SCHEDULE OF AMENDMENTS TO THE APPROVED SO KWUN WAT OUTLINE ZONING PLAN NO. S/TM-SKW/13 MADE BY THE TOWN PLANNING BOARD UNDER THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE (Chapter 131)

I. Amendment to Matters shown on the Plan

Item A – Rezoning of a site at Hong Fai Road from "Government, Institution or Community" ("G/IC") and "Green Belt" ("GB") to "Government, Institution or Community(1)" ("G/IC(1)") with stipulation of building height restriction.

II. Amendments to the Notes of the Plan

- (a) Addition of 'Flat (Government Staff Quarters only)(on land designated "G/IC(1)" only)' under Column 1 and revision of 'Flat' to 'Flat (not elsewhere specified)' under Column 2 of the Notes for "G/IC" zone.
- (b) Deletion of 'Market' from Column 2 of the Notes for "Comprehensive Development Area", "Residential (Group B)" and "Village Type Development" zones.
- (c) Revision of 'Shop and Services' to 'Shop and Services (not elsewhere specified)' under Column 2 of the Notes for "G/IC" zone.
- (d) Revision of 'Government Use (not elsewhere specified)' to 'Government Use' under Column 2 of the Notes for "Other Specified Uses" annotated "Petrol Filling Station" zone.
- (e) Revision to the Remarks of the Notes for "GB" zone.

Town Planning Board

List of Representers and Commenters in respect of the Draft So Kwun Wat Outline Zoning Plan No. S/TM-SKW/14

I. List of Representers

Representation No.	Name of Representer
(TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	
R1	Leong Ming Sau
R2	Law Bryan
R3	Wong Chiu Hing
R4	Tang Kwai Chuen Alan
R5	Law Polly
R6	Tse Chun Pun Benny
R7	Chak Mo Ling 翟慕玲
R8	Ho Kin San
R9	Cho Yee Mui
R10	Tai Mei Yee
R11	Cerio RoMelyn
R12	Im Man Ieng
R13	Chan Tsz Kin
R14	Ng Siu Wai
R15	Chow Kam Hung Vesta
R16	Rajat Bal
R17	Coman Anca Loana
R18	Ying Wing Hong
R19	Leung Sau Han
R20	Kong Man Wai
R21	Wu Hon Cheung
R22	Wu Juan Manwun
R23	Wu Nadia Hoyut
R24	陳鶯玲
R25	Tsui Yat Kun
R26	Ng Chun Hoi
R27	Chau Wai Fong
R28	Chan Wing Tak
R29	謝雯婷
R30	謝國基

R31	Wong Ka Lok
R32	Tse May Man Man
R33	Chung Ching Hon Johnathan
R34	Chung Yee Ching Beatrice
R35	Kowk Chi Tuen Jasmine
R36	Chung Hoi Ching Sarah
R37	Florence Pun
R38	Chung Wai
R39	Lam Kit Fun Kid
R40	Leung Ka Wah
R41	Law Sau Nang
R42	Hui Chun Fung
R43	Lau Tsan Yee
R44	Lui Mo Chi Samuel
R45	Chan Tat Wai 陳達威
R46	Pui Lan Lee 李佩蘭
R47	Wong Shuk Wai
R48	Moe Fang
R49	Wong Ping Sum
R50	Tai Wing Shing
R51	Lau Kwok Wing
R52	Lau Chung Ho Kelvin
R53	Lau Chung Hin Andy
R54	Choi Siu Sum
R55	陳錦明
R56	陳進業
R57	林秀英
R58	Wong Pong Chun James
R59	Lam Po Chun Jane
R60	Chan Chun Wei Michael
R61	陳泳聰
R62	洪玉芝
R63	陳詩恩
R64	陳雋昕
R65	蘇婉葵
R66	7±.111.74-
1100	陳世珠

R68	Cheng Sui Kit
R69	Wong Kwok Cheung Michael
R70	Lai Yuen Mei Judy
R71	Chan Kin Ho
R72	Zhu Ze Zhong
R73	Chi San Cheung
R74	Priscilla Mo Wah Chan
R75	Wong Yeung Ho
R76	Ho Wai Cheung
R77	劉碧娟
R78	Wong Yuk Fan Patky
R79	Wong Mei Mei
R80	Wong Ching Man Ruby
R81	Choi Mow Sang
R82	Tsoi Ngai Hong
R83	Lee Chi Ying
R84	Ka Liu Yeung
R85	Yau Wai Ming
R86	Tsoi Chun Hei
R87	岑志義
R88	Leung Mok Kwan
R89	蔣小平
R90	楊頓濂
R91	Lui Kwan Ho
R92	Lam Wai Yip
R93	Lam Tsz Shun
R94	Lam Yuk Cheung
R95	Lam Fai Yu
R96	Tong Chun 唐峻
R97	梁美娜
R98	廖英偉
R99	Kong Tommy Ming Fung
R100	Chung Wai Yin
R101	Kong Lily Tsz Lam
R102	Hui Kwok Wah
R103	Leung Ophelia Bik Fung
R104	梁美瑩

R105	梁美儀
R106	葉青
R107	陳重華
R108	廖英傑
R109	Kwok Che Man Simon
R110	Yu Doi Wah Eva
R111	Lee Foon
R112	Andrada Amalia Sibal
R113	林燕
R114	Man Lai Ying
R115	Kong Chun Yin Andy
R116	Kong Chun Ming Kevin
R117	Kong Choi
R118	Wu Fei
R119	Chan Po
R120	Wu Tin Tung
R121	Ying Siu Kang
R122	Chan Ching Yi
R123	Helly Jean Raymond Marie Roger
R124	Helly Joanne Françoise
R125	Chim Yuk Ming
R126	Anna Bogushevskaya
R127	Alexey Shumkov
R128	Chan Wing Yee
R129	Ng Moon Hui
R130	Kong Yuet Mui
R131	Ng Che Chung
R132	Ng Wei Lam
R133	Kwok Fat Cheong
R134	Kwok Lam Yin Ming
R135	Cheng Shu Ting
R136	Kwok Cheuk Shun
R137	Liu Shun Chee
R138	Liu Chi Yung
R139	Tam Ka Hei
R140	Chan Wai Man
R141	Chan Yuet Tung

R142	Wong Dawn
R143	Chan Kit Chung
R144	Wan May Sheung Tracy
R145	Law Wai Shing Wilson
R146	Lee Wen Hao
R147	Yung Ho Ching
R148	Cheung Man Wai Mawin
R149	Li Sze Kit
R150	Hui Yuen Yu
R151	Wong Kit Man
R152	Lee Wing Chung
R153	Lee Ka Leung
R154	Chan Yiu Chu
R155	Yip See Ying
R156	Yung Sau Kuen
R157	Ng Ka Tai
R158	Chung Kit Ki
R159	Chung Po Chun
R160	Fung Cho Wai
R161	Lau Esther
R162	Yung Sau Hung
R163	Chiu Pak
R164	Chiu Pak Kin
R165	Chiu Kwok Keung
R166	Chung Chi Hung
R167	Pang Yuk Ying
R168	Chuang Wai Sam
R169	Au Yeung Sin
R170	Kwok Shek Yeung Aaron
R171	Kwok Shek Hung Jerome
R172	Lolita Suyat Reyes
R173	Quino Crystal Kay Suyat
R174	Wang Wai Lai Grace
R175	Liu Chun Shing
R176	Kwan Koon Yu
R177	Lui Wan Kuen
R178	Kwan Pui Chi

R179	Leung Tin Po Sammy
R180	Lee Kan Ying
R181	Leung Chun Wah
R182	Tai Wai Kit 戴偉傑
R183	譚源深
R184	Ang Kee Jok Donny
R185	Man Lai Fong
R186	Yip Yuk Mui
R187	Cheung Hing Cheong
R188	Hui Hon Cheung
R189	Tang Yu Chun
R190	Hui Cheuk Ying
R191	Hui Ka Long
R192	Ng Siu Chung
R193	Lam Lok Wai
R194	Liang Jing
R195	Michael Sze
R196	Tang Wing Po Joyce
R197	Pai Hung Wen
R198	Peter Michael Cloete
R199	Tseng Hsin Ying
R200	Ho Suk Ming
R201	Shih Pei Li
R202	Tam Ka Wai
R203	Ng Wai Kit Patrick
R204	Ling Sze Ka
R205	Ho Pak Lok
R206	Yau Kin Ping
R207	Law Yuk Ying
R208	Lin Hsiao Yun
R209	Tam Tung Ho
R210	Tam Tung Yu
R211	Anuevo Cecile Flores
R212	Wah Cheung Hing
R213	Wah Tsun Ning
R214	Mo Yuk Mei
R215	Wong Chun On

	1
R216	Wong Kwok Hing
R217	Ma Lai Bing
R218	Ho Ka Yee
R219	Wong Yan Lung
R220	Wong Mok Ying
R221	Tang Wai Chun
R222	Cheung Sui Chun
R223	Yeung Po Yee
R224	Lo Yuk Sheung
R225	Man Lai Ching
R226	Pastor Gigi Farro
R227	Matthew Twomey
R228	Lin Suet Yu
R229	香雋彥
R230	楊思彥
R231	Lam Wai Fun
R232	Lin LiFen
R233	Yim Yuet Kairis
R234	譚卓欣
R235	Lee Kwok Kuen
R236	張家錡
R237	Chu Kit Yee
R238	高秀鶯
R239	Timothy Chan
R240	Ho Ching Yat
R241	Johawnes Liu
R242	陳廷翰
R243	Tse Tse Hin
R244	Aldric Lam
R245	Y W Li
R246	楊文如
R247	Fan Shu Fung Sandy
R248	Yeung Kwok Ki
R249	Chan Wai Yi
R250	Lai Nar
R251	Chan Yin Cheuk
R252	Chan Sze Cheuk

D252	Clean Tale Law
R253	Chau Tak Lam
R254	Lai Pui
R255	Chau Tsz Ying
R256	Chau Pui Chi
R257	Chau Chun Hei
R258	Yeung Kwok Ching
R259	Luk Shuk Yee
R260	Pun Kim Tat
R261	Koh Soo Lee
R262	Tang Yue Him Nicholas
R263	Ting Kathleen
R264	Ting Alexander
R265	Yeung Wing Mei
R266	Chau Chi Man
R267	Tang Kit Ming Francis
R268	Cheng King Yin Esther
R269	Sze Ho Yin
R270	Zhu Yuan Hau
R271	Lau Man Ling
R272	Choi Yuk Ting
R273	Kwan Yan Beatrice
R274	Yeung King Chung Spencer
R275	Yu Chor Sin
R276	Dia Rosanti Supriyono
R277	Chiu Mi Yee 趙美兒
R278	Lau May Chi Stella
R279	Lo Wing Han
R280	Yeung Chun Fung Edwin
R281	Yeung Chun Kiu Gilbert
R282	楊志偉
R283	Leung Chung Yin 梁仲賢
R284	Law Siu Lan Sanry 羅笑蘭
R285	Kaushik Ritu
R286	Ho Ming Wai
R287	Tse Chung Leung
R288	Tong Koon Wah
R289	Chan Ping Chung
L	

R290	Gary Tsang Cheuk Lung
R291	Leung Ching Kwan Grace
R292	Winocean Development Ltd
R293	Cheung Cho Kit 張祖傑
R294	李寶賢
R295	Chau Randy
R296	Natasha Christine Rodrigo
R297	Paau Fong
R298	Chan Suet Ha
R299	Chung Kwok Wa 鍾國華
R300	So Kit Ching
R301	Lee Kin Man Allan
R302	Ching Po Han Helen
R303	Tang Kin Pong
R304	Lau Siu Ying
R305	Tang Chor Shing
R306	Kwok King Yin Ruby
R307	Tang Kai Yeung Danie
R308	李子豪
R309	Chung Sik Hong 鍾錫康
R310	Chu Ngar Yee 朱雅儀
R311	李成新
R312	Chan Choi Wan Irene
R313	Hui Ling Dai Tiffany
R314	容玉華
R315	Ling Ching 凌靜
R316	Chau Mui 周梅
R317	Sri Lestari
R318	Wong Raymond Lea Wune
R319	Leung Tsz Ling
R320	Tsang Pui Ching Mona
R321	Chen Li You
R322	Kwan Chi Ha
R323	Leung Suk Yee Rebecca 梁淑儀
R324	Ma Lai Ching 馬禮禎
R325	Findlay John Arthur
R326	Fung Ka Lok

_	
R327	Leung Yee Lam Ella
R328	Fung Hui Fai
R329	梁志強
R330	Chan Mee Kuen Shirley 陳美娟
R331	冼娟明
R332	Suresh Rodrigo
R333	Hui Dik Shun Achevy 許迪舜
R334	Chan Yuk Ming Tracy
R335	Hui Yuk Kei
R336	Leung Kwok Chun
R337	潘瑞欣
R338	Lau Po Shan Gwen
R339	周欽枝
R340	Leung Wai Man
R341	Ip Ping Hong Antony
R342	Lai Ham 黎晗
R343	Tung Suk Ching 董淑貞
R344	Tse Ming Chun 謝名真
R345	Chu Tak Ming 朱德明
R346	Tse Ka Hei Karen
R347	Tse Hon Piu
R348	李銓慶
R349	Chan Siu Chi 陳兆治
R350	Lin Shun Heung Ophelia
R351	Chow On 周安
R352	Yuen Yee Fan
R353	吳錦儀
R354	Chan Ching Yung 陳青蓉
R355	Kwok Chi Kwong Danny 郭志光
R356	Tsang Hoi Ki
R357	Tsang Wai Kwong
R358	Mak Pik Shan Nancy 麥碧珊
R359	李澤光
R360	趙文霞
R361	Chu Mei Ling
R362	Chou Puing
R363	Leung Ching Han 深靜嫻

R364	Shum Yuen Ching Rita 岑婉菁
R365	梁菀棋
R366	Leung Yuen Chong
R367	Lo Choi Lin
R368	Lai Ngai Fung
R369	Lai Ming Kwai
R370	謝高鋒
R371	Choi Kit Hing
R372	Coman Anica
R373	Lau Hoi Yan Ada
R374	薛苗英
R375	區鍾云
R376	Cheng Kwok Leung 鄭國良
R377	Yeung Kau Mui 楊九妹
R378	Wong Mei Yin
R379	Chan Hoi Hung
R380	Kuk Yi Tan Glory 曲怡丹
R381	Chan Siu Kuen
R382	黎仲桁
R383	Ng Chuen Hau 伍存孝
R384	李詠
R385	Chung Pui Wah
R386	陳詠欣
R387	Chung Duncan Kwan Yin
R388	Cheung Cho Kit
R389	Cheung Yat Tung
R390	Chan Hang Sheung
R391	Cheung Ho Tung
R392	Yong Kit Yin
R393	Jenny Fung
R394	David Wong
R395	Li Oi Wah 李愛華
R396	Shum Wing Cheung Alex 沈榮昌
R397	Fung Yee Mei
R398	Wu Lit Chun
R399	Jane Wu
R400	Fung Yuk Ting Clarice

R401	Tang Yim Ling
R402	陳恩恩
R403	Poon Kim Fong
R404	Su Man Li
R405	Wong Kwok Ho 黄國豪
R406	Tse Chiu Yin 謝招燕
R407	Lee Koon Lam 李冠霖
R408	Mui Chi Keung 梅志強
R409	關教芳
R410	Sze Ai Lai
R411	Tseng Yishem
R412	Wong Yiu Shing 黃耀成
R413	So Shiu Yun Nap 蘇兆殷
R414	Wong Kar Yin
R415	Chow Jin 周靜
R416	Chan Ka Yee
R417	Lo Chun Yip
R418	Chan Choi Ching
R419	Hung Ngok Lui
R420	Chau Sau Fun
R421	趙文娜
R422	Tang Ha Chi
R423	Wong Wai Sum
R424	Chow Sin Ying
R425	Chiu Shiu Fang
R426	Chan Tze Kei
R427	Ng Chung Tung
R428	So Ching
R429	陳華
R430	Tseng Yishium
R431	Tseng Yuming
R432	Tse Chun Sang 謝俊生
R433	尹松波
R434	陳詠權
R435	黄潔瑩
R436	Tse Yuk Fung 謝玉鳳
R437	Chan Chun Fai 陳鎮輝

