the Board for consideration. <i>Traffic and Transport</i> There is only one access road in and out of Nam Wai Village and there is 	 OZP for public inspection and the provisions for submission of representations and comments also form part of the statutory consultation process under the Ordinance. Sai Kung Rural Committee, Village Representatives and villagers of Nam Wai and Wo Mei Villages and SKDC were consulted on 1.3.2019, 8.4.2019 and 7.5.2019 respectively. In considering the proposed amendments to the OZP on 31.5.2019, the RNTPC had taken into account concerns and objections raised by locals and SKDC, as well as comments and advice by relevant government departments. Upon gazettal of the draft Hebe Haven OZP No. S/SK-HH/7, the proposed amendments were circulated to SKDC on 5.7.2019. According to LandsD, the Amendment Item C site did not and does not fall within the 'VE' of Nam Wai. <i>Traffic and Transport</i> According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the

No. Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
	 traffic congestion during the morning and evening peak hours. The proposed residential development at Nam Wai would mean there would be more vehicles in the area and worsen the traffic congestion in Nam Wai. There are no traffic lights at the junction of Nam Wai Road and the 	volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 is expected to complete by end of 2020, which is earlier than the planned completion year of the proposed developments. The additional peak hour traffic generated from the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network.
	 access road leading to the Amendment C site. The increased number of vehicles may lead to an increase traffic accidents. There is insufficient provision of public transport in and out of Nam Wai, Wo Mei and Heung Chung Villages during morning and afternoon peak hours. Buses are already full before they reach the bus stops at these villages. Flyovers connecting to Diamond Hill and Tiu Keng Leng MTR Stations should be built to alleviate traffic congestion. In the longer term, the MTR could be extended from Hang Hau to Hong Kong University of Science and Technology and Nam Wai. Buses and minibuses could run circular routes between Sai Kung and Nam Wai. 	 In addition to Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1, there are rural road network improvements at the rezoning sites and surrounding areas, such as junction improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai Road and at Clear Water Bay Road and Ngan Ying Road, as part of the development of the former Shaw Studio site. A widened access road (subject to detailed design) will also be provided to improve traffic from Nam Wai Village and provide access to the existing residential development (Colour By The River) and the proposed development at Nam Wai. The sites are served by a number of road-based public transport services. Locations of the existing franchised bus and GMB stops are less than 200m walking distance from the Amendment Item A site and less than 600m from the Amendment Item C site. TD advises that, according to observation, the existing bus services could cater for the passenger demand. Besides, the GMB operators will gradually replace the 16-seater vehicles with 19-seater vehicles so as to increase the carrying capacity. TD will continue to closely liaise with the public transport service operators, and monitor the public transport service arrangements of the entire Hebe Haven area. Appropriate adjustments will be made in accordance with future community needs. In the long run, the Government is implementing Route 6 which includes TKO-Lam Tin Tunnel, Central Kowloon Route and Trunk Road T2 which will alleviate the busy road network at Central and East Kowloon.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 further pollute nearby streams and rivers. <i>Other Aspects</i> The Amendment Item C site was originally part of Nam Wai Village with some ancestral graves located within or nearby and will be affected by the proposed development. There is grave concern on the adverse 'fung shui' impact. The current electricity network could not support the proposed development. 	-
			 Other Aspects Relevant departments would make suitable arrangements according to established procedures if existing ancestral graves are affected. Fung shui matter is not a material planning consideration. According to LandsD's records, the site does not form part of any fung shui area and there is no fung shui area for Nam Wai Village. In ensuring continuity of electricity for Nam Wai Village, the future developer/project proponent of the site is should liaise with the electricity supplier (i.e. China Light and Power Co., Ltd) to ensure that the electricity network is sufficient to support the proposed developments.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
R497 to R499	西貢專線小巴經營 者 (Wong Lai Ping) (夾附 9 個簽名 with 9 signatures enclosed) 西貢南圍居民 (Sing Ching On) (夾附 368 個簽名 with 368 signatures enclosed)	 Opposes Amendment Items A and C. The grounds of representations can be summarized below: <i>Public Consultation</i> Despite the opposition and concerns from SKDC, the Government provided no reasonable responses and still proceeded with presenting the proposed amendments to the Board for consideration. <i>Traffic and Transport</i> 	 Public Consultation The statutory and administrative procedures in consulting the public on the OZP amendments have been duly followed. The exhibition of the OZP for public inspection and the provisions for submission of representations and comments also form part of the statutory consultation process under the Ordinance. Sai Kung Rural Committee, Village Representatives and villagers of Nam Wai and Wo Mei Villages and SKDC were consulted on 1.3.2019, 8.4.2019 and 7.5.2019 respectively. In considering the proposed amendments to the OZP on 31.5.2019, the RNTPC had taken into account concerns and objections raised by locals and SKDC, as well as comments and advice by relevant government departments. Upon gazettal of the draft Hebe Haven OZP No. S/SK-HH/7, the proposed amendments were circulated to SKDC on 5.7.2019.
	西貢專線小巴司機 及從業員 (Yau Keung Hing) (夾附 60 個簽名 with 60 signatures enclosed)	 There is serious traffic congestion between Sai Kung and Choi Hung/Hang Hau during the morning peak hours. Locals have requested for traffic data to back up claims that the traffic generated from proposed developments would not worsen the current traffic congestion issues in Sai Kung, but have yet to receive them. PlanD has underestimated the number of vehicles and trips generated from the proposed developments. Residents of Nam Wai, Wo Mei and Heung Chung Villages find it very difficult to take public transport during the morning peak hours. Buses and minibuses are already full before they reach the bus stops at these 	 <i>Traffic and Transport</i> According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 is expected to complete by end of 2020, which is earlier than the planned completion year of the proposed developments. The additional peak hour traffic generated from the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		villages.	 development of the former Shaw Studio site. In the long run, the Government is implementing Route 6 which includes TKO-Lam Tin Tunnel, Central Kowloon Route and Trunk Road T2 which will alleviate the busy road network at Central and East Kowloon. The sites are served by a number of road-based public transport services. Locations of the existing franchised bus and GMB stops are less than 200m walking distance from the Amendment Item A site and less than 600m from the Amendment Item C site. TD advises that, according to observation, the existing bus services could cater for the passenger demand. Besides, the GMB operators will gradually replace the 16-seater vehicles with 19-seater vehicles so as to increase the carrying capacity. TD will continue to closely liaise with the public transport service operators, and monitor the public transport service arrangement of the entire Hebe Haven area. Appropriate adjustments will be made in accordance with future community needs.
R500	Amy Chung	 Opposes Amendment Items A and C. The grounds of representations can be summarized below: <i>Housing Supply</i> The proposed developments would not benefit the majority of Hong Kong people or those waiting to be housed in public housing. <i>Public Consultation</i> Villagers were not aware of the proposed amendments. 	 Housing Supply Over the years, the Government has adopted a multi-pronged approach for increasing land supply. To meet the acute housing need of the community, various measures in short, medium and long terms will be considered and explored concurrently. Among other measures, an immediate and effective way to augment housing land supply in the short to medium terms is to optimize the use of developable areas with available infrastructure. The two potential housing sites (Amendment Items A and C) identified are sites of sufficient size with infrastructure support and is in close proximity to major road networks and other developed sites. The sites and the scale of proposed developments are generally compatible with the surrounding areas comprising mainly residential developments to meet the short to medium-term housing needs. Regarding the concern on public housing, the Government has

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 Traffic and Transport Sai Kung area is heavily congested during morning peak hours. The Sai Kung road network is also prone to traffic accidents which consequently causes gridlock. 	completed the Study on the Existing Profile and Operations of Brownfield Sites in the New Territories. Among the sites identified with relatively higher potential for development, assessment will soon commence to identify suitable sites for public housing development. Notwithstanding the above, there is still a need to develop the Amendment Items A and C sites to meet the short to medium-term housing needs.
			Public Consultation
			• The statutory and administrative procedures in consulting the public on the OZP amendments have been duly followed. The exhibition of the OZP for public inspection and the provisions for submission of representations and comments also form part of the statutory consultation process under the Ordinance. Sai Kung Rural Committee, Village Representatives and villagers of Nam Wai and Wo Mei Villages and SKDC were consulted on 1.3.2019, 8.4.2019 and 7.5.2019 respectively. In considering the proposed amendments to the OZP on 31.5.2019, the RNTPC had taken into account concerns and objections raised by locals and SKDC, as well as comments and advice by relevant government departments. Upon gazettal of the draft Hebe Haven OZP No. S/SK-HH/7, the proposed amendments were circulated to SKDC on 5.7.2019.
			Traffic and Transport
			• According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 is expected to complete by end

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			 of 2020, which is earlier than the planned completion year of the proposed developments. The additional peak hour traffic generated from the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network. In addition to Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1, there are rural road network improvements at the rezoning sites and surrounding areas, such as junction improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai Road and at Clear Water Bay Road and Ngan Ying Road, as part of the development of the former Shaw Studio. In the long run, the Government is implementing Route 6 which includes TKO-Lam Tin Tunnel, Central Kowloon Route and Trunk Road T2 which will alleviate the busy road network at Central and East Kowloon.
R501	Daniel Mcloughlin	 Opposes Amendment Items A and C. The grounds of representations can be summarized below: <i>Traffic and Transport</i> There is one road in and out of Nam Wai. The addition of 230 flats would have adverse impacts on the traffic flow in and out of Nam Wai. <i>Environmental and Ecological Aspects</i> The proposed developments could have negative impact on the environmental qualities such as mangroves. 	• According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 is expected to complete by end of 2020, which is earlier than the planned completion year of the proposed developments. The additional peak hour traffic generated from the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			Nam Wai Village and provide access to the existing residential development (Colour By The River) and the proposed development at Nam Wai.
			Environmental and Ecological Aspects
			• Based on EPD's records, EPD has not received any water pollution complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west.
			• AFCD has no significant faunal or floral record within the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items A and C sites concludes that there are no rare, protected or endangered species and Registered Old and Valuable Trees within the boundaries of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be addressed at land disposal stage.
			• Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas.
R502	環保觸覺 (Green Sense)	Opposes Amendment Items A, C and D. The grounds of representations can be summarized below:	 Housing Supply Over the years, the Government has adopted a multi-pronged approach for increasing land supply. To meet the acute housing need of the

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 <i>Housing Supply</i> The proposed low-density residential developments could not address the housing need of the majority of Hong Kong people. The Amendment Item A site rezones a public facility (the "G/IC" site) into residential use and does not meet local residents' needs. 	community, various measures in short, medium and long terms will be considered and explored concurrently. Among other measures, an immediate and effective way to augment housing land supply in the short to medium terms is to optimize the use of developable areas with available infrastructure. The two potential housing sites (Amendment Items A and C) identified are sites of sufficient size with infrastructure support and is in close proximity to major road networks and other developed sites. The sites and the scale of proposed developments are generally compatible with the surrounding areas comprising mainly residential developments and GIC uses and is considered suitable for residential developments to meet the short to medium-term housing needs.
		 Traffic and Transport There is grave concern on the traffic congestion problem in Sai Kung District. The proposed residential development would attract more traffic into the area, thereby increasing the burden on the road network. The road improvement works of Hiram's Highway and local access to Ho Chung could not alleviate the traffic congestion problems. 	• According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Clear Water Bay Road and New Hiram's Highway, as well as between
		 Environmental and Ecological Aspects The rezoning proposals will involve large scale tree felling and have adverse landscape impact on the rural environment. The "GB" zone also functions as a buffer area and is not suitable for 	• In addition to Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1, there are rural road network improvements at the rezoning sites and surrounding areas, such as junction improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai Road and at Clear Water Bay Road and Ngan Ying Road, as part of the development of the former Shaw Studio site.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals development. The rezoning means there would be no buffer zone which contravenes the planning intention of the "GB" zone.	 which will alleviate the busy road network at Central and East Kowloon. <i>Environmental and Ecological Aspects</i> The Amendment Item C site is located at the fringe of "GB" and in close proximity to the developed area of Nam Wai Village. The site is also close to supporting infrastructure facilities (e.g. those related to transport, water supply and sewerage, etc.), it is considered having good potential to be rezoned for residential development. In addition, there is no recognized site of conservation importance nor ecologically important stream within the site. A 10m wide buffer zone is provided between the site and the stream to the west of it. AFCD advises that the buffer is adequate to protect the stream with natural stream-bed and strips of wild-grown vegetation on both sides from any impact of the future development. AFCD has no significant faunal or floral record within the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items A and C sites concludes that there are no rare, protected or endangered species and Registered Old and Valuable Trees within the boundaries of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be addressed at land disposal stage.
			• Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas.
R503	Derek Roy Crane	Opposes Amendment Items C and D. The grounds of representations can be summarized below:	<i>Housing Supply</i>The views are noted.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 Housing Supply The conversion of school and paint factory for housing development could be considered. Environmental and Ecological Aspects Nam Wai is a conservation area and should not be rezoned which would damage the land. 	 Environmental and Ecological Aspects Based on EPD's records, EPD has not received any water pollution complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west. AFCD has no significant faunal or floral record within the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items A and C sites concludes that there are no rare, protected or endangered species and Registered Old and Valuable Trees within the boundaries of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be addressed at land disposal stage. Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas.
R504	Hureau Sameul Marie Velery Raymond & Dupas Frederique Marie Helene	Opposes Amendment Items C and D. The grounds of representations can be summarized below:	 Environmental and Ecological Aspects The Amendment Item C site is located at the fringe of "GB" and in close proximity to the developed area of Nam Wai Village. The site is also close to supporting infrastructure facilities (e.g. those related to transport, water supply and sewerage, etc.), it is considered having good

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 Environmental and Ecological Aspects It is unacceptable to rezone a "GB" site as Hong Kong is now facing huge pollution levels on a monthly basis. Global warming is also making typhoons stronger and stronger. 	potential to be rezoned for residential development. In addition, there is no recognized site of conservation importance nor ecologically important stream within the site. A 10m wide buffer zone is provided between the site and the stream to the west of it. AFCD advises that the buffer is adequate to protect the stream with natural stream-bed and strips of wild-grown vegetation on both sides from any impact of the future development.
			• Based on EPD's records, EPD has not received any water pollution complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west.
			• AFCD also advises that the existing residential development (Colour By The River, ie. Amendment Item D site) located across the stream should not cause significant additional ecological impacts as the site is already occupied by an existing residential development and the rezoning of the site will preserve the existing characteristic and development intensity.
			• Considering the general topography of Nam Wai, it is a low-lying area in proximity to the sea. The general area of Nam Wai is being monitored as one of the storm surge spots. An early alert system for predicted storm surges has been implemented with HAD and HKO since 2010. Besides, DSD would conduct routine site inspections for the natural stream at Nam Wai in particular before the typhoon. After the inspections, DSD would carry out the clearance works for the debris found, cutting of vegetation and desilting works at the stream concerned, if necessary.
			• Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	sites and surrounding areas.
<i>Consultation</i> m Wai villagers have been using site for over 100 years and there been insufficient consultation. lagers were not aware of the oposed amendments until SKRC d them about the scheme. spite the opposition and concerns m SKDC, the Government ovided no reasonable responses and l proceeded with presenting the oposed amendments to the Board consideration.	 sites and surrounding areas. <i>Public Consultation</i> The statutory and administrative procedures in consulting the public on the OZP amendments have been duly followed. The exhibition of the OZP for public inspection and the provisions for submission of representations and comments also form part of the statutory consultation process under the Ordinance. Sai Kung Rural Committee, Village Representatives and villagers of Nam Wai and Wo Mei Villages and SKDC were consulted on 1.3.2019, 8.4.2019 and 7.5.2019 respectively. SKDC passed a motion with support of all members objecting to the proposed OZP amendments and requested further consultation with the concerned villagers. In considering the proposed amendments to the OZP on 31.5.2019, the RNTPC had taken into account concerns and objections raised by locals and SKDC, as well as comments and advice by relevant government departments. Upon gazettal of the draft Hebe Haven OZP No. S/SK-HH/7, the proposed amendments were circulated to SKDC on 5.7.2019. <i>Traffic and Transport</i> According to the design information of the Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 (which involves Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung), on completion of the ongoing project, the volume to capacity ratio of the sections of Hiram's Highway between the Nam Pin Wai roundabout and Pak Wai will be 0.4 to 0.5. The Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 1 is expected to complete by end of 2020, which is earlier than the planned completion year of the proposed developments. The additional peak hour traffic generated from the proposed developments of 230 flats is not expected to cause insurmountable traffic impact to the adjacent road network.
	esentations can be summarized <i>Consultation</i> m Wai villagers have been using site for over 100 years and there been insufficient consultation. lagers were not aware of the posed amendments until SKRC I them about the scheme. spite the opposition and concerns m SKDC, the Government vided no reasonable responses and I proceeded with presenting the posed amendments to the Board consideration. ere should be meetings with SKRC VRs of affected villages and vide responses to the villagers' nands. <i>nd Transport</i> e Government has severely lerestimated the effect of the posed residential developments on al villagers. The proposed

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 already heavily burdened and prone to traffic accidents. The Government has not responded to the local villagers' proposal for provision of MTR services and flyovers to alleviate traffic congestion problems. 	 road network improvements at the rezoning sites and surrounding areas, such as junction improvement works at Hiram's Highway and Nam Wai Road and at Clear Water Bay Road and Ngan Ying Road, as part of the development of the former Shaw Studio site. In the long run, the Government is implementing Route 6 which includes TKO-Lam Tin Tunnel, Central Kowloon Route and Trunk Road T2 which will alleviate the busy road network at Central and East Kowloon.
		 Environmental and Ecological Aspects The proposed development will increase the risk of pollution to nearby streams and rivers and severely impact on the environment and affect the lives of nearby residents. Other Aspects 	• The sites are served by a number of road-based public transport services. Locations of the existing franchised bus and GMB stops are less than 200m walking distance from the Amendment Item A site and less than 600m from the Amendment Item C site. TD advises that, according to observation, the existing bus services could cater for the passenger demand. Besides, the GMB operators will gradually replace the 16-seater vehicles with 19-seater vehicles so as to increase the carrying capacity. TD will continue to closely liaise with the public transport service operators, and monitor the public transport service arrangement of the entire Hebe Haven area. Appropriate adjustments will be made in accordance with future community needs.
		 Many villagers who have lived in the affected lots would have their houses destroyed and it cannot be justified only by compensation according to law. The proposed development would create adverse fung shui impact to Nam Wai Village and is disturbing to the village's elderly. The current electricity network could not support large-scale residential development. 	 <i>Environmental and Ecological Aspects</i> The Amendment Item C site is located at the fringe of "GB" and in close proximity to the developed area of Nam Wai Village. The site is also close to supporting infrastructure facilities (e.g. those related to transport, water supply and sewerage, etc.), it is considered having good potential to be rezoned for residential development. In addition, there is no recognized site of conservation importance nor ecologically important stream within the site. A 10m wide buffer zone is provided between the site and the stream to the west of it. AFCD advises that the buffer is adequate to protect the stream with natural stream-bed and strips of wild-grown vegetation on both sides from any impact of the future development. Based on EPD's records, EPD has not received any water pollution

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west.
			• Considering the general topography of Nam Wai, it is a low-lying area in proximity to the sea. The general area of Nam Wai is being monitored as one of the storm surge spots. An early alert system for predicted storm surges has been implemented with HAD and HKO since 2010. Besides, DSD would conduct routine site inspections for the natural stream at Nam Wai in particular before the typhoon. After the inspections, DSD would carry out the clearance works for the debris found, cutting of vegetation and desilting works at the stream concerned, if necessary.
			• AFCD has no significant faunal or floral record within the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items A and C sites concludes that there are no rare, protected or endangered species and Registered Old and Valuable Trees within the boundaries of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be addressed at land disposal stage.
			• Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			 Other Aspects According to LandsD, the site at Nam Wai (Amendment Item C) did not and does not fall within the 'VE' of Nam Wai. The site comprises exclusively of GL and there are temporary structures within an existing GLP at the site. Cancellation of the GLP, rehousing of affected occupants, clearance of temporary structures and other clearance items, such as crops, are necessary prior to developing the site. Relevant departments would make suitable arrangements for qualified persons and temporary structures located within the rezoning site according to established procedures. Relevant departments would make suitable arrangements according to established procedures if existing ancestral graves are affected. Fung shui matter is not a material planning consideration. According to LandsD's records, the site does not form part of any fung shui area and there is no fung shui area for Nam Wai Village. In ensuring continuity of electricity for Nam Wai Village, the future developer/project proponent of the site is should liaise with the electricity supplier (i.e. China Light and Power Co., Ltd) to ensure that the electricity network is sufficient to support the proposed developments.
R506	楊學良	 Opposes Amendment Item C. The grounds of representations can be summarized below: <i>Ecological and Environmental Aspects</i> The proposed residential development would adversely affect the coastline as well as the flora and fauna in the area. <i>Other Aspects</i> 	 Environmental and Ecological Aspects The Amendment Item C site is located at the fringe of "GB" and in close proximity to the developed area of Nam Wai Village. The site is also close to supporting infrastructure facilities (e.g. those related to transport, water supply and sewerage, etc.), it is considered having good potential to be rezoned for residential development. In addition, there is no recognized site of conservation importance nor ecologically important stream within the site. A 10m wide buffer zone is provided between the site and the stream to the west of it. AFCD advises that the buffer is adequate to protect the stream with natural stream-bed and strips of wild-grown vegetation on both sides from any impact of the future development.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		• He and his family have lived in one of the houses affected by the proposed development for nearly 50 years. The house is his ancestral house as well as his home.	• Based on EPD's records, EPD has not received any water pollution complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west.
			• AFCD advises that there are no significant faunal or floral record within the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items A and C sites concludes that there are no rare, protected or endangered species and Registered Old and Valuable Trees within the boundaries of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香 港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be addressed at land disposal stage.
			• Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas.
			Other Aspects
			• According to LandsD, the site comprises exclusively of government land and there are temporary structures within an existing GLP at the site. Cancellation of the GLP, rehousing of affected occupants, clearance of temporary structures and other clearance items, such as crops, are necessary prior to developing the site. Relevant departments would make suitable arrangements for qualified persons and temporary structures

located within the rezoning site according to established procedures.
<i>ironmental and Ecological Aspects</i> The Amendment Item C site is located at the fringe of "GB" and in close proximity to the developed area of Nam Wai Village. The site is also close to supporting infrastructure facilities (e.g. those related to transport, water supply and sewerage, etc.), it is considered having good potential to be rezoned for residential development. In addition, there is no recognized site of conservation importance nor ecologically important stream within the site. A 10m wide buffer zone is provided between the site and the stream to the west of it. AFCD advises that the buffer is adequate to protect the stream with natural stream-bed and strips of wild-grown vegetation on both sides from any impact of the future development. Based on EPD's records, EPD has not received any water pollution complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west. Considering the general topography of Nam Wai, it is a low-lying area in proximity to the sea. The general area of Nam Wai is being monitored as one of the storm surge spots. An early alert system for predicted storm surges has been implemented with Home Affairs Department (HAD) and Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) since 2010. Besides, DSD would conduct routine site inspections for the natural stream at Nam Wai in particular before the typhoon. After the inspections, DSD would carry out the clearance works for the debris found, cutting of vegetation and

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
			 the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items A and C sites concludes that there are no rare, protected or endangered species and Registered Old and Valuable Trees within the boundaries of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be addressed at land disposal stage. Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas. Other Aspects According to LandsD, the site comprises exclusively of Government Land and there are temporary structures within an existing GLP at the site. Cancellation of the GLP, rehousing of affected occupants, clearance of temporary structures and other clearance items, such as crops, are necessary prior to developing the site. Relevant departments would make
			suitable arrangements for qualified persons and temporary structures located within the rezoning site according to established procedures
R508	一群關心環保熱心人士	 Opposes Amendment Item C. The grounds of representations can be summarized below: <i>Environmental and Ecological Aspects</i> The site is a valuable buffer zone between residential areas and "CA" zone. Various types of flora and fauna 	 Environmental and Ecological Aspects The Amendment Item C site is located at the fringe of "GB" and in close proximity to the developed area of Nam Wai Village. The site is also close to supporting infrastructure facilities (e.g. those related to transport, water supply and sewerage, etc.), it is considered having good potential to be rezoned for residential development. In addition, there is no recognized site of conservation importance nor ecologically important stream within the site. A 10m wide buffer zone is provided between the site and the stream to the west of it. AFCD advises that the buffer is

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 can be found in these areas. The proposed residential development would adversely affect the flora and fauna in the area. There must be sufficient justifications for the proposed rezoning including the need to undertake an environmental impact assessment. 	 adequate to protect the stream with natural stream-bed and strips of wild-grown vegetation on both sides from any impact of the future development. Based on EPD's records, EPD has not received any water pollution complaints at Nam Wai and Heung Chung in the past 3 years. Recent site inspections conducted by EPD's Regional Office at the said rivers in August 2019 revealed that no illegal discharge to the streams and the general condition of the streams were found satisfactory. AFCD also advises that there are no record of faunal or floral species of significant conservation importance to the said stream. Nevertheless, sufficient buffer has been maintained between the Amendment Item C site and the stream located to its west. AFCD advises that there are no significant faunal or floral record within the Amendment Items sites. Tree surveys conducted by LandsD on Amendment Items of the sites. The majority of the existing trees are common in Hong Kong, of diameters of breast height below 300mm and are in fair to poor conditions. Nevertheless, a <i>Glochidion zeylanicum</i> 香港算盤子 in mature size with conservation interest was identified within the Amendment Item C site. Tree protection measures will be addressed at land disposal stage. EPD advises that the scope of the proposed development at the Amendment Item C site is not classified as a designated project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance. Technical requirements could be handled in land disposal stage through appropriate lease conditions requiring the future developer to undertake relevant technical assessments to demonstrate that the proposed developments will not cause any adverse environmental impact to the sites and surrounding areas.
R509	余國威	Opposes Amendment Item A. The grounds of representations can be summarized	

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
		 below: <i>Provision of GIC Facilities</i> Owing to the aging population and the long waiting list for RCHEs and hostels for physically and mentally handicapped persons, there may be a shortage of GIC facilities in Sai Kung District. Using public funds to purchase properties for social welfare facilities is not in the public's best interests. <i>Proposal</i> Instead of acquiring private property for provision of social welfare and health care facilities, the Government should retain the current "G/IC" site for such provisions to serve public interest, such as rehabilitation centre. 	 shown in Annex VIII, the planned provision for government, institution and community (GIC) facilities in the Hebe Haven area is generally adequate to meet the demand of the overall planned population (including the increase in population from the proposed housing developments) except for primary school (-9 classrooms) and hospital (-39 beds). Provision of hospital beds and primary schools are on a wider district basis and can be addressed by the provision in the adjoining area. The Government has been closely monitoring the development and service demand in Sai Kung and TKO districts, and is devoted to set up suitable community and public facilities therein. Currently, there are various types of welfare facilities in Sai Kung, including integrated family service centre, integrated children and youth services centre, elderly and rehabilitation facilities, etc. providing suitable services for people at different ages with different needs. SWD will continue to adopt a multi-pronged approach to identify suitable accommodation (including private and public housing developments, "G/IC" premises, etc.) for the provision of welfare facilities. In view of the keen demand for elderly and rehabilitation services in the community, SWD will convert the ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School at Ho Chung, Sai Kung into an elderly and rehabilitation service places) and a contract RCHE cum DCU, providing a total of 100 residential care places and 30 day care places (all are new service places). <i>Proposal</i> The ex-Sai Kung Central Primary School premises, located to the immediate northwest of the Amendment Item A site, has been allocated to the SWD for conversion into a social welfare services complex. There will be adequate provision of major GIC facilities to ensure there is no shortfall in the area.

No.	Representer	Grounds and Proposals	Responses
R510	The Hong Kong and China Gas Co., Ltd.	Provide views on Amendment Items A, C and D.	• The views are noted.
		• The project proponent should evaluate the potential risk on the town gas pipeline in the vicinity of the site and determine necessary mitigation measures. The company should be consulted in the design stage and close coordination should be carried out during the construction stage, and provide protective measures.	

Annex VI of <u>TPB Paper No. 10612</u>

TOWN PLANNING BOARD

Minutes of 627th Meeting of the <u>Rural and New Town Planning Committee held at 2:30 p.m. on 31.5.2019</u>

Present

Director of Planning Mr Raymond K.W. Lee

Mr H.W. Cheung

Mr Ivan C.S. Fu

Dr F.C. Chan

Mr David Y.T. Lui

Mr Peter K.T. Yuen

Mr Philip S.L. Kan

Mr K.K. Cheung

Dr C.H. Hau

Mr Stephen L.H. Liu

Miss Winnie W.M. Ng

Mr K.W. Leung

Chairman

Vice-chairman

consideration within three months from the date of receipt of further information from the applicant. If the further information submitted by the applicant was not substantial and could be processed within a shorter time, the application could be submitted to an earlier meeting for the Committee's consideration. The Committee also <u>agreed</u> to <u>advise</u> the applicant that two months were allowed for preparation of the submission of the further information. Since it was the third deferment and a total of five months had been allowed for preparation of submission of further information, this was the last deferment and no further deferment would be granted.

Sai Kung and Islands District

[Ms Donna Y.P. Tam, District Planning Officer/Sai Kung and Islands (DPO/SKIs), Ms Carol Y.M. Cheuk, Ms Jane W.L. Kwan and Mr Richard Y.L. Siu, Senior Town Planners/Sai Kung and Islands (STPs/SKIs), and Ms Mable M.B. Lok, Town Planner/Sai Kung (TP/SK) were invited to the meeting at this point.]

[Messrs K.K. Cheung and K.W. Leung arrived to join the meeting at this point.]

Agenda Item 6

[Open Meeting] Proposed Amendments to the Approved Hebe Haven Outline Zoning Plan No. S/SK-HH/6 (RNTPC Paper No. 4/19)

10. With the aid of a PowerPoint presentation, Ms Donna Y.P. Tam presented the proposed amendments as detailed in the Paper and covered the following main points :

Background

 (a) the proposed amendments to the Approved Hebe Haven Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/SK-HH/6 were mainly related to two potential housing sites (Amendment Items A and C) in Hebe Haven, Sai Kung for private residential developments. Opportunity had also been taken to amend the OZP to reflect the existing uses of a carpark and a completed residential development (Amendment Items B and D respectively) and to incorporate an authorized road scheme as well as to revise the Notes to incorporate the latest revisions of the Master Schedule of Notes to Statutory Plans (MSN);

The Proposed Amendments to the OZP

- (b) Amendment Item A to rezone a site (about 0.47ha) near the junction of Hiram's Highway and Heung Chung Road from "Government, Institution or Community" ("G/IC") to "Residential (Group C)4" ("R(C)4") with a maximum plot ratio (PR) of 1.5 and maximum building height (BH) of 25mPD for private housing development. It was estimated that a total of about 100 flats could be provided assuming an average flat size of 70m²;
- (c) Amendment Item B to rezone a strip of land (about 0.03ha) at Heung Chung Road from "G/IC" to an area shown as 'Road' to reflect the existing condition as part of the Heung Chung Road carpark;
- (d) Amendment Item C- to rezone a site (about 0.7ha) to the South of Nam Wai from "Green Belt" to "R(C)5" with a maximum PR of 1.3 and BH of 50mPD for private housing development. It was estimated that a total of about 130 flats could be provided assuming an average flat size of 70m²;
- (e) Amendment Item D to rezone a site (about 0.4ha) from "GB" to "R(C)6" with a maximum PR of 0.35 and BH of 3 storeys to reflect its current use;
- (f) Inclusion of Authorized Road Scheme of "Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung" authorized by the Chief Executive in Council on 4.10.2011 into the OZP for public information;

Technical Assessments

(g) relevant government departments had no objection to or no adverse comment

on the proposed amendments from traffic, environmental and infrastructure provision points of view. Visual appraisals had also been conducted to assess the possible visual impact of the proposed residential developments at the sites;

 (h) the tree survey reports on the two proposed housing sites had been deposited at the Secretariat for Members' reference;

Provision of Government, Institution or Community (GIC) facilities and Open Space

- (i) the provision for GIC facilities in the area was generally adequate to meet the demand of the overall planned population (including the increase in population from the proposed housing developments) except for primary school and hospital. Hospital beds were assessed on a wider district basis and could be addressed by the provision in the adjoining area. The Education Bureau advised that they would continue to keep in review the latest population projections, the schedule of population intake of major housing developments as well as the supply and demand of school places in Sai Kung District and launch the school building programme as and when appropriate ;
- (j) there was adequate provision of district open space within the area and adequate private open space would be provided within the proposed residential developments to meet the demand generated by the residents; and

Consultation

(k) the Sai Kung Rural Committee (SKRC), Village Representatives (VRs) and villagers of Nam Wai and Wo Mei Villages and Sai Kung District Council (SKDC) were consulted on 1.3.2019, 8.4.2019 and 7.5.2019 respectively. Their major concerns were related to existing traffic and drainage problems; potential adverse traffic, drainage and environmental impacts and insufficient supporting infrastructure on the surrounding area; retaining Amendment Item A site for government, institution or community use; and availability of land for Small House developments. SKDC passed a motion with support of all members objecting to the proposed OZP amendments and requested further

consultation with the concerned villagers. Their concerns had been taken into account in the proposed rezoning of the two potential housing sites and the relevant considerations were included in the RNTPC paper. Upon gazetting, the SKDC would be further consulted.

11. A Member asked if the Government had road improvement plans to widen the section of the Hiram's Highway from dual one-lane to dual two-lane carriageway and whether there were insurmountable technical difficulties to turn the entire Hiram's Highway into a dual two-lane carriageway. With reference to the layout plan of "Dualling of Hiram's Highway between Clear Water Bay Road and Marina Cove and Improvement to Local Access to Ho Chung" (Stage 1 of the Hiram's Highway Improvement (HHIS Stage 1)), Ms Donna Y.P. Tam, DPO/SKIs, said the widening works of this section of the Hiram's Highway was HHIS Stage 1. Upon completion of the road improvement works scheduled in end 2020, the traffic condition at Kowloon bound from Hebe Haven to Cheng Chek Chee Secondary School was anticipated to be improved. Meanwhile, the section of Hiram's Highway from Marina Cove to the south of Sha Ha at Sai Kung Town would be under Hiram's Highway Improvement Stage 2 (HHIS Stage 2) which was under review by relevant government departments. The project scope and road alignment would be finalized and it was scheduled to gazette the road scheme under the Roads (Works, Use and Compensation) Ordinance (Road Ordinance) (Chapter 370) in 2019.

12. The Chairman requested elaboration on the rationale for the proposed zoning boundary demarcation for the rezoning site under Amendment Item C (Site C). By making reference to the aerial photo, Ms Donna Y.P. Tam responded that Site C though within "GB" zone possessed development potential as it was adjoining existing residential developments and was currently occupied by some temporary structures and vegetation. She further elaborated that to the west of Site C, there was an existing stream running in the north-south direction between Site C and an existing residential development called 'Colour By The River'. With a view to protecting the natural stream, a buffer of about 10m between the stream and the western boundary of the site was proposed to be retained as 'GB'. To its south and north were steep vegetated slopes zoned "Conservation Area" ("CA"). The south-eastern boundary of Site C mainly followed the platform of an existing development which was on private land.

13. In response to a Member's inquiry about the dualling works of Hiram's Highway, Mr Ken K.K. Yip, Chief Traffic Engineer/New Territories East, Transport Department, stated that HHIS Stage 1 works would be completed in 2020 while technical feasibility study for HHIS Stage 2 had commenced. Regarding the implementation plan of HHIS Stage 2, the Highways Department had consulted and obtained support from SKDC in late 2018. The road scheme of HHIS Stage 2 would be gazetted under the Roads Ordinance this year. It was anticipated that the detailed design of HHIS Stage 2 could commence in 2021 subject to completion of relevant statutory procedures. The project scope of Stage 2 mainly involved widening of road sections along Marina Cove and Sai Kung Town (excluding Pak Sha Wan section) of Hiram's Highway from dual one to dual two-lane carriageway. However, due to site constraints, the section at Tai Mong Tsai Road could not be widened. There was no plan for road widening works for the section beyond Clear Water Bay Road at this juncture.