D 100	IT 75 A
R438	桂美為
R439	叶恵芝
R440	馮麗冰 馬麗冰
R441	林佩詩
R442	單耀承
R443	吳承泓
R444	Tse Tsz Hin
R445	郑冠雄
R446	Chung Mei Ngan Marina
R447	Tsui Fung Yee 徐鳳儀
R448	Hui Hiu Ping
R449	Chan Siu Fung Elton
R450	尹嘉儀
R451	單煜文
R452	Lau Kwok Wa 劉國華
R453	陳嘉熙
R454	倪受貴
R455	Lee Po Chun
R456	Cheung Wai Chuen Jimmy 張偉泉
R457	吳惠蓮
R458	Cheung Kasin
R459	鄧依晨
R460	Cheung Chin Ki
R461	Lam Tsz Ki Stephenie
R462	Ng Siu Ching Margaret
R463	Sheng Bun
R464	Yung Kin Tak 容健德
R465	Leung Yau On
R466	Fok Kwan Dip Albert
R467	曾麟江
R468	駱如岱
R469	Sutari
R470	陳嘉宇
R471	喻淑華
R472	陳中衡
R473	Chou Li Mei
R474	于存輝
	

R475	于立光
R476	葉敏浩
R477	垂倩嫻
R477	Kwok Woon King
R479	Li Fai 李輝
R480	Kong Po 江波
R481	Mui Yen Ching
R482	楊玉香

R483	許智傑
R484	Lam Deborah 林詠堃
R485	Ip Kam Hing
R486	Lau Chi Leung Ricky
R487	Ip Siu Yan
R488	Chan Siu Ching
R489	Au Leung Chak
R490	邱朗維
R491	Poon Sze Yuen Leo
R492	Lau Kam Sing
R493	Hui Shing Kwok
R494	Ying Oi Man Samantha
R495	Ying Oi Ka
R496	Hui Ka Lam Kevin
R497	Ho Choi Hung
R498	Lai Christie 黎琸瑤
R499	Lee Kung Min 李功敏
R500	Yip Wai Ying 葉慧英
R501	鄧富成
R502	Cheung Wai Yee Kelly
R503	Kuk Yi Kwan Spring 曲怡珺
R504	Kuk Pui David 曲渤
R505	Lau Jaimie
R506	Lau Bond
R507	陳祉縈
R508	關敏貞
R509	陳韻縈
R510	施世倫
R511	Chan Tze Shing
	O

R513	R512	Yeh Chi Hin
R514		
R516 Man Fung Lin R517 Chiu Ka Pik R518 Chiu Yu Hong R519 Chiu Sin Nang R520 Cheung Wai Man Iris 張緯雯 R521 Lai Kwok Fai Geoffrey 黎國輝 R522 Yeung Cheuk Ip 楊卓燁 R523 梁陽健 R524 Emmanuel Yip R525 李秀蓮 R526 To Wai Kuen R527 Tati bt sukadi ratim R528 Ho Siu Tong R529 Lam Kam Chuen R530 Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 R531 張美蓮 R532 張志華 R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R534 R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544		
R516 Man Fung Lin R517 Chiu Ka Pik R518 Chiu Yu Hong R519 Chiu Sin Nang R520 Cheung Wai Man Iris 張緯雯 R521 Lai Kwok Fai Geoffrey 黎國輝 R522 Yeung Cheuk Ip 楊卓燁 R523 梁陽健 R524 Emmanuel Yip R525 李秀蓮 R526 To Wai Kuen R527 Tati bt sukadi ratim R528 Ho Siu Tong R529 Lam Kam Chuen R530 Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 R531 張美蓮 R532 張志華 R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R534 R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544		Lee Yin Yi 李彥誼
R517		
R518 Chiu Yu Hong R519 Chiu Sin Nang R520 Cheung Wai Man Iris 張緯雯 R521 Lai Kwok Fai Geoffrey 黎國輝 R522 Yeung Cheuk Ip 楊卓燁 R523 梁賜健 R524 Emmanuel Yip R525 李秀蓮 R526 To Wai Kuen R527 Tati bt sukadi ratim R528 Ho Siu Tong R529 Lam Kam Chuen R530 Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 R531 張美蓮 R532 張志華 R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen	R517	
R519 Chiu Sin Nang R520 Cheung Wai Man Iris 張緯雯 R521 Lai Kwok Fai Geoffrey 黎國輝 R522 Yeung Cheuk Ip 楊卓燁 R523 梁陽健 R524 Emmanuel Yip R525 李秀蓮 R526 To Wai Kuen R527 Tati bt sukadi ratim R528 Ho Siu Tong R529 Lam Kam Chuen R530 Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 R531 張美蓮 R532 張志華 R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson K5 Lai Kuen Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅		Chiu Yu Hong
R520 Cheung Wai Man Iris 張續雯 R521 Lai Kwok Fai Geoffrey 黎國輝 R522 Yeung Cheuk Ip 楊卓燁 R523 梁賜健 R524 Emmanuel Yip R525 李秀蓮 R526 To Wai Kuen R527 Tati bt sukadi ratim R528 Ho Siu Tong R529 Lam Kam Chuen R530 Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 R531 張美蓮 R532 張志華 R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R519	
R521 Lai Kwok Fai Geoffrey 黎國輝 R522 Yeung Cheuk Ip 楊卓燁 R523 深陽健 R524 Emmanuel Yip R525 李秀蓮 R526 To Wai Kuen R527 Tati bt sukadi ratim R528 Ho Siu Tong R529 Lam Kam Chuen Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 張美蓮 R530 Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 R531 張美蓮 R532 張志華 R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R520	Cheung Wai Man Iris 張緯雯
R523 梁賜健 R524 Emmanuel Yip R525 李秀蓮 R526 To Wai Kuen R527 Tati bt sukadi ratim R528 Ho Siu Tong R529 Lam Kam Chuen R530 Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 R531 張美蓮 R532 張志華 R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R521	
R523 梁賜健 R524 Emmanuel Yip R525 李秀蓮 R526 To Wai Kuen R527 Tati bt sukadi ratim R528 Ho Siu Tong R529 Lam Kam Chuen R530 Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 R531 張美蓮 R532 張志華 R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R522	Yeung Cheuk Ip 楊卓燁
R525	R523	
R526 To Wai Kuen R527 Tati bt sukadi ratim R528 Ho Siu Tong R529 Lam Kam Chuen R530 Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 R531 張美蓮 R531 張表華 R532 張志華 R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho	R524	Emmanuel Yip
R527 Tati bt sukadi ratim R528 Ho Siu Tong R529 Lam Kam Chuen R530 Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 R531 張美蓮 R531 張志華 R532 張志華 R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho	R525	李秀蓮
R528	R526	To Wai Kuen
R529	R527	Tati bt sukadi ratim
R530 Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送 R531 張美蓮 R532 張志華 R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R528	Ho Siu Tong
R531	R529	Lam Kam Chuen
R532	R530	Li Tin Sung Peter 李天送
R533 Andrew Law Wing Nin R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R531	張美蓮
R534 Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R532	張志華
R535 Ruan Yiuen R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R533	Andrew Law Wing Nin
R536 Charles Jerome Broquard Jr R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R534	Poppe Bernardus Jeannes Theodorus
R537 Leung Wing Yee R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R535	Ruan Yiuen
R538 Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠 R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R536	Charles Jerome Broquard Jr
R539 Chan Naveen R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R537	Leung Wing Yee
R540 Nori Chan R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R538	Lee Wai Chu Linda 李惠珠
R541 Leung Kwan Hung R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R539	Chan Naveen
R542 楊玉君 R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R540	Nori Chan
R543 Lau Kwo R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R541	Leung Kwan Hung
R544 Betty Simpson R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R542	楊玉君
R545 Ki Lai Kuen R546 Hui Chi Ho R547 張詠紅	R543	Lau Kwo
R546 Hui Chi Ho R547	R544	Betty Simpson
R547	R545	Ki Lai Kuen
	R546	Hui Chi Ho
R548	R547	張詠紅
	R548	周笑霞

R550 Yim Chung Ming R551 Lee Pui Lin R552 陳樂豐 R553 Wong Kit Man R554 Lau Yan Yuen 劉仁元 R555 Wong Ki Kwan R554 Liu Chloe Hoi Yee R557 Chan Lai Kwan R558 Liu Kwok Chuen R559 陳健輝 R560 伍翠芬 R561 吳國民 R561 吳國民 R562 Wan Hon Chung R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou Iok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R583 陳健明 R584 林堅 R584 林堅 R584 林堅 R585 松澤縣 R584 林堅 R585 松澤縣 R584 林堅 R585 松澤縣 R584 林堅 R585 松朮素 R586 Wong Chun Sum Felix R588 Weigh R588 W	R549	Susita
R552 陳樂豐 R553 Wong Kit Man R554 Lau Yan Yuen 劉仁元 R555 Wong Ki Kwan R556 Liu Chloe Hoi Yee R557 Chan Lai Kwan R558 Liu Kwok Chuen R559 陳健輝 R560 伍翠芬 R561 吳國民 R562 Wan Hon Chung R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou lok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明領 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠美 R582	R550	Yim Chung Ming
R553 Wong Kit Man R554 Lau Yan Yuen 劉仁元 R555 Wong Ki Kwan R556 Liu Chloe Hoi Yee R557 Chan Lai Kwan R558 Liu Kwok Chuen R559 陳健輝 R560 伍翠芬 R561 吳國民 R562 Wan Hon Chung R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou lok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明領 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林學美 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix	R551	Lee Pui Lin
R554	R552	陳樂豐
R555 Wong Ki Kwan R556 Liu Chloe Hoi Yee R557 Chan Lai Kwan R558 Liu Kwok Chuen R559 陳健輝 R560 伍翠芬 R561 吳國民 R562 Wan Hon Chung R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou lok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明領 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明	R553	Wong Kit Man
R556 Liu Chloe Hoi Yee R557 Chan Lai Kwan R558 Liu Kwok Chuen R559 陳健輝 R560 伍翠芬 R561 吳國民 R562 Wan Hon Chung R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou lok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明	R554	Lau Yan Yuen 劉仁元
R557 Chan Lai Kwan R558 Liu Kwok Chuen R559 陳健輝 R560 伍翠芬 R561 吳國民 R562 Wan Hon Chung R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou lok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁爺修 R581 林翠美 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明	R555	Wong Ki Kwan
R558 Liu Kwok Chuen R559 陳健輝 R560 伍翠芬 R561 吳國民 R562 Wan Hon Chung R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou lok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明	R556	Liu Chloe Hoi Yee
R559 陳健輝 R560 伍翠芬 R561 吳國民 R562 Wan Hon Chung R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou lok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明	R557	Chan Lai Kwan
R560 伍翠芬 R561 吳國民 R562 Wan Hon Chung R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou lok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黄國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明	R558	Liu Kwok Chuen
R561 吳國民 R562 Wan Hon Chung R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou Iok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R559	陳健輝
R562 Wan Hon Chung R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou lok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R560	伍翠芬
R563 Chan Yuen Ying R564 吳彩月 R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou Iok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R561	吳國民
R564	R562	Wan Hon Chung
R565 Fung Yin Ping Linda R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou Iok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R563	Chan Yuen Ying
R566 Tsui Tak Chi Chime R567 Si Tou Iok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R564	吳彩月
R567 Si Tou Iok Mei R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R565	Fung Yin Ping Linda
R568 Wong Tak Yuen Sonny R569 Li Ka Man 李嘉敏 R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R566	Tsui Tak Chi Chime
R569	R567	Si Tou Iok Mei
R570 Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強 R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R568	Wong Tak Yuen Sonny
R571 Garcia Jorelyn Segui R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R569	Li Ka Man 李嘉敏
R572 馮興 R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R570	Wong Kwok Keung 黃國強
R573 Chan Tai Wai David R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R571	Garcia Jorelyn Segui
R574 Tung Sau Ling R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R572	馮興
R575 Wong Leng Kat R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R573	Chan Tai Wai David
R576 Shea Kiu R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R574	Tung Sau Ling
R577 Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭 R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R575	Wong Leng Kat
R578 Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌 R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R576	Shea Kiu
R579 Meng Qiang 蒙強 R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R577	Kwok Siu Lan 郭兆蘭
R580 梁銘修 R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R578	Lai Ming Chung 黎明頌
R581 林翠英 R582 Wong Chun Sum Felix R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R579	Meng Qiang 蒙強
R582Wong Chun Sum FelixR583陳健明R584林堅	R580	梁銘修
R583 陳健明 R584 林堅	R581	林翠英
R584 林堅	R582	Wong Chun Sum Felix
	R583	陳健明
R585 翟清金	R584	林堅
	R585	翟清金

R586	Ying Jo Wing
R587	Kim Ji Hyun
R588	Wajntal Michael King Tse Georges
R589	Yu Yee Hung
R590	Guy Wajntal
R591	Tuniah
R592	Lam Shiu Kwong 林兆光
R593	Wong Ngai Man
R594	徐鳳玲
R595	Lee Leong Ting Jeffrey
R596	Chan Sai Hing 陳世興
R597	Hung Kam Chuen Jacky
R598	Chan Kit Ha 陳潔霞
R599	Wong Shung Yee Kitty
R600	Helenban Calasagsag
R601	Ho Sau Har Adeline 何秀霞
R602	Cheung Karin Ka Fung
R603	Ng Tsz Kin
R604	Chong Ka Cheung
R605	Alexey Shumkov
R606	Anna Bogushevskaya
R607	Leung Tin Yan Tony
R608	Yan Pui Kiu Frankie
R609	Chen Liqun
R610	Liu Yu Zhu
R611	Jeevandeep Singh
R612	Wong Yee Ling
R613	Chau Shao Yee
R614	Chung Kai Hei
R615	Luk Jessica Yee Ting
R616	Nick Dearman
R617	Leung Ho Yin
R618	Dorbelle Bayubay Suminal
R619	Ricky Leung
R620	Rico Leung
R621	Ariwi Beatrice Anyango
R622	Ariwi John Odhiambo

D.C22	Class Cas Wiss
R623	Chan Sze Wing
R624	Wenny Cheong
R625	Ng Ewan Marcus
R626	Wong Yee Man
R627	Wat Wah
R628	Chung Kam Hing
R629	Tam Kwong Wah
R630	Jessica Green
R631	Harry Tam
R632	Kit Ying Elsa Mau
R633	Anna Green
R634	楊楚真
R635	Ian Green
R636	Chung Chi Keung
R637	Ng Siu Kwan
R638	Thomas Green
R639	Wong Chun Sum
R640	Wong Shek Kei
R641	Si Tou Lok Mei
R642	Lui Wai Hee
R643	Li Shuk Kuen
R644	Yu Hung Min
R645	Wong Chun Fai
R646	Chiu San Ngai
R647	Chau Chuen
R648	To Kok Yin
R649	Hsih Chun Yat
R650	Kan Man Wai Betty
R651	Cheng Pok Yan
R652	Lam Yu Yan
R653	Ng Siu Ping
R654	Chan Mee Fong
R655	Chow Tai Tai
R656	Wong Mei Veii
R657	Lau Wai Chi
R658	Li Wai Yue
R659	Lee Yuk Shuen

R660	Li Kan Yu
R661	Li Lok Yee
R662	Li Wing Yee
R663	Li Wai Kwong
R664	Lo Ying Chun
R665	Li Pik Yan
R666	Ng Lai Mei
R667	Lee Chi Lung Mervyn
R668	Chan Sze Lai
R669	Lam Kam Shuen Claudia
R670	Wong Wing Cheong
R671	Wong Tin Kwan Alfred
R672	Suminih
R673	Yuen Po Ning
R674	Ho Yuk Kwan
R675	Luk Wai Ching
R676	Wong Steffei
R677	Wong Steven
R678	Phoebe Judkins
R679	陳佩貞
R680	Wong Wai Chiu
R681	Lam Kwong Yim
R682	Lam Wing Yan
R683	Jason Mok
R684	Kwan Chui Ling
R685	徐秋玲
R686	Bernard Tam Kwok Kwong
R687	Lee Wai Chi
R688	Quan Ho
R689	Anthony Wong
R690	Hui Chi Kit
R691	Cheung Wing Hing
R692	吴國才
R693	戴曙清
R694	Ngan Ting Kei
R695	Ma Kwok Keung
R696	Lun Yuen Ting

R697	Wong Trinity
R698	彭志聰
R699	陳佩賢
R700	王岳
R701	王瑞岩
R702	岳秀芝
R703	于美鶯
R704	于美嘉
R705	于美懿
R706	Yuen Yiu Ming
R707	Ip Wei Ai Choo
R708	Ip Shue Fai
R709	Debby Archambaud Chao
R710	蔣小平
R711	Tam Man Po
R712	Tou Lok Mei
R713	Szeto Ho Karen
R714	Lam Ching Lei
R715	Chan Kwan Shing
R716	Ester Ng Ka Lai
R717	Au Kwok Kay
R718	Choi Matthew Chun Tung
R719	Pang Yuk Yin Irena
R720	Choi Hin Lok
R721	Ng Yuen Ting
R722	Ng Ka Shing
R723	Lau Lai Sim
R724	Lam Pik Kwan
R725	Yeung Kwok Fung
R726	Siu Leung Cheung
R727	Wong Shing Fook
R728	Wong Tak On
R729	Ho Lai Sim
R730	Wong Yin
R731	Yip King Wai
R732	Evans Peter
	Evans reter

R734	陳羅彪
R735	Lee Yuk Wah
R736	Lee Yin Ling Stevie 李燕玲
R737	Li Pui Sang 李培生
R738	Wu Ho Sing
R739	Yuen Yiu Keung
R740	Chan Wan Fai Susanna
R741	Choi Sik Nam
R742	Kwong Hing Yuk
R743	Yik Hiu Tung
R744	Tam Tin Po
R745	Yuen Sharon Kit Yee
R746	Ng Ka Ho
R747	Ng Wing Sum
R748	Lee Fung Ping Priscilla
R749	Chan Wai Kwong
R750	譚慧妍
R751	Tam Chun Hin 譚駿軒
R752	黄麗儀
R753	Kan Shui Fun Ivy
R754	Wong Sui Yee Catherine 黃瑞儀
R755	卓宝璞
R756	Tsang Mei Wa Tami
R757	Tsang Yat Sing
R758	Wong Lai Ha
R759	Almina V Deloso
R760	葉瑞平
R761	Mallillin Lina
R762	李志明
R763	林少女
R764	Wong Lap Mui
R765	李偉麟
R766	Winda Cinatur Siyamtini
R767	Pang Wei Veng 龐偉運
R768	Chung Yin Chau
R769	Lin Fu Wah
R770	Hung Wai Ting

R772 Wong Nancy R773 Ting Margaret Zhi Wen 章誌文 R774 Yung Mei Sze Maisy R775 Ting Po Paul 丁堡 R776 陳李建 R777 Maduro Maria Martina R778 邵燕凌 R779 Chiu Lai Yuen R780 賴麗菊 R781 Lam Kwai Fong R782 齊道庸 R783 Lai Ting Chuk R784 張巧仙 R785 章少玲 R786 林華中 R787 Lam Man Chun R788 Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有徳 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壚 R800 張冬菇 R801 張鶴野 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標簡 R804 張鶴野 R805 張皓鉤	R771	Chan Wai Ho
R774	R772	Wong Nancy
R775	R773	Ting Margaret Zhi Wen 章誌文
R776 陳李建 R777 Maduro Maria Martina R778 邵燕凌 R779 Chiu Lai Yuen 賴麗菊 R780 賴麗菊 Lam Kwai Fong 齊追庸 R783 Lai Ting Chuk 張巧仙 R784 張巧仙 R785 章少玲 R786 林華中 R787 Lam Man Chun R788 Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壤 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億購 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞 R804 張術鈞	R774	Yung Mei Sze Maisy
R777 Maduro Maria Martina R778 部本凌 R779 Chiu Lai Yuen 報題報 R780 報題報 R781 Lam Kwai Fong 齊道庸 R782 齊道庸 R783 Lai Ting Chuk R784 張巧仙 常少玲 R785 章少玲 R786 林華中 R787 Lam Man Chun R788 Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億購 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R775	Ting Po Paul 丁堡
R778 邵燕凌 R779 Chiu Lai Yuen R780 賴麗菊 R781 Lam Kwai Fong R782 齊追庸 R783 Lai Ting Chuk R784 張巧仙 R785 章少玲 R786 林華中 R787 Lam Man Chun Cheng Rachael Bui Yee Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯塊 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R776	陳李建
R779 Chiu Lai Yuen R780 賴麗菊 R781 Lam Kwai Fong 南道庸 第2 R782 齊道庸 R783 Lai Ting Chuk R784 張巧仙 R785 章少玲 R786 林華中 R787 Lam Man Chun R788 Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壩 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R777	Maduro Maria Martina
R780 類魔菊 Lam Kwai Fong Pilm R782 Pilm Pilm	R778	邵燕凌
R781 Lam Kwai Fong R782 齊道庸 R783 Lai Ting Chuk R784 張巧仙 R785 韋少玲 R786 林華中 R787 Lam Man Chun R788 Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯塊 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R779	Chiu Lai Yuen
R782 齊道庸 R783 Lai Ting Chuk R784 張巧仙 R785 韋少玲 R786 林華中 R787 Lam Man Chun R788 Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯墉 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R780	賴麗菊
R784 展巧仙 R785 章少玲 R786 林華中 R787 Lam Man Chun R788 Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鉤	R781	Lam Kwai Fong
R784 張巧仙 R785 章少玲 R786 林華中 R787 Lam Man Chun R788 Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R782	齊道庸
R786 棒華中 R787 Lam Man Chun R788 Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壩 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R783	Lai Ting Chuk
R786 林華中 R787 Lam Man Chun R788 Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R784	張巧仙
R787	R785	韋少玲
R788 Cheng Rachael Bui Yee R789 Law Yat Sing Mongan R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張修鈞	R786	林華中
R789	R787	Lam Man Chun
R790 Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壩 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R788	Cheng Rachael Bui Yee
R791 Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華 R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R789	Law Yat Sing Mongan
R792 Chow Yau Tak 周有德 R793 Li Yuk Fung R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R790	Cheng Jia Fu Jeffrey
R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R791	Kwok Yau Wah 郭幼華
R794 Carine Ligaspi Lozada R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R792	Chow Yau Tak 周有德
R795 Maria Ervy Genciagan R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R793	Li Yuk Fung
R796 Juloya Gina Navarro R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壩 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R794	Carine Ligaspi Lozada
R797 Yau Tsz Mei R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R795	Maria Ervy Genciagan
R798 Mak Ka Ping 麥家平 R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R796	Juloya Gina Navarro
R799 Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎 R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R797	Yau Tsz Mei
R800 張冬菇 R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R798	Mak Ka Ping 麥家平
R801 成億麟 R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R799	Ko Peck Hoon 高伯壎
R802 張馬騰 R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R800	張冬菇
R803 Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭 R804 張衡鈞	R801	成億麟
R804	R802	張馬騰
	R803	Tsang Pui Lan 曾標蘭
R805	R804	張衡鈞
	R805	張皓鈞
R806 蘇美馨	R806	蘇美馨
R807 Fung Tak Hoi	R807	Fung Tak Hoi

R808	Yau Kwok Chiu
R809	劉來興
R810	陳轉好
R811	陳健文
R812	Lau Susan Ann
R813	Lau Queenly 劉鈞怡
R814	Tang Alex Ting Hin
R815	劉黃月英
R816	黄樹強
R817	劉學勤
R818	陳錦鳳
R819	劉寶賢
R820	陳美蓮
R821	刘天送
R822	Mui Roger 梅頌豪
R823	Yik Kam Keung
R824	Chan Siu Ha
R825	袁捷生
R826	李雪馨
R827	Liu Lai Kuen
R828	Wan Wai Kam
R829	Lam Chi Sum
R830	Lam Yip Ching Jaydon
R831	Li Tsz Fung Albert
R832	Mary Mulvihill
R833	陳洲
R834	Wong Lung Nui
R835	Chan Tim Lam
R836	Kwong Ling
R837	Chiu Hiu Fung Jennifer
R838	Cheng Ching Fu
R839	Yick Wai Yee
R840	Tse Sau Yu Fiona
R841	東華三院小欖綜合康復服務大樓胡日敬

II. List of Commenters

Comment No.	Name of Commenter
(TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	
C1	Mary Mulvihill
C2	Lee Pui Lan
C3	Kong Man Wai

Summary of Representations and Comments and Planning Department's (PlanD's) Responses in respect of the Draft So Kwun Wat Outline Zoning Plan No. S/TM-SKW/14

(1) The grounds and views of the representations (**TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-R1** to **R841**), as well as the PlanD's responses are summarised below. There are a total of 841 valid representations, including 840 representations (**R1** to **R840**) raising objection to/adverse views on the proposed amendment Item A and one representation (**R841**) providing views on Item A.

(a) Adverse Representations (840)

Representation No.	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government
(TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)		Bureaux/Departments Concerned
A. Need for Providing Department	tal Quarters (DQs)	
R1, R3, R4, R7, R9 to R20, R22,	(A1) Inappropriate Site	
R24, R25, R28, R30, R31, R35,		
R45, R47, R49, R55, R65, R67 to	The Item A Site (the Site) is not suitable for	The proposed rezoning does not involve any change in the
R72, R75, R78, R79, R85, R88,	redeveloping into a high-density DQs as it is	land use of the Site, but only to facilitate redevelopment of
R91, R92, R94 to R96, R103,	small with uneven and sloping ground. The	the existing low-rise Correctional Services Department
R112, R114 to R116, R119, R124,	Site is also constrained by its only access road	1
R126, R127, R136, R141, R149,	(i.e. Hong Fai Road) which is steep and	for its married staff and better utilising the Site. With
R162, R166, R167, R169, R171,	narrow with limited traffic capacity for both	reference to the nearby high-rise residential development (i.e.
R182, R186 to R188, R190 to	the construction and operation stages. There	Palatial Coast) with building height (BH) restriction of
R192, R196 to R197, R200, R211,	are other more suitable and appropriate sites	102mPD (Plan H-5), the Site is suitable for high-rise DQs
R215, R216, R219 to R222, R226,	in Tai Lam or Tuen Mun for DQs	redevelopment in terms of land use and BH compatibility.
R228, R229, R231, R233 to R237,	development. However, no assessment on the	Relevant technical assessments (including Traffic Impact
R240, R243, R247, R253, R256,	availability of alternative site(s) has been	Assessment (TIA) and Geotechnical Planning Review
R264 to R267, R276, R282 to	conducted which violates the Town Planning	(GPR)) have concluded that the proposed redevelopment is
R285, R296, R298, R300 to R304,	Board Guidelines for Application for	technically feasible and relevant government departments
R308, R314 to R316, R318, R323,	Development within Green Belt ("GB") Zone	have no objection to or no adverse comment on the proposed
R324, R326, R332, R334, R340,	under Section 16 of the Town Planning	redevelopment.
R343, R345 to R348, R350, R352	Ordinance (the Ordinance) (TPB PG-No.10).	

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
(TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-) to R353, R356, R359, R365 to R366, R368, R372, R376, R377, R382, R385 to R386, R388 to R391, R393, R394, R396, R405, R411 to R413, R419, R423, R432, R435 to R437, R443, R447, R448, R450, R454 to R457, R461, R463, R465, R467, R473 to R476, R480 to R482, R484, R494, R496, R502, R507, R509, R513, R515 to R521, R523, R532, R538, R544 to R547, R549 to R551, R554 to R558, R560, R562, R566 to R567, R569 to R571, R580, R582, R584, R586, R592 to R596, R602, R604, R607, R608, R610 to R614, R616 to R623, R625, R629 to R635, R638 to R640, R643 to R645, R648, R649, R651, R659, R661, R667 to	Grounds and Views of Representations	▲
R669, R674, R675, R679, R683 to R686, R688 to R693, R696 to R702, R706 to R708, R711 to R735, R737, R739 to R742, R750, R751, R754, R758, R762, R770, R774 to R779, R788, R793 to R795, R798, R799, R827 to R830, R833 and R840		on traffic capacity, C3 on accessibility of public transport and F4 on geotechnical feasibility below are relevant.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R3, R9 to R18, R20, R22, R24,	(A2) Alternative Sites	
R25, R28, R33, R35, R36, R40,	. , ,	
R41, R43 to R46, R49, R55, R65,	CSD should explore alternative sites for DQs	In respect of the proposed alternatives sites, they are being
R68, R87, R91, R95, R103, R112,	development including those existing DQs	occupied/planned for other uses and not suitable for high-rise
R114 to R116, R124, R126, R127,	sites near the Marine Police Tai Lam Chung	DQs development due to various development constraints.
R143, R149, R162, R166, R167,	Base, Tai Lam Correctional Institution, Tai	More importantly, irrespective of whether there are other
R169, R188, R190 to R192, R196,	Lam Centre for Women and Siu Lam	suitable sites, the Site is suitable for DQs redevelopment.
R215 to R216, R219 to R222,	Psychiatric Centre, Siu Lam Tsuen/ Luen On	
R226, R228, R231, R235 to R237,	San Tsuen, and any other sites away from	
R240, R243, R265, R267, R276,	existing residential developments. Sites near	
R283 to R284, R290, R296, R300	Tai Lam should be selected for DQs	
to R302, R308, R314 to R316,	development as staff living at these sites	
R318, R326, R328, R332, R348,	could support emergency situations occurred	
R350, R352, R353, R359, R365,	in those correctional services facilities in Tai	
R366, R368, R372, R382, R388 to	Lam more swiftly and efficiently. It would	
R393, R396, R405, R439, R443,	also be more convenient for the staff and	
R457, R461, R465, R467, R473,	improve the security of these correctional	
R474, R476, R480 to R482, R496,	services facilities. These sites are also much	
R502, R509, R513, R516 to R521,	larger in site area and redevelopment of these	
R523, R532, R538, R544, R549 to	sites would not affect their surrounding	
R551, R554 to R558, R560, R569	natural environment (Plans H-3a & 3b).	
to R571, R580, R582, R584, R592		
to R595, R598, R602, R604, R607,	Redevelopment of the existing quarters	
R608, R618 to R623, R625, R629,	buildings which are of only 40 years is a waste	
R630, R632, R633, R638 to R640,	of public funds. Buildings of over 60 years	
R674, R698, R700, R701, R711 to	should be redeveloped instead.	
R733, R735, R737, R741, R742,		
R750, R751, R754, R762, R765,	Some suggest using the vacant	
R766, R769, R774, R776 to R779,	"Comprehensive Development Area"	

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R785 to R787, R793 to R795,	("CDA") site in Tai Lam Chung (Plan H-3b)	
R798, R835 and R840	for DQs development as staff quarters use	
	could be considered as a kind of residential	
	development which could be incorporated	
	well as part of a "CDA" development.	
R18, R46, R77, R91, R104, R107,	(A3) Alternative Means to Substitute DQs	
R110, R116, R118, R283, R284,		
R474, R475, R482, R545, R551	CSD should not solely rely on the provision	· · · ·
and R832	of DQs to maintain morale. DQs	<u> </u>
	development is also not in line with the	disciplined services staff, subject to the availability of
	government's pledge to phase out the	resources. According to CSD, the provision of DQs to staff
	provision of accommodation for civil	is one of the staff welfare in CSD. It is also one of the
	servants. There are various kinds of civil	initiatives to maintain staff morale. The provision of other
	service housing benefits to substitute DQs development to maintain morale. For	kinds of civil service housing benefits is subject to the staff's terms of appointment and the terms and conditions of
	development to maintain morale. For example, the Non-accountable Cash	relevant housing schemes under Civil Service Regulations,
	Allowance Scheme has been offered since	thus not applicable to all disciplined services staff in CSD.
	June 2000 to eligible recruits to encourage	CSD also advised that the vacancy rate and waiting time for
	civil servants to buy their own homes. Other	DQs are floating every year. In addition, there is a
	alternative means to maintain morale	continuous shortfall of DQs for eligible staff. As at 1 March
	include pay rise, promotion opportunity and	2023, a total of 274 CSD eligible staff are waiting to be
	improvement of working environment.	allocated quarters. Therefore, there is a continuous demand
	·	for provision of more DQs in CSD.
	With current decline of property prices and	
	rise in vacancy rate of residential units, CSD	The Site, which is mainly on formed land of suitable size with
	could purchase residential units from the	established infrastructural support, is suitable for DQs
	private market instead of constructing new	redevelopment. As it is currently occupied by existing
	DQs. Some suggest CSD to build new DQs	married quarters which have operated since 1977, the need

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	instead of redeveloping existing DQs in	and cost for refurbishment and maintenance of these aging
	order to prevent high cost of redevelopment,	buildings have been continuously increasing. Thus, utilising
	production of construction waste and long	the existing site and redeveloping these old quarters to
D0 D11 D27 D20 D24 D27	construction period.	increase the number of quarter units would be more beneficial.
R9, R11, R27, R29, R34, R37,	(A4) <u>High Cost of DQs Development</u>	beneficial.
R41, R49, R51, R55, R58, R59, R63, R95, R104, R106 to R109,	According to a previous response from the	The estimated costs of design and construction works for the
R114, R123, R124, R126, R127,	Architectural Services Department (ArchSD)	proposed DQs redevelopment will be formulated in the
R134, R145, R154, R155, R162,	to a Legislative Council (LegCo) member's	detailed design stage. The ArchSD, as the works agent of the
R165, R172, R187, R189, R190,	query on the high cost of DQs development at	project, advises that they will adopt 'fitness-for-purpose' and
R193, R196, R201, R224, R248,	Tin Wan, Aberdeen, a small redevelopment	'no frills design' principles in the design and construction of
R251, R265, R268, R276, R286,	site would increase the project's construction	the proposed development while different options would be
R290, R300 to R303, R314, R316,	cost as the contractor needed to rent nearby	studied to enhance cost-effectiveness to the project.
R323, R324, R326 to R329, R331,	sites for establishing site office and storing	
R334, R340, R343, R344, R346,	construction materials. Therefore, the	As announced in the 2014 Policy Address (please refer to
R347, R352, R376, R377, R380,	proposed redevelopment will not be cost-	paragraph 191), the Government would expedite eight DQs
R390, R392, R394, R405, R412,	effective in view of its small site area and	projects for the disciplined services departments. Six
R419, R430, R434, R435, R437,	generation of limited additional quarters (less	projects have been completed while the remaining two are in
R439, R441, R448, R451, R457,	than 100 units). Building of luxurious DQs is	progress. Although some of these units would be allocated
R463, R465 to R467, R474, R484,	also contrary to the existing sluggish	to CSD staff for shortening the staff's waiting time for
R485, R494, R504, R508, R514,	economy and expected fiscal deficit.	quarters, CSD needs to continue identifying suitable sites for
R523, R552, R566, R569 to R571,		building quarters and take forward other DQs development
R579, R580, R586, R590, R592,	It is not worthy to use huge public funds to	projects proactively to meet its demand.
R594, R596, R597, R607, R619,	redevelop the site for only 136 staff quarters	
R621, R622, R624, R625, R632,	in the expense of the residents of Palatial Coast with 850 units. The proposed	
R646, R647, R666, R736, R752, R753, R762, R765, R766, R769,	Coast with 850 units. The proposed redevelopment also contributes limited	
R770, R773, R774, R776 to R779,	benefits to the society.	
K//0, K//3, K//4, K//0 to K//9,	Deficitis to the society.	