14. After deliberation, the Committee <u>decided</u> to :

- "(a) agree to the proposed amendments to the approved Hebe Haven Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/SK-HH/6 and that the draft OZP No. S/SK-HH/6A at Appendix II (to be renumbered as S/SK-HH/7 upon exhibition) and its Notes at Appendix III are suitable for public inspection under section 5 of the Ordinance; and
 - (b) adopt the revised Explanatory Statement (ES) at Appendix IV for the draft Hebe Haven OZP No. S/SK-HH/6A (to be renumbered as S/SK-HH/7) as an expression of the planning intentions and objectives of the Board for various land use zonings of the OZP and the revised ES will be published together with the OZP."

Annex VII of

<u>TPB Paper No. 10612</u> 城市規劃委員會文件

第10612號附件 VII

(獲通過的會議記錄)

離席時間

西貢區議會 二〇一九年第三次會議記錄

<u>日期</u>:二〇一九年五月七日(星期二) <u>時間</u>:上午九時三十分 <u>地點</u>:西貢區議會會議室

出席者

出席時間

山市有	山市时间	<u> 唐师时间</u>
吴仕福先生,GBS,太平紳士	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
凌文海先生,BBS,MH	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
區能發先生,MH	上午九時三十分	下午二時正
陳繼偉先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
陳博智先生,太平紳士	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
周賢明先生,BBS,MH	上午九時三十分	下午三時三十二分
張展鵬先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
張美雄先生	上午九時三十分	下午二時
莊元苳先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
鍾錦麟先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
范國威議員	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
方國珊女士	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
邱戊秀先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
何民傑先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
簡兆祺先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
黎銘澤先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
林少忠先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
劉啟康先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
劉偉章先生,MH	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
梁里先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
李家良先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
呂文光先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
陸平才先生	上午九時三十分	下午三時三十七分
譚領律先生,MH	上午九時三十分	下午二時
謝正楓先生	上午九時三十分	下午二時
温啟明先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
温悦昌先生,BBS,MH,太平紳士	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
王水生先生	上午九時三十分	下午二時正
邱玉麟先生	上午九時三十分	下午四時十一分
劉丹女士	西貢民政事務處高級往	亍政主任(區議會)

1

因此水務署表示會研究另 條走線以避開交通繁忙的道路。然而在今年 月 25 日,他留意到寶琳北路近翠琳路一段道路臨時封路,而事前他並不知 情,封路措施引致交通嚴重擠塞,經他多次追問下,才得知水務署嘗試封 路以進行探測工作。他於當日傍晚已即時通知警方疏導交通,並要求水務 署盡快清場。他認為部門是次處事方式非常粗疏,不可能不在事前通知當 區議員有關臨時封路的安排。

87. <u>水務署工程師/建設(5)陳文健先生表示</u>,他於4月25日當日事發後已 和譚領律先生通話,並再次就事件致歉,水務署一直希望尋找出一條能盡 量減少交通問題的走線,盡量避免在寶琳北路較繁忙路段敷設水管以減少 對居民所帶來的影響。

88. <u>主席</u>表示議員不反對水務署進行臨時封路,但應在事前通知議員。<u>主席</u>表示,議員可在其他委員會跟進各項工程的進度和細節。就有議員認為進度報告資料不足,他請土拓署和相關部門將文件有所遺漏的部分整合再提 交區議會備悉。

(三)《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目
(通過臨時動議:「本會反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱圖核准編號 S/SK-HH/6》的修訂項目」)
(SKDC(M)文件第 100/19 號)

89. 主席提醒議員如有需要應即時作利益申報。

90. <u>邱戊秀先生</u>表示,他本人為南圍村的居民,但沒有擁有該處任何土地。

91. <u>規劃署西貢及離島規劃專員譚燕萍女士</u>按所播放的簡報介紹《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目。

92. <u>邱戊秀先生</u>表示,他反對有關擬議修訂項目,主要原因包括:

- 現時西貢公路交通已非常擠塞,以早上的情況為例,由南圍駕車至 坑口需要長達 35 分鐘時間;
- 多年來每逢颱風雨季來臨,打鼓嶺村附近的河溪都會出現河水泛 濫,導致嚴重水浸,村民甚至無法駕車外出;
- 南圍村有河道因經常被傾倒廢料而造成淤塞,現在河水亦不斷被不 明來歷的污水所污染,間中出現大量泡沫或臭味。現時擬建的大型 屋苑正位於上述河道附近,他擔心河流會進一步受到污染,嚴重破 壞生態環境及影響附近居民。每逢天氣惡劣,更有大量雨水沿路而 下,引致南圍路嚴重水浸,而有關修訂項目只會令水流更加集中,

導致道路水浸的情況更加嚴重,破壞周邊居民的日常生活和家園環境。

93. <u>印戊秀先生</u>續表示,於去年颱風「山竹」襲港後,南圍一帶的居民有長 達兩至三天無法外出,並有達到雙位數字的車輛被浸壞而需要銷毀,他懇 請規劃署盡早安排與南圍村、窩尾村和響鐘村村代表及相關團體等會面磋 商,待諮詢後才考慮是否進一步發展有關土地。他就此項目提出強烈反對, 並希望動議反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修 訂項目。

94. <u>王水生先生</u>表示,議會開始前大批村民到來表達訴求。他認為上述擬議 修訂項目影響區內交通的問題最為重要。他明白土地需求殷切,但規劃署 卻把很多荒廢的土地規劃為「綠化地帶」,偏遠而又會對現有居民造成影響 的地方卻劃為豪宅區,另一方面卻忽略了原居民的住屋需要。在如此狹窄 的道路附近興建住宅,將對居民造成莫大影響。此外,現時有不少市民反 對小型屋宇政策,並把佔用政府土地的罪名加諸於原居民身上,對原居民 並不公平。他認為政府在發展住屋用地時必須考慮相關配套設施。就此修 訂項目而言,待住宅建成後,將會有大量私家車出入,以現時的交通情況 並不適宜進行改劃,應待政府提供足夠配套後才再作商議。他希望規劃署 在進行規劃前先聽取居民的意見。他建議西貢區議會反對題述修訂項目。

95. <u>鍾錦麟先生</u>詢問部門提出此項建議供區議會考慮時,是否已經完成相關 的交通及環境影響評估。他表示規劃署早前建議改劃將軍澳五幅土地作房 屋用途時,一方面向區議會表示未能提供相關資料,但其後卻向城市規劃 委員會(下稱「城規會」)提交詳盡報告,他要求部門是次先向區議會提交研究 報告的全文。另外,修訂項目 A 部分範圍屬於私人土地,他詢問政府是否 會收回土地再批出,抑或會協助私人土地業主免費改劃用途。至於修訂項 目 C,部分範圍現時建有臨時構築物,部門有否諮詢住戶、要求他們搬遷 及安排賠償。此外,他要求規劃署提交就這些修訂而令鄉郊人口及車輛增 加的估算數字,並提供整項計劃的發展時間表供區議會考慮。

96. <u>范國威議員</u>希望了解更多與修訂項目相關的估算數字,包括因新增人口 所衍生出來對社福設施及交通基建的需求。除了因修訂項目會帶來人口增 長外,現時鄉郊人口結構亦逐漸老化,他擔心未來十年區內會出現社福用 地短缺的情況。就修訂項目 D,涉及的土地已由「綠化地帶」改變為住宅用 地,亦即現時御采河堤屋苑的位置,他詢問規劃署既然早前城規會已批准 發展該屋苑,為何現時部門又要再提出改劃用地的建議。 97. <u>黎銘澤先生</u>表示早前政府建議改劃將軍澳五幅用地,其中一幅用地因有 生態保育價值而被剔除;他關注修訂項目 C 的「綠化地帶」是否經已完成生 態評估。由於有關地點毗鄰河流及白沙灣,他相信會有一定的生態價值, 並關注改劃會否影響該處的動植物。若規劃署經已完成項目的生態評估, 他希望署方可提供有關資料;若不,則應盡快完成評估,否則議員只能反對 相關修訂項目。

98. <u>劉偉章先生</u>表示是次建議改劃的用地擬興建六層高近 230 個單位的高 尚住宅,預計會大幅增加區內私家車流量及泊車位的需求。現時西貢區的 交通非常擠塞及泊車位嚴重短缺,他認為發展社區必須先顧及交通。而現 時西貢區已面對四個房屋發展計劃,但部門是次卻未有提交擬議修訂項目 對區內交通流量影響的分析,亦未有就新增人口提供資料,他要求規劃署 與相關部門就改劃建議提供足夠交通配套及泊車位。

99. <u>李家良先生</u>表示規劃署必須更有效地更新西貢市及離島的規劃大綱圖 上已規劃的項目,他舉例現時西貢公路改善工程第一期的工程已經開展, 但規劃大綱圖上仍載錄工程舊有的走線,因此影響區內居民興建丁屋的申 請,他認為更新現有規劃和新增設施的規劃申請應該分開處理,以免影響 進度。就是次規劃署提出的修訂項目建議,他認為現時前西貢中心小學旁 的土地應保持原本的「政府、機構或社區」用途,不應改劃為住宅,因不少 居民反映社區設施嚴重不足,面對西貢公路現時的擠塞情況,居民若要乘 車至西貢市或將軍澳使用社區設施十分不便。他認為如果將上述地點改劃 為住宅用途,定必影響西貢公路迴旋處及由西貢出九龍的交通,因此他反 對是次提出的修訂項目。早在 2006 年政府已決定將白沙灣發展成特色水上 活動的區域,現在建議改劃的地點非常接近河道,他認為有關修訂項目並 不適合。

100. <u>莊元苳先生</u>表示文件整體比較粗疏,除了有提供道路和單位地積比的評估外,環境和交通影響的評估均欠奉。他指現時南邊圍及清水灣的迴旋處已經非常擠塞,施工中的西貢公路改善工程第一期尚未見成效,第二期的工程仍未落實,西貢整體的交通問題未見有大進展。況且除政府所提出的修訂項目外,西貢及坑口已有不少私人屋苑相繼落成,增加的車流會進一步加重西貢交通的負荷;政府現時提出的改劃建議,預期會引起西貢及坑口居民的不滿,故他反對有關修訂項目。

101. <u>問賢明先生</u>表示修訂項目 B 和 D 乃是現況,有關地段分別長期用作泊 車用途及已建成住宅十多年,他對這兩項修訂並無意見。但他反對修訂項 目 A 及 C,他指現時已有兩個社區服務設施預備搬進修訂項目 A 旁的前西 貢中心小學,該地點亦是設立地區康健中心的分區附屬中心的理想地點, 他不贊成政府把該幅珍貴的「政府、機構或社區」用地改劃作住宅用途。至 於修訂項目 C 現為「綠化地帶」,鄰近旁邊的「自然保育區」地帶,為「自然保 育區」地帶提供緩衝作用;而他相信修訂項目 D 旁的道路亦有路權的限制, 因此他認為整體配套未能配合。最後,他和議邱戊秀先生提出的臨時動議。

102. 方國珊女土表示今早出席旁聽會議的西貢區居民也受到南圍交通擠塞 的影響。她指出無論西貢公路改善工程的第一期或第二期都未能徹底解決 西貢現有的交通問題,基於西貢交通擠塞的情況未有改善,她對於白沙灣 分區計劃大綱核准圖的修訂建議有保留。她認為前西貢中心小學旁的「政 府、機構或社區」用地十分珍貴,可用作建設社區設施、小型體育館或社區 會堂。由於日後前西貢中心小學將改作日間護理中心,她建議可以在該幅 土地增建護理中心的支援設施及康體設施。她表示現時政府庫房充裕,不 必將珍貴的土地出售,應優先為六萬多每日飽受交通問題困擾的西貢居民 提供土地興建社區設施。日後政府若要把「綠化地帶」改劃作住宅用地,必 須充分諮詢居民。此外,西貢的交通問題單靠現時的道路網絡根本不足以 應付,除發展中九龍幹線外,她亦建議於科技大學增設鐵路站,以解決從 西貢延伸至彩虹一帶的交通擠塞問題。她指出文件太粗疏,規劃署應充分 諮詢區內居民的意見,並將土地用作社區設施。

103. <u>副主席</u>表示現時前西貢中心小學旁的土地是「政府、機構或社區」用地, 他反對將有關用地改劃為住宅,理由是他擔心會加重西貢往坑口一段道路 的擠塞問題。他指修訂項目 C 的位置於大雨時會出現水浸,同時亦擔心把 這幅「綠化地帶」改劃為豪宅後會破壞生態,故反對規劃署提交的修訂項目。

104. <u>邱玉麟先生</u>反對規劃署提出的修訂項目。現時新、舊清水灣道的塞車問 題嚴重,往大埔仔方向的道路狹窄,加上邵氏片場一帶及傲龍灣等屋苑相 繼落成,令井欄樹、白石窩等的樽頸路段均經常出現交通擠塞。他建議規 劃署應積極發展郊區土地,開發西貢荒廢的村落及改善西貢郊區的道路, 平衡保育及發展的需要,並正視原居民的意見及住屋需求。

105. <u>劉啟康先生</u>亦反對規劃署提出的修訂項目。他指西貢地區設施不足,再 改劃土地作住宅用途並不可取。以坑口村為例,該村並沒有足球場和籃球 場這類康體設施。現時「綠化地帶」內村民私人土地的發展受到限制,坑口 村的村民曾使用有關土地種菜或泊車,結果被政府起訴罰款,他希望規劃 署能多與坑口及西貢鄉事委員會溝通以回應村民的訴求,並促請署方考慮 村民建屋需要。他期望政府進行土地規劃時,可以與村民多加商討及考慮 他們的意見,以善用土地資源。 106. <u>譚領律先生</u>認為「政府、機構或社區」用地應解決社區的需要,現時西貢 鄉郊缺乏長者日間照顧護理服務,不少長者因行動不便未能前往市區,但 由於西貢區內經常交通擠塞,導致社福機構亦難以安排接送長者到其他區 域接受服務。他認為規劃署有責任在鄉郊尋找適合地方提供社福設施及服 務,並不應以「插針」方式覓地興建住宅。其實現時香港並不缺乏豪宅, 部門應該先處理公營房屋的供應以切合市民的需要。他建議規劃署應集中 處理將軍澳第 137 區的發展規劃,以釋出土地興建房屋。

107. <u>陳繼偉先生</u>表示,西貢區議會對改劃將軍澳五幅用地已有共識,議員一 致反對政府插針式興建房屋;是次規劃署建議改劃土地用作發展低密度住 宅,此舉成本效益甚低。他指出將軍澳第 137 區填料庫有百多公頃的「熟 地」,應優先用作住宅發展。由於香港人口老化,西貢亦欠缺非牟利團體提 供的長者設施和家庭服務中心,現時西貢鄉郊居民需要前往將軍澳使用社 福設施,但其實將軍澳區內的長者設施亦已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉 郊的需求,故他不支持規劃署提出的改劃建議。

108. <u>規劃署西貢及離島規劃專員譚燕萍女士</u>備悉議員反映村民及居民的關注,並綜合回應如下:

- 規劃署早前已與西貢鄉事委員會、當區議員、相關村民及村代表會 面交流,並就收到的意見與各部門討論;
- 政府一直物色合適的土地作公私營房屋發展,包括短、中、長期和 不同密度的發展項目。至於是次擬議改劃用地位於西貢鄉郊,規劃 署認為適合發展低密度的私人住宅;
- 規劃署知悉當區的村民非常關心交通問題。規劃署已就擬議房屋發展諮詢相關政府部門,由於兩幅用地的地盤面積較小,無論在交通或其他基建配套方面,部門均認為無須作全面的技術評估,但有關部門亦向規劃署提供了內部評估及專業意見;
- 規劃署亦知悉議員關注改劃修訂項目 C 用地對樹木及自然生態會否 造成影響等。規劃署已諮詢漁農自然護理署(下稱「漁護署」)及環保 署。地政總署亦已檢測樹木的情況,並確認地盤範圍內沒有生態價 值高或者需要特別保護的樹木。另外,亦因應漁護署提出發展可能 影響地盤附近的河溪問題,規劃署已將擬改劃用地西面的邊界與河 溪之間 10 米的地方保留作緩衝區,不納入修訂項目範圍之內;
- 西貢公路改善工程第一期正在進行,運輸署亦指出現時該處交通擠 塞,如果區內增加人口會加重交通負荷。但認為該兩幅擬議改劃的 用地相對較小,當西貢公路改善工程第一期完工後,相信可以應付 兩個住宅發展項目的新增人口所帶來的額外車流和交通需求。因 此,運輸署要求兩個發展項目必須於西貢公路改善工程第一期完成

後才可入伙。按現時的改劃和將來的賣地安排,相信可以配合運輸 署的要求;

- 有關車位數目,需視乎發展商最終決定興建的單位類型,並根據《香港規劃標準與準則》提供相關的車位,預計兩個發展項目將合共提供約 89 個車位,如發展商興建較大單位,則會提供約 157 個車位;
- 不少議員建議將修訂項目 A 用地保留作社區設施用途,當規劃署開始進行規劃時已諮詢相關部門,由於附近的前西貢中心小學校舍已交由社署改建為社福設施提供長者服務,因此相關部門沒有要求保留修訂項目 A 用地作社福或其他社區設施用途;
- 政府並無計劃主動收回修訂項目 A 用地内的私人土地作發展用途。 該土地原為油漆儲存倉庫,對環境有一定的影響,規劃署希望通過 改劃鼓勵有關工業活動遷出當區,以配合該處的環境及新發展的規 劃;
- 有關御采河堤的改劃建議是一貫的做法,用以在大綱圖上反映已獲 批准落實發展或存在已久的土地用途。現時響鐘道停車場及西貢公 路改善工程仍未反映於大綱圖上,是次建議改劃亦會反映有關用 途,讓市民知悉。
- 至於修訂項目 C 的地點為政府土地,現時用地內的臨時構築物為寮 屋,將來政府會根據現有機制收回土地或搬遷受影響的居民。

109. <u>邱戊秀先生</u>擔心修訂項目 C 的河道如遇有自然災害,或會對居民造成危險,故提出動議反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目。

110. 主席表示作為西貢區議會主席有責任代表所有西貢區居民的利益發 聲。他指市民對於住宅有殷切需求,但政府初期是把西貢規劃作低密度發 展,且不批准持有小型屋宇地契的居民申請改建用途,但政府卻可以隨時 改劃「政府、機構或社區」用地和「綠化地帶」作發展用途,對於申請興建小 型屋宇的居民並不公平。他續表示,規劃署亦時有批准發展商改劃土地興 建豪宅,地點遍佈西貢,包括匡湖居對面、南邊圍、西貢消防局對面、中 華製漆大厦、四洲集團大厦、實惠集團中心和翠塘路附近一帶,但規劃署 並未提及以上的資料。他指議員要是其是非其非,不應只作「橡皮圖章」。 前線部門的代表亦理應了解當區的區情,並如實地向上反映,不應只顧執 行政策。規劃署是次的改劃建議只為執行政策,卻未就議員剛才所提出的 問題作研究探討。而就政府用地方面,前西貢中心小學校舍早已交由社署 改變用途作社會服務,但拖延至今仍未落實,相反是次的分區計劃大綱圖 的修訂卻可安排於兩三個月內提交城規會審議。他相信各議員對規劃署是 次提出修訂大綱圖的建議均有強烈保留。他促請規劃署認真考慮議員的意 見,並安排與南圍村的居民召開居民大會,逐一回應村民的意見。如果規 劃署未能得到居民的同意,區議會亦會反對有關改劃建議。

111. <u>鍾錦麟先生</u>指規劃署表示不同部門曾就改劃建議提供意見和評估,他詢問規劃署可否公開有關評估詳情,並對規劃署只會向城規會呈上評估報告卻未有向區議會提供相關資料的做法表示不滿。在未有規劃署提供評估文件全文的情況下,他認為議員根本不能就部門所聲稱的評估結論提出任何質疑及跟進。他又指出,主事部門以往只會向城規會表示經已向區議會解釋及處理相關的反對意見,但事實上部門未有再向區議會交代如何處理區議會提出的反對意見。他希望主席要求規劃署必須回應今日議員就改劃建議提出的問題,並再次出席區議會會議向議員交代跟進詳情。

112. <u>周賢明先生</u>反對大綱圖的修訂及和議邱戊秀先生提出的臨時動議,希望 主席處理此動議。

113. <u>王水生先生</u>表示,政府可以改劃保育用地作發展高尚住宅,鄉村私人土 地卻被劃為保育或緣化用地。他指出村民有意在村界範圍附近土地興建 300 呎的丁屋,卻被政府以劃為保育用途而拒絕。他要求規劃署一視同仁、公 平公正地處理土地問題。他希望政府正視原居民的住屋需要,他們只希望 能夠使用私人土地,並沒有耗費公共資源。

114. <u>邱戊秀先生</u>提出臨時動議,措詞為:「本會反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱 圖核准編號 S/SK-HH/6》的修訂項目」。

115. 主席詢問議員是否同意把臨時動議納入議程。在席議員沒有表示反對。

116. <u>主席</u>詢問有沒有議員反對臨時動議。由於沒有議員反對,<u>主席</u>宣布臨時 動議獲得一致通過。<u>主席</u>請規劃專員考慮議員的意見。

117. 主席宣布休會午膳。

(會議於下午3時15分續會)
(四)西貢區議會轄下委員會委員及直轄工作小組成員更新名單
(SKDC(M)文件第 101/19 號)
118. 議員通過上述名單。
V. 續鐵事項
Annex VIII of <u>TPB Paper No. 10612</u>

<u>Provision of Open Space and Major Community Facilities</u> <u>in Hebe Haven Area</u>

城市規劃委員會文件 <u>第10612 號附件 VIII</u>

白沙灣區的休憩用地及主要社區設施供應

Type of Facilities 設施種類	Hong Kong Planning Standards and	HKPSG Requirement (based on	Prov 供	ision 應	Surplus/Shortfall (against planned provision)
□又 //世 //里 //□	Guidelines (HKPSG) 《香港規劃 標準與準則》	<pre>(based on planned population^a) 《香港規劃 標準與準則》 的要求 (根據規劃人 口計算^a)</pre>	Existing Provision 現有的 供應	Planned Provision (including Existing Provision) 已規劃 的供應 (現有 + 擬議供 應)	過剩/短缺 (與已規劃的 供應相比)
District Open Space 地區休憩用地	10 ha per 100,000 persons [#] 每 100 000 人 10 公頃 [#]	0.68 ha 公頃	0.67 ha 公 頃	0.67 ha 公 頃	-0.01 ha公頃
Local Open Space 鄰舍休憩用地	10 ha per 100,000 persons [#] 每 100 000 人 10 公頃 [#]	0.68 ha 公頃	0.12 ha 公頃	0.12 ha 公頃	-0.56 ha 公頃
Secondary School 中學	1 whole-day classroom for 40 persons aged 12-17 每 40 名 12 至 17 歲青少年 設一個 全日制課室	7 classrooms 7 個課室	29 classrooms 29 個課 室	29 classrooms 29 個課 室	+22 classrooms +22 個課室
Primary School 小學	1 whole-day classroom for 25.5 persons aged 6-11 每25.5名6至 11歲兒童 設一個 全日制課室	9 classrooms 9 個課室	0 classroom 0 個課室	0 classroom 0 個課室	-9 classrooms -9 個課室
Kindergarten/ Nursery 幼稚園/ 幼兒園	34 classrooms for 1,000 children aged of 3 to under 6	3 classrooms 3 個課室	7 classrooms 7 個課室	7 classrooms 7 個課室	+4 classrooms +4 個課室

Type of Facilities 設施種類	Hong Kong Planning Standards and	HKPSG Requirement (based on		vision 應	Surplus/Shortfall (against planned
<u></u> 武 加 御 第 	Guidelines (HKPSG) 《香港規劃 標準與準則》	(based on planned population ^a) 《香港規劃 標準與準則》 的要求 (根據規劃人 口計算 ^a)	Existing Provision 現有的 供應	Planned Provision (including Existing Provision) 已規劃 的供應 (現有 + 擬議供 應)	provision) 過剩/短缺 (與已規劃的 供應相比)
	每1 000名 3至6歲以下 幼童 設 26 個課室				
District Police Station 警區警署	1 per 200,000 - 500,000 persons 每200 000至 500 000 人設 一間	0.01	0	0	-0.01
Divisional Police Station 分區警署	1 per 100,000 - 200,000 persons 每 100 000 至 200 000 人設 一間	0.04	0	0	-0.04
Hospital 醫院	5.5 beds per 1,000 persons 每1 000人 設 5.5 張病床	39 beds 39 張病床	0	0	-39 beds ^b -39 張病床 ^b
Clinic/Health Centre 診療所/ 健康中心	1 per 100,000 persons 每 100 000 人 設一間	0.07	0	0	-0.07
Magistracy (with 8 courtrooms) 裁判法院 (8 個法庭)	1 per 660,000 persons 每 660 000 人 設一間	0.01	0	0	-0.01
Integrated Children and Youth Services Centres 綜合青少年 服務中心	1 for 12,000 persons aged 6-24 每12 000名 6至24歲的 兒童/青年 設一間	0.08	0	0	-0.08
District Elderly Community Centres	1 for 170,000 persons in each new	N.A.	0	0	N.A. ^c

- 2 -

Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning	HKPSG Requirement		rision 應	Surplus/Shortfall (against planned
設施種類	Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG) 《香港規劃 標準與準則》	(based on planned population ^a) 《香港規劃 標準與準則》 的要求 (根據規劃人 口計算 ^a)	Existing Provision 現有的 供應	Planned Provision (including Existing Provision) 已規劃 的供應 (現有 + 擬議供 應)	provision) 過剩/短缺 (與已規劃的 供應相比)
長者地區中心	development area 每個人口約為 170,000人或 以上的新發展 區設一間				
Neighbourhood Elderly Centres 長者鄰舍中心	1 for 15,000 to 20,000 persons in a cluster of new and redeveloped housing areas	N.A.	0	0	N.A. ^d
	每個人口為 15,000-20,000 人的新建和重 新發展的住宅 區設一間				
Day Care Centers/Day Care Units (Centre-base) 日間護理中心 /日間護理單 位 (中心為本)	17.2 subsidized places per1,000 elderly persons aged 65 or above ~^每 1 000 名 65 歲或以上的長 者設 17.2 個資 助服務名額~^	12	0^	90^	+78
Residential Care Homes for the Elderly 安老院	21.3 subsidised beds per 1,000 elderly persons aged 65 or above ~ 每 1 000 名 65 歲或以上的長 者設 21.3 個資 助床位 ~	38	0	310≏	+272
Integrated Family Services Centres	1 per 100,000 to 150,000 persons	0.05	0	0	-0.05

Type of Facilities 設施種類	Hong Kong Planning Standards and	HKPSG Requirement (based on		rision 應	Surplus/Shortfall (against planned provision)
	Guidelines (HKPSG) 《香港規劃 標準與準則》	<pre>(dased on planned population^a) 《香港規劃 標準與準則》 的要求 (根據規劃人 口計算^a)</pre>	Existing Provision 現有的 供應	Planned Provision (including Existing Provision) 已規 的供 度 (現 着 供 凝 議 (現)	過剩/短缺 (與已規劃的 供應相比)
綜合家庭服務 中心	每 100 000 至 150 000 人設一 間				
Library 圖書館	1 district library for every 200,000 persons 每 200 000 人 設一間分區圖 書館	0.04	0	0	-0.04
Sports Centre 體育中心	1 per 50,000 to 65,000 persons [#] 每 50 000 至 65 000 人設 一個 [#]	0.11	0	0	-0.11
Sports Ground/ Sports Complex 運動場/ 運動場館	1 per 200,000 to 250,000 persons [#] 每 200 000 至 250 000 人設 一個 [#]	0.03	0	0	-0.03
Swimming Pool Complex – standard 游泳池 – 標準池	1 complex per 287,000 persons [#] 每 287 000 人 設一間場館 [#]	0.02	0	0	-0.02

Note

^a The planned population of the Area would be about 7,100 persons.

白沙灣區的規劃人口約為7100人。

^b The provision of hospital beds would be monitored and addressed by the Hospital Authority on a regional basis. 醫院管理局會就區域內實際醫院床位的供應作出適當考慮。

^c Provision of District Elderly Community Centres is only applicable for new development area. The OZP area is not a new development area.

長者地區中心的提供只適用於新發展區。此大綱圖範圍不是新發展區。

^d Provision of Neighbourhood Elderly Centres is only applicable for clusters of new and redeveloped housing areas with 15,000 to 20,000 persons. No such new cluster in the OZP area. 長者鄰舍中心的提供只適用於人口為 15,000 - 20,000 人的新建和重新發展的住宅區。此大綱圖範圍沒有相關住宅

長者鄰舍中心的提供只適用於人口為 15,000 - 20,000 人的新建和重新發展的住宅區。此大綱圖範圍沒有相關住宅區。

The requirements excludes planned population of transients and the provision is based on the information as at May 2019 有關要求不包括流動居民,供應所根據的資料為截至 2019 年 5 月。

[△] Figures are provided by Social Welfare Department (as at January 2019). The figures refer to the number of subsidized RCHE places only.

資料由社會福利署提供(截至 2019年1月),只反映資助安老院床位數目。

 \sim This is a long-term goal and the actual provision would be subject to the consideration of the Social Welfare Department in the planning and development progress as appropriate.

此乃長遠目標,在規劃和發展過程中,社會福利署會就實際提供的服務作出適當考慮。

^ The facilities belong to the centre-based facilities of Community Care Services (CCS). The planning standard of the CCS Facilities (including both centre-based and home-based) is population-based. There is no rigid distribution between centre-based CCS and home-based CCS stated in the Elderly Services Programme Plan. Nonetheless, in general, 60% of CCS demand will be provided by home-based CCS and the remaining 40% will be provided by centre-based CCS.

這些設施屬於以中心為本的社區護理服務。社區照顧服務設施(包括中心為本及家居為本)的規劃標準是以人口為基礎。《安老服務計劃方案》對中心為本及家居為本的社區護理服務的分配沒有硬性規定。不過,一般來說,家居為本的服務及中心為本的服務分別滿足六成和四成社區照顧服務方面的需求。

tpbpd		TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-C1
寄件者: 寄件日期: 收件者: 主旨:	25日10月2019年星期五 22:33 tpbpd S/SK-HH/7 Hebe Haven Draft OZP	

Dear TPB Members,

A review of the objections of local residents indicate significant traffic issues, concerns about tree felling and the environmental impact, and that my views with regard to the deficit in community facilities is shared.

Having attended the Fanling OZP hearing earlier today, I can clearly see that the administration has **NO INTENTION** to address the urgent need for community facilities.

Despite the recent reintroduction of Elderly Care facilities to the HKPSG and the resulting shortfall in all district, the related departments continue to state that they have no need for GIC sites and abrogate their duty to put forward any proposal for GIC use of the appropriately zoned and located sites being considered for rezoning.

This indicates either gross negligence of duty OR that they are under pressure to refrain from standing up for their right to claim land for urgent community services such as elderly care.

This is truly shocking.

Mary Mulvihill

007

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-C2

tpbpd

寄件者: 寄件日期: 收件者: 主旨:

Anna Tse 21日10月2019年星期一 14:43

tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖 編號 S / S K - H H / 6 的 修 訂 項 目 (ref.: TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-PR11)

致

城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-PR11

敬啟者:

西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目

即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地庫車場

本人等現欲補充以下資料:

開學後西貢交通擠塞問題:

如之前提及, 樽頸交通擠塞問題在早上繁忙時段非常嚴重。現呈交補充資料如下:

在 2019 年 9 月份整個月的工作天早上繁忙時段開始時(7:00 am)記錄西貢往市區塞車龍尾地段(由彩虹地鐵站計起)見圖。

在整個9月份,早上繁忙時段塞車龍尾一般在井欄樹附近。若没有塞車,一般車程由此至彩虹地鐵站應該是6分鐘。但實際車程在早上需要30至60分鐘左右,即多了5至10倍時間。

西貢居民在西貢群組發的塞車圖片及表達塞車的情况。只選了幾天作参考,9月3日,9月4日,9月6日,9月8日,10月16日。(約30頁,已遮蓋名字)

各居民均對塞車情况不满,有不少居民都担憂如果再建豪宅,塞車情况會惡化。

希望城規會能體諒西貢居民平日返工返學搭車之苦,不要批准白沙湾區建豪宅之修訂。不勝感激。



西貢居民 Tse Wen Chee Anna ID no.:

21 Oct 2019

т	P	B	R	S	SI	٢-	Н	H/	7	-C	3	

tpbpd

		4
寄件者:	anita hk	
寄件日期:	16日10月2019年星期三 13:42	
收件者:	tpbpd@pland.gov.hk	
主旨:	白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號S/SK-HH/6》補充資料	
附件:	早上西貢出彩虹塞車情況.pdf; traffic jams 3 Sept 19.pdf; traffic jams 4 Sept 19.pdf; traffic jam	ns 16
	Oct 19.pdf	

致

城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-R496,R497,R498,R499

敬啟者:

西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目

即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共230豪宅單位及地庫車場

本人等現欲補充以下資料:

西貢交通擠塞問題:

如之前提及, 樽頸交通擠塞問題在早上繁忙時段非常嚴重。現呈交補充資料如下: 在 2019 年 9 月份整個月的工作天早上繁忙時段開始時(7:00 am)記錄西貢往市區塞車 龍尾地段(由彩虹地鐵站計起)見圖。

- 在整個9月份,早上繁忙時段塞車龍尾一般在井欄樹附近。若没有塞車,一般車 程由此至彩虹地鐵站應該是6分鐘。但實際車程在早上需要30至60分鐘左右, 即多了5至10倍時間。
- 2. 西貢居民在西貢群組發的塞車圖片及表達塞車的情况。只選了幾天作参考,9月3 日,9月4日,9月6日,9月8日,10月16日。

各居民均對塞車情况不满,有不少居民都担憂如果再建豪宅,塞車情况會惡化。

希望城規會能體諒西貢居民平日返工返學搭車之苦,不要批准白沙湾區建豪宅之修訂。 不勝感激。

南圍居民, 西貢居民, 西貢專線小巴經營者, 司機及從業員

聯絡人:成元嬌 電話: HKID 16/10/19

(1) WONG LAI PING	TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-C4	002
我是代表簽了名的西貢專線小巴約		003
(2) SING CHING ON	TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-C 5	00>
我是代表簽了名的西貢南圍居民發	资言的。我是南圍村村代表,	þ
(3) YAU KEUNG HING	TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-C6	004
我是代表簽了名的西貢專線小巴言	司機及從業員發言的	J

半小问 出こせの民数弦 NE 负清水路 数小面 西貢底民/: 城小姐 東於東 西貢庄民、彭先生 https://www.google.com.hk/maps/@22.3352104.114.2268232.16Z?hJ=zh-TW warro orar 11日日日 資本斗 帮低 围 一日日日日日 40 La の場合語 NH1 加加日本 围 土下 把 錢 站 很少 曹弘 14 在不選車情況下由此 过病寒草朝位置 一般、別、別、大分の 第一公、別、店、店、200 SKI 感 June -A MARKA 0 由彩虹地 21 Que o 「七日に 围 C FEDAL DOG BOURSE 東上海 (2019年9月1分时后天,了前出生了之 Æ THE IS -BI 中元化当路 O sersa 寒 t\$ 王 に心 C Berger 甘春况 の三部が 地学など H H L 0 ·谢祈 1 대학 1888 1 관 母海右夜 wastered 2000 Terration of the 聖 O HARANAN . 1 のおおに正知にたの 王 14 Str. 4.2. BE L(Dot - 2 2 () HRE 24 42 V (小) 開設建設設 i Bartin Internet 🖓 我自己的意义站 O Q X S 2019年9月公正作日(星駒 不 īK IK 天 IK iK 冯貢出彩虹 地 鲵 脏 天 3 の 通路 Carlor Carlo O WAXAND O 国際対応対応 の教用の経ら N CLERKS +17 华山西公园 444-10 「日本学会」の Se tradition 2 0 Station of 西南海水總溢 Constant of (学は) A second of a (3) ゆるんい油 站 0 H.T.T. (1) 總望學校 潮港 Google 勝龍麗 O CHARGER SALES (2) ESSO 油站 四日 小田田田 小田田 の主要な C. And State adat watawa w far tarme w fi 10 Contraction of the second O HOUSE # Google 地圖 (+)(2) 0 - 伯 0



3

呢程都要個幾鐘... 只係車多塞交通

Ð

- 12h Like Reply
- View 5 previous replies

Contraction With Mann Shek 6:30...

91201

Sheking With Mill Mei 係呀...