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R789, R790, R793, R794, R827 to		
R830, R835 and R836		
R27, R34, R42, R44, R46, R51,	(A5) Need for Developing DQs	
R56, R64, R88, R99, R110, R120,		
R134, R155, R157, R161, R162,	The need for developing DQs could not be	
R164, R165, R176, R189, R196,	established based on a number of recently	
R218, R226, R228, R231, R235,	published figures. The vacancy rates of CSD	
R236, R248, R250 to R252, R256,	staff quarters are high (1.4% in 2019, 6.0% in	
R263 to R269, R290, R328, R352,	2020 and 1.6% in 2021), the waiting time for	
R356, R380, R405, R418, R480,	CSD staff quarters is the shortest (2.2 years)	
R496, R504, R521, R552, R555 to	amongst all disciplined services staff quarters	
R557, R569, R570, R582, R593,	in 2021, and the waiting time for CSD staff	
R603, R604, R607, R610, R612,	quarters is much shorter as compared to that	
R618, R619, R623 to R625, R629,	for public rental housing. CSD should first	
R631, R632, R639, R640, R644,	fully utilise their vacant staff quarters, such as	
R646 to R648, R651, R655, R656,	those in Stanley and Hei Ling Chau or	
R666, R674, R679, R683, R685,	redevelop those much older DQs buildings	
R687, R697, R699 to R702, R704,	prior to the Site to avoid wasting public funds.	
R706 to R708, R711 to R733,	Some request CSD to disclose the numbers of	
R739, R741, R765, R766, R769,	eligible staff for DQs and vacancy rate of	
R785 to R787, R832, R835 and	DQs.	
R836		
	Besides, some claim that information given in	
	the Rural and New Town Planning	
	Committee (RNTPC) Paper No. 7/22 is	
	inaccurate as there is no mentioning of a	
	policy to expedite DQs projects for	
	disciplined services departments in the 2014	
	Policy Address.	

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-) B. Compatibility of Development Intensity and Comprehensive Planning R21, R28, R46, R81, R104, R105, R107, R113, R120, R122, R135, R139 to R141, R145, R168, R175, R195, R198, R208, R219, R226, R228, R231, R235 to R237, R239, R240, R243, R247 to R249, R253, R257, R263, R264, R266, R268, R271, R273, R278, R280, R294, R391, R408, R410, R413, R474, R482, R515, R555, R558, R578, R582, R590, R593, R603, R604, R607, R609, R610, R612 to R614, R618, R623, R624, R627, R629, R632, R634, R637, R639, R640, R642, R643, R645, R648, R650, R651, R657, R659, R660, R668, R672 to R675, R679, R680, R683, R685 to R687, R690, R693, R697 to R702, R707, R711 to R735, R737 to R739, R741, R742, R824, **R836 and R837**

Grounds and Views of Representations

PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government **Bureaux/Departments Concerned**

(B1) Incompatible Development Intensity

High development intensity with BH of 21 storeys and plot ratio (PR) of 3.6 is incompatible with the surrounding area which is semi-rural in nature. Increase in development intensity would also reduce the attractiveness of the nearby Tai Lam Country Moreover, there are inadequate Park. justifications for adopting such a high PR which is nine times to the PR of 0.4 as set out under the TPB PG-No.10 for G/IC uses within the "GB" zone and 60% higher than the PR of 2.2 of the nearby residential development.

The proposed redevelopment is not in line with or contravenes the BH profile of the So Kwun Wat Planning Scheme Area as stated in the Explanatory Statement (ES) of the OZP. According to paragraph 7.1 of the ES of the OZP, 'in order to provide better planning control on the development intensity and BH upon development/redevelopment, to address public demand for greater certainty and transparency in the statutory planning system, and to meet the growing community aspirations for a better living environment, a review of the SKW OZP has been taken in Situated in an area of a number of government, institution and community (GIC) facilities and medium to low-density residential developments, the proposed DQs redevelopment with an intensity equivalent to PR of about 3.6 and a maximum BH of 90mPD is considered not incompatible with the adjoining medium-density residential development namely Palatial Coast to the immediate north and west of the Site with a PR of about 2.2 and a maximum BH of 102mPD (Plan H-5). Responses to A1 on TPB PG-No.10 above are relevant.

As explained in paragraph 7.1 of the ES of the OZP, the imposition of BH restrictions for the development zones on the OZP is to prevent out-of-context buildings and to preserve some key urban design attributes. The proposed DQs redevelopment is considered not incompatible with the medium-density residential development in the vicinity. Paragraph 7.3 of the ES also explains that the site for this DOs redevelopment is restricted to a maximum BH of 90mPD 'taking into account the nature of the planned use and high-rise residential development to its immediate northwest'. Paragraph 7.5 of the ES is to set out relevant criteria for considering s.16 planning applications for minor relaxation of BH, which is not applicable to amendment to the OZP.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	appropriate BH restrictions for various development zones to guide future development/redevelopment'. Paragraph 7.3 of the ES also states that 'facilities on land zoned "Government, institution or community" ("G/IC") are mainly low-rise with building heights ranging from 1 to 6 storeys. To maintain the low building height profile within the Area and the semi-rural character, the "G/IC" sites have been restricted to maximum building height ranging from 1 to 6 storeys to reflect their existing building height or the building height agreed amongst relevant Government departments'. Paragraph 7.5 of the ES also stipulates that planning merits such as better urban design, improvements to townscape of the locality, better streetscape/ good quality street level public urban space, provision of separation between buildings to enhance air and visual permeability and other factors should be taken into account in considering minor relaxation in respect of BH restriction. In this regard, the proposed amendment of increasing the BH of Item A Site will set an undesirable precedent which may lead to adverse cumulative impacts on the area.	lower-rise blocks. Regarding the comment on site utilisation, the development potential of the Site has already been optimised after duly considering factors such as traffic and infrastructural capacity, local land use context and characteristics, development intensity of the surrounding area and various possible impacts of the proposed redevelopment on the area concerned.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	Some suggest that the proposed BH of the redevelopment should not be higher than that of the existing DQs buildings. As a government project, the proposed redevelopment should follow the BH stipulated on the OZP. Some also suggest that the proposed redevelopment could be split into two to three blocks for lowering the BH. A representer, however, opines that the Site is not fully utilised as the proposed redevelopment would only lead to little plot ratio gain, resulting in inefficiency and waste of land resource.	
R145, R226, R228, R231, R235 to R237, R256, R264, R266, R267, R555, R582, R593, R603, R604, R610, R612, R618, R623, R629, R631, R639, R640, R644, R648, R651, R656, R674, R679, R683, R685, R687, R697, R699 to R702, R706 to R708, R711 to R733 and R741	(B2) Piecemeal Development The proposed single-block high-rise building is a piecemeal development and has very limited integration with adjacent potential developable areas. More comprehensive/large-scale planning for the area should be conducted instead. Some representers opine that the need of DQs development and suitable sites should be identified in large-scale development projects such as the New Development Areas for a more comprehensive planning instead of	developed and being occupied by various GIC facilities (Plan H-5). There is no plan for comprehensive redevelopment of the "G/IC" site. If large-scale re-planning of the wider area is considered necessary, land use review could be conducted separately. CSD advises that the future provision of new DQs in CSD would be from both new development sites and redevelopment sites, subject to demand and supply.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	using the Site which is small in size for providing limited DQs only.	
C. Traffic and Transport		

R1 to R7, R9, R12, R13, R15 to R19, R21 to R24, R26 to R28, R30, R31, R33, R35 to R37, R39 to R45, R47 to R50, R52, R54, R57 to R59, R62, R63, R65 to R80, R82 to R90, R92 to R99, R101, R102, R110, R111, R114, R117, R122 to R138, R140 to R142, R144, R146 to R162, R164 to R175, R177, R179 to R197, R199 to R202, R205 to R207, R210 to R225, R227, R229, R230, R232 to R234, R237 to R242, R244, R246, R249, R251, R254, R255, R258 to R263, R265 to R267, R270, R271, R273 to R276, R278, R279, R282 to R285, R288, R291 to R295, R297 to R307, R309 to R325, R327, R330, R332 to R335, R337 to R342, R344 to R353, R356 to R369, R371 to R388, R390, R393 to R405, R407, R409 to R418, R420, R422 to R427, R429 to R438, R440 to R443, R445 to R461, R463 to

(C1) Insufficient Traffic Capacity

The existing road network of the area (Siu Lam Road/ Siu Lam Tsuen Road and Hong Fai Road connecting to Castle Peak Road and Tuen Mun Road via the junction of Hong Fai Road/ Castle Peak Road - Tai Lam and Tai Lam Roundabout) has limited capacity and will be overloaded by additional traffic generated by the proposed redevelopment and the nearby soon-to-be-opened Integrated Rehabilitation Services Complex (IRSC) (about 1,700 beds and 1,000 staff) against the background that there are already several high-rise residential developments in the So Kwun Wat and Gold Coast area.

The narrow Hong Fai Road, which is the major access to the residential development and GIC facilities in the area, is a traffic accident blackspot and often has ongoing roadworks. Increased traffic congestion is anticipated with the proposed redevelopment which will affect travel of private cars and shuttle buses of the nearby residential

The Site is accessible to Castle Peak Road - Tai Lam and Tuen Mun Road via Siu Lam Road and Hong Fai Road (Plan H-3a). A TIA has been carried out by CSD to assess the possible traffic impact of the proposed DQs redevelopment. The estimated trip generation from the IRSC has been taken into account in assessing the traffic impact. According to the TIA, as the amount of additional traffic to be generated by the proposed redevelopment (from about 97 additional units) is not significant, all of the key junctions and road links in the vicinity of the Site would be operating within their capacities during the AM and PM peak hours in the design year 2032. It could be concluded that the proposed redevelopment would not create significant traffic impact on the nearby road network.

Regarding the concern on blocking the sightline of roads by the proposed redevelopment thus increasing the possibility of traffic accident, as the DQs block will be located at the northeastern part of the Site away from the Sit Lam Road/ Hong Fai Road junction located to the south of the Site and setbacks from roads are proposed, the proposed redevelopment will unlikely block the sightline to this junction.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R473, R475 to R481, R483 to	settlements (i.e. Palatial Coast and Siu Lam	
R491, R493 to R509, R511, R513,	Village), custodial and/or staff vehicles of the	As the proposed vehicular access to the Site will be located
R515 to R524, R526 to R539,	nearby correctional services and	at Siu Lam Road similar to the existing access arrangement,
R541 to R550, R552 to R557,	rehabilitation facilities, school buses, food	no construction vehicle related to the proposed
R559 to R571, R573 to R581,	delivery vans, refuse collection vehicles and	
R583 to R586, R590 to R598,	ambulances/emergency vehicles, which in	Fai Road abutting the southern boundary of the Site. Swept
R601, R602, R605 to R609, R611,	turn causes inconvenience to nearby residents	path analysis of heavy goods vehicles entering and leaving
R615 to R617, R619 to R639,	and affects operation/security of these GIC	the proposed redevelopment via the vehicular access and a
R641, R642, R645 to R650, R652,	facilities. Some suggest to widen Hong Fai	construction traffic impact assessment have been conducted
R654 to R657, R659 to R672,	Road.	in the TIA for the proposed redevelopment. The TIA
R676 to R678, R680 to R684,		concludes that as the construction site is small, the amount of
R686, R688, R689, R691 to R696,	The proposed redevelopment will likely block	j .
R703, R704, R706, R709, R710,	the sightline of roads and make traffic	1 /
R732 to R734, R737, R738, R740,	accidents more frequent.	significant traffic impact on the nearby road network over the
R742, R746 to R750, R752 to		construction period. Appropriate traffic safety measures
R756, R758 to R760, R763, R764,	Hong Fai Road and Siu Lam Road are not	<u>-</u>
R767, R770 to R773, R775, R782,	planned for supporting heavy vehicle traffic.	traffic.
R784 to R791, R793 to R799,	There are concerns about traffic safety (may	
R803 to R822, R824, R825, R827	easily cause traffic accident) and road	
to R830, R833 to R836 and R839	capacity (may cause blocking and traffic jam)	Highways Department (HyD) have no in-principle objection
	during the construction period of the proposed	to the proposed redevelopment from traffic engineering and
	redevelopment due to heavy vehicle access. It	highway maintenance perspectives.
	is proposed to prohibit heavy vehicles from	
	using Siu Lam Road.	
R4 to R7, R11, R15, R19, R26,	(C2) Pedestrian Safety and Footpath Capacity	
R27, R29 to R33, R35, R36, R39,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
R42, R44 to R46, R50, R52, R54,	There are concerns on pedestrian safety and	The pedestrian impact assessment undertaken in the TIA
R56, R58, R60 to R62, R64 to	footpath capacity of Hong Fai Road and Siu	indicates that all the concerned footpaths and crossings

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R71, R73 to R78, R80, R85, R89,	Lam Road. There are already many hikers to	would perform satisfactorily with sufficient capacities with
R90, R92, R93, R102, R105,	Tai Lam Country Park using the narrow	the proposed redevelopment. Notwithstanding, CSD stands
R106, R114, R118, R123 to R128,	footpath of Hong Fai Road. Increased	ready to explore possible enhancement to the pedestrian
R136, R137, R149, R152 to R155,	pedestrians from the proposed redevelopment	crossing condition in the vicinity of the proposed
R159, R161, R162, R166, R167,	will overload the nearby pedestrian links.	redevelopment with relevant parties during the detailed
R171 to R173, R179, R182, R183,	Moreover, there is no proper pedestrian	design stage. Appropriate traffic safety measures would also
R187 to R195, R197, R199, R201,	crossing facility along Hong Fai Road. In	be adopted to alert pedestrians on construction traffic.
R202, R205, R206, R209 to R212,	addition, there is a sharp bend near the	
R214 to R216, R221, R222, R224,	junction of Siu Lam Road and Hong Fai Road	
R230, R232, R234, R239, R244 to	which causes at least two blind spots for	
R246, R251, R255, R259, R261,	pedestrians using Hong Fai Road. It is	
R271, R282 to R285, R291, R294,	recommended to widen and improve	
R295, R297, R299, R304, R305,	pedestrian facility of this area.	
R309 to R311, R316, R320 to		
R325, R327, R330, R334, R335,	Small redevelopment site, narrow streets, and	
R337, R341, R345 to R347, R350	prolonged closure of footpath during the	
to R352, R356, R357, R359 to	construction period will pose danger on	
R362, R373, R374, R380, R383 to	pedestrians.	
R388, R390, R396 to R399, R401		
to R404, R406 to R414, R417,		
R418, R424, R426, R427, R430,		
R431, R442, R445, R446, R450,		
R453 to R456, R459, R460, R462,		
R464 to R467, R469 to R473,		
R476 to R477, R479, R481, R483,		
R485 to R492, R494 to R506,		
R508, R509, R511, R513, R515 to		
R520, R522, R526 to R533, R535		
to R537, R542 to R547, R549,		