3. 他他们们已经有关的。



WANNIN BRad Same here

12 h Like Reply

Lam 我仲未返到

12 h Like Reply



MMMM Kan 成10點鐘, 西貢至濠涌d中途站仲有

。好多人未上到車....

11h Like Reply



Lau 井欄樹都要1小時先到彩虹... 2.5 1 11h Like Reply

8點3甘壁屋。我9點3先送到我仔去彩 虹! 個個燈位有阿sir指揮交通! 攞命

2 d Like Reply

Fung 習慣就好

2 d Like Reply

Cheng Atlantis Fung 好彩搬...



Mille Tin 冇事架。我今朝都係咁樣

1 d Like Reply



LuKy

其實今日真係唔知塞咩落到坪石去龍 翔道暢通無阻 8點3開車9點半到彩虹 9點45分到長沙灣 ----

1d Like Reply

🕼 🍘 main Chan 💓 LuKy 其實無人體具...

LuKy Chan 我出到去無見...



Fung Me too

8點幾龍尾已塞到壁屋

11h Like Reply



Chan 我去咗坑口

11h Like Reply

Leung 我都係

11h Like Reply



(5)

3/9/19

Desis Li 今朝真係好誇張, 唔知咩事

11h Like Reply

Lai 仲話係西貢起樓 🛆 🛆 🛆

0235

6

3/9/19

得. 又其宜家呢個時勢......你哋留意 吓源頭喺邊度:::::::就係交通燈 じじい 唔好話我講 いいい 你哋估 吓咩人較到D燈。這些令到塞車。 いい:記得唔好話我講::::::::::唔 信留意吓些些恶 3/9/19 2 d Like Reply

Ma

文

我淨係知道安達臣道果邊開左 d 屋村同加左枝燈之後就勁塞.

2 d Like Reply

其實香港嘅交通部同運輸署. 佢 她只識畫蛇添足. 佢哋跟本唔知 道實際嘅交通情況,加上佢哋交 通部有支神奇之筆. 可以點停好 多交通燈架.

2 d Like Reply







2個鐘先返到觀塘 8 h Like Reply



Wing Yuen 我6:30翠塘上左車,7:50在飛蛾山行 落彩雲,9:00才返到慈雲山。台灣都

4/9/19



1h Like Reply

Chan 立即停止起樓 ◎◎5



Tang

西貢塞車既樽頸在蠔涌迴旋處,一日 晤改一日都會塞

1h Like Reply

1h Like Reply

Kevin Chan Leslie Tang 最大樽頸係彩虹

🚱 Winnie Luk Leslie Tang 最最大係安泰...



Wong 八點保守些只能到彩虹

1h Like Reply



Tse 🚟 我現在日日都遲到 🚗 1h Like Reply



Tsang 我今日9點返黃埔, 7:20等車已經遲 到

30 m LIKE Reply



4/9/19

Cheng Yip 我都住慣西...

Lau



是呀我都塞了兩天,昨日八點半出門 九點半才到九龍城 討厭塞車

31 m Like Reply

😪 🍓 Wong 🗰 Lau 你好彩了 昨天7:...



Kai 🗮

- 城則,運輸處只幫發展商及專線小巴 公司,完全漠視對居民影響 01 30 m Like Reply



我番八點官塘, 六點20分上車, 七點 出到官墙, 輕鬆食個早餐。

28 m Like Reply

Cheung

我妹2日都匡湖居等成粒鐘有車坐, 再塞車。閒閒地尼2日連等連塞要2個 の

419119



Chan 🚟

不如5點半出門口,最多帶早餐翻公 司食,牙都翻公司先刷啦!帶定睡 袋,如果遲過10點先翻到屋企,索性 係公司訓算啦! 😀

1h Like Reply



Au Yeung 要求西貢開渡輪碼頭出九龍。

1h Like Reply



Wong Wong 幾個屋苑落成,多左好多人

1h Like Reply



Michelle 冇一曰唔塞架,反工放工都塞 61 1 h Like Reply



2

Tsang 不是正常的嗎? 加上開左學仲金

53 m Like Reply



4/9/19 Yeung 返9點,7點出門口是常識。 1h Like Reply 🚱 👊 Luk 🗘 Ward Ward Yeung 7點出門... With Cm 坐細飛離開 1h Like Reply Yiu 反10:15杏花邨.....9:00前一定要出車 1h Like Reply Yip 🞬



如果喺中環返工, 我建議你搬入長洲⇔ ◎●4

1h Like Reply



Hon 👘

△就黎要揸火箭返工...連續幾日都 4 係清水灣道寨左先個鐘先落到彩虹



。鳴

只係在返九龍灣.每日返工放工,用足 四粒鐘 4/9/19

9h Like Reply

Ma

每日都7點上車,雖然每日預早2個 鐘,但日日遲到,都唔知點同上頭解 釋,未住過西貢應該很難明白 8h Like Reply

Chan (Ma 係,得我1個日...)

÷ ; 1

Chan

我都係遲到咗2日,唯有今朝再早少 少出門口心心

Chena 4/9/19 7點半出門 9點3先到旺角 🧳 5h Like Reply 01



Lam

多謝不停起樓,多司家車出,又多人 搭小巴 6 結論:西貢人係唔應該九龍返工,九 龍灣已經係極限

3 h Like Reply



Lai

開學第一日,過咗井欄樹就停:第二 日,到井欄樹就停,今日未到井欄樹 就已經停

3h Like Reply



Mok 西貢出九龍番工耐過飛台灣 3h Like Reply



MOmo Cheng 🚟 😡 2 h Like Reply



Lee 🖉 預2小時 🔝

30 m Like Reply

Chu

成個香港,人就越來越多,車又越來 批名 冯夕继年可能王熙继山明口

山 那一 定 行 问 題 試 過 六 點 九 中 途 站 已經上晤到車

15 m Like Reply

4-19/19

5

4/9/19

Chan Young 依兩日慘痛既經歷我 好有陰影,比老細照肺

14 m Like Reply

Chan 琴日塞兩個鍾,今日塞個幾鍾, 可以點玩法

13 m Like Reply

() Destant Hiew 6點3出門口⇔ 7 m Like Reply

燈... 重話起大量豪宅... 到時真係要 預早半日返工咩 😂 😵 4/9/19 2 d Like Reply Lai 我番八點官塘,都六點起身...

Ð



交通消息 去TKO塞塞塞到科大呀

6/9/18 8:42 pm





C Comment

16/10/19 9:23am

16/10/19 9:23am

SORT

將軍澳入西貢方向(清水灣道近影業路迴旋

西貢公路、清水灣道

及龍翔道塞車嘅情況

已經嚴重到,6:30出

門口返到公司都遲過

9:00

坐6:40分嘅92,出到

彩虹已經8:15,然後

轉40號又塞龍翔道,

去到荔枝角已經

9:05.....

🖤 31 mins • 🖪

16/10/19 7:25 am

7:25

出九龍方向龍尾就到石壁屋



出九龍壁屋落斜開始收慢! 16/10/19 9:33 am



TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-1

SAI KUNG DISTRICT COUNCIL, 3rd & 4th floor, Sai Kung Tseung Kwan O Government Complex, 38 Pui Shing Road, Hang Hau, Tseung Kwan O

西 黄 區 議 會 將軍測坑口塔成路 38 號 西貢將軍領政府綜合大樓 3 及 4 樓

香港

1



檔號 :() in HAD SK DC 13/15/3 電話 : 3740 5277 傳真 : 2174 8355

傳真及郵寄

北角渣華道333號 北角政府合署15樓 城市規劃委員會秘書



委員會秘書:

城市規劃條例(第131章) 白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/7 西頁區議會提出的意見

西貢區議會現就上述公告有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖 編號 S/SK-HH/7》,擬更改白沙灣四幅土地的用途提出申述。

規劃署曾出席於 2019 年 5 月 7 日舉行的西貢區議會全體會 議,介紹《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂 項目。議員於會議上積極向各部門代表發表意見及表達居民的關注, 議會最終一致通過了一項臨時動議一「本會反對《白沙灣分區計劃大 綱圖核准編號 S/SK-HH/6》的修訂項目」。議員於上述會議提出的意見 綜合如下:

交通

(一) 計劃的環境和交通影響評估欠奉,現時南邊圍及清水灣的迴旋處已經非常擠塞,施工中的西貢公路改善工程第一期尚未見成效,第二期的工程仍未落實,相信短時間內西貢整體的交通問題不會有所改善,加上西貢及坑口有不少私人屋苑相繼落成,由新發展項目所帶來的車流會進一步加重西貢交通的負荷

1

009

- (二) 現時新、舊清水灣道的塞車問題嚴重,往大埔仔方向的道路 狹窄,加上邵氏片場一帶及傲龍灣等屋苑相繼落成,令井欄 樹、白石窩等的樽頸路段均經常出現交通擠塞
- (三)預計興建高尚住宅會大幅增加區內私家車流量及泊車位需求,加上選址一帶的道路非常狹窄,擔心會對居民造成進一步影響,因此建議政府提供足夠交通配套及泊車位後才商議改劃建議
- (四) 單靠現時的道路網絡不足以解決西貢的交通問題,因此除發展中九龍幹線外,亦建議於科技大學增設鐵路站,以解決從 西貢延伸至彩虹一帶的交通擠塞問題
- 社區、醫療配套

1

- (五) 鄉郊人口結構逐漸老化,擔心未來十年西貢區內會出現社福 用地短缺的情況
- (六) 白沙灣的社區設施嚴重不足,不少長者因行動不便而未能前 往西貢市或將軍澳使用社區設施,而礙於西貢公路經常擠 塞,社福機構難以為有需要人士安排接送服務。修訂白沙灣 分區計劃大綱核准圖後人口會增加,進一步加重社福設施的 負荷
- (七) 事實上將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉 郊的需求
- (八) 修訂項目 A 的選址是設立地區康健中心的分區附屬中心的 理想地點,亦可用作建設社區設施、小型體育館或社區會堂
- (九) 綜合以上原因,西貢區議會不贊成政府把珍貴的「政府、機構或社區」用地改劃作住宅用途

環境

- (十) 南圍村的河道因經常被傾倒廢料而造成淤塞,並不斷被來歷 不明的污水所污染。由於擬建的大型屋苑正位於上述河道附 近,擔心河流會進一步受到污染,嚴重破壞生態環境及影響 現有居民
- (十一)每逢遇上惡劣天氣,南圍路一帶都會出現嚴重水浸,相信有 關修訂項目會令水流更加集中,加劇道路水浸的情況,破壞

周邊居民的日常生活和家園環境,如遇上自然災害,甚至會 對居民造成危險

(十二)修訂項目C的「綠化地帶」鄰近旁邊的「自然保育區」地帶,為 後者提供緩衝作用,而且用地毗鄰河流及白沙灣,相信會有 一定的生態價值,因此關注改劃會破壞生態及影響該處的動 植物

地區發展

- (十三)政府把西貢規劃作低密度發展,持有小型屋宇地契的居民申請用地作建屋用途不獲批准,但政府卻可以隨時改劃「政府、機構或社區」用地和「綠化地帶」作發展用途,對於申請興建小型屋宇的居民並不公平
- (十四)建議規劃署積極發展郊區土地,開發西貢荒廢的村落及改善 西貢郊區的道路,平衡保育及發展的需要,並正視原居民的 意見及住屋需求

繼《將軍澳分區計劃大綱草圖編號 S/TKO/25》的修訂後,政 府再一次無視西貢區議會和居民的反對意見,並且在未有提供任何改 善方案的情況下,直接把《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/7》的修訂建議提交予 貴會考慮,做法不負責任,亦未有顧及西 貢區的整體發展和對居民的長遠影響。有見及此,西貢區議會懇請 貴會反對通過《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/7》的修 訂建議,待政府就上述各種問題提出改善方案,並與西貢區議會及區 內居民達成共識後,才進一步考慮建屋建議。希望 貴委員會能尊重 西貢區議會和區內居民的意見。

本會計劃派代表出席有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/7》的聆訊,如有聆訊詳情,請盡快向本會提供。

西貢區議會主席吳仕福



二〇一九年八月七日

1

.....



西貢區議會邱戊秀區議員辦事處 THE OFFICE OF MR. HIEW MOO SIEW

SAI KUNG DISTICT COUNCIL MEMBER

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-2

p.1

新界西貢普通這 21 號 2 樓 1/F, NO.21, PO TUNG ROAD, SAI KUNG, N.T. TEL: 2792 7721 FAX: 2792 4695 EMAIL: hiewmoosiew@yahoo.com.hk

檔號: HMS35/2019

香港 北角渣華道333號 北角政府合署15樓 城市規劃委員會會員

尊敬的委員會會員:

城市規劃條例(第131章) 白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/7

本人非常認同西貢區議會於二零一九年八月七日向城規會提交 的書面申述。內容包括第一至四點有關交通事項;第五至九點有關社會、 醫療配套事項;第十至十二點有關環境事項;第十三至十四點有關地區 發展事項。

由3月1日至今,本人都不斷收到大量市民強烈反對有關《白沙 灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目。他們相當關 注污染河道及水浸問題將變得更惡化。南圍村的河道無間斷被傾倒廢料 造成淤塞,不斷被來歷不明的污水所污染,又欠缺排污設施。由於擬建 的大型屋苑正位於河道附近,河流必定會進一步受到污染,嚴重破壞生 態環境及影響原有之居民。每逢風季雨季,窩美、響鐘及南圍路一帶都 會出現嚴重水浸,所以大量市民相信有關修訂項目會令水流更加集中, 加劇道路水浸的情況,破壞周邊居民的日常生活及家園環境,遇上天災 時,更加對居民造成生命財產威脅。根據規劃署回覆,有關發展商將來 需按賣地條款要求就其發展項目進行排水影響評估,以確保擬議房屋發 風險,這並不可徹底消除市民多年來的憂慮,因為現階段未有實質的效 果。

本人懇請貴會待政府就上述各種問題提出改善方案,並與西貢區議會及區內居民達成共識後,才進一步考慮建屋建議,並請書面回覆。

邱戊秀區議員 HIEW MOO SIEW SAI KUNG DISTRICT COUNCILLOR

二零一九年八月九日

011

Form No. S6 表格第 S6 號

ť

For Official Use	Reference No. 檔案編號	TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-3
Only 請勿填寫此欄	Date Received 收到日期	

The representation should be made to the Town Planning Board (the Board) before the expiry of the specified plan exhibition period. The completed form and supporting documents (if any) should be sent to the Secretary, Town Planning Board, 15/F., North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.
 申述必須於指定的圖則展示期限屆滿前向城市規劃委員會(下稱「委員會」)提出,填妥的表格及支持有關申述的文件(倘有),必須送交香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓城市規劃委員會秘書收。

 Please read the "Town Planning Board Guidelines on Submission and Publication of Representations, Comments on Representations and Further Representations" before you fill in this form. The Guidelines can be obtained from the Secretariat of the Board (15/F., North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong – Tel.: 2231 4810 or 2231 4835) and the Planning Enquiry Counters of the Planning Department (Hotline: 2231 5000) (17/F., North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong and 14/F., Sha Tin Government Offices, I Sheung Wo Che Road, Sha Tin, New Territories), or downloaded from the Board's website at http://www.info.gov.hk/tpb/.

填寫此表格之前, 請先細閱有關「根據城市規劃條例提交及公佈申述、對申述的意見及進一步申述」的城市規劃委員會規劃指引, 這份指引可向委員會秘書處(香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓 - 電話: 2231 4810 或 2231 4835 及規劃署的規劃資料查詢處(熱 線: 2231 5000)(香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 17 櫻及新界沙田上禾淞路 1 號沙田政府合署 14 楔) 案取,亦可從委員會的網 頁下戰 (網址: <u>http://www.info.gov.hk/tpb/</u>)。

3. This form can be downloaded from the Board's website, and obtained from the Secretariat of the Board and the Planning Enquiry Counters of the Planning Department. The form should be typed or completed in block letters, preferably in both English and Chinese. The representation may be treated as not having been made if the required information is not provided. 此表格可從委員會的網頁下戰,亦可向委員會秘書處及規則署的規劃資料查詢處索取。提出申述的人士須以打印方式或以正楷填寫 表格,填寫的資料宜中英文乘儲。 倘若未能提供所需该料,則委員會可把有關申述視為不曾提出論。

1. Person Making this Representation (known as "Representer" hereafter) 提出此宗申述的人士 (下稱「申述人」)

Full Name 姓名 / 名稱 (Mr. /Ms./Company/Organisation* 先生/女士/公司/機構*)

主战军勤劳.

(Note: for submission by person, full name shown on Hong Kong Identity Card/Passport must be provided) (注意: 若個人提交,須填上與香港身份證/ 護照所載的全名)

2. Authorised Agent (if applicable) 獲授權代理人 (如適用)

Full Name 姓名 / 名稱 (Mr./ Ms./Company/Organisation* 先生/女士/公司/機構*)



(Note: for submission by person, full name shown on Hong Kong Identity Card/Passport must be provided) (注意: 若個人提交,須填上與香港身份證/護照所献的全名)

3. Details of the Representation 申述詳情

Draft plan to which the representation relates (please specify the name and number of the draft plan) 與申述相關的草圖 (請註明草圖名稱及編號)

* Delete as appropriate 請删去不適用者

Please fill in "NA" for not applicable item 請在不適用的項目填寫「 不適用 」

...

RECEIVED

TOWN FLANNING BOARD

REPRESENTATION IN RESPECT OF DRAFT PLAN UNDER SECTION 6(1) OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE (CAP. 131)

Form No. S6 <u>表格第_S6 號</u>

根據《城市規劃條例》(第131章) 第6(1)條就草圖作出申述

· . .

Natur	e of and reasons for the	representation 申述的性質及理由
Subject matters 有關事項 [@]	Are you supporting or opposing the subject matter? 你支持還是反對有關事項?	Reasons 理由
	□ support 支持 □ oppose 反對	
	 support 支持 oppose 反對 	
	□ support 支持 □ oppose 反對	
proposed amendments to the 圖是否有任何擬議修訂? 女	draft plan? If yes, please specif D有的話,請註明詳情。	y the details.

50 份電子複本)。 @

Please describe the particular matter in the plan to which the representation relates. Where the representation relates to an amendment to a plan, please specify the amendment item number provided in the Schedule of Proposed Amendments.請形容圖則內與申述有關的指定事 項,如申述與圖則的修訂有關,訪註明在修訂項目附表內的修訂項目编號。

Please fill "NA" for not applicable item 訪在不適用的項目填寫「 不適用 」 図 at the appropriate box 請在適當的方格內加上 図 號

!

香港 北角渣華道333號 北角政府合署15樓 城市規劃委員會秘書

委員會秘書:

城市規劃條例(第131章)

白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號S/SK-HH/7

提出的意見

本人現就上述公告有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號S/SK-HH/7》,擬更改白沙灣 四幅土地的用途提出申述。

本人提出以下意見:

交通:

(一)計劃的環境和交通影響評估欠奉,現時南邊圍及清水灣的迴旋處已經非常擠塞,施 工中的西貢公路改善工程第一期尚未見成效,第二期的工程仍未落實,相信短時間內西貢整 體的交通問題不會有所改善,加上西貢及坑口有不少私人屋苑相繼落成,由新發展項目所帶 來的車流會進一步加重西貢交通的負荷。

(二)現時新、舊清水灣道的塞車問題嚴重,往大埔仔方向的道路狹窄,加上邵氏片場一帶及傲龍灣等屋苑相繼落成,令井欄樹、白石窩等的樽頸路段均經常出現交通擠塞。

(三)預計興建高尚住宅會大幅增加區內私家車流量及泊車位需求,加上選址一帶的道路 非常狹窄,擔心會對居民造成進一步影響,因此建議政府提供足夠交通配套及泊車位後才商 議改劃建議。

(四)單靠現時的道路網絡不足以解決西貢的交通問題,因此除發展中九龍幹線外,亦建 議於科技大學增設鐵路站,以解決從西貢延伸至彩虹一帶的交通擠塞問題。

社區、醫療配套:

(五) 鄉郊人口結構逐漸老化,擔心未來十年西貢區內會出現社福用地短缺的情況。

(六)白沙灣的社區設施嚴重不足,不少長者因行動不便而未能前往西貢市或將軍澳使用 社區設施,而礙於西貢公路經常擠塞,社福機構難以為有需要人士安排接送服務。修訂白沙 灣分區計劃大綱核准圖後人口會增加,進一步加重社福設施的負荷。

(七)事實上將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求。

(八)修訂項目A的選址是設立地區康健中心的分區附屬中心的理想地點,亦可用作建設 社區設施、小型體育館或社區會堂。

(九)綜合以上原因,西貢區議會不贊成政府把珍貴的「政府、機構或社區」用地改劃作住 宅用途。

環境:

(十)南圍村的河道因經常被傾倒廢料而造成淤塞,並不斷被來歷不明的污水所污染。由於擬建的大型屋苑正位於上述河道附近,擔心河流會進一步受到污染,嚴重破壞生態環境及影響現有居民。

(十一)每逢遇上惡劣天氣,南圍路一帶都會出現嚴重水浸,相信有關修訂項目會令水流 更加集中,加劇道路水浸的情況,破壞周邊居民的日常生活和家園環境,如遇上自然災害,甚 至會對居民造成危險。

(十二)修訂項目C的「綠化地帶」鄰近旁邊的「自然保育區」地帶,為後者提供緩衝作用,而 且用地毗鄰河流及白沙灣,相信會有一定的生態價值,因此關注改劃會破壞生態及影響該處 的動植物。

地區發展:

(十三)政府把西貢規劃作低密度發展,持有小型屋宇地契的居民申請用地作建屋用途不 獲批准,但政府卻可以隨時改劃「政府、機構或社區」用地和「綠化地帶」作發展用途,對於申 請興建小型屋宇的居民並不公平。

(十四)建議規劃署積極發展郊區土地,開發西貢荒廢的村落及改善西貢郊區的道路,平 衡保育及發展的需要,並正視原居民的意見及住屋需求。

繼《將軍澳分區計劃大綱草圖編號S/TKO/25》的修訂後,政府再一次無視西貢區議會和居 民的反對意見,並且在未有提供任何改善方案的情況下,直接把《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准 圖編號S/SK-HH/7》的修訂建議提交予貴會考慮,做法不負責任,亦未有顧及西貢區的整體 發展和對居民的長遠影響。

有見及此,本人反對通過《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號S/SK-HH/7》的修訂建議, 待政府就上述各種問題提出改善方案,並與各持份者及區內居民達成共識後,才進一步考慮 建屋建議。

希望 貴委員會能尊重各持份者及區內居民的意見。

陳權軍 (HKID No .:

]

日期:2019年8月21日

· · · ·

()

·········		Form No. S6 表格第 S6 號
	Reference No.	
For Official Use Only	檔案編號	
請勿填寫此欄	Date Received	TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7
	收到日期	
Offices, 333 Java Road, No 申述必须於指定的聞則尼 須送交香港北角渣華道 3	orth Point, Hong Kong. 显示期限屆滿前向城市 333 號北角政府合署,15	Planning Board (the Board) before the expiry of the specified plan exhibition period. The ny) should be sent to the Secretary, Town Planning Board, 15/F., North Point Government 規劃委員會(下稱「委員會」)提出,填妥的表格及支持有關申述的文件(倘有) ,必 5 极城市規劃委員會秘書收。
Government Offices, 333 Planning Department (Hot Tin Government Offices, <u>http://www.info.gov.hk/tp</u> 與寫此表格之前,請先組 這份指引可向委員會秘祖	Java Road, North Point lline: 2231 5000) (17/F. , 1 Sheung Wo Che <u>bb/</u> . 昭阿有關「根據城市規 城(番港北角渣華道 33 號北角政/	es on Submission and Publication of Representations, Comments on Representations and rm. The Guidelines can be obtained from the Secretariat of the Board (15/F., North Point t, Hong Kong – Tel.: 2231 4810 or 2231 4835) and the Planning Enquiry Counters of the , North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong and 14/F., Sha Road, Sha Tin, New Territories), or downloaded from the Board's website at 如條例提交及公佈申述、對申述的意見及進一步申述」的城市規劃委員會規劃指引・ 33 號北角政府合署 15 樓 - 電話: 2231 4810 或 2231 4835 及規劃署的規劃資料查詢處(熱 符合署 17 樓及新界沙田上禾攝路 1 號沙田政府合署 14 樓) 索取,亦可從委員會的網
representation may be trea 此表格可從委員會的網頁	ated as not having been 下城,亦可向委員會和	ebsite, and obtained from the Secretariat of the Board and the Planning Enquiry Counters be typed or completed in block letters, preferably in both English and Chinese. The made if the required information is not provided. 必審成及規刻容的規刻資料查詢處索取 ·提出申述的人士須以打印方式或以正楷與寫 供所爾資料 · 則委員會可把有關申述視為不曾提出論 ·
. Person Making this	s Representation	(known as " Representer" hereafter)
提出此宗申述的		
FUI Name 姓名 / 名裤 (M	Mr. /Ms./Company/C	Drganization*先生/女士/公司/機構*)
Mr. Li Ka Li		
Note: for submission by pe 注意:若個人提交,	rson, full name show 須填上與香港身	n on Hong Kong Identity card/Passport must be provided) 份證/護照所載的全名)
Authorized Agent (if applicable)	授權代理人(如適用) ①
	/ Wis./Company/Or	ganization* 先生/女士/公司/機構*)
Note: for submission by per 注意:若個人提交,彡	rson, full name shown 須填上與香港身	n on Hong Kong Identity card/Passport must be provided) 份證/護照所載的全名)
Details of the Rep	resentation 申	述詳情
praft plan to which the repre pecify the name and numbe 與申述相關的草圖(請註明	esentation relates (pl er of the draft plan)	
lelete as appropriate 請刪去不	適用者	

035

•..•

· (

Form No. S6 <u>表格第 S6 號</u>

RECEIVED

2019 AUG 21 P 4: 12

TOWN PLANNING BOARD

REPRESENTATION IN RESPECT OF DRAFT PLAN UNDER SECTION 6(1) OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE (CAP. 131)

根據《城市規劃條例》(第131章)第6(1)條就草圖作出申述

Form No. S6 表格第 S6 號

Natu	re of and reasons for the	representation 申述的性質及理由
Subject matters 有關事項 [@]	Are you supporting or opposing the subject matter? 你支持遯是反對有關事項?	
	□ support 支持 ☑ oppose 反對	
	 Support 支持 oppose 反對 	· · ·
	 support 支持 oppose 反對 	
早國是否有任何擬議修訂?		ecify the details. ning studies and technical assessments) is included in the representation

** If Supporting Occurrents (e.g. Colour and/or large size plans, planning studies and technical assessments) is included in the representations, 90 copies (or 40 hard copies and 50 soft copies) of such information shall be provided. 若申述附有支持其論點的補充資料(例如彩色及/或大尺寸的图則、規劃研究及技術評估),則須提供 90 份複本(或 40 印文本和 50 份電子複本).

Please describe the particular matter in the plan to which the representation relates. Where the representation relates to an amendment to a plan, please specify the amendment item number provided in the Schedule of Proposed Amendments. 請形容 則內與申述有關的指定事項,如申述與國則的修訂有關,請註明在修訂項目附表內的修訂項目編號。

.

Please fill "NA" for not applicable item 請在不適用的項目與寫「不適用」 図 at the appropriate box 請在適當的方格內加上 図 號 香港 北角渣華道333號 北角政府合署15樓 城市規劃委員會秘書

委員會秘書:

城市規劃條例(第131章)

白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號S/SK-HH/7

提出的意見

本人現就上述公告有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號S/SK-HH/7》,擬更改白沙灣 四幅土地的用途提出申述。

規劃署曾出席於2019年5月7日舉行的西貢區議會全體會議,介紹《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核 准圖編號 S/SK HH/6》的擬議修訂項目。議員於會議上積極向各部門代表發表意見及表達居民 的關注,議會最終一致通過了一項臨時動議-「西貢區議會反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱圖核 准編號 S/SK HH/6》的修訂項目」。

本人提出以下意見:

交通:

(一)計劃的環境和交通影響評估欠奉,現時南邊圍及清水灣的迴旋處已經非常擠塞,施 工中的西貢公路改善工程第一期尚未見成效,第二期的工程仍未落實,相信短時間內西貢整 體的交通問題不會有所改善,加上西貢及坑口有不少私人屋苑相繼落成,由新發展項目所帶 來的車流會進一步加重西貢交通的負荷。

(二)現時新、舊清水灣道的塞車問題嚴重,往大埔仔方向的道路狹窄,加上邵氏片場一帶及傲龍灣等屋苑相繼落成,令井欄樹、白石窩等的樽頸路段均經常出現交通擠塞。

(三)預計興建高尚住宅會大幅增加區內私家車流量及泊車位需求,加上選址一帶的道路 非常狹窄,擔心會對居民造成進一步影響,因此建議政府提供足夠交通配套及泊車位後才商 議改劃建議。

(四)單靠現時的道路網絡不足以解決西貢的交通問題,因此除發展中九龍幹線外,亦建 議於科技大學增設鐵路站,以解決從西貢延伸至彩虹一帶的交通擠塞問題。

社區、醫療配套:

(五) 鄉郊人口結構逐漸老化, 擔心未來十年西貢區內會出現社福用地短缺的情況。

(六)白沙灣的社區設施嚴重不足,不少長者因行動不便而未能前往西貢市或將軍澳使用 社區設施,而礙於西貢公路經常擠塞,社福機構難以為有需要人士安排接送服務。修訂白沙 灣分區計劃大綱核准圖後人口會增加,進一步加重社福設施的負荷。

(七) 事實上將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求。

(八)修訂項目A的選址是設立地區康健中心的分區附屬中心的理想地點,亦可用作建設 社區設施、小型體育館或社區會堂。 (九) 綜合以上原因,西貢區議會不贊成政府把珍貴的「政府、機構或社區」用地改劃作在 宅用途。

環境:

(十)南圍村的河道因經常被傾倒廢料而造成淤塞,並不斷被來歷不明的污水所污染。由於擬建的大型屋苑正位於上述河道附近,擔心河流會進一步受到污染,嚴重破壞生態環境及影響現有居民。

(十一)每逢遇上惡劣天氣,南圍路一帶都會出現嚴重水浸,相信有關修訂項目會令水流更加集中,加劇道路水浸的情況,破壞周邊居民的日常生活和家園環境,如遇上自然災害,甚至會對居民造成危險。

(十二)修訂項目C的「綠化地帶」鄰近旁邊的「自然保育區」地帶,為後者提供緩衝作用,而 且用地毗鄰河流及白沙灣,相信會有一定的生態價值,因此關注改劃會破壞生態及影響該處 的動植物。

地區發展:

(十三)政府把西貢規劃作低密度發展,持有小型屋宇地契的居民申請用地作建屋用途不 獲批准,但政府卻可以隨時改劃「政府、機構或社區」用地和「綠化地帶」作發展用途,對於申 請興建小型屋宇的居民並不公平。

(十四)建議規劃署積極發展郊區土地,開發西貢荒廢的村落及改善西貢郊區的道路,平 衡保育及發展的需要,並正視原居民的意見及住屋需求。

繼《將軍澳分區計劃大綱草圖編號S/TKO/25》的修訂後,政府再一次無視西貢區議會和居 民的反對意見,並且在未有提供任何改善方案的情況下,直接把《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准 圖編號S/SK-HH/7》的修訂建議提交予貴會考慮,做法不負責任,亦未有顧及西貢區的整體 發展和對居民的長遠影響。

有見及此,本人反對通過《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號S/SK-HH/7》的修訂建議, 待政府就上述各種問題提出改善方案,並與各持份者及區內居民達成共識後,才進一步考慮 建屋建議。

希望 貴委員會能尊重各持份者及區內居民的意見。



日期:2019年8月20日

tpbpd

1

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-5

寄件者:	SPCF SaiKung
寄件日期:	21日08月2019年星期三 3:24
收件者:	tpbpd
主旨:	Representation on Plan S/SK-HH/7
附件:	申述意見:白沙灣分區計劃大綱草圖S_SK_HH_7.pdf

Dear Sir or Madam,

We would like to make our representation about the plan S/SK-HH/7. Please check the attached PDF file. If there is any problem, please contact us.

In order to confirm this file is well received, please notify us by email

Thanks for your kind attention.

Yours faithfully, Carol Ho Sai Kung Planning Concern Front Contact:

028

本人就 《 白 沙 灣 分 區 計 劃 大 綱 草 圖 編 號 S / S K - H H / 7 》提出申述意見如下:

1. 改 劃 修 訂 基 礎 理 據 不 符

根據《香港物業報告 2019》,2018 <u>年全港私人住宅空置量為 51,426 伙</u>,空置率約 4.3%,按年上升 0.6 個百分點。(見圖一)<u>西貢區空置單位有 6245 伙</u>,空置率為 9.4%,<u>全港最高</u>。(見圖二)住在西貢居民都觀察到南圍、南邊圍、蠔涌、界咸有好多無人 住又不會放租的空屋。在蠔涌規劃分區,更有大量已批核將會興建的村屋和豪宅項目(例 如:鹿尾村,舊亞視舊廠房等土地)。

政府竟然以「鑑於有迫切需要增加房屋供應」為由,堅持要將西貢南圍響鐘兩幅地改劃為可 供應 230 伙的住宅用地,反映理據不符事實,故城市規劃委員會(城規會)修訂白沙灣分 區計劃大綱核准圖 在毫無基礎理據下,不應予以批准。

읍....



2015年沼辰田包括在年內沼底並裕留為酉助出區房屋・但其也於2017年以市街正公間市場名區的16週住宅型位。相時入住是和空西屋的数子並沒有橋正。
 Completions of 2015 include 16 flats completed and designated as subsidised sale flats in the year but sold to the public in the open market at prevailing market prices in 2017. There is no amendment to other related take-up and vacancy flagues.