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R550, R552 to R555, R559, R561,		
R562, R565 to R568, R570, R574,		
R576 to R578, R580, R584 to		
R586, R590, R591, R593, R594,		
R596 to R598, R601, R602, R605,		
R606, R609, R615, R617, R620,		
R623 to R629, R631 to R633,		
R635, R637 to R639, R641, R642,		
R645, R648 to R650, R652, R657,		
R659 to R661, R665 to R667,		
R670 to R672, R676 to R678,		
R680 to R684, R686, R688, R689,		
R691 to R696, R703, R709, R710,		
R732, R734, R747, R748, R750,		
R752, R754 to R756, R758, R764,		
R767, R771, R772, R782, R784,		
R788 to R791, R796 to R799,		
R804 to R810, R812 to R816,		
R819 to R822, R824, R827 to		
R830, R833, R835, R836 and		
R839		
D04 D125 D145 D146 D170	(C2) Proble Transport	
R84, R135, R145, R146, R179,	(C3) <u>Public Transport</u>	
R282, R313, R365, R366, R368,	Those is deficiency in multip toward	As advised by TD, the CMD Davis 42D (He Davis Chart
R369, R382, R420, R422, R425,	There is deficiency in public transport	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
R431, R432, R447, R456, R527,	services in the area. There is only one	Tai Lam Chung (Circular)) at present provides sufficient
R550, R586, R789 and R790	minibus route operating every half an hour in	
	the area, while the nearest bus stops are	heading for Tuen Mun Town Centre.
	located 700m to 1.4km away from the	

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	proposed redevelopment site. Therefore, an upgrade of public transport services is required. More public transport options should be made available to residents in the area.	In addition to the GMB service, a number of bus routes to and from various parts of Hong Kong Island and Kowloon could be found in the vicinity of the Site, with the nearest bus stops located at Castle Peak Road – Tai Lam westbound (Siu Lam Stop for Tuen Mun bound) within about 350m walking distance (about 4 minutes walking time), Castle Peak Road – Tai Lam eastbound (Ching Lai Road Stop for Kowloon bound) within about 450m walking distance (about 7 minutes walking time), and Tuen Mun Road Bus-Bus Interchange (Kowloon bound) within about 650m walking distance (about 10 minutes walking time) (Plan H-6h). By making reference to the surveys carried out in 2022 and 2023, TD considers that the bus routes observing the abovementioned bus stops could cater for the additional passenger demand from the Site.
R5 to R7, R10, R26, R38, R46, R62, R77, R99, R115, R117,	(C4) <u>Illegal Parking</u>	
R02, R77, R99, R113, R117, R126, R127, R129, R131, R134,	With the design population of 544, provision	A total of 54 residential and 5 visitor car parking spaces and
R136, R138, R143, R151, R153,	of only 59 parking spaces in the proposed	10 motorcycle parking spaces will be provided within the
R163, R203 to R204, R234, R245,	redevelopment is insufficient to meet the	proposed redevelopment to cater for parking needs according
R250, R252, R262, R263, R283,	parking needs of the residents. This might	to the relevant requirements for DQs and the HKPSG.
R284, R286, R326, R346, R352,	accelerate the existing illegal parking	Generally, the parking ratio for disciplined services quarters
R364, R370, R381, R392, R394,	problem near the Site and increase the	is higher than that for normal residential development, taking
R400, R415, R421, R432, R447,	chances of traffic accident and traffic	into full account the unique operational requirements of the
R456, R504, R539, R548, R561,	congestion.	disciplined services. TD has no objection to the proposed
R576, R595, R600, R623, R629,	On the continue of the 1	number of parking spaces for the proposed DQs
R631, R632, R634, R635, R655,	On the contrary, some opine that huge increase of private car parking spaces is not	redevelopment.
R705, R709, R733, R738, R760,	increase of private car parking spaces is not	

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R762 to R764, R770, R774, R776 to R779, R795, R812, R835 and R836	environmentally efficient and therefore the number of parking spaces should be reduced and point-to-point bus service should be provided.	
R3, R13, R25, R27, R32, R42, R45, R68, R70, R74, R76, R77, R125, R130, R135, R137, R138, R140, R141, R143, R148, R150, R151, R159, R162, R165 to R169, R173, R177, R179, R189, R194, R199, R200, R206, R207, R212, R216, R217, R220, R225, R237 to R239, R241, R246, R249, R254, R255, R258, R265, R271, R273, R283, R284, R287, R289, R309, R379, R404, R449, R467, R514, R515, R570, R593, R607, R609, R615, R624, R625, R627, R628, R631, R632, R636, R641, R642, R645, R647, R648, R652, R654, R657, R659 to R661, R663, R665, R667, R670, R672, R677, R680, R683, R686, R688, R689, R691 to R693, R696, R703, R710, R734,	 The TIA is not comprehensive and fails to assess the following traffic conditions: Family members of the disciplinary staff do not work on shift and have similar trip pattern with other nearby residents. Traffic flows at Hong Fai Road therefore will be largely increased due to similar trip pattern. Reference of traffic flow to Kwai Chung Hospital is inappropriate as the number of beds in Kwai Chung Hospital is 920, which is 25% fewer than the 1150 beds of the 	 In estimating the amount of vehicular traffic induced by the proposed redevelopment, references have been made to the trip rates observed at various disciplinary staff quarters. As disciplinary services staff have to work on shift basis, the trip rates observed at the existing DQs sites are generally lower than the trip rate for private housing. To provide a more conservative estimate, the higher trip rate for private housing has been adopted in the TIA for the proposed redevelopment. It should be noted that the trip generation per bed per hour, instead of the observed traffic flows (i.e. number of vehicles/hour), of Kwai Chung Hospital has been adopted for estimating the peak hour trip generation
R740, R761, R768, R770, R800 to R802, R817, R831 and R834 to R837	IRSC.	induced by the IRSC (by multiplying the trip generation per bed per hour with the planned number of beds of the IRSC) in the TIA.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	• Inaccurate figure of footpath width has been used in the assessment. The effective footpath width of the narrowest section (for not less than 5m long) of Hong Fai Road is only 70-80cm. The situation during the construction period and the role of Hong Fai Road as the only pedestrian access linking between bus stops, the proposed redevelopment site, residential developments in Siu Lam and the future IRSC have not been considered in the assessment.	• The footpath widths along the pedestrian routes have been reviewed and verified by CSD's consultant. It is noted that localized narrow points (each around 1m in length) at the catch pits along Hong Fai Road are sufficient for pedestrians to pass through. Responses to C1 on construction traffic and C2 on pedestrian impact assessment above are relevant.
	The traffic condition of Tuen Mun Road (Siu Lam Section and Sham Tseng Section) has been neglected in the assessment.	• In view of the relatively small scale of the proposed redevelopment and anticipated low additional traffic induced by the proposed redevelopment during construction and operation phases, the proposed TIA study area for the proposed redevelopment, as agreed by TD, has already included all the relevant key junctions and road links in the vicinity for assessment
	• The date and time of traffic survey are not representative for traffic flow projection as the survey was conducted within the COVID-19 period and only 10 days after the Chinese Lunar New Year holiday with some businesses and schools may not be fully resumed. There are also more traffic flows during late morning instead of 07:00	• The traffic survey was conducted in February 2021. To address the potential impact due to COVID-19, a comparison of the 2021 survey flows with the historical traffic flows recorded at the corresponding links before COVID-19 has been made and an adjustment factor (+3%) has been applied to the survey data to derive the baseline situation for the TIA. While there is prohibition of car entering Tuen Mun Road from Siu

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	to 10:00 as cars are prohibited from entering Tuen Mun Road from Siu Lam from 07:30 to 09:00 on weekdays.	Lam from 07:30 to 09:00a.m. on weekdays morning, the traffic survey confirms that the AM peak hour occurs from 07:15 to 08:15a.m.
	• The assumed annual growth factor of +1.74% adopted in the TIA is far below the percentage increase of population using Hong Fai Road (i.e. 544/2535=21.5%). The predicted growth of population should refer to the Tertiary Planning Unit (TPU) 426 (with annual average growth rate of 11.4%) from the "Projections of Population Distribution 2021-2029", instead of referencing the 2016-based Territorial Population and Employment Data Matrices (TPEDM) planning data (+1.53%) for Northwest New Territories since So Kuwn Wat and Tuen Mun East is a rapidly growing area.	• It is not appropriate to make a direct comparison of population increase of an individual site with the long-term traffic growth of the area. It should be noted that the sections of Tuen Mun Road and Castle Peak Road falling within the TIA Study Area are main roads serving the population and business hubs in the North West New Territories (NWNT) region (Tuen Mun, Yuen Long and Tin Shui Wai), in which TPU426 (i.e. Castle Peak Bay area and part of So Kwun Wat) is included therein. Hence, it is considered more appropriate to make vehicular traffic growth rate reference to the population growth in NWNT which would have impact on these sections of Tuen Mun Road and Castle Peak Road. As shown in the table below, an average population growth rates of 1.39% and 0.86% per annum are anticipated for Tuen Mun and Yuen Long respectively between 2019 and 2029. Hence, the annual population growth rate of +1.74% with reference to the historical Annual Traffic Census data and +1.53% with reference to TPEDM planning data in the TIA Report are more conservative. To establish the worst-case scenario, the higher rate of +1.74% has been adopted in the TIA Report. Furthermore, the additional traffic to be generated by the IRSC and the proposed redevelopment have been taken into account on top of

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
		the background traffic (i.e. after applying the adopted growth rate) in the traffic assessment.
		2019-2029
		Population 2019 2029 Difference Growth p.a.
		Tuen Mun 503,100 577,400 74,300 +1.39%
		Yuen Long 650,100 708,200 58,100 +0.86%
	• The annual growth rate of 3% and additional 1% for the IRSC adopted in the pedestrian impact assessment are inconvincible as there will be more than 20% additional road users and staff from the IRSC.	by the proposed redevelopment, references have been made to the amount of walking trips recorded at several disciplinary staff quarters. Similar to the projection of vehicular traffic, an adjustment factor (+3%) has been applied to the surveyed pedestrian flows to address the potential impact due to COVID-19. As the IRSC is situated at a higher level, users/visitors/staff are
		expected to take vehicular transport for accessing the IRSC and hence the number of pedestrians using Hong Fai Road would be small. Nevertheless, an annual average pedestrian growth rate of +1% has been adopted for the IRSC as a conservative estimate in the TIA. Responses to C2 on pedestrian impact assessment above are relevant.
	 The TIA was conducted 5 years ago which has not considered the exponential traffic 	CACCA : 1 TDCC 1 1 1 1 1

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	growth brought by some recent projects such as the IRSC and the potential developments under the 'Study for Developments of Tuen Mun East and Adjacent Green Belt Cluster – Feasibility Study' (the TME&GB Study). It is unreasonable to conclude that there will be limited traffic increase in view of the potential housing developments in So Kwun Wat and Tuen Mun East.	has just been commenced in May 2023 and the resultant traffic impact on the area will be assessed under the
D. Environmental, Ecological and	Landscape	
R1, R21, R125, R203, R205, R304, R325, R332, R339, R340, R348, R378, R385, R401, R402, R566 and R631	(D1) Environmental Assessment The proposed redevelopment will bring about adverse environmental impacts. However, no comprehensive Environmental Assessment has been conducted for the proposed redevelopment.	A Preliminary Environmental Review (PER) assessing impacts on air quality, noise, water quality, waste management and land contamination from the proposed redevelopment has been conducted. The PER concluded that the proposed redevelopment is environmentally acceptable and feasible. The Environmental Protection Department (EPD) has no in-principle objection to the proposed redevelopment.
R7, R12, R23, R24, R26, R31, R33, R34, R38, R40, R42, R45, R50, R54, R55, R57, R60, R66, R68, R77, R79, R80, R85, R87, R88, R92, R94, R96, R104, R108	(D2) Environmental Impact from Construction The construction of IRSC has already induced adverse air and noise pollution to residents nearby (Siu Lam Tsuen and Palatial Coast) in	redevelopment will control construction noise and dust
to R110, R112, R118, R123, R126	the past few years. The proposed	

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
to R128, R131 to R133, R135, R152, R154, R158 to R162, R164, R165, R167, R170, R184 to R186, R203, R205, R211, R242, R274, R276, R299, R300, R306 to R308, R313, R314, R318, R323, R325, R329, R335, R336, R385, R387 to R389, R391, R393, R400, R411, R417, R418, R421, R423, R427, R429, R432, R447, R448, R457, R467, R479, R483, R485, R489, R491, R495, R497, R502, R505, R506, R508, R512, R525, R527, R529, R531, R537, R538, R542, R543, R545, R546, R555, R556, R558 to R560, R571, R580, R582, R585, R587, R588, R593, R601, R619, R623, R625, R629, R631 to R633, R637, R639, R649, R664, R677, R694, R711 to R731, R733, R741, R743, R744, R748, R750, R751, R755, R757, R760, R763, R764, R767, R782, R784, R792, R796 to R798, R805, R807, R808, R815, R818, R823, R826 to R830, R834 and R836	redevelopment will further induce adverse air quality, dust and noise impacts as well as land and water pollution during the construction stage for additional years and lead to cumulative impacts. These adverse environmental impacts would affect staff and persons in the correctional services facilities and patients of the rehabilitation complex who are in need of quiet environment for recovery. The residents of Palatial Coast, especially elderly and chronic patients, would also be affected. Moreover, construction noise and air quality impacts would leave bad impression for foreign visitors visiting Tai Lam Country Park and affect the reputation of Hong Kong.	(Construction Dust) Regulation through the implementation of good site practices, such as the use of acoustic lining or shields for noisy construction activities, frequent cleaning and watering of the Site, provision of wheel-washing facilities, etc. Mitigation measures as specified in the Professional Persons Environmental Consultative Committee Practice Note (ProPECC PN) 1/94 for construction site drainage would also be strictly followed. The EPD has no objection in this regard. As the major construction activities of the IRSC have been completed, concurrent construction activities and cumulative construction environmental impacts are not anticipated.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R8, R14, R28, R31, R42, R47,	(D3) Environmental Impact	
R57, R60, R72, R77, R81, R93,		
R95, R101, R104, R105, R108,	<u>Traffic Noise</u>	
R125 to R127, R132, R135, R142,	Additional population and vehicles brought	The TIA has demonstrated that the induced traffic is not
R144, R145, R163, R183, R187,	by the proposed redevelopment will cause	significant. Road traffic noise caused by the proposed
R202, R209, R230, R232, R275,	significant noise impact on the surrounding	redevelopment to the surrounding areas shall therefore not be
R283, R284, R286, R289, R296,	residential development and rehabilitation	significant. The single block and cross-shaped building
R300, R304, R309, R312, R315,	services complex and deprive the tranquil	design of the proposed DQs redevelopment will unlikely
R317 to R322, R326, R327, R331,	environment of the area. The wall effect of	form an effective sound reflection structure and therefore
R333, R336 to R339, R342, R349,	the proposed redevelopment will also reflect	reflection of traffic noise from Castle Peak Road and Tuen
R358, R361, R363, R371, R381,	back traffic noise from Castle Peak Road and	Mun Road by the proposed redevelopment to the nearby
R387, R392 to R394, R396, R401	Tuen Mun Road to the nearby residential	residential development is not anticipated.
to R403, R407, R413, R417, R419,	development and affect the well-being of the	
R429, R439, R440, R445, R458,	residents.	A traffic noise impact assessment has been carried out under
R468, R469, R478, R483, R484,		the PER for the proposed redevelopment. With
R487, R499, R500, R508, R509,	No sufficient noise buffer from Tuen Mun	implementation of the proposed noise mitigation measures
R515, R521, R522, R524, R530,	Road (classified as Expressway according to	such as architectural fins and acoustic windows, the predicted
R533 to R535, R538, R539, R543,	the HKPSG which requires at least a 50m	noise level at noise sensitive receivers of the proposed
R551, R553, R555 to R557, R563,	buffer) is planned in the proposed	redevelopment shall comply with the traffic noise criterion of
R565, R569, R573, R575, R582,	redevelopment. The unacceptable noise level	70dB(A) as recommended in the HKPSG. Moreover, a
R589, R593, R596, R599, R623,	generated from traffic of Tuen Mun Road	Noise Impact Assessment report would be submitted by the
R629, R632, R639 to R640, R673,	might not be mitigated by installation of	project proponent at the detailed design stage to demonstrate
R674, R698, R701, R702, R711 to	acoustic/well-gasketted window.	100% compliance of the proposed redevelopment
R726, R728 to R733, R735, R757,		incorporated with noise mitigation measures with the noise
R762, R770, R774, R776 to R779,		criterion to the satisfaction of EPD.
R785 to R788, R791, R823, R825,	A	
R826, R831 and R837	Aircraft Noise	
		According to the findings of Environmental Impact
	noise but the impact has not been mentioned	Assessment ("EIA") report for the Expansion of Hong Kong