年底空習最佔เ告存豆的百分率。 * 済泉数字 Vacancy at the end of the year as a percentage of stock Forecast figures

(

A 81- 2 50 1- 1019

							$\Rightarrow f_2 d_1$
地區	District	2017 年年乾絕召集 Stock at year-end	2018年落成量 Completions	落成量佔 2017 年總存量的百分半 Completions as a % of 2017 Stock	2018 年年庄挹存量 Stock at year-end	2018 年午底空質量 Amount Vacant at year-end	空實百分率 % Vacant
P. Mai	Central and Western	43 700			43 700		
A11-	Wan Chai					× 1	
4155	Eastern	94 600			94 600	9 200	9.7
1115	Southern	28 600			25 600	500	1.7
3.5	HONG KONG	166 900			166 900	9 700	5.8
4 1 ,2 E	Yau Tsim Mong						
1: 1: 14	Sham Shut Po	142 200			142 200	25 900	18.2
A. 154	Kowloon City	103 600			103 600	500	0.5
大约	Wong Tai Sin	1 500			1 500		
22	Kwun Tong	257 900			256 800	6 900	2.7
M	KOWLOON	505 200		. *	504 100	33 300	6.6
11	Kwai Tsing	1 763 900	3 000	0.2	1 767 900	102 300	5.8
8	Tsuen Wan	435 600			394 600	8 300	2.1
! ~]	Tuen Mun	142 400		~	142 400	700	0.5
31	Yuen Long	126 000			126 000		
2	North	126 100			126 100	4 900	3.9
i.	Tal Po	600			600		
11	Sha Tin	442 200			442 200	10 100	2.3
(1	Sai Kung	7 400			7 400	4 500	60.8
CL	Islands	94 400			94 400	7 600	8.1
"	NEW TERRITORIES	3 138 600	3 000	0.1	3 101 800	138 400	4.5
2	OVERALL	3 #10 700	3 000	0.1	3 772 800	181 400	4.8

私人货合 · 各區總存量 · 落成量及空置量 PRIVATE STORAGE - STOCK, COMPLETIONS AND VACANCY BY DISTRICT

2. 迴避及低估交通問題

根據發展局 2019 年的回覆, 「根據路政署西貢公路第一期改善工程的設計資料, 在清水灣 道與新西貢公路之間, 以及南邊圍迴旋處與北圍之間的兩段西貢公路, <u>於進行改善工程前的</u> 行車量/容車量比率在平日繁忙時間約為 1.1 至 1.2。」 西貢公路改善工程第一期於 2016 年 3 月開始, 根據運輸處資料, 2017 年西貢市中心至清水灣道的一段西貢公路<u>全年平均每</u> 日行車量為 24,050 架次。以上數據提供的單位和運算程式顯然完全不同, 邏輯不連貫, 根 本未能反映道路行車量情況, 實有迴避隱瞞之嫌, 在政府未能向市民如實公開西貢公路<u>平日</u> 上午繁忙時間行車量/容車量比率 前, 有關部門就斷言有關項目不會對交通構成不良影響, 如此的定斷未免太粗疏。

A: Table 45

Hong Kong Property Revew 2019

更何況於 2013 年後,西貢市中心至清水灣道附近地區,在西貢市中心至蠔涌一帶有多塊土地被改劃為住宅用地,其中 8 個私人住宅項目規劃申請已獲批,人口將增加 2800-4600

(平均每戶以 3-5 人居住計算),未計每年 100 間獲地政署批准的小型屋宇(丁屋)申 請。西貢市中心、北港沙角尾、蠔涌、白沙灣四個分區的規劃對整段西貢公路有不能分割的 影響,有關部門多次刻意迴避,又未能提供實質證據去說服每天受著交通問題煎熬的西貢居 民認同政府的規劃修訂,有關當局一直未有就這些可預見的人口增長,交代對西貢市中心至 清水灣道整體的交通影響。

同時,西貢鄉郊車輛持續增長,各村落的私人農地近幾年,紛紛變成棕地停車場。根據運輸 處 2019 年 6 月最新的車輛領牌統計,由 2014 年至今,領牌車輛數量增加了 13%,持續 增加至 79 萬架次。(圖三)

西貢公路是大部份居民及遊客往返九龍及香港島的唯一通道, 響鐘及南圍改劃將增加上 690-1150人口(平均每戶以 3-5人居住計算), 即增加已批出私人住宅項目四份之一, 政府部 門多次重重覆覆斷定「有關項目不會對交通構成不良影響」及「表示道路的容車量足以應付 預期的行車量。」但事實上西貢交通情況於過去 4 年持續惡化得最厲害, 恐怕政府低估了交 通擠塞的連鎖效應。因此, 本人認為此建議修訂應當否決。

圖三:2014年至今車輛領牌總數 (至 6/2019)

1

	Total				
年 / 月	 首次登記 登記總		領牌總數		
Year/Month	First Registration	To [.] Regist	tal Total ration Licensed		
2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	69 088 76 268 62 475 64 752 62 523	769 199 797 634 817 568 839 882 865 003	699 540 728 263 745 677 766 200 784 434		

2018 / 01	5 042	842 137	
 02	4 358	844 166	
03	5 243	846 424	
04	4 857	848 367	
05	5 547	850 911	
06	5 368	853 061	

07

08

09

10

11

12

02

03

04

05

2019 / 01

3. 漠視全港長者及殘疾人仕宿位需要

4 9 9 3

6 0 4 3

5164

5 5 3 4

5 3 2 6

5 0 4 8

4819

3 522

5 4 3 7

4 4 4 7

5 2 5 2

854 791

857 253

859 152

861 099

863 112

865 003

866 497

867 439

869 294

870 309

871 687

就著香港現在 長者宿位輪候 和 傷殘/智障護理宿位 嚴重不足下,加上未來的人口老化,土地用作社會福利院舍建設用途更形逼切。

777 146

778 839

777 772

780 797

783 379

784 434

787 413

787 334

787 595

788 881

790 400

根據政府將於將軍澳南第65C2及73A區及調景嶺67區興建福利設施中,計劃中只 有65C區設有長者鄰舍中心, 三個地區的社福建設未有任何宿位院舍。計劃中的白沙 灣的長者院舍是私人土地作私營院舍, 可有250<u>長者護理宿位</u>。計劃中前西貢中心小 學以提供一所<u>60位嚴重弱智人士宿舍</u>暨60位展能中心及一所<u>100位合約安老院舍</u> 暨30位日間護理單位。政府在<u>宿舍服務</u>社福設施方面明顯欠缺承擔, <u>少恩少惠</u>, 漠視 全香港社福宿位需要。

根據社會福利處資料, 護理安老宿位及護養院宿位輪候人數 42,365, 平均輪候時 間分別為 22 個月及 26 個月。(資料截至 2019 年 7 月 31 日的統計顯示) 傷殘/智障護理宿位輪候人數 11,879, 以東九龍(西貢區屬東九龍)嚴重弱智人士宿 舍為例, 輪候需時 18 年(資料截至 2019 年 6 月 30 日的統計顯示), 加上香 港人工作時間長, 照顧者對長期病患及特殊康復需要的家人壓力很大。鑑於宿舍服務 一直長期不足, 以致輪候時間漫長。

建議政府保能將響鐘 GIC 原本「(用)以配合當地居民/或該地區、域,以及全港的需要」作<u>社福康復</u>用途擴展,提供院舍有足夠戶外空間供院友活動,因為長期困於室內的康復模式,是非常不健康的。優質康復宿位及服務在香港長期大排長龍,這是全港 逼切需要。

根據發展局回覆,「政府亦計劃在西貢區透過<u>購置物業以營辦社福設施</u>」,這計劃間 接承認西貢社福設施用地不足,需要用公帑置業。政府位於響鐘用地交通方便,很適 合興建社福設施服務大眾市民,為何要將響鐘用地改劃為私人住宅作日後賣地?難道 迎合地產商的土地改劃更乎合公眾利益?更何況西貢<u>區私人住宅空置率</u>為 9.4%,<u>全港</u> <u>最高</u>。

總結:就香港住屋需求、社區交通和社會福利設施需要三方面的真實情況檢視下,政 府沒有足夠凌駕理據推翻原本分區計劃大綱草圖,故本人反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱 草圖編號 S/SK-HH/7》的修訂,維持響鐘南圍土地的原本用途。

關注西貢規劃陣線

2019年8月21日

Carol Ho Kit Ye Contact No.
tpbpd

寄件者: 寄件日期: 收件者: 主旨:

21日08月2019年星期三 3:10 tpbpd HEBE HAVEN OUTLINE ZONING PLAN NO. S/SK-HH/6

SCHEDULE OF AMENDMENTS TO THE APPROVED HEBE HAVEN OUTLINE ZONING PLAN NO. S/SK-HH/6

Dear TPB Members,

Item A – Rezoning of a site near the junction of Hiram's Highway and Heung Chung Road from "Government, Institution or Community" ("G/IC") to "Residential (Group C)4" ("R(C)4") STRONGLY OBJECT

Item B – Rezoning of a strip of land at Heung Chung Road from "G/IC" to area shown as 'Road'. OBJECT

Item C – Rezoning of a site to the south of Nam Wai from "Green Belt" ("GB") to "R(C)5" STRONGLY OBJECT

Item D – Rezoning of a site occupied by Colour of The River to the south of Nam Wai from "GB" to "R(C)6". Already developed so object to the fact that this site has been developed while zoned GB

Strong objections to the rezoning of GIC zoned sites, particularly when they are government land, to **PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL.** These bring no benefit to the general community, they merely generate land revenue that is squandered on white elephant projects and line the pockets of developers.

There are currently some thousands of larger and more costly units being withheld from the market has demand is not sufficient. It is obvious that market demand is for affordable units. The administration has pledged to resolve the housing crisis, this means the provision of homes for ordinary folk.

Moreover there is an even greater crisis with regard to the provision of community services to be resolved. The government intends to spend \$20B acquiring units on the open market. This is ridiculous when there are appropriately zoned sites available like these.

One of the most urgent needs is custom built units for the elderly. The success of the Tanner Hill development that now has a waiting list is evidence. Sites like these would be an ideal location for a mixture of public and private elderly residential. Site A is conveniently located next to the planned social welfare complex on the old school site, hence synergy. Provision of GIC facilities is a community wide issue and spare GIC zoned sites should be used in a holistic manner, even if local needs are being addressed.

Alternatively there is no mention of a land swap. Developers like these Sai Kung sites as they are very profitable. So demand a swap with a compatible site value wise in urban districts where public housing can be developed. Henderson Land, for example, has accumulated a land bank of urban sites by acquiring units or forcing tenants to sell via the compulsory sale ordinance.

I note no mention of the number of additional vehicles that would impact the narrow country roads.

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-6

Item B: why should it be legitimized as part of car park? This should be a buffer lane between any development and the parking facilities.

Item C : Another instance of green buffer not only being pushed back but development would also greatly impact the current green panorama. There are plenty of privately owned brownfield sites appropriately zoned Res that can be used for private developments. But of course this is government land, so this is the easy way out instead of tackling the problems of degradation in NT.

Item D: It is appalling that this is still zoned O, presumably to inflate the allocation of OS in the district that I note is deficient.

It is depressing that despite the obvious desire on the part of the community for a better society, PlanD can come up with nothing more than the same old same old exploitation of community resources to enrich developers at the expense of both the environment and the general welfare.

Mary Mulvihill

tpbpd	TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-7
寄件者:	西貢鄉民Sai Kung Commons
寄件日期:	21日08月2019年星期三 23:29
收件者:	tpbpd
主旨:	《白沙灣分區計劃大綱草圖S/SK-HH/7》的申述
附件:	西貢鄉民聯署信內容.pdf; 環團的意見書.pdf; 初步生態調查.pdf; 申述excel.xlsx; 申述列表.pdf

您好,

附件為西貢鄉民收集得來的申述、委託環團進行之初步生態調查及其意見書。當中的'申述列表'跟' 申述 excel'內容應一致,不同 format 方便閣下工作。

如有任何疑問,請聯絡陳嘉琳。如此的意思。謝謝

西貢鄉民 謹啟

致規劃署署長李啟榮先生及城市規劃委員會委員:

回: 白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號S/SK-HH/6的修訂項目

我們知悉政府有意改劃西貢南圍村及響鐘村附近一帶的土地用途,當中包括改劃一幅位於南圍 的綠化用地和響鐘的一幅「政府、機構或社區」地帶改劃為「住宅」地帶。基於以下原因,我 們聯署反對修訂項目A、B、C、D,原因如下:

1.改劃後將興建230個住宅單位,惡化現時擠塞的交通,嚴重影響目前西貢居民及居民日常生活;

2. 西貢社區設施嚴重不足, 「政府、機構或社區」地帶應保留作興建有益西貢居民的設施, 例如醫療及院舍;

3. 將「綠化地帶」改劃為低密度住宅將進一步破壞西貢鄉郊面貌, 立下不良先例。

我們要求規劃署審視是次改劃對西貢的整體影響,全面檢視西貢近郊的規劃狀況,防止自然景 觀被進一步破壞。

Dear Director of Planning Mr. LEE Kai Wing, Raymond and Members of the Town Planning Board,

[Oppose to rezone Nam Wai and Heung Chung]

Re: AMENDMENTS TO THE APPROVED HEBE HAVEN OUTLINE ZONING PLAN NO. S/SK-HH/6

We acknowledge that the government has proposed to rezone the land-use for areas close to Nam Wai Village and Heung Chung Village including Greenbelt and G/IC land-use. For the following reasons, we petition to oppose the proposed amendment items A,B,C,D, for the following reason::

 Rezoning to build for 230 housing units would only worsen the existing congestion in traffic and cause serious negative impacts to Sai Kung residents' daily lives
Sai Kung is facing an acute shortage in community facilities, as such G/IC land-use should be reserved for the construction of facilities that could benefit the locals, including medical facilities and elderly care centres

3. Rezoning Greenbelt for low-density residential area would set an undesirable precedent that further encroaches the rural character of Sai Kung

We urge the Planning Department to review the comprehensive impacts of the proposed rezone and overhaul the general approach of planning for the Sai Kung rural area in order to cease any further damage to the natural environment.

Intiative 發起人:西貢鄉民 Sai Kung Commons Date 日期: 05/2019





BY EMAIL

The Secretary, Town Planning Board, 15th Floor, North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong (Fax: 2877 0245 or 2522 8426, Email: <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk</u>)

20th August 2019

Dear Sir/Madam

ECO-EDUCATION & RESOURCES CENTRE AND GREEN POWER'S JOINT COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT ITEMS ON THE DRAFT OUTLAND ZONING PLAN NO. S/SK-HH/7

- Regarding to the above-captioned draft plan, Eco-Education & Resources Centre and Green Power would like to express our concerns on rezoning of Green Belt (GB) to Residential (Group C) 5 (RC 5) and the potential environmental impacts to the Conservation Area (CA) and the Costal Protection Area (CPA).
- 2. According to the OZP, the planning intention of the Green Belt is "primarily for defining the limits of urban and sub-urban development areas by natural features". With the proposed amendment items, i.e. rezoning of GB area into the RC 5, it would vague the boundary between the urban and sub-urban area that may promote the further development of sub-urban or even natural area in the future and that would clearly violate the original planning intention. In addition, GB also functions as the buffer area between the Residential Area and the ecological sensitive area, i.e. Conservation Area (CA); with proposed rezoning, no buffer zone would be available between RC and CA. Any impacts generated from the construction and operation of the Residential Area would directly affect the CA.
- 3. Ecological surveys were conducted at terrestrial and coastal area of Nam Wai and Heung Chung since June 2019. 96 butterfly species are recorded within the survey area and that would account for 40% of total number of species recorded in Hong Kong and 3 "Rare" species and 3"Very Rare" species are included. With the high number of species recorded. Nam Wai and Heung Chung are certainly a hotspot for butterflies. A summary of butterfly species mentioned is enclosed in the

appendix. With the proposed amendment items on the zoning plan, numerous food plants for diverse butterfly species would be eliminated, thus destroying the feeding and breeding habitat of diverse butterfly species.

- 4. A total of 33 species of bird are recorded in the survey area including 2 rare species, Striated Heron, *Butorides striata*, and Greater Sand Plover, *Charadrius leschenaultia*. Both of them are recorded in the coastal area of Nam Wai. In addition, nesting of Black-crowned Night Heron, *Nycticorax nycticorax*, a species of conservation concern is observed in Nam Wai area, indicating the mangrove and the soft shore of Nam Wai not only provides important feeding ground for water birds including rare species, it also serves as breeding ground for species of conservation important species.
- 5. Four species of damselfly and dragonfly are recorded during the survey including Mangrove Slimmer (Orthetrum poecilops), a species of conservation concern, is recorded during the survey. Mangrove Skimmer is listed as vulnerable in the IUCN red list. As the distribution of Mangrove Skimmer is limited to estuary area, the mangrove of Nam Wai is an important habitat for this species of conservation concern.
- 6. In addition to the species aforementioned, 13 species of crab and 8 species of fish are recorded in the survey area. All 8 fish species recorded are juveniles and large number of crab and shrimp larvae are also recorded in the plankton sampling in the survey area, This indicates the mangrove of Nam Wai is an important nursery ground for various marine species which indeed nourishing the surrounding species and species of conservation concern.
- 7. Thought the proposed amendment items for zoning does not affect the mangrove of Nam Wai directly, as the mangrove locates at the downstream of the proposed zoning amendment area, sewage or any disturbance created during the construction and operation of the Residential Area would be easily washed off to the downstream area without proper management. As the larvae and juveniles of are sensitive to the pollution or disturbance, these potential pollutions would pose a serious threat to them and which would definitely damage the ecology of the mangrove area of Nam Wai.
- 8. The biodiversity of Nam Wai and Heung Chung is rich in both terrestrial and coastal area, but both of these ecosystems can easily be damaged by human disturbance. We urge the government to reconsider the amendment of the zoning in area and to impose suitable management to the environment in order to further protect the area.

Summary of survey findings listed as follows:

Table 1: Summary of ecological survey of Nam Wai and Heung Chung between since June 2019

Taxa	Number of species recorded 96	
Butterflies		
Birds	33	
Odonates	. 4	
Crabs	13	
Fish	8	

Should you have any inquiries or need further information, please contact the undersigned at Eco-Education & Resources Centre (T & Fax: 2697 2029, Email: michelle@erc.org.hk).

Thank you for your kind attention.

For and on behalf of Eco-Education & Resources Centre and Green Power,

Dr. Michelle Cheung Ma Shan Science Manager, Eco-Education & Resources Centre

Attachment:

Appendix A – Lists of species recorded during the ecological surveys of Nam Wai since June 2019

Ecological Survey of Nam Wai and Heung Chung Area

June 2019 – August 2019

Date: August 2019





CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	ECOLOGICAL MONITORING	1
3	SUMMARY	2
4	REFERENCES	3

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 – Summary	of butterflies	recorded in the S	urvev Area and WRA	between June 2019 to August 2019

Appendix 2 – Summary of birds recorded in the Survey Area and WRA between June 2019 to August 2019

Appendix 3 – Summary of damselflies and dragonflies recorded in the Survey Area and WRA between June 2019 to August 2019

Appendix 4 – Summary of crabs recorded in the Survey Area and WRA between June 2019 to August 2019

Appendix 5 – Summary of fish recorded in the Survey Area and WRA between June 2019 to August 2019

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Nam Wai and Heung Chung located at the Sai Kung area, its main habitat type includes secondary woodland, stream, mangrove and soft shore.
- **1.2** This report documents ecological surveys conducted in the Survey Area between June 2019 to August 2019.

2 ECOLOGICAL MONITORING

- 2.1 Monitoring of Butterflies
- 2.1.1 A total of 96 butterflies species were recorded in the Survey Area, including 3 rare and 3 very rare species. Survey findings indicate that Nam Wai is an important butterflip hotspot in Hong Kong as 40% of total butterfly species in Hong Kong were recorded in the survey area. A summary of survey data is provided in Appendix 1.

2.2 Monitoring of Birds

2.2.1 A total of 33 birds species were recorded in the Survey Area, including 2 rare species, Striated Heron, *Butorides striata*, and Greater Sand Plover, *Charadrius leschenaultia*. In addition, nesting of Blackcrowned Night Heron, *Nycticorax nycticorax*, a species of conservation concern is observed in the Survey Area. A summary of survey data is provided in Appendix 2.

2.3 Monitoring of damselflies and dragonflies

2.3.1 Four damselfly and dragonfly species were recorded during the survey including Mangrove Slimmer (*Orthetrum poecilops*), a species of conservation importance, is recorded during the survey. A summary of survey data is provided in Appendix 3.

2.4 Monitoring of crabs

2.4.1 A total of 13 crab species were recorded in the Survey Area, A summary of survey data is provided in Appendix 4.

2.5 Monitoring of fish

2.5.1 A total of 8 fish species were recorded in the Survey Area, A summary of survey data is provided in Appendix 5.

3 SUMMARY

3.1 Summary of survey findings listed as follows:

Table 1: Summary of ecological survey of Nam Wai and Heung Chung between since June 2019

Таха	Number of species recorded	
Butterflies	96	
Birds	33	
Odonates	4	
Crabs	13	
Fish	8	

3.2 Survey findings indicate that Nam Wai and Heung Chung supported diversity of various species in both the terrestrial area and the coastal area.

4 REFERENCES

Fellowes, J.F., M.W.N. Lau, D. Dudgeon, G.T. Reels, G.W.J. Ades, G.J. Carey, B.P.L. Chan, R.C. Kendrick, K.S. Lee, M.R. Leven, K.D.P. Wilson, Y.T. Yu, 2002. *Wild Animals to Watch: Terrestrial and Freshwater Fauna of Conservation Concern in Hong Kong*. Hong Kong.

Hong Kong Bird Watching Society 2016. List of Hong Kong Birds - 2017-09-05. <www.hkbws.org.hk>.

IUCN 2016. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2016-3. <<u>www.iucnredlist.org</u>>. Downloaded on 7th August 2019

Lo, P. Y. F. and W.L. Hui, 2004. Hong Kong Butterflies. Hong Kong, Cosmos Books Ltd.

- Tam, T. W., K.K. Leung, B.S.P. Kwan, K.K.Y. Wu, S.S.H. Tang, I.W.Y. So, J.C.Y. Cheng, E.F.M. Yuen, Y.M. Tsang, and W.L. Hui, 2011. The Dragonflies of Hong Kong (1st edition). Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department. Friends of Country Parks and Cosmos Books Ltd., Hong Kong
- Wilson, K.D.P., Tam, T.W., Kwan, B.S.P., Wu, K.K.Y., Wong, J.K. 2004. Field Guide to the Dragonflies of Hong Kong. AFCD, Friends of Country Park and Cosmos Books Ltd. Hong Kong.

Young, J.J. & Yiu, V., 2002. Butterfly Watching In Hong Kong. Wan Li Book Co. Ltd., Hong Kong.

Appendix 1

(

Summary of butterflies recorded in the Survey Area and WRA between June 2019 to August 2019







	Family : Birdwings (Papilionidae) 鳳蝶科 Common Name : Red Helen Scientific Name : <i>Papilio helenus</i> 中文名稱 : 玉斑鳳蝶
13	Family : Birdwings (Papilionidae) 鳳蝶科 Common Name : Spangle Scientific Name : <i>Papilio protenor</i> 中文名稱:藍鳳蝶
14	Family : Birdwings (Papilionidae) 鳳蝶科 Common Name : Tailed Jay Scientific Name : <i>Graphium agamemnon</i> 中文名稱 : 統帥青鳳蝶
15	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Chocolate Royal Scientific Name : <i>Remelana jangala</i> 中文名稱:萊灰蝶
16	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Common Hedge Blue Scientific Name : <i>Acytolepis puspa</i> 中文名稱 : 鈕灰蝶
17	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Dark Cerulean Scientific Name : <i>Jamides bochus</i> 中文名稱:雅灰蝶



24	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Plains Cupid Scientific Name : <i>Chilades pandava</i> 中文名稱:曲紋紫灰蝶
25	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Purple Sapphire Scientific Name : <i>Heliophorus epicles</i> 中文名稱: 斜斑彩灰蝶
26	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Silver Streak Blue Scientific Name : <i>Iraota timoleon</i> 中文名稱 : 鐵木菜異灰蝶
27	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Slate Flash Scientific Name : <i>Rapala manea</i> 中文名稱:燕灰蝶
28	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Tailed Cupid Scientific Name : <i>Everes lactumus</i> 中文名稱:長尾藍灰蝶
29	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Transparent Six-Line Blue Scientific Name : <i>Nacaduba kurava</i> 中文名稱:古樓娜灰蝶

30	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Burmese Bush Blue Scientific Name : <i>Arhopala birmana</i> 中文名稱:緬甸嬈灰蝶
31	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Metallic Cerulean Scientific Name : <i>Jamides alecto</i> 中文名稱:素雅灰蝶
32	Family : Blues (Lycaenidae) 灰蝶科 Common Name : Gram Blue Scientific Name : <i>Euchrysops cnejus</i> 中文名稱:棕灰蝶
. 33	Family : Browns (Satyridae) 眼蝶科 Common Name : Banded Tree Brown Scientific Name : <i>Lethe confusa</i> 中文名稱:白帶黛眼蝶
34	Family : Browns (Satyridae) 眼蝶科 Common Name : Common Evening Brown Scientific Name : <i>Melanitis leda</i> 中文名稱:暮眼蝶
35	Family : Browns (Satyridae) 眼蝶科 Common Name : Common Five-ring Scientific Name : Ypthima baldus 中文名稱:矍眼蝶

36	Family : Browns (Satyridae) 眼蝶科 Common Name : Common Palmfly Scientific Name : <i>Elymnias hypermnestra</i> 中文名稱:翠袖鋸眼蝶
37	Family : Browns (Satyridae) 眼蝶科 Common Name : Dark-Brand Bush Brown Scientific Name : <i>Mycalesis mineus</i> 中文名稱:小眉眼蝶
38	Family : Browns (Satyridae) 眼蝶科 Common Name : Bamboo Treebrown Scientific Name : <i>Lethe europa</i> 中文名稱: 長紋黛眼蝶
39	Family : Browns (Satyridae) 眼蝶科 Common Name : South China Bush Brown Scientific Name : <i>Mycalesis zonata</i> 中文名稱:平頂眉眼蝶
40	Family : Crows & Tigers (Danaidae) 斑蝶科 Common Name : Blue-spotted Crow Scientific Name : <i>Euploea midamus</i> 中文名稱:藍點紫斑蝶
41	Family : Crows & Tigers (Danaidae) 斑蝶科 Common Name : Ceylon Blue Glassy Tiger Scientific Name : <i>Ideopsis similis</i> 中文名稱:擬旖斑蝶







60	Family : Nymphs (Nymphalidae)
	中文名稱: 金斑蛺蝶
61	Family : Nymphs (Nymphalidae) 蛺蝶科 Common Name : Gaudy Baron Scientific Name : <i>Euthalia lubentina</i> 中文名稱:紅斑翠蛺蝶
62	Family : Nymphs (Nymphalidae) 蛺蝶科 Common Name : Indian Red Admiral Scientific Name : <i>Vanessa indica</i> 中文名稱 : 大紅蛺蝶
63	Family : Nymphs (Nymphalidae) 蛺蝶科 Common Name : Great Egg-Fly Scientific Name : <i>Hypolimnas bolina</i> 中文名稱:幻紫斑蛺蝶
64	Family : Nymphs (Nymphalidae) 蛺蝶科 Common Name : Grey Pansy Scientific Name : <i>Junonia atlites</i> 中文名稱 : 波紋眼蛺蝶
65	Family : Nymphs (Nymphalidae) 蛺蝶科 Common Name : Indian Fritillary Scientific Name : <i>Argyreus hyperbius</i> 中文名稱: 斐豹蛺蝶

.













Family : Skipper (Hesperiidae) 弄蝶科 Common Name : Contiguous Swift Scientific Name : *Polytremis lubricans*

中文名稱:黃紋孔弄蝶

Appendix 2

(

Summary of birds recorded in the Survey Area and WRA between June 2019 to August 2019









•









Appendix 3

Summary of damselflies and dragonflies recorded in the Survey Area and WRA between June 2019 to August 2019

	Dragonfly	
		Family : Libellulidae 蜻 科 Common Name : Asian Amberwing Scientific Name : <i>Brachythemis contaminata</i> 中文名稱:黃翅蜻
2		Family : Libellulidae
3		Family : Libellulidae 蜻科 Common Name : Indigo Dropwing Scientific Name : <i>Trithemis festiva</i> 中文名稱:慶褐蜻
4		Family : Libellulidae 蜻科 Common Name : Mangrove Skimmer Scientific Name : Orthetrum poecilops 中文名稱 : 斑灰蜻 Remarks: IUCN Red List (Vulnerable)

Appendix 4

Summary of crabs recorded in the Survey Area and WRA between June 2019 to August 2019






(

Appendix 5

1

Family	Species name	Chinese Name	English Name
1 Ambassidae	Ambassis gymnocephalus	透明疏蘿	Bald glassy
2 Hemiramphidae	Hyporhamphus limbatus	水針	Congaturi halfbeak
3 Lutjanidae	Lutjanus argentimaculatus	紫紅笛鯛 (紅鮋)	Mangrove snapper
4 Lutjanidae	Lutjanus russellii	火點	Russell's snapper
5 Mugilidae	Planiliza affinis	鱭魚	Eastern keelback mullet
6 Scatophagidae	Scatophagus argus	金鼓魚	Spotted Scat
7 Siganidae	Siganus canaliculatus	泥鯭	Mottled spinefoot
8 Sparidae	Acanthopagrus latus	黃鰭棘鯛 (黃腳鱲)	Yellowfin seabream

Summary of fish recorded in the Survey Area and WRA between June 2019 to August 2019

在規劃時應考慮到西貢整體規劃,進行詳盡的交通可行 性評估及生態影響調查。西貢近年興建的豪宅出售出現 問題,區內的豪宅盤已飽和,沒必要再興建豪宅,更不能 解決香港的房屋問題。

433 陳嘉琳

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-8 ~ 52

0. 約. 林田花冊」以圖為因(1 政府、俄 密度住宅將:	黨或社區」地帶應保留作 進一步破壞西貢鄉郊面到	即净方开带着民民的就作 内的院生卫的人
全名	身份證頭 4個字母	聯絡	
Lam Ho Ching	中國子母	मजगमन	
Ma Chun Ming	ł		
NG SIN NA	ł		
Cheng yuen yi	t		
Leung yu pong	ł		停止建屋,正視交通擠塞問題
Hung wing see cecilia	ľ		
KY WAN			反對建屋
John E. Chu			
Ho Sze Wai Mabel			
Kwok Sze Chung Benjamir			
Yeung Wing Ying			H
邵智恒			
Lee Siu Kwan April			
Vielody Chan Sui Lin			反對摧毀香港後花園!
Deancika Lau			
Ho. Sze Long			
Sum Cho Yi			
/eronica Da Rosa			
UEN SHUK LING			
O Nim chi bernie			
au Nga Fong Carol			
U HON BILL			
au Tsz Wan			
lg Yin Sun			
ing Ho Fai			
lak Tsz Wub			
ung Chi Wah Stephen			
ui Shan Candy Chow			
ee Siu Tung			
enee shek			
gai Mei Chung			交通問題都要解決!
wan Chun Hang			
ung Sui Ling			
sang Tsz Yeung			
nek WingChi			
elvin cheung			
ong man chi			
o Mui Kwong nan Chun Wai			
ong ming			
a Rasborn			
JNG PO CHU			
k Kai Yin			
			待交通擠塞完全改善.方可大量建屋.
ENG YUEN YAN			反對再起樓, 南圍窩美交通情況十分嚴峻, 全日幾 車, 要等半小時以上先上到車, 試過>1小時
an Pui Hang			「シューリーシューン」とシュージー

1

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-53 ~ 105

8	3 Ko Kam Ho
8	LO SIU KEI
S	ら 徐頌欣
	LAU CHING YEE
	1 wong mei sze
	tsang yuk lung
	CHUNG LAI HING
	0 lee kam ting
	Leung Siu Mei
	2. SHING Carrie Hoi Lam
	》 何偉航
	년 Tsang yick tat 두 Hui Ming yan
G	
	Shek Kwo Fung
٩	
(0)	
10	
	2 Chung Chui Shan
	Leung Yat Hei Dorcas
	د Kong Fung Ying
(05	謝妙儀
105	
101	
10	
1.39	Joyce Shek
110	陳家展
[; }	Kelsey Lau
17	Lo Chi Shing Joseph
113	Ho Yu Fan
114	
115	Hi Yuk Ming
1: 5	Lam King Tong
[7]	宋慧玲
1:2	蔡欣盈 Choi Yan Ying Dap
1:2	陳佩 琼
120	Ma Siu Yan
121	YEUNG SIU MUI
122	Lai Hoi Tung Jovia
123	李信樂
124	Wong Ho Chun
125	Li Chun Ting
122	Ms yeung
(2]	
128	Tso Kwok Ming
128	Chan Siu To Kelvin
129 130	李家榮 Chan Han Kusa
	Chan Hon Kuen
131	Tse Ka Ho
132	Shing ka ki
137	Tam Cheuk Yi
134	Chan Yu Ching
135	Chan Hiu Wa

	×
Oppose to the rezoning	
祖林王圣在汉王 居王在王王王王王王王王	
現時西貢交通真係再容不下更多的新屋苑	
令人口稠密, 各樣配套包括醫療、教育、交通擠塞, 公 空間比例等等	·共
	共
	·共
	·共
	·共
反對鄉种團積土地	·共
	共
反對鄉种團積土地	共
反對鄉种團積土地	·共
反對鄉种團積土地	·共
反對鄉种團積土地	共
反對鄉种團積土地	共
反對鄉种團積土地	共
反對鄉种團積土地	·共

83 ~ 135

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-106~158

136	張家豪	7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
137	Tse Ngai tung	-	
138	Li Ce Art Cecilia	-	
139	Ma Ka Fai		
	Shek Chun Kei		
141	Cheung Kwan Nok	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
142	Wing Man LEE	-	
143	Li Ho Chun		
144	Tse Kin Wai		
141	Ruth Chan		反對綠化地帶 轉低密度住宅
146	Cheng ya Wei alice		
/47	Flora Lee		反對於西貢起豪宅!
148	Wong hok sau		
129	Lam Lai Ngor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
20	Daniel Lau		
151	Chow Oi ting lora		
152	Ho Chung Ming Suzie		
	Lam Cheuk Fai Arthur		
153	Yeung siu yan		
	Ng ming Ho		
125	Jane Wong		
	Jane Wong		我住蠔涌,條路擴闊仍好塞,你增加建設又增加負荷,西
157	Tse Wen Chee Anns		我在球油,味留预渴切好差,你增加建設又增加負荷,西 一 貢公路根本就冇可能承受
1.58	lp Ming Yin		
159	Wan Wing keung		
160	Sara Cheng		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
161.	Chan Kwok Fung Jackson		
162	Chan Ping Hoi Sam		
163	Mok Cheuk Wai		
164	Pau wing ka		
	Wong Wai Kin		反對興建230個住宅單位!
	Lau Ka Leong		
167	Chan Cyrus Ho Hong		
168	Pamela sin		
165	陳俊彦		
:70.	Helen Louise Norton		
171.	甄粲港		
172	Gregory Perilleux		
175	Tong Siu Lan Christina		
174	Tang Yuen mei		反對南圍及響鐘起豪宅規劃
175	Lai kit ting		
176	tong hok yin		反對改變規劃更多住宅區 請先把交通及社區問題改善
77	Wu Ka Yan		
572	鍾南智		
	Yau Kwok fung		交通日日塞!解決未?
180	Lian Jones		
131	Tong Hok lam		先完善周邊配套設施
	Yuen kam wah		
-	Mok kit tie		
184	Wong Kam man		反對南圍再建屋
	am Shing Wai Gordon		
	Ka Yan Christine Cheng		
-	Tong Chung Yee		反對南圍響鏈起樓
-	NG HOI YAN		
OU L		공간에 비가 부분들이 가지 않는 것 같아.	

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-159 \sim 209

189	
140	
(7)	LUK WAI LUN
14	KK Kwok
193	Miki ma
194	
195	
196	
	Fan Chi Yan
	Leung Tim Lung
199	
171 200	
201	chung shui sun
	Lee Kin Lung
302	Joe Lee
70Y	Michelle Wong
202	
206	Katie chan
307	Heung ka wai
208	Tam Kam Ngor
<u>_</u>	Tse wong
210	Law Po Fai
211	黃瑞敏
212	Yeung Ka Man
213	Li On Ki
214	Lui Chi Man
215	許瑩瑩
	LEUNG WAI YUNG
	Yip Shui Mei
	Karrie ho
	Yip Man Chi
	Alvin Cheung
240	Aivin Cheung
221	Victor chu
222	許小儉
2.23	Alex Broggi
	Kwok Wai Yin
	Lam Wing Mui Flora
	Betty Lee
i	LEE SIU WAH
	林玉群
229	李煒堅
	李晉偉
231	Bob Barrell
	Chow Wai Shan
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	曾子祺
- F	CHEUNG CHAK MAN
	wan ka hing
- r F	KI CHRISTINE
_ +	
	Chak wan chi
-	Chan wan nga
I	Ng Hiu Fung

·	
1	
+	
+	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
反對改建豪	
反到以建家	七!
1	
l 	
<u></u>	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	
反對私建豪	E
交通已經飽和 我係南圍居日 已經超過3年	え こうしょう そうしょう そうしょう しょう そうしょう そうしょう そうしょう そうしょう そうしょう しょうしょう えいしょう しょうしょう しょう
成日都在上,	居住, 熟悉附近巴士, 小巴既載客率 下班時間座唔到車
反對南圍及警	肾鐘 改劃起豪宅
	·····································
JE MALE	具 日 地 权 英 建 初 冶 内
- #1	
反對	
反對改變用途	
反對改變用途	
反對改變用途	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	·
_	
0	
uppose to rea	zone Nam Wai and Heung Chung

189~239

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-210 ~ 259

	建議保留綠色緩衝地帶,維護西貢的鄉郊特色。
	反對改劃增加住宅
	No more house
	We appose to the rezoning of the area near Nam Wai Village as it would cause lot of traffics congestion and
	as it's we are facing problems in getting bus seats from Hochung village n nam Wai so why add to the chaos
	in solvering vinage in hain war so why and to the chaos
	Pollution/ traffic
	Oppose the rezoning.
	西貢交通已經不勝負荷!
-	
-	
-	改建大型休憩遊樂場公園
· · ·	
_	

740	
24	Jerry Siu
14	1 Cheung Man Yee Leslie
24	3 Fung Ho Him Wilsa
24	
24	so po ling pauline
24	
24	
246	
24	
2.50	
25	
	2 Sean Barry
25	
3.51	
215	
12-2	no ruen wan
256	
-	rayonaiz iti akinwalla
257	
	Cecilia Yeung
229	
260	
	Tse Viola
261	張秀虹
202	Blair Dunton
267	
264	Wong chi kwong
Vi	
266	Chan Wai Yee
767	Stella Ho
263	NG SAI HO
269	Chan Yuk Kwan
270	TAM WAI KIU
271	Becky Ip
272	Tsui Lok
273	Yuen Chungsze
274	WAN SIU WING
275	Cheung Ming Tak
276	Lee Norman Chun kee
277	LI OI YU SOUL
278	Debra Chow
279	Chan Man Kwong
200	Koolem Chu
2.81	Kwok Wai Ting
	Luk Siu Ching
262	
	Yung po wan kat
-284	Chan wui yu
	Law ka wing
	Wong chi shing
	Chan Wai Hang
288	Nic Parker
289	Joey leung

(

290	霍漢橋
291	Tse Ho Ting
292	- 陳澤皓
293	Wong Yuet Yi
294	
295	Ho Pui Shan
296	Kit Ho
297	Chan Sing Yu
298	PP YEE LILIAN WAN
299	Osawa Makiko
300	HoYin Wan
301	LAI CHUN HONG
302	Kwok yee lee
303	KAKARLA krishna kumar
2,54	Robert James Lewington
505	Vincent Wong, KiSun
314	Kwong wing kwan
307	Ma Wing Yan
3-8	石英雅
209	Amanda Cheng
310	Chow Shuk han
311	Sara Fong
312	Isabella Tam
313	Shek Shui Ping Kitty
314	王志業
315	Chan Cheuk Yiu
316	Chan cho man
317	Gaspar Cecilia
318	
319	So Kar Lok Gabriel
320	Chan Ka Wai
321	FUNG LAI MING
322	Cheung Yuen Yee Tracy
323	Mak Hei Man
3.24	Ng Yuk Choi
326	Lam Yik Oi
	Po hon sum
327 328	Sum Cho Yi
220	James Parsons
329	Elisa Tsang
33-	Chan Wai Kai
53.1	Cheung Kam Mui
	Tong po lin
· ·	Ryan Ying
-	Annie hui
	Hui pui ling annie

.

# TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-260~305

保護	五世化作, 四周一日, 人际以下,
	香港生態!我們不是大自然的主人!
西貢	現時交通也負荷不了 新增住戶這會令到西貢的公 壓力增加
	B.//-B//A
+	
惡化	現時擠塞的交通
Shou recre	ld provide green area and open space for ational purpose instead of residential buildings.
Facili impro	tate should be add such as badminton court,
	<u> </u>
請保留	留原先規劃, 不要改建豪宅
西貢的當地現	9道路交通平日已超負荷,配套改善工程必然影響 環境及生態,影響原居民平靜生活權益。
	· · · ·
	、嶼山。假期設立禁區紙設定以控制人流
仿效大	、嶼山。假期設立禁區紙設定以控制人流 st the proposal
仿效大	
仿效大	
仿效大	
仿效大	
仿效大 Again:	st the proposal
仿效大 Again: It's too	st the proposal

290~335

	······	
		I am strongly against the proposed amendment items A, B, C and D and also disappointed with the Planning
		Department of HKSAR proposing to rezone those areas of Sai Kung. Sai kung has been known for its
		natural, untouched countryside scenery. If this
		proposal has been insanely granted, this back garden
		of Hong Kong will soon lose its signature. The overwhelming devastating effects to Sai Kung natural
	allan in the second	vicinity are surely irreversible if the planning goes
		ahead. Therefore, please stop and withdraw any plans
		of rezoning the land-use areas at the above location. Any put forward planning of rezoning the land-use in
		sai kung will be acted against my will as a local
336	Leung ka sai	community resident as well as a public member of this consultation. Regards,
33	Tsang Yik Yu	
		反對於該地段興建230個住宅單位,因會令西貢其他配套
330	Tang Chi Ying	
	Hugh Chiverton	於減低香港一般市民舒緩住房問題. 實在全無必要.
340		
341		
5-1	indig ondi ree	
-		現在西貢交通已處於飽和狀態,如再改劃土地用途為住 宅,交通濟塞情況只會越來越嚴重。
342	Cheung Wai Ling	西貢居民的日常生活,絕對被影響!
344	Shiny Wing Yan	
345	Ng Yin Ping	
346	Cheung Kwai fan	
30]	何嘉碧	交通擠塞已經非常嚴重!
348	Law Wing Tung	
14.2	Marina Wang	
)¥0 151	Lam Wing Tung Rainbow	
352	Mak Wai Tung Lori	
323	Cheng Chok Kong Luke Chan Shu Leung	
	Sze Ching Ling	
	Jean Yu	
34	Chow Lai Yee	保護香港後花園
333	沈静南	
378	yeung shung sang	反對
327	LeeTszChung	
360	Loong kwong shing	
30	Louis Broggi	
	Hugo Broggi	
	Lo Kar Lai	
	Stephan Ortmann	
	Li Chi Keung	
366	Ki Hoi Hung	
367	Yuen Nga Lam	
368	Lui Wing Yee	
	Lo Shuk May	The gov. should deal with the traffic problem first
370	Lai kam hei	
	shing kwok nung	
· •	Ho Lai Ha	
h	TAM CHEUK HUNG	
F	Ma Yuk Lin	
375	Chau Hung	

(

# **TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-345** ~ 389

37	Tam Suk Kuen Grace	T	<u> </u>
37		-+	H
			4
72 72			
		-	
	Amanda Pang		
	1 Choi Chi Kit		反對破壞
	2 young lai yin	<u>_</u>	
38			
35		4	
38			
38		4	
35		_	
285	Fuh chui fan	_	
			反對改劃 中包括改
30	Emma Lo		府、機構或環境,加重
	Leung Sze Ngan	+	環境,加重
391		+	
392		+	
393		+	請全面檢言
>1>	Joanne Yu	+	1
			西貢係香港破壞綠化常
394			及空氣污染
395			西貢萬萬不
396		· .	
397			
348	So man wai	1	每日返工放
- 20		<u>}</u>	1
404		-	
	Joyce Chan Yuk Yu		
401	Chan Yuk Sim		Already ve
	Tse Cheuk Yu	+	build privat
	LEUNG PAK YUE	+	起樓在棕地
404		+	
404 405	chow Chung yiu Christoph	<u> </u>	
209	chon chung ylu chinstoph	1. † .	
			Stop burde the livelihoo
	Ashley Pang	<u>l</u> .	unplanned
4.7	April Wong	-	
-08	Joshua Nan		
			西貢交通日
17			現水浸,唯
409	Chung wong ting		行人及車輛
410	Mak Wai Man	-	
4p	Chung Wing Yi		
412	Chan Ka Wang		西貢生態價
413	Cheuk Ming Kei	-	
4(4	Tse Wai Chun		
μış	Yau Lai Hung		
		-	review and
416	Chan Fuk Hong Thomas	-	increase the
41]	Ching Lam Cheuk		
410	察慧瑩	- -	
1	Chiu Christy Sze Chai		
420	Li Wing Kar		

反對破壞綠化土地	
	-
	-
反對改劃西貢南圍村及響鏈村附近一帶的土地用途,當 中包括改劃一幅位於南圍的綠化用地和響鐘的一幅「政府、機構或社區」地帶改劃為「住宅」地帶,只會破壞生態 環境,加重交通負荷。	
請全面檢討丁權及丁屋政策	
西貢係香港人後花園,反對再係西貢興建公共房屋,不1 破壞綠化帶,降低樹林覆蓋率,也帶來更嚴重嘅交通堵 及空氣污染的問題!	單塞
西貢萬萬不應修訂	
每日返工放工都係惡夢,司長你自己黎試吓丫,頂	1
	-
	1
Already very crowded and disagree use the land to build private properties.	
起樓在棕地	
Stop burdening the beautiful nature of Sai Kung and the livelihood of Sai Kung residents with all the unplanned and inconsiderated construction.	
西貢交通日益擠塞,南圍屬海邊地段,遇上雨季經常出 現水浸,唯一對外行車/行人村路亦波及,連電壓站及網 絡亦曾飛疫; 若再加建數百幢房屋, 基本如電網、渠務、 行人及車輛進出亦成問題。	
西貢生態價值豐富 有很大的保育價值	
	1
	1
	1
review and improve the transport service better than increase the no. of house.	
	_

i.	) 归东体
42	1 温寶愉
4.	
41	2 Steven Molyneux-Webb
	3 Cherries Kwok ५ Yip hon
	Cheng Sin Ting
42	Candice Wai Man To
	Yue Chi Pang
42	
420	
430	
431	
432	
434	
435	
436	
437	
438	Leung ching yin
439 440	Leung Ho ying
444	Leung kin kwong Lam yun wah
442	
443	Lee Lai Yan
que	
145	
446	
447	Jor Chi Keung
448	Leung Hoi leung
449	Boman Ho
450	Stefan kirschner
451	Kong Yee Lok
452	
453	so man wai
454	Kong Wing Yi
455	Cheryl Lau
	Christin Pennacchini
45]	Leung Hong ken
458	Hui Kwong Shun
	方子華
	Ellen Fong
	Chan Yiu Chor Andrew
	Wong Ka Man
	Janice Chu
464	Ricky Suen

C

# **TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-421** ~ 432

In addition to the above, piecemeal rezoning to provide accommodation goes against both the government's accommodation goes against both the government's efforts towards central development master-planning and efficiency (and is not in line with policies such as the Lantau Tomorrow vision or 2030+ plans) and against similarly long term decarbonisation strategies being formed (and comprising a legal obligation on the government) which require lower carbon footprint living. New housing in areas that are only accessible by road will achieve the opposite of both goals. 大塞車呀 反對任何破壞香港郊野行為 極反感 car parking prices have increased from 700 to 1500 hkd per month as there is no space! more houses =more cars =even more issues 反對

421~464

# **TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-433** ~ 478

465	Yuen CHI Wai Henry	
	Ricky Suen	
460	Mok Tsz Kin	
45	Queenie Ng	
468		
469	······	
470		
471		
-		
472	Chris Chan	
473	Iris Chu	
47-	shek wai chun	
475	KM Wong	-
476	Lee Shui Ming	Ì
477	Wan lok man	
478	Karin Chai	
419	Yip wai lun	
480		
481		
482		
483		I
454		
485		l
486	~	ł
427		ł
488		ł
489	Tang YY Carlos	ł
490	Tang Yiu Ying	ŀ
		ŀ
491	Chan wing sze	
492	Miao Yali	-
493	Chan man bun	
494	Lam Chi Lung	
495	Kwok Man Ka	
496	羅景文	
497	Jess Wong	
498	Fu Heung Leung Betty	
4.99	Dorothy Kai	
500	Joseph Chan	
501	丘洛翹	
502	Tracy Fung	
503	CHU KENG HONG	
504	Nikita Lee	
	Lai Kin Wah Agnes	
505	Laritin Wall Agres	
,		
506	Leung Hin Yan	
507	Tam Suk Han	
508	Cheung On Man	
今日	Wong Man Kit	
510	Calvin Law	
~~~ <b>.</b>		

1.00	2對
Ι	
伢	留西貢鄉郊風貌, 實踐居民參與規劃
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	
di	ore pollution & cause damage to the green which stroy the main local sign of Sai Kung. 市規劃應該優先採用棕地及廣租高爾夫球場用地, 彡
再	增加西貢區內交通負擔!
斦	你老母啦林鄭,生癌過日子啦
反	對起住宅
	· · · ·
劇等	保護香港僅有的郊野用地, 溫室氣體全球暖代問題加 。二.提議政府處理圍村非法霸佔土地, 著手處理元朗 地區圍村問題。
但	密度住宅未見可實際解決注屋問題
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
жi	貢公眾設施根本沒有跟人口增長有任何改善
	夏五秋設爬很少沒有成人口增长有任何以善 衰濕地及鳥類棲息地
WX -	<u>权</u> 温地汉局
-	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
affe of I exc D's	a Hang Hau resident, this rezoning do definitely act us as there is alreadly, and also gonna be a lot houses along Clear Water Bay Road, which weeded the capacity of the road. While Tseung Kwa residents are rising drastically, it's inappropriate to Id more houses and to further burden this area.
affe of I exc D's	ect us as there is alreadly, and also gonna be a lot nouses along Clear Water Bay Road, which eeded the capacity of the road. While Tseung Kwa residents are rising drastically, it's inappropriate to
affe of I exc D's	ect us as there is alreadly, and also gonna be a lot nouses along Clear Water Bay Road, which eeded the capacity of the road. While Tseung Kwa residents are rising drastically, it's inappropriate to

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-479 ~ 484

511	Debra Chow
512	SUM KIT YEE
- 513	CHEUNG YUEN TUNG
514	
515	Chan Cheong Sang
516	Cheng mei kiu

<u>(</u>

Build elder ho	ome instead	

tpbpd

寄件者: 寄件日期: 收件者: 主旨:

Anna Tse 19日08月2019年星期一 14:57 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖 編號 S / S K - H H / 6 的 修 訂 項 目

致規劃署署長李啟榮先生及城市規劃委員會委員:

回: 白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號S/SK-HH/6的修訂項目

我知悉政府有意改劃西貢南圍村及響鐘村附近一帶的土地用途,當中包括改劃一幅位於南圍的綠化用地和響鐘的 一幅「政府、機構或社區」地帶改劃為「住宅」地帶。基於以下原因,我反對修訂項目 A、B、C、D,原因如下

1.改劃後將興建230個住宅單位,惡化現時擠塞的交通,嚴重影響目前西貢居民及居民日常生活;
2. 西貢社區設施嚴重不足,「政府、機構或社區」地帶應保留作興建有益西貢居民的設施,例如醫療及院舍;
3. 將「緣化地帶」改劃為低密度住宅將進一步破壞西貢鄉郊面貌,立下不良先例。

我要求規劃署審視是次改劃對西貢的整體影響,全面檢視西貢近郊的規劃狀況,防止自然景觀被進一步破壞。

Tse Wen Chee Anna

015

ï

城市規劃委員會:

有關白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 擬議修訂之意見書

項目A

西賀中心小學旁的用地是區內所餘無幾的「政府、機構或社區」用地, 我們反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)4」地帶。

西貢鄉郊社福設施匱乏,欠缺非牟利團體提供的長者設施和家庭服務中心,現時西貢鄉郊居民需前往將軍澳使用社福設施,但將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求。西貢公路、清水灣 道交通擠塞情況日益嚴峻及交通不便令居民難以跨區使用社福服務。

現時政府庫房充裕,不必急於將近路的「政府、機構或社區」土地出 售,應優先為六萬多位飽受交通問題困擾的西貢居民興建社區設施,不 應随便犧牲用作低密度住宅。日後前西貢中心小學將改作長者日間護理 中心,我們建議此用地可作興建支援設施或康健社區中心、室內羽毛球 場、籃球場、社區會堂等康體設施。財政預算案提出撥款 200 億購買私 人物業作社福設施,當中西貢區佔 8 項,我們認為政府應先善用區內已 有「政府、機構或社區」用地提供民生配套,再收購私人物業。

<u>項目C</u>

南圍村唯一的出入通道狹窄,御采河堤對面的綠化地如改劃作住宅 用地,勢必加劇南圍村口的交通樽頸問題,村口根本不能負荷額外數百 架的車流。另西頁有多個大型住宅項目正發展,不斷加重早已超負荷的 西貢公路、濟水灣道、影業路的交通負擔,塞車問題無日無之。

擬議的住宅地處偏僻,自御采河堤興建伊始,南圍河道已積聚大量 的砂石及垃圾,水質受污染。該處欠缺排污設施,建築廢料、垃圾及生 活污水恐進一步污染河道,影響周邊環境生態及白沙灣海水水質,更加 劇水浸災害。

另外,居民多年來在南圍村代表選舉中均有投票權,對於政府突然 指土地並非南圍村界範圍感到詫異,政府應召開居民大會向村民解釋。 此用地為南圍原居民的祖墳、祖屋所在,部分原居民家庭更是四代同堂, 扎根半世紀,家中更有有年邁的長輩,因健康原因不宜挪動,不願遷離 土生土長的環境。

基於以上原因,我們強烈反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)5」地帶。

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019年8月21日

20-AUG-2019 19:26

建議

4

Ł

將軍澳第 137 區填料庫有 80 公頃的「熟地」,可優先用作各類型的住宅發展,不必見縫插針。

其他意見:_____



填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日 香港北角渣華道 333 號 北角政府合署 15 楔 城市規劃委員會秘密處

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-487

;

城市規劃委員會:

有關白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 擬議修訂之意見書

<u>項目A</u>

西貢中心小學旁的用地是區內所餘無幾的「政府、機構或社區」用地,我們反 對把此用地改創作「住宅(丙類)4」地幣。

西資鄉郊社福設施匱乏,欠缺非牟利團體提供的長者設施和家庭服務中心, 現時西貢鄉郊居民幣前往將軍澳使用社福設施,但將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求,西貢公路、清水灣道交通擠塞情況日益嚴峻及 交通不便令居民難以跨區使用社福服務。

現時政府庫房充裕,不必急於將近路的「政府、機構或社區」土地出售,應優 先為六萬多位飽受交通問題困擾的西貢居民興建社區設施,不應隨便犧牲用作低 密度住宅。日後前西貢中心小學將改作長者日間護理中心,我們建議此用地可作 興建支援設施或康健社區中心、室內羽毛球場、籃球場、社區會堂等康隨設施。 財政預算案提出撥款 200 億購買私人物業作社福設施,當中西貢區佔 8 項,我們 認為政府應先善用區內已有「政府、機構或社區」用地提供民生配套,再收購私人 物業。

項目C

南圍御采河堤對面的綠化地如改劃作住宅用地,除加劇南圍村口的交通樽頸 問題,西貢現時的道路網絡根本不能負荷額外的車流。另擬識的住宅地處偏僻, 欠缺排污設施, 建築廢料、垃圾及生活污水恐進一步污染河道, 影響周邊環境生 態及海水水質。基於以上原因, 我們反對把此用地改創作「住宅(丙類)5」地帶。

建識

將軍澳第 137 區填料庫有 80 公顷的「熟地」,可優先用作各類型的住宅發展,不必見縫插針。

其他意見:

	匡湖居紫主立家法四		新界西貢公路 380 號匡湖居
姓名:	法副主席 方啟明	地址:	匡湖居業主立案法團辦子 Wird Owners or
電話:	2358 0106	资名:	うてい 一日本 二日本 二日本 二日本 二日本 二日本 二日本 二日本 二日本 二日本 二
			* 210-2

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期:2019年8月21日

21-AUG-2019 16:30

.

D 004

: -

城市規劃委員會:

有關白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 擬議修訂之意見書

項目A

20-08-19;19:21 ;

ز

西貢中心小學旁的用地是區內所餘無幾的「政府、機構或社區」用地, 我們反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)4」地帶。

西貢鄉郊社福設施匱乏,欠缺非牟利團體提供的長者設施和家庭服務中心,現時西貢鄉郊居民需前往將軍澳使用社福設施,但將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求。西貢公路、清水灣 道交通擠塞情況日益嚴峻及交通不便令居民難以跨區使用社福服務。

現時政府庫房充裕,不必急於將近路的「政府、機構或社區」土地出售,應優先為六萬多位飽受交通問題困擾的西貢居民興建社區設施,不 應随便犧牲用作低密度住宅。日後前西貢中心小學將改作長者日間護理 中心,我們建議此用地可作興建支援設施或康健社區中心、室內羽毛球 場、籃球場、社區會堂等康體設施。財政預算案提出撥款 200 億購買私 人物業作社福設施,當中西貢區佔 8 項,我們認為政府應先善用區內已 有「政府、機構或社區」用地提供民生配套,再收購私人物業。

項目C

南圍村唯一的出入通道狹窄,御采河堤對面的綠化地如改劃作住宅用地,勢必加劇南圍村口的交通樽頸問題,村口根本不能負荷額外數百架的車流。另西貢有多個大型住宅項目正發展,不斷加重早已超負荷的西貢公路、清水灣道、影業路的交通負擔,塞車問題無日無之。

擬識的住宅地處偏僻,自御采河堤興建伊始,南圍河道已積聚大量 的砂石及垃圾,水質受污染。該處欠缺排污設施,建築廢料、垃圾及生 活污水恐進一步污染河道,影響周邊環境生態及白沙灣海水水質,更加 劇水浸災害。

另外,居民多年來在南圍村代表選舉中均有投票權,對於政府突然 指土地並非南圍村界範圍感到詫異,政府應召開居民大會向村民解釋。 此用地為南圍原居民的祖墳、祖屋所在,部分原居民家庭更是四代同堂, 扎根半世紀,家中更有有年邁的長輩,因健康原因不宜挪動,不願遷離 土生土長的環境。

基於以上原因,我們強烈反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)5」地 帶。

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

020

D 004

•

ې

建議

將軍澳第 137 區填料庫有 80 公頃的「熟地」,可優先用作各類型的住宅發展,不必見縫插針。

;



填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日 5

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-489

ì

城市規劃委員會:

有關白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 擬議修訂之意見書

項目A

西貢中心小學旁的用地是區內所餘無幾的「政府、機構或社區」用地, 我們反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)4」地帶。

西貢鄉郊社福設施匮乏,欠缺非牟利團體提供的長者設施和家庭服務中心,現時西貢鄉郊居民需前往將軍澳使用社福設施,但將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求。西貢公路、清水灣道交通擠塞情況日益嚴峻及交通不便令居民難以跨區使用社福服務。

現時政府庫房充裕,不必急於將近路的「政府、機構或社區」土地出售,應優先為六萬多位飽受交通問題困擾的西貢居民興建社區設施,不 應隨便犧牲用作低密度住宅。日後前西貢中心小學將改作長者日間護理 中心,我們建議此用地可作興建支援設施或康健社區中心、室內羽毛球 場、籃球場、社區會堂等康體設施。財政預算案提出撥款 200 億購買私 人物業作社福設施,當中西貢區佔 8 項,我們認為政府應先善用區內已 有「政府、機構或社區」用地提供民生配套,再收購私人物業。

項目C

南圍村唯一的出人通道狹窄,御采河堤對面的綠化地如改劃作住宅 用地,勢必加劇南圍村口的交通樽頸問題,村口根本不能負荷額外數百 架的車流。另西貢有多個大型住宅項目正發展,不斷加重早已超負荷的 西貢公路、清水灣道、影業路的交通負擔,塞車問題無日無之。

擬議的住宅地處偏僻,自御采河堤興建伊始,南圍河道已積聚大量 的砂石及垃圾,水質受污染。該處欠缺排污設施,建築廢料、垃圾及生 活污水恐進一步污染河道,影響周邊環境生態及白沙灣海水水質,更加 劇水浸災害。

另外,居民多年來在南圍村代表選舉中均有投票權,對於政府突然 指土地並非南圍村界範圍感到詫異,政府應召開居民大會向村民解釋。 此用地為南圍原居民的祖墳、祖屋所在,部分原居民家庭更是四代同堂, 扎根半世紀,家中更有有年邁的長輩,因健康原因不宜挪動,不願遷離 土生土長的環境。

基於以上原因,我們強烈反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)5」地帶。

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

(

ز

建議

將軍澳第 137 區填料庫有 80 公頃的「熟地」,可優先用作各類型的住宅發展,不必見縫插針。

其他意見:				
姓名: 楊永康、 電話:	地址: 簽名:	橋永	康.	1
HKID:				

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

~~..

- - -

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-490

城市規劃委員會:

有關白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 擬議修訂之意見書

項目A

西貢中心小學旁的用地是區內所餘無幾的「政府、機構或社區」用地, 我們反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)4」地帶。

西貢鄉郊社福設施匱乏,欠缺非牟利團體提供的長者設施和家庭服務中心,現時西貢鄉郊居民需前往將軍澳使用社福設施,但將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求。西貢公路、清水灣道交通擠塞情況日益嚴峻及交通不便令居民難以跨區使用社福服務。

現時政府庫房充裕,不必急於將近路的「政府、機構或社區」土地出 售,應優先為六萬多位飽受交通問題困擾的西貢居民興建社區設施,不 應隨便犧牲用作低密度住宅。日後前西貢中心小學將改作長者日間證理 中心,我們建議此用地可作興建支援設施或康健社區中心、室內羽毛球 場、籃球場、社區會堂等康體設施。財政預算案提出撥款 200 億購買私 人物業作社福設施,當中西貢區佔 8 項,我們認為政府應先善用區內已 有「政府、機構或社區」用地提供民生配套,再收購私人物業。

項目C

南園村唯一的出入通道狹窄,御采河堤對面的綠化地如改劃作住宅 用地,勢必加劇南圍村口的交通樽頸問題,村口根本不能負荷額外數百 架的庫流。另西貢有多個大型住宅項目正發展,不斷加重早已超負荷的 西貢公路、清水灣道、影業路的交通負擔,塞車問題無日無之。

擬識的住宅地處偏僻,自御采河堤興建伊始,南圍河道已積聚大量的砂石及垃圾,水質受污染。該處欠缺排污設施,建築廢料、垃圾及生活污水恐進一步污染河道,影響周邊環境生態及白沙灣海水水質,更加劇水浸災害。

另外,居民多年來在南圍村代表選舉中均有投票權,對於政府突然 指土地並非南圍村界範圍感到詫異,政府應召開居民大會向村民解釋。 此用地為南圍原居民的祖墳、祖屋所在,部分原居民家庭更是四代同堂, 扎根半世紀,家中更有有年邁的長輩,因健康原因不宜挪動,不願遷離 土生土長的環境。

基於以上原因,我們強烈反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)5」地帶。

填妥意見審後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

;

建議

將軍澳第 137 區填料庫有 80 公頃的「熟地」,可優先用作各類型的住宅發展,不必見縫插針。

其他意見	:					
姓名: 電話:	陸	专贞	地址: 簽名:		2	
身份證:						

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期:2019 年 8 月 21 日 ,1

城市規劃委員會:

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-491

有關白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 擬議修訂之意見書

項目A

西貢中心小學旁的用地是區內所餘無幾的「政府、機構或社區」用地, 我們反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)4」地帶。

西貢鄉郊社福設施匮乏,欠缺非牟利團體提供的長者設施和家庭服務中心,現時西貢鄉郊居民需前往將軍澳使用社福設施,但將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求。西貢公路、清水灣 道交通擠塞情況日益嚴峻及交通不便令居民難以跨區使用社福服務。

現時政府庫房充裕,不必急於將近路的「政府、機構或社區」土地出 售,應優先為六萬多位飽受交通問題困擾的西貢居民興建社區設施,不 應隨便犧牲用作低密度住宅。日後前西貢中心小學將改作長者日間護理 中心,我們建議此用地可作興建支援設施或康健社區中心、室内羽毛球 場、籃球場、社區會堂等康體設施。財政預算案提出撥款 200 億購買私 人物業作社福設施,當中西貢區佔 8 項,我們認為政府應先善用區內已 有「政府、機構或社區」用地提供民生配套,再收購私人物業。

項目C

南圍村唯一的出入通道狹窄,御采河堤對面的緣化地如改劃作住宅 用地,勢必加劇南圍村口的交通樽頸問題,村口根本不能負荷額外數百 架的車流。另西貢有多個大型住宅項目正發展,不斷加重早已超負荷的 西貢公路、清水灣道、影業路的交通負擔,塞車問題無日無之。

擬議的住宅地處偏僻,自御采河堤興建伊始,南圍河道已積聚大量 的砂石及垃圾,水質受污染。該處欠缺排污設施,建築廢料、垃圾及生 活污水恐進一步污染河道,影響周邊環境生態及白沙灣海水水質,更加 劇水浸災害。

另外,居民多年來在南圍村代表選舉中均有投票權,對於政府突然 指土地並非南圍村界範圍感到詫異,政府應召開居民大會向村民解釋。 此用地為南圍原居民的祖墳、祖屋所在,部分原居民家庭更是四代同堂, 扎根半世紀,家中更有有年邁的長輩,因健康原因不宜挪動,不願遷雖 土生土長的環境。

基於以上原因,我們強烈反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)5」地帶。

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

20-AUG-2019 19:26

P 007

r

建議

.

將軍澳第 137 區填料庫有 80 公頃的「熟地」,可優先用作各類型的住宅發展,不必見縫插針。

. ;

其他意見:	
姓名: Joo Wate Fi	地址:
電話:	簽名: Catedal 300
HKD:	

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處,截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-492

;

城市規劃委員會:

有關白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 擬議修訂之意見書

<u>項目A</u>

西貢中心小學旁的用地是區內所餘無幾的「政府、機構或社區」用地, 我們反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)4」地帶。

西貢鄉郊社福設施匮乏,欠缺非牟利團體提供的長者設施和家庭服務中心,現時西貢鄉郊居民需前往將軍澳使用社福設施,但將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求。西貢公路、清水灣 道交通擠塞情況日益嚴峻及交通不便令居民難以跨區使用社福服務。

現時政府庫房充裕,不必急於將近路的「政府、機構或社區」土地出 售,應優先為六萬多位飽受交通問題困擾的西貢居民興建社區設施,不 應随便犧牲用作低密度住宅。日後前西貢中心小學將改作長者日間護理 中心,我們建議此用地可作興建支援設施或康健社區中心、室內羽毛球 場、篮球場、社區會堂等康體設施。財政預算案提出撥款 200 億購買私 人物業作社福設施,當中西貢區佔 8 項,我們認為政府應先善用區內已 有「政府、機構或社區」用地提供民生配套,再收購私人物業。

項目C

南圍村唯一的出入通道狹窄,御采河堤對面的綠化地如改劃作住宅 用地,勞必加劇南圍村口的交通樽頸問題,村口根本不能負荷額外數百 架的車流。另西貢有多個大型住宅項目正發展,不斷加重早已超負荷的 西貢公路、清水灣道、影業路的交通負擔,塞車問題無日無之。

擬議的住宅地處偏僻,自御采河堤興建伊始,南圍河道已積聚大量 的砂石及垃圾,水質受污染。該處欠缺排污設施,建築廢料、垃圾及生 活污水恐進一步污染河道,影響周邊環境生態及白沙灣海水水質,更加 劇水浸災害。

另外,居民多年來在南圍村代表選舉中均有投票權,對於政府突然 指土地並非南圍村界範圍感到詫異,政府應召開居民大會向村民解釋。 此用地為南圍原居民的祖墳、祖屋所在,部分原居民家庭更是四代同堂, 扎根半世紀,家中更有有年邁的長輩,因健康原因不宜挪動,不願遷離 土生土長的環境。

基於以上原因,我們強烈反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)5」地帶。

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

20-AUG-2019 19:26

96%

;

建議

將軍澳第 137 區填料庫有 80 公頃的「熟地」,可優先用作各類型的住宅發展,不必見縫插針。

其他意見:			
姓名: Cheng Dic	hy 地址:		
電話:	簽名:	Cherry	
身份證:		/	

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-493

城市規劃委員會:

有關白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 擬議修訂之意見書

項目A

西貢中心小學旁的用地是區內所餘無幾的「政府、機構或社區」用地, 我們反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)4」地帶。

西貢鄉郊社福設施匮乏,欠缺非牟利團體提供的長者設施和家庭服務中心,現時西貢鄉郊居民需前往將軍澳使用社福設施,但將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求。西貢公路、清水灣 道交通擠塞情況日益嚴峻及交通不便令居民難以跨區使用社福服務。

現時政府庫房充裕,不必急於將近路的「政府、機構或社區」土地出 售,應優先為六萬多位飽受交通問題困擾的西質居民興建社區設施,不 應隨便犧牲用作低密度住宅。日後前西頁中心小學將改作長者日間護理 中心,我們建議此用地可作興建支援設施或康健社區中心、室內羽毛球 場、籃球場、社區會堂等康體設施。財政預算案提出撥款 200 億購買私 人物業作社福設施,當中西貢區佔 8 項,我們認為政府應先善用區內已 有「政府、機構或社區」用地提供民生配套,再收購私人物業。

<u>項目C</u>

南圖村唯一的出入通道狹窄, 御采河堤對面的綠化地如改劃作住宅 用地,勢必加劇南圖村口的交通樽頸問題, 村口根本不能負荷額外數百 架的車流。另西貢有多個大型住宅項目正發展, 不斷加重早已超負荷的 西貢公路、清水灣道、影業路的交通負擔, 塞車問題無日無之。

擬議的住宅地處偏僻,自御采河堤興建伊始,南圍河道已積聚大量 的砂石及垃圾,水質受污染。該處欠缺排污設施,建築廢料、垃圾及生 活污水恐進一步污染河道,影響周邊環境生態及白沙灣海水水質,更加 劇水浸災害。

另外,居民多年來在南圍村代表選舉中均有投票權,對於政府突然 指土地並非南圍村界範圍感到詫異,政府應召開居民大會向村民解釋。 此用地為南圍原居民的祖墳、祖屋所在,部分原居民家庭更是四代同堂, 扎根半世紀,家中更有有年邁的長輩,因健康原因不宜挪動,不願遷離 土生土長的環境。

基於以上原因,我們強烈反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)5」地帶。

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

P.001

建議

將軍澳第 137 區填料庫有 80 公頃的「熟地」,可優先用作各類型的住宅發展,不必見縫插針。

其他意見: 地址: 姓名: 電話: 簽名: 身份證:

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處,截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

ì

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-494

ì

城市規劃委員會:

有關白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 擬議修訂之意見書

項目A

西貢中心小學旁的用地是區內所餘無幾的「政府、機構或社區」用地, 我們反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)4」地帶。

西貢鄉郊社福設施匱乏,欠缺非牟利團體提供的長者設施和家庭服務中心,現時西貢鄉郊居民需前往將軍澳使用社福設施,但將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求。西貢公路、清水灣 道交通擠塞情況日益嚴峻及交通不便令居民難以跨區使用社福服務。

現時政府庫房充裕,不必急於將近路的「政府、機構或社區」土地出售,應優先為六萬多位飽受交通問題困擾的西貢居民興建社區設施,不 應隨便犧牲用作低密度住宅。日後前西貢中心小學將改作長者日間護理 中心,我們建議此用地可作興建支援設施或康健社區中心、室內羽毛球 場、籃球場、社區會堂等康體設施。財政預算案提出撥款 200 億購買私 人物業作社福設施,當中西貢區佔 8 項,我們認為政府應先善用區內已 有「政府、機構或社區」用地提供民生配套,再收購私人物業。

項目C

南園村唯一的出入通道狹窄,御采河堤對面的緣化地如改劃作住宅 用地,勢必加劇南圍村口的交通樽頸問題,村口根本不能負荷額外數百 架的車流。另西貢有多個大型住宅項目正發展,不斷加重早已超負荷的 西貢公路、清水灣道、影業路的交通負擔,塞車問題無日無之。

擬議的住宅地處偏僻,自御采河堤興建伊始,南圍河道已積聚大量 的砂石及垃圾,水質受污染。該處欠缺排污設施,建築廢料、垃圾及生 活污水恐進一步污染河道,影響周邊環境生態及白沙灣海水水質,更加 劇水浸災害。

另外,居民多年來在南國村代表選舉中均有投票權,對於政府突然 指土地並非南國村界範圍感到詫異,政府應召開居民大會向村民解釋。 此用地為南圍原居民的祖墳、祖屋所在,部分原居民家庭更是四代同堂, 扎根半世紀,家中更有有年邁的長輩,因健康原因不宜挪動,不願遷離 土生土長的環境。

基於以上原因,我們強烈反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)5」地帶。

填妥意見 書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國 挪議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

;

建議

將軍澳第 137 區填料庫有 80 公頃的「熟地」,可優先用作各類型的住宅發展,不必見縫插針。

其他意見:		
姓名: LAU TSZ KWAN	地址:	
電話:	簽名:	
身份證:	_	

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期:2019年8月21日 城市規劃委員會:

有關白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 擬議修訂之意見書

項目A

西貢中心小學旁的用地是區內所餘無幾的「政府、機構或社區」用地, 我們反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)4」地帶。

西貢鄉郊社福設施匱乏,欠缺非牟利團體提供的長者設施和家庭服務中心,現時西貢鄉郊居民需前往將軍澳使用社福設施,但將軍澳的長者設施早已超出負荷,不足以支援西貢鄉郊的需求。西貢公路、清水灣道交通擠塞情況日益嚴峻及交通不便令居民難以跨區使用社福服務。

現時政府庫房充裕,不必急於將近路的「政府、機構或社區」土地出售,應優先為六萬多位飽受交通問題困擾的西貢居民興建社區設施,不 應隨便犧牲用作低密度住宅。日後前西貢中心小學將改作長者日間護理 中心,我們建議此用地可作興建支援設施或康健社區中心、室內羽毛球 場、籃球場、社區會堂等康體設施。財政預算案提出撥款 200 億購買私 人物業作社福設施,當中西貢區佔 8 項,我們認為政府應先善用區內已 有「政府、機構或社區」用地提供民生配套,再收購私人物業。

項目C

南圍村唯一的出入通道狹窄,御采河堤對面的緣化地如改劃作住宅 用地,勢必加劇南圍村口的交通樽頸問題,村口根本不能負荷額外數百 架的車流。另西貢有多個大型住宅項目正發展,不斷加重早已超負荷的 西貢公路、清水灣道、影業路的交通負擔,塞車問題無日無之。

擬議的住宅地處偏僻,自御采河堤興建伊始,南圍河道已積聚大量的砂石及垃圾,水質受污染。該處欠缺排污設施,建築廢料、垃圾及生活污水恐進一步污染河道,影響周邊環境生態及白沙灣海水水質,更加劇水浸災害。

另外,居民多年來在南圍村代表選舉中均有投票權,對於政府突然 指土地並非南圍村界範圍感到詫異,政府應召開居民大會向村民解釋。 此用地為南圍原居民的祖墳、祖屋所在,部分原居民家庭更是四代同堂, 扎根半世紀,家中更有有年邁的長輩,因健康原因不宜挪動,不願遷離 土生土長的環境。

基於以上原因,我們強烈反對把此用地改劃作「住宅(丙類)5」地帶。

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

建議

. .

將軍澳第 137 區填料庫有 80 公頃的「熟地」,可優先用作各類型的住宅發展,不必見縫插針。

其他意見:				
姓名: 黄仁	习腎	地址:		
電話:		簽名:	In ST	
		-		
身份證:		_		

填妥意見書後,可於限期前透過 WhatsApp 6750-2122 交回方國珊議員辦事處, 截止日期: 2019 年 8 月 21 日

. 2 4.

602 "

寄件者:	anita hk
寄件日期:	22日07月2019年星期一 13:10
收件者:	tpbpd@pland.gov.hk
主旨:	西貢居民反對西貢南圍響鐘建大量豪宅 《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號S/SK-HH/6》
附件:	楊學良 405屋 牌照費 S7477 1971.jpg; 楊學良 405 牌照費RAGLL S7477.jpg; 楊學良差餉2019.jpg; 西貢
	小巴經營者反對建豪宅簽名表.pdf; 西貢小巴司機反對建豪宅簽名表.pdf; 西貢居民反對建豪宅信.pdf; 西
	貢居民反對建豪宅簽名表 1.pdf; 西貢居民反對建豪宅簽名表 2.pdf; 西貢居民反對建豪宅簽名表 3.pdf; 西
	貢居民反對建豪宅簽名表 4.pdf; 南圍居民反對建豪宅信.pdf; 西貢南圍居民反對建豪宅簽名表.pdf

致

tpbpd

城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬議修訂項目

即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地庫車場

現呈上反對信及簽名表如下:

	信件	簽名人數
西貢專線小巴經營者	1	9 /
西貢專線小巴司機及從業員	1	60
西貢居民	1	2,666
西貢南圍居民		368
西貢南圍村民詳細反對理由	1	

簽名總數

3,103

聯絡人:成元嬌小姐

致

城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:
西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬議修訂項目

即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地庫車場

我等乃南圍村居民,大部分為原居民,世代居於南圍村。部分由别處遷移 至南圍村,亦當南圍村是我們的家。我們近期得知以上所述的修訂項目, 現明確及强烈對其抗議及反對,原因如下:

(1) 南圍村村民已使用涉及土地逾百年

所涉及的土地原屬南圍村範圍,本已有數户居住在其中約七、八十年(現 居於有關地段的村民地址(以政府文件作實)由1972至今也是南圍,請參 考有關 405 號屋的三份附件。),南圍村村民的部分祖墳亦在其中或附近 為何當初政府規劃村界時在沒有諮詢沒有通知下把該地段剔出南圍村範圍? 這是重大的修改,現在政府欲想在這地段興建大量豪宅及拆卸那些房屋我 們才知悉此事。南圍村村民對此事感到非常震驚。興建豪宅之事規劃署之 前並無進行廣泛及高調的諮詢或通知,如此重大的事情,政府理當召開村 民大會通知及解釋,但事實上政府並未有如此做,亦沒有區議員通知我們 南圍村村民是從鄉事會聽聞此事的。我們覺得政府想木已成舟後才通知村 民。得知此事後我們主動向規劃署反影反對理由,但規劃署不予理會,並 砌詞西貢交通和環境都不會受到此項目影響。

那些自出生已居住在那地段的南圍村村民現無故被『趕』出南圍村,變成 無村村民,政府的理據何在?他們的房屋將被拆毀,規劃署只說一句會根 據法例賠償,事前政府完全没有和受影響的村民商議。

(2) 興建大量六層高别墅的影響

(a) 交通方面

現時南圍只有一條車路出村口,在早晚繁忙時段都出現車輛擠塞的情况, 若再突然加插 130 個住宅單位,對南圍村口的交通肯定做成很嚴重的擠塞 規劃署預計 130 個住宅單位只會增加約 45 架車,明顯低估了。以現時南圍 為例,每個 700 呎豪宅單位最少有一部車,有些 2 部。130 個住宅單位保 守估計增加 200 架車,這些車晚上回家後可泊在那裏?它們亦肯定會加劇 南圍和西貢在繁忙時段樽頸位置的擠塞情況。但規劃署和運輸署一概置之 不理。

1

從那些别墅出來的路口又没有交通燈,很容易和南圍路的車輛發生踫撞, 令意外频生。究景將來南圍路還是別墅路是主路(有優先行車權)?規劃 署不予作答。這令現時使用南圍路的駕駛者非常不安,他們將來不但要飽 受在村口塞車之苦,還可能要由主變副,要先讓那些別墅車先行。這實在 欺人太甚了。為何那項目不另建一條新路由鄰近鄭植之中學的路段接駁西 貢公路?起碼這樣對南圍路的影響少些。

響鐘村若加插 100 個豪宅單位後就可能增加約 150 架車輛。響鐘村出來是 繁忙的主要西貢公路和巴士站,若那路口有大量車輛出入,會容易引發意 外。

規劃署稱這 230 豪宅單位所增加的車輛流量佔整體西貢很少。我們難以苟同。在繁忙時段樽頸位置這 230 豪宅單位所增加的流量就是百上加斤。規 劃署未能提供任何數據來支持他們的說法,反之,任何一個西貢居民都可 作證及告訴大家他們每天所承受的搭車塞車之苦。

現在南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村的居民早上上班或返學都很難上到小 巴或巴士,因它們在前幾個巴士站早已載滿乘客。運輸署曾說現時西貢的 公共交通是足夠的。作為每天都要等車排長龍受塞車之苦的西貢居民,我 們實難同意。我們曾要求運輸署提供數據來支持他們的結論,但未有收到 若然再突然加插約共八百至一千人入住南圍村和響鐘村,到時我們如何能 夠乘車出市區上班上學?平日由下午四時至八時,彩虹地鐵 C2 出口為例, 就有放學放工的人大排長龍搭車返西貢。在繁忙時段西貢的公共交通根本 是不足夠的,再加人口就更不足夠。

我們懇請政府向我們偉大的祖國學習。中國近年在交通基建有卓越成就。 以珠海橫琴為例,都是先建設好交通網絡才讓入民入駐。我們建議香港政 府先疏導目前樽頸位置的擠塞的情况,然後才在西貢加建房屋。我們曾建 議運輸署考慮興建兩條行車天橋,一條由井欄樹後的交通燈路口直達鑽石 山地鐵站,另一條由鄭植之中學直達調頸嶺地鐵站。長遠計,運輸署可考 慮興建地鐵由坑口延長至科技大學及南圍。到時新巴士及小巴線可以西貢 至南圍循環行走,現時出彩虹或坑口的樽頸擠塞問題便可解決了。但運輸 署想也不想就拒絕了。

(b) 供電方面

根據中華電力負責南圍村的李先生及岑先生在 2019 年 1 月 30 日告知本村 一位聯絡人成元嬌小姐,現時南圍村的供電設施已達飽和,他們未能尋找 到一個地方或方法來增加對南圍村的供電。所以,若容許這麼龐大的屋苑 加插在南圍中,對整個南圍村的供電都構成嚴重壓力,恐怕是不勝負荷。 規劃署辯稱增加供電沒有問題,若然如此,為何現在中電不能滿足現有南 圍住戶要求增加其家中的供電?

(c) 排污方面

南圍村有一條河,原本河水清澈及有魚蝦的。可惜自從有一私人屋苑(御 采河堤)在上游興建後,其部分建築廢料被傾倒入河中,做成瘀塞,現在 河水亦不斷被不明來歷的日常污水(估計是洗車、洗地、寵物沖涼等)所 污染。間中出現大量泡沫或臭味。現在所涉及要興建的大型屋苑就在那御 采河堤對面,我們恐怕那條河會進一步受到污染及發出臭味,破壞生態環 境及影響附近居民。這些污水會直接流入大海嚴重傷害那裏僅餘的紅樹林

響鐘村也有一條河,該河現在河水亦不斷被不明來歷的日常污水所污染而發出惡臭,影響附近居民。若再興建大型屋苑在附近,對附近生態環境的破壞更不堪設想,尤其是那裏還是靠近海邊。

規劃署辯稱有關政府部門將會處理污染問題,但這只是規劃署一廂情願的 講法,沒有任何部門曾承諾會跟進。現在響鐘河的污染,何曾有部門去處 理?它的臭味每日有增無減。

(d) 破壞風水

雖然並非每一個人都相信風水之說,但絕大部分南圍村村民都深信不疑。 現在政府計劃容許在村民祖墳前面或附近的地方興建一大群六層高別墅, 形成攔腰一斬架局,勢破南圍村的風水,令村民極度不安。雖然南圍村村 民大多是善良的,但面對祖墳被毀風水被破難免會受到刺激,恐怕民憤一 旦被激發不可收拾,景況堪虞,政府是否願意承擔此後果?

(e) 不通知不諮詢受影響的居民

r

政府並無就興建别墅一事事先通知或諮詢南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村 的居民。我們是從鄉事會得悉此事的。如此重大的事情也不通知不諮詢受 影響的村民就在區議會討論,政府是否打算暗渡陳倉?對政府如此不尊重 受影響的居民,我們真感到惶恐及憤慨。

西貢區議會已於 2019 年 5 月 7 日通過臨時動議**否決**白沙灣分區計劃大綱 核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目,規劃署完全沒有合理回應,除 了把涉及的問題敷衍了事或推卸給其他部門跟進,而其他部門又未有跟進 規劃署便強行呈上城市規劃委員會,是赤裸裸的霸王硬上弓!

政府是否想利用南圍村響鍾村作試點?即漠視民意強行在鄉村加插別墅群 若真如此,將來西貢的鄉村面貌將會面目全非,變成總有一座屋苑在咗近 到時車多人多,西貢出入市區的交通會更擠塞,筒直是未有最塞,只有更 塞!

我們懇請城市規劃委員會不要通過《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖 編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目。

我們亦懇請政府撤回此修訂項目及儘早與南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村 的村民開會磋商日後的土地用途。

我等不勝感激。

祝鈞安!

南圍村居民 2019年7月 22日

聯絡人: 成元嬌 電話:

致 城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

西貢居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目 即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地庫車場

我等乃西貢居民,現明確及强烈反對以上所述的修訂項目,主要是交通擠塞問題:

- 現時繁忙時段(即早上返工返學,除了暑假和長假期前後)西貢出彩虹或坑
 口均有嚴重樽頸擠塞問題。規劃處辯稱這230豪宅單位所增加的車輛流量佔
 整體西貢很少。作為每天都要等审排長龍受塞車之苦的西貢居民,我們實難
 以苟同。我們曾要求運輸署提供數據來支持他們的結論,但未有收到。
- 規劃處只預計增加約 80 輛車,但以現在西貢南圍為例,每個 700 呎豪宅單 位最少有一部車,有些 2 部。保守估計 230 豪宅單位所增加的車輛最少有 350 部。在繁忙時段樽頸位置這 230 豪宅單位所增加的車流量就是百上加斤。
- 保守估計 230 豪宅單位所增加的人口最少有八百至一千人。運輸署曾說現時 西貢的公共交通是足夠的。但實際上,現在南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村 的居民早上上班或返學都很難上到小巴或巴士,因它們在前幾個巴士站早已 載滿乘客。若再突然加插約共八百至一千人人住南圍村和響鐘村,到時他們 如何能夠乘車出市區上班上學?平日由下午四時至八時,彩虹地鐵C出口為 例,就有放學放工的人大排長龍搭車返西貢。在繁忙時段西貢的公共交通根 本是不足夠的,再加人口就更不足夠。

西貢區議會已於 2019 年 5 月 7 日通過臨時動議否決白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目,規劃處完全沒有合理回應,除了把涉及的問題敷 衍了事或推卸給其他部門跟進,而其他部門又未有跟進,規劃處便強行呈上城市規 劃委員會,是赤裸裸的霸王硬上弓!

我們懇請城市規劃委員會不要通過《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目。

我等不勝感激。

祝鈞安!

西貢居民 2019年7月3日

聯絡人: 成元嬌

電話:

7.火脉

计个学	Surp Him	Free
(L'	1	"Bai"
1.		-Kariya - K
Shin		alin Ja-
Mant		14 m
Circu	12 hr	C. M. June.
1 Mar Me	Pine	le Line
Di	1A	m
17,0	JEA .	(
100		
17	\mathcal{D}	. Vi
		A.
	1	

西賀屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬 讓修訂項目,即反對興趣大量六層高别聲(約 230 單位及地庫停車場),

議修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高别墅(約230單位及地庫停車場)。 董柏明 The Su; Yin 120 Liu 5. lino An han 制之间 1 hay AR 20 酒 k MALI N Sun 1 2 -1----MAR Cathe in 1

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的撥

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙港分區計劃大綱核准國編號 S/SK--314/6》的提 議修訂項目,即反對興建六覺六層高別堅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場) •

19 1-7720 ÷ 1. 夏 考 4 1114 HALL 2hi in 3 402 -Fin This 至4.落 C 17 ut: 五 en . 要大二 N.B. 手,X + ref 日本纪小 in will

2

1

11

西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬 識修訂項目,即反對興建大靈六層高别墅(約230單位及地庫停車場),

-1-7 R 1 24 1 1-12 - - - Z 石水 fic. Ci. 1 The second 3/2 Zaliz -----145 -35Ez Ta 728.40 <X Ě, ectpressifiling 17 - 2 · N 11. 1. +"

4

'.**c**

10

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙港分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的變



1

19	Lu	Va
(John	10-	ind
hhip	in	- Star
-10	11,	S
Sac h	Art	White
The	for ,	
-4	TE	T.
事	de-	Mule
St.	Xixi	CI
Ê.	R	The
3/6	NY .	
it f	E	Finity
Dia	Pro	th

西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准甌編號 S/SK-HH6》的變

西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙滲分區計劃大綱核准圓鏟號 S/SK-HH/6》的變 巅修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場),

1	1
	And
4.45	
lik	Cill
The	6tur
[AA	izfe-
17f-	Lo
12mg	Chig
AF	Li
9	Bug
Mgg	2
Alm	1
K	Ø
larg	Kl
	HAD HAD HAT HAT AAT AAT AAT AAT AAT AAT AAT AAT

7

西賀屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-H/6》的擬 證修訂項目,即反對興趣大量六層高別墅(約230單位及地庫停車場)。

phy hon 2m Mc Cin A Chino 2 Dil Vin 1 n pin 家 ¥. hast at 8

r

		1 .	1
	Enlyher	朱质英	Lan Soundar
	Turger.	刘樹梅	刻七秋
5	Donia leg	那学之.	14-1
	HO YAN WO	南方站	新世界
	的景大寺	新花城	朝于路期
	蒙日期	文件诊	建潮华
	法礼藏	Derestin	R. M.
	29.	学际学	25.
	X行读的	洋则摧	7
	到推动	何场郑一	tor a
	武平城	煮鸭花,	Tortypen
1.		- Bland - Via	
	anlig Yel Fre f	Eland your	, Majon
		- Martin	1 145
		9	1
		E.	

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙港分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的提 議修訂項目,即反對興建六量六層高別墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

西貢雇民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-Hr/6》的蕿 巖修訂項目,即反對興建六豐六層高別墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。



(

/1

西貢屋民姿烈反對《白沙港分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的蔡 叢修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大飅核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬 叢修訂項目·即反對興建六量六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

Pang Lai Mus 馬偉業 1 WATT Pang Lai Hung VIA A U. Un Tson 7 Į. Ay 2 2 1.V 彭易鸢 E. 陳潤 化 謝魏政 勜 (堂 Marina Serand Nary N Romo J= 1 - num AM 子福 業 Gurd A 12

The °., inh Tol h/ chy Euch fut Mip Thy Nin Fraks Instath/ KENTO . D -Ca 886

西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-KH/6》的擬 講藝訂項目,即反對團建大屬古屬高則壓約 320 醫的及後年度



· C . . .

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計創大網核准圖編號 S/SK-HHie》的擬 諸修訂項目,即反對興建六量六曆高别暨(約 230 單位及地庫停車場),



西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圓編號 S/SK-RH/R》的變 議修訂項目,即反對興建六靈六層高别堅(約 230 單位及池庫停車場)。

they. í. OP 0-10 Cheing Come C 本初之 VAZ (0 728 7 Sherk Kei Ching tan 0 Conny 564 15

西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大鋼核准圖編號 S/Sit.HH/6》的擬 識鄉訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

÷.

(

. . . .

(

(

- constr 6 Jann. mer

西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃六綱核准圖編號 S/SK-Hhi6》的擬 韻修訂項目,即反對興趣六量六曆高别發(約 230 聚位及地座停案場)。





.(

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬 巖修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高别墅(約230單位及地庫停車場), in St. ACIN :A NI am ofi the Ar Bà 丁酮 MG Su Ho 11 Nim Ily la 1/17 ·D Her SXL AX A LEAVE 12 no 19

西貢雇民强烈反對《白沙澹分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SiCHH/6》的擬 巖修訂項目·即反對興建六量六層高别鑒(約 230 革位及地庫停車場)·

10 郁 5477 Lomani MAG nt-1224 Cit ha 6 7 ahi 1 も (B) 11. 12 A Br 1 ···· MA RA ć. Ĵ ٢ Mola 1.00 IN tero.



西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬

1

(

西貢雇民强烈反對《白沙滩分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的變 謝修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高別墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場),



(

西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-Fille》的擬 譜修訂項目,即反對奧建六量六層高別堅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。



西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙澹分區計副六綱液准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的撥 謝修訂項目,即反對興建六豐六曆高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停寧場),



24

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙港分區計劃大綱核准團編號 S/SK-A-2/6》的慶 讀修訂項目,即反對興建六靈六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

T	ſ		
-	Cary of	$-i/\leq$	Addie
L	M(A)		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
			NA W
	627	in .	The Ph
		172.9-20	12-9-1-12
	ý.	di,	Roy
	ý.	Fichard	Ch.
	0		7
	lyn y		2.4 0 5
	ille.		1/2/21
	C S		-7-1.
	- 1- be	· · · · ·	Mar .
1	Neo I	<u></u>	4
	print 1	Englis	25.
			54

25



. (

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙港分區計劃大綱核准甌編號 S/S:(--H:1/6》的態 識修訂項目,即反對興建六量六層高别堅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場),

Inc Joo Santo X 17 51 SANG YAN AP Sin 3 -]-; :11 15 1612 M 1 1531 27

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙港分區計劃大總核准圖編號 S/Si(-FH/6》的變 議修訂項目 - 即反對興建六靈六層高列壁(約 230 單位及地座停車場) •

陳泳雄 X (thises (14 M te Pan ling P2 Kapp WH Fe Ci. Ler Y.s E Th than en Proper 27 1 -

Di 0 P Man fale Joe X 4 D # N 101 In Hal 1/2 F 1127 31

西貢雇民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-注4/6》的獎 議修訂項目,即反對興趣大量六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場),



西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計斷大綱後准靈編號 S/SK-HA/6》的擬 識修訂項目,即反對興趣大豐六曆高別號(約230 翠位及地庫停車場),

意例时

Cart a 14 L

議修訂項目,即反對與	興建大量六層高別壁(約2	30 單位及地庫停車場)。
Dan	杨老可	A
A Star	B	51
J.	E.S.R	F.F.G
Ass	R	14
Ching.	h letter	Certo
* A		Acet
15tax	29-3	Im
-the	Jez	1
Twil	Com.	77
Xn	Mrt	lu
m	CAGy	· to
no	Ala	Gr
An	'hi	(hurly
	29	And was

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙澹分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-(Hi/6》的髮

đ	non	230 單位及地庫停車場)。
这马师	B	it
张龙之	Tel.	素/2016
をろう	To yole Ta	- the
皆なから	打走用到	幸3酒
70512	未手向	angus
JOING	王虎众方	23
高3年	重美院	1 fin
Rt.	李英	Tool
Ja i	であいな	li
FRE	plan	Serona
美作的角势	du	浮撼美生
教天美	TAD	10/14/

1

1

	輕達不會不顧高別望(約2	30單位及地庫停車場)。
in The	1h()	Inherr.
V.		fr
くと	, la	Lun
ane	Wen	-2
Nem	G) 9(
72-	li	1.C
\leq	Zr.	t.
Mi	LLAF	file
0		les
12:	C.	\wedge
X	pro .	Lef-ra
A	ting	yn
14	1 the	On
		.742

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱後准圖編號 S/SX-23/6》的變 證修訂項目,即反對興發大量六層高別發(約230 單位及他痛

>	1
1	1.
2	¥.
)	y.,

議修訂項目 · 即反對興	輕達大量六層高别壁(約2	主國編號 S/SK-HH/8》的 230 單位及她庫停車場)。
Jack-	SUL	A),
Bun	212	1 de la
17/222	spen.	-run
	it	50
-7-1	D-	fic.
时	Conte	in
122	SP.	37
in	Ken	luf.
I.	M	2
_ Link >	Fr	FP
L) ~	h	4
	Fish	Cich
X	Qh.	li,
		10

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙港分區計劃六綱核准圖編號 S/SK-AH/6》的擬

34

(

1





西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網後凒圖編號 S/SK-AG/S》的擬

Í

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	《建大量六層高别墅(約2	30 單位及地庫停章場)。
-107	fr.	Vil Jiche
Dimilita	mingars	I h.h.
EP 50 84	à.	A.
750 192 Lan	The	あい社
540	Kito Tul 17	Sec.
李雪英	ik VARCE	[si]
萬世,	A 21/ 12	An
6852	A	中国本
the is	专行	ite
M	he	(t)
F	South	File, Mr.
Jan hy KA KA	16:23278	Vin
AU	ann	Li
		38

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙澹分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/Sitel-He》的擬 議修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高别紙(約 230 單位

1	n
-	2

马夏日	D.
	AL I
Alle	2ª
ASTA	No.
X	Vinen
R	3 -21
Holey -	36
Je -	Jugitin
62M	Aar
n	P3 4 YI
前二	- A TOS
At	3792
Varment	* 7.7
K-	7/250
	Miller Mi

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 3/SK-岩h/6》的擬 議修訂項目,即反對興建大量大慶高別墅(約 230 部位及她应信声場

38

37

	發展入量八層商別望(約2)	30 單位及地庫停車場)。
Kor	Janes	Ch
A	Lanorte	1
hm.	LA	Alen
(U	Va /	in There :
Dring	Jan.	100
1.	如阳安	Lowiser
A	28.(2)P-	PPR
the	原建侯	W
Hamile	W	('L~
MIK	ma	Z
MAG	D	\sim
W	Jul	Lity
Hundrowy	Augu	flac
		120

西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HK/6》的擬 證修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高别發(約230單位及地庫停車場)

1

(

曾安士	Tephylu	200
Jul .	Mur	3
Thelite	- m	满地
nf.	her	HASCIES
学校学	余质角	72.518
素就餐	第日左	Gux
by	济侍铨	Amm
京副帝	Vicino	REF
Eric	Ar	Nerch
lynie -	Vaciviu	Aur
A	, K	Canot
Laly	1922	Sr v
は	Nerens	孫宝靈

(

专带福	-/100.00	大的利
史 片 (3	2/5	1, 15 4
the co		1
10	e (Cin
12	/	- the
Tis	É	1/2/2
PL	Ala	
- A-	72 2 2	10 A
- the	1/ 2019	th.
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	May 1	1
123	1	Ce.e
spinti		.5
-51		的之前来

西貢屋民强烈反對	《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准	團編號	S/SK-SE	/6.) Ás
	興蓬大量六層高别墅(約23			
il and to	1	1	1/ 1	+

41

關修訂項目,即反對興 /\	建大量六層高别墅(約23	30 單位及地庫停車場)。
A.	P441	(in a
ingh	J.F.	R
- AFF	The second secon	alm
- D	DX.	t
fr	Pert	El
1 28 46	GL1.	6
(Jac-	C.	VE
John -	M	= h
	A	Acotum
puttick	2	A.
ten	黎川原花	.12

Mr. EN

西賓屋民發訊反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK+EN/6》的髮 識鶬

(

1

2	
44	

識態訂項目,即反對 [興建六量六層高别墅(約2	230 單位及地庫停車場)。	
10	Ca	157	
いま	Aur >	Find	
我斯深	外语心	i lavi	
有國心	- Kill	T	1
谢龙乐	-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	1. 184. 1	
後署家	my fit	4	
1100	Side herry that	Den:	1
) (7)	14.	1.1	
< 1	SAPT	ليحد	
1-23.5	2	ien	
1.4 \$ A w	A. Kin	X-	
$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}} \not\models \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$	17	ine of	
leich Chineste	12 million	370	
		<u> </u>	

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/S:(-H-H/6》的裝 將修訂項目,即反對應確止要去

識修訂項目,即反對與	建六量六層高别墅(約23	30 單位及地庫停車場)·
1A	C†.	All
NAN	laun	Aris
Lit	- 11 Jour	12 Te
1977.184	flette	h.
11.12.	و بادند	0.0
一样	Exand	-tz)
July	4.	Uns
1711	Bitte.	,M:
17-0	Ċ	- Du
chies	ikt	·j).
4-14	¢	
Marxi 1	(ih.	1-2
14 -	(Vil	Keunith
2.	N	1514
	42	

西貢雇民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱夜准圖編號 S/6K-FK/c》的簽

(

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱被准圖編號 S/3:(--HH/6》的擬 關修訂項目 · 即反對興趣大量六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場) ·

Æ 1 副 A) Ash. r. Lik n 1.12 Khurm 700 Michael Tser LUILLY 7 5 3Et i, 0.5 1630

45

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-HH6》的擬 讀修訂項目,即反對興建六量六層高別亟(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)



(

いした pli T. ETAC lainta 51 Va Juli -1.MY 的 FX. 23-Jand. 200 Xir Q. KR rt

西貢屋民發煦反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准顧編號 S/SK-XB/6》的擬 議修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高別堃(約 230 單位及地庫停車場), 西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 3/SK-HS/E》的撥 說修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高別壁(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

X1 N 1.12 Slim Ett 11 145 192 11 14/21119 1-1 115 X 12 Re/ Ĺ N 5 (X an TIT A.z T.K 1:1/2 horty eperu 2 Jin

	1	
	(C	6
My		准不
	<i>d</i>	(Jama)
0	Edwar	wh
450	1. Alexandre	Pelty.
4,57	im-	E.M.
-la	1410	献你的
16. 4'	The star	老家庭
m	4	tine .
6	E.	17
e j	STP	2.7
- Ant	istra-	浮,
1	Gi	1.5
		1 1726

西貢釐民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計翻大調该准國編號 3/&(<-:+/6》的擬 職鄉訂項目,即反對興趣大靈六麿高别堅(約 230 單位及地庫停草場)。

(

(

西寶屋民强烈反對《白沙潛分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬 議修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

Wit .	710	V.
Myr	کنے رکا لی	·ol
112	团健华	强乳
多感觉	1/2	DIN
fin	127	新たら東
54.5	C.L.	11. 48. (M.)
A AN	<a-1< td=""><td>TSUL HILL</td></a-1<>	TSUL HILL
Jung	之爱	E.
-134.	新展	lija.
G		lar
·	kr	1/12/2
1/2	At	AR.
To -	[for	The

49

50

(

1

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙港分區計劃大調该准圖編號 S/SK-Hrite》的擬 議修訂項目,即反對興建六層六層表別聲(約 230 單位及地庫停車場),

1	()
	WARK.
< 4. Ju	-/
1 m	Sm
1 12	Vis
10.	12-
小	L
- lit	· là
77	h.
hav.	22.17
AL A	Vixin
And.	51/h .
茂	. W
An /	H.B.
	1864
	Ann

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙澹分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-KK/6》的漿 謝參訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高別變(約 230 單位及池庫停車場)。

居有效 The second K H. 15 mighe yr 影 Ê E 艺 H Mi Alen Sindu K. Xui Cos_ 夏 SAJ ZAA for 4 Tsuiting 37 Angela Ze 应子围 徐涛顺 1 Xinin W

52

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大調核准團編號 S/S:(-२०/6》的攒 叢修訂項目,即反對興建大靈六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地座停車場),

(

, (

3/32 1 臣 内省 X Æ lin. Alsa-Bi ÉM VIAN 22.0 15 k? 12:211 7 Ab 連出 11 -auto 2 finan 's 1942 53

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙濟分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬 識修訂項目 即反對興建大量六層高別墅(約230單位及地庫停車場)

陳惠花 陳純家 P 四月三 1 5 1.27 唐南朝的 AR 白 En: 鹿 HEANING KWAILAN V 7-Kin P えも 游速城 VAZ, 1? 前雪炭 河空和0 A in

54

(

11.12 inni auni 4,7 1. ter Ċ Pat 庭 Tinny 12:414 1 1.15 34 < SUG 4 24 -10 17 本的之 iften! 14

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計斷大續核准圖編號 S/SK-Finils》的擬 議修訂項目,即反對興建六量六層高别壁(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

55

this page 40 signahules

.

1.21

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計副大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HE/E》的變 識修訂項目·即反對興建大量六層高别攣(約230單位及地庫停車場)·

500 L 1 to Xa then tan.r 32 Sam -2 Citan F Lat 12/12 MK all. A spl 2062 this page : 56

41 Signatures

[0 毕业汉地厚信车場)。
it.	Hom	Ces
Fre	TR. 73	北北北
Jim	i_e.	
Cher	The.	12
Ch-L	1 Mer	U.C.
JA2	1)	200-
çn	5	3147-2
扇社	(3N)	X.
ha.	Darf	Story .
J.a.	F.	123
l'a_	- Xum	· - +
Dama	Junit	14
ino (with the	M.	2 m
	()	PLN PLN
	59	

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙滯分區計翻六綱核准圍編號 S/SK-HH/6》的榮 識修訂項目,即反對興趣六量六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場),

57

2 ~	1-Forth	Parc
Un	- Krib	hog 7
- Lini	fr	MAL
Low	L Vs	
1	S-ships	y day
每1	星和树	Atton
J-	2/33	A.
stice	5	。截至於
Tan	梁桂祥	Ciner
Link	A	f.2.
(A)	C	N.
Vas	Marj	1.1-
A	Jan the	Filly
Ar	21	An

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬 继续訂语已, MA

. (

. (

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙滩分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的標



西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的萘 識修訂項目,即反對興建大量六曆高別墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

11-1

100 -

1

35

かい 62

医含

iver

1

1. 5

2

58

177

E-A

C

2

lin

家见"; 517

F. L.

.).W

7

7-1

1

C+ 15

1/1/

0

X 1.1

21

本之明

Sala

11/

1

. (

2 6 ind. Const-01 2. 11 1: 1 in i 10 1 X Dasch-1. 1 37 5 1 pu 84 VIL 2157

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH6》的擬 議修訂項目,即反對興趣大靈六屬高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

10

. (

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的撥 讓修訂項目,即反對興建六量六層高別鑒(約 230 單位及地庫停車場),



6-2

(

西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准斷編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬 證修訂項目,即反對興建六重六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

Vinjla	U Jun	J. L.
id/	der.	
-4-72	(1)	
Le	Y	A. a
68	1 7.	6, 5, 50
	-27/-	WA-
ALA	I.	lace
14.	- (x 2), "	
V.	in the second second	Chi.
iptoris	14:	1.1. N
1.sh	132	N N
NILLEU	ζψ ⁵	bly -
~	(ilg	1

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK+1H/6》的擬 諾修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高别聖(約 230 單位及地庫停車場).

74 52 1/1 Thin 1 112 6 thin dw/ Kr-Cmit -1/14 P 4 TON Turks ing any 71.7. 21 X ľ AL. N.

63

議修訂項目,即反對興建六量六層高別變(約230單位及地庫停車場), TE 1 ocherkyee pist 0 4 at inn NOKS D11 廿 杨 斤 琼鲍 2 B 2 10 40 2tel Bings Imil nV 河山 24 ŽI 102 tto 0 7 4.6 昭志历 741

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大師核准圖編號 S/SK+AE/6》的撥

65

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬 議修訂項目,即反對興建大量六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

Th A The 南 VA Roz. 4 C 5 Un hR. 净 UN ۵, 14 St KAKO 府武 Sats KI 品 S Br 102 Bir 2 2 in BE ZA. 6 3 Eat 20 13 in

66

(

	建八重八盾商列室(約23	10單位及地庫停車場)。
旗擴源	衰暑有	的少给
陳婵婷	馮金梅	行う
US A-9	即来3.建	霸怨天
制这座	劉新也影	翁义诗
張丁塔	1/2 1/2 607	翁全
范代春	às f	馬詠然
劉錦麟	阁玉苹	丘王室
劉淡為	后教祝	全玉軒
関醌娟	平康保	在慧珍
翌日日外、	位城多	血加慧
蒙瓦兰	位展開	车标111
立宏框	立:告:德	FARE
班令廷	新俊景	礼菊菜
	-6. <i>8</i>	2491

西賽屋民强烈反對《白沙潮分區計劃大調核准圖編號 S/S((-/Ht//6))的漿 識修訂項目,即反對興建六量六層高別瑩(約230單位及地庫停車場)

西貢屋民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-FH/6》的擬 識修訂項目,即反對興趣大量六層高别墅(約 230 單位及地庫停車場)。

VA HO Vincent Mak NAV 长 hat Dest 1a-1 A 1 P) 120 おう m Suc-H lah KAREN Jex Reach Peter. char her it Hecque min yumi N 2510 the page has 24 Signatures 264] 68

10

.

r



西貢屋民發烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖編號 3/SK-HH/6》的擬 議修訂項目,即反對興建六量六層高别墅(約230單位及地庫停車場)。

69

this page his 21 Signature.

(1

致 城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

西貢小巴經營者反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准 圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目 即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單 位及地庫車場

我們是經營西貢小巴各路線的公司,現明確及强烈反 對以上所述的修訂項目,主要是交通擠塞問題,令我 們經營飽受困難。

現時繁忙時段(即早上返工返學,除了暑假和長假期前後)西貢出彩虹或坑口均有嚴重樽頸擠塞問題。規劃處 辯稱這 230 豪宅單位所增加的車輛流量佔整體西貢很 少。我們實難同意。規劃處只預計增加約 80 輛車,但 以現在西貢南圍為例,每個 700 呎豪宅單位最少有一部 車,有些2部。保守估計230 豪宅單位所增加的車輛最 少有350部。在繁忙時段樽頸位置這230 豪宅單位所增 加的車流量就是百上加斤。

西貢至彩虹的車程原本只是二十多分鐘,但繁忙時段塞 車時就要個多小時。繁忙時段指平日早上七時至十時出 市區、下午五時至八時入西貢、假日七時至十一時入西 貢、下午四時至八時出市區。若沒有塞車,小巴原本一 小時可走一循環(西貢-彩虹-西貢),塞車就要三 小時才可走一循環。即我們賺少了兩倍。遇著道路有小 小交通事故,可塞上幾小時才行完原本二十分鐘的車程。 我們可機的時間就常常浪費了在塞車上,而不是運送乘 客。我們如何能賺到利潤呢?若再加多350部車輛就更 加塞車,我們經營就更艱難了!很多司機因賺不夠錢過 活,已被迫轉行。西貢區小巴現在長期缺乏司機,可鄰 的居民排隊等車就要等更長時間了。只有減少塞車,即 減少私家車,公共小巴才有生存空間,所以絕不可以再 容許增加大量私家車在道路上。現在西貢公路正在進行 的工程,只是治標不治本,完全不能解決樽頸擠塞的問題。

我們懇請城市規劃委員會不要通過《白沙灣分區計劃 大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目。

不勝感激。

祝鈞安!

西貢專線小巴

2019年7月3日

路線: 1, 1A 聯絡人: 鸡獾福人低 副 家旅时 采顺

電討

城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

致

11

西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目

即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高別墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地 庫車場

我等乃南圍村居民,大部分為原居民,世代居於南圍村。部分 由别處遷移至南圍村,亦當南圍村是我們的家。我們近期得知 以上所述的修訂項目,現明確及强烈對其抗議及反對,原因 如下:

(1) 南圍村村民已使用涉及土地逾百年

所涉及的土地原屬南國村範圍,本已有數户居住在其中約七、 八十年(現居於有關地段的村民地址(以政府文件作實)由 1972至今也是南圍,請參考有關405號屋的三份附件。),南 圍村村民的部分祖墳亦在其中或附近,為何當初政府規劃村界 時在沒有諮詢沒有通知下把該地段剔出南圍村範圍?這是重大 的修改,現在政府欲想在這地段興建大量豪宅及拆卸那些房屋 我們才知悉此事。南圍村村民對此事感到非常震驚。興建豪宅 之事規劃署之前並無進行廣泛及高調的諮詢或通知,如此重大 的事情,政府理當召開村民大會通知及解釋,但事實上政府並 未有如此做,亦沒有區議員通知我們,南圍村村民是從鄉事會 聽聞此事的。我們覺得政府想本已成舟後才通知村民。得知此 事後我們主動向規劃署反影反對理由,但規劃署不予理會,並 砌詞西貢交通和環境都不會受到此項目影響。

那些自出生已居住在那地段的南圍村村民現無故被『趕』出南 圍村,變成無村村民,政府的理據何在?他們的房屋將被拆毀, 規劃署只說一句會根據法例賠償,事前政府完全没有和受影響 的村民商議。

(2) 興建大量六層高别墅的影響

(a) 交通方面

現時南圍只有一條車路出村口,在早晚繁忙時段都出現車輛擠 塞的情況,若再突然加插130個住宅單位,對南圍村口的交通 肯定做成很嚴重的擠塞。規劃署預計130個住宅單位只會增加 約45架車,明顯低估了。以現時南圍為例,每個700 呎豪宅 單位最少有一部車,有些2部。130個住宅單位保守估計增加 200 架車,這些車晚上回家後可泊在那裏?它們亦肯定會加劇 萬圍和西貢在繁忙時段樽頸位置的擠塞情况。但規劃署和運輸 署一概置之不理。

從那些別墅出來的路口又没有交通燈,很容易和南圍路的車輛發生踫撞,令意外频生。究景將來南圍路還是別墅路是主路 (有優先行車權)?規劃署不予作答。這令現時使用南圍路的 駕駛者非常不安,他們將來不但要飽受在村口塞車之苦,還可 能要由主變副,要先讓那些別墅車先行。這實在欺人太甚了。 為何那項目不另建一條新路由鄰近鄭植之中學的路段接駁西貢 公路?起碼這樣對南圍路的影響少些。 響鐘村若加插 100 個豪宅單位後就可能增加約 150 架車輛。響 鐘村出來是繁忙的主要西貢公路和巴士站,若那路口有大量車 輛出入,會容易引發意外。

規劃署稱這230豪宅單位所增加的車輛流量佔整體西貢很少。 我們難以訪同。在繁忙時段樽頸位置這230豪宅單位所增加的 流量就是百上加斤。規劃署未能提供任何數據來支持他們的說 法,反之,任何一個西貢居民都可作證及告訴大家他們每天所 承受的搭車塞車之苦。

現在南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村的居民早上上班或返學都 很難上到小巴或巴士,因它們在前幾個巴士站早已載滿乘客。 運輸署曾說現時西貢的公共交通是足夠的。作為每天都要等車 排長龍受塞車之苦的西貢居民,我們實難同意。我們曾要求運 輸署提供數據來支持他們的結論,但未有收到。若然再突然加 插約共八百至一千人入住南圍村和響鐘村,到時我們如何能夠 乘車出市區上班上學?平日由下午四時至八時,彩虹地鐵 C2 出口為例,就有放學放工的人大排長龍搭車返西貢。在繁忙時 段西貢的公共交通根本是不足夠的,再加人口就更不足夠。

我們懇請政府向我們偉大的祖國學習。中國近年在交通基建有 卓越成就。以珠海橫琴為例,都是先建設好交通網絡才讓入民 入駐。我們建議香港政府先疏導目前樽頸位置的擠塞的情况, 然後才在西貢加建房屋。我們曾建議運輸署考慮興建兩條行車 天橋,一條由井欄樹後的交通燈路口直達鑽石山地鐵站,另一 條由鄭植之中學直達調頸嶺地鐵站。長遠計,運輸署可考慮興 建地鐵由坑口延長至科技大學及南圍。到時新巴士及小巴線可 以西貢至南圍循環行走,現時出彩虹或坑口的樽頸擠塞問題便 可解決了。但運輸署想也不想就拒絕了。

(b) 供電方面

根據中華電力負責南圍村的李先生及岑先生在 2019 年 1 月 30 日告知本村一位聯絡人成元嬌小姐,現時南圍村的供電設施已 達飽和,他們未能尋找到一個地方或方法來增加對南圍村的供 電。所以,若容許這麼龐大的屋苑加插在南圍中,對整個南圍 村的供電都構成嚴重壓力,恐怕是不勝負荷。規劃署辯稱增加 供電沒有問題,若然如此,為何現在中電不能滿足現有南圍住 戶要求增加其家中的供電?

(c) 排污方面

南圍村有一條河,原本河水清澈及有魚蝦的。可惜自從有一私 人屋苑(御采河堤)在上游興建後,其部分建築廢料被傾倒入 河中,做成瘀塞,現在河水亦不斷被不明來歷的日常污水(估 計是洗車、洗地、寵物沖涼等)所污染。間中出現大量泡沫或 臭味。現在所涉及要興建的大型屋苑就在那御采河堤對面,我 們恐怕那條河會進一步受到污染及發出臭味,破壞生態環境及 影響附近居民。這些污水會直接流入大海嚴重傷害那裏僅餘的 紅樹林。

響鐘村也有一條河,該河現在河水亦不斷被不明來歷的日常污水所污染而發出惡臭,影響附近居民。若再興建大型屋苑在附近,對附近生態環境的破壞更不堪設想,尤其是那裏還是靠近 海邊。

規劃署辯稱有關政府部門將會處理污染問題,但這只是規劃署一廂情願的講法,沒有任何部門曾承諾會跟進。現在響鐘河的 污染,何曾有部門去處理?它的臭味每日有增無減。

(d) 破壞風水

雖然並非每一個人都相信風水之說,但絕大部分南圍村村民都 深信不疑。現在政府計劃容許在村民祖墳前面或附近的地方興 建一大群六層高别墅,形成攔腰一斬架局,勢破南圍村的風水, 令村民極度不安。雖然南圍村村民大多是善良的,但面對祖墳 被毀風水被破難免會受到刺激,恐怕民憤一旦被激發不可收拾, 景況堪虞,政府是否願意承擔此後果?

(e) 不通知不諮詢受影響的居民

1

政府並無就興建別墅一事事先通知或諮詢南圍村、窩美村和響 鐘村三條村的居民。我們是從鄉事會得悉此事的。如此重大的 事情也不通知不諮詢受影響的村民就在區議會討論,政府是否 打算暗渡陳倉?對政府如此不尊重受影響的居民,我們真感到 惶恐及憤慨。

西貢區議會已於2019年5月7日通過臨時動議否決白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目,規劃署 完全沒有合理回應,除了把涉及的問題敷衍了事或推卸給其他 部門跟進,而其他部門又未有跟進,規劃署便強行呈上城市規 劃委員會,是赤裸裸的霸王硬上弓!

政府是否想利用南圍村響鍾村作試點?即漠視民意強行在鄉村 加插別墅群?若真如此,將來西貢的鄉村面貌將會面目全非, 變成總有一座屋苑在咗近!到時車多人多,西貢出入市區的交 通會更擠塞,筒直是未有最塞,只有更塞!

我們懇請城市規劃委員會不要通過《白沙灣分區計劃 大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目。 我們亦懇請政府撤回此修訂項目及儘早與南圍村、窩美村和 響鐘村三條村的村民開會磋商日後的土地用途。

我等不勝感激。

祝鈞安!

(

南圍村居民 2019年7月22日

聯絡人:	成元嬌	75
電話:		

<u> 南國村</u>村民强烈反對《白沙澄分區計劃大調核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬議修訂項目 - 圖示 C 及 D - 即反對興建大量六层高别墅 -

1		
成天送	1入:134 未已	大掌(43
大澤樟	任马强	1. 1. 15
125 33 6479	戎後 夏	With
いちちませろう	alle	成倍度
成建展	天宇真十子	N.C.I
减 個 與	di Franci	No. Muller
何美感	Plan	龙鹰 邦
橋張魚	大小川	til Rapin-
Divite /	将花台	8218 1
陸伸旗	南北 121	新意思
h-	Kin	中觀蘭
aller.	N. F.M.	的设计
Que	展习	T 112 34
Y /		

南塱村村民强烈反對《白沙滩分區計劃大網核准關編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬謠修訂項目 - 國示 C 及 D ・ 即反對興建大量六層高別墅 ・

	G 及 D · 印反對與建大量	li六層高别墅。
WX +10=2	6135-青	一户小菜
白泽彩	抑可蒙	1 Un
李吉庆	白文强	June
-35	白麻寺	这百去
標家康	李骏	751843
成式地	気ツリ行	江本焰
成林戬蓝	的老菜	上達權
成有能	一	位可雅
成方恒	1. A. M.	速清醒
花家	成2. 完	陸宽於
"抱意-	的感谢	
起版	17. 2 2	书卷13
田門花家有	好来院	山川省

٢

(

2

4

(

南圍村村民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 提議修訂項目 · 圖示 C 及 D · 即反對與建大量六層高别墅 ·

E Contraction of the second se	0次日 印及到與建大量	六層高别墅。
成物多	THE	*行-
招發英	诸鼠巢	成後賢
玩智情	\$ 15 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 -	底袋菜
Newy Su han	新瓦石	2p 1/2 1/1
Shing shirts		R = G
现, 小师 蒙	Lan Yul Lin	5. 13-
SAF	口族子	703591
1014	放補強	N.STZ
- 13 640	式理言	沙竹葉
三部間	成進点	武震
好志和	就支注	12 2 P
陳三許	連受芳	(JZANIL
1732	川江市	002

南圍村村民强烈反對《白沙滩分區計劃大網核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 接議修訂項目,圖示 C 及 D,即反對興建大量六層高别整。

丘房のキル	而靈	余晓 嗟
近了了海 (前)	题基	青田
手般()	副鐵草	現めたの
防酒群	美俸	湖琼堤
李乞勤	\$Phe	教皇雨
红菊菊	PAD	25 38
·罗·黄·娇	到国代	Den
行粮到	iss	Jan
丘赋形	杨光文	Rout
方配 宿	滚融健	Volu
兼其院	3夏天龙,	Bird
丘王章	莱文鹅	(. T
of the fit	黄玉	Jung

1

南亚村村民强烈反對《白沙滩分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬議修訂項目 · 圖示 C 及 D · 即反對興建大量六層高别墅 ·

试群茶	Alfail	Der
RayRft	Jimmy Chan	武態源
Fait	Guy ho	E. F. S. S.
121.40	why.	AN PAL
watish	Age	1
- phin from from		Yu Kit Ying.
前四四.	\$2. 1	
X)	J.N.	Re Mil
施节每	农村北	卓依
Alter	Wendy 150	5
Kithi	Grown To	Hit The
nu	fl-	ind
it the	int	to
	72	

南圈村村民强烈反封《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬譜修訂項目·圖示 C 及 D。即反對輿建大量六層高别墅。

(

6

(

1

side a	inlet.	Liter .
於將產	A.Z.S	11 S. + H.
ifi	XJ:MA:	The With
An	如樹業	111
YEARNE	京城了	In
fil	許設限	Lite tai
Tillin	Antha Lour	MHLABRIZIN
Mixf	May	Meter
Zurky	対応で	5.0-
Richting	前主族	Waster
Solar all	列艺	(heil Hana)
G-C	Livingung	Church
6 ×	Citates Fring	11-1-165

5

7

(

		Contract (1997) (1997)
医疗	64-	[hannel.
算法前	alle	Tring
1/10/0	-1.	24
A A	我到了	-22
In	大教寺	12-1
Jan 1	AND MAN	litter
4836	ARDINTEN	312 15 25
· trid	mul	(Un-
1.5.xkl	Ulik Juny	4
M'oor.	神子的一门	成意道
1		1.524 3.40
1'n	2	U. h.
Ant-	Cit.	ALI

南歐村村民强烈反對《白沙滩分區計劃大綱核准圍繞號 S/SK-HH/6》的 援諾修訂項目 · 圖示 C 及 D · 即反對興建大量六層高别墅 ·

南氫村村民强烈反對《白沙海分區計劃六綱核准屬編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬議修訂項目 · 圖示 C 及 D · 即反對興建大量六層高别墅 ·

[
() ()	i toman'i	The
AN ST	VAE PINC	
E.	余烧药	1-1-
· Jon ,	TIL	1
Clasting.	de des	- wa-
- Her	the for	in tricing to -
the 2	Handling.	Amin
G	7.L	A
illing		Just.
to: 12 Car	12-	- William
100	. Y	151/l
- (in -	inite-	可教
i (F \$P,

南壓村村民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大續核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬議修訂項目 · 國示 C 及 D • 即反對與建大量六層高别墅 •