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	in the assessment. A study on aircraft noise should be conducted especially on days with southwestern wind where the three-runway system (3RS) is more often used. The cumulative noise impact arising from 3RS and traffic of Tuen Mun Road was not properly assessed.	International Airport into a Three-Runway System, the Noise Exposure Forecast 25 contours predicted for different operation scenarios of the 3RS would be about 1km away from the proposed redevelopment. Hence, direct or indirect mitigation measures shall not be required for the proposed redevelopment. Nevertheless, a review of the use of acoustic insulation in form of well-gasketted window to enhance the indoor living environment in the detailed design stage has been recommended in the PER. Aircraft noise and road traffic noise are different types of noise with different noise criteria. These two types of noise impacts shall be assessed separately.
	Air Quality The wall effect created by the proposed redevelopment will exacerbate air pollution of the area as it will trap smoke from burning of tree debris by villagers in Siu Lam and vehicle emission from Tuen Mun Road within the valley, and result in deteriorating the air quality of nearby residential development. Additional traffic flows induced by the proposed redevelopment will further exacerbate the air pollution. Chimneys of the IRSC should also be taken into consideration in the air quality impact assessment.	The proposed DQs will be a single block development and there will be sufficient separation between the nearest high-rise building (i.e. Palatial Coast Block 1) and the proposed redevelopment (around 60m). Wall effect is not likely be caused by the proposed redevelopment. According to the PER conducted for the proposed redevelopment, there are no boiler and associated chimney in the IRSC as advised by Social Welfare Department.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	Carbon Emission Constructing multi-storey flats while reducing the size of "GB" zone contravene with government's initiative of promoting carbon neutral or carbon emission reduction. The "GB" zone should be preserved and more trees should be planted due to climate change and intensification of extreme weather.	The Site comprises only a minor portion previously zoned "GB" (about 730m² or 26% of the Site) which is mainly formed and currently used as vehicular access and a car parking area (Plan H-2). The inclusion of the concerned "GB" area within the proposed redevelopment would not affect much greenery in the area. The proposed compensatory planting ratio for the proposed redevelopment will comply with the relevant circular of not less than 1:1 in terms of quantity
	Light Pollution and Natural Lighting The proposed redevelopment will incur light pollution while it will prevent light from entering the nearby residential development.	There is ambient light emitted by the existing developments in the vicinity including the residential development of Palatial Coast and various GIC facilities in Siu Lam, and vehicles and lightings from Tuen Mun Road, Castle Peak Road, Siu Lam Road and Hong Fai Road. The additional light emitted by the proposed redevelopment will not represent a significant increase in ambient light to the neighbourhood. By virtue of the proposed setback of the DQs block, the distance between the DQs block and the closest building in the vicinity will be around 60m. Blocking of natural light by the proposed redevelopment is not anticipated.
R3, R10, R20, R28, R36, R39, R43, R46, R53, R61, R67, R69,	(D4) Ecological and Landscape Impact	
R71, R79, R84, R88, R89, R101,	1	As the Site is already formed and disturbed and the proposed
R104 to R106, R108 to R110,	adverse ecological impact on the area. The	redevelopment involves only redevelopment of the existing

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-) R113, R114, R119, R121, R125 to R127, R131 to R133, R137, R147, R149, R156, R157, R163, R176, R178, R183, R187, R198, R204, R213, R220, R250, R252, R254, R269, R276, R277, R281, R286, R287, R289, R292, R296, R303, R306, R307, R310, R312, R315, R318, R326, R327, R329, R331, R332, R336, R354, R355, R363, R370, R381, R385, R392, R393, R396, R404, R412, R414, R415, R417, R419, R427, R429 to R431, R433, R434, R438, R441, R445, R451, R452, R459, R460, R462, R463, R465, R468, R469, R473, R480, R487, R492, R495, R502, R503, R505, R506, R508, R514, R522, R525, R526, R531, R533, R538 to R540, R543, R548, R554, R555, R558, R560, R566, R568 to R571, R573, R574, R579, R582, R587 to R589, R592 to R594, R596, R599, R600, R623, R625, R629, R634, R635, R639, R640, R658, R662, R674, R698, R701, R702, R705, R711 to R733, R735, R736, R740, R742, R743, R745, R751 to R753, R755, R759, R761,

Grounds and Views of Representations

loss of "GB" zone is a great loss of natural resources for hikers visiting Tai Lam Country Park and the general public. It is also not sensible to sacrifice the natural environment for developing only 136 staff quarters.

It is suggested to convert the concerned site and its surroundings to "GB" zone for the existing residents in the area.

Birds and Wildlife

The proposed redevelopment will cause a loss of essential habitats for fauna and flora. There are 60+ species of birds in Siu Lam. Chinese Porcupine, Small Indian Civets and Barking Deer are also observed in area near the proposed site. The existing low-rise quarters buildings with vegetation at the concerned site provides a nature corridor for wildlife. However, with the proposed highrise quarters building, the persistence of avifauna roosting/nesting (including protected species such as White-bellied Sea Eagle, Crested Goshawk, Crested Serpent Eagle and Imperial Eagle) in the Tai Lam Chung Reservoir will be threatened and the flight path of night birds and migratory birds will be affected. The pollution from the future residents will also impose hardship on

PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned

DQs blocks, significant adverse ecological impact due to the proposed redevelopment is not anticipated. With only single building block and sufficient setback from nearby residential buildings, any flight path of night birds and migratory birds will unlikely be affected. The PER has also demonstrated that the proposed redevelopment will not cause adverse environmental impacts on the surrounding areas.

The planning intention of "GB" zone is primarily for defining the limits of urban and sub-urban development areas by natural features. As most of the Site is formed/disturbed and a large tract of area between the Site/Palatial Coast and Tai Lam Country Park is zoned "GB", there is no strong ground to convert the Site and its surroundings which are zoned "G/IC" and have been developed for various GIC facilities to "GB" zone.

As for tree preservation, a total number of 40 trees of common species of low to medium amenity value are identified to be affected by the proposed redevelopment, without any registered or potential Old and Valuable Tree, Tree of Particular Interest, rare or protected tree species. According to the landscape proposal for the proposed redevelopment, 40 new standard-size trees will be planted and form part of the amenity tree planting. A combination of appropriate native and exotic species has been proposed to enhance the sustainability, biodiversity and visual attractiveness of the Site and integrate the development with the surrounding environment.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
D7/7 D7/9 D770 D771 D774	the evictories of eviforms. As each minimal	
R767, R768, R770, R771, R774,	the existence of avifauna. As such, minimal	
R776 to R779, R781, R783, R792,	construction activity should be allowed in Siu	The Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department
R796, R798 to R802, R812, R816,	Lam.	(AFCD) and Urban Design and Landscape Section of PlanD
R823 to R826, R835 and R836	T.	(UD&L, PlanD) have no adverse comment on the proposed
	Trees	redevelopment from nature conservation and landscape
	At least 40 old trees will be felled which are	planning perspectives respectively.
	homes of many birds, butterflies and wild	
	animals. Tree felling will cause loss of	
	natural shading for pedestrians/hikers and	
	loss of noise buffer, affect the air quality of	
	the area and destroy the natural character of	
	the site and its surrounding. Compensating	
	trees in the proposed redevelopment fails to	
	preserve the existing woodland landscape.	
	Tree compensation should not be only in	
	quantitative terms, but has to evaluate size	
	and age of trees.	
E. Visual and Air Ventilation		
R14, R17, R22, R23, R28, R31,	(E1) Visual Impact	
R39, R43, R44, R46, R48, R52,		
R56, R57, R60, R72, R75, R81,	The building block of the proposed	According to the VIA conducted for the proposed
R87, R90, R91, R95, R96, R98,	redevelopment does not have sufficient	1 21
R100, R112, R116, R121, R135,	setback from the nearby residential	the area of visual influence identified for assessment, the
R139, R145 to R147, R168, R175,	development, which will block the vista of	proposed redevelopment will result in some negative visual
R183 to R185, R193 to R195,	and cause a feeling of space oppression to	impacts in overall terms (Plans H-6c to H-6f).
R199, R200, R202, R208 to R210,	nearby residents. The Visual Impact	Notwithstanding, the proposed redevelopment is considered
R214, R217 to R219, R223 to	Assessment (VIA) is not comprehensive as it	to be generally compatible and acceptable within the existing
R225, R239, R240, R243, R245,	does not consider view from the nearby	visual context of Siu Lam and appropriate visual mitigation

Representation No. **Grounds and Views of Representations** PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-) **Bureaux/Departments Concerned** R248, R268 to R272, R274, R276, residential development (Palatial Coast) as measures such as alignment and arrangement of the proposed one of the viewpoints and visually sensitive R278, R280, R288, R290, R294, redevelopment with consideration to the surroundings, R297, R298, R300 to R302, R304, sensitive aesthetic architectural design and chromatic receivers. R305, R308, R317, R318, R328, treatment of built structures, greening at-grade and along the In view of the out-of-place design of the IRSC R330, R331, R351, R353 to R355, podium building edges, and sensitive reinstatement of nearby, there are similar concerns on the R362, R364, R378, R387, R389, affected slope areas are proposed to help alleviate the visual design of the proposed redevelopment which impact (**Plan H-6g**). UD&L, PlanD has no adverse comment R391, R393 to R396, R412, R413, will destroy the surrounding vista. The view on the proposed redevelopment. As for private views, R416, R419, R425, R433, R438, from/to Maclehose Trail/Tai Lam Chung according to the Town Planning Board Guidelines No. 41 on R454, R458, R467, R469, R475, Reservoir, view from Hong Fai Road to the 'Submissions of Visual Impact Assessment for Planning R477, R479, R482, R484, R507 to surrounding seaview and landscape, view Applications to the Town Planning Board' (TPB PG-No. 41), R510, R524, R530, R534, R535, R552, R555, R556, R561, R563, from across the harbour (e.g. Sunny Bay, Siu it is not practical to protect private views without stifling Ho Wan) as well as views to the nearby development opportunity and balancing other relevant R573, R574, R582, R587 to R589, ridgeline/coastline/skyline will be affected. considerations in the highly developed context of Hong R593, R608, R615, R623, R627, The vista of future developments to the north R629, R631, R637, R639, R640, Kong. In the interest of the public, it is far more important to and northeast of the Site will also be affected R650, R653, R674, R698, R701, protect public views, particularly those easily accessible and by the proposed redevelopment. popular to the public or tourists. Responses to A1 on TPB R703, R704, R711 to R737, R739, PG-No.10 above are relevant. R741, R742, R765, R766, R769, The visual impact of the proposed R773, R775, R793, R794, R796, redevelopment is assessed as moderately R803, R836 and R838 adverse, resulting in some negative visual effects to most of the identified public viewing points in the VIA. This violates the TPB PG-No.10 which states that 'the development should not...cause any adverse visual impact on the surrounding environment'. Visual mitigation measures proposed in the VIA could not alleviate the significant visual impacts.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R105, R125, R184, R185, R295 and R785 to R787	(E2) Air Ventilation There would be adverse air ventilation impact arising from the proposed redevelopment as it will block the passage of sea breeze. In addition, there is no Air Ventilation Assessment (AVA) conducted for the proposed redevelopment.	wind corridors as identified in the Final Report of AVA
F. Other Technical Aspects		
R43, R77, R130, R138, R151, R167, R273, R283, R284, R287, R289, R344, R345, R374, R555, R740, R761, R768, R800 to R802 and R831	(F1) <u>Technical Assessments</u> The existing infrastructure does not have sufficient capacity to cater for the proposed redevelopment. The technical assessments conducted (i.e. transport and traffic, environmental, drainage, sewerage, visual and landscape) have not taken into account the scenario with full operation of IRSC. It is unfair for the nearby residents to suffer from cumulative impacts induced from the IRSC and proposed redevelopment, which would induce serious disruption to the nearby	Impact Assessment (SIA), Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA), VIA, GPR and Tree Preservation and Landscape Proposal have been conducted by CSD for the proposed DQs redevelopment on traffic, environmental, geotechnical, visual, landscape and infrastructural aspects. To assess the possible cumulative traffic, environmental, sewage and drainage impacts, all existing, planned and committed developments (including the IRSC) have been taken into

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	residents.	departments and no insurmountable technical problem has been identified.
R17, R26, R27, R46, R52, R53, R77, R100, R121, R127, R135, R169, R183, R195, R200, R207, R208, R218, R227, R230, R237, R240, R250, R251, R259, R260, R266, R267, R270 to R272, R276, R279, R282, R312, R319 to R322, R365, R366, R368, R369, R372, R382, R401 to R403, R414, R420, R422, R426, R428, R456, R459, R478, R484, R498, R510, R512, R528, R560, R572, R593, R600, R605, R608, R611, R616, R630, R631, R636, R646, R654, R655, R662, R676, R678, R681, R704, R710, R745, R759, R780, R792, R799, R804, R806, R811, R822 and R836	(F2) <i>Drainage</i> There is no comprehensive drainage proposal for the proposed redevelopment. The proposed redevelopment will accelerate the flooding problem of the area, especially during rainy or typhoon seasons. The flooding problem of the area may also affect the operation of the correctional services facilities nearby.	According to the DIA, the existing stormwater system will have sufficient capacity to receive stormwater runoff from the proposed redevelopment and surrounding catchments. Parameter drains associated with sand traps are proposed to collect runoff from the Site and to minimise sand/silt go into the existing drainage system. No unacceptable drainage impact arising from the proposed redevelopment is anticipated. Detailed drainage proposal would be formulated by the project proponent in the detailed design stage to the satisfaction of the Drainage Services Department (DSD). DSD has no adverse comment on the proposed redevelopment from public drainage perspective.
R739	(F3) <u>Sewerage</u> The SIA is not comprehensive as it fails to assess the cumulative sewerage impact arising from the existing population, the future population from the proposed redevelopment and the additional population from the soon-to-be-opened IRSC.	For the SIA, sewage generation estimated by the SIA report of the consultancy for the IRSC and sewage generated by Palatial Coast have already been taken into account in the SIA for the proposed redevelopment to assess the possible sewage impact. The assessment concludes that unacceptable impact on the sewerage system resulting from the proposed

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
		redevelopment is not anticipated. In this regard, EPD and DSD have no adverse comment on the proposed redevelopment.
R7, R38, R43, R97, R111, R119, R122, R148, R150, R157, R163, R191, R192, R197, R215, R221, R250, R269, R273, R280, R281, R287, R289, R296, R312, R327, R329, R331, R332, R336, R370, R372, R374, R379, R419, R421, R460, R462, R537, R560, R595, R596, R636, R658, R705, R735 to R737, R743 to R746, R761, R768, R771, R783, R791, R798 to R802, R808, R825, R826 and R839	No comprehensive geological investigation has been conducted for the proposed redevelopment. Construction of the proposed redevelopment will change the geological structure and foundation of the nearby area. The stability of the retaining structures for the nearby highways and residential developments will also be affected. Permanent loss of large tract of trees would intensify soil erosion and increase the risk of land subsidence, affecting the structural safety of the area. Building of retaining wall may be required. The foundation engineering works of the proposed redevelopment might also induce leakage of the two swimming pools at Palatial Coast which are close to the Item A Site, which would lead to unreasonable maintenance costs and exploit residents' use of swimming pool.	affected slopes and retaining walls, no significant geotechnical hazard/constraint is anticipated due to the proposed redevelopment. The proposed redevelopment at the Site is geotechnically feasible. The Geotechnical Engineering Office of Civil Engineering and Development Department ((GEO), CEDD) has no objection to the proposed redevelopment from geotechnical aspect and noted that detailed site investigation and natural terrain hazard study will be conducted to formulate details of the necessary terrain mitigation works for the proposed redevelopment. During the detailed design of the foundation works, the project proponent will duly consider any potential impact to