~~~	X.	Raling
5	ha fler	124
LiHu	icht.	hity '
lu n	all's	6
any	un	1200
Cata	Ainel	- just
Jan	In	AN
	(pally)	$\overline{)}$
A.D	推弹	
Jul-	2.132	TSANUT
. willing	keling .	22 1. 720
花季,并	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	无数心
なぜらい	lu.	A TAKA

南뗿村村民强烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大網核准圖維號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬議修訂項目 · 圖示 C 及 D · 即反對興建大量六層高别墅



Hus page has ?? Signahud s

4

-
3
•

tpbpd	
寄件者:	anita hk
寄件日期:	22日07月2019年星期一 15:46
收件者:	tpbpd@pland.gov.hk
主旨:	Fwd·而育民民反對而音南團總鎬建大景高空《白沙港公底計劃大網拔准國經验c/c/ UU/c》

Fwd: 西貢居民反對西貢南圍響鐘建大量豪宅 《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號S/SK-HH/6》

致

城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》 的擬議修訂項目

即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共230豪宅單位及地庫車場

本人現欲補充以下資料: 我身份証號碼前4位是

我是代表簽了名的三千多人發言的。如有需要進一步資料,請聯絡我: 成元嬌 電話:

----- Forwarded message ------From: anita hk <anitahealthy@gmail.com> Date: Mon, 22 Jul 2019, 13:09 Subject: 西貢居民反對西貢南圍響鐘建大量豪宅《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》 To: <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>

#### 致

城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-III/6》的 擬議修訂項目

即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高別墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地庫車場

現呈上反對信及簽名表如下:

	信件	簽名人數
西貢專線小巴經營者	1	9
西貢專線小巴司機及從業員	1	60
西貢居民	1	2,666
西貢南圍居民		368
西貢南圍村民詳細反對理由	1	

簽名總數

3,103

聯絡人:成元嬌小姐

電話:

以下信件已在附件中:

致

城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬議修訂項目

即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地庫車場

我等乃南圍村居民,大部分為原居民,世代居於南圍村。部分由別處遷移 至南圍村,亦當南圍村是我們的家。我們近期得知以上所述的修訂項目, 現明確及强烈對其抗議及反對,原因如下:

(1) 南圍村村民已使用涉及土地逾百年

所涉及的土地原屬南圍村範圍,本已有數户居住在其中約七、八十年(現 居於有關地段的村民地址(以政府文件作實)由1972至今也是南圍,請參 考有關 405 號屋的三份附件。),南圍村村民的部分祖墳亦在其中或附近 為何當初政府規劃村界時在沒有諮詢沒有通知下把該地段剔出南圍村範圍? 這是重大的修改,現在政府欲想在這地段興建大量豪宅及拆卸那些房屋我 們才知悉此事。南圍村村民對此事感到非常震驚。興建豪宅之事規劃署之 前並無進行廣泛及高調的諮詢或通知,如此重大的事情,政府理當召開村 民大會通知及解釋,但事實上政府並未有如此做,亦沒有區議員通知我們
南圍村村民是從鄉事會聽聞此事的。我們覺得政府想木已成舟後才通知村 民。得知此事後我們主動向規劃署反影反對理由,但規劃署不予理會,並 砌詞西貢交通和環境都不會受到此項目影響。

那些自出生已居住在那地段的南圍村村民現無故被『趕』出南圍村,變成 無村村民,政府的理據何在?他們的房屋將被拆毀,規劃署只說一句會根 據法例賠償,事前政府完全没有和受影響的村民商議。

(2) 興建大量六層高别墅的影響

(a)交通方面

1-1

現時南圍只有一條車路出村口,在早晚繁忙時段都出現車輛擠塞的情况, 若再突然加插 130 個住宅單位,對南圍村口的交通肯定做成很嚴重的擠塞 規劃署預計 130 個住宅單位只會增加約 45 架車,明顯低估了。以現時南圍 為例,每個 700 呎豪宅單位最少有一部車,有些 2 部。130 個住宅單位保 守估計增加 200 架車,這些車晚上回家後可泊在那裏?它們亦肯定會加劇 南圍和西貢在繁忙時段樽頸位置的擠塞情况。但規劃署和運輸署一概置之 不理。

從那些别墅出來的路口又没有交通燈,很容易和南圍路的車輛發生碰撞, 令意外频生。究景將來南圍路還是別墅路是主路(有優先行車權)?規劃 署不予作答。這令現時使用南圍路的駕駛者非常不安,他們將來不但要飽 受在村口塞車之苦,還可能要由主變副,要先讓那些别墅車先行。這實在 欺人太甚了。為何那項目不另建一條新路由鄰近鄭植之中學的路段接駁西 貢公路?起碼這樣對南圍路的影響少些。

響鐘村若加插 100 個豪宅單位後就可能增加約 150 架車輛。響鐘村出來是 繁忙的主要西貢公路和巴士站,若那路口有大量車輛出入,會容易引發意 外。

規劃署稱這 230 豪宅單位所增加的車輛流量佔整體西貢很少。我們難以苔同。在繁忙時段樽頸位置這 230 豪宅單位所增加的流量就是百上加斤。規 劃署未能提供任何數據來支持他們的說法,反之,任何一個西貢居民都可 作證及告訴大家他們每天所承受的搭車塞車之苦。 現在南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村的居民早上上班或返學都很難上到小 巴或巴士,因它們在前幾個巴士站早已載滿乘客。運輸署曾說現時西貢的 公共交通是足夠的。作為每天都要等車排長龍受塞車之苦的西貢居民,我 們實難同意。我們曾要求運輸署提供數據來支持他們的結論,但未有收到 若然再突然加插約共八百至一千人入住南圍村和響鐘村,到時我們如何能 夠乘車出市區上班上學?平日由下午四時至八時,彩虹地鐵 C2 出口為例, 就有放學放工的人大排長龍搭車返西貢。在繁忙時段西貢的公共交通根本 是不足夠的,再加人口就更不足夠。

我們懇請政府向我們偉大的祖國學習。中國近年在交通基建有卓越成就。 以珠海橫琴為例,都是先建設好交通網絡才讓入民入駐。我們建議香港政 府先疏導目前樽頸位置的擠塞的情况,然後才在西貢加建房屋。我們曾建 議運輸署考慮興建兩條行車天橋,一條由井欄樹後的交通燈路口直達鑽石 山地鐵站,另一條由鄭植之中學直達調頸嶺地鐵站。長遠計,運輸署可考 慮興建地鐵由坑口延長至科技大學及南圍。到時新巴士及小巴線可以西貢 至南圍循環行走,現時出彩虹或坑口的樽頸擠塞問題便可解決了。但運輸 署想也不想就拒絕了。

(b) 供電方面

根據中華電力負責南圍村的李先生及岑先生在 2019 年 1 月 30 日告知本村 一位聯絡人成元嬌小姐,現時南圍村的供電設施已達飽和,他們未能尋找 到一個地方或方法來增加對南圍村的供電。所以,若容許這麼龐大的屋苑 加插在南圍中,對整個南圍村的供電都構成嚴重壓力,恐怕是不勝負荷。 規劃署辯稱增加供電沒有問題,若然如此,為何現在中電不能满足現有南 圍住戶要求增加其家中的供電?

(c) 排污方面

南圍村有一條河,原本河水清澈及有魚蝦的。可惜自從有一私人屋苑(御 采河堤)在上游興建後,其部分建築廢料被傾倒入河中,做成瘀塞,現在 河水亦不斷被不明來歷的日常污水(估計是洗車、洗地、寵物沖涼等)所 污染。間中出現大量泡沫或臭味。現在所涉及要興建的大型屋苑就在那御 采河堤對面,我們恐怕那條河會進一步受到污染及發出臭味,破壞生態環 境及影響附近居民。這些污水會直接流入大海嚴重傷害那裏僅餘的紅樹林 響鐘村也有一條河,該河現在河水亦不斷被不明來歷的日常污水所污染而發出惡臭,影響附近居民。若再興建大型屋苑在附近,對附近生態環境的破壞更不堪設想,尤其是那裏還是靠近海邊。

規劃署辯稱有關政府部門將會處理污染問題,但這只是規劃署一廂情願的 講法,沒有任何部門曾承諾會跟進。現在響鐘河的污染,何曾有部門去處 理?它的臭味每日有增無減。

(d) 破壞風水

01

雖然並非每一個人都相信風水之說,但絕大部分南圍村村民都深信不疑。 現在政府計劃容許在村民祖墳前面或附近的地方興建一大群六層高别墅, 形成攔腰一斬架局,勢破南圍村的風水,令村民極度不安。雖然南圍村村 民大多是善良的,但面對祖墳被毀風水被破難免會受到刺激,恐怕民憤一 旦被激發不可收拾,景況堪虞,政府是否願意承擔此後果?

(e) 不通知不諮詢受影響的居民

政府並無就興建别墅一事事先通知或諮詢南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村 的居民。我們是從鄉事會得悉此事的。如此重大的事情也不通知不諮詢受 影響的村民就在區議會討論,政府是否打算暗渡陳倉?對政府如此不尊重 受影響的居民,我們真感到惶恐及憤慨。

西貢區議會已於 2019 年 5 月 7 日通過臨時動議否決白沙灣分區計劃大綱 核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目,規劃署完全沒有合理回應,除 了把涉及的問題敷衍了事或推卸給其他部門跟進,而其他部門又未有跟進 規劃署便強行呈上城市規劃委員會,是赤裸裸的霸王硬上弓!

政府是否想利用南圍村響鍾村作試點?即漠視民意強行在鄉村加插別墅群 若真如此,將來西貢的鄉村面貌將會面目全非,變成總有一座屋苑在咗近 到時車多人多,西貢出入市區的交通會更擠塞,筒直是未有最塞,只有更 塞!

我們懇請城市規劃委員會不要通過《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目。

## r

我們亦懇請政府撤回此修訂項目及儘早與南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村 的村民開會磋商日後的土地用途。

我等不勝感激。

祝鈞安!

南圍村居民 2019年7月22日

聯絡人:成元嬌 電話: 致

r 104

吳仕福先生 西貢區區議會主席 全體西貢區區議員

王水生先生 西貢鄉事委員會主席 全體西貢鄉事委員會執行委員

li.

1/2/112

張峰明划头

陳偉信先生 規劃署助理署長/新界區 運輸處 西貢地政處

抄送

鄉議局主席 劉業強先生 鄉議局副主席

敬啟者:

5月7日西貢區議會討論有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目

即在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地庫車場

我等乃南圍村居民,大部分為原居民,世代居於南圍村。部分由别處遷移 至南圍村,亦當南圍村是我們的家。我們近期得知以上所述的修訂項目, 現明確及强烈對其抗議及反對,原因如下:

(1) 南圍邊界被收窄

(a) 所涉及的土地原屬南圍村範圍,本已有數户居住在其中,南圍村村 民的部分祖墳亦在其中或附近,為何該地段突然被剔出南圍村範圍?這 是重大的修改,政府事前並無進行廣泛及高調的資詢或通知,南圍村 村民對此事感到震驚。如此重大的事情,政府理當召開村民大會通知 及解釋,但事實上政府並未有如此做,南圍村村民有被暗奪土地的感 覺。規劃處基於一份 1990 年使用的地圖辯稱發展項目在南圍村界以外, 固不涉及南圍。我們認為應以 1972 年(即丁屋政策生效年)的村界圖 作準,可惜規劃處未能公開此村界圖。現居於有關地段的村民地址 (以政府文件作實)由 1972 至今也是南圍,請參考有關 405 號屋的三 份附件。 (b)那些自出生已居住在那地段的南圍村村民現無故被『趕』出南圍村, 變成無村村民。政府的理據何在?事前政府有没有和受影響的村民商 議?

(2) 興建大量六層高别墅

(a) 交通方面:

- 現時南圍只有一條車路出村口,在早晚繁忙時段都出現車輛擠塞的情况,若再突然加插約130個住宅單位,而每户估計有一兩部車,對南圍村口的交通肯定做成很嚴重的擠塞。從那些别墅出來的路口又没有交通燈,很容易和南圍路的車輛發生碰撞,令意外频生。究景將來南圍路還是別墅路是主路(有優先行車權)?規劃處也未能作答。這令使用南圍路的駕駛者非常不安,他們將來不但要飽受在村口塞車之苦,還可能要由主變副,要先讓那些别墅車先行。這實在欺人太甚了。為何那項目不建一條新路由鄰近鄭植之中學的路段接駁西貢公路?起碼這樣對南圍路的影響少些。
- 響鐘村若加插 100 個豪宅單位後就可能增加約 150 架車輛。響鐘 村出來是繁忙的主要西貢公路和巴士站,若那路口有大量車輛出

入,會容易引發意外。

現在南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村的居民早上上班或返學都很難上 到小巴或巴士,因它們在前幾個巴士站早已載滿乘客。若然再突然加 插約共八百至一千人入住南圍村和響鐘村,到時我們如何能夠乘車出 市區上班上學?規劃處辯稱這230豪宅單位所增加的車輛流量佔整體西 頁很少。我們難以苟同。在繁忙時段樽頸位置這230豪宅單位所增加的 流量就是百上加斤。我們懇請政府向我們偉大的祖國學習。中國近年 在交通基建有卓越成就。以珠海橫琴為例,都是先建設好交通網絡才 讓入民入駐。我們建議香港政府先疏導目前樽頸位置的擠塞的情况, 然後才在西貢建屋。運輸處可考慮興建兩條行車天橋,一條由井欄樹 直達鑽石山地鐵站,另一條由鄭植之直達調頸嶺地鐵站。長遠計,運 輸處可考慮興建地鐵由坑口延長至科技大學及南圍。到時新巴士及小 巴線可以西貢至南圍循環行走,現時出彩虹或坑口的樽頸擠塞問題便 可解決了。

(b) 供電方面:根據中華電力負責南圍村的李先生及岑先生在 2019 年 1 月 30 日告知本村一位聯絡人成元嬌小姐,現時南圍村的供電設施已達 飽和,他們未能尋找到一個地方或方法來增加對南圍村的供電。所以, 若容許這麼龐大的屋苑加插在南圍中,對整個南圍村的供電都構成嚴 重壓力,恐怕是不勝負荷。

(c) **排污方面:**南圍村有一條河,原本河水清澈及有魚蝦的。可惜自從 有一私人屋苑(御采河堤)在上游興建後,其部分建築廢料被傾倒入 河中,做成瘀塞,現在河水亦不斷被不明來歷的日常污水所污染。間 中出現大量泡沫或臭味。現在所涉及要興建的大型屋苑就在那御采河 堤對面,我們恐怕那條河會進一步受到污染及發出臭味,破壞生態環 境及影響附近居民。

響鐘村也有一條河,該河現在河水亦不斷被不明來歷的日常污水所污染而發出臭味,影響附近居民。若再興建大型屋苑在附近,對附近生態環境的破壞更不堪設想,尤其是那裏還是海邊。

(d) 破壞風水:雖然並非每一個人都相信風水之說,但絕大部分南圍村 村民都深信不疑。現在政府計劃容許在村民祖墳前面或附近的地方興 建一大群六層高别墅,勢破南圍村的風水,令村民極度不安。

(e) 政府並無就興建别墅一事事先通知或諮詢南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村 三條村的居民。我們是從鄉事會得悉此事的。如此重大的事情也不通 知不諮詢受影響的村民就在區議會討論,政府是否打算暗渡陳倉?對 政府如此不尊重受影響的居民,我們真感到惶恐及憤慨。

政府是否想利用南圍村作試點?即先收窄鄉村的邊界,然後把剔出來 的土地更改用途讓發展商去建大型屋苑。若真如此,將來西貢的鄉村 面貌將會面目全非,變成總有一座屋苑在咗近!到時車多人多,西貢 出市區的交通會更擠塞,筒直是未有最塞,只有更塞! 我們懇請西貢區區議會及鄉事會全力支持南圍村村民及強烈反對政府 的有關修訂項目:《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬 議修訂項目。

我們亦懇請政府撤回此修訂項目及儘早與南圍村、 窩美村和響鐘村三條村的村民開會磋商日後的土地用途。

我等不勝感激。

祝鈞安!

南圍村居民 2019 年 5 月 2 日

聯絡人: 成元嬌電話:

## 致 城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

西貢小巴司機及從業員反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大 綱核准圖編號 S/SK-III/6》的擬議修訂項目 即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單 位及地庫車場

我們是西貢小巴司機及從業員,現明確及强烈反對以 上所述的修訂項目,主要是交通擠塞問題,令我們生計 大受影響。

現時繁忙時段(即早上返工返學,除了暑假和長假期前後)西貢出彩虹或坑口均有嚴重樽頸擠塞問題。規劃處 辯稱這 230 豪宅單位所增加的車輛流量佔整體西貢很 少。作為每天都要飽受塞車之苦的司機,我們實難同意。 我們曾要求運輸署提供數據來支持他們的結論,但尚未 有收到。規劃處只預計增加約 80 輛車,但以現在西貢 南圍為例,每個 700 呎豪宅單位最少有一部車,有些 2 部。保守估計 230 豪宅單位所增加的車輛最少有 350 部。 在繁忙時段樽頸位置這 230 豪宅單位所增加的車流量 就是百上加斤。

西貢至彩虹的車程原本只是二十多分鐘,但繁忙時段塞 車時就要個多小時。繁忙時段指平日早上七時至十時出 市區、下午五時至八時入西貢、假日七時至十一時入西 貢、下午四時至八時出市區。若沒有塞車,小巴原本一 小時可走一循環(西貢-彩虹-西貢),塞車就要三 小時才可走一循環。即我們賺少了兩倍。遇著道路有小 小交通事故,可塞上幾小時才行完原本二十分鐘的車程。 我們的時間就常常浪費了在塞車上,而不是運送乘客。 我們如何能賺到錢過活?若再加多350部車輛就更加塞 車,我們搵食就更艱難了!很多司機因賺不夠錢過活, 已被迫轉行。西貢區小巴現在長期缺乏司機,可鄰的居 民排隊等車就要等更長時間了。只有減少塞車,即減少 私家車,公共小巴司機才有生存空間,所以絕不可以再 容許增加大量私家車在道路上。現在西貢公路正在進行 的工程,只是治標不治本,完全不能解決樽頸擠塞的問題。

我們懇請城市規劃委員會不要通過《白沙灣分區計劃 大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目。

不勝感激。

祝鈞安!

her

#### 西貢小巴司機及從業員

2019年7月3日

聯絡人: 印光生

電話:

西賀小巴司機和從業員強烈反對《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的機識修訂項目,圖示 C 及 D,即反對與建大量六层高别墅,

2 c

即玉霞	派 荻忠	A
Liic	王殿者。	41212
二十六	了家国时	夏北云
17	剿海明	522
立行光	法告告	海之去
镇心南	朝天起	却侍基
in the second	示い	着你
Fritz	-	花傳え
展立平	御祭孽	11 4.
装牌礼	劉邊嗅	- A A Y
	专规	0,152
们展台	AN F WY	1 47 julite
長葉家	湖寺方	孝偉倍

五貢小巴司機和從業員强烈反對《白沙滩分區計劃大鍋核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目,圖示C及D。即反對與違大量六層高别墅。

家 御 鐘閣武 2 能課 4 ; · }__ 林 <u>.</u> P来 AND eV. eit 前了了 hi A 元等缝 EST 310 K 新 R 國得 2-5 /2 Sip .50 54 ť:

r	5.64		
tpbpd			
寄件者: 寄件日期: 收件者: 主旨:	anita hk 21日08月2019年星期三 11:46 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk Fwd: 西貢居民反對西貢南圍響鐘建大量豪宅《自	3沙灘分區計劃大綱相	亥准圖編號S/SK-HH/6》
7.4			

致

城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》 的擬議修訂項目 即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地庫車場 本人等現欲補充以下資料: TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-497 (1) WONG LAI PING 029 我是代表簽了名的西貢專線小巴經營者發言的。 (2) SING CHING ON TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-498 030 我是代表簽了名的广 發言的。我是南圍村村代表。 富 (3) YAU KEUNG HING TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-499 031 我是代表簽了名的西貢專線小巴司機及從業員發言的。 如有需要進一步資料, 請聯絡: 成元嬌 電話:

----- Forwarded message ----From: anita hk

Date: Mon, Jul 22, 2019 at 3:45 PM

Subject: Fwd: 西貢居民反對西貢南圍響鐘建大量豪宅 《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 To: <<u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk</u>>

致

城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》 的擬議修訂項目

即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地庫車場

本人現欲補充以下資料: 我身份証號碼前4位是

我是代表簽了名的三千多人發言的。如有需要進一步資料,請聯絡我: 成元嬌 電話:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: anita hk for the Date: Mon, 22 Jul 2019, 13:09

Subject: 西貢居民反對西貢南圍響鐘建大量豪宅《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》 To: <<u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk</u>>

致

城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬議修訂項目

即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地庫車場

現呈上反對信及簽名表如下:

	信件	簽名人數
西貢專線小巴經營者	1	9
西貢專線小巴司機及從業員	1	60
西貢居民	1	2,666
西貢南圍居民		368
西貢南圍村民詳細反對理由	1	

簽名總數

3,103

聯絡人:成元嬌小姐 電話	
--------------	--

以下信件已在附件中:

致

(

#### 城市規劃委員會秘書

香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

敬啟者:

西貢南圍居民反對有關《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的 擬議修訂項目

即反對在南圍和響鐘興建六層高别墅群共 230 豪宅單位及地庫車場

我等乃南圍村居民,大部分為原居民,世代居於南圍村。部分由別處遷移 至南圍村,亦當南圍村是我們的家。我們近期得知以上所述的修訂項目, 現明確及强烈對其抗議及反對,原因如下:

(1) 南圍村村民已使用涉及土地逾百年

所涉及的土地原屬南圍村範圍,本已有數户居住在其中約七、八十年(現 居於有關地段的村民地址(以政府文件作實)由1972至今也是南圍,請參 考有關 405 號屋的三份附件。),南圍村村民的部分祖墳亦在其中或附近 為何當初政府規劃村界時在沒有諮詢沒有通知下把該地段剔出南圍村範圍? 這是重大的修改,現在政府欲想在這地段興建大量豪宅及拆卸那些房屋我 們才知悉此事。南圍村村民對此事感到非常震驚。興建豪宅之事規劃署之 前並無進行廣泛及高調的諮詢或通知,如此重大的事情,政府理當召開村 民大會通知及解釋,但事實上政府並未有如此做,亦沒有區議員通知我們 南圍村村民是從鄉事會聽聞此事的。我們覺得政府想木已成舟後才通知村 民。得知此事後我們主動向規劃署反影反對理由,但規劃署不予理會,並 砌詞西貢交通和環境都不會受到此項目影響。

那些自出生已居住在那地段的南圍村村民現無故被『趕』出南圍村,變成 無村村民,政府的理據何在?他們的房屋將被拆毀,規劃署只說一句會根 據法例賠償,事前政府完全没有和受影響的村民商議。

(2) 興建大量六層高别墅的影響

現時南圍只有一條車路出村口,在早晚繁忙時段都出現車輛擠塞的情况, 若再突然加插 130 個住宅單位,對南圍村口的交通肯定做成很嚴重的擠塞 規劃署預計 130 個住宅單位只會增加約 45 架車,明顯低估了。以現時南圍 為例,每個 700 呎豪宅單位最少有一部車,有些 2 部。130 個住宅單位保 守估計增加 200 架車,這些車晚上回家後可泊在那裏?它們亦肯定會加劇 南圍和西貢在繁忙時段樽頸位置的擠塞情况。但規劃署和運輸署一概置之 不理。

從那些别墅出來的路口又没有交通燈,很容易和南圍路的車輛發生踫撞, 令意外频生。究景將來南圍路還是別墅路是主路(有優先行車權)?規劃 署不予作答。這令現時使用南圍路的駕駛者非常不安,他們將來不但要飽 受在村口塞車之苦,還可能要由主變副,要先讓那些別墅車先行。這實在 欺人太甚了。為何那項目不另建一條新路由鄰近鄭植之中學的路段接駁西 貢公路?起碼這樣對南圍路的影響少些。

響鐘村若加插 100 個豪宅單位後就可能增加約 150 架車輛。響鐘村出來是 繁忙的主要西貢公路和巴士站,若那路口有大量車輛出入,會容易引發意 外。

規劃署稱這 230 豪宅單位所增加的車輛流量佔整體西貢很少。我們難以苟同。在繁忙時段樽頸位置這 230 豪宅單位所增加的流量就是百上加斤。規 劃署未能提供任何數據來支持他們的說法,反之,任何一個西貢居民都可 作證及告訴大家他們每天所承受的搭車塞車之苦。

現在南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村的居民早上上班或返學都很難上到小 巴或巴士,因它們在前幾個巴士站早已載滿乘客。運輸署曾說現時西貢的 公共交通是足夠的。作為每天都要等車排長龍受塞車之苦的西貢居民,我 們實難同意。我們曾要求運輸署提供數據來支持他們的結論,但未有收到 若然再突然加插約共八百至一千人入住南圍村和響鐘村,到時我們如何能 夠乘車出市區上班上學?平日由下午四時至八時,彩虹地鐵 C2 出口為例, 就有放學放工的人大排長龍搭車返西貢。在繁忙時段西貢的公共交通根本 是不足夠的,再加人口就更不足夠。 我們懇請政府向我們偉大的祖國學習。中國近年在交通基建有卓越成就。 以珠海橫琴為例,都是先建設好交通網絡才讓入民入駐。我們建議香港政 府先疏導目前樽頸位置的擠塞的情况,然後才在西貢加建房屋。我們曾建 議運輸署考慮興建兩條行車天橋,一條由井欄樹後的交通燈路口直達鑽石 山地鐵站,另一條由鄭植之中學直達調頸嶺地鐵站。長遠計,運輸署可考 慮興建地鐵由坑口延長至科技大學及南圍。到時新巴士及小巴線可以西貢 至南圍循環行走,現時出彩虹或坑口的樽頸擠塞問題便可解決了。但運輸 署想也不想就拒絕了。

(b) 供電方面

根據中華電力負責南圍村的李先生及岑先生在 2019 年 1 月 30 日告知本村 一位聯絡人成元嬌小姐,現時南圍村的供電設施已達飽和,他們未能尋找 到一個地方或方法來增加對南圍村的供電。所以,若容許這麼龐大的屋苑 加插在南圍中,對整個南圍村的供電都構成嚴重壓力,恐怕是不勝負荷。 規劃署辯稱增加供電沒有問題,若然如此,為何現在中電不能滿足現有南 圍住戶要求增加其家中的供電?

(c) 排污方面

南圍村有一條河,原本河水清澈及有魚蝦的。可惜自從有一私人屋苑(御 采河堤)在上游興建後,其部分建築廢料被傾倒入河中,做成瘀塞,現在 河水亦不斷被不明來歷的日常污水(估計是洗車、洗地、寵物沖涼等)所 污染。間中出現大量泡沫或臭味。現在所涉及要興建的大型屋苑就在那御 采河堤對面,我們恐怕那條河會進一步受到污染及發出臭味,破壞生態環 境及影響附近居民。這些污水會直接流入大海嚴重傷害那裏僅餘的紅樹林

響鐘村也有一條河,該河現在河水亦不斷被不明來歷的日常污水所污染而發出惡臭,影響附近居民。若再興建大型屋苑在附近,對附近生態環境的破壞更不堪設想,尤其是那裏還是靠近海邊。

規劃署辯稱有關政府部門將會處理污染問題,但這只是規劃署一廂情願的 講法,沒有任何部門曾承諾會跟進。現在響鐘河的污染,何曾有部門去處 理?它的臭味每日有增無減。

(d) 破壞風水

雖然並非每一個人都相信風水之說,但絕大部分南圍村村民都深信不疑。 現在政府計劃容許在村民祖墳前面或附近的地方興建一大群六層高別墅, 形成攔腰一斬架局,勢破南圍村的風水,令村民極度不安。雖然南圍村村 民大多是善良的,但面對祖墳被毀風水被破難免會受到刺激,恐怕民憤一 旦被激發不可收拾,景況堪虞,政府是否願意承擔此後果?

(e) 不通知不諮詢受影響的居民

政府並無就興建別墅一事事先通知或諮詢南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村 的居民。我們是從鄉事會得悉此事的。如此重大的事情也不通知不諮詢受 影響的村民就在區議會討論,政府是否打算暗渡陳倉?對政府如此不尊重 受影響的居民,我們真感到惶恐及憤慨。

西貢區議會已於 2019 年 5 月 7 日通過臨時動議否決白沙灣分區計劃大綱 核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目,規劃署完全沒有合理回應,除 了把涉及的問題敷衍了事或推卸給其他部門跟進,而其他部門又未有跟進 規劃署便強行呈上城市規劃委員會,是赤裸裸的霸王硬上弓!

政府是否想利用南圍村響鍾村作試點?即漠視民意強行在鄉村加插別墅群 若真如此,將來西貢的鄉村面貌將會面目全非,變成總有一座屋苑在咗近 到時車多人多,西貢出入市區的交通會更擠塞,筒直是未有最塞,只有更 塞!

我們懇請城市規劃委員會不要通過《白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖 編號 S/SK-HH/6》的擬議修訂項目。

我們亦懇請政府撤回此修訂項目及儘早與南圍村、窩美村和響鐘村三條村 的村民開會磋商日後的土地用途。

我等不勝感激。

祝鈞安!

南圍村居民 2019年7月 22日

聯絡人	:	成元嬌
電話:		

**(** 



TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-500

tpbpd

寄件者:	Amy Chung
寄件日期:	17日08月2019年星期六 20:01
收件者:	tpbpd@pland.gov.hk
主旨:	反對西貢南圍及褶鐘興建新豪宅

敬啟者,

有關「白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6 的擬議修訂項目」

上述計劃早於 5 月 7 日已經區議會通過動議否決有關計劃,但城規會仍在 6 月刋憲。本人 居住西貢超過 20 年,由出生到現在,見證西貢的變化。近年愈來愈多人進出西貢及有不少新興建的住宅,交通已不勝負荷, 每天早上出門上班上學都由十多二十分鐘的路程到現在需要預早多一個小時出門 避免大量的上班人士和學生 門在同差不多的時間等車和多車一同行駛而致塞死。再者,很多時候道路交通使用者都新搬到西貢或因不熟 悉路況而發生很多交通意外再導致只有兩線出人的西貢塞死。當初沒有一位議員通知/告知這計劃,令我們險 些錯過反對期限,這些明顯是知道居民會反對想偷偷通過從中獲利!

在這 我本人 Amy Chung 反對這計劃 反對再興建住宅,這些住宅完全不會令等上公屋或大部分香港人獲益, 只會給有錢人,大陸人有利。不要再用一些提供更多居住地方給香港人做藉口,連一個新移民只來港兩年多 用了一年時間申請就能上公屋 而香港人就要超過十年!現在閱讀這電郵的你經過這幾個月的光復香港 還能 沈默嗎?雖然,可能你不住在西貢,但我相信香港大全部 18 區都面對同樣的問題!不只是交通問題,而是 有必要興建沒有年輕一代能負擔的樓嗎?如果你有小孩,你覺得他們的未來是怎樣?住五百多萬的小房子只 有二百多呎?或者是連二百多呎的住不上?

請停止在西貢興建任何沒有港人得益的住宅! 強烈譴責及反對計劃!

祝鈞安! 西貢居民 鍾小姐 啓

013

tpbpd		TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-501
寄件者: 寄件日期: 收件者: 主旨:	Q 19日08月2019年星期一 10:47 tpbpd Re: OPPOSE TO REZONING IN NAM WAI, HEUNG CHEUN	G
Dear Christina. belo numeric )	ow is the number Full name is Daniel Mclough	lin - ( first four alpha

Hebe Haven OZP No. S/SK-HH/7,

From: "tpbpd" <<u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk</u>> To: "mcloughlind" <<u>Section 1000</u> Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 10:27:16 AM Subject: RE: OPPOSE TO REZONING IN NAM WAI, HEUNG CHEUNG

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to your preceding email dated 15.8.2019.

As you have not mentioned any draft Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) number / Application number in your email, I write to seek your clarification on which draft plan / application case your representation / comment submission relates to. Your reply by 23.8.2019 is highly appreciated.

Furthermore, your attention is specially drawn to the latest guidelines TPB PG-No 29B in relationship to the submission of representations / comments / further representation. In particular, if the full name and the first four alphanumeric characters of HKID card / passport number are not provided, the submission shall be treated as not having been made. Thanks.

(Christina TSE) Town Planning Board Secretariat

From:

Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2019 10:16 AM To: <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk</u> Subject: OPPOSE TO REZONING IN NAM WAI, HEUNG CHEUNG

Dear Sir / Madam

I write to oppose the plan of rezoning in Nam Wai. there are many reasons bu this area has environmental qualities such as the mangroves and building could negatively impact upon this. Also there is one road in and out of Nam Wai, really another 230 flats would have adverse impacts on the traffic flow in and out of the village. I don't think this has been thought out properly

regards dan

## tpbpd

(

寄件者:	Green Sense環保觸覺	
寄件日期:	21日08月2019年星期三 18:25	
收件者:	tpbpd	
主旨:	有關:白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖(申請編號 S/SK-HH/7 )──環保觸覺意見書	
附件:	20190821 S_SK_HH_7_環保觸覺意見書.pdf	

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please find the attachment. Thank you.

環保觸覺 Green Sense

037



電話:8100 4877 傳真:3011 9577 地址:長沙灣郵政信箱 80710 號

電郵: info@greensense.org.hk 網頁: www.greensense.org.hk

致城市規劃委員會:

C

### 有關白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖(S/SK-HH/7)意見書

本會環保觸覺就白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖(S/SK-HH/7)中修訂項目 C 及 D 將一幅位於南圍 以南的用地由「綠化地帶」改劃為「住宅(丙類)5」地帶及把一幅位於南圍以南,現為「御采, 河堤」佔用的用地由「綠化地帶」改劃為「住宅(丙類)6」地帶提出反對,原因如下:

#### 開發綠化地帶 破壞西貢自然景觀

是次計劃大綱將南圍以南的綠化地帶改劃為低密度住宅用地,過程定必會砍伐大量樹木及 破壞原有植被生態,降低西貢鄉郊的景觀質素及剝奪當區居民該擁有的生活空間。綠化地帶原 意是作為城郊之間的緩衝,並不宜進行發展;但是次計劃大綱卻與之相違背,亦將破壞西貢自 然環境景觀。

#### 進一步惡化西貢交通問題

西貢交通擠塞問題困擾當區居民多時。縱使政府開展了改善西貢公路及蠔涌區內的道路 工程,但新建的低密度住宅,只會令更多車輛進出西貢,進一步加重西貢的交通負荷,令工程 作用不大。

#### 計劃未能為當區帶來益處

計劃大綱不但沒有令西貢居民有任何得益,改劃後興建的低密度住宅亦未能改善極大部 分香港市民的住屋需要,卻只是將發展不斷擴散至近郊及鄉郊地區。另外,修訂項目 A 及將 原本可興建對西貢居民有利的公共設施的「政府、機構或社區」用地改為住宅,並未真正顧及 到居民需要。

就以上各個理由,本會誠希城市規劃委員會重新審視是次用地改劃對西貢及當區居民的影響,亦不應輕易破壞環境,失去規劃綠化地帶的原意。

為減少行政開支及紙張,請勿郵寄確認信予本會。回覆請致電 8100-4877 或 電郵至 info@greensense.org.hk 與譚先生聯絡。

#### 環保觸覺

二零一九年八月二十一日

# tpbpd

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-503

寄件者: 寄件日期: 收件者: 主旨: Derek Crane < 19日08月2019年星期一 11:04 tpbpd RE: Nam Wai rezoning.

Hi

Please see below regarding my objection.

Outline zoning plan: OZP No. S/SK-HH/6 See below and link. Area of objection is C & D on the image below.

#### Name: Derek Roy Crane

Planning Zone



-----Original Message-----From: tpbpd <<u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk</u>> Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 10:27 AM To: Derek Crane

Subject: RE: Nam Wai rezoning.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to your preceding email dated 16.8.2019.

As you have not mentioned any draft Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) number / Application number in your e-mail, I write to seek your clarification on which draft plan / application case your representation / comment submission relates to. Your reply by 23.8.2019 is highly appreciated.

Furthermore, your attention is specially drawn to the latest guidelines TPB PG-No 29B in relationship to the submission of representations / comments / further representation. In particular, if the full name and the first four alphanumeric characters of HKID card / passport number are not provided, the submission shall be treated as not having been made.

Thanks.

(Christina TSE) Town Planning Board Secretariat

Original Message	
From: Derek Crane	Tomat Care
Sent: Friday, August 16, 2019 8:47 PM	
To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk	
Subject: Nam Wai rezoning.	

#### Dear Sir/Madam

Please note that I object the rezoning of land in Nam Wai from "Green Belt" to "Residential (Group C)5" for private housing development.

I strongly believe that Nam Wai land is a conservation area and should not be rezoned which would damage the land. If additional housing is required in that area then why not convert the old school and paint factory.

Please take this email as signing a petition against the rezoning.

Derek Crane

The information contained in this email (including all attached files) is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not disclose or use the information in this email in any way. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and delete the email and all attachments from your system. Please follow the attached URL to an important disclosure regarding our firm's privacy policy: https://apc01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.pag.com%2Fen%2Fprivacy-policy&data=02%7C01%7Cdcrane%40pag.com%7Cb02826cdbdaf46d8f8e708d7244cc307%7Cf541de3dcd734 8a0aac477d090e49ad5%7C1%7C1%7C637017784424998828&sdata=7cspXs%2BejpqZnGZwjVpUmD7Y6Ecr gnUqiLXD3MuACOw%3D&reserved=0 Thank you.

The information contained of this end open thing all adamined rises is profiled of a link legal of the base of the analysis of equilance of the standard of th

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-504

#### tpbpd

寄件日期: 20日08月2019年星期二 12:30	
收件者: tpbpd 主旨: Re: Objection to the rezoning in Heung Chun and Nam Wai (Sai Kung	)

Dear Mrs Tse,

We oppose the Hebe Haven outline Zoning plan NO S/SK-HH/6

My full name is HUREAU SAMUEL MARIE VELERY RAYMOND and my four first alphanumeric characters of my HKID card is

My wife full name is DUPAS FREDERIQUE MARIE HELENE and her first four alphanumeric characters of her HKID card is the second sec

Please let me know if you've all the necessary info to take into consideration our submission

Thanks a lot

Best regards

Samuel Hureau Frederique Dupas-Hureau

On Tue, Aug 20, 2019 at 11:01 AM tpbpd <<u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk</u>> wrote:

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to your preceding email dated 19.8.2019.

As you have not mentioned any draft Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) number / Application number in your email, I write to seek your clarification on which draft plan / application case your representation / comment submission relates to. Your reply by 23.8.2019 is highly appreciated.

Furthermore, your attention is specially drawn to the latest guidelines TPB PG-No 29B in relationship to the submission of representations / comments / further representation. In particular, if the full name and the first four alphanumeric characters of HKID card / passport number are not provided, the submission shall be treated as not having been made.

Thanks.

(Christina TSE)

Town Planning Board Secretariat

From: Samuel HUREAU [ Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 12:32 PM To: <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk</u> Subject: Objection to the rezoning in Heung Chun and Nam Wai (Sai Kung)

Dear Town Planning Board,

We write to you to strongly express our disapproval for the re-zoning project in the Heung Chun and Nam Wai area

To re-zone a Green Blet is unacceptable as Hong Kong is now facing huge pollution levels on a monthly basis

Also the global warming is now making the typhoons stronger and stronger

Please keep our Green Belt safe and enjoyable by all Hong Kong citizens

Thank you very much for your attention

Best regards

Samuel Hureau

Frederique Dupas-Hureau

(Sai Kung Residents for more than 6 years, Hong Kong residents for more than 11 years and parents of a little girl born and raised in Hong Kong)

## Business Manager - RCHK

ť



## 新界鄉議局 HEUNG YEE KUK NEW TERRITORIES

新界沙田石門安睦街 30 號 30 On Muk Street, Shek Mun, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: 2336 1151-2, 2338 8818, 2336 8659 Fax: 2338 3125 Website: www.hyknt.org Email: nthyk@netvigator.com

檔案編號:三十五/六/三十七/OO七三號 日 期:二〇一九年八月二日 郵遞及傳真 (傳真:2877 0245)

香港北角渣華道 333 號 北角政府合署 15 樓

城市規劃委員會秘書:

RECEIVED 6 AUG 2019 own Planning Board

有關反對西貢《白沙灣分區計劃大綱草圖(編號: S/SK-HH/7)》的 申述意見

本局收到西貢南圍村居民反映,對標題所述規劃圖則的反對意見。 本局認為南圍村居民訴求合理,對大綱草圖表示反對,主要意見如下:

- 一、南圍村村民已使用涉及土地逾百年,有關在該地段興建大量豪宅 的計劃,事前完全沒有進行充分諮詢,村民只能從鄉事委員會得 知消息,很多自出生起已居住在該地段的村民房屋將被拆毀,並 非簡單一句根據法例賠償就可以交代;
- 二、交通方面,規劃署嚴重低估興建大量六層高別墅對村民的影響, 首先興建豪宅必定加劇南圍和西貢在繁忙時段的交通擠塞情況, 該道路負荷本已相當沉重,容易造成交通意外。村民曾經建議運 輸署考慮興建地鐵和行車天橋,紓緩彩虹或坑口的交通擠塞問題, 但規劃署和運輸署對建議置之不理;
- 三、供電方面,規劃署聲稱增加供電沒有問題,但村民反映現時南圍 村的供電設施已飽和,假如政府於南圍興建大型屋苑,對整個南 圍村的供電都會構成嚴重壓力,恐怕不勝負荷;
- 四、排污方面,南圍村附近河流本已因為來自附近私人屋苑的建築廢 料而出現淤塞,河流出現不明來歷污水,甚至混雜大量泡沫及臭 味。村民憂慮屋苑落成後,污染問題將進一步惡化,嚴重破壞生 態環境及影響附近居民的生活;

- 五、西貢區議會已於2019年5月7日通過臨時動議,反對《白沙灣 分區計劃大綱草圖(編號:S/SK-HH/7)》,規劃署仍然漠視民意, 強行將草圖提交城規會討論,令村民感到惶恐和憤慨;及
- 六、風水方面,擬議規劃容許在村民祖墳前面或附近地方興建大型屋苑,形成「攔腰斬」格局,勢必破壞南圍村風水,影響後人福澤, 尤其令村內長者感到非常不安。

鑑於上述原因,西貢區鄉事委員會亦認同南圍村村民的訴求,本 局對標題所述規劃圖則表示反對,希望規劃署本著以人為本的精神, 盡快與西貢區鄉事委員會及受影響鄉村的村代表及村民召開會議,回 應村民鄉事會及村民的訴求。

專此奉達,敬祈 亮察。如有查詢請致電 2336-1151 與本局秘書 處聯絡。

新界鄉議局主 席: 劉業強

副主席: 林偉強

莫錦貴



副本送:西貢區鄉事委員會

C.L.

### 致: 城市規劃委員會主席及各位委員

#### 事由:

1 ... [

5月7日西貢區議會討論有關(白沙灣分區計劃大綱核淮圖編號 S/SKHH/6)的擬議修訂項目

即在南圍村綠化帶【R(C)5】地段,改建六層高別墅群計劃

#### 敬啟者

我本人是涉及今次收地事件受影響的居民,本人一家現今住的房屋是先嚴 在 1971 年政府批準在此地興建,由建成至今住也近五十年了。

當年父母輩都是從斜的山坡開墾成屋地,建築用的沙石都是從山下用擔 子擔上來,他們趕蛇驅蟲保護我們幼少的平安,摸黑偷早的把屋建成, 我家三代,一住下來都半世紀了。此屋是我們的祖屋也是我們的家。

貴會是一個精英雲集的委員會,今天我懇請主席和各位委員可否暫援 這【豪宅】建屋計劃,能規劃一個的屋宇建設大計利於大衆市民,勿把 餘下的綠化地帶也變為貪婪地產商的商品【豪宅】,把餘下的綠地變成 地產商銷售賣點,把香港的後花園(西貢區、白沙灣區)蠶食成為一叢 一叢石屎建築物,把美麗綠色地帶和內裡孕育的稀有植物、昆蟲、鳥 類及海岸綫受到破壞。。。。

雖然我此想法尤如【螳臂擋車】

但是我們在此還是懇請城市規劃委員會主席和各委員能全力支持反對,

反對政府的有關修訂項目:南圍村綠化地帶【R(C)5】地段,改建豪 宅型墅群的計劃



我們亦懇請政府撤回此修訂項目,使我們得以可以留住在祖屋,這是我 家三代人的愿望!

我等不勝感激。

祝鈞安!

- (

405 住宅郷民 楊學良上



聯詺電話

2019年7月31日

致規劃署署長李啟榮先生及城市規劃委員會委員:

事由:白沙灣分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/SK—HH/6 的修訂項目

敬啟者:

此致

.... **(** 

就上述修訂項目計劃,我們是南圍村綠化地區(第7頁C區計劃地段)改建影響的原居民,並於5月7日的西貢區議會會議日呈交了一封反對書(附件一)。

我們明白隨著時代的進步,鄉村可以發展得更好,但我們不明白,為何發展項目 可以令一個已住了五十多年,經歷四代人的根拔除?

我們的外祖父為南圍原居民,因膝下無兒只得女兒,故於1969年向政府租得此地, 並與爸爸親手開墾荒蕪的土地建成房屋。屋是父母親手建造,到我們還小的時候, 開始幫爸爸重新鋪橋補路,見証著通往家園而沒有圍欄的木橋被水沖毀,改建成 較堅固而有圍欄且安全的木橋,堅固的木橋再經不起風吹雨打,最後建成石橋, 現在石橋也被鄰近的豪宅改建,但沒有顧慮去積水問題,所以每逢大雨天,橋上 積水浸過腳踝。

現在外祖父母不在,爸爸也於幾年前離世,餘下母親話當年。年邁的母親身體不 再壯健,記憶力也開始衰退,對於年長老人,我們不想母親經歷遷居之變,也希 望繼續保存我們的祖屋。

此外,環境局在2016年的《香港生物多樣性策略及行動計劃2016-21》網頁第6 頁提到:「樹林約佔香港五分之一的土地面積,是野生生物的重要生境,更有助防止集水區水土流失。風水林面積雖小但蒼鬱茂密,是本地僅存的低地常綠闊葉林, 亦有不少稀有樹種和其他生物,甚具生態價值。」就在我們這個小小綠化範圍中, 也發現了不少稀有動物了(附件二)。

還有,我們以為現居的地方可以長達至 2047 年(附件三),故此,希望貴 署能因應 上述各項原因,考慮將上述收地改建豪宅計劃撒回或暫緩推行。

RECEIVED 楊氏丘春喜 聯絡電話: - 2 NUG 2019 (兒:楊永康 女:楊小梅 楊小恩) Town Planning Nound 1-8-2019 Board

刘 赵史 限编书 できた 卵戊伤

附件

我們想講兩百個個個名集中全主力支持反對,這些反對我科的評點對計用 日 :自改會分類計劃人間信義團 SSK-HH6,的優議推訂所且, 後們亦認品政科教同此推訂相目,估我們的見以可以指了規約前屆,這是如代 附上一架反對上连振怒如日之持有與他似乎民任善名。 語の語 杨小格 有法介 KIMH: 401-405 41111111111 ・単位目上在は社 基準 読火堂 学者で · 201017 : 261.5 M

密取得多,我怕我家老人出人之降,小喝菜户子却之庙,点人。下胡行路 · 王

r

正爱毛创建成吗,在际私人門外不有属空就的公用地,出地是葡萄菜给到的 **水马於快速震雨,但他們這裡花精和的授!也到面積將經費,私來也謂如茄** 「ないまでは十二五四四

王水生先生 西贝勒事委员會主席 全蜡西卢斯事委行委员

全體西式進出感到

與仁祐先生 西京區區議會主席

候保住先生 共叫客助理署及低界區 運動處 还真把政病

對浓熱先生 總議局副主席

能非治難族

100

北安家

這次以支部年代今至世國的長強出政府和困機,任後何也何從

所以我們接到民對把除下的保信也實為商能能動的聚在,把除下的推變成 後在的回音器,把我們做以為供之也,已長於沿是的來所的,戰使我們就 

我们提出以效地影响的理题也,消热转缩现代产的原作不够会的对点见。 最爱尤如新天路算,一般手用包站著笔筒,一把已出了五个多年的地方法 关出出不以出的风景也又好说。"小、小道"的由他们们们说 点。她说:"你见你了。" ・四天士発見」

即至本的问题的转出的名词词复杂开展 230 资富在完成使用作出出 5月7日西白斑破群路有黑(白沙漠分现計劃大頻度能異識別

[]記述即編輯(A t 9)HHNS/S

N. 11 1.

因这都是这条约:1项销型风能建的平衡,曹操建载的长空小孩子的华军,又说说着不用阳俗死烧,被求戴月华外工作把从建一步穿越这一点下更 着后世纪了,由来登取今回代人的很,指导,更是我们是来。

THE

S. HIS THE

Tomp 2 and 19

**伊時快展外部後換出出一一は1月1日最初や料風相快発や(金厳語) 緊張**勢 或下环劳货指小派到很叫费用处理,仅大场价新埠港水作林池。

也可菲诺挖之基并则沙华满头。……風吹出烧碧朱宁,梅天后它高锅门谷大 但這樣的日子要失去了,這件的對面干瘪或菜花,山城上的動來都被說下,

更是国间巴西下至,原用不美数增添的时候都希望就增加倍,另外格给关 24时 · 基本位何管理公司营业 · 勇等 · 国三国国才场 · 可以前政科管理 在述往村口的运路下,并生砖机的設計和道利行人道,砌塊都很易破開。

Neing

TX.



紅嘴藍鵲

- (

銀耳相思

(未確認:灰喉山椒)





Meung

附件二...2



Nemor

~ 完 ~

尚有很多,未能一一盡列。

(-1

附件三

#### 新界鄉郊物業的地租

「中英聯合聲明對件三」規定,在1997年6月30日前滿期而沒有續期權利的土地契約,可自動續期至2047年6月30日;無須補地價,但須於續期日起 繳交一項新地租,自1985年5月27日或以後批出的土地契約,亦須由1997年 7月1日起數交這項地租。

這項新地程是根據物裝應誤差飽在值的 3% 而證定, 日後也會按照應課差約 程值的變動而調整:

在新界運,某些鄉郊物業只須藏付優惠起金。「原居村民」(或「詛」或 「堂」)如自 1984 年 6 月 30 日開始一直擁有小型屋宇土地或其他鄉郊土地、或 者一直擁有在 1984 年 6 月 30 日之後批出的小型屋宇地段,可向地政總署署長申 銷租金優惠,以便繼續繳交現行的地租。

有關申請詳價可向下列地政總署的积金優惠小組辦事處查詢:一

位於西讀、沙田·大端及北區的物業:

租金優慕小組辦拏靂(東碼) 新晃大培 廣禧道 152 - 172 號 大博愛獎中心 10 荟 1002 茎 電話: 2654 7090

位於蜚騰、養賣、屯門、元朝及離島的物業;

**粮金優惠小組辦尊處(西區)** 新昇荃灣 西穆角路 38 號 荃灣政府全著 12 操 電話: 2416 327 [

已緩豁預繳交差納的「原居村民」並不會自動獲得土地的包金優落。在獲譽 程金優意之前,你可能會收到徵收新地租溫知審,若你已提出包金優惠的申請, 請通知卒署,以便在審批結果公布前暫緩印撥徵收地包通知審。

如果你有其他疑問, 請與本署聯絡, 地址及電話如下:

香港銅鐵海 軒尼詩道 500 號 興利中心 23 樓 電話: 2805 7666

邊蘭物葉估價著 1997年6月

..... (

tpbpd		TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-508
寄件者: 寄件日期: 收件者: 副本: 主旨:	SaiKung Green 13日08月2019年星期二 12:23 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 緊急-反對南圍以南部份土地毀林建屋	

城市規劃委員會各位委員:

我們是西貢南圍村一群關心環境保護人士,對政府有關<<白 沙灣分區大綱核准圖編號 S/SK-HH/6>>C項土地由綠化帶改劃為 、往宅(丙類)″的做法持保留態度。

上述地塊目前是自然保育區與居民區之間僅餘的寶貴緩沖地帶,現況是樹林茂密,物種繁多,生氣盎然。我們親眼見到的野 生動物包括猴子、野豬、箭豬、一些狸科動物,數十種鳥類蝴蝶 等。一位前漁農處西貢區區長告訴我們,他曾在該處發現一種本 港罕有的毛色金黃的鼠類,又說林中有很多我們不熟悉的有價值 樹種,更有珍貴的沉香樹和名貴藥材。

政府長期以來對這片人見人愛的土地漠不關心。我們時不時 見到一些形跡可疑人物在此出沒,有一次更目睹幾名大漢在距民 居十餘呎的地方砍伐一棵樹幹直徑近二呎的沉香樹。我們經常會 將各種可疑情況報告警方及有關部門,警方的回覆僅是:據調查 分析,這些人主要是來偷伐沉香,請我們放心!至今區內大型沉 香樹已所餘無幾,但還有為數不少的小型沉香樹亟待援手!

該區有一條小溪逶迤而過,南圍先民在溪水兩邊用石砌成結 實而整齊的河堤,是該區的一道風景綫。可惜日久失修,加上十 幾年前附近的 [°]采堤[″] 地產項目施工,影響到部份河堤崩塌,水 土流失,溪水斷流,溪面雜草叢生,蚊蟲為患。當其時,一群熱 心人士自告奮勇,從河水中搬起散落的大石,盡量按原貌砌回, 又清除河中汙泥,斷斷續續做了年餘,才使一段近百呎長的溪流 回復昔日美態。之後,我們惊喜地發現,原本已不復見的魚、蝦 蟹、蟮、龜又再重現生機。可惜好景不長,二年前,西貢公路一 架運油車翻側漏油,政府使用大量化學劑清洗路面,溪流生態大 受打擊,西貢公路改善工程開展後,持續的黃泥水不斷流入溪流

010

現今憑肉眼已不見魚蝦。如果該區再有建築工程,有理由相信, 溪中千百年來的原生物種勢將滅絕。

今年五月七日,政府在咨詢西貢區議會時,有議員問及該地 塊改劃用途有沒有做 "環評" 政府官員僅回應,該地块未來的地 產發展規模不大,無需做 "環評",這給所有熱心人士澆了一盆 冷水。當初政府將該地塊劃為 "綠化帶",一定有其需要,現在 要毀林建屋,一定要有充足理據,其中 "環評"更是必不可少, 政府在區議員不分黨派全票否決的情況下,趁現今社會動盪,混 水摸魚倉卒向城劃會呈上有關修訂,做法為人不齒,唯望各位委 員為大自然主持公道。

一群關心環保熱心人士

上

(

CC:南圍村居民代表成小姐

#### tpbpd

1

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-509

寄件者:	
寄件日期:	
收件者:	
<b>士</b> 旨・	

21日08月2019年星期三 21:59

tpbpd@pland.gov.hk; rkwlee@pland.gov.hk; imkchung@pland.gov.hk; ceo@ceo.gov.hk 反對 S/SK-HH/7 《白沙灣計劃大綱藍圖修訂》改劃私人住宅

致 行政長官林鄭月娥女士、規劃署李啟榮署長

本人現反對 S/SK-HH/7 《白沙灣計劃大綱藍圖修訂》改劃私人住宅,並希望保留響鐘政府地作社福用途。

#### 原因如下:

1)回應全港宿舍服務長期不足問題

就著香港現在 長者宿位輪候 和 傷殘/智障護理宿位 嚴重不足下,加上未來的人口老化,土地用作社 會福利院舍建設用途更形逼切。

根據政府將於將軍澳南第65C2及73A區及調景嶺67區興建福利設施中,計劃中只有65C區設有長者 鄰舍中心,三個地區的社福建設!未有任何宿位院舍!

根據社會福利處資料,護理安老宿位及護養院宿位輪候人數42,365,平均輪候時間分別為22個月及 26個月。(資料截至2019年7月31日的統計顯示)

傷殘/智障護理宿位輪候人數11,879,以東九龍(西貢區屬東九龍)嚴重弱智人士宿舍為例,輪候需時18年(資料截至2019年6月30日的統計顯示),加上香港人工作時間長,照顧者對長期病患及特殊康復需要的家人壓力很大。

計劃中的白沙灣的長者院舍是私人土地作私營院舍,可有250長者護理宿位。計劃中前西貢中心小學以提供一所60位嚴重弱智人士宿舍暨60位展能中心及一所100位合約安老院舍暨30位日間護理單位。這350個長者及60個弱智宿位,相對實際嚴重短缺情況,是九牛一毛!政府在宿舍服務社福設施方面明顯欠缺承擔,少恩少惠,漠視全香港社福宿位需要!

本人建議政府保能將響鐘GIC原本用途,以配合當地居民/或該地區域,以及全港的需要作社福康復 用途擴展,提供院舍有足夠戶外空間供院友活動,因為長期困於室內的康復模式,是非常不健康 的。優質康復宿位及服務在香港長期大排長龍,這是全港逼切需要。

#### 2) 損害公眾利益

根據發展局回覆,「政府亦計劃在西貢區透過購置物業以營辦社福設施」,這計劃間接承認西貢社 福設施用地不足,需要用公帑置業。政府位於響鐘用地交通方便,很適合興建社福設施服務大眾市 民,為何要將響鐘用地改劃為私人住宅作日後賣地?難道迎合地產商的土地改劃更乎合公眾利益? 更何況西貢區私人住宅空置率為9.4%,全港最高。

本人希望行政長官及李署長能以普羅大眾嘅利益為依歸。

余國威	
身分證號碼:	a fill said a fill a
聯絡電話:	

è . . .

TPB/R/S/SK-HH/7-510

#### 就草圖作出申述 Representation Relating to Draft Plan

参考編號 Reference Number:

190802-154323-35635

02/08/2019 15:43:23

提交限期 Deadline for submission:

21/08/2019

提交日期及時間

Date and time of submission:

「申述人」全名 Full Name of "Representer": 先生 Mr. Brian Ng for The Hong Kong and China Gas Co Ltd

「獲授權代理人」全名 Full Name of "Authorized Agent":

#### 與申述相關的草圖 Draft plan to which the representation relates:

S/SK-HH/7

申述的性質及理由

Nature of and reasons for the representation:

有關事項	性質	理由
Subject Matters	Nature	Reason
Item A, C, D.		We have no objection on the amendment item A, C & D. However, we note that th ere will be some residential development s in the close vicinity of the existing Hig h Pressure gas pipeline at the New Hira m's Highway. In this regards, we would request the future developer/ project prop onent to conduct a Quantitative Risk Ass essment for evaluating the potential risk and determining necessary mitigation me asures if required. The future developer/ project proponent should also consult our company in the design stage and close co ordinate with our company during the co nstruction stage and provide protective m easures.

對草圖的建議修訂(如有的話)

Proposed Amendments to Draft Plan(if any):

.......