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R555, R582, R593, R623, R629, R639, R640, R673, R711 to R726, R728 to R733, R809, R810, R813 and R821	(F5) Risk Assessment While the Item A Site falls within the 2km Consultation Zone of the Tai Lam Chung Chlorination Station (TLCCS) (Plan H-3b), no risk assessment has been conducted regarding the potential hazard. Additional population at the Site might lead to safety concerns.	The Water Supplies Department (WSD) advises that as there will be no On-site Chlorine Generation Plant (OSCG) at the TLCCS and liquid chlorine will be replaced by sodium hypochlorite solution either produced by OSCG from other water treatment works or local supplier, TLCCS will be delisted from Potentially Hazardous Installations in Q3 of 2024 tentatively. As the first population intake of the proposed redevelopment will be in 2029, risk assessment is not required in this regard. The delisting programme of the TLCCS and the implementation programme of the proposed redevelopment will be reviewed from time to time to confirm the necessity of risk assessment.
G. Provision of GIC, Open Space a	and Other Supporting Facilities	
R20, R51, R53, R61, R64, R103, R112, R135, R146, R147, R159, R189, R225, R238, R243, R258, R265, R341, R432, R447, R583, R615, R672, R706 and R836	There is a lack of GIC facilities including kindergarten, nursery, child care centres, preschool rehabilitation services and elderly community care facilities, and supporting facilities including eating places, markets, restaurants and retail facilities in the So Kwun Wat area, which leads to waste of time in	Based on the HKPSG requirements, there will be deficits in school places of kindergarten/nursery and primary school in So Kwun Wat Planning Scheme Area. However, there are surplus in the provision of kindergarten/nursery and primary schools in Tuen Mun District and the demand in So Kwun Wat area can be met by the provision in the wider district. Under the prevailing mechanism, the Government will
	travelling and induces additional traffic for getting these required facilities in other areas. The lack of kindergarten, nursery and child care centres contravenes with the principle of	reserve space for kindergarten when planning for public housing developments, while the relevant demand from residents of private housing developments is market-driven.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
	vicinity as the basis for school place allocation. Given the deficits in the provision of GIC facilities within the area, the site should be reserved for other supporting facilities such as restaurants, eating places, markets and retail facilities.	SWD has all along been adopting a multi-pronged approach with long-, medium- and short-term strategies and maintaining a close contact with relevant departments to identify suitable sites or premises in different types of development projects for the provision of welfare facilities to meet the needs of the community. The Site is currently occupied by DQ blocks and CSD intends to redevelop the existing DQs blocks for meeting the DQs demand and better utilising the Site. PlanD will continue to work closely with relevant government departments to explore the need for and feasibility of providing GIC or supporting facilities in the area.
R145, R484, R575 and R596	(G2) <u>Open Space</u> There is limited open space in poor quality in the area. Open space at the ground level of the proposed redevelopment is mainly occupied by vehicular access and parking facilities, and the actual open space at the podium level is limited (less than 1m² per person). The small site area limits the possibility of providing open space for the well-being of family members of CSD staff.	While there will be deficits on the provision of district and local open space in the So Kwun Wat Planning Scheme Area, there is a surplus of about 23.29ha of district open space and about 59.74ha of local open space in the Tuen Mun District as a whole. As for open space provision within the Site, CSD has made reference to the HKPSG for providing appropriate local open space for the proposed redevelopment. According to the Indicative Landscape Proposal submitted by CSD (Plan H-6g), not less than 544m² open space at ground level and podium deck/mezzanine floor (i.e. 1m² per person) will be provided within the proposed DQs redevelopment. The two open spaces would be connected via two residential lifts and visually connected with level difference of less than 15m. Recreational facilities will be provided within the open

(TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Bureaux/Departments Concerned
space	paces for residents of all ages and abilities.
H. Consultation	
R129, R133, R156, R183, R220, R254, R274, R276, R279, R280, R282, R292, R305, R327, R354, R355, R367, R373, R375, R390, R395, R400, R428, R467, R473, R477, R507, R510, R528, R529, R536, R561, R565, R572, R600, R626, R634, R658, R705, R727 to R733, R741, R742, R744, R746, R760, R763, R773, R780 to R783, R806, R811, R817 to R820 and R822 amendment. CSD did not consult residents from sidents of Palatial Coast. CSD should negotiate with and ask for consent from residents of Palatial Coast as their interest and right have been infringed and their daily lives and livelihood have been affected by the proposed redevelopment. As there are many ancestral graves and Kam Taps near Item A Site, fengshui of indigenous inhabitants in Siu Lam will be affected. Relevant clans, Chairman of Rural Committee, Village Heads and Village Representatives should be consulted if relocation is required. Whi	the statutory procedures in consulting the public for plan- laking have been duly followed. The draft OZP accorporating the proposed amendment in relation to the Site as published for two months pursuant to section 5 of the ordinance on 30.9.2022. Representations and comments regarding the amendment have been received during the espective statutory publication periods. All the expresentations and comments will be considered by the oard at this meeting and persons who made the expresentations and comments have been invited to attend the electing to present their views to the Board. The part from the statutory public consultation procedures, lanD issued letters to the TMRC for inviting comments on em A amendment on 21.6.2022. No comment has been exceived from TMRC. Subsequently, the amendments to the TMDC members and responses from PlanD and CSD at the meeting have been summarised in the relevant RNTPC aper considered by the RNTPC on 9.9.2022. While it is noted that there are some existing graves to the portheast of the Site (Plan H-2), the proposed redevelopment

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
I. Others		
R29, R102, R115, R183, R274 to R276, R342, R373, R449, R488, R493, R568, R673, R698, R699, R724, R725, R728, R736, R737, R747 and R781	,	quarters since 1977 before the development of Palatial Coast. According to the lease of Tuen Mun Town Lot No. 400 (TMTL 400) where Palatial Coast is erected thereon, the
R16, R32, R107, R119, R120, R122, R126, R127, R163, R191, R198, R204, R234, R280, R350, R408, R410, R442, R513, R538, R558, R567, R591, R599, R620, R621, R635, R637, R638, R758, R815 and R835	(I2) The existing staff quarters buildings have historical value and should be conserved for a tourist destination. The Site could also be used for providing Tai Lam Chung Reservoir Information Hub, pavilion and hiking facilities.	The existing Siu Lam Psychiatric Centre Junior Staff Married Quarters are neither declared monuments, graded buildings, nor new items pending grading assessment by the Antiquities Advisory Board. As such, there is no ground to preserve the building from the heritage point of view. Responses to A1 on site suitability and A5 on need for DQs development above are relevant.

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representations	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R98, R218, R223, R290, R328, R354, R355, R395, R412, R482, R552, R637, R765, R766, R769, R773 and R775	(I3) The proposed redevelopment will induce a drop in property prices of the nearby residential development, which will affect reverse mortgage programme for elderly and cause economic loss of current residents and their next generation.	
R832	(I4) The DQs type of accommodation encourages a "ghetto" mentality and it would be better for the officers to live within the community for better understanding of citizens.	with the surroundings in land use term. Responses to A5 on

(b) Representation Providing Views (1)

Representation No. (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-)	Grounds and Views of Representation	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
R841	(J1) It is recommended to widen the pavement of Hong Fai Road and upgrade public transport services of the area to alleviate the pressure on traffic induced by the proposed redevelopment. Besides, the proposed redevelopment should adopt noise reduction engineering technology to mitigate construction noise for preventing nuisance to nearby residents/patients.	Responses to C2 on footpath capacity and C3 on public transport provision above are relevant. In order to minimise the noise impact during construction phase, ArchSD advises that quieter construction methods, such as silent piling by press-in method would be used instead of percussive piling. The noise control requirements given in the Recommended Pollution Control Clauses for Construction Contracts will also be incorporated in the construction contracts and be enforced accordingly. Responses to D2 on construction noise and J1 on relevant noise mitigation measures above are relevant.

(2) The grounds and views of the three comments (TPB/R/S/TM-SKW/14-C1 to C3) are summarised below.

Comment No. (TPB/R/S/ TM-SKW/14-)	Related Representation	Grounds and Views of Comments	PlanD's Responses in Consultation with Government Bureaux/Departments Concerned
C1	N/A	Oppose Item A	
(C1 also R832)		The Town Planning Board should consider the views of residents from the nearby residential developments. The proposed redevelopment will induce a drop in property prices and affect their quality of life.	Responses to H1 and I3 on consultation and property prices above are relevant.
C2	R841	Oppose Item A and Oppose to R841's Proposal	
		R841 proposed an upgrade of public transport services. However, the existing road network of the area will be overloaded by additional traffic generated by the proposed redevelopment and the nearby soon-to-be-opened IRSC. Upgrade of public transport services will further aggravate traffic congestion which will affect travel of ambulances/emergency vehicles and affect operation of the rehabilitation facilities.	Responses to C1 on traffic capacity above are relevant.
C3	R841	Oppose Item A and Oppose to R841's Proposal	
(C3 also R20)		R841 proposes noise reduction engineering technology to mitigate construction noise. However, no technology can fully mitigate the noise impacts. This would exploit the therapeutic environment for patients of the rehabilitation facilities.	Responses to D2 on environmental impact from construction above are relevant.

applicant that two months were allowed for preparation of the submission of further information, and no further deferment would be granted unless under very special circumstances.

[The Chairman thanked Mr Wallace W.K. Tang and Ms Christine C.M. Cheung, STPs/FSYLE, for their attendance to answer Members' enquiries. They left the meeting at this point.]

Tuen Mun and Yuen Long West District

[Mr Kepler S.Y. Yuen, District Planning Officer/Tuen Mun and Yuen Long West (DPO/TMYLW), Ms Janet K.K. Cheung, Mr Eric C.Y. Chiu and Mr Steven Y.H. Siu, Senior Town Planners/Tuen Mun and Yuen Long West (STPs/TMYLW), were invited to the meeting at this point.]

Agenda Item 50

[Open Meeting]

Proposed Amendments to the Approved So Kwun Wat Outline Zoning Plan No. S/TM-SKW/13

(RNTPC Paper No. 7/22)

Presentation and Question Sessions

With the aid of a PowerPoint presentation, Ms Janet K.K. Cheung, STP/TMYLW, briefed Members on the background of the proposed amendments to the Outline Zoning Plan (OZP), the technical considerations, consultations conducted and departmental comments as detailed in the Paper. The proposed amendments were mainly to rezone a site at Hong Fai Road (the Site) from "Government, Institution or Community" ("G/IC") and "Green Belt" to "G/IC(1)" with a maximum building height (BH) of 90mPD (Amendment Item A) to facilitate a 21-storey departmental quarters development proposed by the Correctional Services Department.

- 136. As the presentation by PlanD's representative had been completed, the Chairman invited questions and views from Members.
- 137. In response to the Chairman's enquiry, Mr Kepler S.Y. Yuen, DPO/TMYLW said that the BH restriction of 90mPD for the Site would be stipulated on the OZP whereas other proposed building design measures, for example setbacks, are subject to review in the detailed design stage and could be dealt with through the future land allocation exercise under the land administration regime as appropriate.
- 138. Members had no questions regarding other proposed amendments to the OZP.
- 139. After deliberation, the Committee decided to :
 - "(a) agree to the proposed amendments to the approved So Kwun Wat Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/TM-SKW/13 and that the draft So Kwun Wat OZP No. S/TM-SKW/13A at Attachment II (to be renumbered as S/TM-SKW/14 upon exhibition) and its Notes at Attachment III of the RNTPC Paper No. 7/22 were suitable for exhibition for public inspection under section 5 of the Town Planning Ordinance; and
 - (b) adopt the revised Explanatory Statement (ES) at Attachment IV of the RNTPC Paper No. 7/22 for the draft So Kwun Wat OZP No. S/TM-SKW/13A (to be renumbered as S/TM-SKW/14) as an expression of the planning intentions and objectives of the Board for the various land use zones on the OZP and agree that the revised ES was suitable for exhibition together with the OZP."
- 140. Members noted that, as a general practice, the Secretariat of the Board would undertake detailed checking and refinement of the draft OZP including the Notes and ES, if appropriate, before their publication under the Town Planning Ordinance. Any major revision would be submitted for the Board's consideration.

[The Chairman thanked Mr Kepler S.Y. Yuen, DPO/TMYLW and Ms Janet K.K. Cheung,

負責人

I. 歡迎詞

主席歡迎各位議員出席屯門區議會第十七次會議,並歡迎各政府部門代表列席會議。

- 2. 主席表示,由於會議過程的錄音將會上載至屯門區議會網頁,他請各位發言前先舉手,待他指示後才發言。會議將會依議程的次序進行,如一切順利,估計可於中午12時左右完結。他會盡量控制時間,請議員發言盡量精簡,避免重複已提過的觀點。
- 3. 主席續表示,為降低病毒在社區傳播的風險,屯門民政事務處(下稱「民政處」)會在區議會及其轄下委員會或工作少組會議進行期間實施以下措施:(i)與會者、新聞界人士及公眾人士(限額十名)進入會議室前,必須佩戴其自備的外科口罩,並由秘書處職員協助量度體溫,公眾人士名額先到先得;(ii)與會者、新聞界人士及公眾人士(限額十名)進入會議室前,必須填寫健康申報表;(iii)與會者、新聞界人士及公眾人士(限額十名)的個人資料(如姓名、所屬傳媒機構及職員編號等)會被妥善善記錄,以便衛生部門有需要時可追蹤所有曾進入會議室的人士;以及(iv)會議的茶水服務暫停供應,請與會者自備食水和飲用器皿。
- 4. 主席接着表示,如議員發現會議討論的事項涉及其個人利益,應在討論該事項前申報。他會根據《屯門區議會會議常規》第 38(11)條,決定曾就某事項申報利益關係的議員可否就該事項發言或參與表決,可否留在席上旁聽,或應否避席。所有申報利益的個案均會記錄在會議記錄內。

II. 區議員請假事宜

5. 秘書表示,秘書處沒有收到議員的缺席申請。

III. <u>通過 2022 年 5 月 16 日舉行的第十五及第十六次合併會議的會</u> 議記錄

6. 主席表示,秘書處於 2022 年 6 月 28 日把題述會議的會議記錄初稿發送予各與會者參閱,其後並沒有收到任何修訂建議。沒有議員於席上對會議記錄提出修改建議,主席遂宣布通過有關會議記錄。

IV. 討論事項

- (A) <u>擬議修訂《掃管笏分區計劃大綱核准圖編號 S/TM-SKW/13》</u> (屯門區議會文件 2022 年第 14 號)
- 7. 主席歡迎規劃署高級城市規劃師/屯門 1 張嘉琪女士、懲教署高級監督(人力資源)周俊傑先生、高級懲教主任(監獄發展)廖敬德先生、雅邦規劃設計有限公司副董事葉倩雯女士、交通顧問公司董事張麗容女士及瑞峰工程顧問有限公司副總監吳國強先生出席是次會議。主席

先請規劃署張女士就文件作出介紹。

- 8. 規劃署張女士透過投影片(見<u>附件一</u>)向屯門區議會介紹題述文件內容。
- 9. 劉業強議員表示,政府的政策是在資源許可情況下為紀律部隊已婚人員提供部門宿舍,藉此維持紀律部隊士氣及挽留紀律部隊人手。隨着新入職及在職的已婚人員的人數持續增加,預計合資格入住部門宿舍的人員也會增加,因此懲教署建議將小欖現時三幢樓高三至四層的懲教署初級職員已婚宿舍及其毗連土地,重建為一幢 21 層高的部門宿舍。劉議員對此建議表示不反對,但指出在發展及興建工程期間,周邊地方在交通運輸、環境、排污排水及視覺景觀等方面可能受影響,而該址附近現時有不少市民居住,希望城市規劃委員會(下稱「城規會」)就這些方面謹慎考慮。
- 10. 林頌鎧議員表示支持有關計劃,認為現有宿舍的地積比率或發展規模未能盡用土地,重新規劃發展是一件好事,也可為紀律部隊人員提供安樂的居所。他認同劉議員提出的問題,認為署方在設計上應協調交通及配合整體環境,避免對周邊居民造成影響。
- 11. 屯門及元朗西規劃專員就議員提出的意見作出以下回應:
 - (i) 已就重建計劃進行一系列的技術可行性研究,評估了擬議發展對附近地區的交通、環境、排水、排污及視覺景觀等方面的潛在影響,評估結果顯示發展不會帶來無法克服的技術問題;
 - (ii) 交通方面,擬建宿舍伙數不多,只有約136戶,而懲教署 人員與一般上班族的出行時間不同,因此對交通影響不 大,即使以最壞情況評估(即假設出行時間與一般私人住宅 出行時間相同),亦無負面交通影響;
 - (iii) 視覺方面,署方曾參考附近帝濤灣的發展高度,大綱圖顯 示其高度限制為主水平基準上 102 米,而擬建宿舍的主水 平基準只有 90 米,比帝濤灣矮,與附近環境並非不協調, 而在設計、布局方面,已盡量配合間距等各種因素,因此 不會對景觀造成無法接受的影響。署方亦有考慮如何地盡 其用,因應環境設定地積比,在平衡各方面後提出本方案; 以及
 - (iv) 署方會將議員對修訂項目的意見提交城規會考慮。
- 12. 主席表示整體上支持有關建議,但希望就設計提出一些意見作為參考。他指出,宿舍位置臨海,估計將來小欖一帶會再建樓宇,如

重建的宿舍太高,可能會遮擋後期落成的樓宇,因此提議增加宿舍座 數並減低高度。此外,有關位置有非常陡斜的斜坡,建議稍微剷平一 部分斜坡,以興建宿舍。他另表示,青山公路的公共交通以巴士為主, 雖然巴士數量逐漸增多,但整體而言,該區仍較為僻靜,附近居民有 駕車代步的需要,希望設計方案能提供足夠車位。

- 13. 屯門及元朗西規劃專員就主席提出的意見作出以下回應:
 - (i) 由於地盤本身的面積不大,只有約2700平方米,而周邊主要為綠化地帶,希望盡量利用原有宿舍位置,並計劃使用路邊的綠化地帶,將影響減至最低,因此一棟樓宇已經基本用盡地盤可以發展的面積;
 - (ii) 有關景觀、視覺及通透性方面的元素,會於詳細設計階段 由設計團隊詳細考慮;以及
 - (iii) 車位方面,重建計劃會為懲教署員工提供充足車位,並根據《香港規劃標準與準則》及相關指引與運輸署等相關部門商討後,於詳細設計階段落實數目。
- (B) 有關屯門區內地下水管情況的幾項查詢及相關建議 (屯門區議會文件 2022 年第 15 號) (水務署的書面回應)
- 14. 主席表示,秘書處就上述文件收到水務署的書面回應,並已於會前將有關文件以電郵分發予各議員參閱。主席歡迎水務署工程師/新界西區(分配 2)姚嘉立先生出席是次會議。
- 15. 文件提交人梁灝文議員表示,在署方提供的書面回覆中,部分資料摘取自審計署的審計報告,包括水管滲漏個案。然而,大部分相關個案未有納入更換及維修水管計劃當中,導致觀感不佳,故希望署方解釋未有將相關個案納入更換及維修水管計劃的原因,並建議署方全面檢視屯門區內的水管狀況,以找出損壞風險高的水管,及時處理。此外,他希望署方交代風險水平的評估準則。
- 16. 水務署姚先生表示,更換及維修水管計劃於 2015 年完成後,出現滲漏的水管數量大幅了降,不過仍有部分個案因水管腐蝕而出現滲漏,令議員擔心是否該計劃覆蓋不全所致。事實上,上述計劃歷時逾15 年,因此部分原先運作正常的水管或於計劃進行期間出現腐蝕情況。此外,水管修復屬長期工作,故署方的水管管理策略是以風險為本,透過水管年齡、滲漏記錄及爆裂情況等因素評估風險,從而檢視需要修復的水管範圍。署方會根據上述風險評估分配修復工作的優次,並適時與顧問公司檢討各水管的實際情況,再調整修復工作。
- 11. 江鳳儀議員表示,安定邨的車輛入口位置每隔數年便發生水管

Provision of Major Community Facilities and Open Space in So Kwun Wat OZP

			Prov	Provision		
Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG) Requirements	Requirement based on OZP Planned Population	Existing Provision	Planned Provision (including Existing Provision)	Surplus/ Shortfall against Planned Provision	
District Open Space	10 ha per 100,000 persons#	2.01 ha	0.00 ha	0.78 ha	-1.23 ha	
Local Open Space	10 ha per 100,000 persons#	2.01 ha	0.34 ha	1.52 ha	-0.50 ha	
Sports Centre	1 per 50,000 to 65,000 persons [#] (assessed on a district basis)	0	0	0	0	
Sports Ground/ Sport Complex	1 per 200,000 to 250,000 persons [#] (assessed on a district basis)	0	0	0	0	
Swimming Pool Complex – standard	1 complex per 287,000 persons [#] (assessed on a district basis)	0	0	0	0	
District Police Station	1 per 200,000 to 500,000 persons (assessed on a regional basis)	0	0	0	0	
Divisional Police Station	1 per 100,000 to 200,000 persons (assessed on a regional basis)	0	0	0	0	
Magistracy (with 8 courtrooms)	1 per 660,000 persons (assessed on a regional basis)	0	0	0	0	

			Prov	rision	
Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG) Requirements	Requirement based on OZP Planned Population	Existing Provision	Planned Provision (including Existing Provision)	Surplus/ Shortfall against Planned Provision
Community Hall	No set standard	N.A	0	0	N.A.
Library	1 district library for every 200,000 persons (assessed on a	0	0	0	0
	district basis)				
Kindergarten/ Nursery	34 classrooms for 1,000 children aged 3 to 6#	23 classrooms	0 classroom	0 classroom	-23 classrooms
Primary School	1 whole-day classroom for 25.5 persons aged 6-11 [#] (assessed by EDB on a district/ school network basis)	36 classrooms	0 classroom	0 classroom	-36 classrooms (Sufficient on a district basis)
Secondary School	1 whole-day classroom for 40 persons aged 12-17 [#] (assessed by EDB on a territory-wide basis)	17 classrooms	0 classroom	30 classroom	+13 classrooms
Hospital	5.5 beds per 1,000 persons (assessed by Hospital Authority on a regional/ cluster basis)	113 beds	0 bed	0 bed	-113 beds (Will be catered for in the 2 nd Ten-year Hospital Development Plan based on Hospital Authority's assessment on a regional/ cluster basis^)

			Prov	vision	
Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG) Requirements	Requirement based on OZP Planned Population	Existing Provision	Planned Provision (including Existing Provision)	Surplus/ Shortfall against Planned Provision
Clinic/Health Centre	1 per 100,000 persons (assessed on a district basis)	0	0	0	0
Child Care Centre	100 aided places per 25,000 persons [#] (assessed by SWD on a local basis)	80 places	0 place	0 place	-80 places~ (A long-term target assessed on a wider spatial context by SWD~)
Integrated Children and Youth Services Centre	1 for 12,000 persons aged 6-24 [#] (assessed by SWD on a local basis)	0	0	0	0
Integrated Family Services Centre	1 for 100,000 to 150,000 persons [#] (assessed by SWD on a service boundary basis)	0	0	0	0
District Elderly Community Centre	One in each new development area with a population of around 170,000 or above# (assessed by SWD)	N.A.	0	0	N.A.
Neighbourhood Elderly Centre	One in a cluster of new and redeveloped housing areas with a population of 15,000 to 20,000 persons, including both public and private housing# (assessed by SWD)	N.A.	0	0	N.A.

			Prov	rision	
Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG) Requirements	Requirement based on OZP Planned Population	Existing Provision	Planned Provision (including Existing Provision)	Surplus/ Shortfall against Planned Provision
Community Care Services (CCS) Facilities	17.2 subsidised places per 1,000 elderly persons aged 65 or above [#] (assessed by SWD on a district basis)	28 places	8 places	8 places	-20 places [~] (A long-term target assessed on a wider spatial context by SWD [~])
Residential Care Homes for the Elderly	21.3 subsidised beds per 1,000 elderly persons aged 65 or above [#] (assessed by SWD on a cluster basis)	35 beds	0 beds	0 beds	-35 beds~ (A long-term target assessed on a wider spatial context by SWD~)
Pre-school Rehabilitation Services	23 subvented service places for every 1 000 children aged 0- 6 [#] (assessed by SWD on a district basis)	35 places	0 places	0 places	-35 places~ (A long-term target assessed on a wider spatial context by SWD~)
Day Rehabilitation Services	23 subvented service places for every 10 000 persons aged 15 or above [#] (assessed by SWD on a district basis)	33 places	0 places	160 places	+127 places~
Residential Care Services	36 subvented service places for every 10 000 persons aged 15 or above [#] (assessed by SWD on a cluster basis)	51 places	0 places	1,150 places	+1,099 places~

			Prov	ision	
Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG) Requirements	Requirement based on OZP Planned Population	Existing Provision	Planned Provision (including Existing Provision)	Surplus/ Shortfall against Planned Provision
Community Rehabilitation Day Centre	1 centre for every 420 000 persons [#] (assessed by SWD on a district basis)	0	0	0	0
District Support Centre for Persons with Disabilities	1 centre for every 280 000 persons [#] (assessed by SWD on a district basis)	0	0	0	0
Integrated Community Centre for Mental Wellness	1 standard scale centre for every 310 000 persons [#] (assessed by SWD on a district basis)	0	0	0	0

Note:

The planned resident population in SKW OZP is about 20,137. If including transients, the overall planned population is about 20,585. All population figures have been adjusted to the nearest hundred.

Remark:

- # The requirements exclude planned population of transients.
- ^ The deficit in provision is based on OZP planned population while the Hospital Authority plans its services on a cluster basis, and takes into account a number of factors in planning and developing various public healthcare services. The New Territories West Cluster provides services for residents in Tuen Mun and Yuen Long districts. There are a number of hospital redevelopment projects planned in the Second Ten-year Hospital Development Plan (HDP), which will provide additional beds for serving the population in the New Territories West Cluster. The projected service demand will be catered for in the Second Ten-year HDP.
- The deficit in provision is based on OZP planned population while Social Welfare Department (SWD) adopts a wider spatial context/cluster in the assessment of provision of such facility. In applying the population-based planning standards, the distribution of welfare facilities, supply in different districts, service demand as a result of the population growth and demographic changes as well as the provision of different welfare facilities have to be considered. As the HKPSG requirements for these facilities are a long-term goal, the actual provision will be subject to consideration of the SWD in the planning and development process as appropriate. The Government has been adopting a multi-pronged approach with long-, medium- and short-term strategies to identify suitable sites or premises for the provision of more welfare services which are in acute demand.

June 2023

Provision of Major Community Facilities and Open Space in Tuen Mun District

			Prov	ision	
Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG)	Requirement based on Planned Population	Existing Provision	Planned Provision (Including Existing Provision)	Surplus/ Shortfall against Planned Provision
District Open Space	10 ha per 100,000 persons#	68.71 ha	61.91 ha	92 ha	+23.29 ha
Local Open Space	10 ha per 100,000 persons#	68.71 ha	104.23 ha	128.45 ha	+59.74 ha
Sports Centre	1 per 50,000 to 65,000 persons [#] (assessed on a district basis)	10	6	8	-2
Sports Ground/ Sport Complex	1 per 200,000 to 250,000 persons [#] (assessed on a district basis)	2	1	2	0
Swimming Pool Complex – standard	1 complex per 287,000 persons [#] (assessed on a district basis)	2	2	2	0
District Police Station	1 per 200,000 to 500,000 persons (assessed on a regional basis)	1	1	1	0
Divisional Police Station	1 per 100,000 to 200,000 persons (assessed on a regional basis)	3	2	3	0
Magistracy (with 8 courtrooms)	1 per 660,000 persons	1	1	1	0

			Prov	rision	
Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG)	Requirement based on Planned Population	Existing Provision	Planned Provision (Including Existing Provision)	Surplus/ Shortfall against Planned Provision
	(assessed on a regional basis)				
Community Hall	No set standard	N.A	11	12	N.A.
Library	1 district library for every 200,000 persons ^{#π}	3	3	3	0
	(assessed on a district basis)				
Kindergarten/ Nursery	34 classrooms for 1,000 children aged 3 to 6#	422 classrooms	451 classrooms	516 classrooms	+94 classrooms
Primary School	1 whole-day classroom for 25.5 persons aged 6- 11#	1,134 classrooms	956 classrooms	1,166 classrooms	+32 classrooms
	(assessed by EDB on a district/school network basis)				
Secondary School	1 whole-day classroom for 40 persons aged 12- 17#	845 classrooms	978 classrooms	1,068 classrooms	+223 classrooms
	(assessed by EDB on a territory-wide basis)				
Hospital	5.5 beds per 1,000 persons^	3,889 beds	3,712 beds	3,712 beds	-177 beds
	(assessed by Hospital Authority on a				
	regional/cluster				

			Prov	rision	Surplus/ Shortfall against Planned Provision
Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG)	Requirement based on Planned Population	Existing Provision	Planned Provision (Including Existing Provision)	
	basis)				
Clinic/Health Centre	1 per 100,000 persons (assessed on a	7	3	5	-2
	district basis)				
Child Care Centre	100 aided places per 25,000 persons [#] (assessed by SWD on a local basis)	2,748	586	1,046	-1,702~ (a long-term target assessed on a wider context spatial context)
Integrated Children and Youth Services Centre	1 for 12,000 persons aged 6-24 [#] (assessed by SWD on a local basis)	8	13	15	+7
Integrated Family Services Centre	1 for 100,000 to 150,000 persons [#] (assessed by SWD on a service boundary basis)	4	4	4	0
District Elderly Community Centres	One in each new development area with a population of around 170,000 or above# (assessed by SWD)	N.A.	2	2	N.A.~
Neighbourhood Elderly Centres	One in a cluster of new and	N.A.	8	15	N.A.~

			Prov	ision	
Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG)	Requirement based on Planned Population	Existing Provision	Planned Provision (Including Existing Provision)	Surplus/ Shortfall against Planned Provision
	redeveloped housing areas with a population of 15,000 to 20,000 persons, including both public and private housing# (assessed by SWD)				
Community Care Services (CCS) Facilities	17.2 subsidised places per 1,000 elderly persons aged 65 or above** (assessed by SWD on a district basis)	3,527 places	874 places	1,224 places	-2,303 Places~ (A long-term target assessed on a wider spatial context by SWD)
Residential Care Homes for the Elderly	21.3 subsidised beds per 1,000 elderly persons aged 65 or above [#] (assessed by SWD on a cluster basis)	4,368 beds	2,072 beds	4,187 beds	-181 beds~ (A long-term target assessed on a wider spatial context by SWD)
Pre-school Rehabilitation Services	23 subvented service places for every 1 000 children aged 0-6# (assessed by SWD on a district basis)	687 places	373 places	583 places	-104 places
Day Rehabilitation Services	23 subvented service places for every 10 000	1,348 places	1,240 places	1,680 places	+332 places~

			Prov		
Type of Facilities	Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG)	Requirement based on Planned Population	Existing Provision	Planned Provision (Including Existing Provision)	Surplus/ Shortfall against Planned Provision
	persons aged 15 or above [#] (assessed by SWD on a district basis)				
Residential Care Services	36 subvented service places for every 10 000 persons aged 15 or above [#] (assessed by SWD on a cluster basis)	2,110 places	2,110 places	3,470 places	+1,360 places~
Community Rehabilitation Day Centre	1 centre for every 420 000 persons [#] (assessed by SWD on a district basis)	1	1	1	0
District Support Centre for Persons with Disabilities	1 centre for every 280 000 persons [#] (assessed by SWD on a district basis)	2	1	1	-1
Integrated Community Centre for Mental Wellness	1 standard scale centre for every 310 000 persons [#] (assessed by SWD on a district basis)	2	1	1	-1

Note:

The planned resident population in TM District is about 687,100. If including transients, the overall planned population is about 707,100. All population figures have been adjusted to the nearest hundred.

Remark:

- # The requirements exclude planned population of transients.
- The deficit in provision is based on TM District planned population while Social Welfare Department (SWD) adopts a wider spatial context/cluster in the assessment of provision of such facility. In applying the population-based planning standards, the distribution of welfare facilities, supply in different districts, service demand as a result of the population growth and demographic changes as well as the provision of different welfare facilities have to be considered. As the HKPSG requirements for these facilities are a long-term goal, the actual provision will be subject to consideration of the SWD in the planning and development process as appropriate. The Government has been adopting a multi-pronged approach with long-, medium- and short-term strategies to identify suitable sites or premises for the provision of more welfare services which are in acute demand.
- π Small libraries are counted towards meeting the HKPSG requirement.
- * Consisting of 40% centre-based CCS and 60% home-based CCS.
- ^ The deficit in provision is based on TM District planned population while the Hospital Authority plans its services on a cluster basis, and takes into account a number of factors in planning and developing various public healthcare services. The New Territories West Cluster provides services for residents in Tuen Mun and Yuen Long districts. There are a number of hospital redevelopment projects planned in the Second Ten-year Hospital Development Plan (HDP), which will provide additional beds for serving the population in the New Territories West Cluster. The projected service demand will be catered for in the Second Ten-year HDP.

June 2023